Preprints.org

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

The Unseen Tension: A Narrative Review of Long-Term Outcomes of Social Anxiety Disorder

Priyal Khurana , Aditya Sharma , Mayank Gupta *

Posted Date: 18 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

Keywords: social anxiety disorder; long-term impact; prevention; management

Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Review

The Unseen Tension: A Narrative Review of Long-Term Outcomes of Social Anxiety Disorder

Priyal Khurana [1], Aditya Sharma [2] and Mayank Gupta [3,*]

[1] Mahatma Jyotirao Phoole University, Jaipur, India
[2] University of Oklahoma, College of Medicine, OK, USA
[3] Loma Linda University, California, USA
* Correspondence: mayankgupta@llu.edu

Abstract

Social anxiety disorder (SAD) is a highly prevalent and disabling condition marked by persistent fear of social or performance situations. Cultural norms can sometimes reinforce socially anxious behaviors, contributing to underdiagnosis. This "neglected disorder" has significant long-term consequences. This narrative review aims to explore the long-term impacts of SAD on various life domains, identify common comorbidities, and examine contributing environmental and genetic factors. A review of existing literature was conducted, synthesizing findings on the long- term functional, relational, and health consequences of SAD, with a focus on comorbidity, patterns, and treatment approaches. Findings indicate that SAD often remains undiagnosed, rooted in a combination of environmental influences and genetic predispositions. Over time, it can lead to difficulties in forming close relationships, reduced opportunities for realizing one's potential, and a heightened risk of comorbid psychiatric conditions, alongside a strained and often critical relationship with the self. The widespread use of social media adds a complex layer as it can serve as a form of distraction or protection for individuals with SAD, it may also become an avenue for avoidance, identity exploration, and even dependency. Genetic factors may, in some cases, contribute to reduced responsiveness to cognitive-behavioral therapy alone, with better outcomes observed when pharmacological and psychotherapeutic interventions are combined. SAD can profoundly affect life trajectory, from self-perception to academic and occupational progression and relational satisfaction. Given its cultural reinforcement, underdiagnosis, and potential for lifelong impact, a multifaceted approach that addresses both environmental and genetic factors is essential for effective management and prevention of long-term disability.

Keywords: social anxiety disorder; long-term impact; prevention; management

---

1. Introduction

Social anxiety disorder (SAD) is a highly prevalent and disabling psychiatric condition characterized by marked and persistent fear of social or performance situations [1]. Once referred to as a "neglected disorder" [2], SAD has increasingly gained recognition due to its high prevalence and long-term psychosocial impact.

Despite humans being inherently social creatures, for individuals with SAD, this innate social nature can feel burdensome and distressing [3]. The lifetime prevalence of SAD is estimated to be between 8.5–15%, and approximately 70% of those affected eventually develop a cooccurring mental health condition [4.] Although SAD typically emerges in childhood or adolescence, only about 30% of individuals report it as their primary concern when seeking help [5,6].

This underreporting is often attributed to the normalization of shyness in various cultures, where it may be perceived as a positive personality trait. As a result, symptoms of social anxiety are frequently misinterpreted as introversion or socially conformist shyness, leading to a lack of timely

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

intervention. The individuals with SAD often avoid anxiety-inducing situations altogether, reducing the likelihood of diagnosis unless a more pressing comorbid issue prompts clinical attention.

A growing body of evidence supports the notion that SAD has a significant genetic component. Heritability estimates derived from twin studies suggest that approximately 30% to 50% of the variance in social anxiety symptoms can be attributed to genetic factors [7,8]. This indicates that while environmental influences remain critical in the etiology of SAD, genetic predisposition plays a substantial role in determining individual susceptibility.

Early family studies demonstrated that first-degree relatives of individuals with SAD are at increased risk for developing the disorder, supporting the familial aggregation of social anxiety symptoms [9,10]. Twin studies further corroborated these findings by quantifying the heritability of social anxiety traits and differentiating genetic influences from shared environmental factors33. Notably, a meta-analysis by Polderman et al. (2015) examining over 14 million twin pairs across various phenotypes estimated an average heritability of 49% for anxiety-related disorders, reinforcing the significant contribution of genetic factors [11].

More recent advances in molecular genetics, particularly genome-wide association studies (GWAS), have begun to identify specific genetic loci associated with social anxiety traits. Stein et al. (2017) conducted one of the first large-scale GWAS of social anxiety, revealing several suggestive loci and emphasizing the polygenic nature of the disorder. Although no single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) reached genome-wide significance, these findings underscore the likelihood that social anxiety is influenced by numerous common genetic variants, each exerting a modest effect [12].

Moreover, genetic correlations have been observed between social anxiety and other internalizing psychopathologies, such as major depressive disorder and generalized anxiety disorder, suggesting shared genetic vulnerability across these conditions [9,13]. This comorbidity implies that social anxiety may arise, in part, from a general genetic liability to internalizing disorders, modulated by disorder-specific genetic and environmental factors.

While occasional social discomfort, such as stage fright or fear of public speaking, is common, SAD presents with more persistent impairments in functioning. Emerging research also indicates that individuals with subclinical symptoms may face considerable difficulties over time. However, the long-term consequences of social anxiety, particularly in untreated or subthreshold cases, remain underexplored. This review seeks to examine the enduring effects of SAD on various life domains and explore the implications for quality of life, functioning, and mental health outcomes over time. This is a two-part paper; the first part develops risks related to SAD, and then interventions in the next paper.

2. Methods

A comprehensive literature search was conducted using the databases MEDLINE, PubMed, Google Scholar, and Cochrane Reviews. Additionally, reference lists of relevant articles were manually reviewed. Keywords used in the search included “social anxiety,” “consequences,” “effects,” and “comorbidity.” This search yielded approximately 200 articles, from which 10 peer-reviewed studies published within the last 10 years (up to June 2025) were selected for inclusion in this review.

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025

doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

Table 1. Summary of Included Studies Examining Interpersonal, Emotional, and Digital Contexts of Social Anxiety. This table synthesizes empirical and qualitative studies examining social anxiety across real world social interactions, romantic relationships, interpersonal functioning, and digital environments. Studies are organized by author and year and summarize key objectives, methodologies, and principal findings relevant to social anxiety disorder and subclinical social anxiety across developmental stages and relational contexts.

Author (Year)	Title	Objective	Methods	Key Results
Hur et al. (2020) [14]	Social context and the real-world consequences of social anxiety	Examine situational factors associated with social anxiety in real-world settings	Smartphone-based ecological momentary assessment of emotional experiences across social contexts in 228 young adults with social anxiety symptoms	Individuals with social anxiety disorder had smaller intimate social circles and spent less time with close companions. When close companions were present, negative affect and mood symptoms were lower
Afram & Kashdan (2015) [15]	Coping with rejection concerns in romantic relationships: An experimental investigation of social anxiety and risk regulation	Test whether individuals with high social anxiety devalue romantic partners to reduce the impact of rejection	Laboratory study of 51 couples in which one partner was assigned to an experimental rejection condition	Individuals with high social anxiety employed defensive and risk management strategies in response to perceived rejection
Tonge et al. (2020) [16]	Interpersonal Problems in Social Anxiety Disorder Across Different Relational Contexts	Assess interpersonal problems using self-report and informant reports from friends and romantic partners	Participants drawn from two studies conducted between 2007 and 2012 using self-report and informant measures	Interpersonal conflicts were more pronounced in romantic relationships than in friendships
Porter et al. (2017) [17]	Criticism in the Romantic Relationships of Individuals with Social Anxiety	Examine associations between social anxiety and perceived,	Two-phase design: Phase 1 included self-report data from 343 students and partners with one-year follow-	Women with higher social anxiety reported greater distress following

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025

doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

		observed, and expressed criticism in romantic interactions	up; Phase 2 involved couples with high and low social anxiety completing an interaction task	criticism from romantic partners
Wu et al. (2024) [18]	Social anxiety and problematic social network site use: The sequential mediating role of self-esteem and self-concept clarity	Investigate the relationship between social anxiety and problematic social network site use and the mediating roles of self-esteem and self-concept clarity	Survey of 811 college students using validated measures of social anxiety, self-esteem, self-concept clarity, and problematic social media use	Self-esteem and self-concept clarity sequentially mediated the relationship between social anxiety and problematic social network site use
Lopez & Polletta (2021) [19]	Regulating Self-Image on Instagram: Links Between Social Anxiety, Instagram Contingent Self-Worth, and Content Control Behaviors	Assess how social anxiety relates to Instagram use and self-worth contingencies	Cross-sectional survey of 247 adults using social anxiety and self-worth measures	For individuals with social anxiety, self-worth was closely tied to online validation, which was associated with increased content control behaviors such as editing posts and captions
McEvoy et al. (2016) [20]	Behind the Mask: A psychodynamic exploration of the experiences of individuals diagnosed with social anxiety disorder	Explore lived experiences of individuals diagnosed with social anxiety disorder	Qualitative psychoanalytically informed interviews with six individuals	Four themes emerged: a critical internal voice, passive interpersonal presence, failure to launch, and experiences of hiding behind a social mask
Chen et al. (2023) [21]	The relationship between self-esteem and mobile phone addiction among college students: The chain	Examine mechanisms linking self-esteem to mobile phone addiction	Survey of 694 college students using measures of self-esteem, mobile phone addiction, peer	Self-esteem, social avoidance, and peer relationship quality jointly influenced vulnerability to

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

	mediating effects of social avoidance and peer relationships		relationships, and social avoidance	mobile phone addiction
Crisan et al. (2016) [22]	Reactivity to Social Stress in Subclinical Social Anxiety: Emotional Experience, Cognitive Appraisals, Behavior, and Physiology	Examine emotional, cognitive, behavioral, and physiological responses to social stress in subclinical social anxiety	Analog study of 262 undergraduates using a social anxiety scale and the Trier Social Stress Test	Subclinical social anxiety showed stress responses comparable to those observed in individuals with diagnosed social anxiety disorder
Cao et al. (2025) [23]	The Relationship Between Social Anxiety and Depression Among Rural High School Adolescents: The Mediating Role of Social Comparison and Social Support	Examine the association between social anxiety and depression and the mediating roles of social comparison and social support	Survey of 806 rural high school students using standardized measures of depression, social anxiety, social comparison, and perceived social support	Social anxiety predicted depressive symptoms, with social comparison and social support serving as parallel mediators

3. Results

3.1. Interpersonal Relationships

Research indicates that individuals with Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD) may engage in defensive strategies, such as devaluing their partners, to protect themselves from the anticipated distress of rejection[15]. While these strategies may offer short-term relief, they often hinder the formation and maintenance of close and meaningful relationships.

Large-scale data from over 11,000 real-world assessments reveal a paradox: individuals with SAD may exhibit some protection from broader anxiety and depressive symptoms, yet they consistently report reduced time spent with close confidants [14,15]. Despite being alone, they also report higher levels of negative affect, reinforcing a pattern of avoidant behavior in some contexts and dependent behavior in others.

The perception of these individuals by their close others varies across relationship types. While friends may perceive the individual more positively than the individual perceives themselves, romantic partners often report greater emotional distance and reduced warmth[8]. This discrepancy becomes more pronounced as the level of expected intimacy increases, with romantic partners more likely to experience distress in the relationship.

Gender differences also emerge; women with SAD appear particularly sensitive to criticism from partners, which can lead to maladaptive cognitive cycles of overthinking and heightened interpersonal conflict[9]. Even subclinical levels of social anxiety have been shown to negatively affect intimacy across peer, romantic, and friendship contexts[24].

A psychodynamic perspective offers further insight: individuals with SAD may function from what Winnicott termed a “false self,” presenting a socially acceptable but inauthentic persona. This

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

façade, while protective, limits self-disclosure and inhibits the formation of emotionally rich, reciprocal relationships [20].

SAD significantly impairs the formation and maintenance of personal relationships as individuals with SAD often report fewer romantic relationships, higher rates of singlehood, and lower relationship satisfaction due to fear of intimacy and fear of negative evaluation by partners [5,25]. People with SAD typically have smaller, less supportive social networks and report lower perceived social support [26]. This social isolation further exacerbates risk for comorbid depression and suicidality. SAD can also impair parenting confidence and increase the risk of intergenerational transmission of anxiety through both genetic and behavioral mechanisms [27].

3.2. Perception of Self and Relationship with Self

"I am boring," "I don't know much," "I can't talk sense."

Such internal narratives, common among individuals with SAD, reflect the negative self-evaluations at the heart of Clark and Wells' (1995) cognitive model of social anxiety [28]. This model posits that maladaptive thoughts, emotional dysregulation, and avoidance behaviors work in tandem to maintain the disorder. Ironically, it is the self that becomes both the source and the sustainer of the anxiety.

A central psychological mechanism in SAD is the dominance of the self as object over the self as subject. The "self as subject" refers to the internal, living experience of being, thinking, feeling, and acting in the moment. In contrast, the "self as object" is how individuals observe, judge, and critique themselves as though from an outside perspective. In SAD, this reflective stance becomes hyperactive, leading individuals to monitor their behavior excessively, anticipate negative evaluation, and internalize imagined criticism. As a result, the natural, spontaneous self is overshadowed by an overly self-conscious, scrutinizing mental stance.

This imbalance fuels a cycle of anxiety and avoidance. Individuals with SAD often report increased self-focused attention and maintain a critical, rigid internal image [29,30]. This is frequently tied to reduced self-compassion, which exacerbates feelings of unworthiness and perceived social failure.

One of the long-term consequences of chronic social anxiety is a diminished sense of self-concept clarity, which is the ability to clearly define and confidently hold one's beliefs, values, and emotions [31–33]. This fragmented self-concept becomes both a byproduct and a driver of sustained anxiety, reducing the likelihood of pursuing social goals or engaging authentically with others.

From a developmental lens, Mahler's theory of separation–individuation offers a compelling explanation: individuals with SAD may struggle with the developmental task of individuating from early caregivers[34]. This difficulty in navigating the transition from dependence to autonomy can lead to internal conflict, oscillating between a desire for connection and fear of judgment or engulfment.

Similarly, Erikson's psychosocial stages suggest that many individuals with SAD may experience disruptions during the critical periods of identity formation (Identity vs. Role Confusion) and relational maturity (Intimacy vs. Isolation). Unresolved developmental conflicts from childhood or adolescence may manifest as a persistent sense of role confusion and social withdrawal in adulthood [20].

3.3. Culture and Social Systems as Reinforcers of Social Anxiety

Social anxiety appears to be more prevalent among females than males, a difference that may be partly reinforced by sociocultural expectations [35]. In patriarchal systems, especially in traditional societies, traits such as quietness, submissiveness, and restraint are behaviors characteristic of social anxiety and are often culturally rewarded in women. This can blur the line between a socially reinforced norm and an internal psychological struggle. In such settings, social anxiety may not be perceived as impairing, but rather as appropriate or desirable, which can delay recognition and intervention.

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

Cultural context plays a significant role in the expression and acceptance of social anxiety symptoms. In East Asian collectivist cultures, for example, social harmony is prioritized over individual assertiveness. The Japanese concept of *taijin kyofusho* is a culture-bound syndrome closely resembling social anxiety, which emphasizes the fear of offending others rather than fear of embarrassment [36,37]. This form of anxiety, though culturally shaped, still carries significant psychological distress.

Gendered personality traits also intersect with the experience of SAD. *Instrumentality*, defined as assertiveness and task orientation, has traditionally been associated with masculinity, while *expressiveness*, defined as cooperativeness, empathy, and interpersonal sensitivity, has been linked to femininity [38]. Individuals with SAD tend to report lower levels of perceived instrumentality, regardless of gender, which may compound social withdrawal and feelings of inefficacy [39].

3.4. Risks for Mental Health Problems

Chronic social avoidance can lead to profound loneliness, a known risk factor for a range of mental health issues, including substance use and behavioral addictions. Individuals with social anxiety often hold distorted beliefs about their worth and competence, leading to unfavorable social comparisons and a heightened risk of affective symptoms [23]. The experience of perceived inferiority and internalized rejection can create a self-sustaining loop of negative affect and social disengagement.

A systematic review found distinctions between shyness, social anxiety, and social anxiety disorder in relation to substance use patterns. While shyness alone was not strongly associated with tobacco or substance use, individuals with SAD were more likely to engage in self-medication through substances such as alcohol or cannabis, particularly in socially demanding or unfamiliar settings [40,41]. These behaviors may function as maladaptive coping mechanisms to temporarily mitigate distress and perform "normalcy" in social interactions. Social anxiety disorder is highly comorbid with other mental health conditions. Epidemiological studies consistently show that individuals with SAD are at significantly elevated risk for developing additional psychiatric disorders, including to 70% of individuals with SAD will experience depression at some point in their lives [42,43]. SAD frequently co-occurs with generalized anxiety disorder, panic disorder, and specific phobias. The National Comorbidity Survey Replication (NCS-R) reported a 69% lifetime comorbidity of SAD with other anxiety disorders [6]. Individuals with SAD are at increased risk for alcohol and drug misuse, often as a maladaptive coping mechanism. Studies indicate that up to 20–25% of individuals with SAD meet criteria for a lifetime alcohol use disorder [44]. SAD is associated with increased rates of suicidal ideation, attempts, and completed suicide, especially when comorbid with depression [45].

3.5. The Digital Mirror: Relationship of Social Anxiety with Technology

The relationship between social anxiety and technology use reveals a complex interplay of escape, dependence, and identity-seeking. Traits commonly associated with SAD, such as low self-esteem and poor self-concept clarity, have been found to mediate problematic social media use [18]. In digital spaces, the desire for validation may translate into compulsive engagement, as users seek reassurance through likes, comments, and follower counts [19].

Virtual platforms offer a curated form of social connection that can appear safer and more controlled than face-to-face interactions. However, this reliance may reinforce avoidant behaviors and lead to superficial or transient experiences of self-worth. Technology can serve as both a coping strategy and a trap, offering escape from real-world scrutiny while entrenching the very avoidance that underlies social anxiety.

Further, smartphone addiction has been shown to mediate the relationship between low self-esteem and social avoidance, with cascading effects on peer relationships and social functioning [21]. The drive for belonging and identity expression shifts online, bypassing real-world challenges but

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

also missing opportunities for genuine relational growth. This dynamic mirrors the mechanisms of SAD: the short-term relief of avoidance is purchased at the cost of long-term disconnection.

Social networking sites may also amplify harmful patterns of social comparison, particularly platforms like Instagram. Studies show that such comparisons can trigger or exacerbate depressive symptoms, particularly in individuals already predisposed to social anxiety [41].

SAD can severely impact academic performance and occupational functioning as Individuals with SAD often underachieve academically relative to their cognitive abilities. Avoidance of classroom participation, presentations, and social academic settings can lead to lower grades and reduced likelihood of completing higher education [46,47]. SAD is associated with higher unemployment rates, underemployment, and greater work absenteeism. Individuals with SAD report lower job satisfaction and reduced likelihood of career advancement due to avoidance of socially evaluative professional situations [48,49]. At a societal level, SAD contributes to substantial indirect costs through lost productivity and increased healthcare utilization. A U.S. study estimated annual indirect costs for anxiety disorders at over $42 billion, with SAD representing a significant proportion [50].

Table 2. A summary of major empirical and theoretical findings across five domains: interpersonal functioning, intrapersonal processes, cultural influences, mental-health risks, and technology-related behaviors.

Domain	Core Findings
Interpersonal Relationships	• Defensive strategies (e.g., devaluing partners) reduce vulnerability but impair closeness [51]. • Individuals with SAD spend less time with confidants and report greater negative affect when alone [52]. • Friends perceive them more positively than they perceive themselves; romantic partners report reduced warmth and emotional distance [53,54]. • Women show heightened sensitivity to criticism, fueling conflict. • SAD associated with fewer relationships, lower satisfaction, smaller networks, and reduced perceived support.
Self-Perception & Intrapersonal Processes	• Dominant "self-as-object" mode leads to hypermonitoring and internalized criticism [55]. • Persistent negative self-beliefs, low self-compassion, and rigid internal standards maintain anxiety [51]. • Reduced self-concept clarity contributes to fragmented identity and avoidance [56,57]. • Developmental frameworks (Mahler, Erikson) highlight difficulties with autonomy, identity, and intimacy [58,59].
Culture & Social Systems	• Higher prevalence among women partly shaped by cultural norms rewarding quietness and restraint [60,61]. • Behaviors consistent with SAD may be socially valued in patriarchal or traditional contexts, delaying detection. • Culture-bound forms (e.g., taijin kyofusho) emphasize fear of offending others. • Low perceived instrumentality and gendered personality expectations may reinforce withdrawal and inefficacy [35,62,63].
Risks for Mental-Health Problems	• Chronic avoidance increases loneliness and vulnerability to affective symptoms [64].

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

	• Individuals with SAD may self-medicate with alcohol or cannabis, especially in social situations [44,65]. • High rates of comorbidity: depression (up to 70%), other anxiety disorders, and substance-use disorders (20–25%). • Elevated risk for suicidal ideation and attempts, especially with comorbid depression [66].
Technology & Digital Behavior	• Low self-esteem and poor self-concept clarity mediate problematic social-media use [67]. • Digital validation (likes, followers) reinforces reassurance-seeking and unstable self-worth [68,69]. • Technology offers short-term escape but strengthens avoidance patterns [70]. • Smartphone addiction mediates links between self-esteem, social avoidance, and impaired peer functioning [71].

4. Discussion

Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD) is a common and frequently under-recognized internalizing disorder that typically begins in childhood or adolescence. Epidemiological data indicate that the lifetime prevalence of SAD in adults ranges approximately from 7 % to 13.3 % [72]. A recent meta-analysis focusing on youth estimated global prevalence at roughly 4.7 % in children, 8.3 % in adolescents, and as high as 17 % in youth broadly, suggesting that symptoms emerge and accrue meaningfully during development [73]. Longitudinal and cross-sectional research illustrate that a large majority of individuals with SAD report onset before age 18. For example, one study found that approximately 79.6 % of patients with SAD had onset in childhood or adolescence, compared with 20.4 % whose onset occurred in adulthood; early-onset SAD was associated with more severe symptoms, higher depressive comorbidity, and lower global functioning [74–76]. Another community-based adolescent follow-up demonstrated that baseline SAD predicted a 3.5-fold increased risk of developing a depressive disorder over 2–4 years; among those already depressed, SAD increased the risk of persistence/recurrence of depression and suicide attempts [77].

Thus, not only is SAD in many cases "silent" not outwardly dramatic in comparison to externalizing disorders but its early manifestations may be sub-syndromal, thereby evading detection. In youth, especially, SAD often presents with internal distress and avoidance behaviors manifested in subtle social discomfort rather than overt panic; this may be misinterpreted as shyness, cultural reserve, or normative introversion, contributing to underdiagnosis and under-treatment. Epidemiological and clinical reports support substantial functional impairment in academic, interpersonal, and quality-of-life domains among adolescents with SAD.

From a neurobiological perspective, burgeoning imaging research helps illuminate potential mechanisms underlying SAD's persistence and resistance to treatment over time. For instance, one fMRI study demonstrated that individuals with SAD exposed to socially threatening stimuli showed exaggerated emotional reactivity and diminished recruitment of brain networks implicated in cognitive regulation. A more recent adolescent-focused neuroimaging study revealed that high social anxiety correlates with altered functioning in the central extended amygdala, particularly reduced discrimination between social "safety" and "threat" contexts during anticipation of social evaluation. These data support the notion that chronic, untreated SAD may become entrenched at the level of neural circuitry, making late intervention less effective or incomplete.

Empirical longitudinal data further highlight the developmental significance of sub-syndromal social anxiety during childhood and adolescence. In a cohort of children aged 10–18, trajectories of increasing social anxiety symptoms predicted a markedly elevated risk for a range of adult mental disorders, including SAD, generalized anxiety disorder (GAD), depressive episodes, panic disorder,

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

agoraphobia, and obsessive-compulsive disorder; elevated trajectories also predicted heightened risk of substance use in early adulthood [78].

Moreover, the ways socially anxious adolescents cope with stress tends to be maladaptive. In a prospective study of coping and stress responses, social anxiety predicted increased use of avoidance, denial, inaction, rumination, and emotional numbing over time, and reduced use of adaptive coping strategies such as problem-solving or emotion regulation [79,80]. Such patterns may reinforce social withdrawal and avoidance, further entrenching anxiety and impairment.

Despite these converging findings on onset, course, functional impact, and neurobiology, SAD remains under-recognized and under-treated. Even among adolescents with clinically significant SAD who had not sought care, a recent intervention study showed that a disorder-specific group cognitive-behavioral therapy could meaningfully reduce social anxiety; yet authors noted that fewer than 10 % of adolescents with SAD access treatment, highlighting a substantial treatment gap.

Overall, these lines of evidence converge on several important inferences with both clinical and public health relevance. First, the typical early-onset trajectory and substantial proportion of sub-syndromal social anxiety in youth underscore the need for systematic screening in school settings and pediatric/primary care. Second, the association with later depression, anxiety, substance use, and other disorders and the documented neurobiological alterations suggest that untreated or under-treated SAD may represent a latent vulnerability state that, over time, crystallizes into more severe, comorbid psychopathology. Third, the developmental timing of onset entails that prevention and intervention strategies should ideally target late childhood or early adolescence, before avoidance patterns become entrenched and neurobiological sensitization occurs. Fourth, given the heterogeneity in presentation (sub-syndromal vs syndromal, childhood vs adolescent onset, variable comorbidities), clinical assessment frameworks should be sensitive to both attenuated social anxiety symptoms and broader functional impairment rather than relying solely on categorical thresholds.

Given these observations, recognition and prioritization of SAD as a distinct clinical entity deserving early intervention is imperative. In a context of limited treatment utilization, there is a compelling need to integrate screening, psychoeducation, and evidence-based early interventions (such as cognitive-behavioral therapy) into youth mental-health services, especially in school, primary-care, and early adolescent settings.

Table 3. Summarizing major conceptual domains relevant to Social Anxiety Disorder (SAD), including epidemiology, developmental course, under-recognition, neurobiological mechanisms, psychological features, comorbidity patterns, and public-health implications.

Domain	Key Points
Prevalence & Epidemiology	• Highly prevalent internalizing disorder beginning in childhood/adolescence [25] • Global prevalence approx. 4.7% (children), 8.3% (adolescents), up to 17% (youth) • Often chronic, with early onset predicting greater impairment
Developmental Course	• Majority of individuals report onset before age 18 [42] • Sub-syndromal symptoms in school-age children frequently missed • Early-onset linked to more severe and persistent trajectories [25]
Clinical Recognition & Underdiagnosis	• Internalizing nature leads to under-recognition • Symptoms misinterpreted as shyness, culturally normative reserve, or introversion [81] • Significant impairment in social, academic, and daily functioning
Psychological & Behavioral Features	• High harm-avoidance and behavioral inhibition • Avoidance, perfectionism, rumination sustain impairment [82]

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

	• Maladaptive emotion-regulation strategies common
Neurobiological Mechanisms	• Heightened amygdala and limbic reactivity to social threat [83] • Reduced prefrontal engagement and regulatory control • Altered resting-state connectivity across limbic, prefrontal, default-mode, and perceptual networks • Suggests persistent dysregulation when untreated
Genetic & Biological Vulnerability	• Genetic architecture complex; no single robust markers [84] • Gene × environment interactions likely significant • Low cortisol reactivity patterns resemble other stress-related disorders
Functional Consequences	• Impaired academic performance, peer relationships, and social functioning • Long-term career, intimacy, and social-identity impacts • Social withdrawal reinforced by avoidance cycles
Comorbidities	• Elevated risk for depression, GAD, panic disorder, agoraphobia [25,42] • Increased risk for substance use in early adulthood • Comorbid depression often masks underlying SAD
Digital & Social Media Implications	• Online behavior mirrors offline anxiety: selective self-presentation, social comparison • Digital metrics (likes, followers) exacerbate unstable self-worth • Hyper-connected environments intensify social-evaluative fears
Public Health & Prevention	• Early detection essential to prevent chronicity • School-based, pediatric, and primary-care screening needed • CBT and other evidence-based treatments effective when initiated early
Global & Cultural Considerations	• Cultural norms may obscure symptoms or normalize avoidance • Need for culturally sensitive assessment and psychoeducation

5. Conclusions

Social Anxiety Disorder should not be regarded as a benign developmental phase or an extension of normative shyness, but rather as a prevalent and often hidden psychiatric condition with substantial neurodevelopmental, functional, and public-health consequences. Converging epidemiological, longitudinal, and neurobiological evidence indicates that SAD most commonly emerges during childhood or adolescence, frequently in sub-syndromal forms that evade detection, yet carries a high risk of persistence, escalation, and psychiatric comorbidity across the lifespan.

Early-onset SAD is associated with more severe symptom trajectories, heightened vulnerability to depression, anxiety, substance use, and suicidality, and enduring impairments in academic, interpersonal, and occupational functioning. Neuroimaging findings further suggest that chronic, untreated social anxiety may become embedded within emotion-processing and regulatory circuits, potentially reducing responsiveness to later interventions. Together, these data support the conceptualization of SAD as a latent vulnerability state that, if left unaddressed, may crystallize into more complex and treatment-resistant psychopathology.

Despite the availability of effective interventions, particularly cognitive-behavioral therapies, SAD remains markedly under-recognized and under-treated in youth. Its internalizing presentation,

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

frequent misattribution to personality traits or cultural norms, and reliance on categorical diagnostic thresholds contribute to missed opportunities for early care. These challenges underscore the need for developmentally sensitive assessment frameworks that attend to sub-threshold symptoms, functional impairment, and maladaptive coping patterns rather than waiting for full syndromal expression.

From a clinical and public-health perspective, systematic early screening in schools, pediatric, and primary-care settings, coupled with timely psychoeducation and access to evidence-based interventions, represents a critical strategy for prevention and secondary intervention. Addressing SAD early has the potential not only to alleviate immediate distress but also to alter long-term developmental trajectories, reducing downstream comorbidity and improving quality of life. Recognizing and prioritizing SAD in youth is therefore not optional but essential for reducing the hidden burden of internalizing psychopathology across the lifespan.

Abbreviations

SAD – Social Anxiety Disorder
GWAS – Genome-Wide Association Studies
SNP – Single Nucleotide Polymorphism
EMA – Ecological Momentary Assessment
MDD – Major Depressive Disorder
GAD – Generalized Anxiety Disorder
NCS-R – National Comorbidity Survey Replication
TSST – Trier Social Stress Test
DSM – Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders
QoL – Quality of Life

References

1. Association, A. P. (2013). *Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5®)*. American Psychiatric Pub.
2. Liebowitz, M. R., Gorman, J. M., Fyer, A. J., & Klein, D. F. (1985). Social phobia. Review of a neglected anxiety disorder. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *42*(7), 729–736. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1985.01790300097013
3. Koyuncu, A., İnce, E., Ertekin, E., & Tükel, R. (2019). Comorbidity in social anxiety disorder: Diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. *Drugs in Context*, *8*, 212573. https://doi.org/10.7573/dic.212573
4. Crome, E., Grove, R., Baillie, A. J., Sunderland, M., Teesson, M., & Slade, T. (2015). DSM-IV and DSM-5 social anxiety disorder in the Australian community. *The Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*, *49*(3), 227–235. https://doi.org/10.1177/0004867414546699
5. Schneier, F. R., Johnson, J., Hornig, C. D., Liebowitz, M. R., & Weissman, M. M. (1992). Social phobia. Comorbidity and morbidity in an epidemiologic sample. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *49*(4), 282–288. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1992.01820040034004
6. Kessler, R. C., McGonagle, K. A., Zhao, S., Nelson, C. B., Hughes, M., Eshleman, S., Wittchen, H.-U., & Kendler, K. S. (1994). Lifetime and 12-Month Prevalence of DSM-III-R Psychiatric Disorders in the United States: Results From the National Comorbidity Survey. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *51*(1), 8–19. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1994.03950010008002
7. Stein, M. B., Chen, C.-Y., Jain, S., Jensen, K. P., He, F., Heeringa, S. G., Kessler, R. C., Maihofer, A., Nock, M. K., Ripke, S., Sun, X., Thomas, M. L., Ursano, R. J., Smoller, J. W., & Gelernter, J. (2017). Genetic Risk Variants for Social Anxiety. *American Journal of Medical Genetics. Part B, Neuropsychiatric Genetics : The Official Publication of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics*, *174*(2), 120–131. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.32520

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025
doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

8. Hettema, J. M., Prescott, C. A., Myers, J. M., Neale, M. C., & Kendler, K. S. (2005). The structure of genetic and environmental risk factors for anxiety disorders in men and women. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *62*(2), 182–189. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.62.2.182
9. van der Walt, K., Campbell, M., Stein, D. J., & Dalvie, S. (2023). Systematic review of genome-wide association studies of anxiety disorders and neuroticism. *The World Journal of Biological Psychiatry*, *24*(4), 280–291. https://doi.org/10.1080/15622975.2022.2099970
10. Stein, M. B., Chartier, M. J., Hazen, A. L., Kozak, M. V., Tancer, M. E., Lander, S., Furer, P., Chubaty, D., & Walker, J. R. (1998). A direct-interview family study of generalized social phobia. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *155*(1), 90–97. https://doi.org/10.1176/ajp.155.1.90
11. Polderman, T. J. C., Benyamin, B., de Leeuw, C. A., Sullivan, P. F., van Bochoven, A., Visscher, P. M., & Posthuma, D. (2015). Meta-analysis of the heritability of human traits based on fifty years of twin studies. *Nature Genetics*, *47*(7), 702–709. https://doi.org/10.1038/ng.3285
12. Purves, K. L., Coleman, J. R. I., Meier, S. M., Rayner, C., Davis, K. A. S., Cheesman, R., Bækvad-Hansen, M., Børglum, A. D., Wan Cho, S., Jürgen Deckert, J., Gaspar, H. A., Bybjerg-Grauholm, J., Hettema, J. M., Hotopf, M., Hougaard, D., Hübel, C., Kan, C., McIntosh, A. M., Mors, O., … Eley, T. C. (2020). A major role for common genetic variation in anxiety disorders. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *25*(12), 3292–3303. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41380-019-0559-1
13. Otowa, T., Hek, K., Lee, M., Byrne, E. M., Mirza, S. S., Nivard, M. G., Bigdeli, T., Aggen, S. H., Adkins, D., Wolen, A., Fanous, A., Keller, M. C., Castelao, E., Kutalik, Z., Van der Auwera, S., Homuth, G., Nauck, M., Teumer, A., Milaneschi, Y., … Hettema, J. M. (2016). Meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies of anxiety disorders. *Molecular Psychiatry*, *21*(10), 1391–1399. https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2015.197
14. Hur, J., DeYoung, K. A., Islam, S., Anderson, A. S., Barstead, M. G., & Shackman, A. J. (2020). Social context and the real-world consequences of social anxiety. *Psychological Medicine*, *50*(12), 1989–2000. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291719002022
15. Afram, A., & Kashdan, T. B. (2015). Coping with rejection concerns in romantic relationships: An experimental investigation of social anxiety and risk regulation. *Journal of Contextual Behavioral Science*, *4*(3), 151–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jcbs.2015.04.003
16. Tonge, N. A., Lim, M. H., Piccirillo, M. L., Fernandez, K. C., Langer, J. K., & Rodebaugh, T. L. (2020). Interpersonal Problems in Social Anxiety Disorder Across Different Relational Contexts. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, *75*, 102275. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102275
17. Porter, E., Chambless, D. L., & Keefe, J. R. (2017). Criticism in the Romantic Relationships of Individuals With Social Anxiety. *Behavior Therapy*, *48*(4), 517–532. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.beth.2016.11.002
18. Wu, J., Zhang ,Xu, Gao ,Yuan, & and Xiao, Q. (2024). Social anxiety and problematic social network site use: The sequential mediating role of self-esteem and self-concept clarity. *Journal of Psychology in Africa*, *34*(2), 114–119. https://doi.org/10.1080/14330237.2024.2320053
19. Lopez, R. B., & Polletta, I. (2021). Regulating Self-Image on Instagram: Links Between Social Anxiety, Instagram Contingent Self-Worth, and Content Control Behaviors. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *12*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.711447
20. McEvoy, B., O'Connor, J., & McCarthy, O. (2016). Behind the Mask: A Psychodynamic Exploration of the Experiences of Individuals Diagnosed with Social Anxiety Disorder. *Psychodynamic Psychiatry*, *44*(4), 541–565. https://doi.org/10.1521/pdps.2016.44.4.541
21. *The relationship between self-esteem and mobile phone addiction among college students: The chain mediating effects of social avoidance and peer relationships—PMC*. (n.d.). Retrieved July 17, 2025, from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10134861/
22. Crişan, L. G., Vulturar, R., Miclea, M., & Miu, A. C. (2016). Reactivity to Social Stress in Subclinical Social Anxiety: Emotional Experience, Cognitive Appraisals, Behavior, and Physiology. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, *7*, 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2016.00005
23. Cao, Y., Wang, J., Huang, Z., Qin, Y., Gao, S., Zhang, H., Yuan, M., & Tang, X. (2025). The Relationship Between Social Anxiety and Depression Among Rural High School Adolescents: The Mediating Role of Social Comparison and Social Support. *Healthcare*, *13*(5), 533. https://doi.org/10.3390/healthcare13050533

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

24. Gilboa-Schechtman, E., Keshet, H., Peschard, V., & Azoulay, R. (2020). Self and identity in social anxiety disorder. *Journal of Personality*, *88*(1), 106–121. https://doi.org/10.1111/jopy.12455
25. Wittchen, H. U., Stein, M. B., & Kessler, R. C. (1999). Social fears and social phobia in a community sample of adolescents and young adults: Prevalence, risk factors and co-morbidity. *Psychological Medicine*, *29*(2), 309–323. https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291798008174
26. Barnett, M. D., Maciel, I. V., Johnson, D. M., & Ciepluch, I. (2021). Social Anxiety and Perceived Social Support: Gender Differences and the Mediating Role of Communication Styles. *Psychological Reports*, *124*(1), 70–87. https://doi.org/10.1177/0033294119900975
27. Bögels, S. M., van Oosten, A., Muris, P., & Smulders, D. (2001). Familial correlates of social anxiety in children and adolescents. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, *39*(3), 273–287. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0005-7967(00)00005-x
28. *Understanding Social Anxiety Disorder in Adolescents and Improving Treatment Outcomes: Applying the Cognitive Model of Clark and Wells (1995) | Clinical Child and Family Psychology Review*. (n.d.). Retrieved July 17, 2025, from https://link.springer.com/article/10.1007/s10567-018-0258-5
29. Meral, Y., & Vriends, N. (2022). Self-image and self-focused attention in a social interaction situation: What is relevant for social anxiety? *Behavioural and Cognitive Psychotherapy*, *50*(3), 269–279. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1352465821000424
30. Holas, P., Kowalczyk, M., Krejtz, I., Wisiecka, K., & Jankowski, T. (2023). The relationship between self-esteem and self-compassion in socially anxious. *Current Psychology*, *42*(12), 10271–10276. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-02305-2
31. Orr, E. M. J., & Moscovitch, D. A. (2015). Blending in at the Cost of Losing Oneself: Dishonest Self-Disclosure Erodes Self-Concept Clarity in Social Anxiety. *Journal of Experimental Psychopathology*, *6*(3), 278–296. https://doi.org/10.5127/jep.044914
32. *Why is the Self Important in Understanding and Treating Social Phobia?: Cognitive Behaviour Therapy: Vol 38, No sup1*. (n.d.). Retrieved July 17, 2025, from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/abs/10.1080/16506070902980737
33. *Full article: Self-concept clarity in social anxiety: Psychometric properties and factor structure of the Self-Concept Clarity Scale in a social anxiety disorder sample*. (n.d.). Retrieved June 4, 2025, from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/13284207.2024.2311104#abstract
34. Pine, F. (2004). Mahler's concepts of "symbiosis" and separation-individuation: Revisited, reevaluated, refined. *Journal of the American Psychoanalytic Association*, *52*(2), 511–533. https://doi.org/10.1177/00030651040520021001
35. Hofmann, S. G., Asnaani, A., & Hinton, D. E. (2010). Cultural Aspects in Social Anxiety and Social Anxiety Disorder. *Depression and Anxiety*, *27*(12), 1117–1127. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20759
36. Bhardwaj, T., Shekhawat, L., & Viju P D, null. (2022). Anthropophobia (Taijin Kyofusho) beyond the Boundaries of a Culture-Bound Syndrome: A Case Series from India. *Indian Journal of Psychological Medicine*, *44*(6), 615–617. https://doi.org/10.1177/02537176211053223
37. Essau, C. A., Sasagawa, S., Ishikawa, S., Okajima, I., O'Callaghan, J., & Bray, D. (2012). A Japanese form of social anxiety (taijin kyofusho): Frequency and correlates in two generations of the same family. *The International Journal of Social Psychiatry*, *58*(6), 635–642. https://doi.org/10.1177/0020764011421099
38. Spence, J. T., & Helmreich, R. L. (1979). Comparison of Masculine and Feminine Personality Attributes and Sex-Role Attitudes Across Age Groups. *Developmental Psychology*, *15*(5), 583–584. https://doi.org/10.1037/h0078091
39. Roberts, K. E., Hart, T. A., Coroiu, A., & Heimberg, R. G. (2011). Gender Role Traits Among Individuals with Social Anxiety Disorder. *Personality and Individual Differences*, *51*(8), 952–957. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2011.07.030
40. Lemyre, A., Gauthier-Légaré ,Audrey, & and Bélanger, R. E. (2019). Shyness, social anxiety, social anxiety disorder, and substance use among normative adolescent populations: A systematic review. *The American Journal of Drug and Alcohol Abuse*, *45*(3), 230–247. https://doi.org/10.1080/00952990.2018.1536882

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

41. Caumiant, E. P., Fairbairn, C. E., Bresin, K., Gary Rosen, I., Luczak, S. E., & Kang, D. (2023). Social anxiety and alcohol consumption: The role of social context. *Addictive Behaviors*, *143*, 107672. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2023.107672
42. Stein, M. B., Fuetsch, M., Müller, N., Höfler, M., Lieb, R., & Wittchen, H. U. (2001). Social anxiety disorder and the risk of depression: A prospective community study of adolescents and young adults. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *58*(3), 251–256. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.58.3.251
43. Beesdo-Baum, K., Knappe, S., Fehm, L., Höfler, M., Lieb, R., Hofmann, S. G., & Wittchen, H.-U. (2012). The natural course of social anxiety disorder among adolescents and young adults. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica*, *126*(6), 411–425. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0447.2012.01886.x
44. Buckner, J. D., & Terlecki, M. A. (2016). Social Anxiety and Alcohol-Related Impairment: The Mediational Impact of Solitary Drinking. *Addictive Behaviors*, *58*, 7–11. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addbeh.2016.02.006
45. Nock, M. K., Borges, G., Bromet, E. J., Cha, C. B., Kessler, R. C., & Lee, S. (2008). Suicide and suicidal behavior. *Epidemiologic Reviews*, *30*(1), 133–154. https://doi.org/10.1093/epirev/mxn002
46. Stein, M. B., & Kean, Y. M. (2000). Disability and quality of life in social phobia: Epidemiologic findings. *The American Journal of Psychiatry*, *157*(10), 1606–1613. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.ajp.157.10.1606
47. MacKenzie, M. B., & Fowler, K. F. (2013). Social anxiety disorder in the Canadian population: Exploring gender differences in sociodemographic profile. *Journal of Anxiety Disorders*, *27*(4), 427–434. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2013.05.006
48. Magee, W. J., Eaton, W. W., Wittchen, H. U., McGonagle, K. A., & Kessler, R. C. (1996). Agoraphobia, simple phobia, and social phobia in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, *53*(2), 159–168. https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1996.01830020077009
49. Patel, A., Knapp, M., Henderson, J., & Baldwin, D. (2002). The economic consequences of social phobia. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *68*(2–3), 221–233. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0165-0327(00)00323-2
50. Greenberg, P. E., Sisitsky, T., Kessler, R. C., Finkelstein, S. N., Berndt, E. R., Davidson, J. R., Ballenger, J. C., & Fyer, A. J. (1999). The economic burden of anxiety disorders in the 1990s. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, *60*(7), 427–435. https://doi.org/10.4088/jcp.v60n0702
51. Wells, A. (1995). A cognitive model of social phobia. In *Social Phobia: Diagnosis, Assessment and Treatment*. https://research.manchester.ac.uk/en/publications/a-cognitive-model-of-social-phobia
52. Sadikaj, G., Moskowitz, D. S., & Zuroff, D. C. (2011). Attachment-related affective dynamics: Differential reactivity to others' interpersonal behavior. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *100*(5), 905–917. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0022875
53. Winnicott, D. W. (1965). *The maturational processes and the facilitating environment: Studies in the theory of emotional development* (p. 295). International Universities Press.
54. *Interpersonal processes in social phobia—PubMed*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15501559/
55. *Self-focused attention and negative affect: A meta-analysis—PubMed*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/12081086/
56. Campbell, J. D., Trapnell, P. D., Heine, S. J., Katz, I. M., Lavallee, L. F., & Lehman, D. R. (1996). Self-concept clarity: Measurement, personality correlates, and cultural boundaries. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *70*(1), 141–156. https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.70.1.141
57. Neff, K. D. (2003). Self-Compassion: An Alternative Conceptualization of a Healthy Attitude Toward Oneself. *Self and Identity*, *2*(2), 85–101. https://doi.org/10.1080/15298860309032
58. Mahler, M. S. (2018). *The Psychological Birth of the Human Infant: Symbiosis and Individuation*. Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429482915
59. Erikson, E. H. (1968). *Identity: Youth and crisis*. Norton & Co.
60. Schreier, S.-S., Heinrichs, N., Alden, L., Rapee, R. M., Hofmann, S. G., Chen, J., Ja Oh, K., & Bögels, S. (2010). Social anxiety and social norms in individualistic and collectivistic countries. *Depression and Anxiety*, *27*(12), 1128–1134. https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20746
61. Zentner, K. E., Lee, H., Dueck, B. S., & Masuda, T. (2023). Cultural and gender differences in social anxiety: The mediating role of self-construals and gender role identification. *Current Psychology*, *42*(25), 21363–21374. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-022-03116-9

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

62. *Social anxiety in culturally diverse young people: An insight into lived experiences—Zegrean—2025—Counselling and Psychotherapy Research—Wiley Online Library*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/full/10.1002/capr.12836
63. Mohammadi, A., Abasi, I., Soleimani, M., Moradian, S. T., Yahyavi, T., & Zarean, M. (2019). Cultural Aspects of Social Anxiety Disorder: A Qualitative Analysis of Anxiety Experiences and Interpretation. *Iranian Journal of Psychiatry*, *14*(1), 33–39.
64. Sun, S., Wang, Y., Wang, L., Lu, J., Li, H., Zhu, J., Qian, S., Zhu, L., & Xu, H. (2024). Social anxiety and loneliness among older adults: A moderated mediation model. *BMC Public Health*, *24*(1), 483. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12889-024-17795-5
65. Buckner, J. D., Morris, P. E., Abarno, C. N., Glover, N. I., & Lewis, E. M. (2021). Biopsychosocial Model Social Anxiety and Substance Use Revised. *Current Psychiatry Reports*, *23*(6), 35. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-021-01249-5
66. *Social anxiety symptoms and their relationship with suicidal ideation and depressive symptoms in adolescents: A prospective study—Chiu—2025—JCPP Advances—Wiley Online Library*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://acamh.onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/10.1002/jcv2.12249
67. Dong, W., Tang, H., Wu, S., Lu, G., Shang, Y., & Chen, C. (2024). The effect of social anxiety on teenagers' internet addiction: The mediating role of loneliness and coping styles. *BMC Psychiatry*, *24*(1), 395. https://doi.org/10.1186/s12888-024-05854-5
68. *Do people with elevated social anxiety respond differently to digital and face-to-face communications? Two daily diary studies with null effects—PMC*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC7484355/
69. Öztekin, G. G., Turp, H. H., Abdullah Alshehri, N., Mohammed Abdullah Alkhulayfi, A., Alkhulayfi, A., & Yıldırım, M. (2025). Loneliness and rumination serially mediate the relationship between social anxiety and internet addiction among Turkish university students. *Scientific Reports*, *15*(1), 40231. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-025-24035-2
70. Ding, H., Cao, B., & Sun, Q. (2023). The association between problematic internet use and social anxiety within adolescents and young adults: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Public Health*, *11*. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1275723
71. *Social media use, social anxiety, and loneliness: A systematic review—ScienceDirect*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S245195882100018X
72. *Social Anxiety Disorder—National Institute of Mental Health (NIMH)*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://www.nimh.nih.gov/health/statistics/social-anxiety-disorder
73. *Global Prevalence of Social Anxiety Disorder in Children, Adolescents and Youth: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis—PubMed*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/38852119/
74. *Social Anxiety Symptoms in Young Children: Investigating the Interplay of Theory of Mind and Expressions of Shyness—PMC*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC5487817/
75. *Full article: Social emotions and social cognition in the development of social anxiety disorder*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/17405629.2020.1722633
76. Jefferies, P., & Ungar, M. (2020). Social anxiety in young people: A prevalence study in seven countries. *PLOS ONE*, *15*(9), e0239133. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0239133
77. Wei, H.-T., Tsai, S.-J., Cheng, C.-M., Chang, W.-H., Bai, Y.-M., Su, T.-P., Chen, T.-J., & Chen, M.-H. (2025). Increased risk of suicide among patients with social anxiety disorder. *Epidemiology and Psychiatric Sciences*, *34*, e14. https://doi.org/10.1017/S204579602500006X
78. *Elevated social anxiety symptoms across childhood and adolescence predict adult mental disorders and cannabis use—ScienceDirect*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010440X22000086
79. Aydoğdu, B. E., & Yılmaz, A. E. (2023). Examining Social Anxiety Symptoms with Early Maladaptive Schemas: The Mediating Role of Mindfulness and Self-Compassion. *Turkish Journal of Psychiatry*, *35*(4), 282–294. https://doi.org/10.5080/u26595

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 18 December 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1694.v1

80. Wong, Q. J. J., & Moulds, M. L. (2011). The relationship between the maladaptive self-beliefs characteristic of social anxiety and avoidance. *Journal of Behavior Therapy and Experimental Psychiatry*, *42*(2), 171–178. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbtep.2010.11.004
81. Stein, M. B. (2006). An epidemiologic perspective on social anxiety disorder. *The Journal of Clinical Psychiatry*, *67 Suppl 12*, 3–8.
82. *Social phobia, fear of negative evaluation and harm avoidance—PubMed*. (n.d.). Retrieved December 3, 2025, from https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17101266/
83. Bas-Hoogendam, J. M., Blackford, J. U., Brühl, A. B., Blair, K. S., van der Wee, N. J. A., & Westenberg, P. M. (2016). Neurobiological candidate endophenotypes of social anxiety disorder. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *71*, 362–378. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2016.08.040
84. Stein, M. B., Chen, C.-Y., Jain, S., Jensen, K. P., He, F., Heeringa, S. G., Kessler, R. C., Maihofer, A., Nock, M. K., Ripke, S., Sun, X., Thomas, M. L., Ursano, R. J., Smoller, J. W., & Gelernter, J. (2017). Genetic Risk Variants for Social Anxiety. *American Journal of Medical Genetics. Part B, Neuropsychiatric Genetics : The Official Publication of the International Society of Psychiatric Genetics*, *174*(2), 120–131. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajmg.b.32520

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© 2025 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.