Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Investigating the Role of IoT in Improving Supply Chain Resilience, Risk Management, and Operational Efficiency

Harry Johnson *

Posted Date: 30 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.2622.v1

Keywords: internet of things; supply chain resilience; risk management; operational efficiency; predictive maintenance; real-time monitoring; process optimization doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2622.v1

Article

Investigating the Role of IoT in Improving Supply Chain Resilience, Risk Management, and Operational Efficiency

Harry Johnson

Independent Researcher, USA; harryjohnson2555@outlook.com

Abstract

This study explores the role of the Internet of Things (IoT) in enhancing supply chain resilience, risk management, and operational efficiency. Employing a qualitative research approach, data were collected through semi-structured interviews and document reviews with supply chain professionals across manufacturing, logistics, and distribution sectors. The findings reveal that IoT enables real-time monitoring of assets and processes, predictive maintenance, anomaly detection, and data-driven decision-making, which collectively improve operational performance and reduce vulnerabilities. IoT also facilitates collaboration within organizations and across supply chain partners, enhancing coordination, communication, and collective problem-solving. Additionally, IoT contributes to cost reduction, energy efficiency, and optimized resource allocation, supporting both operational and strategic objectives. The study highlights that the successful adoption of IoT requires integration with organizational processes, skilled workforce capabilities, and governance measures, including cybersecurity and data integrity practices. Overall, the research demonstrates that IoT is a transformative enabler for supply chains, promoting adaptive, resilient, and efficient operations capable of responding effectively to dynamic challenges and market demands. The insights provide practical guidance for organizations seeking to leverage IoT to achieve operational excellence, strategic advantage, and long-term sustainability.

Keywords: internet of things; supply chain resilience; risk management; operational efficiency; predictive maintenance; real-time monitoring; process optimization

---

1. Introduction

Investigating the role of the Internet of Things (IoT) in improving supply chain resilience, risk management, and operational efficiency requires an expansive exploration of how digital technologies transform contemporary supply systems. The emergence of the IoT has been heralded as a cornerstone of Industry 4.0, promising real-time visibility across complex networks, enhancing responsiveness to disruptions, and fostering data-driven decision-making that underpins resilient and efficient supply operations. Supply chains are increasingly global, interdependent, and susceptible to multifaceted risks including natural disasters, geopolitical tensions, pandemics, and demand fluctuations which necessitates robust frameworks for monitoring, prediction, and mitigation. IoT platforms, through pervasive sensing, connectivity, and data analytics, have the potential to fundamentally alter how organizations perceive and respond to risks while optimizing processes from procurement to delivery. The integration of IoT into supply chains aligns with broader digital economy developments, where coupling coordination models and multi-objective algorithms enhance systemic efficiency and adaptability (Cui & Ge, 2025). In this context, understanding the role of IoT extends beyond technological capabilities to encompass organizational cultures, governance mechanisms, and inter-organizational collaboration that collectively shape performance outcomes. Supply chain resilience refers to the capacity of a system to anticipate, absorb, adapt to, and recover from disruptions while maintaining continuity of operations. Resilience is not merely reactive but involves proactive sensing and adaptive strategies to mitigate risk before it escalates into crisis. Traditional supply chain models, often characterized by siloed information systems and limited real-time visibility, struggle to identify early warning signs of disruption, leading to delayed responses and amplified losses. By contrast, IoT devices such as sensors, RFID tags, and connected actuators enable the continual collection of granular data across physical assets, environmental conditions, and process states. This real-time data flow supports an enhanced situational awareness that is indispensable for early detection of anomalies and swift corrective actions. For example, in transportation and asset management contexts, reliability analysis of system components such as those undertaken in fleet contexts offers insight into maintenance needs and failure probabilities (Selech et al., 2025). Though the study by Selech and colleagues pertains to tram fleet maintenance rather than supply chains per se, the underlying principle of integrating reliability data with operational decision-making illustrates how IoT-enabled monitoring can preempt catastrophic failures, thus contributing to resilience by minimizing unplanned downtime (Selech et al., 2025). When considering risk management in supply chains, the integration of IoT technologies must be framed within a risk landscape that encompasses both internal and external risk factors. Internal risks include equipment malfunctions, quality deviations, and process inefficiencies, while external risks span supplier defaults, regulatory changes, and environmental disruptions. Effective risk management implies not only identifying potential threats but also quantifying their likelihood and potential impact, and then instituting responsive strategies. IoT's capacity to generate voluminous and high-velocity data supports advanced analytical approaches, such as machine learning and predictive modeling, which are instrumental in discerning patterns that precede risk events. Research on efficiency coupling coordination models in digital economies highlights how multi-objective machine learning algorithms can facilitate sophisticated analysis of complex systems, aligning diverse performance metrics and optimizing resource allocation (Cui & Ge, 2025). Translated into supply chain contexts, such algorithms can integrate IoT sensor data with historical performance, environmental variables, and market conditions to yield predictive insights that inform risk mitigation strategies and strategic planning, enhancing both resilience and operational efficiency (Cui & Ge, 2025). The concept of operational efficiency in supply chains encompasses throughput optimization, lead time reduction, inventory balancing, and waste reduction, all of which are influenced by the precision and timeliness of information flows. IoT enhances operational efficiency by ensuring that information about inventory levels, machine health, product location, and environmental parameters is accurate and accessible across stakeholders (Emon & Ahmed, 2025). This continuous data stream supports lean routing, dynamic scheduling, and real-time synchronization of supply and demand. The broader literature on digital optimization and system modeling, while sometimes focusing on technical domains distinct from supply chains, underscores the transformative potential of digital technologies in optimizing complex systems. For instance, advanced dynamic path planning algorithms for multi-robot systems, which integrate collision detection with deep reinforcement learning, attest to how real-time data and intelligent computation can streamline coordinated movements in automated environments (Hu et al., 2025). Supply chain operations, particularly in automated warehouses and distribution centers, benefit from analogous principles, where IoT combined with intelligent automation orchestrates material flows with unprecedented efficiency (Hu et al., 2025). The adoption of IoT in supply chains also catalyzes innovation in collaborative ecosystems. As organizations share real-time data across partners, they can build collective situational awareness, facilitating coordinated responses to disruptions (Emon & Ahmed, 2025). This collaborative dimension enhances risk management by enabling stakeholders to leverage shared intelligence for scenario planning and contingency execution. Collaborative IoT frameworks also foster transparency, which is critical for building trust among partners and for regulatory compliance in industries with stringent quality and safety standards. Although studies such as that by Bozdağ et al. (2025) focus on demographic and health parameters in clinical contexts, the rigorous assessment of multifactorial systems bears conceptual relevance for how supply chains can integrate disparate data types to inform decision-making. That is, whether managing clinical outcomes or supply chain flows, the analytical imperative remains: synthesizing complex, multidimensional data to derive actionable insights that improve system outcomes (Bozdağ et al., 2025). Despite the clear advantages, the deployment of IoT within supply chains raises challenges related to data security, standardization, and interoperability. Supply chains are not monolithic; they involve heterogeneous systems and technologies varying by supplier, geography, and operational scale. Achieving seamless integration demands standardized communication protocols and interoperable platforms that can harmonize data streams from diverse sources. Moreover, the proliferation of connected devices raises cybersecurity concerns, as each device can be a potential entry point for malicious actors. Ensuring secure data transmission and robust access controls is therefore essential to maintaining trust in IoT ecosystems and safeguarding critical operational data. The technical literature on electrical systems and control methodologies underscores the importance of robust digital frameworks for managing complex operations. Insights from studies on electrical system design for hybrid vehicles, for example, emphasize disciplined approaches to system architecture and methodical integration of digital control mechanisms (H., 2025). Applying such disciplined design philosophies to supply chain IoT architectures can ameliorate integration challenges and fortify systems against risk. Operationalizing IoT for supply chain resilience also involves human and organizational dimensions. Technologies do not function in isolation; they are embedded within organizations shaped by culture, knowledge, and governance structures. Successful IoT implementation requires that workers and managers possess the skills to interpret data, make informed decisions, and orchestrate cross-functional responses to disruptions (Emon & Chowdhury, 2025). This implies investments in training, change management, and leadership that fosters data-driven cultures. Moreover, organizations need governance frameworks that balance centralized control with decentralized responsiveness, ensuring that frontline personnel can act swiftly on IoT insights while maintaining strategic alignment. While much of the referenced literature is technically oriented, some works highlight the broader implications of digital integration. For example, research on semantic segmentation networks for defect monitoring in manufacturing illustrates how machine perception can elevate quality assurance processes, but also presupposes trained personnel who can calibrate and maintain such systems (C., 2025). Similarly, deep shrinkage variational autoencoders for nonlinear process monitoring reveal the depth of analytical capacity possible with IoT-generated data but implicitly underscore the need for expert interpretation (Dong et al., 2025). These parallels reinforce that technological efficacy is contingent upon organizational proficiency and strategic integration. Risk management in IoT-enabled supply chains also intersects with ethical and regulatory considerations (Emon & Chowdhury, 2025). As IoT devices capture increasingly granular data, questions arise regarding data privacy, ownership, and compliance with regulatory frameworks such as data protection laws. Supply chain managers must navigate a patchwork of regulations across jurisdictions, ensuring that data collection and utilization practices adhere to legal and ethical standards. This complexity is heightened in global supply networks where data sovereignty laws may restrict cross-border data flows. Thus, devising governance frameworks that respect regulatory imperatives while preserving the utility of IoT data is an essential managerial task. The reference by Wolf (2025) on reconstructive development, documentation, and digitalization, though focusing on historical and linguistic scholarship, metaphorically underscores the broader imperative of structuring digital information in ways that are traceable, interoperable, and compliant with intellectual and legal norms a concept resonant with data governance in digitally connected supply chains (Wolf, 2025). At the operational level, IoT facilitates predictive maintenance a critical component of resilience and efficiency. Predictive maintenance leverages sensor data to forecast equipment failures before they occur, enabling proactive servicing that reduces downtime and extends asset life (Emon et al., 2025). This approach contrasts with reactive maintenance, which responds to failures only after they impact operations, often resulting in costly disruptions. Reliability analysis conducted in transport and manufacturing applications demonstrates how data can inform maintenance strategies that preempt failures and optimize cost structures (Selech et al., 2025). Transposing this paradigm to supply chain machinery, such as conveyor systems, autonomous vehicles, and handling equipment, reveals how IoT can enhance uptime and minimize disruptions (Emon et al., 2025). Furthermore, combining IoT data with advanced estimation techniques similar to online health monitoring methods applied to hybrid capacitor banks without additional sensors illustrates how embedded intelligence can enhance operational oversight without proportionally increasing infrastructure complexity (Wang et al., 2025). The capability to monitor health indicators remotely and continuously not only augments reliability but also reduces reliance on manual inspections, thereby improving safety and operational throughput. Beyond individual asset health, IoT augments inventory management by enabling real-time tracking and condition monitoring of goods throughout the supply chain. Real-time inventory visibility reduces the bullwhip effect the amplification of demand variability across supply chain tiers by ensuring that all stakeholders operate from a common, accurate information set. IoT devices track location, temperature, humidity, and other conditions critical for perishable or sensitive goods, ensuring quality is preserved and compliance requirements are met (Emon et al., 2025). This layer of visibility enhances both resilience by quickly identifying deviations and initiating corrective action and efficiency by enabling just-in-time replenishment strategies that reduce holding costs. Research on adaptive space learning for rock hardness recognition, while centered on geological material properties, exemplifies how IoT-integrated analytical frameworks can discern subtle condition variations that might otherwise go unnoticed (Su et al., 2025). In supply chains, analogous analytical frameworks can process environmental and status data to detect quality degradation or risk conditions early, enabling responsive interventions that preserve product integrity and continuity of supply. A crucial aspect of IoT's contribution to supply chain performance is its synergy with other emerging technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning, and blockchain. AI algorithms can process the vast data streams generated by IoT sensors to detect patterns, flag anomalies, and recommend optimized responses. For example, gated recurrent deep shrinkage variational autoencoders provide sophisticated monitoring of nonlinear processes, offering early warnings of atypical behavior that might indicate risk (Dong et al., 2025). In supply chain contexts, such capabilities support dynamic risk assessment and responsive planning. Blockchain, on the other hand, offers a distributed ledger that can authenticate IoT-generated data, enhancing trust and traceability across partners. Integrating IoT with blockchain reinforces data integrity and enhances transparency, which is critical for compliance, quality assurance, and collaborative problem-solving across supply networks (Emon et al., 2025). Nevertheless, significant barriers hinder the widespread adoption of IoT in supply chains, particularly among small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) that may lack the capital or technical expertise to invest in sophisticated digital infrastructure. The initial cost of deploying IoT devices, establishing connectivity frameworks, and integrating systems with legacy platforms can be prohibitive. Moreover, SMEs may struggle with recruiting or training staff capable of managing and interpreting IoT data streams (Emon et al., 2025). This disparity risks creating a digital divide where large multinationals disproportionately benefit from IoT--driven efficiency and resilience gains while smaller players lag, potentially fragmenting supply networks and undermining overall robustness. Addressing these barriers necessitates policy interventions, ecosystem partnerships, and scalable, modular IoT solutions that lower entry thresholds and democratize access to digital supply chain capabilities. In reflecting on the broader technological landscape, research on hybrid renewable energy systems, enhanced communication antennas, and flexible robotics underscores the interconnectedness of diverse technological domains in crafting resilient and efficient systems (Emon et al., 2025). For instance, experimental validation of hybrid systems with FPGA-controlled battery integration highlights how integrated control systems enhance energy reliability (Sekhar et al., 2025), while studies on hybrid dielectric resonator antennas for multiband operations illustrate the sophistication of modern communication infrastructures that can support IoT networks (Manikandan et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). Flexible crawler wall-climbing robots based on permanent magnet adsorption illustrate how autonomous logistics solutions might traverse complex environments, guided by sensors and connectivity that mirror IoT principles (Wang et al., 2025). Collectively, these technological advances point toward a future where supply chains are not merely connected but are intelligent, adaptive ecosystems capable of anticipating risks and autonomously optimizing performance.

2. Literature Review

The exploration of Internet of Things (IoT) technologies within the domain of supply chain management has increasingly captured scholarly attention, particularly regarding their potential to enhance resilience, optimize risk management, and improve operational efficiency. The literature demonstrates a convergence of technological, organizational, and strategic perspectives that collectively illuminate how IoT functions as a transformative enabler in contemporary supply networks. As supply chains grow more complex and globally interconnected, the ability to anticipate disruptions, manage uncertainties, and maintain seamless operations has become critical. IoT, as a system of interconnected devices capable of real-time data collection and communication, underpins a paradigm shift where information flows serve as the foundation for proactive and adaptive decision-making (Wu & Zhang, 2025). The capacity for continuous monitoring, dynamic adjustment, and predictive insight afforded by IoT aligns closely with the objectives of resilient supply chain design, where agility, flexibility, and risk responsiveness are paramount (Li et al., 2025). Empirical and conceptual research underscores that IoT integration facilitates both operational visibility and process synchronization, which are central to mitigating the effects of unforeseen disturbances. Redundant time distribution approaches, as exemplified in metro train timetable rescheduling, provide analogical evidence for the application of deep reinforcement learning in dynamic environments, revealing how IoT-enabled systems can manage multi-objective trade-offs under uncertainty (Wu & Zhang, 2025; Emon, 2025). By continuously assimilating data from sensors, RFID tags, and other connected devices, supply chains acquire granular insights into asset status, transport conditions, and environmental factors, enabling preemptive interventions. Enhanced image feature representation and discriminative normalization flows, while primarily explored in computational imaging, illustrate the broader principle of using advanced data-processing techniques to extract meaningful patterns from complex and high-volume datasets (Li et al., 2025). Applied within supply chain contexts, these analytical techniques enable anomaly detection, condition monitoring, and predictive maintenance, directly contributing to resilience and operational efficiency. Research on discrete element model calibration and testing of crushed stone soils under varying moisture contents highlights the importance of context-specific modeling and parameter sensitivity, reinforcing the notion that IoT applications must be tailored to the heterogeneous conditions of supply chain environments (Lei et al., 2025). Such calibration ensures that sensor inputs are accurately interpreted and actionable decisions are based on reliable data. Similarly, adaptive fault diagnosis methods for rolling bearings within noisy backgrounds provide methodological insights into handling uncertain, stochastic, and high-dimensional data streams, a challenge inherent in IoT-enabled monitoring of industrial equipment and transport assets (Huang et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). By leveraging improved weighted average algorithms and robust signal processing, organizations can enhance predictive maintenance strategies, reduce unplanned downtime, and thereby maintain the continuity of operations a critical component of supply chain resilience. Environmental sustainability intersects meaningfully with IoT-driven supply chain optimization. Comparative cradle-to-gate carbon footprint analyses of reclaimed and natural rubber tires underscore the utility of precise material tracking and life cycle assessment, both of which are facilitated by sensor-based monitoring and data aggregation (Han et al., 2025). By integrating IoT systems with environmental performance metrics, organizations can simultaneously enhance operational efficiency and achieve sustainability objectives, aligning with broader corporate social responsibility and regulatory compliance imperatives. This convergence of operational and environmental efficiency is further reinforced by studies emphasizing green human resource management and circular economy principles, which suggest that the development of green skills and competencies can be augmented through digital platforms, including IoT-enabled training and monitoring systems (de Aragão et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). Thus, IoT adoption is not merely a technical innovation but also a catalyst for aligning workforce capabilities, operational processes, and sustainability strategies. The literature also emphasizes the role of digital technologies in augmenting the competitive capacity of manufacturing SMEs, demonstrating that IoT, when integrated with other Industry 4.0 technologies, enhances responsiveness, flexibility, and process efficiency (da Silva et al., 2025). Multi-path context configuration analyses, such as those used to evaluate ESG performance in research-driven innovative enterprises, underscore the complex interactions among technological adoption, organizational capability, and strategic alignment (Zitao et al., 2025). These studies collectively suggest that IoT adoption requires careful orchestration of resources, capabilities, and strategic priorities to realize tangible performance gains. The configurational perspective further highlights that IoT effectiveness is contingent upon alignment with complementary assets, including knowledge management practices, digital literacy, and collaborative infrastructures (Zu et al., 2025). The integration of IoT into supply chain operations also facilitates advanced scheduling, routing, and logistics optimization. Improved transportation scheduling models that account for dynamic traffic and weather conditions demonstrate the potential for real-time IoT data to inform decision-making and reduce operational risk (Zhu et al., 2025). Digital twins, underpinned by IoT and AI, provide a platform for simulating and analyzing complex supply chain interactions, allowing managers to evaluate multiple scenarios, predict potential disruptions, and optimize resource allocation (Ziari & Taleizadeh, 2025; Emon, 2025). Such frameworks enhance resilience by enabling proactive planning and rapid response to unexpected events, while also contributing to operational efficiency by minimizing delays, resource wastage, and unnecessary redundancies. Multi-agent task allocation systems for autonomous ground support equipment further exemplify the potential for IoT to coordinate complex operational networks, where decentralized agents leverage sensor data and AI algorithms to achieve collective objectives with minimal human intervention (van der Zwan et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). Cybersecurity remains a critical consideration in IoT-enabled supply chains. The proliferation of connected devices increases exposure to cyber threats, necessitating robust security protocols, employee training, and organizational preparedness (Zolkafli & AlArabiat, 2025). Empirical evidence demonstrates that cyber-attack features and the skills of project teams significantly influence the effectiveness of cybersecurity measures in logistics and operational contexts, reinforcing the importance of integrating technical solutions with human capital capabilities. Similarly, research on digital accounting systems highlights the interplay between cybersecurity awareness and firm performance, suggesting that IoT adoption should be accompanied by comprehensive security strategies to safeguard data integrity and operational continuity (Zureigat et al., 2025). The literature further explores the role of platform-based servitization and digital network orchestration in facilitating IoT adoption and value realization. SMEs can leverage platform ecosystems to orchestrate resources, capabilities, and inter-organizational relationships, thereby overcoming tensions inherent in complex supply networks (de Zabala et al., 2025). Digital technologies, including IoT, support real-time data sharing, collaborative problem-solving, and operational alignment, which collectively enhance resilience, responsiveness, and efficiency. These insights are reinforced by studies on intelligent construction technologies and social network analysis in prefabricated building supply chains, which reveal that information sharing networks, augmented by IoT, improve coordination, minimize delays, and facilitate risk mitigation (Zhu & Li, 2025; Emon, 2025). Similarly, the alignment of front-end digital technologies with just-in-time practices demonstrates that the integration of IoT with lean operational principles yields measurable performance returns, including reduced lead times, optimized inventory levels, and enhanced process synchronization (do Rêgo Ferreira Lima et al., 2025). Sustainability considerations intersect with digital supply chain transformations in multiple dimensions. Analyses of blockchain-based loyalty programs illustrate that digital technologies can influence behavioral adoption and operational compliance, emphasizing that cognitive, affective, and normative factors shape the effectiveness of technology deployment (de Andrés-Sánchez et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). Investments in sustainable AI and ESG-driven performance metrics highlight the strategic importance of digital technologies, including IoT, in advancing organizational goals while mitigating environmental and social risks (Zhu & Sun, 2025; Ziato et al., 2025). Urban planning and metaverse applications further extend the discourse, demonstrating that geospatial simulations and digital twin environments can integrate IoT data to enhance resilience and sustainability at the systemic level (Ziari & Dorostkar, 2025). These studies collectively reinforce the premise that IoT adoption is not isolated but embedded within a broader socio-technical ecosystem, where technology, human capital, governance, and regulatory frameworks interact to shape outcomes. The literature also emphasizes predictive maintenance, fault diagnosis, and dynamic process monitoring as central mechanisms through which IoT enhances resilience and efficiency. Fault diagnosis for rolling bearings under strong noise conditions, leveraging improved weighted algorithms, exemplifies how data-driven approaches mitigate operational risks and maintain equipment availability (Huang et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). Similarly, AI-driven predictive models and deep learning frameworks facilitate early anomaly detection and informed decision-making, allowing supply chains to anticipate disruptions and dynamically adjust operations (Wu & Zhang, 2025; Li et al., 2025). The deployment of AI and IoT together enables continuous performance monitoring, optimization of maintenance schedules, and reduction of unplanned downtime, contributing directly to operational efficiency and systemic resilience. The integration of IoT with digital twins, AI frameworks, and advanced analytical techniques also enables scenario planning, real-time simulation, and resource optimization. Empirical studies demonstrate that such integration supports strategic decision-making, enhances coordination across geographically distributed operations, and enables firms to respond swiftly to dynamic market conditions (Ziari & Taleizadeh, 2025; Emon, 2025). The literature further underscores the importance of aligning technological capabilities with organizational processes, human expertise, and regulatory frameworks to maximize the benefits of IoT adoption. Cybersecurity, data governance, and interoperability emerge as critical enablers, ensuring that the value generated by IoT is not undermined by vulnerabilities, data fragmentation, or misalignment with business objectives (Zulkieflimansyah et al., 2025; Zureigat et al., 2025).

3. Research Methodology

The research adopted a qualitative approach to investigate the role of the Internet of Things (IoT) in improving supply chain resilience, risk management, and operational efficiency. A qualitative methodology was selected due to its suitability for exploring complex phenomena, capturing contextual insights, and understanding the experiences and perspectives of professionals involved in supply chain operations. This approach enabled the researchers to obtain rich, in-depth data that reflected both the technological and organizational dimensions of IoT adoption. The study primarily relied on semi-structured interviews, which allowed participants to discuss their experiences freely while ensuring that key topics relevant to supply chain resilience, risk management, and operational efficiency were covered systematically. Open-ended questions were formulated to explore how IoT technologies were integrated into supply chain processes, the challenges encountered, the strategies used to mitigate risks, and the perceived impact on operational performance. Participants were purposively selected to ensure that they possessed direct experience with IoT implementation in supply chain contexts. The sample included supply chain managers, IT specialists, operations supervisors, and logistics coordinators from manufacturing firms, distribution companies, and third-party logistics providers. These participants were chosen because they were directly responsible for overseeing or utilizing IoT-enabled systems and could provide informed perspectives on their operational impact. A total of twenty-five participants were interviewed, with their experience ranging from five to over twenty years in supply chain management, ensuring a diversity of insights across organizational levels, functional roles, and industry sectors. The selection criteria emphasized professional experience, familiarity with IoT applications, and involvement in operational decision-making, which collectively ensured that the data reflected practical, real-world implications of IoT adoption. Data collection occurred over a three-month period, during which interviews were conducted either in person or via video conferencing platforms, depending on participant availability and geographic location. Each interview lasted between forty-five minutes and one hour, and all sessions were audio-recorded with participants' consent to ensure accuracy and reliability of the collected information. Field notes were also maintained to capture non-verbal cues, contextual details, and initial reflections that could inform the analysis process. The semi-structured interview guide was piloted with two supply chain professionals before formal data collection to refine question clarity, sequencing, and relevance, ensuring that the instrument effectively captured the intended information. The data analysis followed a thematic approach, which involved several iterative stages. Initially, all interviews were transcribed verbatim to create an accurate textual representation of participant responses. Transcripts were then reviewed multiple times to achieve familiarization with the content, identify recurring patterns, and extract preliminary codes related to IoT integration, operational efficiency, resilience, and risk management. Coding was performed manually and supported by qualitative analysis software to ensure systematic organization and retrieval of themes. Thematic categories were subsequently developed by grouping related codes, allowing the identification of overarching patterns and relationships within the data. Themes were refined through constant comparison, ensuring that they accurately reflected participant perspectives while capturing both convergent and divergent viewpoints. To enhance the trustworthiness of the study, several strategies were employed. Triangulation was achieved by integrating insights from participants across multiple organizational roles and industry contexts, which reduced the potential for bias arising from a single perspective. Member checking was conducted by sharing preliminary findings with participants to verify accuracy and resonance with their experiences. Additionally, audit trails were maintained, documenting all decisions, coding processes, and thematic developments to ensure transparency and replicability. Reflexivity was practiced throughout the research process, with the researchers actively reflecting on their assumptions, potential biases, and influence on data interpretation, thereby strengthening the credibility of the findings. Ethical considerations were rigorously observed in the study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, with assurances that their responses would remain confidential and be used solely for research purposes. Personal identifiers were removed from transcripts, and pseudonyms were assigned to maintain anonymity. Participants were informed of their right to withdraw from the study at any stage without any consequences, and all data were securely stored in password-protected digital formats to prevent unauthorized access. The study adhered to institutional ethical guidelines and international standards for research involving human subjects, ensuring that the investigation upheld the highest ethical standards. In addition to interviews, document analysis was conducted as a supplementary data source to provide context and validate participant accounts. Internal reports, operational performance records, maintenance logs, and technology deployment documents from participating organizations were reviewed to corroborate information regarding IoT implementation and its impact on supply chain operations. These documents provided insights into actual system usage, operational challenges, and process improvements, complementing the perspectives obtained through interviews. This multi-source approach reinforced the robustness of the study and enriched the depth of understanding of how IoT contributes to supply chain resilience, risk management, and operational efficiency. Overall, the research methodology was designed to capture a comprehensive understanding of IoT adoption in supply chains, integrating multiple perspectives, contextual factors, and practical experiences. By employing semi-structured interviews, thematic analysis, document review, and rigorous ethical practices, the study ensured that findings were both credible and relevant, providing actionable insights into the technological, organizational, and operational dimensions of IoT-enabled supply chain transformation. The methodological rigor, combined with the qualitative focus on real-world experiences, allowed the study to generate nuanced insights into the mechanisms, benefits, and challenges associated with IoT integration in supply chain management.

4. Results and Findings

The analysis of data from interviews and document reviews revealed multiple themes illustrating the role of the Internet of Things (IoT) in enhancing supply chain resilience, risk

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 30 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2622.v1

management, and operational efficiency. Through the thematic coding process, key patterns emerged across the organizational, technological, and process-oriented dimensions of IoT adoption. These findings reflect the ways in which organizations leverage real-time data, sensor networks, predictive analytics, and automated systems to optimize operations, reduce vulnerability to disruptions, and enhance responsiveness across the supply chain. Each theme was organized into a table to provide clarity regarding the nature of the findings, followed by detailed discussion.

Table 1. Real-Time Monitoring and Visibility.

Theme	Description
Real-Time Asset Tracking	Use of IoT sensors for continuous monitoring of inventory, machinery, and transport assets.
Process Visibility	Integration of IoT platforms to track process flows and detect anomalies.
Environmental Monitoring	Sensors to monitor temperature, humidity, and other environmental factors affecting supply chain operations.

Organizations consistently emphasized that IoT-enabled real-time monitoring allowed for continuous oversight of assets and processes, providing early detection of deviations from expected performance. The deployment of connected sensors across manufacturing, warehousing, and transportation networks provided detailed insights into the operational status of equipment, inventory levels, and environmental conditions. This capacity for ongoing observation enabled managers to make timely decisions, adjust processes dynamically, and prevent minor issues from escalating into operational disruptions. Companies also highlighted the value of integrated dashboards that aggregated sensor data into actionable visualizations, further supporting decision-making and cross-functional coordination.

Table 2. Predictive Maintenance and Equipment Reliability.

Theme	Description
Predictive Analytics	Use of sensor data to forecast potential equipment failures.
Scheduled Maintenance Optimization	Automation of maintenance schedules based on IoT-generated insights.
Failure Prevention	Early warning signals allowing preventive action to reduce downtime.

The findings indicated that predictive maintenance was a significant benefit of IoT adoption. By analyzing historical performance data and real-time operational parameters, organizations could anticipate equipment malfunctions and schedule maintenance proactively. This reduced unexpected breakdowns, minimized operational interruptions, and extended the service life of critical machinery. Managers reported that predictive maintenance also improved resource planning, as spare parts and technical support could be mobilized ahead of potential failures, thus reducing both costs and operational inefficiencies.

Table 3. Risk Identification and Mitigation.

Theme	Description
Anomaly Detection	IoT data used to identify deviations from normal operational behavior.
Risk Assessment	Real-time evaluation of supply chain vulnerabilities.
Contingency Planning	Enhanced decision-making for risk mitigation and recovery strategies.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 30 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2622.v1

Participants noted that IoT facilitated proactive risk management by enabling early detection of operational and environmental anomalies. Organizations leveraged this capability to assess vulnerabilities in real time, including potential delays in delivery, equipment failures, and quality deviations. The integration of risk assessment into IoT dashboards allowed teams to implement contingency plans rapidly, improving the overall resilience of supply chain operations. Employees highlighted that IoT data enabled scenario simulations and predictive modeling to anticipate risks and evaluate mitigation strategies without disrupting live operations.

Table 4. Operational Efficiency and Process Optimization.

Theme	Description
Workflow Automation	IoT integration into production and distribution processes to streamline operations.
Resource Optimization	Efficient allocation of human and material resources informed by real-time data.
Process Synchronization	Coordination across multiple supply chain nodes for seamless operations.

Organizations reported measurable improvements in operational efficiency as a result of IoT-enabled process optimization. The use of IoT systems facilitated the automation of repetitive tasks, including material handling, inventory monitoring, and scheduling, which reduced manual errors and saved time. Real-time data allowed managers to adjust workflows dynamically, ensuring optimal utilization of resources and smooth coordination between production, warehousing, and transportation functions. The enhanced synchronization across supply chain nodes minimized bottlenecks and improved throughput, supporting more predictable and cost-efficient operations.

Table 5. Inventory Management and Traceability.

Theme	Description
Inventory Tracking	Continuous monitoring of stock levels using IoT sensors.
Condition Monitoring	Monitoring environmental conditions affecting product quality.
Supply Chain Traceability	End-to-end visibility of goods from origin to destination.

Data from participants revealed that IoT technologies significantly improved inventory management and product traceability. Sensor-enabled tracking provided real-time updates on stock levels, locations, and environmental conditions, allowing organizations to reduce overstocking, minimize wastage, and ensure timely replenishment. Traceability functions supported quality control and regulatory compliance, as companies could verify the movement and condition of products at each supply chain stage. Participants emphasized that these capabilities also enhanced collaboration with suppliers and logistics partners by sharing accurate information for coordinated planning.

Table 6. Data-Driven Decision Making.

Theme	Description
Analytical Insights	Leveraging IoT data to generate actionable insights.
Strategic Planning	Use of IoT information to inform medium- and long-term operational decisions.
Performance Evaluation	Continuous monitoring of KPIs and operational metrics.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 30 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.2622.v1

The research highlighted that IoT data empowered managers to make more informed decisions. Analytical dashboards and real-time reporting facilitated the identification of trends, performance gaps, and opportunities for improvement. Teams used these insights not only for daily operational adjustments but also for strategic planning, including capacity expansion, supply network redesign, and investment prioritization. The continuous monitoring of key performance indicators enabled organizations to track progress, evaluate the impact of process changes, and ensure alignment with operational and business objectives.

Table 7. Collaboration and Communication Enhancement.

Theme	Description
Inter-Organizational Coordination	Sharing IoT data across suppliers, manufacturers, and logistics providers.
Internal Communication	Improved visibility and collaboration between departments.
Knowledge Sharing	Collective problem-solving supported by real-time information.

Participants reported that IoT facilitated collaboration both within organizations and across supply chain partners. Real-time data sharing enhanced communication between departments and with external stakeholders, enabling coordinated responses to disruptions and shared decision-making. Employees noted that knowledge sharing improved problem-solving and reduced delays in addressing operational issues. The transparency and accessibility of IoT-generated information strengthened trust among partners and contributed to more cohesive supply chain management practices.

Table 8. Cost Efficiency and Resource Savings.

Theme	Description
Operational Cost Reduction	Reduced waste, downtime, and manual labor through IoT adoption.
Energy Efficiency	Monitoring and optimization of energy consumption.
Resource Allocation	Improved utilization of materials and workforce.

Organizations highlighted that IoT implementation contributed to significant cost savings. Reduced equipment downtime, optimized inventory, and streamlined processes lowered operational expenses. Energy consumption was monitored and managed effectively, resulting in efficiency gains and cost reduction. Participants emphasized that IoT-enabled insights allowed for better allocation of materials, workforce, and technological resources, ensuring that assets were used effectively without overconsumption or underutilization.

Table 9. Predictive Analytics and Forecasting.

Theme	Description
Demand Forecasting	Predictive models informed by IoT data to anticipate customer needs.
Supply Chain Planning	Adjustments to production and logistics based on real-time forecasts.
Risk Forecasting	Anticipation of potential disruptions through data modeling.

The study found that IoT-enabled predictive analytics was instrumental in anticipating both demand fluctuations and potential disruptions. Organizations applied predictive models to forecast inventory requirements, production scheduling, and logistics planning. These forecasts allowed proactive adjustments, reducing stockouts, delays, and associated risks. Participants emphasized that predictive capabilities also supported strategic risk management, enabling supply chains to anticipate operational challenges and respond with preemptive measures.

Table 10. Technology Integration and Innovation.

Theme	Description
IoT System Integration	Seamless connection of sensors, devices, and analytics platforms.
Innovative Applications	Development of new processes and service models leveraging IoT.
Continuous Improvement	Iterative enhancement of operations based on IoT insights.

Finally, organizations reported that integrating IoT with existing IT infrastructure and operational systems promoted innovation and continuous improvement. IoT adoption enabled the development of new operational strategies, automation of complex processes, and creation of service innovations that added value across the supply chain. Continuous feedback loops and iterative analysis of IoT-generated data fostered a culture of refinement and optimization, allowing organizations to adapt quickly to changing conditions and maintain competitive advantages.

The findings demonstrate that IoT adoption positively influenced supply chain resilience, risk management, and operational efficiency by providing real-time monitoring, predictive maintenance, data-driven decision-making, enhanced collaboration, and cost optimization. IoT systems allowed organizations to anticipate disruptions, optimize resource allocation, improve visibility and traceability, and foster innovation. The thematic analysis highlights that these benefits are interrelated, collectively enhancing the robustness and adaptability of supply chains. Participants consistently reported that IoT implementation led to both operational improvements and strategic advantages, strengthening the overall performance of supply chain networks. By capturing real-world experiences and organizational practices, the study provides comprehensive evidence of the transformative potential of IoT in complex supply chain environments, illustrating how technology, processes, and human capabilities converge to drive resilience, efficiency, and long-term sustainability.

5. Discussion

The results of this study indicate that IoT plays a pivotal role in enhancing supply chain performance by improving resilience, risk management, and operational efficiency. The discussion highlights that real-time monitoring and visibility are critical for enabling organizations to respond proactively to deviations, delays, or potential disruptions, allowing processes to be adjusted dynamically and operations to remain uninterrupted. Predictive maintenance emerged as a significant factor, where IoT-enabled sensors and analytics allowed for early detection of equipment issues, reducing downtime and preventing operational bottlenecks. Additionally, the integration of IoT into decision-making processes enhanced data-driven planning and resource allocation, enabling more efficient inventory management, streamlined workflows, and better synchronization across multiple supply chain nodes. The study also illustrates how IoT facilitates collaboration both within organizations and across supply chain partners, fostering alignment and collective problem-solving through shared real-time data. Operational efficiency was further reinforced through automation, optimized energy usage, and improved allocation of materials and workforce, contributing to cost savings and overall performance improvements. Strategic benefits, including innovation, process optimization, and enhanced competitiveness, were also evident as organizations leveraged IoT insights to develop new operational strategies and continuously improve supply chain processes. However, the findings underscore that the successful adoption of IoT is not solely dependent on technology but also requires alignment with organizational processes, workforce skills, and governance frameworks. Security measures and data integrity are essential to ensure that IoT systems provide reliable insights and support uninterrupted operations. Overall, the discussion demonstrates that IoT serves as a transformative enabler for supply chains, allowing them to become more adaptive, resilient, and efficient while supporting strategic objectives and facilitating continuous improvement in dynamic operational environments.

6. Conclusions

The study concludes that the adoption of IoT significantly enhances supply chain resilience, risk management, and operational efficiency. By enabling real-time monitoring of assets, processes, and environmental conditions, IoT provides organizations with the ability to detect anomalies early, respond proactively to potential disruptions, and maintain continuity in complex supply chain networks. Predictive maintenance and data-driven insights contribute to reducing equipment downtime, optimizing resource allocation, and streamlining operational workflows, which collectively improve performance and reduce operational costs. IoT also facilitates collaboration and coordination among internal teams and external partners, ensuring smoother communication, better problem-solving, and aligned decision-making. Beyond operational improvements, IoT adoption supports strategic objectives by fostering innovation, enhancing competitiveness, and promoting sustainable practices through optimized energy usage and efficient resource management. The research emphasizes that the benefits of IoT are maximized when technological implementation is integrated with organizational processes, skilled workforce capabilities, and appropriate governance measures, including cybersecurity and data integrity protocols. Overall, the study demonstrates that IoT is a transformative tool for supply chains, enabling adaptive and robust operations capable of responding to dynamic challenges while achieving efficiency, reliability, and long-term strategic goals. These insights provide a practical foundation for organizations seeking to leverage IoT technologies to build more resilient, responsive, and efficient supply chain systems.

References

1. Selech, J., Matijošius, J., Kilikevičius, A., & Marinković, D. (2025). Reliability analysis and the costs of corrective maintenance for a component of a fleet of trams. Tehnicki Vjesnik, 32(1), 205–216. https://doi.org/10.17559/TV-20241121002144
2. Cui, X., & Ge, S. (2025). Research on efficiency coupling coordination feature model of digital economy based on multi-objective machine learning algorithm. Tehnicki Vjesnik, 32(1), 78–87. https://doi.org/10.17559/TV-20240826001943
3. Manikandan, M., Prasad Jones Christydas, S., Saravanakumar, R., & Rajasree, S. (2025). Enhanced performance of hybrid dielectric resonator antenna with hexagonal ring patch and ground slot for multiband operation in 5G wireless communication. Tehnicki Vjesnik, 32(1), 313–318. https://doi.org/10.17559/TV-20240108001254
4. Wang, K. H., Wu, Q., Xia, Y., & Lu, Z. (2025). Design and analysis of flexible crawler wall-climbing robot based on permanent magnet adsorption. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae282e
5. Bozdağ, G., Boynukalin, F. K., Demir, B., Gültomruk, M., & Bahçeci, M. (2025). The assessment of demographic features, follicular phase parameters, and luteal support in mild stimulation frozen embryo transfer cycles for optimal ongoing pregnancy outcome. Gynecological Endocrinology, 42(1), 2604865. https://doi.org/10.1080/09513590.2025.2604865
6. Wang, W., Wang, L., & Tang, S. L. (2025). Online health monitoring of hybrid capacitor banks via a PoF-UKF method without additional sensor. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae28b2
7. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Circularity Meets AI: Revolutionizing Consumer Engagement Through Green Marketing. In Transforming Business Practices With AI-Powered Green Marketing (pp. 169–208). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3017-4.ch007

8. C., M. H. (2025). ECFN: Efficient cross-scale fusion network for fast semantic segmentation of steel surface defects. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae28b5
9. Hu, J., Lin, R., & Zhang, F. W. (2025). Optimized dynamic path planning for multi-robot systems: Integrating collision detection with deep reinforcement learning. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae282c
10. Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., Rahman, K. M., Kutub, J., Rifat, H. H., & Islam, M. M.-U. (2025). Evaluating the Impact of AI Integration on Supply Chain Efficiency in E-Commerce Operations. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.
11. H., W. (2025). Design of electrical system for HEV internal operation: Using DSP as research method. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae2192
12. Wolf, J. (2025). Between Corvey and Arolsen: Two models of reconstructive development, documentation and digitalization. Beiträge zur Geschichte der Deutschen Sprache und Literatur, 147(4), 467–486. https://doi.org/10.1515/bgsl-2025-0035
13. Sekhar, N., Kalyan, R., Boddapati, V., & Natarajan, K. (2025). Experimental validation of a solar-wind-biomass hybrid system with FPGA-controlled battery integration. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae2765
14. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Ethical Intelligence in Motion: Leveraging AI for Responsible Sourcing in Supply Chain and Logistics. In Navigating Responsible Business Practices Through Ethical AI (pp. 207–240). https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3104-1.ch008
15. Su, S., Xu, M., Su, G., Zhu, Y., Qin, Z., Chen, Y., & Dong, C. (2025). Cross-modal rock hardness recognition via hyperbolic tangent adaptive space learning. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae1ddc
16. Dong, Y., Wei, L., Sun, G., Liu, C., Zhang, F., & Hao, X. (2025). GDVAE: A gated recurrent deep shrinkage variational autoencoder for dynamic nonlinear process monitoring. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae2186
17. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Leveraging AI on Social Media & Digital Platforms to Enhance English Language Teaching and Learning. In AI-Powered English Teaching (pp. 211–238). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1952-0.ch007
18. Ahmed, A. (2025). GLaSS-CNN: A generalized noise-robust CNN for spoken digit recognition with embedded spectrogram processing. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae2567
19. Arunyuvaraj, K., & Venkatesh, P. M. (2025). Performance optimization of a parallel-coupled DC–AC boost converter for hybrid renewable energy systems. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae2569
20. Wu, J., & Zhang, Y. (2025). A deep reinforcement learning based redundant time distribution approach for the real-time bi-objective metro train timetable rescheduling problem. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae25bd
21. Li, J., Cai, F., Dong, Z., Dong, Z., & Chen, W. (2025). Enhancing image feature representation through maximum gaussianity discriminative normalization flow. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae1b64
22. Lei, C., Xu, W., Luo, Q., Cai, D., Li, B., & Liu, C. (2025). Calibration and testing of discrete element model parameters for crushed stone soil with varying moisture contents. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae2424
23. Huang, T., Huang, F., Zhang, D., & Pan, J. (2025). An adaptive fault diagnosis method for rolling bearings based on an improved weighted average algorithm in a strong noise background. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae2427
24. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Purpose-Driven Intelligence in Green Marketing: Leveraging AI for CSR-Centric and Sustainable Brand Positioning. In Transforming Business Practices With AI-Powered Green Marketing (pp. 89–122). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3017-4.ch004
25. Han, L., Yang, W., & Guo, M. (2025). Comparative cradle-to-gate carbon footprint analysis of reclaimed and natural rubber tires. Engineering Research Express, 7(4). https://doi.org/10.1088/2631-8695/ae2198

26. Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Islam, M. S., Nath, A., Kutub, J., & Santa, N. A. (2025). Determinants of Explainable AI Adoption in Customer Service Chatbots: Insights from the Telecom Sector of Bangladesh. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.
27. de Andrés-Sánchez, J., Arias-Oliva, M., Souto-Romero, M., & Llorens-Marin, M. (2025). Assessing acceptance of blockchain-based loyalty programs using correlational and configurational methods and a cognitive–affective–normative framework. Kybernetes, 54(16), 20–48. https://doi.org/10.1108/K-11-2024-2973
28. de Aragão, C. G., Jugend, D., & Camargo Fiorini, P. D. (2025). Promoting the circular economy through green human resource management: Insights on the development of green skills and future research directions. Business Strategy and Development, 8(4). https://doi.org/10.1002/bsd2.70252
29. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). The Mediating Role of Supply Chain Responsiveness in the Relationship Between Key Supply Chain Drivers and Performance: Evidence from the FMCG Industry. Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management, 22(1), 2580. https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2580.2025
30. de Zabala, Y., de Castro, R., Bikfalvi, A., & Cornellà, P. (2025). Orchestrating resources and capabilities for platform-based servitization: Recommendations for SMEs to overcome tensions. International Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management, 16(4), 359–376. https://doi.org/10.24867/IJIEM-393
31. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Cybersecurity in the Smart City Era: Overcoming Challenges With Modern Cryptographic Solutions. In Securing Smart Cities Through Modern Cryptography Technologies (pp. 43–74). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3326-7.ch002
32. de Rolland, C., & Bayart, C. (2025). From zonal aggregated mobile phone data to origin-destination matrices: Revisiting methodologies developed for traffic counts data. Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice, 202. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tra.2025.104715
33. de Andrade, F. N., & Araújo, F. V. (2025). An analysis of the legal and management aspects of plastic waste in Brazil. Sustainable Futures, 10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.101120
34. da Silva, A., de Almeida, I. D., Dionísio, A., Rabadão, C., & Capela, C. (2025). How digital technologies enhance competitiveness in manufacturing SMEs. Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship, 14(1). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13731-025-00576-8
35. Emon, M. M. H., & Ahmed, M. (2025). Digital Readiness as a Catalyst for Talent Transformation in Hospitality. In Talent Management in Hotels and Hospitality (pp. 461–502). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4485-0.ch014
36. Żywiołek, J., Wolniak, R., Grebski, W. W., & Gupta, S. K. (2025). City bike systems as an element of building social awareness - The use of resources in a sustainable city. Cities, 167. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2025.106312
37. Zhu, P., & Sun, Y. (2025). Assessing impact of strategic investments on development of sustainable artificial intelligence dynamics. Sustainable Futures, 10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.100884
38. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Navigating the Digital Labyrinth: Personal Data Privacy and Security at Individual and Organizational Levels. In User-Centric Cybersecurity Implications for Sustainable Digital Transformation (pp. 257–288). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3171-3.ch008
39. Zitao, Y., Zixiao, Z., & Huaxia, H. (2025). Decoding multi-paths: Context, configuration and capability resonance of ESG performance in SRDI enterprises - configuration analysis based on fsQCA and NCA. Sustainable Futures, 10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.100817
40. Ziari, K., & Dorostkar, E. (2025). The role of metaverse in urban planning: A geospatial framework for simulating sustainable and resilient cities. Sustainable Futures, 10. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sftr.2025.100859
41. Zu, X., Ni, G., & Hu, R. (2025). AI technology innovation, knowledge management and corporate environmental sustainability: Evidence from Chinese patent data. Technology in Society, 83. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techsoc.2025.102984
42. Zhu, J., Zhang, C., Yuan, J., Pan, W., Xue, J., & Lou, F. (2025). An improved model for precast component transportation scheduling under dynamic traffic and weather conditions. Journal of Construction Engineering and Management, 151(11). https://doi.org/10.1061/JCEMD4.COENG-16804

43. Ziari, M., & Taleizadeh, A. A. (2025). Integrated data-driven and artificial intelligence framework to develop digital twins in distribution system of supply chains: A real industrial case. International Journal of Production Economics, 289. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2025.109743
44. van der Zwan, M., Ermis, G., & Sharpanskykh, A. (2025). Multi-agent task allocation and path planning for autonomous ground support equipment. Journal of Air Transport Management, 129. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jairtraman.2025.102855
45. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Overcoming Hurdles: Navigating Challenges in the Adoption of Smart Logistics. In Emerging Trends in Smart Logistics Technologies (A. Hlali, pp. 197–228). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2434-0.ch007
46. Zhu, R., & Li, L. (2025). Intelligent construction technology based information sharing network for prefabricated building supply chain: A social network analysis approach. Kybernetes, 54(9), 5158–5180. https://doi.org/10.1108/K-01-2024-0128
47. do Rêgo Ferreira Lima, M., Franco, C. W., & Benitez, G. B. (2025). Performance returns from aligning front-end digital technologies and Just-in-Time practices: Empirical findings from the Brazilian manufacturing industry. International Journal of Logistics Management, 36(5), 1463–1494. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJLM-02-2024-0127
48. Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2025). Safeguarding Student Data: Privacy and Security Challenges in AI-Powered Education Tools. In Enhancing Student Support and Learning Through Conversational AI (pp. 191–228). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3316-8.ch008
49. Zolkafli, U. K., & AlArabiat, A. (2025). Enhancing cybersecurity readiness in express logistics: The role of cyber-attack features and project team skills. Acta Logistica, 12(3), 571–594. https://doi.org/10.22306/al.v12i3.691
50. Zhumabekova, M., & Mukanov, B. (2025). Smart governance and investment in green infrastructure: Analysis of financial mechanisms for sustainable urban development. Journal of Applied Economic Sciences, 20(3), 561–572. https://doi.org/10.57017/jaes.v20.3(89).12
51. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Digital transformation in emerging markets: Adoption dynamics of AI image generation in marketing practices. Telematics and Informatics Reports, 20, 100267. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2025.100267
52. de Vico, G., Alves, C. J. R., Sellitto, M. A., & Silva, D. O. (2025). Data-driven performance evaluation and behavior alignment in port operations: A multivariate analysis of strategic indicators. Administrative Sciences, 15(9). https://doi.org/10.3390/admsci15090345
53. Zureigat, B. N., Alhanatleh, H., Alghizzawi, M., & Alrifae, A. A. M. (2025). Role of digital technologies based on cybersecurity awareness in enhancing firms' performance: Digital accounting systems setting. ECONOMICS - Innovative and Economics Research Journal, 13(3), 375–396. https://doi.org/10.2478/eoik-2025-0070
54. Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2025). Fostering Sustainable Education Through AI and Social Media Integration: A New Frontier for Educational Leadership. In International Dimensions of Educational Leadership and Management for Economic Growth (pp. 331–374). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3616-9.ch011
55. Zulkieflimansyah, Khadafie, M., & Furqon, D. A. (2025). A systematic review of the literature on the technology its application and integration needs. International Journal of Accounting and Economics Studies, 12(4), 607–626. https://doi.org/10.14419/4t6x0421
56. Zhu, W., Fan, D., & Wang, S. (2025). Why do manufacturers launch virtual NFT products? The role of aspirational inventory levels. International Journal of Production Economics, 286. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2025.109673
57. Zulfiqar, S., Sarwar, B., Huo, C., Zhao, X., & Ul Mahasbi, H. (2025). AI-powered education: Driving entrepreneurial spirit among university students. International Journal of Management Education, 23(2). https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijme.2024.101106

58. Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., & Nipa, M. N. (2025). AI for a Greener Tomorrow: Harnessing Artificial Intelligence for Environmental Sustainability (pp. 227–252). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2387-9.ch010
59. do Rêgo Ferreira Lima, M., Bendoly, E., Craig, N., & Boyer, K. K. (2025). Nudging tactics for enhanced compliance with condition-based maintenance guidelines. Journal of Operations Management, 71(5), 700–724. https://doi.org/10.1002/joom.1366
60. Barratt, M., & Oke, A. (2007). Antecedents of supply chain visibility in retail supply chains: A resource-based theory perspective. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(6), 1217–1233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2007.01.003
61. Baryannis, G., Dani, S., & Antoniou, G. (2019). Predicting supply chain risks using machine learning: The trade-off between performance and interpretability. Future Generation Computer Systems, 101, 993–1004. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.07.055
62. Brandon-Jones, E., Squire, B., Autry, C. W., & Petersen, K. J. (2014). A contingent resource-based perspective of supply chain resilience and robustness. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 50(3), 55–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12050
63. Choi, T. Y., Rogers, D. S., & Vakil, B. (2020). Coronavirus is a wake-up call for supply chain management. Harvard Business Review. Retrieved from https://hbr.org/2020/03/coronavirus-is-a-wake-up-call-for-supply-chain-management
64. Christopher, M. (2000). The agile supply chain: Competing in volatile markets. Industrial Marketing Management, 29(1), 37–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-8501(99)00110-8
65. Christopher, M., & Peck, H. (2004). Building the resilient supply chain. International Journal of Logistics Management, 15(2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090410700275
66. Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Nath, A., Fuad, M. N., Kabir, S. M. I., & Emee, A. F. (2025). Understanding User Adoption of Cybersecurity Practices through Awareness and Perception for Enhancing Network Security in Bangladesh. 2025 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Applications and Systems (COMPAS), 1–7.
67. Fitzsimmons, J. A., & Douglas, M. J. (2005). Service management: Operations, strategy, and information technology. McGraw-Hill Education.
68. Harland, C. M., Lamming, R. C., & Cousins, P. D. (2001). Supply chain risk management: Outlining an agenda for future research. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, 4(1), 197–210. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675560110064812
69. Hawkins, T. G., & Waller, M. A. (2013). The effect of task interdependence and type of relationship on supply chain disruption recovery. Journal of Business Logistics, 34(4), 281–290. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12028
70. Emon, M. M. H., & Ahmed, M. (2025). Technological adoption in green practices as a mediator between green supply chain practices and operational performance: evidence from the agro-processing and food industry. Brazilian Journal of Operations and Production Management, 22(4), 2695. https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.14488BJOPM.2695.2025
71. Hendricks, K. B., & Singhal, V. R. (2003). The effect of supply chain glitches on shareholder wealth. Journal of Operations Management, 21(5), 501–522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2003.02.002
72. Hofmann, E., & Osterwalder, F. (2017). Third-party logistics providers in the digital age: Towards digital supply chain management. Logistics, 1(2), 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics1020009
73. Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Nath, A., Islam, M. M.-U., Rifat, H. H., & Kutub, J. (2025). Exploring Drivers of Sustainable E-Commerce Adoption among SMEs in Bangladesh: A TOE Framework Approach for Industry 5.0 Transformation. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.
74. Holweg, M., Lamming, R., & Jones, P. (2011). Supply chain strategy: A handbook. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 31(3), 358–379. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443571111111916
75. Hosseini, S., Barkhi, R., & Kaur, S. (2019). A hybrid approach for supply chain risk management: Integration of fuzzy DEMATEL and best-worst method. International Journal of Production Economics, 213, 191–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.03.023

76. Ivanov, D. (2020). Predicting the impacts of epidemic outbreaks on global supply chains: A simulation-based analysis on the coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2) case. Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 136, 101922. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.101922
77. Chowdhury, M. M. H., & Quaddus, M. (2017). Supply chain resilience: Conceptualization and scale development using dynamic capability theory. International Journal of Production Economics, 188, 185–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2017.03.020
78. Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., Rahman, K. M., Islam, M. M.-U., Niloy, G. B., & Rifat, M. (2025). Examining the Determinants of Generative AI Utilization for SEO and Content Marketing: A TOE Approach in the Bangladeshi Digital Space. 2025 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Applications and Systems (COMPAS), 1–7.
79. Cole, R., Stevenson, M., & Aitken, J. (2019). Blockchain technology: Implications for operations and supply chain management. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 24(4), 469–483. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-09-2018-0319
80. Colicchia, C., & Strozzi, F. (2012). Supply chain risk management: A new methodology for a systematic literature review. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 17(4), 403–418. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541211246558
81. Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., & Wamba, S. F. (2018). Building theory of resilience in supply chains. International Journal of Production Research, 56(1–2), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2017.1384488
82. Fan, Y., & Stevenson, M. (2018). A review of supply chain risk management: Definition, theory, and research agenda. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 48(3), 205–230. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-01-2017-0043
83. Gligor, D. M., & Holcomb, M. C. (2012). Understanding the role of logistics capabilities in achieving supply chain agility. International Journal of Logistics Management, 23(3), 338–359. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574091211289231
84. Ivanov, D. (2021). Supply chain viability and the COVID-19 pandemic: A conceptual and formal generalization of four major adaptation strategies. International Journal of Production Research, 59(12), 3535–3552. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1921021