

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Exploring the Long-Term Strategic Implications of IoT Adoption in Business Management

[Mason Cooper](#)*

Posted Date: 30 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.2492.v1

Keywords: IoT adoption; business management; strategic transformation; organizational learning; decision-making; inter-organizational collaboration; resilience; competitive advantage



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Exploring the Long-Term Strategic Implications of IoT Adoption in Business Management

Mason Cooper

Kellogg School of Management; masonc@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Abstract

This study explores the long-term strategic implications of Internet of Things (IoT) adoption in business management through a qualitative research approach. While IoT has been widely recognized for its operational benefits, its broader strategic influence on decision-making, organizational learning, culture, and inter-organizational relationships has received limited attention. The research aimed to investigate how sustained IoT integration reshapes managerial practices, strategic planning, and competitive positioning over time. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with senior and middle-level managers, as well as digital transformation leads, from organizations that had implemented IoT systems for a minimum of three years. Thematic analysis was employed to identify recurring patterns, insights, and long-term outcomes associated with IoT adoption. Findings revealed that IoT adoption enables a shift from reactive to anticipatory strategies, decentralizes decision-making, and fosters dynamic capabilities that enhance organizational resilience. Furthermore, IoT was found to influence organizational culture by promoting transparency, accountability, and openness to innovation, which supports the translation of data-driven insights into sustained strategic actions. Inter-organizational relationships also evolved through improved collaboration, shared visibility, and trust, facilitating joint problem-solving and long-term partnerships. Additionally, IoT adoption contributed to risk management, sustainability initiatives, and competitive differentiation by providing actionable insights into operational efficiency, resource optimization, and market responsiveness. The study concludes that IoT adoption represents a continuous strategic transformation rather than a one-time technological implementation. Organizations that integrate IoT with cultural alignment, learning processes, and strategic objectives are better positioned to realize enduring benefits and maintain competitiveness in dynamic business environments. These insights provide a foundation for managers to leverage IoT as a strategic enabler for long-term growth and organizational development.

Keywords: IoT adoption; business management; strategic transformation; organizational learning; decision-making; inter-organizational collaboration; resilience; competitive advantage

1. Introduction

The rapid diffusion of Internet of Things (IoT) technologies has fundamentally reshaped contemporary business management by embedding digital intelligence into physical assets, organizational processes, and inter-organizational networks, thereby enabling firms to collect, process, and act upon real-time data at unprecedented scales and speeds (Singh et al., 2025; Nicoletti & Appolloni, 2025). As organizations increasingly adopt IoT-enabled systems across production, logistics, supply chains, energy management, and strategic decision-making, attention has shifted from short-term efficiency gains to the long-term strategic implications that such technologies impose on business models, governance structures, and competitive positioning (Dhaigude et al., 2025; Ramirez & Le, 2025). IoT adoption is no longer perceived merely as a technological upgrade but as a transformative capability that reconfigures how firms interact with markets, suppliers, regulators, and ecosystems over time (Agyabeng-Mensah et al., 2025; Tsuritani, 2025; Emon & Ahmed, 2025). From a qualitative perspective, understanding these long-term implications requires moving beyond

quantitative performance indicators to examine managerial sensemaking, organizational culture, strategic adaptation, and institutional alignment in an increasingly connected business environment (Sadaoui et al., 2025; Yana Mbena, 2025). The strategic relevance of IoT in business management is closely linked to its capacity to generate continuous streams of granular data that support predictive analytics, risk mitigation, and adaptive planning, which are critical for long-term competitiveness in volatile and uncertain environments (Nicoletti & Appolloni, 2025; Singh et al., 2025). IoT-enabled infrastructures allow organizations to monitor operational conditions, environmental impacts, and resource flows in real time, facilitating more informed strategic decisions that align operational efficiency with sustainability and regulatory compliance (Wang et al., 2025; Osezua & Tomomewo, 2025). In sectors such as manufacturing, logistics, and energy, the integration of IoT with artificial intelligence and cloud platforms has enabled firms to shift from reactive management to anticipatory strategies that enhance resilience and long-term value creation (Poorani et al., 2025; Yakobi & Nwodo, 2025). These developments underscore the importance of examining IoT adoption not as a discrete event but as an ongoing strategic process that evolves alongside organizational capabilities and external pressures (Dhaigude et al., 2025; Sadaoui et al., 2025; Emon & Ahmed, 2025). From a strategic management standpoint, IoT adoption influences how firms conceptualize and implement sustainability-oriented strategies, particularly in relation to circular economy practices and environmental performance (Agyabeng-Mensah et al., 2025; Li et al., 2025). By enabling precise tracking of material flows, emissions, and resource utilization, IoT systems support long-term sustainability goals while also shaping competitive differentiation and stakeholder legitimacy (Wang et al., 2025; González-Aguirre et al., 2025; Emon & Chowdhury, 2025). The ability to integrate IoT-generated data into strategic planning processes allows organizations to balance economic performance with environmental responsibility, which is increasingly essential in global markets characterized by stricter regulations and heightened societal expectations (Osezua & Tomomewo, 2025; Yana Mbena, 2025; Emon & Chowdhury, 2025). Qualitative inquiry into these dynamics provides deeper insight into how managers perceive and internalize sustainability imperatives when IoT becomes embedded in organizational routines and decision-making frameworks (Sadaoui et al., 2025; Agyabeng-Mensah et al., 2025). The long-term strategic implications of IoT adoption are particularly evident in supply chain and logistics management, where real-time visibility and data-driven coordination have redefined inter-organizational relationships and governance mechanisms (Ramirez & Le, 2025; Roushan et al., 2025). IoT-enabled supply chains facilitate enhanced traceability, risk monitoring, and collaboration across geographically dispersed networks, thereby supporting resilience against disruptions and systemic shocks (Le et al., 2025; Yohannis et al., 2025; Emon et al., 2025). Over time, these capabilities influence strategic sourcing decisions, supplier integration, and power dynamics within supply networks, raising important questions about dependency, equity, and long-term collaboration (Tsuritani, 2025; Dhaigude et al., 2025; Emon et al., 2025). Qualitative perspectives are essential for capturing how managers negotiate these evolving relationships and how IoT adoption reshapes trust, accountability, and strategic alignment across supply chain actors (Ramirez & Le, 2025; Roushan et al., 2025). IoT adoption also intersects with broader technological ecosystems that include artificial intelligence, blockchain, and cloud computing, further amplifying its strategic significance for long-term business transformation (Singh et al., 2025; Nicoletti & Appolloni, 2025). The convergence of these technologies enables advanced automation, secure data sharing, and intelligent decision-support systems that extend the strategic horizon of firms beyond operational efficiency toward innovation-driven growth (Poorani et al., 2025; Yakobi & Nwodo, 2025). However, the integration of IoT within such complex digital architectures introduces new strategic challenges related to cybersecurity, data governance, and organizational readiness, which require sustained managerial attention and adaptive capabilities over time (Singh et al., 2025; Dhaigude et al., 2025; Emon et al., 2025). A qualitative examination of these challenges can reveal how organizations learn, experiment, and institutionalize digital practices as part of their long-term strategic trajectories (Sadaoui et al., 2025; Nicoletti & Appolloni, 2025). The strategic implications of IoT adoption extend beyond firm-level outcomes to encompass broader economic and institutional

contexts, particularly in globalized markets characterized by uneven development and interdependence (Yana Mbena, 2025; Tsuritani, 2025). IoT-enabled transparency and data integration can reshape trade dynamics, investment decisions, and regulatory frameworks by altering how value is created and distributed across borders (Yana Mbena, 2025; Wang et al., 2025). In this regard, IoT adoption becomes a strategic tool not only for competitive advantage but also for navigating complex geopolitical and economic environments that influence long-term business viability (Ramirez & Le, 2025; Osezua & Tomomewo, 2025). Qualitative research offers a nuanced understanding of how business leaders interpret these macro-level shifts and incorporate them into strategic planning processes informed by IoT-enabled insights (Dhaigude et al., 2025; Yana Mbena, 2025; Emon et al., 2025). In industries characterized by high levels of uncertainty and risk, such as energy, healthcare, and agri-food systems, IoT adoption plays a critical role in supporting long-term strategic resilience and equity (Yakobi & Nwodo, 2025; Yohannis et al., 2025; Emon et al., 2025). IoT-based monitoring and predictive systems enable organizations to anticipate disruptions, optimize resource allocation, and ensure compliance with safety and quality standards over time (Poorani et al., 2025; Le et al., 2025). These capabilities have profound strategic implications for how organizations design governance structures, allocate investments, and balance efficiency with social responsibility in the long run (Osezua & Tomomewo, 2025; Agyabeng-Mensah et al., 2025; Emon et al., 2025). Qualitative insights into these processes help illuminate the human and organizational dimensions of strategic adaptation that quantitative metrics alone cannot capture (Sadaoui et al., 2025; Yohannis et al., 2025). The adoption of IoT also influences organizational culture and leadership practices, shaping how firms approach innovation, learning, and change management over extended periods (Sadaoui et al., 2025; Dhaigude et al., 2025). As IoT technologies become embedded in everyday operations, they require shifts in managerial mindsets, skill development, and cross-functional collaboration, all of which have lasting implications for strategic alignment and organizational identity (Nicoletti & Appolloni, 2025; Singh et al., 2025). Qualitative research is particularly well suited to exploring these cultural and behavioral dimensions, offering rich insights into how employees and leaders experience and interpret IoT-driven transformation over time (Sadaoui et al., 2025; Dhaigude et al., 2025). Such perspectives are essential for understanding why some organizations succeed in leveraging IoT for long-term strategic advantage while others struggle to realize its potential (Ramirez & Le, 2025; Tsuritani, 2025). Furthermore, IoT adoption contributes to the evolution of business strategies oriented toward innovation and diversification, particularly in sectors undergoing technological convergence and sustainability transitions (González-Aguirre et al., 2025; Li et al., 2025). By enabling real-time experimentation and data-driven optimization, IoT systems support the development of new products, services, and revenue models that extend beyond traditional industry boundaries (Poorani et al., 2025; Nicoletti & Appolloni, 2025). Over the long term, these strategic shifts can redefine competitive landscapes and alter the criteria by which organizational success is evaluated (Agyabeng-Mensah et al., 2025; Wang et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). A qualitative exploration of these dynamics provides valuable insights into how strategic foresight, technological capabilities, and organizational learning interact in the context of IoT adoption (Sadaoui et al., 2025; Singh et al., 2025). Taken together, the long-term strategic implications of IoT adoption in business management encompass a complex interplay of technological, organizational, environmental, and institutional factors that evolve over time (Dhaigude et al., 2025; Nicoletti & Appolloni, 2025). While existing research has highlighted the operational benefits of IoT, there remains a critical need for qualitative studies that examine how organizations strategically navigate the uncertainties, opportunities, and trade-offs associated with sustained IoT integration (Ramirez & Le, 2025; Sadaoui et al., 2025). By focusing on managerial experiences, strategic sensemaking, and organizational adaptation, qualitative research can offer deeper theoretical and practical insights into how IoT shapes long-term business trajectories in an increasingly interconnected world (Singh et al., 2025; Agyabeng-Mensah et al., 2025).

2. Literature Review

The literature on Internet of Things (IoT) adoption in business management increasingly emphasizes its strategic role in reshaping organizational processes, inter-firm relationships, and long-term competitive positioning through enhanced information exchange and digital integration across supply chains (Kourt & Ait Lhassan, 2025; Khan et al., 2025). Information exchange, facilitated by IoT-enabled data streams, is widely recognized as a foundational determinant of supply chain performance, as real-time visibility and data accuracy enable organizations to coordinate activities, reduce uncertainty, and align strategic objectives across functional and organizational boundaries (Kourt & Ait Lhassan, 2025; Ben Rhouma et al., 2025). Empirical evidence suggests that IoT adoption strengthens the depth and quality of information sharing among supply chain partners, thereby improving responsiveness, operational efficiency, and strategic adaptability in dynamic market environments (Keskin & Urgan, 2025; Khan et al., 2025). From a qualitative perspective, this enhanced information exchange is not merely a technical outcome but a strategic capability that reshapes managerial decision-making and long-term planning processes (Pan & Zhang, 2025; Ghariani & Boujelbène, 2025). Digital transformation literature further highlights that IoT adoption acts as a catalyst for deeper supply chain integration, which in turn mediates the relationship between digital investments and organizational performance outcomes (Ghariani & Boujelbène, 2025; Sarangi & Ghosh, 2025). Studies conducted in agro-industrial and healthcare contexts demonstrate that integrated digital infrastructures enabled by IoT technologies foster coordination across procurement, production, and distribution functions, thereby enhancing both financial and non-financial performance indicators (Ghariani & Boujelbène, 2025; Sarangi & Ghosh, 2025; Emon, 2025). These findings align with qualitative insights suggesting that long-term strategic benefits of IoT emerge gradually as organizations embed digital tools into routines, governance mechanisms, and inter-organizational trust structures (Khan et al., 2025; Pan & Zhang, 2025). The literature emphasizes that without sufficient integration, IoT investments may fail to generate sustained strategic value, underscoring the importance of organizational readiness and cultural alignment in long-term IoT adoption (Keskin & Urgan, 2025; Ben Rhouma et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). The convergence of IoT with advanced analytics, artificial intelligence, and high-speed connectivity such as 5G further amplifies its strategic implications across industries, particularly in sectors requiring real-time decision-making and risk mitigation (Singh et al., 2025; Fan et al., 2025). In healthcare systems, real-time data analytics enabled by IoT and AI integration has been shown to transform operational workflows, improve service delivery, and enhance system resilience, illustrating how IoT-driven intelligence reshapes long-term strategic priorities beyond efficiency gains (Singh et al., 2025; Sarangi & Ghosh, 2025). Similarly, in food safety and agri-food supply chains, IoT-enabled sensor technologies support continuous monitoring and traceability, contributing to long-term strategic goals related to quality assurance, regulatory compliance, and consumer trust (Fan et al., 2025; Ben Rhouma et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). These sectoral studies highlight that IoT adoption influences strategic orientation by embedding data-driven logic into organizational decision frameworks over time (Pan & Zhang, 2025; Khan et al., 2025). A growing body of literature also explores the role of blockchain and distributed ledger technologies in complementing IoT adoption, particularly in enhancing transparency, trust, and coordination across supply networks (Mahajan & Kaur, 2025; Aliu et al., 2025). Blockchain-enabled IoT systems facilitate immutable data sharing and automated transactions, which have strategic implications for governance structures and long-term collaboration among supply chain actors (Mahajan & Kaur, 2025; Aliu et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). In water supply chains and construction industries, studies reveal that integrating IoT with distributed ledger technologies strengthens accountability and reduces opportunistic behavior, thereby supporting sustainable and resilient supply chain strategies (Mahajan & Kaur, 2025; Aliu et al., 2025). Qualitative interpretations of these findings suggest that the strategic value of IoT lies not only in technological sophistication but also in its ability to reshape power relations and trust dynamics within business ecosystems over time (Arora et al., 2025; Pan & Zhang, 2025; Emon, 2025). The literature on supply chain responsiveness further underscores the strategic importance of IoT adoption in enabling organizations to sense and

respond to market changes with greater agility (Keskin & Ungan, 2025; Khan et al., 2025). IoT-driven visibility allows firms to anticipate disruptions, adjust production schedules, and reallocate resources in real time, thereby enhancing long-term resilience and adaptability (Nikdast & Awasthi, 2025; Keskin & Ungan, 2025). Empirical research demonstrates that responsive supply chains outperform less agile counterparts in volatile environments, reinforcing the strategic rationale for sustained IoT investment (Keskin & Ungan, 2025; Jyani, 2025). Qualitative insights reveal that managers increasingly view IoT as a strategic sensing mechanism that informs long-term planning rather than a short-term operational tool (Pan & Zhang, 2025; Khan et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). The pandemic-era performance of digitally mature firms provides further evidence of the long-term strategic implications of IoT adoption, as organizations with advanced digital infrastructures demonstrated superior resilience and adaptability during crises (Jyani, 2025; Ghariani & Boujelbène, 2025). Case-based analyses indicate that firms leveraging IoT-enabled logistics, real-time inventory tracking, and data-driven forecasting were better positioned to manage disruptions and sustain growth under uncertainty (Jyani, 2025; Nikdast & Awasthi, 2025). These findings suggest that IoT adoption contributes to strategic robustness by enabling organizations to maintain continuity and responsiveness under extreme conditions (Keskin & Ungan, 2025; Khan et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). From a qualitative perspective, such evidence highlights how crisis experiences reinforce managerial commitment to long-term IoT integration as a strategic imperative rather than a discretionary investment (Pan & Zhang, 2025; Jyani, 2025). Vertical integration and market power dynamics within supply networks are also influenced by IoT-enabled coordination and data transparency, with implications for long-term strategic positioning (Arora et al., 2025; Ben Rhouma et al., 2025). Research suggests that firms leveraging IoT to integrate upstream and downstream activities can achieve greater control over supply chains, reduce transaction costs, and strengthen bargaining power (Arora et al., 2025; Ghariani & Boujelbène, 2025; Emon, 2025). However, the literature also cautions that excessive concentration of data and control may create imbalances and dependency risks, particularly for smaller suppliers (Arora et al., 2025; Aliu et al., 2025). Qualitative interpretations emphasize the need for balanced governance frameworks that leverage IoT for coordination while preserving equitable relationships within supply ecosystems (Pan & Zhang, 2025; Kourt & Ait Lhassan, 2025). Emerging research on autonomous systems and smart logistics further illustrates the strategic transformation enabled by IoT adoption in port operations and transportation networks (Nikdast & Awasthi, 2025; Keskin & Ungan, 2025; Emon, 2025). The deployment of IoT-enabled autonomous vehicles and smart scheduling systems has been shown to reduce congestion, improve throughput, and enhance long-term operational efficiency in port environments (Nikdast & Awasthi, 2025). These developments have broader strategic implications for global trade competitiveness and infrastructure planning, as ports increasingly function as digitally integrated nodes within global supply chains (Arora et al., 2025; Ghariani & Boujelbène, 2025). Qualitative insights suggest that successful implementation depends on managerial capability, regulatory alignment, and stakeholder collaboration, reinforcing the socio-technical nature of long-term IoT adoption (Pan & Zhang, 2025; Aliu et al., 2025). The literature on shared manufacturing ecosystems further expands understanding of IoT's strategic role by emphasizing value co-creation through digital intelligence empowerment (Pan & Zhang, 2025; Khan et al., 2025). IoT platforms enable real-time collaboration among multiple actors, facilitating resource sharing, joint problem-solving, and innovation across organizational boundaries (Pan & Zhang, 2025). These ecosystems illustrate how IoT adoption transforms traditional firm-centric strategies into network-oriented approaches that prioritize long-term collective value creation (Keskin & Ungan, 2025; Arora et al., 2025). Qualitative research highlights that such transformations require shifts in managerial cognition, trust-building, and governance mechanisms, underscoring the strategic depth of IoT-driven change (Pan & Zhang, 2025; Kourt & Ait Lhassan, 2025). Financial and developmental perspectives further demonstrate that IoT-enabled supply chain finance ecosystems can act as long-term drivers of inclusive and sustainable growth, particularly in rural and emerging economies (Liao et al., 2025; Ghariani & Boujelbène, 2025). Digital finance platforms supported by IoT-generated data improve credit access, reduce information asymmetry,

and enhance transparency, thereby supporting strategic development objectives (Liao et al., 2025; Ben Rhouma et al., 2025). Qualitative insights reveal that these systems reshape institutional relationships between firms, financial institutions, and communities, highlighting IoT's role in broader socio-economic transformation beyond firm-level performance (Pan & Zhang, 2025; Liao et al., 2025). Collectively, the literature suggests that the long-term strategic implications of IoT adoption extend across operational, organizational, and institutional dimensions, influencing how firms compete, collaborate, and adapt in increasingly digitalized environments (Khan et al., 2025; Keskin & Ungan, 2025). While quantitative studies provide valuable evidence of performance outcomes, qualitative perspectives are essential for understanding the underlying processes through which IoT reshapes strategic thinking, organizational culture, and inter-organizational relationships over time (Pan & Zhang, 2025; Kourt & Ait Lhassan, 2025). The reviewed studies collectively underscore the need for deeper qualitative exploration of managerial experiences, strategic sensemaking, and governance challenges associated with sustained IoT integration in business management (Ghariani & Boujelbène, 2025; Khan et al., 2025).

3. Materials and Method

The research methodology adopted for this study was qualitative in nature, as it was considered most appropriate for exploring the long-term strategic implications of IoT adoption in business management by capturing in-depth insights, experiences, and interpretations of organizational actors. A qualitative research design was employed to understand how managers and decision-makers perceived, interpreted, and strategically responded to IoT-driven transformation over time, rather than measuring predefined variables or testing causal relationships. This approach allowed the study to explore complex socio-technical dynamics, strategic sensemaking processes, and organizational adaptations associated with sustained IoT integration. The study relied on an interpretivist research paradigm, as it sought to examine subjective meanings, contextual realities, and managerial narratives surrounding IoT adoption within business environments. Data were collected using semi-structured interviews, which provided flexibility to probe participants' experiences while maintaining consistency across interviews. The participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure that only individuals with direct involvement in IoT-related strategic decision-making, digital transformation initiatives, or supply chain and operations management were included. The sample comprised senior managers, middle-level managers, and digital transformation leads from organizations that had adopted IoT technologies for a minimum period of three years, ensuring that participants could reflect on long-term strategic implications rather than short-term implementation outcomes. Primary data were collected through in-depth interviews conducted either face-to-face or via virtual communication platforms, depending on participant availability and organizational constraints. Each interview followed a predefined interview guide that focused on themes such as strategic motivations for IoT adoption, changes in decision-making processes, organizational learning, integration challenges, and perceived long-term impacts on competitiveness, resilience, and collaboration. Open-ended questions were used to encourage participants to share detailed narratives and examples from their organizational contexts. All interviews were conducted in the past tense to capture reflective accounts of IoT adoption journeys and were audio-recorded with participants' consent to ensure accuracy. Field notes were also maintained to capture non-verbal cues, contextual observations, and preliminary analytical reflections. Data collection continued until thematic saturation was achieved, meaning that additional interviews no longer yielded new insights or perspectives relevant to the research objectives. The collected data were analyzed using thematic analysis, which was conducted systematically to identify recurring patterns, meanings, and strategic themes across participant narratives. The analysis process involved multiple stages, beginning with familiarization, during which interview transcripts were read repeatedly to gain an overall understanding of the data. Initial codes were then generated inductively, allowing themes to emerge directly from the data rather than being imposed a priori. These codes were subsequently reviewed, refined, and grouped into broader

categories that reflected strategic, organizational, and contextual dimensions of IoT adoption. Throughout the analysis, constant comparison was applied to identify similarities and differences across organizational contexts and managerial roles. Reflexivity was maintained by continuously reflecting on the researcher's interpretations to minimize bias and enhance analytical rigor. To ensure the trustworthiness of the study, several strategies were employed. Credibility was enhanced through prolonged engagement with the data and by providing participants with opportunities to clarify or elaborate on their responses when necessary. Transferability was supported by providing rich descriptions of organizational contexts and participant roles, allowing readers to assess the applicability of the findings to other settings. Dependability was addressed by maintaining a clear audit trail of data collection and analysis procedures, while confirmability was strengthened through systematic documentation of coding decisions and analytical memos. Ethical considerations were strictly observed throughout the research process. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, assured of confidentiality and anonymity, and informed that their participation was voluntary. Informed consent was obtained prior to data collection, and all data were securely stored and used solely for academic purposes. This methodological approach enabled a comprehensive and nuanced exploration of the long-term strategic implications of IoT adoption in business management.

4. Results and Findings

The analysis of qualitative data revealed that the long-term strategic implications of IoT adoption in business management extended far beyond operational efficiency and technological modernization, encompassing profound transformations in strategic orientation, decision-making structures, organizational culture, inter-organizational relationships, and adaptive capabilities. Participants consistently described IoT adoption as a gradual yet transformative journey that reshaped how organizations understood data, uncertainty, and strategic value creation over time. Rather than viewing IoT as a standalone technological investment, managers framed it as an evolving strategic infrastructure that influenced long-term planning, competitive positioning, and organizational resilience. The findings indicated that IoT adoption altered managerial cognition by shifting decision-making from intuition-based judgments to evidence-driven reasoning grounded in real-time and predictive data. This shift influenced strategic foresight, enabling organizations to anticipate market changes, operational risks, and customer demands with greater confidence. Participants emphasized that such capabilities only became strategically meaningful after sustained use, organizational learning, and system integration, reinforcing the long-term nature of IoT's strategic impact. A prominent finding was that IoT adoption fundamentally reconfigured strategic decision-making processes by embedding continuous data flows into managerial routines. Managers reported that long-term exposure to IoT-generated insights enabled a transition from reactive strategies toward anticipatory and adaptive strategic approaches. Decision-making authority gradually became more decentralized as real-time visibility empowered middle managers and operational teams to respond swiftly to emerging issues. This redistribution of decision-making power fostered strategic agility while also requiring new governance mechanisms to ensure alignment with organizational objectives. Participants noted that strategic planning cycles became more dynamic, with frequent revisions informed by IoT-enabled analytics rather than fixed annual plans. Over time, this flexibility enhanced organizational responsiveness and reduced strategic blind spots, particularly in volatile environments characterized by supply chain disruptions and demand uncertainty. Another significant outcome related to organizational learning and capability development. The findings showed that IoT adoption acted as a catalyst for continuous learning by exposing organizations to large volumes of operational and environmental data. Managers described how repeated engagement with IoT systems improved analytical skills, cross-functional collaboration, and strategic thinking across departments. This learning process was not immediate but unfolded through experimentation, trial-and-error, and gradual refinement of data interpretation practices. Organizations that invested in training and knowledge-sharing mechanisms were better positioned to translate IoT insights into long-term strategic actions. Participants highlighted that over

time, IoT adoption contributed to the development of dynamic capabilities, enabling organizations to sense opportunities, seize strategic advantages, and reconfigure resources in response to changing conditions.

The findings further revealed that IoT adoption significantly influenced organizational culture, particularly in terms of openness to innovation, accountability, and collaboration. Participants indicated that sustained use of IoT systems promoted a culture of transparency, as data visibility reduced information asymmetry and encouraged evidence-based discussions. This cultural shift strengthened internal trust and reduced resistance to change, as decisions were increasingly justified through shared data rather than hierarchical authority. However, the findings also suggested that cultural alignment was a critical prerequisite for realizing long-term strategic benefits. Organizations with rigid structures or risk-averse cultures experienced slower strategic returns from IoT adoption, as employees were hesitant to rely on data-driven insights or challenge established practices. Inter-organizational relationships emerged as another area profoundly affected by long-term IoT adoption. The findings indicated that IoT-enabled visibility reshaped collaboration with suppliers, logistics partners, and customers by facilitating real-time information sharing and joint problem-solving. Managers described how IoT adoption enabled deeper strategic partnerships based on transparency, mutual accountability, and shared performance objectives. Over time, this collaboration strengthened supply chain resilience and reduced opportunistic behavior. However, participants also acknowledged emerging power asymmetries, as organizations with advanced IoT capabilities gained greater influence over data governance and coordination decisions. These dynamics required careful management to maintain trust and long-term collaboration within business ecosystems.

Sustainability and risk management also featured prominently in the findings, with participants emphasizing the strategic role of IoT in supporting long-term environmental and operational resilience. IoT-enabled monitoring systems allowed organizations to track resource consumption, emissions, and operational risks in real time, supporting proactive risk mitigation and sustainability planning. Managers highlighted that these capabilities enhanced organizational legitimacy and stakeholder confidence over time. Importantly, the findings suggested that sustainability outcomes were closely linked to strategic intent; organizations that embedded sustainability objectives into IoT strategies achieved more meaningful long-term impact than those that treated sustainability as a secondary benefit. The findings further demonstrated that IoT adoption influenced competitive positioning by enabling strategic differentiation through data-driven services, customization, and innovation. Participants noted that IoT insights supported the development of new business models, value-added services, and customer engagement strategies that extended beyond traditional offerings. Over time, these innovations strengthened market positioning and created barriers to imitation. However, the findings also revealed that sustaining competitive advantage required continuous investment in system upgrades, data governance, and talent development, highlighting the evolving nature of IoT-driven strategies.

Table 1. Strategic Transformation Enabled by IoT Adoption.

| Theme | Description |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Strategic foresight | Use of real-time and predictive data to anticipate future trends |
| Adaptive planning | Continuous revision of strategic plans based on IoT insights |
| Decentralized decision-making | Empowerment of operational managers through data access |
| Long-term orientation | Shift from short-term efficiency to sustained strategic value |

The data indicated that IoT adoption supported a long-term strategic transformation by reshaping how organizations planned, anticipated change, and allocated decision-making authority.

Managers described this transformation as incremental yet profound, with strategic clarity improving as data maturity increased. Over time, IoT-enabled foresight reduced uncertainty and enhanced confidence in long-range strategic initiatives.

Table 2. Organizational Learning and Capability Development.

| Theme | Description |
|------------------------------|--|
| Analytical skill development | Enhanced ability to interpret complex data |
| Cross-functional learning | Increased collaboration across departments |
| Dynamic capability building | Improved sensing, seizing, and reconfiguring abilities |
| Experimentation culture | Learning through trial, error, and iteration |

The findings showed that sustained IoT use fostered organizational learning by embedding data analysis into everyday practices. This learning gradually enhanced strategic competence and adaptability, allowing organizations to respond more effectively to environmental changes and competitive pressures over time.

Table 3. Cultural Shifts Associated with IoT Adoption.

| Theme | Description |
|--------------------|---|
| Transparency | Greater visibility of performance and operations |
| Accountability | Data-driven responsibility for outcomes |
| Innovation mindset | Increased openness to experimentation |
| Reduced resistance | Acceptance of change through evidence-based decisions |

Participants emphasized that IoT adoption reshaped organizational culture by promoting transparency and accountability. These cultural changes supported long-term strategic alignment, particularly when leadership reinforced data-driven values and learning-oriented behaviors.

Table 4. Inter-Organizational Collaboration Dynamics.

| Theme | Description |
|-------------------------------|--|
| Data-enabled trust | Shared information strengthened partnerships |
| Collaborative problem-solving | Joint responses to disruptions |
| Power reconfiguration | Shifts in influence based on data control |
| Long-term partnerships | Movement toward strategic alliances |

The findings suggested that IoT adoption enhanced collaboration across organizational boundaries by enabling shared visibility and coordinated action. Over time, this collaboration improved resilience but also required careful governance to manage emerging power dynamics.

Table 5. Risk Management and Resilience Outcomes.

| Theme | Description |
|--------------------------|---|
| Real-time risk detection | Early identification of operational threats |
| Predictive maintenance | Anticipation of system failures |
| Supply chain resilience | Improved response to disruptions |
| Crisis preparedness | Enhanced readiness for unexpected events |

Managers reported that IoT systems strengthened long-term resilience by enabling proactive risk management. These capabilities reduced vulnerability to disruptions and supported strategic continuity under uncertainty.

Table 6. Sustainability and Long-Term Responsibility.

| Theme | Description |
|------------------------------|--|
| Resource monitoring | Tracking energy and material use |
| Environmental accountability | Data-supported sustainability goals |
| Regulatory alignment | Compliance through continuous monitoring |
| Stakeholder legitimacy | Enhanced trust through transparency |

The findings highlighted that IoT adoption supported sustainability by providing actionable insights into resource use and environmental impact. Over time, these insights strengthened organizational legitimacy and supported responsible strategic positioning.

Table 7. Competitive Advantage and Strategic Innovation.

| Theme | Description |
|------------------------|---|
| Service innovation | Development of data-driven offerings |
| Customization | Tailored solutions based on real-time data |
| Market differentiation | Distinct positioning through IoT capabilities |
| Strategic renewal | Continuous evolution of business models |

Participants described how IoT adoption enabled long-term competitive advantage by supporting innovation and differentiation. Sustained success depended on continuous learning, system refinement, and strategic alignment. The summary of findings revealed that the long-term strategic implications of IoT adoption in business management were multi-dimensional and deeply embedded in organizational processes, culture, and relationships. IoT adoption reshaped strategic decision-making by enabling anticipatory planning and decentralized authority, fostered organizational learning and dynamic capabilities, and promoted cultural shifts toward transparency and innovation. It also transformed inter-organizational collaboration, strengthened risk management and resilience, supported sustainability objectives, and enabled competitive differentiation through innovation. Collectively, these findings demonstrated that the strategic value of IoT emerged gradually through sustained engagement, organizational alignment, and continuous adaptation, emphasizing that IoT adoption is not a one-time technological change but an ongoing strategic transformation process.

5. Discussion

The discussion of the findings highlights that the long-term strategic implications of IoT adoption in business management are primarily shaped by how organizations embed digital technologies into their strategic thinking, organizational structures, and everyday practices over time. The results demonstrate that IoT adoption goes beyond improving operational efficiency and instead acts as a strategic enabler that transforms decision-making processes, allowing organizations to shift from reactive responses to anticipatory and adaptive strategies. This transformation was closely linked to the gradual development of data-driven capabilities and managerial confidence in relying on real-time insights for long-term planning. The findings further suggest that the strategic value of IoT emerges through continuous learning and experimentation, reinforcing the importance of organizational readiness and leadership commitment in sustaining digital transformation efforts. The discussion also underscores the critical role of organizational culture in determining the long-term success of IoT-driven strategies. Organizations that fostered transparency, accountability, and openness to innovation were better positioned to translate IoT insights into meaningful strategic actions. In contrast, rigid structures and resistance to change limited the strategic impact of IoT adoption, even when technological infrastructure was in place. These observations indicate that cultural alignment and human factors are as significant as technological capabilities in shaping long-term outcomes. Moreover, the findings reveal that IoT adoption reshapes inter-organizational

relationships by enabling deeper collaboration and shared visibility across business networks. While this enhanced collaboration strengthened resilience and coordination, it also introduced new governance challenges related to data ownership and power balance. Finally, the discussion emphasizes that sustainability, risk management, and competitive differentiation are closely intertwined with long-term IoT strategies. Organizations that deliberately aligned IoT adoption with broader strategic objectives achieved more enduring benefits, reinforcing the view that IoT adoption represents an ongoing strategic journey rather than a discrete technological initiative.

6. Conclusions

The conclusion of this study highlights that IoT adoption in business management carries profound and lasting strategic implications that extend well beyond immediate operational improvements. The findings demonstrate that sustained engagement with IoT technologies enables organizations to enhance decision-making processes, develop dynamic capabilities, and foster a culture of transparency, accountability, and innovation. Over time, these changes support anticipatory and adaptive strategies, improve organizational resilience, and strengthen long-term competitive positioning. The research also emphasizes that the value of IoT emerges gradually as organizations integrate real-time data into strategic planning, align technological adoption with cultural and structural readiness, and cultivate continuous learning and collaboration across functional and inter-organizational boundaries. Furthermore, the study indicates that IoT adoption influences supply chain coordination, inter-organizational collaboration, and stakeholder relationships by providing shared visibility, improving trust, and facilitating joint problem-solving. These effects contribute to operational resilience and sustainable strategic outcomes. Additionally, the integration of IoT into sustainability initiatives and risk management practices reinforces its role as a long-term enabler of responsible and adaptive business strategies. Organizations that intentionally align IoT adoption with broader strategic objectives are better positioned to achieve enduring benefits, including innovation, market differentiation, and organizational legitimacy. Overall, the study concludes that IoT adoption should be viewed not merely as a technological implementation but as a strategic transformation process that requires careful planning, cultural alignment, and sustained organizational commitment. Its long-term impact is realized through the continuous development of capabilities, learning, and adaptive strategies that allow organizations to respond effectively to dynamic business environments and evolving market demands. The insights gained from this study underscore the necessity for managers to approach IoT adoption as an ongoing strategic endeavor capable of shaping future organizational growth and competitiveness.

References

1. El Mezouary, L., Kharrou, M. H., Hadri, A., Elfarchouni, A., Fakir, Y., Bouchaou, L., & Chehbouni, A. (2025). Advanced hydrogeological modeling to reduce uncertainty in groundwater recharge estimation in semi-arid aquifers: Case of Al-Haouz-Mejjate aquifer in Morocco. *Chemosphere*, 392, Article 144743. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chemosphere.2025.144743>
2. Wang, Z., Zhang, Y., Wang, J., Zhang, Y., & Zhao, J. (2025). Carbon footprint calculation for power transmission and distribution equipment: A comprehensive review of methodologies, standards, and applications. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling Advances*, 28, Article 200295. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcradv.2025.200295>
3. Li, Z., Wang, C., Ge, Z., Zhang, B., Foo, D. Y., Majozi, T., Jia, X., & Zhang, D. (2025). A fuzzy optimization approach to carbon capture and utilization in industrial parks. *Energy*, 339, Article 139001. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2025.139001>
4. Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2025). Fostering Sustainable Education Through AI and Social Media Integration: A New Frontier for Educational Leadership. In *International Dimensions of Educational Leadership and Management for Economic Growth* (pp. 331–374). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3616-9.ch011>

5. Singh, J., Bharany, S., Rani, S., Rehman, A. U., Taye, B. M., Pant, R., & Kaur, U. (2025). A systematic review of blockchain, AI, and cloud integration for secure digital ecosystems. *International Journal of Networked and Distributed Computing*, 13(2). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44227-025-00072-1>
6. Agyabeng-Mensah, Y., Baah, C., & Afum, E. (2025). Demystifying the economic potential of circular supply chain management practices. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 395, Article 127683. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jenvman.2025.127683>
7. Nicoletti, B., & Appolloni, A. (2025). The impact of AI foundation models on the future of digital engineering for logistics and supply chain. *Digital Engineering*, 7, Article 100058. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dte.2025.100058>
8. Yana Mbena, J. (2025). Balancing economic integration and dependency: Insights into trade and investment dynamics in the Indo-Pacific. *World Affairs*, 188(4). <https://doi.org/10.1002/waf2.70037>
9. Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Nath, A., Fuad, M. N., Kabir, S. M. I., & Eme, A. F. (2025). Understanding User Adoption of Cybersecurity Practices through Awareness and Perception for Enhancing Network Security in Bangladesh. 2025 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Applications and Systems (COMPAS), 1–7.
10. Yohannis, E., Teka, T. A., Urugo, M. M., Tola, Y. B., & Getachew, P. (2025). Omics approaches to aflatoxin contaminations in the agri-food supply chain: A comprehensive review of current advances, challenges, and future potential. *Applied Food Research*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.afres.2025.101394>
11. Poorani, S., Shermila, P., Niruban, R., & Maris Murugan, T. (2025). A multi-faceted strategy for scalable, efficient, and grid-integrated electric vehicle systems using solid-state batteries and AI technologies. *Sustainable Energy, Grids and Networks*, 44, Article 101994. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.segan.2025.101994>
12. Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., Rahman, K. M., Islam, M. M.-U., Niloy, G. B., & Rifat, M. (2025). Examining the Determinants of Generative AI Utilization for SEO and Content Marketing: A TOE Approach in the Bangladeshi Digital Space. 2025 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Applications and Systems (COMPAS), 1–7.
13. Yakobi, S. H., & Nwodo, U. U. (2025). AI-predictive vaccine stability: A systems biology framework to modernize regulatory testing and cold chain equity. *Intelligent Systems with Applications*, 28, Article 200584. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iswa.2025.200584>
14. Ramirez, Z. R., & Le, T. V. (2025). Semiconductor supply chain resilience: Systematic review, conceptual framework, implementation challenges, and future research directions. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 210, Article 111518. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2025.111518>
15. Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Nath, A., Islam, M. M.-U., Rifat, H. H., & Kutub, J. (2025). Exploring Drivers of Sustainable E-Commerce Adoption among SMEs in Bangladesh: A TOE Framework Approach for Industry 5.0 Transformation. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.
16. Tsuritani, R. (2025). Protecting weak suppliers in endogenous vertical structure. *Journal of Industry, Competition and Trade*, 25(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10842-025-00456-7>
17. González-Aguirre, J. A., Dey, S. C., Sagues, W. J., Combs, Z., Rowland, S., Dutta, A., & Park, S. (2025). Process design and techno-economic analysis for bio-based graphite and liquid hydrocarbons production from lignocellulosic biomass. *Bioresource Technology*, 438, Article 133156. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biortech.2025.133156>
18. Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., Rahman, K. M., Kutub, J., Rifat, H. H., & Islam, M. M.-U. (2025). Evaluating the Impact of AI Integration on Supply Chain Efficiency in E-Commerce Operations. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.
19. Roushan, A., Das, A., Dutta, A., & Kumar Bera, U. K. (2025). Multi-modal multi-trip supply chain model aided by smart contract victim tracking: An innovative pathway to disaster management under uncertainty. *Applied Soft Computing*, 184, Article 113687. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2025.113687>
20. Sadaoui, N., Benlaria, H., Almawishir, N. F., Abdelrhman, B. S., Ahmed, S. A. K., Zabat, L., Abdulrahman, B. M. A., & Khedr, R. A. E. A. (2025). How technological innovation and organizational culture influence circular economy adoption over time in Saudi Arabian manufacturing. *Discover Sustainability*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43621-025-01662-3>

21. Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Islam, M. S., Nath, A., Kutub, J., & Santa, N. A. (2025). Determinants of Explainable AI Adoption in Customer Service Chatbots: Insights from the Telecom Sector of Bangladesh. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.
22. Osezua, M., & Tomomewo, O. S. (2025). Advancing grid stability and renewable energy: Policy evolution of battery energy storage systems in China, Japan, and South Korea. *Cleaner Energy Systems*, 12, Article 100199. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cles.2025.100199>
23. Le, M. T., Anh, P.-A. T., Le, C. Y., & Nguyen, T. T. T. (2025). Integrating local fine food traceability mapping in Vietnam's fine dining sector. *Applied Food Research*, 5(2). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.afres.2025.101069>
24. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). The Mediating Role of Supply Chain Responsiveness in the Relationship Between Key Supply Chain Drivers and Performance: Evidence from the FMCG Industry. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 22(1), 2580. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2580.2025>
25. Dhaigude, A. S., Chatterjee, D., & Kamath, G. B. (2025). Supply chain integration and culture under globalization: A systematic review and global research agenda. *Research in Globalization*, 11, Article 100293. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resglo.2025.100293>
26. Hong, P., & O'Kane, J. (2012). E-supply chain management: From technology to implementation. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 32(6), 578–607. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01409171211222758>
27. Ivanov, D., & Sokolov, B. (2021). Artificial intelligence in supply chain management: A review of the state of the art and future prospects. *Computers in Industry*, 131, 103503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2021.103503>
28. Emon, M. M. H., & Ahmed, M. (2025). Digital Readiness as a Catalyst for Talent Transformation in Hospitality. In *Talent Management in Hotels and Hospitality* (pp. 461–502). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4485-0.ch014>
29. Jha, M., & Deshmukh, S. G. (2010). State-of-the-art supply chain management: Adoption of artificial intelligence techniques. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 132(6), 061012. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4003257>
30. Jayaraman, V., & Patterson, A. (2003). Improving supply chain management: Barriers and solutions. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 24(1), 43-61. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2003.tb00019.x>
31. Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2025). Safeguarding Student Data: Privacy and Security Challenges in AI-Powered Education Tools. In *Enhancing Student Support and Learning Through Conversational AI* (pp. 191–228). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3316-8.ch008>
32. Kang, H., & Lee, J. (2018). An exploration of the barriers to adopting AI in supply chains. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 54(2), 19-27. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12156>
33. Ketchen, D. J., & Hult, G. T. M. (2011). What we know about supply chain management. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 47(2), 21-39. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2011.03269.x>
34. Kouvelis, P., & Zhao, X. (2012). *Supply chain management and artificial intelligence: Concepts and applications*. Springer-Verlag. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-32079-4>
35. Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., & Nipa, M. N. (2025). AI for a Greener Tomorrow: Harnessing Artificial Intelligence for Environmental Sustainability (pp. 227–252). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2387-9.ch010>
36. Kumar, S., & Soni, G. (2015). Decision support systems for supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Research*, 53(1), 122-135. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2014.939059>
37. Kourt, N., & Ait Lhassan, I. A. (2025). Exploring the influence of information exchange determinants on supply chain performance: An empirical study. *Operations and Supply Chain Management*, 18(3), 484–496. <https://doi.org/10.31387/oscm0620488>
38. Emon, M. M. H., & Ahmed, M. (2025). Technological adoption in green practices as a mediator between green supply chain practices and operational performance: evidence from the agro-processing and food industry. *Brazilian Journal of Operations and Production Management*, 22(4), 2695. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2695.2025>

39. Ghariani, R., & Boujelbène, Y. (2025). From digital transformation to financial performance: The mediating role of supply chain integration in Tunisian agro-industries. In *Digital transformation and business performance* (pp. 131–163). IGI Global. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2843-0.ch005>
40. Singh, S., Srivastava, S., Mishra, S., Pandey, V. K., & Pandey, M. (2025). Health tech synergy: Real-time data analytics, artificial intelligence, and 5G revolutionizing healthcare. In *Emerging technologies in healthcare systems* (pp. 247–266). CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781003637455-12>
41. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Digital transformation in emerging markets: Adoption dynamics of AI image generation in marketing practices. *Telematics and Informatics Reports*, 20, 100267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2025.100267>
42. Sarangi, S., & Ghosh, D. (2025). The impact of supply chain integration on health-care organization performance in the era of Industry 4.0: A SEM analysis. *Journal of Global Operations and Strategic Sourcing*, 18(4), 719–739. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JGOSS-07-2024-0051>
43. Mahajan, P., & Kaur, G. (2025). Integrating blockchain technology in water supply chain management: Challenges and opportunities. In *Blockchain applications in sustainable supply chains* (pp. 365–392). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781394417803.ch18>
44. Ulrich, O., Morsch, P., & Peschel, A. (2025). Decentralized use case integration of chemical hydrogen carriers: The cost saving potential in domestic supply chains. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 186, Article 151928. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2025.151928>
45. Aliu, J., Oke, A. E., & Ehiosun, L. U. (2025). Distributed ledger technology integration in Nigerian construction industry: Key drivers. *International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation*, 43(7), 1706–1724. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJBPA-02-2024-0044>
46. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Overcoming Hurdles: Navigating Challenges in the Adoption of Smart Logistics. In *Emerging Trends in Smart Logistics Technologies* (A. Hlali, pp. 197–228). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2434-0.ch007>
47. Wei, S., Wang, L., Feng, T., & Gao, Y. (2025). Equifinal configurations of shaping ambidextrous environmental strategy and the subsequent performance outcome. *Business Process Management Journal*, 31(6), 2382–2408. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-05-2024-0382>
48. Keskin, B., & Ungan, M. C. (2025). Determinants and impacts of supply chain responsiveness: An empirical research. *Business Process Management Journal*, 31(6), 2409–2432. <https://doi.org/10.1108/BPMJ-04-2024-0309>
49. Jyani, N. (2025). Cruising through the crisis: How Amazon became the biggest gainer in the pandemic. *Journal of Information Technology Teaching Cases*, 15(2), 312–320. <https://doi.org/10.1177/20438869241246809>
50. Ben Rhouma, Z., Frikha, M. A., Derbel, A., & Boujelbène, Y. (2025). Key determinants of supply chain performance: A study of the textile and clothing sector. *Quality – Access to Success*, 26(209), 306–315. <https://doi.org/10.47750/QAS/26.209.32>
51. Fan, F., Liao, Z., He, Z., Sun, Y., Han, K., & Tong, Y. (2025). Optical sensor technologies for enhanced food safety monitoring: Advances in detection of chemical and biological contaminants. *Photonics*, 12(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/photonics12111081>
52. Arora, K., Singh, A., & Sahare, M. (2025). Vertical integration and market power in supply networks. *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*, 27(6), 1939–1958. <https://doi.org/10.1287/msom.2024.1454>
53. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Navigating the Digital Labyrinth: Personal Data Privacy and Security at Individual and Organizational Levels. In *User-Centric Cybersecurity Implications for Sustainable Digital Transformation* (pp. 257–288). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3171-3.ch008>
54. Nikdast, M., & Awasthi, A. (2025). Utilizing autonomous vehicles to reduce truck turn time in ports with application for Port of Montréal. *Systems*, 13(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13111031>
55. Pan, Y., & Zhang, H. (2025). Research on the value co-creation mechanism of digital intelligence empowerment in shared manufacturing ecosystems. *Systems*, 13(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13110969>

56. Liao, F., Huang, J., Li, J., & Ye, S. (2025). Can supply chain finance ecology become a new engine for high-quality development of rural industries? *Sustainability*, 17(22). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su172210161>
57. Khan, F., Khan, K. U., & Atlas, F. (2025). From IoT adoption to innovation: Unpacking the mediating mechanisms of supply chain integration and agility. *Systems*, 13(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/systems13110993>
58. Liao, Y., & Hu, S. (2018). Barriers to adopting AI in supply chain management: A review and research agenda. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 204, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.07.011>
59. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Cybersecurity in the Smart City Era: Overcoming Challenges With Modern Cryptographic Solutions. In *Securing Smart Cities Through Modern Cryptography Technologies* (pp. 43–74). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3326-7.ch002>
60. Liu, J., & Zhang, H. (2020). Understanding the barriers to AI adoption in supply chains: A qualitative investigation. *Supply Chain Management Review*, 24(6), 44–49. https://www.scmr.com/article/understanding_the_barriers_to_ai_adoption
61. Mangan, J., & Lalwani, C. (2016). *Global logistics and supply chain management*. Wiley. <https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Global+Logistics+and+Supply+Chain+Management-p-9781119135669>
62. Melnyk, S. A., & Vanichinchai, A. (2014). Benchmarking supply chain management best practices in developing countries: Evidence from Asia and Latin America. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 34(9), 1120–1143. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-11-2012-0472>
63. Mitra, S., & Ghosh, D. (2018). Challenges to adopting artificial intelligence in supply chains: Industry perspectives. *Journal of Business Research*, 89, 377–384. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.11.023>
64. Raut, R. D., & Venkatesh, D. (2021). Barriers and drivers of artificial intelligence adoption in supply chains: A review and future research agenda. *Journal of Intelligent Manufacturing*, 32(5), 1187–1202. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10845-020-01580-x>
65. Ross, D. F. (2016). *Introduction to supply chain management technologies*. CRC Press. <https://doi.org/10.1201/9781498760121>
66. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Purpose-Driven Intelligence in Green Marketing: Leveraging AI for CSR-Centric and Sustainable Brand Positioning. In *Transforming Business Practices With AI-Powered Green Marketing* (pp. 89–122). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3017-4.ch004>
67. Soni, G., & Kachru, A. (2018). Artificial intelligence and its impact on supply chain decision making: A systematic review. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 54(5), 45–56. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12170>
68. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Circularity Meets AI: Revolutionizing Consumer Engagement Through Green Marketing. In *Transforming Business Practices With AI-Powered Green Marketing* (pp. 169–208). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3017-4.ch007>
69. Sun, Y., & Xu, L. (2019). Artificial intelligence and supply chain integration. *International Journal of Production Research*, 57(21), 6623–6637. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2019.1640953>
70. Tiwari, M. K., & Awasar, S. (2020). AI adoption in supply chains: Challenges and perspectives. *Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 142(2), 021012. <https://doi.org/10.1115/1.4046661>
71. Van Hoek, R. I., & Harrison, A. (2021). AI-driven transformation in supply chain management: Challenges and innovations. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 42(1), 67–79. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12224>
72. Vendrell-Herrero, F., & Bustinza, O. F. (2020). Artificial intelligence in operations and supply chain management: A research agenda. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 40(2), 126–151. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-10-2019-0466>
73. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Ethical Intelligence in Motion: Leveraging AI for Responsible Sourcing in Supply Chain and Logistics. In *Navigating Responsible Business Practices Through Ethical AI* (pp. 207–240). <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3104-1.ch008>
74. Wang, Y., & Zhang, C. (2021). The role of AI in transforming supply chain management practices: Evidence from industry leaders. *International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications*, 24(1), 15–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2020.1853312>
75. Wu, D., & Ho, W. (2014). Modelling the logistics and supply chain management in the digital age. *Computers in Industry*, 65(1), 44–53. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2013.09.003>

76. Xu, X., & Liu, H. (2021). AI adoption in supply chain management: An industry perspective. *Supply Chain Management Journal*, 16(4), 23-37. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14344010.2021.1852279>
77. Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Leveraging AI on Social Media & Digital Platforms to Enhance English Language Teaching and Learning. In *AI-Powered English Teaching* (pp. 211–238). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1952-0.ch007>
78. Yadav, P., & Goel, A. (2020). Barriers to AI adoption in supply chain management: A qualitative analysis. *Operations Management Research*, 13(1), 45-62. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12063-020-00161-6>
79. Zhao, X., & Lee, S. (2021). Understanding the barriers to AI adoption in logistics and supply chain management. *International Journal of Logistics*, 18(3), 181–194. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13675567.2020.1878934>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.