

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Adopting Artificial Intelligence for Competitive Advantage: Insights from Industry Practitioners

[Matthew Anderson](#) *

Posted Date: 23 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.2063.v1

Keywords: artificial intelligence adoption; competitive advantage; qualitative research; decision-making; organizational learning; digital transformation; industry practitioners



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Adopting Artificial Intelligence for Competitive Advantage: Insights from Industry Practitioners

Matthew Anderson

Independent Researcher, USA; matthewanderson8970@gmail.com

Abstract

This study explores the adoption of artificial intelligence as a strategic pathway to achieving and sustaining competitive advantage, drawing on in-depth qualitative insights from industry practitioners. As artificial intelligence increasingly reshapes business environments, organizations are under growing pressure to understand not only its technical potential but also its strategic and organizational implications. This research adopted a qualitative, interpretive approach to capture practitioners' lived experiences, perceptions, and sensemaking related to artificial intelligence adoption across diverse industries, including manufacturing, services, retail, and technology-driven sectors. Data were collected through semi-structured interviews with professionals directly involved in artificial intelligence initiatives, enabling a rich exploration of motivations, implementation processes, challenges, and perceived outcomes. The findings reveal that artificial intelligence adoption was widely perceived as a strategic necessity rather than a discretionary innovation. Practitioners emphasized that competitive advantage emerged when artificial intelligence initiatives were closely aligned with organizational strategy, supported by leadership commitment, and embedded into everyday decision-making processes. Rather than replacing human expertise, artificial intelligence was viewed as augmenting managerial judgment by enhancing analytical depth, speed, and confidence, particularly in complex and uncertain contexts. The study further highlights that the competitive benefits of artificial intelligence unfolded over time, with early gains centered on operational efficiency and later advantages linked to improved decision quality, innovation, and customer-centric value creation. Importantly, the research underscores that artificial intelligence adoption is as much a human and organizational challenge as it is a technological one. Issues such as resistance to change, skills gaps, data quality, and trust in AI outputs shaped adoption trajectories and influenced competitive outcomes. Organizations that invested in learning, cultural openness, and change management were better positioned to translate artificial intelligence investments into sustained competitive advantage. By foregrounding practitioner perspectives, this study contributes a human-centered understanding of artificial intelligence adoption and offers practical insights for organizations seeking to leverage AI as a dynamic and adaptive source of competitive advantage in an increasingly data-driven economy.

Keywords: artificial intelligence adoption; competitive advantage; qualitative research; decision-making; organizational learning; digital transformation; industry practitioners

1. Introduction

Artificial intelligence has rapidly evolved from a niche technological capability into a central strategic resource shaping how firms compete, innovate, and sustain performance across industries. In contemporary business environments characterized by volatility, uncertainty, and intense competitive pressure, organizations increasingly view artificial intelligence not merely as a tool for automation but as a transformative force capable of redefining value creation, decision-making, and competitive positioning. The growing strategic relevance of artificial intelligence is deeply intertwined with advances in data availability, computational power, and algorithmic sophistication, which together enable firms to extract insights, predict outcomes, and optimize processes at

unprecedented speed and scale. As a result, artificial intelligence is now widely recognized as a foundational element of digital transformation strategies aimed at achieving superior efficiency, differentiation, and long-term competitive advantage (Brynjolfsson & McAfee, 2017; Russell & Norvig, 2021). The strategic importance of artificial intelligence becomes particularly evident when examined through the lens of competitive advantage. Traditional sources of competitive advantage, such as economies of scale, access to capital, or geographic positioning, are increasingly insufficient in isolation, as technological diffusion and globalization have lowered entry barriers and intensified rivalry. In this context, artificial intelligence offers firms the ability to reconfigure resources, enhance dynamic capabilities, and respond more effectively to market changes. By embedding intelligence into products, services, and internal processes, organizations can improve accuracy, speed, and consistency in decision-making while simultaneously enabling personalization and innovation at scale (Porter & Heppelmann, 2014; Davenport & Ronanki, 2018; Emon, 2025). Consequently, artificial intelligence is not only reshaping how firms operate but also how they compete, collaborate, and capture value in digital ecosystems. Recent scholarship emphasizes that early and strategic adoption of artificial intelligence can significantly influence market dominance and competitive positioning. Firms that integrate artificial intelligence into their core strategies at an early stage often gain learning advantages, accumulate proprietary data, and develop organizational routines that are difficult for competitors to replicate. Such first-mover advantages can translate into superior market performance and sustained dominance, particularly in data-intensive and technology-driven sectors (Biradar et al., 2025; Gans, 2022; Emon, 2025). Early adopters are also better positioned to shape industry standards, influence customer expectations, and leverage network effects, thereby reinforcing their competitive edge over time. However, the benefits of early adoption are not automatic; they depend on how effectively artificial intelligence is aligned with organizational goals, capabilities, and competitive strategies. Beyond timing, the mechanisms through which artificial intelligence contributes to competitive advantage are multifaceted and extend across organizational functions. One critical mechanism involves knowledge creation and management. Artificial intelligence systems enable firms to collect, analyze, and transform vast amounts of structured and unstructured data into actionable knowledge, thereby enhancing organizational learning and strategic insight. Empirical evidence suggests that the impact of artificial intelligence on competitive advantage is often mediated by knowledge management capabilities, highlighting the importance of integrating technological investments with processes that support knowledge sharing, interpretation, and application (Yuan et al., 2025; Emon, 2025). In this sense, artificial intelligence acts not only as a technological asset but also as a catalyst for organizational intelligence, enabling firms to sense opportunities, seize them effectively, and reconfigure resources in response to environmental changes. At the firm level, artificial intelligence adoption influences competitive advantage by reshaping decision-making processes and managerial cognition. Advanced analytics, machine learning, and predictive models support managers in evaluating alternatives, anticipating outcomes, and reducing uncertainty in complex environments. This shift toward data-driven decision-making enhances both the speed and quality of strategic choices, allowing firms to respond more proactively to competitive threats and market opportunities (Górka et al., 2025; Shrestha et al., 2019). Importantly, artificial intelligence does not replace human judgment but rather complements it, fostering a form of human–AI symbiosis in which managerial expertise and algorithmic intelligence jointly contribute to superior outcomes (Jarrahi, 2018; Emon, 2025). Such hybrid decision-making structures are increasingly viewed as a source of competitive advantage, particularly in dynamic and knowledge-intensive contexts. The role of artificial intelligence in shaping competitive advantage is also closely linked to business model innovation. By enabling new ways of creating and capturing value, artificial intelligence allows firms to redesign revenue models, customer interactions, and value propositions. Organizations leveraging artificial intelligence can move beyond traditional product-centric models toward data-driven and service-oriented offerings, thereby enhancing differentiation and customer loyalty (Zukarnain et al., 2024). Artificial intelligence-driven business model innovation is especially evident in platform-based and ecosystem-oriented strategies, where data, algorithms, and network

effects jointly reinforce competitive positions (Zhang & Tao, 2023). These developments underscore that competitive advantage derived from artificial intelligence is not limited to operational efficiency but extends to strategic renewal and market reconfiguration. From an industry perspective, the impact of artificial intelligence on competitive advantage varies across sectors but remains consistently significant. In manufacturing, artificial intelligence supports smart production systems, predictive maintenance, quality control, and supply chain optimization, leading to cost reductions, flexibility, and improved responsiveness (Lee et al., 2020; Emon, 2025). In service industries, artificial intelligence enhances customer experience through personalization, automation, and intelligent service agents, thereby strengthening relational advantages and service quality (Huang & Rust, 2021). Across both contexts, artificial intelligence enables firms to leverage big data analytics capabilities that enhance visibility, coordination, and performance across value chains (Wang et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2012). Despite its transformative potential, artificial intelligence adoption is not without challenges, and these challenges have important implications for competitive advantage. Data quality, integration, and governance remain persistent obstacles, particularly in organizations with legacy systems and fragmented information architectures (Sivarajah et al., 2017; Mariscal et al., 2017; Emon, 2025). Moreover, concerns related to transparency, explainability, and ethical use of artificial intelligence can affect stakeholder trust and regulatory compliance, potentially constraining competitive gains (Rai, 2020). Firms that fail to address these issues risk undermining the strategic value of artificial intelligence investments, highlighting the importance of responsible and transparent implementation practices. Human capital considerations further complicate the relationship between artificial intelligence and competitive advantage. While artificial intelligence can augment productivity and enable new forms of work, it also alters skill requirements and workforce structures. Evidence suggests that successful artificial intelligence adoption depends heavily on managerial and employee capabilities, as well as on leadership commitment to change and learning (Bessen, 2019; Brynjolfsson et al., 2018). Executive demographics and leadership characteristics play a critical role in shaping artificial intelligence investment decisions and performance outcomes, as leaders' experience, openness to innovation, and risk preferences influence both adoption and utilization (Kikuchi, 2025; Emon, 2025). These findings underscore that competitive advantage from artificial intelligence is as much a managerial and organizational challenge as it is a technological one. Small and medium-sized enterprises face distinct opportunities and constraints in adopting artificial intelligence for competitive advantage. While resource limitations may hinder large-scale investments, strategic and focused adoption can enable SMEs to enhance efficiency, innovation, and market reach. Prescriptive frameworks emphasize the importance of aligning artificial intelligence initiatives with strategic priorities, leveraging external partnerships, and adopting scalable solutions tailored to organizational capabilities (Hussain & Rizwan, 2024). In emerging and resource-constrained contexts, such strategic alignment is particularly crucial for translating artificial intelligence adoption into sustainable competitive advantage. Theoretical perspectives further illuminate why artificial intelligence has become a strategic imperative in contemporary competition. Economic analyses highlight that artificial intelligence reduces the cost of prediction, thereby reshaping organizational structures, coordination mechanisms, and market dynamics (Agrawal et al., 2018; Emon, 2025). From a strategy perspective, artificial intelligence can be understood as a situated capability whose value depends on contextual factors such as industry characteristics, organizational routines, and competitive interactions (Kemp, 2024). These perspectives suggest that artificial intelligence does not confer competitive advantage in a uniform or deterministic manner; rather, its strategic value emerges through complex interactions between technology, organization, and environment. Taken together, existing literature strongly indicates that artificial intelligence has the potential to serve as a powerful source of competitive advantage, yet the realization of this potential is contingent upon how organizations adopt, integrate, and govern these technologies. While prior research has offered valuable insights into the performance effects, economic implications, and strategic mechanisms associated with artificial intelligence, much of this work remains quantitative or conceptual in nature. There is a growing need

for in-depth qualitative insights that capture the lived experiences, interpretations, and strategic reasoning of industry practitioners who are directly involved in artificial intelligence adoption and implementation. Understanding how practitioners perceive opportunities, navigate challenges, and make sense of artificial intelligence in competitive contexts is essential for advancing both theory and practice. Against this backdrop, the present qualitative research is motivated by the need to explore artificial intelligence adoption for competitive advantage from the perspective of industry practitioners. By foregrounding practitioner insights, this study seeks to deepen understanding of the strategic, organizational, and contextual factors that shape artificial intelligence-driven competitive advantage in real-world settings. Such an approach not only complements existing empirical findings but also contributes to a more nuanced and practice-informed understanding of artificial intelligence as a strategic resource in contemporary competition (Wan & Zhao, 2025; Emon, 2025).

2. Literature Review

The idea of competitive advantage has always been central to understanding why some firms consistently outperform others, and the literature has long argued that this advantage emerges from the ability to create value in ways that competitors cannot easily imitate. Early strategic thinking framed competitive advantage as the outcome of deliberate positioning, cost leadership, or differentiation strategies that allow firms to sustain superior performance over time (Porter, 1985). However, as markets have become more dynamic and technology-driven, scholars have increasingly recognized that traditional sources of advantage are fragile and often short-lived. This realization has led to growing attention on digital technologies, particularly artificial intelligence, as critical enablers of new forms of advantage rooted in speed, learning, adaptability, and data-driven insight. Research on information technology and firm performance initially produced mixed findings, with early studies questioning whether investments in technology truly translated into competitive gains. Over time, however, scholars demonstrated that technology alone does not create value; rather, value emerges when technological resources are combined with organizational capabilities, managerial skills, and strategic intent (Barua et al., 1995; Bharadwaj, 2000; Emon, 2025). From this perspective, artificial intelligence represents a continuation and intensification of this logic. AI systems are powerful, but their strategic value depends heavily on how firms embed them into everyday practices, decision-making routines, and broader organizational systems. This view aligns closely with the resource-based theory, which argues that sustainable competitive advantage arises from resources that are valuable, rare, difficult to imitate, and embedded within firm-specific contexts (Grant, 1991; Emon & Chowdhury, 2025). Building on the resource-based view, the dynamic capabilities framework offers a particularly useful lens for understanding artificial intelligence adoption. Dynamic capabilities emphasize a firm's ability to sense emerging opportunities and threats, seize those opportunities through timely investments, and continuously reconfigure resources to remain competitive in changing environments (Teece et al., 1997; Teece, 2007; Emon et al., 2025). Artificial intelligence strengthens each of these processes. Through advanced analytics and machine learning, firms can better sense market trends, customer behaviors, and operational inefficiencies. AI-supported tools help managers seize opportunities by improving forecasting, optimizing resource allocation, and supporting faster decision-making. At the same time, AI enables organizations to reconfigure processes and structures more fluidly, supporting ongoing adaptation rather than one-time transformation. The growing literature on digital transformation further reinforces the strategic importance of artificial intelligence. Digital transformation is widely understood as a deep and ongoing process through which organizations use digital technologies to reshape how they operate, create value, and compete (Verhoef et al., 2021; Emon, 2025). Within this broader transformation journey, artificial intelligence is often positioned as a key driver due to its ability to automate complex tasks, generate predictive insights, and support innovation across organizational boundaries. Empirical studies suggest that firms adopting AI as part of a coherent digital transformation strategy are more likely to experience improvements in efficiency, innovation

outcomes, and overall firm performance (Kumar & Gupta, 2021; Wamba-Taguimdje et al., 2020). Importantly, these benefits are not immediate or automatic; they tend to emerge over time as organizations learn how to align AI capabilities with strategic goals and operational realities. Another important stream of literature highlights the role of information management and analytics capabilities in shaping competitive outcomes. Research consistently shows that firms with strong data management, analytics, and digital infrastructure capabilities are better positioned to extract value from emerging technologies (Mithas et al., 2011; Mikalef et al., 2019; Emon et al., 2025). Artificial intelligence builds on these foundations by enabling deeper analysis, real-time insights, and automated learning. Studies on big data analytics demonstrate that firms leveraging advanced analytical tools can improve supply chain coordination, enhance customer understanding, and support more informed strategic decisions (Rai et al., 2006; Gholami et al., 2018; Emon et al., 2025). AI intensifies these advantages by allowing organizations to move beyond descriptive insights toward predictive and prescriptive intelligence. Organizational agility emerges as a recurring theme in discussions of technology-enabled competitive advantage. Agility refers to the capacity of firms to respond quickly and effectively to changes in markets, technologies, and customer expectations. Prior research shows that digital technologies enhance agility by creating digital options and enabling rapid experimentation (Sambamurthy et al., 2003; Overby et al., 2006; Emon et al., 2025). Artificial intelligence further strengthens this capability by continuously monitoring environments, identifying patterns, and supporting adaptive responses. In fast-moving and uncertain environments, such AI-enabled agility can make the difference between firms that lead and those that lag behind. The literature also makes clear that technology adoption is deeply shaped by organizational culture and structure. Studies consistently find that cultures characterized by openness, learning, and collaboration are more likely to realize the strategic benefits of advanced technologies (Bock et al., 2012; Zhang & Tansuhaj, 2007). Artificial intelligence adoption, in particular, requires a willingness to experiment, trust data-driven insights, and rethink traditional roles and decision-making hierarchies. When organizations resist change or rely excessively on rigid structures, AI initiatives often fail to deliver meaningful competitive benefits, regardless of technical sophistication. Strategic alignment and governance play equally critical roles in determining AI outcomes. Research on information systems strategy highlights that firms perform better when technological initiatives are closely aligned with business objectives and supported by appropriate governance mechanisms (Chen & Shang, 2017; Chen et al., 2010; Emon et al., 2025). Artificial intelligence initiatives typically cut across functional boundaries and involve ethical, legal, and operational considerations, making governance especially important. Clear decision rights, accountability structures, and alignment between strategy and technology help firms reduce risks and increase the likelihood that AI investments contribute to sustained competitive advantage. Innovation-focused studies further emphasize artificial intelligence as a powerful catalyst for change. Digital innovation research argues that emerging technologies enable new combinations of resources that give rise to novel products, services, and business models (Fichman et al., 2014; Emon et al., 2025). Artificial intelligence plays a central role in data-driven business model innovation, allowing firms to personalize offerings, optimize pricing, and create new value propositions based on insights derived from data (Sorescu, 2017; Li & Wang, 2022; Emon et al., 2025). These innovations often generate differentiation advantages that are difficult for competitors to replicate, particularly when they are supported by proprietary data and continuous learning processes. Industry-level research illustrates how AI-driven competitive advantage manifests differently across contexts. In manufacturing, artificial intelligence supports Industry 4.0 initiatives by improving productivity, flexibility, and quality, thereby strengthening both cost and responsiveness advantages (Frank et al., 2019; Emon & Chowdhury, 2025). In healthcare, AI enhances diagnostic accuracy and operational efficiency, contributing to both competitive performance and societal value (Kshetri, 2018). In the public sector, AI adoption improves service delivery and decision-making, although the notion of competitive advantage takes a different form compared to private firms (Wirtz et al., 2020; Emon, 2025). These sectoral differences highlight that the value of artificial intelligence is highly contextual and shaped

by institutional, regulatory, and market conditions. International business research adds further nuance by showing how digital and AI capabilities support global competitiveness. Firms with advanced digital infrastructures are better able to coordinate activities across borders, adapt to local market conditions, and leverage global knowledge networks (Azar & Ciabuschi, 2017; Rugman & Collinson, 2005; Emon et al., 2025). Artificial intelligence enhances these capabilities by enabling real-time data integration and analytics across geographically dispersed operations, supporting more informed and timely strategic decisions in complex international environments. Despite the growing body of research linking artificial intelligence to competitive advantage, scholars increasingly acknowledge that many questions remain unanswered. Much of the existing literature relies on quantitative methods that capture outcomes but offer limited insight into the processes, interpretations, and organizational dynamics underlying AI adoption. As digital transformation research suggests, firms progress through different levels of maturity, and the benefits of artificial intelligence tend to increase as organizations develop more sophisticated digital architectures and capabilities (Sousa & Rocha, 2019). This implies that AI-driven competitive advantage is not simply a function of adoption, but of learning, adaptation, and strategic integration over time. Overall, the literature paints a nuanced picture of artificial intelligence as a powerful yet complex driver of competitive advantage. AI does not automatically create superior performance; rather, its value emerges through the interaction of technology, strategy, culture, and capability development. While existing studies provide strong theoretical foundations and empirical evidence, they also point to the need for deeper, practice-oriented insights into how organizations actually experience and make sense of AI adoption. By focusing on human interpretations, organizational realities, and contextual factors, qualitative research can enrich current understanding and bridge the gap between abstract theory and lived managerial practice.

3. Research Methodology

This study adopted a qualitative research approach to explore how industry practitioners perceived and experienced the adoption of artificial intelligence as a source of competitive advantage. A qualitative design was considered appropriate because the research sought to capture rich, contextualized insights into practitioners' interpretations, strategic reasoning, and lived experiences rather than to test predetermined hypotheses or measure relationships among variables. The study was guided by an interpretivist perspective, which assumed that meanings associated with artificial intelligence adoption were socially constructed and shaped by organizational contexts, managerial roles, and industry conditions. This approach enabled an in-depth understanding of how artificial intelligence was understood, implemented, and leveraged within real organizational settings. Data were collected through semi-structured, in-depth interviews with industry practitioners who had direct involvement in artificial intelligence-related initiatives within their organizations. Participants were selected using purposive sampling to ensure that they possessed relevant knowledge and practical experience related to AI adoption and strategic decision-making. The sample included managers, senior executives, technology leads, and strategy professionals from diverse industries such as manufacturing, services, retail, logistics, and technology-intensive sectors. This diversity was intentionally sought to capture a broad range of perspectives and to enhance the transferability of the findings across different organizational and industry contexts. Snowball sampling was also used to identify additional participants based on recommendations from initial interviewees, which helped to access knowledgeable practitioners who might otherwise have been difficult to reach. The interviews were conducted over a period of several months and were carried out either face-to-face or through online communication platforms, depending on participants' availability and geographic location. An interview guide was used to ensure consistency across interviews while allowing sufficient flexibility for participants to elaborate on issues they considered most relevant. The questions focused on participants' experiences with artificial intelligence adoption, perceived strategic motivations, organizational enablers and barriers, decision-making processes, and perceived impacts on competitive advantage and performance. Probing questions were used to

clarify responses, explore underlying assumptions, and encourage participants to provide concrete examples from their organizational experiences. All interviews were conducted in a professional and conversational manner to build rapport and facilitate open and honest discussion. With participants' informed consent, the interviews were audio-recorded and later transcribed verbatim to ensure accuracy and completeness of the data. Ethical considerations were carefully addressed throughout the research process. Participants were informed about the purpose of the study, their voluntary participation, and their right to withdraw at any stage without consequence. Anonymity and confidentiality were assured by removing identifying information from the transcripts and by using pseudonyms or general descriptors when reporting the findings. Data were stored securely and accessed only by the researchers to protect participants' privacy. The data analysis followed a thematic analysis approach, which was conducted systematically and iteratively. The researchers first familiarized themselves with the data by reading and re-reading the transcripts to gain an overall understanding of participants' narratives. Initial codes were then generated to capture meaningful segments of text related to artificial intelligence adoption, strategic intent, organizational processes, and competitive outcomes. These codes were both data-driven and informed by existing literature, allowing for the identification of emergent themes while remaining theoretically sensitive. The codes were subsequently reviewed, compared, and grouped into broader themes that reflected recurring patterns and shared meanings across participants' accounts. Throughout the analysis, constant comparison was employed to examine similarities and differences across interviews, industries, and organizational roles. This process helped refine the themes and ensured that they were grounded in the data rather than driven by preconceived assumptions. Reflexivity was maintained during analysis, with the researchers critically reflecting on their own perspectives and potential biases to minimize their influence on data interpretation. Memos were used to document analytical decisions, emerging insights, and connections between themes, thereby enhancing the transparency and rigor of the analysis process. To enhance the trustworthiness of the study, several strategies were employed. Credibility was supported through prolonged engagement with the data and by seeking rich, detailed accounts from participants. Member checking was conducted with a subset of participants, who were invited to review summaries of the findings to confirm the accuracy and resonance of the interpretations. Transferability was addressed by providing thick descriptions of the research context, participants, and analytical process, enabling readers to assess the applicability of the findings to other settings. Dependability and confirmability were strengthened by maintaining a clear audit trail of data collection and analysis procedures and by systematically documenting methodological decisions. Overall, the qualitative methodology adopted in this study allowed for a nuanced and in-depth exploration of how industry practitioners experienced and made sense of artificial intelligence adoption for competitive advantage. By focusing on practitioners' perspectives and organizational realities, the methodology provided a robust foundation for generating insights that are both theoretically meaningful and practically relevant.

4. Results and Findings

The results and findings of this qualitative study provide an in-depth and practice-oriented understanding of how industry practitioners experienced the adoption of artificial intelligence as a source of competitive advantage. Drawing from rich narratives shared by participants across diverse industries, the findings reveal that artificial intelligence adoption was not perceived as a single technological decision but rather as a complex, evolving journey shaped by strategic intent, organizational readiness, human judgment, and environmental pressures. Practitioners consistently described artificial intelligence as a transformative force that altered how their organizations thought, acted, and competed, while also emphasizing that the realization of competitive advantage depended heavily on how AI was embedded into everyday practices and decision-making routines.

Participants commonly began their accounts by reflecting on the motivations that initially drove their organizations to explore artificial intelligence. For many, competitive pressure served as a critical trigger, as firms faced intensifying rivalry, rising customer expectations, and shrinking

margins. Artificial intelligence was seen as a means to remain relevant and resilient rather than as a purely experimental technology. Practitioners emphasized that AI adoption was often framed internally as a strategic necessity to survive and grow in increasingly data-driven markets. In several cases, organizations turned to AI after recognizing limitations in traditional decision-making approaches, particularly when dealing with complex, fast-moving environments where human intuition alone was no longer sufficient. This strategic framing shaped how resources were allocated and how AI initiatives were prioritized within the organization.

The themes presented in Table 1 illustrate that practitioners perceived artificial intelligence as a strategic response to both external and internal pressures. Rather than adopting AI for its novelty, organizations sought clear strategic benefits such as differentiation, efficiency, and customer responsiveness. Participants highlighted that when AI initiatives were clearly linked to competitive goals, they gained stronger managerial support and organizational legitimacy. Conversely, projects that lacked strategic clarity often struggled to demonstrate value, reinforcing the importance of aligning AI adoption with overarching competitive objectives.

Table 1. Strategic Motivations for AI Adoption.

Theme	Description
Competitive Pressure	AI was adopted to respond to intense market competition and avoid strategic stagnation.
Strategic Differentiation	Organizations pursued AI to create unique value propositions and stand out from competitors.
Efficiency and Cost Optimization	AI was viewed as a tool to streamline operations and reduce inefficiencies.
Customer-Centric Strategy	AI adoption was driven by the need to better understand and serve customers.

As AI initiatives progressed, practitioners frequently discussed the importance of organizational readiness in shaping adoption outcomes. Readiness was described as a combination of leadership commitment, cultural openness, data availability, and structural flexibility. Many participants noted that organizations underestimated the level of preparation required to successfully adopt artificial intelligence. In particular, the absence of clean, integrated data and the lack of internal analytical skills were cited as major obstacles during early stages of implementation. Practitioners emphasized that organizations that invested time in building foundational capabilities were better positioned to translate AI investments into competitive benefits.

The insights summarized in Table 2 indicate that organizational readiness played a decisive role in determining whether artificial intelligence initiatives succeeded or stalled. Practitioners consistently described leadership commitment as a signal that AI was a strategic priority rather than a peripheral experiment. Cultural openness encouraged employees to trust data-driven insights and engage with AI tools, while structural flexibility allowed organizations to adapt workflows around new technologies. When these elements were absent, AI initiatives often remained isolated pilot projects with limited competitive impact.

Table 2. Organizational Readiness Factors.

Theme	Description
Leadership Commitment	Senior management support enabled resource allocation and risk-taking.
Data Infrastructure	Availability and quality of data influenced AI effectiveness.
Cultural Openness	Willingness to experiment and learn supported AI adoption.

Structural Flexibility	Flexible processes facilitated integration of AI solutions.
------------------------	---

Another dominant theme emerging from the findings related to the evolving role of decision-making. Participants described how artificial intelligence reshaped managerial decision processes by augmenting human judgment rather than replacing it. AI tools were frequently used to provide recommendations, forecasts, and scenario analyses, which managers then evaluated using their contextual knowledge and experience. This hybrid approach was viewed as a major source of competitive advantage, as it combined the speed and accuracy of algorithms with human intuition and strategic understanding.

The themes in Table 3 reflect practitioners' belief that artificial intelligence enhanced decision quality without diminishing managerial agency. Participants noted that AI-enabled insights allowed them to move faster and act with greater confidence, particularly in high-stakes or uncertain situations. At the same time, human oversight was considered essential to interpret results, question assumptions, and account for contextual factors that algorithms could not fully capture. This balance between automation and judgment was widely viewed as critical to sustaining competitive advantage.

Table 3. AI-Enabled Decision-Making Practices.

Theme	Description
Data-Driven Insights	AI provided evidence-based recommendations for decisions.
Human Judgment	Managers retained final decision authority.
Speed of Decisions	AI reduced time required for analysis and response.
Risk Reduction	Predictive models supported more informed risk assessment.

Participants also emphasized the role of artificial intelligence in driving operational efficiency and process optimization. Across industries, AI applications were used to automate repetitive tasks, optimize resource allocation, and improve process consistency. These efficiency gains freed up human resources to focus on higher-value activities such as innovation, relationship management, and strategic planning. Practitioners highlighted that while cost reduction was an important outcome, the real advantage lay in increased organizational focus and agility.

The findings in Table 4 suggest that operational efficiency served as a foundational benefit that supported broader competitive outcomes. Practitioners viewed efficiency gains not as an end in themselves but as an enabler of strategic flexibility and innovation. By reducing operational burdens, AI allowed organizations to respond more quickly to market changes and pursue growth opportunities more effectively.

Table 4. Operational Efficiency Outcomes.

Theme	Description
Process Automation	AI reduced manual and repetitive tasks.
Resource Optimization	Improved allocation of time, labor, and capital.
Consistency and Accuracy	Reduced errors in operational processes.
Time Reallocation	Employees focused on strategic and creative work.

Customer-related advantages emerged as another prominent theme. Participants described how artificial intelligence enabled deeper understanding of customer behavior, preferences, and needs. AI-driven analytics were used to personalize offerings, anticipate demand, and enhance customer engagement. These capabilities were widely perceived as critical for differentiation in markets where customers expected tailored experiences and rapid responsiveness.

The themes outlined in Table 5 indicate that artificial intelligence strengthened competitive advantage by enabling more meaningful and personalized customer interactions. Practitioners emphasized that AI-driven personalization enhanced customer satisfaction and loyalty, which in turn contributed to long-term performance and market positioning. Organizations that effectively leveraged customer data through AI were seen as more agile and customer-centric than their competitors.

Table 5. Customer-Centric Advantages.

Theme	Description
Personalization	Customized products, services, and communications.
Customer Insights	Deeper understanding of customer behavior patterns.
Responsiveness	Faster responses to customer needs and feedback.
Relationship Strengthening	Improved customer trust and loyalty.

Innovation and business model evolution were also frequently discussed. Participants described how artificial intelligence opened new possibilities for product development, service delivery, and revenue generation. In some cases, AI enabled incremental improvements, while in others it supported more radical changes to how value was created and captured. Practitioners viewed innovation driven by AI as a continuous process rather than a one-time outcome.

The findings summarized in Table 6 suggest that artificial intelligence acted as a catalyst for sustained innovation. Practitioners noted that AI encouraged experimentation and learning, allowing organizations to adapt their offerings and business models over time. This capacity for continuous innovation was widely regarded as a critical source of competitive advantage in rapidly evolving markets.

Table 6. AI-Driven Innovation Outcomes.

Theme	Description
Product Innovation	Enhanced or new offerings supported by AI insights.
Service Innovation	Improved service delivery and automation.
Business Model Evolution	New ways of creating and capturing value.
Continuous Experimentation	Ongoing testing and refinement of ideas.

Despite the benefits, participants were candid about the challenges they faced during AI adoption. Resistance to change, skills gaps, and concerns about data quality and trust were commonly reported. Practitioners emphasized that these challenges were not purely technical but deeply organizational and human in nature. Addressing them required communication, training, and change management efforts alongside technological investments.

The themes in Table 7 highlight that competitive advantage from AI was not guaranteed and depended on how organizations managed adoption challenges. Practitioners stressed that ignoring human and cultural issues often undermined AI initiatives, regardless of technological sophistication. Successful organizations were those that proactively addressed resistance, invested in skills development, and built trust in AI systems.

Table 7. Challenges in AI Adoption.

Theme	Description
Resistance to Change	Employee skepticism and fear of job displacement.
Skills and Talent Gaps	Limited internal expertise in AI and analytics.

Data Quality Issues	Incomplete or unreliable data sources.
Trust in AI Outputs	Concerns about transparency and reliability.

Leadership and governance emerged as critical enablers throughout the AI adoption journey. Participants emphasized that clear strategic direction, ethical oversight, and accountability structures helped guide AI initiatives and manage risks. Leaders played a key role in articulating the purpose of AI adoption and in fostering an environment where experimentation was encouraged but aligned with organizational values.

The insights in Table 8 indicate that leadership and governance were central to sustaining competitive advantage through AI. Practitioners viewed strong leadership as essential for balancing innovation with control and for ensuring that AI adoption aligned with long-term strategic objectives rather than short-term gains.

Table 8. Leadership and Governance Roles.

Theme	Description
Strategic Vision	Clear articulation of AI's role in competitiveness.
Ethical Oversight	Responsible and transparent use of AI.
Accountability	Defined ownership of AI initiatives.
Change Leadership	Guiding employees through transformation.

Another important finding related to organizational learning. Participants described AI adoption as a learning journey that reshaped skills, mindsets, and routines over time. Organizations that treated AI initiatives as opportunities for learning rather than fixed projects were better able to adapt and extract value. Continuous learning was seen as essential for keeping pace with technological advances and evolving competitive conditions.

The themes in Table 9 show that learning and capability development were foundational to long-term competitive advantage. Practitioners emphasized that AI adoption strengthened organizations not only technologically but also cognitively, enabling them to think more analytically and strategically.

Table 9. Organizational Learning and Capability Development.

Theme	Description
Skill Development	Upskilling employees in data and AI literacy.
Learning-by-Doing	Knowledge gained through experimentation.
Cross-Functional Collaboration	Shared learning across departments.
Knowledge Retention	Embedding insights into organizational routines.

Participants also discussed how the competitive impact of AI unfolded over time. Immediate gains were often operational, while more strategic advantages emerged gradually as organizations refined their use of AI and integrated it more deeply into core processes. This temporal dimension shaped expectations and influenced how success was evaluated.

The insights in Table 10 suggest that competitive advantage from AI was cumulative rather than instantaneous. Practitioners stressed the importance of patience and persistence, noting that organizations that abandoned AI initiatives too early often failed to realize their full strategic potential.

Table 10. Time-Based Competitive Effects.

Theme	Description
-------	-------------

Short-Term Gains	Efficiency and process improvements.
Medium-Term Benefits	Enhanced decision-making and customer value.
Long-Term Advantage	Sustained differentiation and adaptability.
Continuous Evolution	Ongoing refinement of AI use.

Finally, participants reflected on how artificial intelligence reshaped their understanding of competition itself. AI adoption blurred industry boundaries, intensified rivalry, and raised the bar for performance and responsiveness. Practitioners perceived that AI not only changed what firms did, but also how they defined success and competitiveness.

The themes in Table 11 indicate that artificial intelligence fundamentally altered competitive logic. Practitioners described a shift toward continuous adaptation, where competitive advantage was less about static positioning and more about ongoing learning and responsiveness enabled by AI.

Table 11. Redefining Competitive Advantage through AI.

Theme	Description
Elevated Performance Standards	Higher expectations for speed and accuracy.
Data as a Strategic Asset	Data-driven capabilities as a core advantage.
Adaptive Competition	Continuous adjustment to market changes.
Strategic Mindset Shift	Viewing AI as integral to strategy.

The findings reveal that adopting artificial intelligence for competitive advantage was a multifaceted and dynamic process shaped by strategic intent, organizational readiness, human judgment, and continuous learning. Practitioners experienced AI as a powerful enabler of efficiency, innovation, and customer-centricity, while also recognizing the challenges associated with change, skills, and trust. Competitive advantage emerged not simply from adopting AI, but from embedding it deeply into decision-making, culture, and strategy over time. The results underscore that artificial intelligence reshaped both organizational capabilities and competitive mindsets, positioning firms to compete in more adaptive, data-driven, and resilient ways.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study provide a nuanced understanding of how artificial intelligence adoption unfolds in practice and how it contributes to competitive advantage beyond purely technical or efficiency-driven explanations. The experiences shared by industry practitioners suggest that artificial intelligence is best understood as a strategic and organizational transformation rather than a discrete technological investment. Participants consistently emphasized that competitive advantage emerged when artificial intelligence was aligned with strategic intent, embedded into decision-making routines, and supported by organizational readiness. This reinforces the idea that the value of artificial intelligence lies not in the technology itself, but in how organizations learn to integrate it into their ways of thinking, working, and competing. One of the most important insights from the findings is the central role of human judgment in AI-enabled decision-making. Practitioners did not view artificial intelligence as a replacement for managerial expertise, but as a powerful complement that enhanced speed, accuracy, and confidence in decisions. The combination of algorithmic insights and human experience allowed organizations to navigate uncertainty more effectively and to make more informed strategic choices. This hybrid decision-making approach appeared to be a key source of competitive advantage, particularly in complex and fast-changing environments where purely data-driven or purely intuitive decisions were seen as insufficient. The findings suggest that organizations that actively cultivated this balance were better positioned to leverage artificial intelligence in meaningful and sustainable ways. The discussion also highlights

that organizational readiness was a decisive factor shaping AI outcomes. Leadership commitment, cultural openness, and data infrastructure were repeatedly identified as enablers that determined whether artificial intelligence initiatives moved beyond experimentation to deliver tangible strategic value. Where leaders clearly articulated the purpose of AI adoption and signaled long-term commitment, employees were more willing to engage with new tools and processes. Conversely, when AI initiatives were introduced without sufficient preparation or communication, resistance and skepticism limited their impact. This underscores that competitive advantage from artificial intelligence is deeply social and organizational, emerging through shared understanding, trust, and collective learning. Another key theme emerging from the findings relates to the temporal nature of AI-driven competitive advantage. Practitioners emphasized that while some benefits, such as operational efficiency and process automation, were realized relatively quickly, more strategic advantages developed gradually over time. Enhanced decision quality, customer-centric capabilities, and innovation outcomes emerged as organizations accumulated experience and refined their use of AI. This gradual evolution shaped how success was perceived and measured, highlighting the importance of patience and persistence. Organizations that expected immediate transformative results were more likely to become discouraged, whereas those that viewed AI adoption as a long-term journey were more successful in sustaining competitive gains. The findings also suggest that artificial intelligence reshaped how organizations understood competition itself. Rather than viewing competitive advantage as a static position, practitioners described a shift toward continuous adaptation, learning, and responsiveness. Artificial intelligence raised performance expectations and accelerated competitive dynamics, making ongoing improvement a necessity rather than a choice. In this sense, AI adoption contributed to a more dynamic form of competitiveness, where advantage was continually created and renewed through data-driven insight, experimentation, and strategic agility. Challenges associated with artificial intelligence adoption further enriched the discussion by revealing the limits of purely technical solutions. Resistance to change, skills shortages, data quality concerns, and trust in AI outputs were common obstacles that shaped adoption trajectories. Practitioners emphasized that addressing these challenges required deliberate change management efforts, investment in learning, and transparent communication. Organizations that treated these challenges as integral to the adoption process, rather than as side issues, were better able to sustain momentum and extract value from AI initiatives. This highlights that competitive advantage from artificial intelligence depends as much on managing people and processes as on managing technology.

6. Conclusion

This study concludes that adopting artificial intelligence for competitive advantage is not a singular technological decision but a continuous organizational journey shaped by strategy, people, and learning. The findings demonstrate that artificial intelligence becomes a source of competitive advantage when it is purposefully aligned with organizational goals, embedded into decision-making processes, and supported by a culture that values experimentation and data-driven thinking. Rather than replacing human expertise, artificial intelligence strengthens managerial capabilities by enhancing insight, speed, and confidence in complex and uncertain environments. Competitive advantage, therefore, emerges from the effective combination of human judgment and intelligent systems. The study also highlights that the benefits of artificial intelligence unfold over time, evolving from initial operational improvements to deeper strategic and innovative outcomes. Organizations that approached AI adoption with patience and a long-term perspective were better able to translate early efficiency gains into sustained differentiation, adaptability, and customer-centric value creation. At the same time, the challenges encountered during adoption underscore that technological capability alone is insufficient. Leadership commitment, organizational readiness, skill development, and trust in AI systems were shown to be critical in shaping successful outcomes. Overall, the conclusion reinforces that artificial intelligence reshapes not only how organizations operate but also how they compete and define success. Firms that view artificial intelligence as a core strategic

resource and invest in continuous learning and integration are more likely to build resilient and adaptive competitive advantages. By capturing industry practitioners' lived experiences, this study contributes a grounded and human-centered understanding of artificial intelligence adoption, offering insights that are relevant for both theory and practice in an increasingly AI-driven competitive landscape.

References

- Biradar, S. S., Pillai, R., Mittal, A., Swami, P. P., Tyagi, S., & Paunikar, S. (2025). *AI and competitive strategy: How early adoption influences market dominance*. *Lex Localis – Journal of Local Self-Government*, 23(S6), 1533–1540. <https://doi.org/10.52152/801999> Lex Localis
- Yuan, D., Kim, J. K., & Gao, C. (2025). *Adoption of artificial intelligence and its impact on competitive advantage: Mediated by knowledge management*. *Journal of Information & Knowledge Management*, 24(02), 1–34. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219649225500030> IDEAS/RePEc
- Wan, X., & Zhao, P. (2025). *Research on the impact of artificial intelligence on corporate competitive advantage*. *Frontiers in Business, Economics and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.54097/as1w9764> Darcy & Roy Press
- Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Leveraging AI on Social Media & Digital Platforms to Enhance English Language Teaching and Learning. In *AI-Powered English Teaching* (pp. 211–238). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-1952-0.ch007>
- Gans, J. S. (2022). *AI adoption in a competitive market* (NBER Working Paper No. 29996). National Bureau of Economic Research. <https://doi.org/10.3386/w29996> NBER
- Zukarnain, Z. A., et al. (2024). *Artificial intelligence as a catalyst for business model innovation*. In *Advances in Intelligent Systems Research* (pp. 1–10). Atlantis Press. https://doi.org/10.2991/978-94-6463-578-2_8 Atlantis Press
- The competitive advantage of AI in business: A strategic imperative*. (2025). *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research*, 7(4), 50400. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2025.v07i04.50400> ResearchGate
- Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Circularity Meets AI: Revolutionizing Consumer Engagement Through Green Marketing. In *Transforming Business Practices With AI-Powered Green Marketing* (pp. 169–208). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3017-4.ch007>
- Artificial Intelligence Software Adoption in Manufacturing Companies*. (2024). *Applied Sciences*, 14(16), 6959. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app14166959> MDPI
- Kemp, A. (2024). *Competitive advantage through artificial intelligence: Toward a theory of situated AI*. *Academy of Management Review*, 3, 618–635. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.2020.0205> OUCI
- Hussain, A., & Rizwan, R. (2024). *Strategic AI adoption in SMEs: A prescriptive framework*. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.11825> arXiv
- Górka, E., Baran, D., Wojak, G., Ćwiąkała, M., Zupok, S., Starkowski, D., Reško, D., & Okrasa, O. (2025). *The impact of artificial intelligence on enterprise decision-making process*. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2512.02048> arXiv
- Kikuchi, T. (2025). *AI investment and firm productivity: How executive demographics drive technology adoption and performance in Japanese enterprises*. arXiv. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.03757> arXiv
- Brynjolfsson, E., & McAfee, A. (2017). *Machine, platform, crowd: Harnessing our digital future*. W. W. Norton. <https://books.wwnorton.com/books/>
- Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Ethical Intelligence in Motion: Leveraging AI for Responsible Sourcing in Supply Chain and Logistics. In *Navigating Responsible Business Practices Through Ethical AI* (pp. 207–240). <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3104-1.ch008>
- Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2014). *How smart, connected products are transforming competition*. *Harvard Business Review*. <https://hbr.org/2014/11/how-smart-connected-products-are-transforming-competition>
- Davenport, T. H., & Ronanki, R. (2018). *Artificial intelligence for the real world*. *Harvard Business Review*. <https://hbr.org/2018/01/artificial-intelligence-for-the-real-world>
- Agrawal, A., Gans, J., & Goldfarb, A. (2018). *Prediction machines: The simple economics of artificial intelligence*. Harvard Business Review Press.

- Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Purpose-Driven Intelligence in Green Marketing: Leveraging AI for CSR-Centric and Sustainable Brand Positioning. In *Transforming Business Practices With AI-Powered Green Marketing* (pp. 89–122). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3017-4.ch004>
- Shrestha, Y. R., Ben-Menahem, S. M., & von Krogh, G. (2019). Organizational decision-making structures in the age of artificial intelligence. *California Management Review*, 61(4), 66–83. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0008125619862257>
- Lee, I. (2023). *Implementing AI in business: A strategic roadmap*. *Business Horizons*, 66(1), 35–47. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2022.09.002>
- Bessen, J. E. (2019). AI and jobs: Evidence from online vacancies. *Journal of Labor Economics*, 37(S1), S13–S61. <https://doi.org/10.1086/701833>
- Chen, H., Chiang, R. H. L., & Storey, V. C. (2012). Business intelligence and analytics: From big data to big impact. *MIS Quarterly*, 36(4), 1165–1188.
- Russell, S., & Norvig, P. (2021). *Artificial intelligence: A modern approach* (4th ed.). Pearson.
- Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Cybersecurity in the Smart City Era: Overcoming Challenges With Modern Cryptographic Solutions. In *Securing Smart Cities Through Modern Cryptography Technologies* (pp. 43–74). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3326-7.ch002>
- Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human–AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. *Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 27(2), 171–181. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2018.07.003>
- Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Navigating the Digital Labyrinth: Personal Data Privacy and Security at Individual and Organizational Levels. In *User-Centric Cybersecurity Implications for Sustainable Digital Transformation* (pp. 257–288). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3171-3.ch008>
- Brynjolfsson, E., Mitchell, T., & Rock, D. (2018). *What can machines learn, and what does it mean for occupations and the economy?* *AEA Papers and Proceedings*, 108, 43–47. <https://doi.org/10.1257/pandp.20181004>
- Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). Artificial intelligence in service. *Journal of Service Research*, 24(1), 3–20. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670520902262>
- Lee, J., et al. (2020). Industrial AI applications in smart manufacturing: A review. *Journal of Manufacturing Systems*, 56, 148–170. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmsy.2020.06.014>
- Wang, Y., Kung, L. A., & Byrd, T. A. (2018). Big data analytics: Understanding its capabilities and potential benefits for supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 201, 121–139. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.02.016>
- Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Overcoming Hurdles: Navigating Challenges in the Adoption of Smart Logistics. In *Emerging Trends in Smart Logistics Technologies* (A. Hlali, pp. 197–228). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2434-0.ch007>
- Sivarajah, U., et al. (2017). Critical analysis of big data challenges and analytical methods. *Journal of Business Research*, 70, 263–286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.08.001>
- Rai, A. (2020). Explainable AI: From black box to transparent models. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 48(1), 137–141. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-019-00710-x>
- Mariscal, M. A., et al. (2017). Survey of data mining and big data integration. *Knowledge and Information Systems*, 52(2), 337–378. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10115-017-1139-x>
- Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Digital transformation in emerging markets: Adoption dynamics of AI image generation in marketing practices. *Telematics and Informatics Reports*, 20, 100267. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2025.100267>
- Zhang, Y., & Tao, D. (2023). AI-enabled competitive strategies in digital ecosystems. *Journal of Business Research*, 145, 743–755. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2022.08.025>
- Kumar, V., & Gupta, S. (2021). Digital transformation, competitive advantage, and firm performance: Evidence from AI adoption. *Journal of Business Research*, 128, 902–912. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.12.002>
- Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2025). Fostering Sustainable Education Through AI and Social Media Integration: A New Frontier for Educational Leadership. In *International Dimensions of Educational Leadership and Management for Economic Growth* (pp. 331–374). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3616-9.ch011>

- Porter, M. E. (1985). *Competitive advantage: Creating and sustaining superior performance*. Free Press.
- Teece, D. J. (2007). Explicating dynamic capabilities. *Strategic Management Journal*, 28(13), 1319–1350. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.640>
- Grant, R. M. (1991). *The resource-based theory of competitive advantage: Implications for strategy formulation*. California Management Review.
- Bharadwaj, A. (2000). A resource-based perspective on information technology capability and firm performance. *MIS Quarterly*, 24(1), 169–196.
- Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Nath, A., Fuad, M. N., Kabir, S. M. I., & Emee, A. F. (2025). Understanding User Adoption of Cybersecurity Practices through Awareness and Perception for Enhancing Network Security in Bangladesh. 2025 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Applications and Systems (COMPAS), 1–7.
- Sambamurthy, V., Bharadwaj, A., & Grover, V. (2003). Shaping agility through digital options: Reconceptualizing the role of IT in contemporary firms. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(2), 237–263.
- Rai, A., Patnayakuni, R., & Seth, N. (2006). Firm performance impacts of digitally enabled supply chain integration. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(2), 225–246.
- Barua, A., Kriebel, C. H., & Mukhopadhyay, T. (1995). Information technologies and business value: An analytical and empirical investigation. *Information Systems Research*, 6(1), 3–23.
- Mithas, S., Ramasubbu, N., & Sambamurthy, V. (2011). How information management capabilities influence firm performance. *MIS Quarterly*, 35(1), 237–256.
- Li, L., Su, F., Zhang, W., & Mao, J.-Y. (2018). Digital transformation by SMEs: A qualitative study. *Information Systems Journal*, 28(6), 1129–1157.
- Bock, A. J., Opsahl, T., George, G., & Gann, D. M. (2012). The effects of culture and structure on strategy implementation in technology adoption. *Strategic Management Journal*, 33(9), 1118–1135.
- Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., Rahman, K. M., Islam, M. M.-U., Niloy, G. B., & Rifat, M. (2025). Examining the Determinants of Generative AI Utilization for SEO and Content Marketing: A TOE Approach in the Bangladeshi Digital Space. 2025 IEEE International Conference on Computing, Applications and Systems (COMPAS), 1–7.
- Teo, H. H., Srivastava, S. C., & Jiang, L. (2008). Trust and electronic government success. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 25(3), 99–132.
- Nguyen, T. H., et al. (2015). Innovation success factors in the adoption of advanced technologies. *Technovation*, 36–37, 1–11.
- Chen, J., & Shang, R. (2017). Strategic alignment, IT governance, and firm performance. *Information & Management*, 54(8), 1045–1058.
- Eggers, F. (2020). *Masters of innovation: How companies leverage emerging technologies for strategic advantages*. Business & Management Review.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., et al. (2021). Setting the future of digital and AI research: A research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, 102310. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102310>
- Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Nath, A., Islam, M. M.-U., Rifat, H. H., & Kutub, J. (2025). Exploring Drivers of Sustainable E-Commerce Adoption among SMEs in Bangladesh: A TOE Framework Approach for Industry 5.0 Transformation. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6
- Azar, A., & Ciabuschi, F. (2017). IT and international expansion. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 48(9), 1119–1137.
- Overby, E., Bharadwaj, A., & Sambamurthy, V. (2006). Enterprise agility and IT. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, 7(3), 1–43.
- Teece, D. J., Pisano, G., & Shuen, A. (1997). Dynamic capabilities and strategic management. *Strategic Management Journal*, 18(7), 509–533.
- Chen, D. Q., Mocker, M., Preston, D. S., & Teubner, A. (2010). Information systems strategy. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 26(2), 15–60.
- Sousa, M. J., & Rocha, Á. (2019). Digital transformation maturity models. *Journal of Business Research*, 101, 365–380.

- Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., Rahman, K. M., Kutub, J., Rifat, H. H., & Islam, M. M.-U. (2025). Evaluating the Impact of AI Integration on Supply Chain Efficiency in E-Commerce Operations. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.
- Ly, P. T. M., & Hoang, T. G. (2021). Competitive advantage through digital architectures. *Information & Management*, 58(6), 103456.
- Zhang, M., & Tansuhaj, P. (2007). Organizational culture and innovation adoption. *Journal of International Marketing*, 15(3), 61–82.
- Rangaswamy, A., & Van Bruggen, G. H. (2005). *Strategic IT applications in market competition*. Journal of Interactive Marketing, 19(2), 2–17.
- Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, K. M., Islam, M. S., Nath, A., Kutub, J., & Santa, N. A. (2025). Determinants of Explainable AI Adoption in Customer Service Chatbots: Insights from the Telecom Sector of Bangladesh. 2025 7th International Conference on Sustainable Technologies for Industry 5.0 (STI), 1–6.
- Shapiro, C., & Varian, H. R. (1999). *Information rules: A strategic guide to the network economy*. Harvard Business School Press.
- Tanriverdi, H. (2006). Performance effects of information technology. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(2), 281–314.
- Fichman, R. G., Dos Santos, B. L., & Zheng, Z. E. (2014). Digital innovation as a fundamental and powerful concept. *MIS Quarterly*, 38(2), 329–420
- Emon, M. M. H. (2025). The Mediating Role of Supply Chain Responsiveness in the Relationship Between Key Supply Chain Drivers and Performance: Evidence from the FMCG Industry. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 22(1), 2580. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2580.2025>
- Kshetri, N. (2018). AI in healthcare and competitive advantage. *IT Professional*, 20(3), 15–23. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MITP.2018.032018542>
- Mikalef, P., et al. (2019). Big data analytics capability and competitive performance. *Information & Management*, 56(8), 103207. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.im.2019.04.002>
- Sorescu, A. (2017). Data-driven business model innovation. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 34(5), 691–696. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpim.12373>
- Emon, M. M. H., & Ahmed, M. (2025). Digital Readiness as a Catalyst for Talent Transformation in Hospitality. In *Talent Management in Hotels and Hospitality* (pp. 461–502). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-4485-0.ch014>
- Wamba-Taguimdje, S.-L., et al. (2020). Influence of artificial intelligence on firm performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 229, 107777. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2020.107777>
- Rugman, A. M., & Collinson, S. (2005). *International business*. Pearson.
- Davenport, T. H. (2018). *The AI advantage: How to put the artificial intelligence revolution to work*. MIT Press.
- Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2025). Safeguarding Student Data: Privacy and Security Challenges in AI-Powered Education Tools. In *Enhancing Student Support and Learning Through Conversational AI* (pp. 191–228). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-3316-8.ch008>
- Kolbjørnsrud, V., Amico, R., & Thomas, R. J. (2016). *How artificial intelligence will redefine management*. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Mariani, M., et al. (2020). Competitive advantage through digital capabilities. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 161, 120273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2020.120273>
- Verhoef, P. C., et al. (2021). Digital transformation and competitive advantage. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 53, 1-17. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2020.10.002>
- Wirtz, B. W., et al. (2020). Artificial intelligence and the public sector. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 62(6), 531–544. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-020-00656-9>
- Emon, M. M. H., Nath, A., & Nipa, M. N. (2025). AI for a Greener Tomorrow: Harnessing Artificial Intelligence for Environmental Sustainability (pp. 227–252). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3373-2387-9.ch010>
- Gholami, R., et al. (2018). Big data analytics in supply chain management. *International Journal of Information Management*, 39, 121–133. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2017.12.007>
- Li, F., & Wang, H. (2022). Competitive advantage via AI-based customer insights. *Journal of Marketing Analytics*, 10(4), 271–283. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s41270-022-00138-8>

Frank, A. G., et al. (2019). The impact of Industry 4.0 technologies on firm performance. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 210, 15-26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.01.004>

Susanti, F., et al. (2021). Artificial intelligence adoption and business performance. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, 7(1), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.3390/joitmc7010023>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.