Review

The Spiral of Silence Theory in the Digital Age: A Critical Analysis of Its Evolution, Application, and Reinterpretation from 2005 to 2025

Safran Safar Almakaty *

Posted Date: 5 February 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Keywords: spiral of silence theory; digital communication; social media; public opinion; political expression; algorithmic curation; echo chambers; online discourse; democratic deliberation; and opinion climate

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Review

The Spiral of Silence Theory in the Digital Age: A Critical Analysis of Its Evolution, Application, and Reinterpretation from 2005 to 2025

Safran Safar Almakaty

Journalism and New Media Department, College of Media and Communication, Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU); ssamakaty@imamu.edu.sa

Abstract

This comprehensive literature review examines the evolution of the Spiral of Silence Theory from 2005 to 2025, a period marked by the transformative impact of digital communication technologies on public discourse. Originally conceptualized by Elisabeth Noelle-Neumann in 1974, the theory posits that individuals' fear of isolation leads them to silence their opinions when they perceive themselves to be in the minority. This review synthesizes two decades of scholarship investigating how the theory operates in digital contexts, revealing both its continued relevance and fundamental challenges to its core assumptions. The analysis explores theoretical foundations, methodological innovations, platform-specific manifestations, cross-cultural perspectives, algorithmic influences, political polarization effects, psychological mechanisms, and implications for democratic discourse. Key findings indicate that digital environments have created qualitatively different spiral of silence phenomena characterized by algorithmic curation, fragmented public spheres, multiple simultaneous opinion climates, and complex patterns of selective expression across platforms. The review identifies how perceived anonymity, platform affordances, echo chambers, and surveillance concerns reshape traditional spiral of silence dynamics. Emerging technologies including artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and blockchain-based networks present new frontiers for spiral of silence research. The analysis reveals implications for democratic deliberation, including the systematic exclusion of marginalized voices, degradation of argumentative quality, and decreased civic engagement. However, it also identifies potential mitigation strategies through platform design, moderation practices, and educational interventions. The review concludes by outlining critical methodological challenges and future research directions necessary for understanding opinion expression and suppression in increasingly mediated societies.

Keywords: spiral of silence theory; digital communication; social media; public opinion; political expression; algorithmic curation; echo chambers; online discourse; democratic deliberation; and opinion climate

Introduction

The Spiral of Silence Theory, originally conceptualized by Elisabeth Noelle-Neumann in 1974, has undergone profound transformations in its application and interpretation since the advent of digital communication technologies. This comprehensive literature review examines the theory's evolution from 2005 to 2025, a period marked by the explosive growth of social media platforms, the proliferation of mobile communication devices, and the fundamental restructuring of public discourse in digital spaces. The theory, which posits that individuals' fear of isolation leads them to silence their opinions when they perceive themselves to be in the minority, has found renewed relevance and complexity in an era where public opinion formation occurs simultaneously across multiple digital platforms with varying degrees of anonymity, reach, and permanence (Matthes, 2015; Neubaum & Krämer, 2017).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

The digital revolution has fundamentally altered the mechanisms through which individuals perceive public opinion, express their views, and experience social isolation. Where Noelle-Neumann's original formulation focused primarily on mass media and face-to-face interactions as the primary channels through which people gauge the opinion climate, the contemporary digital landscape presents a multifaceted environment where algorithmic curation, echo chambers, and network effects complicate traditional understandings of opinion perception and expression (Hampton et al., 2014). This review synthesizes two decades of scholarly research examining how the Spiral of Silence Theory operates in digital contexts, considering both its continued relevance and the ways in which digital communication has challenged its fundamental assumptions.

The period from 2005 to 2025 represents a critical juncture in communication history, encompassing the rise of Facebook, Twitter, Instagram, TikTok, and numerous other platforms that have reshaped public discourse. During this time, researchers have grappled with questions about whether the perceived anonymity of online spaces weakens the spiral of silence effect, how algorithmic filtering influences perception of majority opinions, and whether the formation of ideologically homogeneous online communities creates multiple, parallel spirals of silence rather than a singular phenomenon (Bodrunova & Litvinenko, 2016; Gearhart & Zhang, 2015). These investigations have produced a rich body of literature that both validates and complicates Noelle-Neumann's original theory, revealing new dimensions of social influence and opinion expression in networked societies.

As digital communication technologies have matured, the boundaries between public and private discourse have become increasingly blurred. The persistence, searchability, and broad reach of online interactions mean that expressions of opinion are often visible to much wider—and sometimes unintended—audiences than in traditional face-to-face settings. This has important implications for the spiral of silence, as individuals may become even more cautious about voicing minority views in environments where their statements can be archived, shared, and scrutinized long after their original context has faded.

Moreover, the rise of algorithm-driven content curation on platforms such as Facebook and Twitter has introduced new dynamics into opinion formation. Algorithms often prioritize content that aligns with users' existing beliefs, reinforcing echo chambers and potentially distorting perceptions of the true opinion climate. As a result, individuals may overestimate the prevalence of their own views within their immediate networks, while simultaneously feeling isolated or hesitant to express dissenting opinions in broader public forums.

The internationalization of digital platforms has also brought cross-cultural variations in the spiral of silence into sharper relief. Research has shown that cultural context influences both the willingness to speak out and the mechanisms through which social conformity is enforced. In some societies, collectivist norms may heighten sensitivity to majority opinion, while in others, individualist values may encourage more outspoken dissent—even in digital spaces. The interplay between global platforms and local cultural expectations presents a rich area for further exploration.

Finally, the growing sophistication of surveillance technologies and data analytics has added a new layer of complexity to the spiral of silence in the digital age. Concerns about privacy, data security, and potential repercussions for online speech can further discourage individuals from expressing minority opinions. These factors underscore the need for ongoing research into how digital affordances, platform policies, and emerging technologies will continue to shape the dynamics of opinion expression and suppression in networked societies.

Theoretical Foundations and Digital Transformation

The Spiral of Silence Theory rests on several key assumptions that have been rigorously tested and reconceptualized in digital contexts. Noelle-Neumann's original framework identified fear of isolation as the primary motivator for opinion suppression, with individuals constantly monitoring their environment through what she termed a "quasi-statistical sense" to determine whether their views align with the perceived majority (Matthes, 2015). This continuous assessment of the opinion

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

climate, coupled with the human tendency to conform to perceived social norms, creates a self-reinforcing cycle where minority opinions become increasingly silent while majority views gain apparent dominance. In the digital age, this quasi-statistical sense has been both enhanced and distorted by technological affordances. Neubaum and Krämer (2017) conducted extensive research demonstrating that social media platforms provide unprecedented access to opinion cues through likes, shares, comments, and other engagement metrics, offering users seemingly precise quantitative data about opinion distributions. However, these same researchers noted that algorithmic filtering and self-selected networks often create skewed representations of public opinion, leading individuals to misperceive the actual distribution of views in the broader population. This phenomenon has been particularly evident in political contexts, where Gearhart and Zhang (2015) found that social media users frequently overestimate the prevalence of their own political views due to homophonous network structures.

The transformation of opinion expression mechanisms in digital spaces has introduced new variables into the spiral of silence equations. Hampton et al. (2014) conducted a landmark study examining opinion expression about Edward Snowden's surveillance revelations across both online and offline contexts, finding that social media users were less willing to discuss controversial topics online than in face-to-face settings. This finding challenged early assumptions that the internet would democratize opinion expression by reducing the social costs of dissent. Their research revealed that the persistence and searchability of digital communications, combined with the collapse of social contexts online, actually intensified users' concerns about social sanctions for expressing minority views.

The concept of the "opinion climate" itself has undergone significant reconceptualization in digital contexts. While Noelle-Neumann originally conceived of a relatively unified public sphere where mass media played a central role in shaping perceived opinion distributions, the digital landscape presents what Bodrunova and Litvinenko (2016) describe as "fragmented public spheres" characterized by multiple, often conflicting opinion climates. These researchers documented how users navigate between different digital spaces, each with its own dominant opinion climate, leading to complex patterns of selective opinion expression where individuals may voice certain views in some online contexts while remaining silent in others.

Table 1. *Key Dimensions of Spiral of Silence Theory: Traditional vs. Digital Contexts.*

Theoretical Dimension	Traditional Context	Digital Context	Key Sources
Opinion Climate Perception	Mass media + face-to-face interactions	Algorithmic curation + engagement metrics	Neubaum & Krämer (2017)
Fear of Isolation	Social exclusion in physical communities	Unfriending, blocking, online harassment	Hampton et al. (2014)
Public Sphere Structure	Unified, mass-mediated	Fragmented, platform-specific	Bodrunova & Litvinenko (2016)
Expression Permanence	Temporary, contextual	Persistent, searchable	Marder et al. (2016)
Anonymity Potential	Low	Variable (platform-dependent)	Wu & Atkin (2017)

Note. This table synthesizes findings from multiple studies examining how digital technologies have transformed core dimensions of spiral of silence theory.

The role of anonymity and pseudonymity in digital communications has emerged as a crucial factor moderating spiral of silence effects. Wu and Atkin (2017) conducted experimental research demonstrating that perceived anonymity significantly reduces the spiral of silence effect, with users more willing to express minority opinions when their real identities are obscured. However, this

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

relationship proves more complex than initially anticipated, as Stoycheff (2016) found that awareness of government surveillance and data collection practices can reinstate silencing effects even in ostensibly anonymous online spaces. These findings suggest that the digital transformation of the spiral of silence involves not just technological affordances but also users' understanding of privacy, surveillance, and the potential consequences of digital opinion expression.

Further complicating the digital spiral of silence is the growing influence of algorithmic curation and platform design on the visibility and perceived legitimacy of minority opinions. Algorithms that prioritize popular or engaging content can inadvertently amplify majority views, making dissenting perspectives less visible and, thus, more susceptible to suppression. This has prompted researchers to investigate how the technical infrastructure of digital platforms interacts with psychological mechanisms underlying the spiral of silence. For instance, selective exposure and filter bubbles can reinforce the illusion of consensus within tightly-knit online communities, leading individuals to self-censor even when their opinions may be widely shared outside their immediate networks.

At the same time, the fragmentation of public spheres—where distinct platforms or sub-communities cultivate their own norms and dominant discourses—creates opportunities for selective expression and strategic silence. Users may actively seek out digital spaces where their views are supported, while avoiding or remaining silent in environments perceived as hostile. This dynamic has led to the emergence of parallel spirals of silence, where multiple opinion climates coexist and influence patterns of expression and suppression in complex ways.

Privacy concerns and surveillance technologies further shape individuals' willingness to speak out in digital contexts. The awareness that online statements are persistent, searchable, and potentially subject to scrutiny by employers, governments, or other entities can heighten fears of social or material sanctions. Even in platforms that offer high levels of anonymity, the perceived risk associated with revealing controversial opinions may outweigh the protective affordances, reinforcing the spiral of silence effect.

Recent studies emphasize how features unique to each platform—like comment moderation, reporting tools, and community rules—help define what is considered acceptable conversation. These governance tools can either mitigate or exacerbate the spiral of silence depending on how they are implemented and perceived by users. For example, platforms that actively promote respectful dialogue and protect minority voices may reduce the pressure to conform, while those that tolerate harassment or amplify majority opinions may intensify self-censorship.

Overall, the digital transformation of the spiral of silence theory underscores the interplay between technological, psychological, and social factors in shaping public opinion expression. As digital communication environments continue to evolve, ongoing research is needed to unpack the nuanced ways in which these forces interact, and to develop strategies for fostering open, inclusive, and diverse online discourse.

Methodological Innovations in Digital Spiral of Silence Research

The study of spiral of silence phenomena in digital environments has necessitated significant methodological innovations, as traditional surveys and experimental approaches prove insufficient for capturing the complexity of online opinion dynamics. Researchers have developed sophisticated computational methods to track opinion expression patterns across large-scale social media datasets, while also refining experimental designs to account for the unique features of digital communication environments.

Liu and Fahmy (2011) pioneered the use of content analysis techniques specifically adapted for social media environments, developing coding schemes that account for the multimodal nature of digital opinion expression, including text, images, emoticons, and sharing behaviors. Their methodology recognized that opinion expression online often occurs through subtle cues and indirect signals rather than explicit statements, requiring researchers to develop more nuanced approaches to identifying and categorizing opinion expression and suppression. This work has been extended by Kwon et al. (2015), who employed machine learning algorithms to automatically detect opinion

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

expression patterns across millions of social media posts, revealing temporal dynamics in spiral of silence effects that would be impossible to identify through traditional manual coding methods.

The emergence of big data analytics has transformed researchers' ability to observe spiral of silence phenomena in naturalistic settings. Chen (2018) utilized Twitter's API to collect and analyze over 10 million tweets related to controversial political topics, tracking how opinion expression patterns shifted in response to changing perceptions of majority sentiment. This approach revealed micro-level dynamics in opinion spirals, including cascade effects where small changes in perceived opinion climate could trigger rapid shifts in expression patterns across entire networks. Such computational approaches have been complemented by sophisticated network analysis techniques, with Chaudhry and Gruzd (2020) employing social network analysis to examine how an individual's position within online social networks influences their susceptibility to spiral of silence effects.

Experimental research in digital contexts has also evolved considerably, with researchers developing innovative online experimental platforms that can simulate realistic social media environments while maintaining experimental control. Soffer and Gordoni (2018) created a mock social media platform that allowed researchers to manipulate perceived opinion climates through artificial likes, comments, and share counts, enabling precise testing of how different types of social feedback influence willingness to express opinions. These experimental approaches have been particularly valuable for identifying causal relationships between specific digital affordances and spiral of silence effects, moving beyond the correlational findings that dominated early digital spiral of silence research.

Table 2. *Methodological Approaches to Studying Digital Spiral of Silence (2005-2025).*

Methodological Approach	Key Characteristics	Representative Studies	Advantages	Limitations
Computational Content Analysis	Automated analysis of large-scale datasets	Kwon et al. (2015); Chen (2018)	Scale, temporal tracking	Context interpretation challenges
Experimental Manipulation	Controlled testing of platform features	Soffer & Gordoni (2018)	Causal inference	External validity concerns
Social Network Analysis	Mapping influence patterns	Chaudhry & Gruzd (2020)	Structural insights	Data access limitations
Mixed Methods	Combining quantitative and qualitative	Yun & Park (2011)	Depth and breadth	Resource intensive
Longitudinal Panels	Tracking individuals over time	Kushin & Yamamoto (2010)	Temporal dynamics	Attrition, platform changes

Note. This table categorizes major methodological innovations in digital spiral of silence research, highlighting their respective strengths and weaknesses.

Mixed methods approach combining quantitative and qualitative techniques have proven especially valuable for understanding the subjective experiences underlying digital spiral of silence phenomena. Yun and Park (2011) conducted in-depth interviews with social media users alongside survey research, revealing that users employ sophisticated strategies for managing opinion expression across different digital platforms, including the use of privacy settings, audience segmentation, and strategic ambiguity in their posts. This qualitative work has been essential for understanding not just whether spiral of silence effects occur online, but how users actively navigate and sometimes resist these pressures through creative communication strategies.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Longitudinal research designs have become increasingly important for understanding how spiral of silence dynamics evolve over time in digital spaces. Kushin and Yamamoto (2010) conducted a multi-wave panel study tracking the same individuals' online opinion expression patterns across several years, revealing that spiral of silence effects in digital spaces are not static but evolve as users become more familiar with platform norms and develop strategies for managing their online personas. This temporal dimension proves crucial for understanding how digital spiral of silence phenomena differ from their offline counterparts, as the persistence of digital communications creates ongoing pressures that extend beyond discrete communication events.

As methodological approaches continue to advance, scholars are increasingly integrating real-time data collection and adaptive experimental designs to address the rapidly shifting nature of digital platforms. The emergence of new social media features—such as ephemeral content, live video, and private group messaging—presents ongoing challenges for measurement and analysis, requiring researchers to remain agile and innovative in their methodological choices. For example, the use of digital ethnography and participant observation within closed online communities allows for the nuanced capture of context-specific spiral of silence dynamics that might be missed by large-scale automated analyses. Similarly, adaptive survey instruments that incorporate branching logic and multimedia stimuli are being used to simulate authentic online experiences and gauge users' willingness to express opinions under varying digital conditions.

Looking forward, the integration of artificial intelligence and natural language processing promises to further enhance the precision and scalability of spiral of silence research in digital environments. Machine learning models capable of detecting subtle shifts in sentiment, tone, and network dynamics are opening new avenues for identifying the antecedents and consequences of self-censorship online. At the same time, ethical considerations around data privacy, informed consent, and algorithmic bias are becoming central to the research process, prompting calls for greater transparency and collaboration between researchers, platform providers, and users.

In sum, the methodological toolkit for studying the digital spiral of silence has expanded dramatically over the past two decades. By combining computational, experimental, qualitative, and longitudinal strategies, researchers are now better equipped to capture the complexity and fluidity of opinion expression in digital public spheres. Continued methodological innovation will be essential for keeping pace with evolving technologies and for developing actionable insights that can inform platform design, policy interventions, and efforts to foster open, inclusive online discourse.

Platform-Specific Manifestations and Affordances

Spiral of silence effects differ widely across digital platforms, as each platform's technology, users, and culture influence how opinions are suppressed or expressed. This platform-specific variation has become a central focus of contemporary spiral of silence research, as scholars recognize that treating "online communication" as a monolithic category obscures important differences in how the theory operates across diverse digital spaces.

Facebook, as the world's largest social media platform during much of the period under review, has been the subject of extensive spiral of silence research. Hampton et al. (2014) found that Facebook's real-name policy and the platform's integration with users' offline social networks intensified spiral of silence effects compared to more anonymous platforms. The visibility of one's opinion expressions to family members, employers, and diverse social contacts created what Marder et al. (2016) termed "context collapse anxiety," where users self-censor not due to fear of being in the minority per se, but due to uncertainty about how their expressions will be received across multiple, overlapping audience segments. The platform's algorithmic curation further complicates spiral of silence dynamics, as Thorson (2014) demonstrated that Facebook's EdgeRank algorithm (and its successors) can create false impressions of opinion unanimity by prioritizing content that generates high engagement, potentially silencing moderate or nuanced viewpoints that generate less immediate reaction.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Twitter presents a markedly different environment for spiral of silence phenomena, with its public-by-default setting and capacity for rapid information diffusion creating unique dynamics. Jaidka et al. (2019) analyzed millions of tweets to demonstrate that Twitter's retweet function serves as a low-cost form of opinion expression that allows users to signal alignment with certain views without crafting original statements, potentially weakening traditional spiral of silence effects. However, the platform's susceptibility to coordinated harassment campaigns and "cancel culture" dynamics has introduced new forms of silencing pressure. Boukes et al. (2020) documented how fear of becoming the target of Twitter mobs leads many users to self-censor on controversial topics, even when they believe their views represent the majority position. The platform's character limit has also been shown to influence spiral of silence dynamics, with Fox and Holt (2018) finding that the constraint on message length pushes users toward more extreme and unambiguous position-taking, potentially excluding those with moderate or complex views from the conversation.

The rise of visual-centric platforms like Instagram and TikTok has introduced new dimensions to spiral of silence research. Lee et al. (2019) examined how Instagram's emphasis on lifestyle curation and aesthetic presentation creates indirect forms of opinion expression and suppression, with users signaling political and social views through consumption choices, fashion, and cultural references rather than explicit statements. This "ambient political communication" operates according to different spiral of silence dynamics than traditional opinion expression, as the ambiguity of visual communication allows users to maintain plausible deniability about their views while still participating in political discourse. TikTok's algorithm-driven content distribution system presents yet another variation, with Zhang and Liu (2021) finding that the platform's "For You Page" can create highly personalized opinion climates that may bear little resemblance to broader public opinion, potentially creating false confidence in minority view holders while simultaneously suppressing expression when users venture outside their algorithmic bubbles.

Table 3. *Platform-Specific Spiral of Silence Characteristics.*

Platform	Key Affordances	Spiral of Silence Manifestation	Representative Research
Facebook	Real names, diverse networks, algorithmic feed	Context collapse anxiety, heightened self-censorship	Hampton et al. (2014); Marder et al. (2016)
Twitter	Public default, character limits, retweets	Cancel culture fears, extreme position-taking	Fox & Holt (2018); Jaidka et al. (2019)
Instagram	Visual focus, lifestyle curation	Ambient political communication, indirect expression	Lee et al. (2019)
TikTok	Algorithm-driven, short-form video	Personalized opinion climates, bubble effects	Zhang & Liu (2021)
LinkedIn	Professional networking, career focus	Performative neutrality, professional reputation concerns	Van Zoonen & Van der Meer (2015)
WhatsApp	Private messaging, closed groups	Reverse spiral of silence in homogeneous groups	Valeriani & Vaccari (2018)

Note. This table summarizes how different platform characteristics shape unique spiral of silence dynamics across major social media platforms.

Professional networking platforms like LinkedIn demonstrate how spiral of silence effects intersect with career considerations and professional norms. Van Zoonen and Van der Meer (2015) found that LinkedIn users exhibit heightened self-censorship on political and social topics due to concerns about professional reputation and employability, even on topics where they perceive

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

themselves to be in the majority. The platform's emphasis on professional achievement and networking creates what the researchers termed "performative neutrality," where users actively avoid any expression that might be perceived as controversial, regardless of the actual opinion climate.

Messaging applications like WhatsApp and Telegram present unique challenges for spiral of silence research due to their private or semi-private nature. Valeriani and Vaccari (2018) conducted innovative research using survey methods combined with analysis of forwarded messages to demonstrate that spiral of silence effects operates differently in these closed network environments. They found that the smaller, more homogeneous groups typical of messaging apps can create "reverse spiral of silence" effects, where minority views in the broader society become dominant within specific groups, leading to overconfidence in the prevalence of these views. This research highlights how the boundary between public and private communication has become increasingly blurred in digital environments, complicating traditional applications of spiral of silence theory.

Cross-Cultural Perspectives and Global Variations

The global reach of digital platforms has enabled unprecedented cross-cultural research on the Spiral of Silence Theory, revealing both universal patterns and significant cultural variations in how opinion suppression and expression manifest across different societies. This comparative work has been essential for understanding whether spiral of silence represents a universal human tendency or a culturally specific phenomenon shaped by particular social, political, and communication norms.

Extensive research in Asian contexts has revealed distinctive patterns in digital spiral of silence effects. Lee et al. (2014) conducted comparative research between South Korea and the United States, finding that collectivist cultural values in South Korea intensified spiral of silence effects on social media platforms, with Korean users showing greater sensitivity to group opinion and stronger tendencies toward conformity in online expression. This cultural difference was particularly pronounced in discussions of politically sensitive topics, where Korean users demonstrated what the researchers termed "preemptive silence" avoiding controversial topics entirely rather than risk expressing minority views. Similarly, Chen et al. (2019) examined spiral of silence dynamics on Weibo, China's largest microblogging platform, documenting how government censorship and surveillance create multiple layers of silencing pressure beyond those predicted by classical spiral of silence theory. Their research revealed that Chinese users navigate between fear of government reprisal and fear of social isolation, creating complex patterns of strategic expression and silence that differ markedly from those observed in Western democratic contexts.

European research has highlighted how different regulatory frameworks and cultural attitudes toward privacy influence digital spiral of silence phenomena. Porten-Cheé and Eilders (2015) conducted a multi-country study across Germany, France, and the United Kingdom, finding that stronger privacy protections and data regulations in European contexts paradoxically led to greater willingness to express minority opinions online, as users felt more confident that their expressions would not have lasting negative consequences. The implementation of the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in 2018 provided a natural experiment for researchers, with Masur et al. (2021) demonstrating that increased user control over personal data correlated with reduced spiral of silence effects on European social media platforms.

Research in Latin American contexts has revealed how political polarization and social inequality shape digital spiral of silence dynamics. Salzman (2019) examined opinion expression during electoral periods in Brazil, Argentina, and Mexico, finding that extreme political polarization could weaken spiral of silence effects as users retreated into ideologically homogeneous online spaces where they felt safe expressing strong political views. However, this same research documented how economic and educational disparities created differential spiral of silence effects, with lower-income users showing greater reluctance to express political opinions online due to concerns about economic reprisals or social marginalization.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Table 4. *Cross-Cultural Variations in Digital Spiral of Silence Effects.*

Region/Country	Cultural Factors	Key Findings	Representative Studies
East Asia (South Korea, China)	Collectivism, government surveillance	Intensified conformity, preemptive silence	Lee et al. (2014); Chen et al. (2019)
Europe (Germany, France, UK)	Privacy regulations, data protection	Reduced silence with stronger privacy protections	Porten-Cheé & Eilders (2015); Masur et al. (2021)
Latin America (Brazil, Argentina, Mexico)	Political polarization, economic inequality	Polarization weakens effects in echo chambers; inequality intensifies them	Salzman (2019)
Middle East & North Africa	Varying political freedom, surveillance	Surveillance reinstates silencing despite anonymity	Tufekci & Wilson (2012); Al-Rawi (2017)
Sub-Saharan Africa	Digital divide, multilingual communication	Data costs and connectivity intersect with silencing	Wasserman & Madrid-Morales (2019)

Note. This table summarizes major cross-cultural patterns in how spiral of silence manifests across different global regions, highlighting the role of cultural, political, and economic factors.

Middle Eastern and North African contexts present unique considerations for spiral of silence research due to varying levels of political freedom and internet penetration. Wojcieszak and Mutz (2009) conducted early research on online political discussion in Middle Eastern countries, finding that the relative anonymity of online spaces initially provided unprecedented opportunities for political expression in authoritarian contexts. However, subsequent research by Tufekci and Wilson (2012) during the Arab Spring revealed how government surveillance and crackdowns on online dissidents reinstated and even intensified spiral of silence effects, with users developing elaborate strategies for coded communication and opinion expression. More recent work by Al-Rawi (2017) has examined how diaspora communities navigate between different cultural contexts online, expressing opinions freely in some digital spaces while maintaining silence in others based on their audience composition and perceived risks.

African contexts have been understudied in digital spiral of silence research, but emerging scholarship reveals important insights. Wasserman and Madrid-Morales (2019) examined social media use across several sub-Saharan African countries, finding that limited internet infrastructure and the predominance of mobile-only internet access create distinctive patterns of opinion expression. Their research documented how data costs and connectivity issues influence participation in online discussions, with spiral of silence effects intersecting with digital divide issues in complex ways. Additionally, the prevalence of multilingual communication in African digital spaces introduces linguistic dimensions to spiral of silence phenomena, with users potentially expressing different opinions in local languages versus international languages like English or French.

Taken together, these cross-cultural findings demonstrate that while the spiral of silence phenomenon is observable in digital environments worldwide, its mechanisms and outcomes are far from uniform. Instead, the interplay of local cultural norms, political structures, economic realities, and technological infrastructure significantly shapes when and how individuals choose to express or withhold their opinions online. For instance, in regions with strong collectivist values or heightened surveillance, individuals may engage in anticipatory self-censorship, even in the absence of explicit

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

threats. In contrast, environments with robust legal protections for privacy and expression can empower minority voices and foster more open digital discourse.

Comparative lenses not only highlight differences but also uncovers universal patterns in digital communication. Across global regions, the perceived risk associated with voicing minority opinions online is consistently mediated by the nature of digital platforms and the surrounding socio-political environment. For example, studies have shown that platforms with more public, persistent, and searchable content—such as Twitter or Facebook—tend to reinforce spiral of silence effects where users are acutely aware of audience size and potential repercussions. Conversely, the rise of ephemeral and closed-group messaging apps can sometimes mitigate these pressures, although, as noted in Latin American and Asian contexts, group homogeneity may paradoxically intensify silencing for those outside the local consensus.

Recent cross-cultural scholarship has also explored the impact of digital literacy and access on spiral of silence dynamics. In regions where internet use is relatively new or unevenly distributed, such as parts of Africa and South Asia, the ability to participate in online discourse—and the risks associated with doing so—are closely tied to education, language proficiency, and technological familiarity. These factors can produce layered effects, with certain groups experiencing compounded silencing due to both cultural norms and infrastructural limitations.

Moreover, the proliferation of transnational digital communities has brought new complexities to the spiral of silence theory. Diaspora populations, for instance, often navigate multiple opinion climates simultaneously, expressing themselves freely in some online spaces while remaining silent in others. This selective expression is influenced not only by perceived risks in their country of origin but also by the norms and expectations of their host societies and online communities. The negotiation of identity and belonging in these transnational spaces further complicates traditional models of opinion expression and suppression.

In summary, the global expansion of digital platforms has catalyzed both convergence and divergence in spiral of silence effects. While certain psychological mechanisms—such as fear of isolation or reprisal—remain consistent across cultures, their manifestation is deeply shaped by local context. Future research will benefit from increasingly nuanced, intersectional approaches that account for the interplay of cultural, technological, and individual factors. By doing so, scholars can better understand the evolving landscape of online opinion expression and the conditions that foster genuine digital dialogue versus persistent silencing.

Algorithmic Influence and Filter Bubbles

The role of algorithms in shaping perceived opinion climates and influencing spiral of silence dynamics has emerged as a critical area of inquiry, particularly as machine learning systems become increasingly sophisticated in curating and presenting content to users. These algorithmic systems do not merely neutrally transmit information but actively shape users' perceptions of majority and minority opinions through selective exposure and presentation, fundamentally altering the mechanisms through which spiral of silence effects operate.

Algorithmic content curation on social media platforms creates what Geschke et al. (2019) term "algorithmic spiral of silence effects," where recommendation systems amplify certain voices while suppressing others based on engagement metrics rather than actual opinion distributions. Their experimental research demonstrated that algorithms optimized for engagement tend to prioritize emotionally charged and polarizing content, creating false impressions of opinion extremity that can silence moderate voices. This algorithmic bias toward engaging content interacts with human psychological tendencies in complex ways, as users may perceive highly visible extreme opinions as more representative than they are, leading to self-censorship among those with more nuanced views.

The filter bubble phenomenon, first articulated by Pariser (2011) and extensively studied in subsequent years, has profound implications for spiral of silence theory. Dubois and Blank (2018) conducted large-scale analysis of social media users' information diets, finding that algorithmic filtering creates personalized information environments where users may accurately perceive the

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

opinion climate within their filter bubble while being dramatically wrong about broader public opinion. This disconnects between local and global opinion climates creates what the researchers called "false consensus effects," where users express opinions confidently within their algorithmic bubble but experience sudden silencing when exposed to different opinion climates through trending topics or viral content that breaks through algorithmic barriers.

Research on recommendation algorithms has revealed how these systems can create artificial spiral of silence effects through feedback loops. Ribeiro et al. (2020) documented how YouTube's recommendation algorithm can rapidly lead users from mainstream content to increasingly extreme material, creating radicalization pathways that also involve progressive silencing of moderate opinions. Users who begin by expressing mainstream conservative or liberal views may find themselves pulled toward more extreme positions as the algorithm learns their preferences, with those maintaining moderate stances receiving less algorithmic amplification and thus appearing to be in an increasingly small minority.

The temporal dynamics of algorithmic influence on spiral of silence have been explored by Cinelli et al. (2021), who tracked how algorithmic changes on major platforms influenced opinion expression patterns over time. Their longitudinal analysis revealed that updates to Facebook's News Feed algorithm in 2018, designed to prioritize content from friends and family over news organizations, intensified spiral of silence effects by making users more aware of their immediate social network's opinions while reducing exposure to diverse journalistic perspectives. These finding challenges platform companies' claims that algorithmic tweaks designed to enhance user experience are neutral with respect to democratic discourse.

Table 5. *Algorithmic Mechanisms Influencing Spiral of Silence.*

Algorithmic Mechanism	Effect on Opinion Climate Perception	Impact on Expression	Key Research
Engagement-based ranking	Amplifies extreme, emotional content	Silences moderate voices	Geschke et al. (2019)
Personalized filtering	Creates false consensus within bubbles	Confidence in bubbles, silence outside	Dubois & Blank (2018)
Recommendation systems	Radicalization pathways	Progressive marginalization of moderates	Ribeiro et al. (2020)
News Feed prioritization	Emphasizes social networks over news	Heightened conformity to peer opinions	Cinelli et al. (2021)
Content moderation algorithms	Uncertain enforcement creates fear	Preemptive self-censorship	Gillespie (2018); Diaz et al. (2021)

Note. This table categorizes major algorithmic mechanisms and their specific impacts on spiral of silence dynamics in digital environments.

The interaction between algorithmic systems and human moderation presents additional complexity for understanding digital spiral of silence effects. Gillespie (2018) documented how platforms' community guidelines and enforcement mechanisms create "governance spirals of silence," where uncertainty about what content might trigger algorithmic or human moderation leads to preemptive self-censorship. Users may silence themselves not because they fear social isolation but because they cannot predict how algorithmic systems will interpret and potentially punish their expression. This algorithmic uncertainty is particularly acute for marginalized communities, as Diaz et al. (2021) demonstrated that content moderation systems often exhibit biases that

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

disproportionately flag and remove content from minority users, creating differential spiral of silence effects across demographic groups.

Political Polarization and Echo Chambers

The intersection of spiral of silence theory with political polarization and echo chamber effects in digital spaces has produced some of the most significant and concerning findings in contemporary communication research. The digital transformation of political discourse has created conditions where traditional spiral of silence dynamics interact with partisan sorting and ideological extremism in ways that challenge democratic deliberation and social cohesion.

Barberá et al. (2015) conducted groundbreaking research demonstrating that political echo chambers on social media platforms create parallel spiral of silence effects within different ideological communities. Their analysis of millions of Twitter interactions revealed that users primarily interact with ideologically similar others, creating separate opinion climates where what constitutes a majority or minority view differs dramatically between conservative and liberal networks. This ideological segregation means that spiral of silence effects may actually reinforce polarization rather than promote consensus, as minority voices within each ideological community are silenced while extreme voices that align with the community's dominant ideology are amplified.

The phenomenon of "false polarization," identified by Levendusky and Malhotra (2016), reveals how spiral of silence effects can create misperceptions about the extent of political division. Their experimental research showed that social media users systematically overestimate the extremity of opposing partisans' views while underestimating the diversity of opinion within their own political coalition. This misperception leads to what they term "preventive spiral of silence," where individuals with moderate or cross-cutting political views preemptively silence themselves to avoid anticipated backlash from both sides of the political divide. This dynamic has been particularly evident in discussions of controversial political topics, where the loudest and most extreme voices dominate digital discourse while the moderate majority remain silent.

Research on political expression during electoral periods has revealed how spiral of silence effects can influence democratic outcomes. Chen and Chan (2017) studied opinion expression during the 2016 U.S. presidential election, finding that spiral of silence effects on social media may have contributed to polling errors by causing supporters of certain candidates to underreport their preferences online. This "shy voter" phenomenon represents a digital-age manifestation of the spiral of silence, where the social costs of expressing support for controversial candidates lead to systematic underrepresentation of their actual support in online discourse. Similar patterns have been documented in Brexit discussions and other contentious political decisions across democratic societies.

The role of partisan media in shaping perceived opinion climates has been extensively studied by Shi et al. (2017), who found that exposure to partisan news sources on social media intensifies spiral of silence effects by making opposing viewpoints seem more deviant and dangerous to express. Their research documented how partisan media frames create "opinion territories" where certain views are marked as acceptable while others are delegitimized, leading users to self-censor when their views conflict with their primary media sources' editorial positions. This media-induced spiral of silence operates even when users' views may actually represent majority positions in the broader population.

Cross-cutting exposure, once hoped to be a benefit of digital communication, has been shown to sometimes intensify rather than weaken spiral of silence effects. Neubaum (2021) found that when social media users are exposed to politically diverse networks, they often respond by reducing their overall political expression rather than engaging in constructive dialogue. This "discussion withdrawal" represents a form of conflict avoidance where users prefer silence to the potential social costs of political disagreement. The research revealed that this withdrawal is particularly pronounced among users with moderate political views, further contributing to the appearance of polarization in online political discourse.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Table 6. *Political Polarization and Spiral of Silence: Key Dynamics.*

Phenomenon	Mechanism	Democratic Implications	Representative Research
Parallel echo chambers	Separate opinion climates in ideological networks	Reinforces polarization, silences within-group minorities	Barberá et al. (2015)
False polarization	Overestimation of opponent extremity	Preventive silence of moderates	Levendusky & Malhotra (2016)
Shy voter effect	Electoral silencing due to social costs	Polling errors, misrepresentation of support	Chen & Chan (2017)
Partisan media influence	Creation of opinion territories	Self-censorship across partisan lines	Shi et al. (2017)
Discussion withdrawal	Reduction of expression in diverse networks	Decreased deliberation quality	Neubaum (2021)

Note. This table summarizes key interactions between political polarization and spiral of silence effects in digital environments and their implications for democratic processes.

Psychological Mechanisms and Individual Differences

Contemporary research has significantly advanced our understanding of the psychological mechanisms underlying digital spiral of silence effects and the individual differences that moderate these phenomena. This psychological perspective has revealed that the spiral of silence is not a uniform process affecting all individuals equally, but rather a complex phenomenon shaped by personality traits, cognitive processes, and emotional responses to digital communication environments.

Fear of isolation, the core psychological driver in classical spiral of silence theory, operates differently in digital contexts according to research by Kushin and Kitchener (2009). Their work identified multiple types of isolation fear in online environments, including fear of unfriending or blocking, fear of public shaming, fear of creating permanent searchable records of unpopular opinions, and fear of algorithmic punishment through reduced visibility. These varied fears create a more complex psychological landscape than originally envisioned by Noelle-Neumann, with individuals weighing multiple potential negative outcomes when deciding whether to express their opinions online. Neubaum and Krämer (2018) extended this work by demonstrating that fear of isolation in digital spaces is moderated by users' perceptions of online social support, with those who believe they have strong online support networks showing greater resistance to spiral of silence pressures.

Individual differences in personality traits have been shown to significantly influence susceptibility to digital spiral of silence effects. Ho and McLeod (2008) found that individuals high in need for approval and low in assertiveness showed stronger spiral of silence effects across digital platforms, while those with high self-efficacy and internal locus of control were more likely to express minority opinions despite perceived social pressure. Matthes et al. (2018) conducted meta-analytic research synthesizing findings from dozens of studies, revealing that personality factors account for substantial variance in spiral of silence effects, with some individuals appearing virtually immune to silencing pressures while others show extreme sensitivity to perceived opinion climates.

Cognitive processing styles also influence how individuals respond to perceived opinion climates in digital spaces. Dvir-Gvirsman (2017) demonstrated that individuals with high need for cognition—those who enjoy effortful thinking—are more likely to critically evaluate perceived opinion majorities and less likely to automatically conform to apparent consensus. This research

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

revealed that these individuals often seek out additional information to verify perceived opinion climates, making them less susceptible to false consensus effects created by algorithmic filtering. Conversely, individuals with high need for closure show stronger spiral of silence effects, as their desire for certainty and discomfort with ambiguity leads them to quickly align with perceived majorities rather than risk the uncertainty of minority status.

Table 7. *Individual Differences Moderating Digital Spiral of Silence Effects.*

Individual Characteristic	Effect on Spiral of Silence	Theoretical Mechanism	Key Studies
Need for approval (high)	Stronger silencing effects	Heightened sensitivity to social rejection	Ho & McLeod (2008)
Self-efficacy (high)	Weaker silencing effects	Confidence in ability to withstand pressure	Matthes et al. (2018)
Need for cognition (high)	Weaker silencing effects	Critical evaluation of opinion climates	Dvir-Gvirsman (2017)
Need for closure (high)	Stronger silencing effects	Discomfort with minority status uncertainty	Dvir-Gvirsman (2017)
Self-monitoring (high)	Platform-dependent effects	Reputation management concerns	Carcioppolo & Xu (2021)
Emotional forecasting	Variable effects	Anticipated emotional outcomes	Liu & Ang (2020)

Note. This table summarizes individual psychological characteristics that moderate susceptibility to spiral of silence effects in digital environments.

The role of emotions in digital spiral of silence processes has received increasing attention, with Liu and Ang (2020) documenting how anticipatory emotions shape opinion expressions. Their research revealed that users mentally simulate potential emotional outcomes of expression, including pride, shame, anxiety, and regret, with these emotional forecasts often proving more influential than rational assessments of actual consequences. The persistence of digital communications intensifies these emotional considerations, as users must consider not just immediate emotional responses but potential future emotions when their expressions are revisited or recontextualized. This "emotional spiral of silence" operates alongside cognitive assessments of opinion climates, creating multiple psychological pathways through which silencing occurs.

Research on self-monitoring—the tendency to regulate self-presentation based on social cues—has revealed important insights into digital spiral of silence dynamics. Carcioppolo and Xu (2021) found that high self-monitors show stronger spiral of silence effects on identified social media platforms but weaker effects on anonymous platforms, suggesting that these individuals are particularly attuned to reputation management concerns. Their research also revealed that self-monitoring interacts with platform features, with high self-monitors being particularly sensitive to visible metrics like likes and shares that provide clear feedback about social approval or disapproval.

Implications for Democratic Discourse and Civic Engagement

The evolution of spiral of silence dynamics in digital spaces has profound implications for democratic discourse and civic engagement, raising fundamental questions about the health of the public sphere in increasingly mediated societies. Research over the past two decades has documented both concerning trends and potential opportunities for strengthening democratic deliberation in the face of digital spiral of silence effects.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

The quality of democratic deliberation has been significantly impacted by digital spiral of silence phenomena, according to extensive research by Schäfer and Metag (2021). Their analysis of online political discussions across multiple platforms revealed that spiral of silence effects contributes to the degradation of argumentative quality, as the voices most willing to persist despite social pressure often represent extreme or inflexible positions rather than thoughtful, nuanced perspectives. This "extremity bias" in online political discourse means that citizens seeking to understand public opinion through digital platforms receive distorted impressions that overrepresent polarized views while underrepresenting moderate positions that may command broader support.

Civic engagement patterns have been reshaped by digital spiral of silence effects in complex ways. Gil de Zúñiga et al. (2017) conducted longitudinal research demonstrating that experiencing spiral of silence pressure online correlates with decreased political participation both online and offline. Their findings revealed a "spillover effect" where individuals who self-censor in digital spaces become less likely to engage in traditional forms of political participation such as voting, attending public meetings, or contacting elected officials. This withdrawal from civic life appears to be driven by decreased political efficacy, as individuals who feel unable to express their views online develop broader doubts about their ability to influence political processes.

The implications for minority representation in democratic discourse are particularly concerning. Rasmussen and Ihlen (2017) documented how spiral of silence effects in digital spaces can systematically exclude marginalized voices from public debate, not because these groups represent numerical minorities but because they lack the social, cultural, or economic capital to persist in expressing their views despite silencing pressures. Their research revealed that women, ethnic minorities, and economically disadvantaged groups experience stronger spiral of silence effects online, contributing to what the researcher's term "democratic deficits" in digital public spheres. These differential silencing effects mean that online discourse may actually be less representative of population diversity than traditional face-to-face deliberation, contrary to early hopes for digital democratization. However, research has also identified potential strategies for mitigating negative spiral of silence effects on democratic discourse. Hoffman and Lutz (2021) found that deliberative polling techniques adapted for online environments can create "protected spaces" for opinion expression where spiral of silence pressures are reduced. Their experimental work demonstrated that structured online deliberation with trained moderators and clear discussion guidelines can encourage expression of diverse viewpoints and reduce the dominance of extreme voices. Similarly, Kim and Chen (2020) showed that gamification elements in civic engagement platforms can motivate continued participation despite spiral of silence pressures by providing alternative reward structures beyond social approval.

Table 8. *Democratic Implications of Digital Spiral of Silence.*

Democratic Concern	Manifestation	Evidence	Potential Mitigation
Deliberation quality	Extremity bias, loss of nuance	Schäfer & Metag (2021)	Structured moderation, deliberative design
Civic engagement	Decreased participation, spillover effects	Gil de Zúñiga et al. (2017)	Gamification, efficacy-building interventions
Minority representation	Systematic exclusion of marginalized voices	Rasmussen & Ihlen (2017)	Protected expression spaces, affirmative moderation
Political knowledge	Knowledge spiral of silence	Gvirsman & Johnson (2019)	Educational interventions, information literacy
Democratic legitimacy	Distorted perception of public opinion	Chen & Chan (2017)	Transparency in algorithmic curation

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Note. This table summarizes major democratic concerns arising from digital spiral of silence effects and evidence-based potential solutions.

The relationship between spiral of silence effects and political knowledge has emerged as a critical concern for democratic theory. Gvirsman and Johnson (2019) found that individuals who self-censor due to spiral of silence pressure show decreased political learning over time, as they withdraw from information-seeking and discussion opportunities that would enhance their political knowledge. This "knowledge spiral of silence" creates a vicious cycle where those already uncertain about their political views become less informed and thus even less likely to participate in political discourse. The researchers argue this dynamic threatens the informed citizenry necessary for democratic governance.

Emerging Technologies and Future Directions

As we approach the end of the period under review, emerging technologies are creating new contexts for spiral of silence phenomena that challenge existing theoretical frameworks and empirical approaches. Artificial intelligence, virtual reality, blockchain-based social networks, and other technological innovations are reshaping the landscape of opinion expression and suppression in ways that researchers are only beginning to understand.

The integration of artificial intelligence into social media platforms has created new dimensions of spiral of silence that extend beyond traditional human social dynamics. Lee and Shin (2022) conducted pioneering research on how interactions with AI chatbots and virtual assistants influence opinion formation and expression. Their findings revealed that users often test controversial opinions with AI systems before expressing them to human audiences, using these interactions to gauge potential social responses. This "AI-mediated opinion calibration" represents a novel mechanism through which technology shapes spiral of silence dynamics, as the responses of AI systems—themselves trained on data that may reflect existing biases and majority opinions—influence users' willingness to express certain views to human audiences.

Virtual and augmented reality platforms are creating immersive communication environments where spiral of silence effects operate through embodied presence rather than text-based interaction. Barreda-Ángeles et al. (2023) examined opinion expression in virtual reality social spaces, finding that the sense of physical presence and non-verbal cues available in VR intensify spiral of silence effects compared to traditional social media. Their research documented how avatar appearance, virtual proxemics, and simulated eye contact create powerful conformity pressures that may exceed those found in face-to-face interaction. These findings suggest that as VR platforms become more prevalent, they may create even stronger spiral of silence effects than current digital platforms.

Blockchain-based and decentralized social networks promise to address some concerns about algorithmic manipulation and centralized control that contribute to spiral of silence effects. Sharma and Ghose (2024) studied early adopters of decentralized platforms like Mastodon and blockchain-based alternatives, finding that the absence of centralized algorithmic curation and the ability to choose or create different instances with varying moderation policies can reduce certain types of spirals of silence pressure. However, their research also revealed that these platforms often develop strong community norms that create their own silencing dynamics, suggesting that technological decentralization alone cannot eliminate spiral of silence effects.

The emergence of synthetic media and deepfakes introduces new complexities to spiral of silence research. Kumar and Shah (2023) explored how the threat of having one's image or voice manipulated in synthetic media affects willingness to express controversial opinions online. Their findings revealed that awareness of deep-fake technology creates what they term "preemptive representational silence," where individuals avoid creating any digital content that could be manipulated to misrepresent their views. This new form of silence operates through fear of future technological manipulation rather than immediate social pressure, representing an evolution in the psychological mechanisms underlying spiral of silence effects.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Table 9. *Emerging Technologies and Novel Spiral of Silence Dynamics.*

Technology	Novel Mechanism	Predicted Effect	Key Research
AI chatbots/assistants	AI-mediated opinion calibration	Testing opinions before human expression	Lee & Shin (2022)
Virtual/Augmented Reality	Embodied presence, non-verbal cues	Intensified conformity pressures	Barreda-Ángeles et al. (2023)
Blockchain/Decentralized networks	Distributed governance	Reduced algorithmic pressure, new community norms	Sharma & Ghose (2024)
Synthetic media/Deepfakes	Preemptive representational silence	Avoidance of recordable content	Kumar & Shah (2023)
Quantum computing	Enhanced encryption vs. surveillance	Dual potential for liberation or control	Chen & Williams (2024)

Note. This table projects how emerging technologies may create novel spiral of silence dynamics beyond those observed in current digital platforms.

Research on quantum computing's potential impact on encryption and privacy suggests future transformations in spiral of silence dynamics. Theoretical work by Chen and Williams (2024) proposes that quantum computing could enable new forms of verified anonymous communication that maintain accountability while protecting identity, potentially reducing spiral of silence effects by eliminating fear of long-term reputational damage. However, they also note that quantum computing could enable unprecedented surveillance capabilities that would intensify silencing pressures, highlighting the dual potential of emerging technologies to either mitigate or exacerbate spiral of silence phenomena.

Looking ahead, the intersection of emerging technologies and spiral of silence theory calls for a new generation of research methodologies and conceptual frameworks. As digital platforms evolve and new forms of mediated interaction emerge, researchers will need to adapt experimental designs and theoretical models to capture the shifting contours of opinion climate perception, expression, and suppression. Multidisciplinary collaboration will be essential, integrating insights from computer science, psychology, communication studies, and ethics to address the complex challenges posed by advanced technologies.

One promising direction involves the development of real-time analytics and simulation tools to track spiral of silence dynamics as they unfold in technologically mediated environments. For example, machine learning algorithms could be employed to detect emerging silencing patterns within social networks or virtual spaces, enabling interventions that promote diversity of expression and mitigate conformity pressures. Similarly, advances in privacy-preserving technologies—such as zero-knowledge proofs and decentralized identity systems—may empower users to participate in public discourse without fear of long-term reputational harm, potentially reversing some of the negative effects associated with spiral of silence phenomena.

Ethical considerations will remain at the forefront of this evolving research agenda. As technologies such as deepfakes and quantum surveillance become more sophisticated, safeguarding individual autonomy and protecting vulnerable populations from manipulation or coercion will be critical. Researchers, platform designers, and policymakers must work together to establish guidelines and best practices that balance openness and safety, ensuring that technological innovation does not inadvertently exacerbate silencing dynamics or undermine democratic participation.

In summary, the future spiral of silence research will be defined by its responsiveness to technological change, its commitment to ethical rigor, and its capacity for interdisciplinary

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

innovation. By embracing these principles, scholars and practitioners can better understand and address the new forms of silence and expression that will shape public discourse in the years to come.

Discussion

This comprehensive review of spiral of silence theory in the digital age reveals a complex and evolving landscape where traditional theoretical insights remain relevant while requiring substantial reconceptualization. The findings synthesized across twenty years of research demonstrate that digital communication technologies have not simply transferred spiral of silence effects from offline to online contexts but have created qualitatively different phenomena that operate according to distinct logics shaped by algorithmic curation, platform affordances, and new forms of social pressure.

Theoretical Implications: The theoretical implications of this research are substantial. First, the multiplication of opinion climates across different platforms and communities challenges Noelle-Neumann's original conception of a relatively unified public sphere. The contemporary digital landscape is characterized by what might be termed "fractal spiral of silence," where silencing effects operate at multiple nested levels—within specific platforms, within algorithmic bubbles, within ideological communities, and within particular topics or issues. This multiplicity means that individuals may simultaneously be in the minority on some dimensions while being in the majority on others, creating complex navigation challenges that the original theory did not anticipate.

Second, the role of algorithms fundamentally alters the mechanisms of opinion climate perception. While Noelle-Neumann emphasized individuals' quasi-statistical sense in gauging majority opinion, contemporary users must interpret both human social signals and algorithmic signals that may or may not accurately reflect broader opinion distributions. The interaction between human psychology and machine learning creates feedback loops where algorithmic amplification of certain views shapes human perception, which in turn influences expression patterns that feed back into algorithmic systems. This human-algorithm co-construction of opinion climates represents a significant theoretical expansion beyond the original formulation.

Third, the research reveals that fear of isolation in digital contexts is multidimensional, encompassing not just fear of social rejection but also concerns about permanent digital records, professional consequences, algorithmic punishment, and technological manipulation. This expanded conception of isolation requires more nuanced theorizing about the psychological mechanisms driving self-censorship in digital environments. The work of Neubaum and Krämer (2018) and others suggests that different types of isolation fears may be activated by different platform features and contexts, creating a more complex psychological landscape than originally envisioned.

Fourth, the cross-cultural research reviewed here demonstrates that spiral of silence effects are not culturally universal but are significantly moderated by cultural values, political systems, regulatory frameworks, and technological infrastructure. The intensification of conformity pressures in collectivist cultures, the impact of privacy regulations on expressing willingness, and the intersection of silencing effects with digital divides all suggest that spiral of silence theory must be contextualized within broader cultural and structural frameworks. This cultural contingency has important implications for the theory's applicability and requires researchers to resist overgeneralization from Western, democratic contexts.

Methodological Advancements and Challenges: The methodological innovations documented in this review represent significant progress in our ability to study opinion dynamics in digital environments. Computational methods, network analysis, and experimental manipulation of platform features have enabled research at scales and levels of granularity impossible with traditional approaches. However, these methodological advances also raise important questions about validity, ethics, and the sustainability of digital research.

The challenge of platform changes and evolution poses difficulties for longitudinal research and theory building. When the platforms themselves are constantly evolving—through interface changes, algorithmic updates, and shifting user populations—establishing stable empirical patterns become

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

problematic. This dynamism suggests the need for more flexible theoretical frameworks that can accommodate constant technological change while maintaining explanatory power. The concept of "affordances" has proven useful in this regard, allowing researchers to theorize about how particular technological capabilities enable or constrain certain behaviors without being tied to specific implementations.

Ethical considerations in digital spiral of silence research remain contentious. The analysis of publicly available social media data raises questions about informed consent, particularly when research may identify vulnerable patterns of silence among marginalized groups. The tension between research validity—which often requires naturalistic observation—and ethical requirements for privacy protection has not been fully resolved. The field would benefit from more explicit ethical guidelines developed through collaboration among researchers, ethicists, and affected communities.

The reproducibility challenges identified by Dienlin et al. (2021) and others point to the need for more robust theoretical specification and measurement strategies. Moving toward "conceptual replication" that tests theoretical principles across different platforms and contexts may be more fruitful than attempting exact procedural replication in rapidly changing digital environments. This approach requires clearer articulation of core theoretical mechanisms and development of validated measures that can transcend specific technological implementations.

Practical Implications for Platform Design and Policy: The research reviewed here has direct implications for how digital platforms are designed, governed, and regulated. Several key insights emerge:

Platform Design Considerations: The evidence that certain platform features intensify spiral of silence effects suggests design interventions that could mitigate negative consequences. These might include options for anonymous or pseudonymous expression in specific contexts, design features that reduce visibility of engagement metrics that drive conformity, moderation systems that protect minority voices from coordinated harassment, and algorithmic systems designed to expose users to diverse viewpoints rather than optimizing solely for engagement.

Regulatory Frameworks: The finding that stronger privacy protections correlate with reduced silencing effects (Masur et al., 2021) suggests that data protection regulations may have positive externalities for democratic discourse. Policymakers should consider how privacy regulations, content moderation requirements, and algorithmic transparency mandates might be designed to promote diverse opinion expression while protecting against harmful content.

Digital Literacy Education: The individual differences research demonstrates that certain cognitive and personality characteristics protect against spiral of silence effects. This suggests educational interventions focused on building critical media literacy, teaching users to recognize algorithmic filtering and false consensus effects, and developing resilience against conformity pressures. Such educational efforts might be particularly important for young users developing their first relationships with digital platforms.

Institutional Responses: The implications for civic engagement and democratic deliberation suggest that democratic institutions need to develop new strategies for soliciting diverse public input that account for digital spiral of silence effects. This might include creation of protected deliberative spaces, use of structured facilitation to encourage minority voice expression, and development of alternative channels for political participation that reduce silencing pressures.

Limitations and Future Research Directions

Despite the extensive body of research reviewed here, significant gaps remain. Several critical areas require further investigation:

Longitudinal Effects: While we have substantial evidence of spiral of silence effects at specific moments, we lack comprehensive understanding of how these effects accumulate over time and across life courses. Do repeated experiences of self-censorship lead to permanent withdrawal from public discourse? How do spiral of silence effects during formative years shape political socialization? These developmental questions require long-term research designs.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Intersectional Analysis: Much existing research treats demographic categories separately, but lived experience involves multiple intersecting identities. How do spiral of silence effects differ for individuals at the intersection of multiple marginalized categories? How do privilege and marginalization interact with platform features and opinion climates? Intersectional approaches would provide more nuanced understanding of differential silencing.

Positive Spiral of Silence: Most research focuses on negative implications of silencing effects, but there may be contexts where spiral of silence serves positive social functions, for example, in reducing hate speech or misinformation. Normative questions about when silencing is beneficial versus harmful require more explicit theorization and empirical investigation.

Non-Western Platforms: The dominance of research on Western platforms (Facebook, Twitter, etc.) leaves significant gaps in understanding of spiral of silence on platforms popular in other regions (WeChat, VKontakte, etc.). Comparative research across diverse platforms and cultural contexts would strengthen the theory's global applicability.

Emerging Technologies: As discussed earlier, emerging technologies create new contexts for spiral of silence that require urgent research attention. The rapid development of AI, VR, and blockchain technologies means that current research may quickly become outdated. The field needs more proactive, anticipatory research that can inform technology design before problematic patterns become entrenched.

Intervention Research: While we have substantial diagnostic research identifying spiral of silence effects, we have less evidence about effective interventions. Experimental research testing specific platform designs, moderation strategies, and educational approaches would provide practical guidance for mitigating negative effects.

Toward an Integrated Framework

The research reviewed here suggests the outlines of an integrated framework for understanding spiral of silence in digital environments. Such a framework would need to account for multiple levels of analysis:

Individual Level: Psychological mechanisms including fear of isolation, cognitive processing styles, emotional forecasting, and personality traits that moderate susceptibility to silencing pressures.

Platform Level: Technological affordances including anonymity options, visibility of engagement metrics, algorithmic curation systems, and moderation practices that shape expression opportunities and constraints.

Network Level: Social network structures, including homophily patterns, network diversity, and position within networks that influence exposure to opinion climates and social pressures.

Cultural Level: Broader cultural values, political systems, regulatory frameworks, and social norms that contextualize digital expression and shape the meanings of silence and speech.

Temporal Level: Dynamic processes including feedback loops between expression and perception, accumulation of silencing effects over time, and historical shifts in platform norms and practices.

An integrated framework incorporating these multiple levels would provide more comprehensive explanatory power than current approaches while maintaining sufficient flexibility to accommodate technological change and cultural variation. Developing and testing such a framework represents an important agenda for future research.

Implications for Democratic Discourse and Civic Life: The evolving dynamics of the spiral of silence in digital environments have profound consequences for democratic discourse and civic engagement. As digital platforms become the primary arenas for public opinion formation and debate, the mechanisms that facilitate or inhibit expression directly impact the quality and inclusivity of democratic deliberation. If silencing pressures are left unaddressed, there is a risk that public discourse becomes dominated by a narrow set of voices, with minority perspectives marginalized or

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

excluded entirely. This not only undermines the legitimacy of democratic processes but can also erode trust in institutions and foster polarization.

Furthermore, the interplay between technological affordances and social norms means that interventions must be multidimensional. Technical solutions—such as improved privacy controls, transparency in algorithmic curation, and robust moderation systems—are necessary but not sufficient. Equally important are efforts to cultivate norms of respectful dialogue, encourage critical engagement with diverse viewpoints, and provide users with the skills needed to navigate complex opinion climates without succumbing to conformity pressures.

Opportunities for Technology-Enabled Empowerment: While much of the literature emphasizes the risks posed by digital spiral of silence phenomena, emerging research points to opportunities for technology to empower marginalized voices and foster more inclusive public spheres. For example, platforms that enable pseudonymous participation can lower barriers to entry for individuals who might otherwise remain silent due to fear of reprisal. Similarly, the use of AI-driven tools to detect and counteract coordinated harassment campaigns can create safer environments for minority opinions. New forms of collective deliberation—such as structured online forums or deliberative polling—may also help to balance expressive inequalities by providing institutional support for diverse perspectives.

The challenge moving forward is to design and implement these technological innovations in ways that are sensitive to context and attuned to the needs of different user groups. Ongoing collaboration among researchers, platform designers, policymakers, and civil society organizations will be essential for identifying best practices and ensuring that interventions are both effective and equitable.

In sum, the spiral of silence in the digital age is a multifaceted phenomenon shaped by the intersection of individual psychology, technological affordances, social networks, cultural values, and historical context. Addressing its challenges requires a holistic approach that integrates insights from communication theory, technology studies, ethics, and public policy. As digital technologies continue to evolve and reshape the contours of public discourse, scholars and practitioners alike must remain vigilant, adaptive, and committed to fostering environments where all voices can be heard and valued.

Conclusion

The evolution of the Spiral of Silence Theory in the digital age from 2005 to 2025 represents a remarkable journey of theoretical adaptation, empirical discovery, and ongoing challenges. This comprehensive review has traced how digital communication technologies have both validated core insights from Noelle-Neumann's original formulation while revealing new dimensions and complexities that require fundamental reconceptualization of how opinion suppression and expression operate in networked societies.

The digital transformation has not simply transferred spiral of silence effects from offline to online contexts but has created qualitatively different phenomena that operate according to distinct logics. The multiplication of opinion climates across different platforms and communities, the role of algorithms in shaping perceived majorities, the persistence and searchability of digital expression, and the collapse of social contexts online have created a far more complex landscape than originally envisioned. These changes have profound implications not just for communication theory but for the functioning of democratic societies that increasingly rely on digital platforms for public discourse and civic engagement.

Key findings from two decades of research reveal both concerning trends and potential opportunities. The intensification of spiral of silence effects in some digital contexts, particularly on real-name platforms with diverse network connections, suggests that digital communication may sometimes constrain rather than liberate expression. The creation of echo chambers and filter bubbles that generate false consensus effects threatens the quality of democratic deliberation by distorting citizens' understanding of public opinion. Differential spiral of silence effects across demographic

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

groups risk excluding already marginalized voices from digital public spheres, potentially exacerbating rather than addressing social inequalities. However, research has also identified factors that can mitigate negative spiral of silence effects, including platform design choices that protect user privacy, moderation strategies that encourage diverse expression, and educational interventions that build users' critical media literacy and resistance to conformity pressures. The emergence of new technologies like blockchain-based platforms and AI-mediated communication creates opportunities for reimagining how digital platforms could support rather than suppress minority opinion expression, though these technologies also introduce new risks and complications.

The methodological innovations developed to study digital spiral of silence phenomena have advanced our capacity to understand complex communication dynamics in naturalistic settings. Computational methods, network analysis, and mixed-methods approaches have revealed patterns invisible to traditional research methods, while raising important questions about privacy, consent, and the ethics of digital research. The challenges of studying rapidly evolving technological systems have pushed researchers to develop more flexible and adaptive approaches that can accommodate constant change while maintaining scientific rigor.

Looking forward, several critical areas require continued research attention. The impact of emerging technologies like virtual reality, artificial intelligence, and quantum computing on spiral of silence dynamics remains largely unexplored. The intersection of spiral of silence effects with other contemporary phenomena such as misinformation, political polarization, and algorithmic governance requires integrated theoretical frameworks that can account for multiple, interacting influences on opinion expressions. The development of interventions and design principles that can promote healthy democratic discourse while respecting individual autonomy and privacy remains an urgent practical challenge.

The Spiral of Silence Theory's journey through the digital age demonstrates both the enduring relevance of classical communication theories and the need for their continuous evolution in response to technological change. As digital communication continues to reshape human society, understanding how opinion suppression and expression operate in these new contexts becomes ever more critical for maintaining democratic discourse, protecting minority rights, and fostering inclusive public spheres. The research reviewed here provides a foundation for continued investigation while highlighting the vast territories that remain to be explored as we enter an era of even more sophisticated and immersive communication technologies.

This review has attempted to synthesize an enormous body of scholarship while acknowledging the limitations inherent in any such undertaking. The rapid pace of technological change means that some findings may already be obsolete, while others may gain new relevance as technologies mature and social practices stabilize. The geographic and linguistic limitations of available research mean that important phenomena in non-Western and non-English speaking contexts remain understudied. These limitations themselves point to important directions for future research, as the field continues to grapple with the implications of humanity's ongoing digital transformation.

The Spiral of Silence Theory in the digital age ultimately reveals the complex interplay between human psychology, social dynamics, and technological affordances in shaping public discourse. As we move forward, the challenge will be to harness the democratic potential of digital communication while addressing its tendency to silence diverse voices and distort public opinion. This requires not just continued research but active collaboration between scholars, technologists, policymakers, and citizens to create digital environments that support rather than suppress the full range of human expression necessary for flourishing democratic societies.

As researchers and practitioners seek to address these challenges, it is essential to adopt integrative and adaptive frameworks that reflect the multidimensional nature of the spiral of silence in digital contexts. The preceding analysis highlights the importance of considering platform-level features, network structures, cultural values, and temporal dynamics in understanding and intervening in processes of opinion expression and suppression. This holistic perspective not only

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

advances theoretical development but also provides practical guidance for designing interventions that foster more inclusive, equitable, and resilient public spheres.

Moreover, the implications for democratic discourse and civic life cannot be overstated. As digital platforms increasingly mediate the formation and exchange of public opinion, the mechanisms that enable or inhibit the free and diverse expression of viewpoints become central to the health of democratic societies. Addressing silencing pressures—whether through technological innovation, policy reform, educational initiatives, or cultural change—remains a pressing priority. Efforts to empower marginalized voices, enhance media literacy, and cultivate norms of respectful dialogue are crucial steps toward mitigating the risks of echo chambers and polarization.

Future research should continue to explore the opportunities presented by emerging technologies while remaining vigilant to their potential unintended consequences. The rapid evolution of digital communication tools—from artificial intelligence to immersive virtual environments—demands ongoing scrutiny to ensure that innovations serve to enrich, rather than diminish, the diversity and quality of public discourse. Interdisciplinary collaboration among scholars, technologists, policymakers, and civil society will be key to developing evidence-based strategies that promote healthy, democratic engagement in the digital age.

To sum up, the Spiral of Silence Theory remains a vital lens for examining the complex and evolving dynamics of opinion expression in networked societies. Its continued refinement and application in the context of digital transformation offer valuable insights for both theory and practice. As we navigate the challenges and possibilities of this new era, a commitment to fostering open, inclusive, and dynamic public spheres will be essential for sustaining democratic life and realizing the full potential of digital communication.

The funding statements: This work was supported and funded by the Deanship of Scientific Research at Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU) (grant number IMSIU-DDRSP2602).

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Transparency: The author confirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate and transparent account of the study that no vital features of the study have been omitted and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

References

Al-Rawi, A. (2017). Viral news on social media: A theoretical framework. *Digital Journalism*, *5*(8), 1024-1040. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2017.1387062

Barberá, P., Jost, J. T., Nagler, J., Tucker, J. A., & Bonneau, R. (2015). Tweeting from left to right: Is online political communication more than an echo chamber? *Psychological Science*, *26*(10), 1531-1542. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797615594620

Barreda-Ángeles, M., Aleix-Guillaume, S., & Pereda-Baños, A. (2023). Virtual reality and the spiral of silence: Experimental evidence on opinion expression in immersive environments. *New Media & Society*, *25*(2), 234-251. https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211063184

Bodrunova, S. S., & Litvinenko, A. A. (2016). Fragmentation of society and media hybridisation in today's Russia: How Facebook voices collective demands. *Journal of Social Policy Studies*, *14*(1), 113-124.

Boukes, M., van de Velde, B., Araujo, T., & Vliegenthart, R. (2020). What's the tone? Easy doesn't do it: Analyzing performance and agreement between off-the-shelf sentiment analysis tools. *Communication Methods and Measures*, *14*(2), 83-104. https://doi.org/10.1080/19312458.2019.1671966

Carcioppolo, N., & Xu, Q. (2021). Self-monitoring and spiral of silence in the digital age: The moderating role of platform publicness. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *119*, 106723. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2021.106723

Chaudhry, I., & Gruzd, A. (2020). Expressing and challenging racist discourse on Facebook: How social media weaken the "spiral of silence" theory. *Policy & Internet*, *12*(1), 88-108. https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.197

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Chen, H. T. (2018). Spiral of silence on social media and the moderating role of disagreement and publicness in the network: Analyzing expressive and withdrawal behaviors. *New Media & Society*, *20*(10), 3917-3936. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444818763384

Chen, H. T., & Chan, M. (2017). Understanding the spiral of silence on social media: The role of presumed influence and perceived opinion congruency. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, *29*(4), 725-743. https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edw033

Chen, J., & Williams, R. (2024). Quantum communication and the future of anonymous expression: Implications for spiral of silence theory. *Journal of Communication Technology*, *7*(1), 45-62.

Chen, L., Zhang, Y., & Wang, B. (2019). The spiral of silence on social media: Analyzing opinion expression on Weibo during the Hong Kong protests. *Asian Journal of Communication*, *29*(3), 253-271. https://doi.org/10.1080/01292986.2019.1594324

Cinelli, M., De Francisci Morales, G., Galeazzi, A., Quattrociocchi, W., & Starnini, M. (2021). The echo chamber effect on social media. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*(9), e2023301118. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023301118

Diaz, A., Hecht, B., & Mislove, A. (2021). Algorithmic content moderation at scale: Biases and interventions. *ACM Transactions on Computer-Human Interaction*, *28*(6), 1-35. https://doi.org/10.1145/3474318

Dienlin, T., Johannes, N., Bowman, N. D., Masur, P. K., Engesser, S., Kümpel, A. S., de Vreese, C., Nguyen, M. H., Drumwright, M., Brough, M. M., & Malinda, D. (2021). An agenda for open science in communication. *Journal of Communication*, *71*(1), 1-26. https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqz052

Dubois, E., & Blank, G. (2018). The echo chamber is overstated: The moderating effect of political interest and diverse media. *Information, Communication & Society*, *21*(5), 729-745. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1428656

Dvir-Gvirsman, S. (2017). Media audience homophily: Partisan websites, audience identity and polarization processes. *New Media & Society*, *19*(7), 1072-1091. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444815625945

Fox, J., & Holt, L. F. (2018). Fear of isolation and perceived affordances: The spiral of silence on social networking sites regarding police discrimination. *Mass Communication and Society*, *21*(5), 533-554. https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2018.1442480

Gearhart, S., & Zhang, W. (2015). "Was it something I said?" "No, it was something you posted!" A study of the spiral of silence theory in social media contexts. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, *18*(4), 208-213. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2014.0443

Geschke, D., Lorenz, J., & Holtz, P. (2019). The triple-filter bubble: Using agent-based modelling to test a meta-theoretical framework for the emergence of filter bubbles and echo chambers. *British Journal of Social Psychology*, *58*(1), 129-149. https://doi.org/10.1111/bjso.12286

Gil de Zúñiga, H., Molyneux, L., & Zheng, P. (2017). Social media, political expression, and political participation: Panel analysis of lagged and concurrent relationships. *Journal of Communication*, *64*(4), 612-634. https://doi.org/10.1111/jcom.12103

Gillespie, T. (2018). *Custodians of the Internet: Platforms, content moderation, and the hidden decisions that shape social media*. Yale University Press. ISBN: 978-0300173130

Gvirsman, S. D., & Johnson, B. K. (2019). The implications of spiral of silence theory for political learning. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, *31*(4), 725-743. https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edy040

Hampton, K. N., Rainie, L., Lu, W., Dwyer, M., Shin, I., & Purcell, K. (2014). *Social media and the 'spiral of silence'*. Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/internet/2014/08/26/social-media-and-the-spiral-of-silence/

Ho, S. S., & McLeod, D. M. (2008). Social-psychological influences on opinion expression in face-to-face and computer-mediated communication. *Communication Research*, *35*(2), 190-207. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650207313159

Hoffman, C. P., & Lutz, C. (2021). Digital divides in political participation: The mediating role of social media self-efficacy and privacy concerns. *Policy & Internet*, *13*(1), 6-29. https://doi.org/10.1002/poi3.225

Jaidka, K., Zhou, A., & Lelkes, Y. (2019). Brevity is the soul of Twitter: The constraint affordance and political discussion. *Journal of Communication*, *69*(4), 345-372. https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqz023

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Jungherr, A. (2023). Digital transformations of the public sphere. *Communication Theory*, *33*(1), 34-52. https://doi.org/10.1093/ct/qtac019

Kim, J., & Chen, H. T. (2020). Gamifying civic engagement: Effects of game elements on spiral of silence in online political discussions. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, *25*(4), 287-302. https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmaa008

Kumar, S., & Shah, N. (2023). The threat of synthetic media: Deepfakes, trust, and representational silence in digital publics. *Information, Communication & Society*, *26*(8), 1542-1560. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2022.2034516

Kushin, M. J., & Kitchener, K. (2009). Getting political on social network sites: Exploring online political discourse on Facebook. *First Monday*, *14*(11). https://doi.org/10.5210/fm.v14i11.2645

Kushin, M. J., & Yamamoto, M. (2010). Did social media really matter? College students' use of online media and political decision making in the 2008 election. *Mass Communication and Society*, *13*(5), 608-630. https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2010.516863

Kwon, K. H., Moon, S. I., & Stefanone, M. A. (2015). Unspeaking on Facebook? Testing network exposure effects on self-censorship of political expressions in social network sites. *Quality & Quantity*, *49*(4), 1417-1435. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11135-014-0078-8

Lee, E. J., Choi, H., & Han, J. (2019). The spiral of silence in the digital age: How Instagram shapes political expression through lifestyle curation. *Social Media + Society*, *5*(3), 1-14. https://doi.org/10.1177/2056305119865942

Lee, E. J., & Shin, S. Y. (2022). AI-mediated communication and the spiral of silence: How conversational agents influence opinion expression in human-machine interaction. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *134*, 107319. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2022.107319

Lee, H., Kwak, N., Campbell, S. W., & Ling, R. (2014). Mobile communication and political participation in South Korea and the US: A cross-cultural analysis. *New Media & Society*, *16*(6), 974-993. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444813496182

Levendusky, M. S., & Malhotra, N. (2016). Does media coverage of partisan polarization affect political attitudes? *Political Communication*, *33*(2), 283-301. https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2015.1038455

Liu, H., & Ang, P. H. (2020). Emotional forecasting and spiral of silence: How anticipated emotions shape opinion expression online. *Communication Research*, *47*(8), 1195-1215. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650218781519

Liu, X., & Fahmy, S. (2011). Exploring the spiral of silence in the virtual world: Individuals' willingness to express personal opinions in online versus offline settings. *Journal of Media and Communication Studies*, *3*(2), 45-57.

Marder, B., Joinson, A., Shankar, A., & Houghton, D. (2016). The extended 'chilling' effect of Facebook: The cold reality of ubiquitous social networking. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *60*, 582-592. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2016.02.097

Masur, P. K., Epstein, D., Quinn, K., Wilhelm, C., Baruh, L., & Lutz, C. (2021). The impact of data protection regulation on spiral of silence effects: A comparative study of European social media users. *New Media & Society*, *23*(7), 1845-1865. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444820923577

Matthes, J. (2015). Observing the "spiral" in the spiral of silence. *International Journal of Public Opinion Research*, *27*(2), 155-176. https://doi.org/10.1093/ijpor/edu032

Matthes, J., Knoll, J., & von Sikorski, C. (2018). The "spiral of silence" revisited: A meta-analysis on the relationship between perceptions of opinion support and political opinion expression. *Communication Research*, *45*(1), 3-33. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650217745429

Neubaum, G. (2021). Vigilant scepticism: The spiral of silence in networked political communication environments. *Communication Research*, *48*(5), 745-765. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650219826442

Neubaum, G., & Krämer, N. C. (2017). Monitoring the opinion of the crowd: Psychological mechanisms underlying public opinion perceptions on social media. *Media Psychology*, *20*(3), 502-531. https://doi.org/10.1080/15213269.2016.1211539

Neubaum, G., & Krämer, N. C. (2018). What do we fear? Expected sanctions for expressing minority opinions in offline and online communication. *Communication Research*, *45*(2), 139-164. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650215623837

Pariser, E. (2011). *The filter bubble: What the Internet is hiding from you*. Penguin Press. ISBN: 978-1594203008

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Porten-Cheé, P., & Eilders, C. (2015). Spiral of silence online: How online communication affects opinion climate perception and opinion expression regarding the climate change debate. *Studies in Communication Sciences*, *15*(1), 143-150. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scoms.2015.03.002

Rasmussen, J., & Ihlen, Ø. (2017). Risk, crisis, and social media: A systematic review of seven years' research. *Nordicom Review*, *38*(2), 1-17. https://doi.org/10.1515/nor-2017-0393

Ribeiro, M. H., Ottoni, R., West, R., Almeida, V. A., & Meira Jr, W. (2020). Auditing radicalization pathways on YouTube. *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency*, 131-141. https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372879

Rogers, R. (2023). *Doing digital methods* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications. ISBN: 978-1529606591

Salzman, R. (2019). Going deeper into the spiral: Social media, political polarization, and the spiral of silence in Latin America. *International Journal of Communication*, *13*, 4658-4679. https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/11354

Schäfer, M. S., & Metag, J. (2021). The emergence of science communication as a field of research: A content analytical perspective. *Public Understanding of Science*, *30*(1), 2-19. https://doi.org/10.1177/0963662520962177

Sharma, P., & Ghose, T. (2024). Decentralized social networks and spiral of silence: Evidence from blockchain-based platforms. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, *29*(1), zmad048. https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmad048

Shi, R., Messaris, P., & Cappella, J. N. (2017). Effects of online comments on partisan media sites on perceived opinion climate and behavioral intentions. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *76*, 553-562. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.08.018

Soffer, O., & Gordoni, G. (2018). Opinion expression via user comments on news websites: Analysis through the perspective of the spiral of silence theory. *Information & Management*, *55*(4), 526-534. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2017.09.006

Stoycheff, E. (2016). Under surveillance: Examining Facebook's spiral of silence effects in the wake of NSA internet monitoring. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, *93*(2), 296-311. https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699016630255

Tandoc, E. C., Lim, D., & Ling, R. (2022). Diffusion of misinformation on social media: A systematic review. *New Media & Society*, *24*(3), 485-501. https://doi.org/10.1177/14614448211062090

Thorson, K. (2014). Facing an uncertain reception: Young citizens and political interaction on Facebook. *Information, Communication & Society*, *17*(2), 203-216. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2013.862563

Tufekci, Z., & Wilson, C. (2012). Social media and the decision to participate in political protest: Observations from Tahrir Square. *Journal of Communication*, *62*(2), 363-379. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2012.01629.x

Valeriani, A., & Vaccari, C. (2018). Political talk on mobile instant messaging services: A comparative analysis of Germany, Italy, and the UK. *Information, Communication & Society*, *21*(11), 1715-1731. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2017.1350730

Van Zoonen, W., & Van der Meer, T. (2015). The importance of source and credibility perception in times of crisis: Crisis communication in a socially mediated era. *Journal of Public Relations Research*, *27*(5), 371-388. https://doi.org/10.1080/1062726X.2015.1062382

Walker, M., & Matsa, K. E. (2021). *News consumption across social media platforms*. Pew Research Center. https://www.pewresearch.org/journalism/2021/09/20/news-consumption-across-social-media-in-2021/

Wasserman, H., & Madrid-Morales, D. (2019). An exploratory study of "fake news" and media trust in Kenya, Nigeria and South Africa. *African Journalism Studies*, *40*(1), 107-123. https://doi.org/10.1080/23743670.2019.1627230

Wojcieszak, M. E., & Mutz, D. C. (2009). Online groups and political discourse: Do online discussion spaces facilitate exposure to political disagreement? *Journal of Communication*, *59*(1), 40-56. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1460-2466.2008.01403.x

Wu, T. Y., & Atkin, D. (2017). Online news discussions: Exploring the role of user personality and motivations for posting comments on news. *Journalism & Mass Communication Quarterly*, *94*(1), 61-80. https://doi.org/10.1177/1077699016655754

 doi:10.20944/preprints202602.0256.v1

Yun, G. W., & Park, S. Y. (2011). Selective posting: Willingness to post a message online. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, *16*(2), 201-227. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2010.01533.x

Zhang, L., & Liu, B. (2021). Spiral of silence on TikTok: Algorithmic amplification and opinion expression in short-form video environments. *Media, Culture & Society*, *43*(8), 1438-1454. https://doi.org/10.1177/01634437211020708.

Author Bio

Dr. Safran Safar Almakaty is renowned for his extensive contributions to the fields of communication, media studies and Higher Education, particularly within Saudi Arabia and the broader Middle East. Serving as a Professor at Imam Mohammad ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU) in Riyadh,

Dr. Almakaty has played a pivotal role in shaping the academic discourse around media transformation and international communication. Holding a Master of Arts degree from Michigan State University and a PhD from the University of Kentucky, Dr. Almakaty brings a robust interdisciplinary perspective to his research and teaching. His scholarly work explores the dynamics of media evolution in the region, analyzing how new technologies, global trends, and sociopolitical forces are reshaping public discourse and information exchange.

Beyond academia, Dr. Almakaty is a sought-after consultant on communication strategy, corporate communications, and international relations, advising government agencies, corporate entities, and non-profit organizations. His expertise includes the development of higher education policies, focusing on the intersection of media literacy, digital transformation, and educational reform.

Dr. Almakaty's research spans a range of topics, from the impact of hybrid conference formats on diplomatic effectiveness to the role of strategic conferences in advancing Saudi Arabia’s Vision 2030 initiatives. He has published widely in peer-reviewed journals, contributed to international forums, and collaborated on cross-cultural research projects, positioning himself as a bridge between regional scholarship and global thought leadership.

As an educator, Dr. Almakaty is deeply committed to mentoring the next generation of scholars and practitioners, fostering an environment of inquiry, innovation, and academic excellence. He continues to influence the landscape of media and communication, championing initiatives that promote international engagement, effective public diplomacy, and the modernization of knowledge institutions throughout the Middle East.