

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Identification of Fish Interferon Stimulated Genes and Their Antiviral Mechanisms

[Emily Yang](#)*

Posted Date: 14 January 2026

doi: 10.20944/preprints202601.0994.v1

Keywords: interferon-stimulated genes; teleost; IFIT1; Mx; Nmi; IFP35; Viperin; TRIM25; finTRIM; ISG15



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Review

Identification of Fish Interferon Stimulated Genes and Their Antiviral Mechanisms

Emily Yang

Department of Biological Sciences, Biola University, La Mirada, CA 90639; emily.yang@biola.edu

Abstract

The frontlines of innate antiviral immunity center on type I interferons (IFN), which are expressed by nearly all cell types as a cellular alarm signal. IFNs drive the expression of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs), which can both generate an intracellular antiviral state and regulate the IFN response itself. This key antiviral line of defense is conserved in all jawed vertebrates, including teleost fish. Since their identification nearly 70 years ago, many mammalian ISGs have been identified and characterized. However, fish ISGs represent an exciting, largely unexplored avenue of antiviral effector research and present an opportunity to assess how IFN systems have been shaped by whole genome duplication events. This review summarizes advances in identification of bona fide teleost ISGs and examines studies in elucidating the antiviral mechanisms of conserved ISGs, including IFIT1, Mx, Nmi and IFP35, Viperin, TRIMs, and ISG15. Teleost-specific gene expansions and isoform divergence, particularly in the development of the fish novel TRIM family, will be considered under each relevant ISG. Understanding teleost ISG biology promises not only to improve antiviral strategies in aquaculture but also to reveal novel antiviral principles with translational relevance for human health.

Keywords: interferon-stimulated genes; teleost; IFIT1; Mx; Nmi; IFP35; Viperin; TRIM25; finTRIM; ISG15

1. Introduction

There is virtually no cellular organism exempt from the ravages of viral infection. Cells have evolved defense mechanisms, from the elegant prokaryotic CRISPR-Cas defenses to T cell and B cell mediated adaptive immunity in higher vertebrates. In between these ends of the spectrum exists innate immunity, well exemplified by the interferon (IFN) response as a first line of intracellular defense against viruses.

Identified in 1957 by Isaacs and Lindenmann near 70 years ago [1], the IFN response was so named for its ability to “interfere” with viral replication. With few exceptions, vertebrates possess four IFN types: type I IFN (α , β , and many more), type II IFN (γ), type III IFN (λ), and the recently identified type IV IFNs (ν) [2]. Type II IFN primarily serves as an inflammatory cytokine in adaptive immunity while type I and III IFNs are the major innate antiviral cytokines.

Many excellent reviews on type I IFN signaling exist [3,4]. Upon sensing of pathogen associated molecular patterns, such as cytoplasmic double-stranded RNA, an intracellular signaling cascade activates transcription factors that induce production of type I IFNs, a secreted protein that then signals in both an autocrine and paracrine fashion. Nearly all nucleated cells express type I IFN receptors. This second round of signaling is mediated by the classic JAK/STAT pathway, eventually resulting in the induction of hundreds of IFN-stimulated genes (ISGs) that then enact an antiviral environment [5]. These ISGs are able to interfere with viral replication directly through many diverse mechanisms, such as shutting off global protein translation, targeting viral RNA for degradation,

inhibiting viral capsid uncoating, acting as a pathogen sensor itself, and many more yet to be characterized mechanisms [6–8]. ISGs also often possess a regulatory function of the IFN response itself, serving as both positive regulators to potentiate the response or negative regulators to keep it from ballooning out of control [9–11].

While evidences of IFN-like systems in invertebrates exist [12–14], the innate IFN response is generally thought to have originated in gnathostomes, or jawed vertebrates [15–18]. Constituting more than half the vertebrates are the teleosts, the largest clade of ray-finned fish, which exhibit high biodiversity in response to the divergent ecological niches that they occupy [19]. Moreover, it is thought that a whole genome duplication (WGD) event occurred not only in the teleost fish common ancestor prior to diversification but also in specific teleost branches, such as the salmonids and cyprinids [20–23]. These WGD events offer up vast evolutionary potential for genes, allowing cells and organisms to adapt more freely to stressful environments as selective pressure on individual gene copies relaxes [24]. In fact, expanded gene families for several IFN signaling components and ISGs exist in fish that do not in higher vertebrates [25–27]. For example, fish possess a diversified type I IFN lineage, further subdivided in zebrafish into group 1 ($\varphi1/\varphi4$; two cysteine-containing) and group 2 ($\varphi2/\varphi3$; four cysteine-containing) IFNs [26].

The examination of teleost ISG antiviral mechanisms is a worthwhile endeavor for several reasons. First, as touched upon earlier, teleost fish possess an evolutionary potential unmatched by other vertebrates. Elucidation of their antiviral mechanisms may offer novel insights into ways mammalian ISGs can be re-engineered to be even more effective without the requirement of millions of years of evolutionary pressures. Second, fish represent an economically important source of sustenance; global production of animal-based seafood reached 185.4 million tons in 2022, with aquaculture contributing more than half that supply at 94.4 million tons [28]. Aquatic animal foods are especially important in lower income countries. While they constitute about 15% of the animal protein supply worldwide, they reached over 50% in several Asian and African countries [28]. Despite its burgeoning prominence in food supply, aquaculture is especially vulnerable to viral pathogens that can cause hundreds of millions of dollars in economic losses, for which limited viable treatments exist [29–31]. Therefore, a more robust understanding of the way fish naturally defend themselves against viral infections may inform design of novel antiviral therapeutics.

While in more recent years, a number of other reviews have focused on specific teleost ISGs [32,33], the last review aimed at compiling a wide-ranging list of teleost ISGs was published in 2016 [27]. Additional reviews have focused on describing viral infections of fish and the transcriptional activation of several antiviral ISGs, but refrained from describing their antiviral mechanisms [34]. Therefore, this review aims to close the gap by examining the state of research of teleost ISGs that have not been comprehensively reviewed in the last 5 years at the time of writing this publication.

This review is limited to those ISGs identified in teleost fish and the ways in which they affect viral replication, which include direct antiviral activities as well as regulation of the interferon response itself. Genes that affect the interferon response or viral replication without being conclusively established as an ISG (i.e., expressed in an IFN or IFN receptor-dependent manner) are excluded from the scope of this review. I will begin by first describing progress made in identifying ISGs within teleost fish. I will then discuss mechanisms of conserved ISGs such as IFIT1, ISG15, Mx, Nmi and IFP35, and Viperin, before concluding with discussion of ubiquitination and ubiquitin-related genes TRIMs and ISG15. Throughout this discussion of ISGs, I will highlight teleost-specific gene duplications and diversifications that lead to divergent activity or expression.

2. Identifying ISGs: Transcriptomics Studies

Identification of true teleost ISGs has proven to be challenging. Early work in the field focused on identifying orthologs to human ISGs [35], differential display PCR [36], or subtractive suppressive hybridization of cDNAs from poly(I:C) stimulated or virally infected cells [37–39]. Poly(I:C) is a dsRNA mimic that strongly induces the type I IFN response. Genes up-regulated by viral infection in fish were initially named *vig*, for “viral hemorrhagic septicemia virus (VHSV, -ssRNA)-induced gene”, and numbered in order of discovery [36,37,40]. Since then, advances in genome database availability have enabled the identification of mammalian orthologs for such virally-induced genes and naming them likewise. More complete genome assemblies and advances in microarray analyses and RNA-sequencing enabled the identification of more virally induced genes [41–47]. These approaches identified genes that have a large degree of overlap with but are not necessarily ISGs, that is to say, IFN-dependent.

Pioneering work in identifying a conserved set of ISGs between mammals and zebrafish (*Danio rerio*), a model teleost and vertebrate organism, was performed by Levraud and colleagues in 2019 [48]. Here, deep RNA sequencing of zebrafish larvae was performed under a variety of conditions designed to refine a list of true zebrafish ISGs: direct inoculation with recombinant zebrafish type I IFN (IFN ϕ 1), infection with the strong IFN-inducing chikungunya virus (CHIKV), and CHIKV infection when the IFN ϕ 1 receptor had been knocked down via morpholino treatment. Direct IFN ϕ 1 treatment identified more than 300 upregulated genes, 97 of which were orthologous to at least one human ISG, including well-known antiviral effectors Mx, Viperin, IFIT, ISG15, and PKR [48]. Interestingly enough, 41% of these upregulated genes had no ortholog in the human genome, and many belonged to teleost-specific TRIM families, to be discussed in depth later in this review. All in all, the work here identified 72 ancestral genes maintained in both fish and tetrapods as part of a full list of zebrafish ISGs [48].

Studies endeavoring to identify teleost ISGs since then have relied largely on this zebrafish ISG list, cross-referencing genes identified in their own datasets in varied teleost species, such as the common carp (*Cyprinus carpio*), Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*), and rainbow trout (*Oncorhynchus mykiss*) [49,50]. The common carp study generated a new carp genome assembly to analyze gene maintenance following WGD events, given that this species is allotetraploid, and found that all but one of the conserved orthologs were still present; moreover, most ISGs did not undergo additional gene duplication events [49]. On the other hand, the study done in salmonid fishes did not focus on IFN-dependent stimulation of gene expression but rather used both *in vivo* and *in vitro* poly(I:C) treatment as a proxy to generate transcriptomics data [50]. They then mined these transcriptomics datasets to identify whether these zebrafish and tetrapod conserved ISGs [48] were also expressed in other fish species-specific contexts. Here, they found that the core ISG response was overall well conserved between human, zebrafish, rainbow trout, and salmon, given that only 8 ISGs had no rainbow trout nor salmon up-regulated orthologs [50]. They also identified an additional 100 rainbow trout or salmon poly(I:C) induced genes which had no ortholog induced by IFN ϕ 1 in zebrafish larvae but did possess a human ortholog. This must be taken with a grain of salt, given that genes upregulated in response to poly(I:C) treatment are not synonymous with ISGs, though a large degree of overlap certainly exists. Notably, these genes included innate immune signaling components (e.g., IRF1 and 2, TLR7, MyD88). They also found that many of the earlier identified zebrafish-specific ISGs, including finTRIM and *btr* family members, were also up-regulated in rainbow trout and salmon in response to poly(I:C) treatment [48,50]. In accordance with the diverse environments and

evolutionary pressures acting upon Atlantic salmon, both a fresh and saltwater fish, and rainbow trout, an exclusively freshwater fish, many up-regulated genes in one species had no orthologs among up-regulated genes of the other [50]. This again testifies to the extraordinary adaptive potential of teleost genomes and fast adaptation of their regulatory sequences in transcriptional profiles in response to virus-induced evolutionary pressures.

Finally, an additional study also in Atlantic salmon endeavored to examine the genomic regulatory landscape underlying the antiviral response [51]. Here, fish were stimulated with poly(I:C) before undergoing a variety of sequencing techniques to identify accessible chromatin (ATAC-seq), transcriptionally active histone marks (ChIP-seq), and induced transcripts (mRNA-seq). The authors then examined the overlap of these markers to identify a putative set of 197 ISGs, wherein their regulatory elements showed both increased chromatin accessibility and the active histone mark H3K27ac in concert with increased gene expression [51]. By cross-referencing previous work, this study identified only 54 genes from this set overlapping with the core set of conserved Atlantic salmon ISG between salmon and human [50]. This set included canonical antiviral genes such as TRIM25, Viperin, IRF7, Mx1, and IFI44. They also performed a transcription factor binding site enrichment analysis on these genes and found the presence of an IRF8 binding site in the regulatory regions of 37 of these 197 genes. IRF8 is one of the transcription factors capable of binding to and driving expression of IFN-stimulated response element (ISRE)-containing promoters. However, in mammals IRF-8 expression is restricted to immune cells [52]; in fact, canonical type I IFN signaling relies primarily on IRF-3, IRF-7, and IRF-9, so it is intriguing that the authors here chose to use IRF-8 as a proxy for ISRE presence. Interestingly enough, the authors found paralogue-specific ISRE enrichment and alterations in chromatin accessibility for key ISGs, likely a consequence of the evolution of distinct regulation following four rounds of WGD events in salmonids [51].

3. Antiviral Mechanisms of Conserved ISGs across Teleost and Mammals

Given the many conserved ISGs identified between teleosts and mammals, this next section will focus on describing some canonical antiviral effector ISGs and highlight how their mechanisms in fish are either conserved or diverge from their roles in mammalian innate immunity.

3.1. *IFIT1*

The broad-spectrum antiviral IFN-induced protein with tetratricopeptide repeats (IFIT) family of ISGs is conserved across vertebrates [53,54]. The four ISG family members in humans (IFIT1/ISG56, IFIT2, IFIT3, and IFIT5) have been reported to directly inhibit viral replication through diverse mechanisms, including translation inhibition, recognition of unique viral RNA structures, direct binding to viral proteins [55–58]. Moreover, IFITs are able to modulate immune signaling. IFIT1 has been reported to demonstrate both positive and negative regulation of the type I IFN response; it both promotes type I IFN expression during alphavirus infection [59] and is able to inhibit IFN production by disrupting interaction of the upstream signaling components STING and MAVS or TBK1 [60].

The IFIT family has expanded beyond its core five human members in zebrafish to at least 10 members [54,61]. This expansion implies repeated viral interactions and strong selective diversifying pressures; it is possible that individual family members have taken on the contradictory roles of human IFIT1 in regulating the type I IFN response. Many of these IFIT members are upregulated in response to viral infection and have been reported as ISGs [44–48,50,51]. Moreover, despite

diversification, some of the most induced IFITs upon viral infection, IFIT12B, IFIT13A, and IFIT17A, were able to protect zebrafish larvae from the spring viraemia of carp virus (SVCV, -ssRNA)-induced mortality [61].

A more detailed exploration of IFIT1 expression and antiviral activity was conducted in the context of orange-spotted grouper (*Epinephelus coioides*) [62]. Here, phylogenetic analyses revealed that fish IFITs clustered separately from mammal IFITs, and that EcIFIT1 expression was significantly upregulated by both DNA and RNA virus infections. EcIFIT1 displayed diffuse cytoplasmic staining. Moreover, overexpression of EcIFIT1 positively regulated the IFN response by inducing expression of IFN signaling molecules and ISGs (IRF3, IRF7, ISG15, and Mx1) as well as some proinflammatory cytokines (IL-1 β , IL-6, and TNF- α). This study also demonstrated that EcIFIT1 overexpression modulated the cell cycle by inhibiting the S/G2 transition to arrest cells in S phase [62].

3.2. Mx

Myxovirus resistance proteins (Mx) are dynamin-like GTPases conserved across eukaryotes that exhibit broad, diverse mechanisms of antiviral activity in response to IFN induction [63], though recent evidence suggests that this family predates the dawn of IFN signaling itself [64]. Humans and mice have two Mx genes (MxA and MxB in humans, Mx1 and Mx2 in mice), while this family contains anywhere from one to ten members in different fish species [48,65,66]. Mx family members possess an N-terminal GTPase domain followed by an elongated stalk, which consists of a middle domain and a GTPase effector domain, shared with dynamin, at the C-terminal end. This stalk mediates Mx membrane binding as well as its dimerization and oligomerization into ring-like structures [67,68]. Mammalian Mx antiviral mechanisms vary depending on specific species and viral contexts, but generally depend on their binding to viral components directly to inhibit viral RNA synthesis, prevent capsid uncoating, sequester viral proteins, or block nuclear import [69–73].

Mx is also IFN-inducible and generally antiviral across teleost fish [43–46,48–51], though its expansion in some fish species has led to isoform-specific expression, features, and activity. Expression analysis studies of Mx in Atlantic salmon, rainbow trout, and mandarin fish (*Siniperca chuatsi*) have focused on its response to different IFN types, finding specific clusters of Mx genes that respond better to the type II IFN, IFN γ , as opposed to type I [65,66,74]. This may suggest adaptations of some Mx isoforms to work more in organism-wide IFN γ -induced proinflammatory responses, rather than the innate intracellular defenses afforded by the type I IFN response. A genomic analysis of Mx in Indian snow trout (*Schizothorax richardsonii*) and other representative fish species found that fish Mx genes were missing a lysine-rich stretch within the stalk that may determine antiviral specificity and is responsible for membrane interactions in human MxA [68,75,76]. Analysis of Mx in Chinese seabass (*Lateolabrax maculatus*) found that LmMxA has a protective effect against SVCV infection in a dose-dependent manner and may also participate in antibacterial responses against *Vibrio anguillarum* and *Staphylococcus aureus* [77]. Mechanistic studies of Mx in black rockfish (*Sebastes schlegelii*) revealed its ability to inhibit SVCV replication likely through interfering with viral entry. SsMx was observed to interact strongly with the SVCV glycoprotein [78], which may be required for its antiviral activity and is in line with antiviral mechanistic trends observed in mammalian Mx proteins. On the other hand, the teleost-specific grass carp (*Ctenopharyngodon idella*) Mx isoform, MxG, actually promoted viral replication by negatively regulating MAVS- and STING-mediated antiviral responses [79]. Here, MxG interacted with and promoted both proteasomal-dependent degradation of MAVS and lysosomal-dependent degradation of STING, in addition to inhibiting the activating TBK1-dependent phosphorylation of STING. The GTPase and GTPase effector activity contribute to but are not essential for MxG negative regulatory function [79]. Further investigation of other teleost-specific Mx isoforms may reveal more Mx “traitors” in future.

3.3. Nmi and IFP35

The two ISGs N-myc/STAT interactor (Nmi) and IFN-induced protein 35 kDa (IFP35/IFI35) go hand in hand. Indeed, they share conserved tandem Nmi/IFP35 homology domains (NIDs) which mediate their association; both are capable of homodimerization and heterodimerization with one another, as well as with other cellular factors. Both mammalian Nmi and IFP35 encode these tandem NIDs at their C-terminus, but differ in their N-terminal domains: Nmi encodes a coiled-coil (CC) domain while IFP35 encodes an atypical leucine zipper domain incapable of binding DNA [80].

Though mostly known for its role as a transcriptional regulator via interactions with diverse cellular transcription factors [81], Nmi is capable of directly inhibiting replication of the retrovirus prototype foamy virus by sequestering the viral protein Tas in the cytoplasm [82]. In a separate context, Nmi overexpression negatively regulated Sendai virus induced type I IFN production by promoting proteasome-dependent degradation of IRF7 [83].

Similar roles for IFP35 have been reported. Like Nmi, IFP35 also interacts with transcription factors, associating with B-ATF upon IFN induction to negatively regulate AP-1 transcriptional events [84]. IFP35 also inhibits replication of the related bovine foamy virus by associating with the viral protein Tas, though it does not sequester it as Nmi does [85]. Moreover, IFP35 has been reported to negatively regulate the IFN response in vesicular stomatitis virus infection by targeting the dsRNA sensor RIG-I, both suppressing its activation and promoting its proteasome-dependent degradation [86]. Upon IFN induction, Nmi and IFP35 are up-regulated and co-localize in large cytoplasmic punctate, which is thought to have a protective effect in shielding IFP35 from proteasome-mediated degradation [87]. It has alternatively been reported that IFN induction causes IFP35 to move to the nucleus, in keeping with its ability to interact with transcription factors [88].

IFP35 has been identified as virally-induced gene in teleost fish in several transcriptomic and genomic studies [43,45,48,49]. As in mammals, fish IFP35 plays pleiotropic roles in viral replication. Whereas overexpression of IFP35 in orange-spotted grouper and rock bream (*Oplegnathus fasciatus*) induces ISG expression and suppresses replication of red-spotted grouper nervous necrosis virus (RGNNV, +ssRNA) and VHSV, IFP35 overexpression in snakehead cells promotes snakehead vesiculovirus (SHVV, -ssRNA) replication [89–91]. In mandarin fish, ScIFP35 lacks the N-terminal leucine zipper domain, replaced by an uncharacterized protein family 0242 (UPF0242) domain in tilapia [92], but is still capable of homodimerization [93]. Exogenous ScIFP35 and endogenous ScIFP35 exhibited differing subcellular localization upon poly(I:C) and IFN treatment, with exogenous ScIFP35 forming cytoplasmic punctate only and endogenous ScIFP35 forming both nuclear and cytoplasmic punctate [93]. These data could help explain conflicting reports of mammalian IFP35 localization.

Nmi should also be considered a bona fide teleost ISG. Not only were ISRE elements were identified in both zebrafish and tilapia Nmi promoters, but also both infectious spleen and kidney necrosis virus (dsDNA, ISKNV) infection, poly(I:C) treatment, and type I IFN treatment upregulated its expression in mandarin fish, where it formed cytoplasmic speckles [94,95].

In fact, Nmi and IFP35 negatively regulate the type I IFN response by promoting the degradation of IRF3 and IRF7 and simultaneously protecting each other from degradation [95]. The generation of Nmi and IFP35 domain deletion mutants helped identify essential domains for Nmi-IFP35 interaction, cellular localization, and mutual protection. Nmi structural integrity seems to be important for their ability to localize into cytoplasmic punctate aggregates; deletion of any Nmi domains resulted in a uniform diffuse cytoplasmic distribution for both Nmi and IFP35 and lowered ability to protect IFP35 from degradation [95]. However, only the Nmi CC domain was required for their interaction; no singular IFP35 domain abolished the Nmi-IFP35 association, though deletion of the IFP35 N-terminal domain reduced the ability of IFP35 to protect Nmi from degradation. Finally, degradation of Nmi, IFP35, and IRF3 and IRF7 seem to be autophagy-dependent, and largely driven by the association of Nmi with the IRFs [95]. It may be that this granular aggregation of the Nmi-IFP35 complex in the cytoplasm is key in regulating IFN signaling and viral infection.

3.4. Viperin

Viperin (virus inhibitory protein, endoplasmic reticulum-associated, IFN-inducible; gene name *RSAD2*) is a broad-acting antiviral ISG. Given many decades of research on Viperin, several excellent reviews have been written in recent years detailing its structure and antiviral mechanisms [96–98]. Briefly, Viperin consists of three domains: an N-terminal amphipathic helix domain that mediates its membrane associations, a radical S-adenosylmethionine (SAM) domain, and a highly-conserved C-terminal domain [99,100]. The radical SAM domain is essential for its antiviral activity against many viruses, allowing Viperin to convert CTP to 3'-deoxy-3',4'-didehydro-CTP (ddhCTP), which terminates RNA synthesis when incorporated by some, but not all, viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerases [101]. The C-terminus not only helps orient CTP or UTP as substrates for Viperin [102], but also exhibits antiviral activity on its own by enhancing the type I IFN response, antagonizing viral RNA polymerases. Moreover, Viperin is thought to exert additional antiviral activity by activating ubiquitin E3 ligases, which can activate the type I IFN response [103] or promote the degradation of viral proteins via the ubiquitin-proteasome system [104,105].

As a conserved ISG, Viperin is consistently upregulated across diverse teleost fish species in response to IFN and viral infection [44–51,106,107]. Moreover, in keeping with its mammalian counterpart, its overexpression inhibits viral replication against diverse species and viral contexts, blocking GCHV in crucian carp (*Carassius auratus*) [108], rock bream iridovirus (RBIV-C1, dsDNA) in rock bream [109], Singaporean grouper iridovirus (SGIV, dsDNA) replication in orange spotted grouper and golden pompano (*Trachinotus ovatus*) [110,111], carp edema virus (CEV, dsDNA) in the common carp [112], VHSV in rockfish and redlip mullet (*Liza haematocheila*) [113,114]. This antiviral activity may be due in part to positive regulation of the IFN response. Viperin overexpression increased expression of IFN α , IRF3, and ISG15 in golden pompano [111]. Moreover, its overexpression also activated IRF3, IRF7, and IFN1 promoters in large yellow croaker (*Larimichthys crocea*) in a manner synergistic with overexpression of IRAK1, the kinase responsible for phosphorylating IRF7 and subsequent type I IFN induction [115]. This IRAK1-Viperin partnership has previously been demonstrated in mammals [116]. In fish as also in mammals, Viperin consistently localizes to the endoplasmic reticulum [110,113,114].

Viperin-dependent positive regulation of the IFN response and viral protein degradation have been demonstrated in teleost fish. A novel splice variant of Viperin (Viperin_sv1) lacking exon 5, which encodes 11 amino acids close to the catalytic region, was identified in fathead minnow (FHM) cells [117]. Interestingly, Viperin_sv1 but not Viperin was induced only in response to viral infection by SVCV but not poly(I:C) induction, and was able to activate RIG-I, IRF3 and IRF7 signaling cascades, in part by stabilizing RIG-I protein expression, to positively regulate the IFN response [117,118]. This viral-dependent induction of Viperin_sv1 was linked to the P protein of SVCV specifically [118]. Homologs of Viperin within gibel carp, a recurrent polyploid fish, demonstrated mildly divergent antiviral activity in the context of crucian carp herpesvirus (CaHV, dsDNA) infection [119]. While both homologs Viperin A and B were capable of promoting the degradation of CaHV ORF46R, a negative regulator of host IFN production, by suppressing its stabilizing K63-linked polyubiquitination, only Viperin B was able to also promote autophagy-dependent degradation of ORF46R [119]. Further investigation of homolog-specific differences that underlie their divergent antiviral mechanism may provide novel insights into Viperin antiviral mechanism.

Viperin antiviral activity has also been linked to metabolic regulation. Viperin inhibits cholesterol biosynthesis [120,121], which may negatively impact the egress of enveloped viruses which must bud through cell membranes. Transcriptional analysis in a Viperin KO zebrafish cell line during VHSV infection revealed metabolic changes, namely increased lipid synthesis and reduced generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [122]. ROS generation is required for proper activation and function of immune cells such as macrophages and neutrophils [123]. The *viperin*^{-/-} zebrafish displayed higher neutrophil survival and reduced macrophage recruitment at the site of VHSV infection [122], which may be linked to deficient neutrophil activation and delayed macrophage phagocytosis due to insufficient ROS production [124].

3.5. TRIM Ubiquitin E3 Ligases

Ubiquitination is a common post-translational modification with pleiotropic effects [125]. Briefly, ubiquitin is a small, ~7kDa protein that can be covalently attached to other proteins at lysine residues and linked to itself to generate a polyubiquitin chain. Attachments at specific lysine residues within ubiquitin generate different linkage types (e.g., K48, K63) that generate divergent effects. Free ubiquitin within the cytoplasm must first be activated by E1 enzymes, passed to E2 conjugating enzymes which determine the linkage type, then transferred to E3 ligases that determine substrate specificity and actually ubiquitinate different proteins. E3 ligases have different types of catalytic domains, of which RING E3 ligases make up a large portion [126].

All tripartite motif (TRIM) family members are marked by three conserved domains at the N-terminal domain: a RING E3 ligase domain, 1-2 B-Boxes that may contribute to higher order protein complex formation, and a coiled-coil (CC) domain responsible for TRIM self-association [127]. TRIM family members are sorted into subtypes based on domains present in the C-terminus. Subtype IV (C-IV) in particular is characterized by a PRY/SPRY (B30.2) domain, which mediates protein-protein interactions and is thought to determine substrate specificity [128]. TRIMs carrying this C-terminal domain tend to evolve quickly and duplicate to create multigenic families [129], potentially due to repeated evolutionary pressure from viral interactions [130].

This family of ubiquitin E3 ligases has undergone many expansions and is highly diversified in vertebrates. While the invertebrate fruit fly possesses 6 TRIM genes, and non-jawed vertebrates around 30, humans possess over 70 TRIM family members [127]. The expansion of the TRIM family in mammals to 60-80 members is thought to have coincided with the development of innate immunity, given that many of these newer members serve immune-related functions, being cytokine-induced, antiviral effectors, or immune regulators, particularly of innate immune signaling [128,130].

3.5.1. TRIM25

While many TRIMs have been observed to exert antiviral effects in fish, typically through regulation of innate immunity [131], not many have also been identified as bona fide fish ISGs. One noteworthy exception to this statement is TRIM25, a well-characterized ISG and antiviral effector [8,132]. TRIM25 carries a C-terminal PRY/SPRY domain and is perhaps best known for its role in positively regulating the IFN response via RIG-I activation through K63-linked polyubiquitination [133]. However, more recent work has focused on its ability to RNA binding activity in antiviral inhibition of viral translation and targeting of viral nucleoprotein complexes [134–136]. TRIM25 also functions as an essential co-factor for the zinc finger antiviral protein (ZAP), for which its ubiquitin E3 ligase activity is essential, though the exact mechanism linking ubiquitination to viral inhibition remains unclear [136–138].

TRIM25 is upregulated in response to IFN and virus across varied fish species [43,47–50] and is the most regularly identified TRIM in such transcriptomic studies [131]. It likely retains its antiviral activity in fish. TRIM25 inhibits SGIV replication in orange-spotted grouper [139], RGNNV in zebrafish [140], and SVCV in common carp [141]. Though its antiviral mechanisms have yet to be thoroughly characterized in fish, fish TRIM25 likely operates along the same lines as its mammalian counterpart. Its RING domain is essential for its antiviral activity [139], and it positively regulates the IFN response by mediating K63-linked polyubiquitination of RIG-I, thus activating RIG-I signaling [140,141]. A solitary report of TRIM25 as a negative regulator of the IFN response in black carp (*Mylopharyngodon piceus*) may represent an isolated, species-specific adaptation of TRIM25. Two studies of poly(I:C) induction of TRIM25 isoforms or splice variants revealed both differential expression in tissues and subcellular localization [142,143]; these may represent early stages of adaptation of TRIM25 to different roles in the innate immune response.

Specific roles for direct interactions between TRIM25 and viral proteins in fish and antiviral translation inhibition have yet to be identified. This may be due to the lack of a fish ortholog for ZAP, TRIM25's partner in viral inhibition, which is thought to have emerged alongside the advent of tetrapods [144]. However, a "ZAP-like" gene was identified in common carp [145]. This ZAP-like sequence possessed three WWE domains and none of the zinc fingers after which ZAP is named, so it may be a bit of an overreach to consider it a ZAP-like protein. Still, this ZAP-like protein may exhibit some antiviral activity, as it is upregulated in response to SVCV challenge *in vivo* and its overexpression diminished SVCV-induced cytopathic effect *in vitro* [145].

3.5.2. Fish-specific finTRIMs

One possible reason that TRIMs have not gained as much traction as ISGs in fish is because their roles would prove redundant in light of the teleost-specific TRIM expansions, namely the fish novel TRIMs (finTRIMs; gene name *ptr*), which are most closely related to TRIM16 or TRIM25, the TRIM39-derived *bloodthirsty*-related (*btr*) family, and TRIM35-related proteins [131,146,147]. TRIM16, TRIM25, and TRIM39 all belong to the quickly-evolving C-IV subtype of TRIMs which possess a PRY/SPRY domain at the C-terminal end [147]. In zebrafish, finTRIMs include over 70 members, *btr* genes over 30, and TRIM35 over 30 as well [146,147]. Examination of finTRIMs across puffer fish, stickleback, and zebrafish found that only three FTR genes are evolutionarily conserved across fish species (FTR82, 83, and 84) based on regional synteny analyses [146]. Moreover, FTRs within the rapidly evolving group of finTRIMs displayed signs of strong diversifying selection within their PRY/SPRY domain [146]. This provides strong evidence of repeated virus-finTRIM interactions and supports their role as antiviral effectors, potentially as virus recognition or restriction factors.

Unlike their bona fide fish TRIM orthologues, many finTRIMs are induced in response to viral infection and IFN signaling and primarily exhibit regulatory roles of the IFN response [46,48,50,148–157]. This is analogous to the role TRIMs play in mammalian innate immunity [128]. However, matters are complicated by fish-specific expansions of these TRIM families; that is to say, "FTR01" in one fish species is usually not orthologous to "FTR01" in another [152]. For the sake of consistency, this review will refer to all finTRIM proteins with the following nomenclature: a two-lettered species abbreviation followed by "FTR" and the gene number used in the study. Both DrFTR36 and DrFTR67 inhibited SVCV replication in zebrafish via positive regulation of the IFN response in a RING domain dependent manner, though no direct ubiquitination targets were identified [150,151]. On the other hand, negative regulation of the IFN response by finTRIMs usually involved degradation of an IFN signaling component [152–157]. In crucian carp, CaFTR1 negatively regulates the IFN response in a pleiotropic manner: not only does it mediate autophagosome-dependent degradation of TBK1 in an ubiquitin ligase dependent manner, it also enhanced decay of both IRF7 and STING mRNAs [152–154]. EcFTR82 negatively regulated the IFN response in the context of SGIV and RGNNV infection [155]. Negative regulation of the IFN response by DrFTR33 in the context of SVCV replication in zebrafish may be mediated through its interaction with MDA5 or MAVS, though the direct impact of this association remains unclear [156]. EcFTR14 targets TRAF6, an indirect activator of NF- κ B signaling [158], for proteasomal-dependent degradation to negatively regulate the IFN response in the context of RGNNV infection in grouper [157]. All in all, this consistent theme of E3 ligase dependent activity in species-specific finTRIM regulation of the innate immune response support the hypothesis that finTRIMs in teleost fish repeatedly diversified in order to regulate an increasingly complex immune system [128].

3.6. ISGylation

ISG15 is a conserved member of the ubiquitin family and one of the most strongest and rapidly induced ISGs [159,160]. It is comprised of two ubiquitin-like (UBL) domains linked by a hinge region and is conjugated to its targets at a C-terminal LRLRGG motif through ISGylation, a ubiquitination-like three-step process [161]. Briefly, free ISG15 is activated by UBE1L, passed to an E2 conjugating enzyme, and finally to an E3 ligases which determines substrate specificity. Here, several ubiquitin E3 ligases moonlight as ISG ligases, namely HERC5 (human) or HERC6 (mouse) and TRIM25 [159]. Both host and viral proteins may be ISGylated to disrupt viral replication by interfering with essential protein-protein interactions, nucleocapsid assembly, and viral egress [159]. ISG15 can also be secreted and exhibits cytokine activities to modulate the immune response, typically by enhancing IFN- γ secretion by NK cells and T cells [160].

3.6.1. ISG15

Unsurprisingly, ISG15 is strongly induced in teleost fish in response to varied viral infections across zebrafish, salmon, grass carp, sea bass (*Lates calcarifer*), turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*), and yellow croaker [43,44,46–49,162–166]. ISG15 has not been subject to extensive gene duplication, with most species only possessing one homolog, suggesting that its antiviral mechanisms have largely been conserved. As in mammals, ISG15 was demonstrated to possess cytokine-like activity in European sea bass [165]. Here, medium from ISG15 producing cells was protective against RGNNV infection in a dose-dependent manner. Incubation of cells with recombinant ISG15 directly also protected against RGNNV infection. This protective effect may be mediated by positive regulation of the type I IFN response, as cells exposed to ISG15 exhibited upregulation of the classical ISG Mx [165].

Even when ISG15 has undergone additional gene duplication, as within Atlantic salmon, it seems likely that most salmon ISG15 copies do not play a prominent antiviral role. Though Atlantic salmon have two copies of ISG15 on chr. 20 and four copies on chr. 24, only one gene within each region was upregulated in response to infectious salmon anaemia virus (ISAV, -ssRNA) infection [166]. However, ISG15 amino acid residues have diverged between species. Human ISG15 possess a singular, conserved cysteine residue in the hinge region between the UBL domains is thought to facilitate ISGylation by participating in a disulfide bridge to substrate proteins [167]. Curiously enough, fish homologs of ISG15 have replaced this hinge cysteine residue by proline and instead either possess other non-conserved cysteine residues throughout the protein or none at all [164]. Still, high conservation of the C-terminal LRLRGG motif among fish ISG15 homologs suggests that they still participate in ISGylation as means of inhibiting viral replication [164].

3.6.2. HERCs as ISG15 ligases

Indeed, transcriptomic analyses of an Atlantic salmon cell line in response to infectious salmon anemia virus (ISAV) found not only strong upregulation of ISG15 but also many ISG15-associated proteins, including the ISG15 ligases TRIM25 and teleost-specific HERC7 and HERC9, ISG15 interactor and ISGylated cellular sensor RNF213, and ISG15-specific deubiquitinase USP18 [166]. Upregulation of all these ISG15 associated genes strongly suggests a prominent role for ISGylation in the teleost innate antiviral response. Moreover, both RNF213 and HERC5 and HERC6 related proteins were also identified as virally-induced genes or ISGs in zebrafish, salmon, and grass carp [44,45,48–51].

Though it was originally thought that salmon possessed no direct ortholog for HERC5 or HERC6, the primary mammalian ISG15 ligases, and instead possess the teleost-specific HERC7 and HERC9, regional synteny analyses reveal that HERC7 and HERC9 are located in the analogous location to HERC5 and HERC6: sandwiched between the genes ABCG2 and PPM1K on one side and FAM13A on the other [166]. This strongly suggests that teleost HERC7 and HERC9 have evolved from mammalian HERC5 and HERC6 counterparts, which provides additional evidence bolstering the role of ISGylation in teleost antiviral defenses. HERC7 has undergone moderate family expansion in teleost, with four members in zebrafish and two in salmon [166,168]. Studies in zebrafish and crucian carp have validated HERC7 as an ISG that negatively regulates the type I IFN response, though through divergent mechanisms [168,169]. In zebrafish, studies of HERC7c found that it only retained ubiquitin ligase activity and targets IFN signaling components STING, MAVS, and IRF7 for protein degradation [168]. On the other hand, crucian carp HERC7 was capable of both ISGylation and ubiquitination, targeting MAVS and STING for proteasome degradation on one hand and inducing IRF7 mRNA decay on the other. Interestingly, the crucian carp HERC7 ubiquitin ligase activity was not required for its immunomodulatory activities [169]. Though also induced in response to viral infection, it remains to be seen whether the other zebrafish HERC7 family members (HERC7a, b, and d) also modulate the immune response and/or retain ISGylation catalytic activity, and if so, whether how ISGylation is required for their participation in the type I IFN response.

4. Conclusion and Future Perspectives

While much progress has been made in identifying virally or poly(I:C) induced genes in teleost fish, and moderate progress in teasing out specific antiviral mechanisms of ISGs, most ISG-related studies are still restricted to expressional analyses over functional characterizations. Moreover, the field currently suffers from a lack of truly robust screening for or identification of ISGs. While a number of transcriptomic studies purport to have identified ISGs in a variety of teleost species, in reality most have either relied on an interferon substitute, e.g., poly(I:C) stimulation or viral infection, or performed genome or transcriptome annotations with an eye to identifying orthologs of zebrafish ISGs identified by Levraud and colleagues in their pioneering work [48]. Though the former strategy seems like a plausible shortcut, given that both poly(I:C) and viral infection stimulates the first arm of IFN signaling, producing IFNs which then stimulate production of ISGs, it runs the risk of identifying genes that are stimulated by the first arm directly and are not true ISGs. Such was the case for A20 in teleost fish, wherein transfection of constitutively active RIG-I up-regulated its expression but IFN treatment directly did not [170].

Work remains to be done in conducting screens that rely on pure IFN stimulation or test for IFN receptor dependence in virally-induced genes in order to compile a comprehensive ISG library for teleost species. Following this compilation, characterizing these teleost ISGs as regulators of the IFN response or as antiviral effectors remains an outstanding task [171]. Doing so may well reveal potential drug targets to enhance immunity in fish or enable design of novel ISGs to be repurposed for human health. For example, exploring the antiviral nature of the finTRIM family may identify novel mutations within the PRY/SPRY domain that can be applied towards its mammalian TRIM counterparts within C-IV, such as the antiviral ISGs TRIM22 or TRIM25.

Another interesting avenue of research could be investigating the role of stress granules in fish antiviral responses. Many of the cytoplasmic ISGs, especially finTRIMs, displayed punctate distribution in the cytoplasm when examined with immunofluorescence [95,149,151,152,157], which

is characteristic of stress granule staining. In fact, a screening of ISG15 interactors via yeast-2 hybrid interaction analysis yielded a number of translation related proteins [172]. Stress granules are LLPS structures that collect paused translational machinery and have been shown to exhibit an antiviral effect [173,174]. It would be interesting to perform protein interaction studies to see if these cytoplasmic punctate-distributed fish ISGs also localize to stress granules.

Cell-type specific studies and *in vivo* antiviral mechanistic studies may prove fruitful. While understandable that most initial characterization studies of antiviral mechanisms take place within cell culture, given that cells are much more amenable to genetic manipulation and analysis, doing so may overlook cell-type specific effects of individual ISGs. For example, as described earlier, Viperin exerted a macrophage specific antiviral effect within the large yellow croaker [124]. This would have been missed in a simple *in vitro* study working with one's favorite cell line.

Teleost ISGs with all of their species-specific intricacies and nuances hold great promise of unearthed potential. One might even say a whole ocean's worth of informational treasure remains to be brought up from the depths.

Funding: This review received no external funding.

Acknowledgments: The author thanks Peter Back and Terrence Gee for their assistance in accessing articles to read to generate this review. The author would also like to thank friends and family for providing food, company, and words of encouragement during the writing of this review and for being exceedingly gracious and understanding throughout the process.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflicts of interest.

References

1. Isaacs, A.; Lindenmann, J. Virus Interference. I. The Interferon. *Journal of Interferon Research* **1957**, *7*, 429–438, doi:10.1089/jir.1987.7.429.
2. Chen, S.N.; Gan, Z.; Hou, J.; Yang, Y.C.; Huang, L.; Huang, B.; Wang, S.; Nie, P. Identification and Establishment of Type IV Interferon and the Characterization of Interferon- ν Including Its Class II Cytokine Receptors IFN- ν R1 and IL-10R2. *Nat Commun* **2022**, *13*, 999, doi:10.1038/s41467-022-28645-6.
3. Lazear, H.M.; Schoggins, J.W.; Diamond, M.S. Shared and Distinct Functions of Type I and Type III Interferons. *Immunity* **2019**, *50*, 907–923, doi:10.1016/j.immuni.2019.03.025.
4. Mesev, E.V.; LeDesma, R.A.; Ploss, A. Decoding Type I and III Interferon Signalling during Viral Infection. *Nat Microbiol* **2019**, *4*, 914–924, doi:10.1038/s41564-019-0421-x.
5. Schneider, W.M.; Chevillotte, M.D.; Rice, C.M. Interferon-Stimulated Genes: A Complex Web of Host Defenses. *Annu. Rev. Immunol.* **2014**, *32*, 513–545, doi:10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120231.
6. Schoggins, J.W. Interferon-Stimulated Genes: What Do They All Do? *Annual Review of Virology* **2019**, *6*, 567–584, doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-092818-015756.
7. Li, M.M.H.; MacDonald, M.R.; Rice, C.M. To Translate, or Not to Translate: Viral and Host mRNA Regulation by Interferon-Stimulated Genes. *Trends in Cell Biology* **2015**, *25*, 320–329, doi:10.1016/j.tcb.2015.02.001.
8. Yang, E.; Li, M.M.H. All About the RNA: Interferon-Stimulated Genes That Interfere With Viral RNA Processes. *Front. Immunol.* **2020**, *11*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.605024.
9. Ivashkiv, L.B.; Donlin, L.T. Regulation of Type I Interferon Responses. *Nat Rev Immunol* **2014**, *14*, 36–49, doi:10.1038/nri3581.
10. Arimoto, K.-I.; Miyauchi, S.; Stoner, S.A.; Fan, J.-B.; Zhang, D.-E. Negative Regulation of Type I IFN Signaling. *J Leukoc Biol.* **2018**, *103*, 1099–1116, doi:10.1002/JLB.2MIR0817-342R.
11. Crosse, K.M.; Monson, E.A.; Beard, M.R.; Helbig, K.J. Interferon-Stimulated Genes as Enhancers of Antiviral Innate Immune Signaling. *J Innate Immun* **2018**, *10*, 85–93, doi:10.1159/000484258.

12. De Zoysa, M.; Kang, H.-S.; Song, Y.-B.; Jee, Y.; Lee, Y.-D.; Lee, J. First Report of Invertebrate Mx: Cloning, Characterization and Expression Analysis of Mx cDNA in Disk Abalone (*Haliotis Discus Discus*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2007**, *23*, 86–96, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2006.09.007.
13. Qiao, X.; Wang, L.; Song, L. The Primitive Interferon-like System and Its Antiviral Function in Molluscs. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2021**, *118*, 103997, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2021.103997.
14. Gao, J.; Zhao, B.-R.; Zhang, H.; You, Y.-L.; Li, F.; Wang, X.-W. Interferon Functional Analog Activates Antiviral Jak/Stat Signaling through Integrin in an Arthropod. *Cell Reports* **2021**, *36*, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2021.109761.
15. Nakatani, Y.; Takeda, H.; Kohara, Y.; Morishita, S. Reconstruction of the Vertebrate Ancestral Genome Reveals Dynamic Genome Reorganization in Early Vertebrates. *Genome Res.* **2007**, *17*, 1254–1265, doi:10.1101/gr.6316407.
16. Secombes, C.J.; Zou, J. Evolution of Interferons and Interferon Receptors. *Front. Immunol.* **2017**, *8*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2017.00209.
17. Redmond, A.K.; Zou, J.; Secombes, C.J.; Macqueen, D.J.; Dooley, H. Discovery of All Three Types in Cartilaginous Fishes Enables Phylogenetic Resolution of the Origins and Evolution of Interferons. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.01558.
18. Smith, N.C.; Rise, M.L.; Christian, S.L. A Comparison of the Innate and Adaptive Immune Systems in Cartilaginous Fish, Ray-Finned Fish, and Lobe-Finned Fish. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.02292.
19. Volff, J.-N. Genome Evolution and Biodiversity in Teleost Fish. *Heredity* **2005**, *94*, 280–294, doi:10.1038/sj.hdy.6800635.
20. Panopoulou, G.; Poustka, A. Timing and Mechanism of Ancient Vertebrate Genome Duplications – the Adventure of a Hypothesis. *Trends in Genetics* **2005**, doi:10.1016/j.tig.2005.08.004.
21. Near, T.J.; Eytan, R.I.; Dornburg, A.; Kuhn, K.L.; Moore, J.A.; Davis, M.P.; Wainwright, P.C.; Friedman, M.; Smith, W.L. Resolution of Ray-Finned Fish Phylogeny and Timing of Diversification. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2012**, *109*, 13698–13703, doi:10.1073/pnas.1206625109.
22. Berthelot, C.; Brunet, F.; Chalopin, D.; Juanchich, A.; Bernard, M.; Noël, B.; Bento, P.; Da Silva, C.; Labadie, K.; Alberti, A.; et al. The Rainbow Trout Genome Provides Novel Insights into Evolution after Whole-Genome Duplication in Vertebrates. *Nat Commun* **2014**, *5*, 3657, doi:10.1038/ncomms4657.
23. Omori, Y.; Kon, T. Goldfish: An Old and New Model System to Study Vertebrate Development, Evolution and Human Disease. *J Biochem* **2019**, *165*, 209–218, doi:10.1093/jb/mvy076.
24. Van de Peer, Y.; Mizrachi, E.; Marchal, K. The Evolutionary Significance of Polyploidy. *Nat Rev Genet* **2017**, *18*, 411–424, doi:10.1038/nrg.2017.26.
25. Zhang, Y.-B.; Gui, J.-F. Molecular Regulation of Interferon Antiviral Response in Fish. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2012**, *38*, 193–202, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2012.06.003.
26. Langevin, C.; Aleksejeva, E.; Passoni, G.; Palha, N.; Levraud, J.-P.; Boudinot, P. The Antiviral Innate Immune Response in Fish: Evolution and Conservation of the IFN System. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **2013**, *425*, 4904–4920, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2013.09.033.
27. Poynter, S.J.; DeWitte-Orr, S.J. Fish Interferon-Stimulated Genes: The Antiviral Effectors. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2016**, *65*, 218–225, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2016.07.011.
28. FAO *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture 2024. Blue Transformation in Action*; The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture (SOFIA); FAO: Rome, Italy, 2024; ISBN 978-92-5-138763-4.
29. Lafferty, K.D.; Harvell, C.D.; Conrad, J.M.; Friedman, C.S.; Kent, M.L.; Kuris, A.M.; Powell, E.N.; Rondeau, D.; Saksida, S.M. Infectious Diseases Affect Marine Fisheries and Aquaculture Economics. *Annual Review of Marine Science* **2015**, *7*, 471–496, doi:10.1146/annurev-marine-010814-015646.
30. Kibenge, F.S. Emerging Viruses in Aquaculture. *Current Opinion in Virology* **2019**, *34*, 97–103, doi:10.1016/j.coviro.2018.12.008.
31. Costa, V.A.; Holmes, E.C. Diversity, Evolution, and Emergence of Fish Viruses. *Journal of Virology* **2024**, *98*, e00118-24, doi:10.1128/jvi.00118-24.
32. Wu, C.; Zhang, Y.; Hu, C. PKZ, a Fish-Unique eIF2 α Kinase Involved in Innate Immune Response. *Front. Immunol.* **2020**, *11*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2020.00585.

33. Chaumont, L.; Collet, B.; Boudinot, P. Double-Stranded RNA-Dependent Protein Kinase (PKR) in Antiviral Defence in Fish and Mammals. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2023**, *145*, 104732, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2023.104732.
34. Ortega-Villaizan, M. del M.; Chico, V.; Perez, L. Fish Innate Immune Response to Viral Infection—An Overview of Five Major Antiviral Genes. *Viruses* **2022**, *14*, 1546, doi:10.3390/v14071546.
35. Staeheli, P.; Yu, Y.-X.; Grob, R.; Haller, O. A Double-Stranded RNA-Inducible Fish Gene Homologous to the Murine Influenza Virus Resistance Gene Mx. *Molecular and Cellular Biology* **1989**, *9*, 3117–3121, doi:10.1128/mcb.9.7.3117-3121.1989.
36. Boudinot, P.; Massin, P.; Blanco, M.; Riffault, S.; Benmansour, A. *Vig-1*, a New Fish Gene Induced by the Rhabdovirus Glycoprotein, Has a Virus-Induced Homologue in Humans and Shares Conserved Motifs with the MxA Family. *J Virol* **1999**, *73*, 1846–1852, doi:10.1128/JVI.73.3.1846-1852.1999.
37. O'Farrell, C.; Vaghefi, N.; Cantonnet, M.; Buteau, B.; Boudinot, P.; Benmansour, A. Survey of Transcript Expression in Rainbow Trout Leukocytes Reveals a Major Contribution of Interferon-Responsive Genes in the Early Response to a Rhabdovirus Infection. *Journal of Virology* **2002**, *76*, 8040–8049, doi:10.1128/jvi.76.16.8040-8049.2002.
38. Fujiki, K.; Gauley, J.; Bols, N.; Dixon, B. Cloning and Characterization of cDNA Clones Encoding CD9 from Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo Salar*) and Rainbow Trout (*Oncorhynchus Mykiss*). *Immunogenetics* **2002**, *54*, 604–609, doi:10.1007/s00251-002-0506-0.
39. Seppola, M.; Stenvik, J.; Steiro, K.; Solstad, T.; Robertsen, B.; Jensen, I. Sequence and Expression Analysis of an Interferon Stimulated Gene (ISG15) from Atlantic Cod (*Gadus Morhua* L.). *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2007**, *31*, 156–171, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2006.05.009.
40. Verrier, E.R.; Langevin, C.; Benmansour, A.; Boudinot, P. Early Antiviral Response and Virus-Induced Genes in Fish. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2011**, *35*, 1204–1214, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2011.03.012.
41. Milev-Milovanovic, I.; Majji, S.; Thodima, V.; Deng, Y.; Hanson, L.; Arnizaut, A.; Waldbieser, G.; Chinchar, V.G. Identification and Expression Analyses of Poly [I:C]-Stimulated Genes in Channel Catfish (*Ictalurus Punctatus*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2009**, *26*, 811–820, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2009.03.006.
42. Workenhe, S.T.; Hori, T.S.; Rise, M.L.; Kibenge, M.J.T.; Kibenge, F.S.B. Infectious Salmon Anaemia Virus (ISAV) Isolates Induce Distinct Gene Expression Responses in the Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo Salar*) Macrophage/Dendritic-like Cell Line TO, Assessed Using Genomic Techniques. *Molecular Immunology* **2009**, *46*, 2955–2974, doi:10.1016/j.molimm.2009.06.015.
43. Briolat, V.; Jouneau, L.; Carvalho, R.; Palha, N.; Langevin, C.; Herbomel, P.; Schwartz, O.; Spaink, H.P.; Levraud, J.-P.; Boudinot, P. Contrasted Innate Responses to Two Viruses in Zebrafish: Insights into the Ancestral Repertoire of Vertebrate IFN-Stimulated Genes. *The Journal of Immunology* **2014**, *192*, 4328–4341, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1302611.
44. Liu, P.; Wang, L.; Kwang, J.; Yue, G.H.; Wong, S.-M. Transcriptome Analysis of Genes Responding to NNV Infection in Asian Seabass Epithelial Cells. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2016**, *54*, 342–352, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2016.04.029.
45. Miller, K.M.; Günther, O.P.; Li, S.; Kaukinen, K.H.; Ming, T.J. Molecular Indices of Viral Disease Development in Wild Migrating Salmon. *Conserv Physiol* **2017**, *5*, cox036, doi:10.1093/conphys/cox036.
46. Krasnov, A.; Johansen, L.-H.; Karlsen, C.; Sveen, L.; Ytteborg, E.; Timmerhaus, G.; Lazado, C.C.; Afanasyev, S. Transcriptome Responses of Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo Salar* L.) to Viral and Bacterial Pathogens, Inflammation, and Stress. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.705601.
47. Wang, X.; Chen, D.; Lv, Z.; Zhao, X.; Ding, C.; Liu, Y.; Xiao, T. Transcriptomics Analysis Provides New Insights into the Fish Antiviral Mechanism and Identification of Interferon-Stimulated Genes in Grass Carp (*Ctenopharyngodon Idella*). *Molecular Immunology* **2022**, *148*, 81–90, doi:10.1016/j.molimm.2022.05.120.
48. Levraud, J.-P.; Jouneau, L.; Briolat, V.; Laghi, V.; Boudinot, P. IFN-Stimulated Genes in Zebrafish and Humans Define an Ancient Arsenal of Antiviral Immunity. *J Immunol* **2019**, *203*, 3361–3373, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1900804.
49. Blasweiler, A.; Megens, H.-J.; Goldman, M.R.G.; Tadmor-Levi, R.; Lighten, J.; Groenen, M.A.M.; Dirks, R.P.; Jansen, H.J.; Spaink, H.P.; David, L.; et al. Symmetric Expression of Ohnologs Encoding Conserved Antiviral

- Responses in Tetraploid Common Carp Suggest Absence of Subgenome Dominance after Whole Genome Duplication. *Genomics* **2023**, *115*, 110723, doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2023.110723.
50. Clark, T.C.; Naseer, S.; Gundappa, M.K.; Laurent, A.; Perquis, A.; Collet, B.; Macqueen, D.J.; Martin, S.A.M.; Boudinot, P. Conserved and Divergent Arms of the Antiviral Response in the Duplicated Genomes of Salmonid Fishes. *Genomics* **2023**, *115*, 110663, doi:10.1016/j.ygeno.2023.110663.
 51. Naseer, S.; Clark, T.C.; Collet, B.; Dewari, P.; Baranasic, D.; Macqueen, D.J.; Boudinot, P.; Martin, S.A.M. Genomic Regulatory Landscape Underlying the Antiviral Response of Atlantic Salmon. *Genome Res.* **2025**, gr.280579.125, doi:10.1101/gr.280579.125.
 52. Tamura, T.; Ozato, K. Review: ICSBP/IRF-8: Its Regulatory Roles in the Development of Myeloid Cells. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research* **2002**, *22*, 145–152, doi:10.1089/107999002753452755.
 53. Fensterl, V.; Sen, G.C. The ISG56/IFIT1 Gene Family. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research* **2011**, *31*, 71–78, doi:10.1089/jir.2010.0101.
 54. Liu, Y.; Zhang, Y.-B.; Liu, T.-K.; Gui, J.-F. Lineage-Specific Expansion of IFIT Gene Family: An Insight into Coevolution with IFN Gene Family. *PLOS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e66859, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066859.
 55. Diamond, M.S.; Farzan, M. The Broad-Spectrum Antiviral Functions of IFIT and IFITM Proteins. *Nat Rev Immunol* **2013**, *13*, 46–57, doi:10.1038/nri3344.
 56. Vladimer, G.I.; Górna, M.W.; Superti-Furga, G. IFITs: Emerging Roles as Key Anti-Viral Proteins. *Front. Immunol.* **2014**, *5*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2014.00094.
 57. Diamond, M.S. IFIT1: A Dual Sensor and Effector Molecule That Detects Non-2'-O Methylated Viral RNA and Inhibits Its Translation. *Cytokine & Growth Factor Reviews* **2014**, *25*, 543–550, doi:10.1016/j.cytogfr.2014.05.002.
 58. Mears, H.V.; Sweeney, T.R. Better Together: The Role of IFIT Protein-Protein Interactions in the Antiviral Response. *Journal of General Virology* **2018**, *99*, 1463–1477, doi:10.1099/jgv.0.001149.
 59. Reynaud, J.M.; Kim, D.Y.; Atasheva, S.; Rasalousskaya, A.; White, J.P.; Diamond, M.S.; Weaver, S.C.; Frolova, E.I.; Frolov, I. IFIT1 Differentially Interferes with Translation and Replication of Alphavirus Genomes and Promotes Induction of Type I Interferon. *PLOS Pathogens* **2015**, *11*, e1004863, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004863.
 60. Li, Y.; Li, C.; Xue, P.; Zhong, B.; Mao, A.-P.; Ran, Y.; Chen, H.; Wang, Y.-Y.; Yang, F.; Shu, H.-B. ISG56 Is a Negative-Feedback Regulator of Virus-Triggered Signaling and Cellular Antiviral Response. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2009**, *106*, 7945–7950, doi:10.1073/pnas.0900818106.
 61. Varela, M.; Diaz-Rosales, P.; Pereiro, P.; Forn-Cuní, G.; Costa, M.M.; Dios, S.; Romero, A.; Figueras, A.; Novoa, B. Interferon-Induced Genes of the Expanded IFIT Family Show Conserved Antiviral Activities in Non-Mammalian Species. *PLOS ONE* **2014**, *9*, e100015, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0100015.
 62. Zhang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Liu, Z.; Zheng, J.; Huang, Y.; Huang, X.; Qin, Q. Grouper IFIT1 Inhibits Iridovirus and Nodavirus Infection by Positively Regulating Interferon Response. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *94*, 81–89, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2019.08.075.
 63. Haller, O.; Staeheli, P.; Schwemmler, M.; Kochs, G. Mx GTPases: Dynamin-like Antiviral Machines of Innate Immunity. *Trends in Microbiology* **2015**, *23*, 154–163, doi:10.1016/j.tim.2014.12.003.
 64. Langley, C.A.; Dietzen, P.A.; Emerman, M.; Tenthorey, J.L.; Malik, H.S. Antiviral Mx Proteins Have an Ancient Origin and Widespread Distribution among Eukaryotes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2025**, *122*, e2416811122, doi:10.1073/pnas.2416811122.
 65. Robertsen, B.; Greiner-Tollersrud, L.; Jørgensen, L.G. Analysis of the Atlantic Salmon Genome Reveals a Cluster of Mx Genes That Respond More Strongly to IFN Gamma than to Type I IFN. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2019**, *90*, 80–89, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2018.09.004.
 66. Wang, T.; Liu, F.; Tian, G.; Secombes, C.J.; Wang, T. Lineage/Species-Specific Expansion of the Mx Gene Family in Teleosts: Differential Expression and Modulation of Nine Mx Genes in Rainbow Trout *Oncorhynchus Mykiss*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *90*, 413–430, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2019.04.303.
 67. Kochs, G.; Haener, M.; Aebi, U.; Haller, O. Self-Assembly of Human MxA GTPase into Highly Ordered Dynamin-like Oligomers*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2002**, *277*, 14172–14176, doi:10.1074/jbc.M200244200.
 68. von der Malsburg, A.; Abutbul-Ionita, I.; Haller, O.; Kochs, G.; Danino, D. Stalk Domain of the Dynamin-like MxA GTPase Protein Mediates Membrane Binding and Liposome Tubulation via the Unstructured L4 Loop*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2011**, *286*, 37858–37865, doi:10.1074/jbc.M111.249037.

69. Fricke, T.; White, T.E.; Schulte, B.; de Souza Aranha Vieira, D.A.; Dharan, A.; Campbell, E.M.; Brandariz-Nuñez, A.; Diaz-Griffero, F. MxB Binds to the HIV-1 Core and Prevents the Uncoating Process of HIV-1. *Retrovirology* **2014**, *11*, 68, doi:10.1186/s12977-014-0068-x.
70. Kochs, G.; Haller, O. Interferon-Induced Human MxA GTPase Blocks Nuclear Import of Thogoto Virus Nucleocapsids. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **1999**, *96*, 2082–2086, doi:10.1073/pnas.96.5.2082.
71. Xiao, H.; Killip, M.J.; Staeheli, P.; Randall, R.E.; Jackson, D. The Human Interferon-Induced MxA Protein Inhibits Early Stages of Influenza A Virus Infection by Retaining the Incoming Viral Genome in the Cytoplasm. *Journal of Virology* **2013**, *87*, 13053–13058, doi:10.1128/jvi.02220-13.
72. Kochs, G.; Janzen, C.; Hohenberg, H.; Haller, O. Antivirally Active MxA Protein Sequesters La Crosse Virus Nucleocapsid Protein into Perinuclear Complexes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **2002**, *99*, 3153–3158, doi:10.1073/pnas.052430399.
73. Verhelst, J.; Parthoens, E.; Schepens, B.; Fiers, W.; Saelens, X. Interferon-Inducible Protein Mx1 Inhibits Influenza Virus by Interfering with Functional Viral Ribonucleoprotein Complex Assembly. *Journal of Virology* **2012**, *86*, 13445–13455, doi:10.1128/jvi.01682-12.
74. Li, L.; Chen, S.N.; Laghari, Z.A.; Huo, H.J.; Hou, J.; Huang, L.; Li, N.; Nie, P. Myxovirus Resistance (Mx) Gene and Its Differential Expression Regulated by Three Type I and Two Type II IFNs in Mandarin Fish, *Siniperca Chuatsi*. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2020**, *105*, 103604, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2019.103604.
75. Saxena, A.; Belwal, K.; Chauhan, A.; Pande, A. Interferon Induced Mx Protein from Indian Snow Trout *Schizothorax Richardsonii* (Gray) Lacks Critical Functional Features Unlike Its Mammalian Homologues. *Computational Biology and Chemistry* **2018**, *73*, 31–40, doi:10.1016/j.compbiolchem.2017.12.011.
76. Mitchell, P.S.; Patzina, C.; Emerman, M.; Haller, O.; Malik, H.S.; Kochs, G. Evolution-Guided Identification of Antiviral Specificity Determinants in the Broadly Acting Interferon-Induced Innate Immunity Factor MxA. *Cell Host & Microbe* **2012**, *12*, 598–604, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2012.09.005.
77. Ming, J.; Zhou, R.; Wu, X.; Gao, Y.; Yin, Y.; Fan, W.; Tan, J.; Song, X. Characterization of *Myxovirus Resistance (Mx)* Gene from Chinese Seabass *Lateolabrax Maculatus*: Insights into the Evolution and Function of Mx Genes. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2024**, *152*, 109749, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2024.109749.
78. Zhang, M.; Wang, Y.; Yang, K.; Chen, Z.; Sun, N.; Wang, G. Mx of *Sebastes Schlegelii*: Expression Pattern, Antibacterial Activity and Antiviral Mechanism. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2025**, *167*, 105374, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2025.105374.
79. Fan, C.; Su, H.; Liao, Z.; Su, J.; Yang, C.; Zhang, Y.; Su, J. Teleost-Specific MxG, a Traitor in the Mx Family, Negatively Regulates Antiviral Responses by Targeting IPS-1 for Proteasomal Degradation and STING for Lysosomal Degradation. *J Immunol* **2021**, *207*, 281–295, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.2000555.
80. Lee, N.D.; Chen, J.; Shpall, R.L.; Naumovski, L. Subcellular Localization of Interferon-Inducible Myc/Stat-Interacting Protein Nmi Is Regulated by a Novel IFP 35 Homologous Domain. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research* **1999**, *19*, 1245–1252, doi:10.1089/107999099312902.
81. Pruitt, H.C.; Devine, D.J.; Samant, R.S. Roles of N-Myc and STAT Interactor in Cancer: From Initiation to Dissemination. *International Journal of Cancer* **2016**, *139*, 491–500, doi:10.1002/ijc.30043.
82. Hu, X.; Yang, W.; Liu, R.; Geng, Y.; Qiao, W.; Tan, J. N-Myc Interactor Inhibits Prototype Foamy Virus by Sequestering Viral Tas Protein in the Cytoplasm. *Journal of Virology* **2014**, *88*, 7036–7044, doi:10.1128/jvi.00799-14.
83. Wang, J.; Yang, B.; Hu, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Zhou, H.; Wang, Y.; Ma, Y.; Mao, K.; Yang, L.; Lin, G.; et al. Negative Regulation of Nmi on Virus-Triggered Type I IFN Production by Targeting IRF7. *J Immunol* **2013**, *191*, 3393–3399, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1300740.
84. Wang, X.; Johansen, L.M.; Tae, H.-J.; Taparowsky, E.J. IFP 35 Forms Complexes with B-ATF, a Member of the AP1 Family of Transcription Factors. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **1996**, *229*, 316–322, doi:10.1006/bbrc.1996.1799.
85. Tan, J.; Qiao, W.; Wang, J.; Xu, F.; Li, Y.; Zhou, J.; Chen, Q.; Geng, Y. IFP35 Is Involved in the Antiviral Function of Interferon by Association with the Viral Tas Transactivator of Bovine Foamy Virus. *Journal of Virology* **2008**, *82*, 4275–4283, doi:10.1128/jvi.02249-07.
86. Das, A.; Dinh, P.X.; Panda, D.; Pattnaik, A.K. Interferon-Inducible Protein IFI35 Negatively Regulates RIG-I Antiviral Signaling and Supports Vesicular Stomatitis Virus Replication. *Journal of Virology* **2014**, doi:10.1128/jvi.03202-13.

87. Chen, J.; Shpall, R.L.; Meyerdierks, A.; Hagemeyer, M.; Böttger, E.C.; Naumovski, L. Interferon-Inducible Myc/STAT-Interacting Protein Nmi Associates with IFP 35 into a High Molecular Mass Complex and Inhibits Proteasome-Mediated Degradation of IFP 35 *. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2000**, *275*, 36278–36284, doi:10.1074/jbc.M006975200.
88. Bange, F.C.; Vogel, U.; Flohr, T.; Kiekenbeck, M.; Denecke, B.; Böttger, E.C. IFP 35 Is an Interferon-Induced Leucine Zipper Protein That Undergoes Interferon-Regulated Cellular Redistribution. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **1994**, *269*, 1091–1098, doi:10.1016/S0021-9258(17)42225-3.
89. Liu, X.; Qin, Z.; Babu V, S.; Zhao, L.; Li, J.; Zhang, X.; Lin, L. Transcriptomic Profiles of Striped Snakehead Cells (SSN-1) Infected with Snakehead Vesiculovirus (SHVV) Identifying IFI35 as a Positive Factor for SHVV Replication. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *86*, 46–52, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2018.11.031.
90. Madhuranga, W.S.P.; Tharuka, M.D.N.; Harasgama, J.C.; Kwon, H.; Wan, Q.; Lee, J. Immune Responses, Subcellular Localization, and Antiviral Activity of Interferon-Induced Protein 35 (IFP35) in Rock Bream (*Oplegnathus Fasciatus*). *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2021**, *123*, 104142, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2021.104142.
91. Gao, X.; Zhang, Y.; Zheng, J.; Yang, X.; Wang, Y.; Qin, Q.; Huang, X.; Huang, Y. Grouper Interferon-Induced Protein 35, a CP-Interacting Protein, Inhibits Fish Nodavirus Replication via Positively Regulating Host Interferon and Inflammatory Immune Response. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2022**, *128*, 113–122, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2022.07.077.
92. Li, L.; Cai, J.; Wu, Z.; Yuan, Q.; Xia, H.; Lu, Y.; Gan, Z. Tilapia IFP35 Is Differently Induced by Three Subgroups of Type I IFNs via the JAK-STAT Signaling. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2025**, *166*, 110619, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2025.110619.
93. Li, L.; Chen, S.N.; Li, N.; Nie, P. Transcriptional and Subcellular Characterization of Interferon Induced Protein-35 (IFP35) in Mandarin Fish, *Siniperca Chuatsi*. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2021**, *115*, 103877, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2020.103877.
94. Li, L.; Chen, S.N.; Li, N.; Nie, P. Molecular Characterization and Transcriptional Conservation of N-Myc-Interactor, Nmi, by Type I and Type II IFNs in Mandarin Fish *Siniperca Chuatsi*. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2022**, *130*, 104354, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2022.104354.
95. Li, L.; Chen, S.N.; Wang, K.L.; Li, N.; Pang, A.N.; Liu, L.H.; Li, B.; Hou, J.; Wang, S.; Nie, P. Interaction of Nmi and IFP35 Promotes Mutual Protein Stabilization and IRF3 and IRF7 Degradation to Suppress Type I IFN Production in Teleost Fish. *J Immunol* **2023**, *210*, 1494–1507, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.2300012.
96. Ghosh, S.; Marsh, E.N.G. Viperin: An Ancient Radical SAM Enzyme Finds Its Place in Modern Cellular Metabolism and Innate Immunity. *J. Biol. Chem.* **2020**, *295*, 11513–11528, doi:10.1074/jbc.REV120.012784.
97. Rivera-Serrano, E.E.; Gizzi, A.S.; Arnold, J.J.; Grove, T.L.; Almo, S.C.; Cameron, C.E. Viperin Reveals Its True Function. *Annual Review of Virology* **2020**, *7*, 421–446, doi:10.1146/annurev-virology-011720-095930.
98. Cui, Q.; Miao, Y.; Li, M.; Zheng, H.; Yuan, Y. Viperin: A Multifunctional Protein in Antiviral Immunity and Disease Pathogenesis. *Pathogens* **2025**, *14*, 510, doi:10.3390/pathogens14050510.
99. Hinson, E.R.; Cresswell, P. The N-Terminal Amphipathic α -Helix of Viperin Mediates Localization to the Cytosolic Face of the Endoplasmic Reticulum and Inhibits Protein Secretion*. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2009**, *284*, 4705–4712, doi:10.1074/jbc.M807261200.
100. Shaveta, G.; Shi, J.; Chow, V.T.K.; Song, J. Structural Characterization Reveals That Viperin Is a Radical S-Adenosyl-L-Methionine (SAM) Enzyme. *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications* **2010**, *391*, 1390–1395, doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2009.12.070.
101. Gizzi, A.S.; Grove, T.L.; Arnold, J.J.; Jose, J.; Jangra, R.K.; Garforth, S.J.; Du, Q.; Cahill, S.M.; Dulyaninova, N.G.; Love, J.D.; et al. A Naturally Occurring Antiviral Ribonucleotide Encoded by the Human Genome. *Nature* **2018**, *558*, 610–614, doi:10.1038/s41586-018-0238-4.
102. Fenwick, M.K.; Su, D.; Dong, M.; Lin, H.; Ealick, S.E. Structural Basis of the Substrate Selectivity of Viperin. *Biochemistry* **2020**, *59*, 652–662, doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.9b00741.
103. Patel, A.M.; Marsh, E.N.G. The Antiviral Enzyme, Viperin, Activates Protein Ubiquitination by the E3 Ubiquitin Ligase, TRAF6. *Journal of the American Chemical Society* **2021**, doi:10.1021/jacs.1c01045.
104. Panayiotou, C.; Lindqvist, R.; Kurhade, C.; Vonderstein, K.; Pasto, J.; Edlund, K.; Upadhyay, A.S.; Överby, A.K. Viperin Restricts Zika Virus and Tick-Borne Encephalitis Virus Replication by Targeting NS3 for Proteasomal Degradation. *Journal of Virology* **2018**, *92*, 10.1128/jvi.02054-17, doi:10.1128/jvi.02054-17.

105. Ghosh, S.; Patel, A.M.; Grunkemeyer, T.J.; Dumbrepatil, A.B.; Zegalia, K.; Kennedy, R.T.; Marsh, E.N.G. Interactions between Viperin, Vesicle-Associated Membrane Protein A, and Hepatitis C Virus Protein NS5A Modulate Viperin Activity and NS5A Degradation. *Biochemistry* **2020**, *59*, 780–789, doi:10.1021/acs.biochem.9b01090.
106. Eslamloo, K.; Ghorbani, A.; Xue, X.; Inkpen, S.M.; Larijani, M.; Rise, M.L. Characterization and Transcript Expression Analyses of Atlantic Cod Viperin. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.00311.
107. Raji Sathyan, K.; Premraj, A.; Thavarool Puthiyedathu, S. Antiviral Radical SAM Enzyme Viperin Homologue from Asian Seabass (*Lates Calcarifer*): Molecular Characterisation and Expression Analysis. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2022**, *136*, 104499, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2022.104499.
108. Wang, B.; Zhang, Y.-B.; Liu, T.-K.; Shi, J.; Sun, F.; Gui, J.-F. Fish Viperin Exerts a Conserved Antiviral Function through RLR-Triggered IFN Signaling Pathway. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2014**, *47*, 140–149, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2014.07.006.
109. Zhang, B.; Zhang, J.; Xiao, Z.; Sun, L. Rock Bream (*Oplegnathus Fasciatus*) Viperin Is a Virus-Responsive Protein That Modulates Innate Immunity and Promotes Resistance against Megalocytivirus Infection. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2014**, *45*, 35–42, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2014.02.001.
110. Zhang, Y.; Lv, S.; Zheng, J.; Huang, X.; Huang, Y.; Qin, Q. Grouper Viperin Acts as a Crucial Antiviral Molecule against Iridovirus. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *86*, 1026–1034, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2018.12.038.
111. Huang, L.; Zhu, X.; Kuang, J.; Li, B.; Yu, Q.; Liu, M.; Li, B.; Guo, H.; Li, P. Molecular and Functional Characterization of Viperin in Golden Pompano, *Trachinotus Ovatus*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2023**, *142*, 109098, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2023.109098.
112. Wang, X.; Zhang, R.; Liu, L.; Li, H.; Zhu, H. Expression Analysis and Antiviral Activity of Koi Carp (*Cyprinus Carpio*) Viperin against Carp Edema Virus (CEV). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2024**, *148*, 109519, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2024.109519.
113. Shanaka, K.A.S.N.; Tharuka, M.D.N.; Priyathilaka, T.T.; Lee, J. Molecular Characterization and Expression Analysis of Rockfish (*Sebastes Schlegelii*) Viperin, and Its Ability to Enervate RNA Virus Transcription and Replication *In Vitro*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *92*, 655–666, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2019.06.015.
114. Madushani, K.P.; Shanaka, K.A.S.N.; Yang, H.; Lim, C.; Jeong, T.; Tharuka, M.D.N.; Lee, J. Molecular Characterization, Expression Profile, and Antiviral Activity of Redlip Mullet (*Liza Haematocheila*) Viperin. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **2022**, *258*, 110699, doi:10.1016/j.cbpb.2021.110699.
115. Luo, W.; Wu, H.; Huang, Y.; Zou, W.; Han, F. Regulatory Mechanism of Viperin in the Interferon Signaling Pathway of Large Yellow Croaker: Characterization of the Promoter Region. *Comparative Biochemistry and Physiology Part B: Biochemistry and Molecular Biology* **2025**, *279*, 111115, doi:10.1016/j.cbpb.2025.111115.
116. Dumbrepatil, A.B.; Ghosh, S.; Zegalia, K.A.; Malec, P.A.; Hoff, J.D.; Kennedy, R.T.; Marsh, E.N.G. Viperin Interacts with the Kinase IRAK1 and the E3 Ubiquitin Ligase TRAF6, Coupling Innate Immune Signaling to Antiviral Ribonucleotide Synthesis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2019**, *294*, 6888–6898, doi:10.1074/jbc.RA119.007719.
117. Wang, F.; Jiao, H.; Liu, W.; Chen, B.; Wang, Y.; Chen, B.; Lu, Y.; Su, J.; Zhang, Y.; Liu, X. The Antiviral Mechanism of Viperin and Its Splice Variant in Spring Viremia of Carp Virus Infected Fathead Minnow Cells. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *86*, 805–813, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2018.12.012.
118. Gao, Y.; Li, C.; Shi, L.; Wang, F.; Ye, J.; Lu, Y.-A.; Liu, X.-Q. Viperin_sv1 Promotes RIG-I Expression and Suppresses SVCV Replication through Its Radical SAM Domain. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2021**, *123*, 104166, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2021.104166.
119. Mou, C.-Y.; Li, S.; Lu, L.-F.; Wang, Y.; Yu, P.; Li, Z.; Tong, J.-F.; Zhang, Q.-Y.; Wang, Z.-W.; Zhang, X.-J.; et al. Divergent Antiviral Mechanisms of Two Viperin Homeologs in a Recurrent Polyploid Fish. *Front. Immunol.* **2021**, *12*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2021.702971.
120. Wang, X.; Hinson, E.R.; Cresswell, P. The Interferon-Inducible Protein Viperin Inhibits Influenza Virus Release by Perturbing Lipid Rafts. *Cell Host & Microbe* **2007**, *2*, 96–105, doi:10.1016/j.chom.2007.06.009.
121. Grunkemeyer, T.J.; Ghosh, S.; Patel, A.M.; Sajja, K.; Windak, J.; Basrur, V.; Kim, Y.; Nesvizhskii, A.I.; Kennedy, R.T.; Marsh, E.N.G. The Antiviral Enzyme Viperin Inhibits Cholesterol Biosynthesis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* **2021**, *297*, doi:10.1016/j.jbc.2021.100824.

122. Shanaka, K. a. S.N.; Jung, S.; Madushani, K.P.; Kim, M.-J.; Lee, J. Viperin Mutation Is Linked to Immunity, Immune Cell Dynamics, and Metabolic Alteration during VHSV Infection in Zebrafish. *Front. Immunol.* **2023**, *14*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2023.1327749.
123. Mortimer, P.M.; Svetitsky, S.; Thomas, D.C. Chronic Granulomatous Disease: Lessons in Cell Biology from Monogenic Immunodeficiency. *Clin Exp Immunol* **2025**, *219*, uxaf031, doi:10.1093/cei/uxaf031.
124. Luo, W.; Wu, H.; Huang, Y.; Ye, K.; Zou, W.; Han, F. Molecular and Functional Characterization of Viperin in Large Yellow Croaker (*Larimichthys Crocea*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2025**, *165*, 110498, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2025.110498.
125. Komander, D.; Rape, M. The Ubiquitin Code. *Annu. Rev. Biochem.* **2012**, *81*, 203–229, doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-060310-170328.
126. Buetow, L.; Huang, D.T. Structural Insights into the Catalysis and Regulation of E3 Ubiquitin Ligases. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* **2016**, *17*, 626–642, doi:10.1038/nrm.2016.91.
127. Vunjak, M.; Versteeg, G.A. TRIM Proteins. *Current Biology* **2019**, *29*, R42–R44, doi:10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.026.
128. Rajsbaum, R.; García-Sastre, A.; Versteeg, G.A. TRIMunity: The Roles of the TRIM E3-Ubiquitin Ligase Family in Innate Antiviral Immunity. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **2014**, *426*, 1265–1284, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2013.12.005.
129. Sardiello, M.; Cairo, S.; Fontanella, B.; Ballabio, A.; Meroni, G. Genomic Analysis of the TRIM Family Reveals Two Groups of Genes with Distinct Evolutionary Properties. *BMC Evol Biol* **2008**, *8*, 225, doi:10.1186/1471-2148-8-225.
130. Hage, A.; Rajsbaum, R. To TRIM or Not to TRIM: The Balance of Host–Virus Interactions Mediated by the Ubiquitin System. *Journal of General Virology* **2019**, *100*, 1641–1662, doi:10.1099/jgv.0.001341.
131. Langevin, C.; Levraud, J.-P.; Boudinot, P. Fish Antiviral Tripartite Motif (TRIM) Proteins. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *86*, 724–733, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2018.12.008.
132. Liu, Q.; Peng, S.; Wei, J.; Xie, Z. The Function of TRIM25 in Antiviral Defense and Viral Immune Evasion. *Viruses* **2025**, *17*, 735, doi:10.3390/v17050735.
133. Gack, M.U.; Shin, Y.C.; Joo, C.-H.; Urano, T.; Liang, C.; Sun, L.; Takeuchi, O.; Akira, S.; Chen, Z.; Inoue, S.; et al. TRIM25 RING-Finger E3 Ubiquitin Ligase Is Essential for RIG-I-Mediated Antiviral Activity. *Nature* **2007**, *446*, 916–920, doi:10.1038/nature05732.
134. Choudhury, N.R.; Heikel, G.; Michlewski, G. TRIM25 and Its Emerging RNA-binding Roles in Antiviral Defense. *WIREs RNA* **2020**, doi:10.1002/wrna.1588.
135. Yang, E.; Nguyen, L.P.; Wisherop, C.A.; Kan, R.L.; Li, M.M.H. The Role of ZAP and TRIM25 RNA Binding in Restricting Viral Translation. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology* **2022**, *12*.
136. Galão, R.P.; Wilson, H.; Schierhorn, K.L.; Debeljak, F.; Bodmer, B.S.; Goldhill, D.; Hoenen, T.; Wilson, S.J.; Swanson, C.M.; Neil, S.J.D. TRIM25 and ZAP Target the Ebola Virus Ribonucleoprotein Complex to Mediate Interferon-Induced Restriction. *PLOS Pathogens* **2022**, *18*, e1010530, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1010530.
137. Li, M.M.H.; Lau, Z.; Cheung, P.; Aguilar, E.G.; Schneider, W.M.; Bozzacco, L.; Molina, H.; Buehler, E.; Takaoka, A.; Rice, C.M.; et al. TRIM25 Enhances the Antiviral Action of Zinc-Finger Antiviral Protein (ZAP). *PLoS Pathog* **2017**, *13*, e1006145, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1006145.
138. Yang, E.; Huang, S.; Jami-Alahmadi, Y.; McInerney, G.M.; Wohlschlegel, J.A.; Li, M.M.H. Elucidation of TRIM25 Ubiquitination Targets Involved in Diverse Cellular and Antiviral Processes. *PLOS Pathogens* **2022**, *18*, e1010743, doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1010743.
139. Yang, Y.; Huang, Y.; Yu, Y.; Yang, M.; Zhou, S.; Qin, Q.; Huang, X. RING Domain Is Essential for the Antiviral Activity of TRIM25 from Orange Spotted Grouper. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2016**, *55*, 304–314, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2016.06.005.
140. Jin, Y.; Jia, K.; Zhang, W.; Xiang, Y.; Jia, P.; Liu, W.; Yi, M. Zebrafish TRIM25 Promotes Innate Immune Response to RGNNV Infection by Targeting 2CARD and RD Regions of RIG-I for K63-Linked Ubiquitination. *Front. Immunol.* **2019**, *10*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2019.02805.
141. Liu, R.; Li, H.; Liu, X.; Liang, B.; Qi, Y.; Meng, F.; Yang, G.; Shan, S. TRIM25 Inhibits Spring Viraemia of Carp Virus Replication by Positively Regulating RIG-I Signaling Pathway in Common Carp (*Cyprinus Carpio* L.). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2022**, *127*, 306–317, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2022.06.033.
142. Zhou, Z.; Wei, K.; Zhang, J. The Two TRIM25 Isoforms Were Differentially Induced in *Larimichthys Crocea* Post Poly (I:C) Stimulation. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *86*, 672–679, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2018.12.009.

143. Guo, Y.; Sun, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, G.; He, Z.; Liu, Y.; Ren, Y.; Wang, Y.; Fu, Y.; Hou, J. Molecular Identification and Function Characterization of Four Alternative Splice Variants of *Trim25* in Japanese Flounder (*Paralichthys Olivaceus*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2022**, *120*, 142–154, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2021.11.022.
144. Lista, M.J.; Ficarelli, M.; Wilson, H.; Kmiec, D.; Youle, R.L.; Wanford, J.; Winstone, H.; Odendall, C.; Taylor, I.A.; Neil, S.J.D.; et al. A Nuclear Export Signal in KHNYN Required for Its Antiviral Activity Evolved as ZAP Emerged in Tetrapods. *Journal of Virology* **2023**, *97*, e00872-22, doi:10.1128/jvi.00872-22.
145. Zhang, Y.; Wang, C.; Yan, D.; Si, L.; Chang, L.; Li, T. Molecular Characterization and Functional Analysis of ZAP-like Gene in Common Carp (*Cyprinus Carpio*). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2024**, *154*, 109981, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2024.109981.
146. van der Aa, L.M.; Levraud, J.-P.; Yahmi, M.; Lauret, E.; Briolat, V.; Herbomel, P.; Benmansour, A.; Boudinot, P. A Large New Subset of TRIM Genes Highly Diversified by Duplication and Positive Selection in Teleost Fish. *BMC Biol* **2009**, *7*, 7, doi:10.1186/1741-7007-7-7.
147. Boudinot, P.; van der Aa, L.M.; Jouneau, L.; Du Pasquier, L.; Pontarotti, P.; Briolat, V.; Benmansour, A.; Levraud, J.-P. Origin and Evolution of TRIM Proteins: New Insights from the Complete TRIM Repertoire of Zebrafish and Pufferfish. *PLoS One* **2011**, *6*, e22022, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0022022.
148. Liu, W.; Kuang, M.; Zhang, Z.; Lu, Y.; Liu, X. Molecular Characterization and Expression Analysis of Ftr01, Ftr42, and Ftr58 in Zebrafish (*Danio Rerio*). *Virol Sin* **2019**, *34*, 434–443, doi:10.1007/s12250-019-00112-5.
149. Li, Y.-L.; Zhao, X.; Gong, X.-Y.; Dan, C.; Gui, J.-F.; Zhang, Y.-B. Molecular Identification and Function Characterization of Four finTRIM Genes from the Immortal Fish Cell Line, EPC. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2020**, *113*, 103775, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2020.103775.
150. Chen, B.; Huo, S.; Liu, W.; Wang, F.; Lu, Y.; Xu, Z.; Liu, X. Fish-Specific finTRIM FTR36 Triggers IFN Pathway and Mediates Inhibition of Viral Replication. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *84*, 876–884, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2018.10.051.
151. Huo, S.; Jiao, H.; Chen, B.; Kuang, M.; Li, Q.; Lu, Y.; Liu, X. FTR67, a Member of the Fish-Specific finTRIM Family, Triggers IFN Pathway and against Spring Viremia of Carp Virus. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2020**, *103*, 1–8, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2020.04.043.
152. Wu, M.; Zhao, X.; Gong, X.-Y.; Wang, Y.; Gui, J.-F.; Zhang, Y.-B. FTRCA1, a Species-Specific Member of finTRIM Family, Negatively Regulates Fish IFN Response through Autophagy-Lysosomal Degradation of TBK1. *The Journal of Immunology* **2019**, *202*, 2407–2420, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.1801645.
153. Wu, M.; Dan, C.; Gui, J.-F.; Zhang, Y.-B. Fish Species-Specific TRIM Gene FTRCA1 Negatively Regulates Interferon Response through Attenuating IRF7 Transcription. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *90*, 180–187, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2019.04.297.
154. Qu, Z.-L.; Li, Y.-L.; Gong, X.-Y.; Zhao, X.; Sun, H.-Y.; Dan, C.; Gui, J.-F.; Zhang, Y.-B. A finTRIM Family Protein Acquires RNA-Binding Activity and E3 Ligase Activity to Shape the IFN Response in Fish. *J Immunol* **2022**, *209*, 1335–1347, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.2200343.
155. Lv, S.; Zhang, Y.; Zheng, J.; Huang, X.; Huang, Y.; Qin, Q. Negative Regulation of the Interferon Response by finTRIM82 in the Orange Spotted Grouper. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *88*, 391–402, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2019.03.004.
156. Huang, L.; Zhang, L.; Huo, H.J.; Hou, J.; Niu, M.M.; Nie, P.; Chen, S.N. FTR33, a Member of Fish-Specific TRIM (finTRIM) Subfamily, Regulates Negatively Type I IFN Antiviral Immunity in Zebrafish. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology* **2023**, *142*, 104671, doi:10.1016/j.dci.2023.104671.
157. Zhi, L.; Zhang, G.; He, M.; Ma, Y.; Qin, Q.; Huang, X.; Huang, Y. Grouper FTR14 Negatively Regulates Inflammatory Response by Targeting TRAF4 and TRAF6. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2025**, *161*, 110306, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2025.110306.
158. Fang, J.; Muto, T.; Kleppe, M.; Bolanos, L.C.; Hueneman, K.M.; Walker, C.S.; Sampson, L.; Wellendorf, A.M.; Chetal, K.; Choi, K.; et al. TRAF6 Mediates Basal Activation of NF- κ B Necessary for Hematopoietic Stem Cell Homeostasis. *Cell Reports* **2018**, *22*, 1250–1262, doi:10.1016/j.celrep.2018.01.013.
159. Perng, Y.-C.; Lenschow, D.J. ISG15 in Antiviral Immunity and Beyond. *Nat Rev Microbiol* **2018**, *16*, 423–439, doi:10.1038/s41579-018-0020-5.
160. Dzimianski, J.V.; Scholte, F.E.M.; Bergeron, É.; Pegan, S.D. ISG15: It's Complicated. *Journal of Molecular Biology* **2019**, *431*, 4203–4216, doi:10.1016/j.jmb.2019.03.013.

161. Zhang, D.; Zhang, D.-E. Interferon-Stimulated Gene 15 and the Protein ISGylation System. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research* **2011**, *31*, 119–130, doi:10.1089/jir.2010.0110.
162. Shen, B.; Wei, K.; Guo, S.; Liu, C.; Zhang, J. Molecular Characterization and Expression Analyses of Two Homologues of Interferon-Stimulated Gene ISG15 in *Larimichthys Crocea* (Family: Sciaenidae). *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *86*, 846–857, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2018.12.018.
163. Lin, J.-Y.; Hu, G.-B.; Liu, D.-H.; Li, S.; Liu, Q.-M.; Zhang, S.-C. Molecular Cloning and Expression Analysis of Interferon Stimulated Gene 15 (ISG15) in Turbot, *Scophthalmus Maximus*. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2015**, *45*, 895–900, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2015.05.050.
164. Krishna Priya, R.S.; Premraj, A.; Sivakumar, K.C.; Sajeevan, T.P. Identification of Two ISG15 Homologues Involved in Host Immune Response against RGNNV in Asian Seabass (*Lates Calcarifer*). *Fish and Shellfish Immunology Reports* **2022**, *3*, 100054, doi:10.1016/j.fsirep.2022.100054.
165. Moreno, P.; Leiva-Rebollo, R.; Garcia-Rosado, E.; Bejar, J.; Alonso, M.C. Cytokine-like Activity of European Sea Bass ISG15 Protein on RGNNV-Infected E-11 Cells. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2022**, *128*, 612–619, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2022.08.048.
166. Grimholt, U.; Sindre, H.; Sundaram, A.Y.M. ISGylation and E3 Ubiquitin Ligases: An Atlantic Salmon Genetic Perspective. *Front. Immunol.* **2025**, *16*, doi:10.3389/fimmu.2025.1554680.
167. Bade, V.N.; Nickels, J.; Keusekotten, K.; Praefcke, G.J.K. Covalent Protein Modification with ISG15 via a Conserved Cysteine in the Hinge Region. *PLOS ONE* **2012**, *7*, e38294, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0038294.
168. Li, Y.-L.; Gong, X.-Y.; Qu, Z.-L.; Zhao, X.; Dan, C.; Sun, H.-Y.; An, L.-L.; Gui, J.-F.; Zhang, Y.-B. Zebrafish HERC7c Acts as an Inhibitor of Fish IFN Response. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **2023**, *24*, 4592, doi:10.3390/ijms24054592.
169. Li, Y.-L.; Gong, X.-Y.; Qu, Z.-L.; Zhao, X.; Dan, C.; Gui, J.-F.; Zhang, Y.-B. A Novel Non-Mammalian-Specific HERC7 Negatively Regulates IFN Response through Degrading RLR Signaling Factors. *J Immunol* **2022**, *208*, 1189–1203, doi:10.4049/jimmunol.2100962.
170. Mérour, E.; Jami, R.; Lamoureux, A.; Bernard, J.; Brémont, M.; Biacchesi, S. A20 (*Tnfrif3*) Is a Negative Feedback Regulator of RIG-I-Mediated IFN Induction in Teleost. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2019**, *84*, 857–864, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2018.10.082.
171. Schoggins, J.W.; Wilson, S.J.; Panis, M.; Murphy, M.Y.; Jones, C.T.; Bieniasz, P.; Rice, C.M. A Diverse Range of Gene Products Are Effectors of the Type I Interferon Antiviral Response. *Nature* **2011**, *472*, 481–485, doi:10.1038/nature09907.
172. Liu, X.; Zhang, L.; Zhang, Y.; Vakharia, V.N.; Zhang, X.; Lv, X.; Sun, W. Screening of Genes Encoding Proteins That Interact with ISG15: Probing a cDNA Library from a Snakehead Fish Cell Line Using a Yeast Two-Hybrid System. *Fish & Shellfish Immunology* **2022**, *128*, 300–306, doi:10.1016/j.fsi.2022.07.070.
173. Onomoto, K.; Yoneyama, M.; Fung, G.; Kato, H.; Fujita, T. Antiviral Innate Immunity and Stress Granule Responses. *Trends in Immunology* **2014**, *35*, 420–428, doi:10.1016/j.it.2014.07.006.
174. McCormick, C.; Khapersky, D.A. Translation Inhibition and Stress Granules in the Antiviral Immune Response. *Nat Rev Immunol* **2017**, *17*, 647–660, doi:10.1038/nri.2017.63.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.