

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Accuracy of Dosage in NegEnt Micellar Drops, CE Medical Device: A Comparison Between Pipette Droppers and Gravity Droppers

---

[Tullio Scrimali](#)\*

Posted Date: 15 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.1349.v1

Keywords: NegEnt micellar drops; CE medical device; micellar cannabidiol; precision dosing; dropper systems; dosage variability; pharmaceutical technology



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# Accuracy of Dosage in NegEnt Micellar Drops, CE Medical Device: A Comparison Between Pipette Droppers and Gravity Droppers

Tullio Scrimali

University of Catania–ALETEIA Lab for Phytopharmaceutical Research, Italy; tscrimact@gmail.com

## Abstract

Dosage accuracy is a critical requirement for medical devices based on cannabidiol microemulsions. Some users of *NegEnt Micellar Drops, CE Medical Device*, reported a shorter-than-expected duration of the bottle equipped with a pipette dropper, suggesting possible variability in drop formation. This study compares the precision and homogeneity of drops delivered by a pipette dropper versus a pharmaceutical-grade gravity dropper. Twenty subjects participated in a controlled drop-weight test, followed by a 30-day longitudinal assessment. Results show significantly lower variability with the gravity dropper ( $SD \pm 2$  mg) compared to the pipette dropper ( $SD \pm 5$  mg), with statistical significance ( $p < 0.05$ ). The second phase confirms the stability of the gravity system over time. We conclude that the gravity dropper ensures more accurate and reproducible dosing, improving the clinical reliability of the medical device.

**Keywords:** NegEnt micellar drops; CE medical device; micellar cannabidiol; precision dosing; dropper systems; dosage variability; pharmaceutical technology

---

## Introduction

Dosage accuracy is essential for medical devices based on microemulsions, particularly when the active ingredient is present in micellar form. The pharmaceutical literature indicates that drop formation is influenced by multiple physical and rheological factors (Lachman et al., 1986; Washington, 1996).

Furthermore, European regulations require medical devices to ensure stable and reproducible delivery throughout their entire period of use (European Commission, 2017).

To verify the hypothesis that the pipette dropper was intrinsically less precise than a gravity dropper, a two-phase experimental study was conducted.

## Subjects, Materials and Methods

Twenty subjects (10 males and 10 females), young adults with a mean age of  $34 \pm 6$  years, were enrolled and divided into two groups of 10 participants each.

Each subject was instructed to:

1. Dispense 10 drops onto a laboratory precision scale
2. Record 10 individual weight measurements
3. Repeat the procedure using the assigned dropper (pipette or gravity)

In the second phase, 10 volunteers used a bottle equipped with a gravity dropper for 30 days, taking five drops twice daily and recording drop weight at three time points (beginning, middle, and end of the month).

The methodology follows EMA standards for the evaluation of oral solutions (European Medicines Agency, 2020).

## Results

### Phase 1

The gravity dropper showed significantly lower variability (SD  $\pm 2$  mg) compared to the pipette dropper (SD  $\pm 5$  mg), with statistical significance ( $p < 0.05$ ).

These findings are consistent with the literature on microemulsions and surfactant-based delivery systems (Torchilin, 2006).

### Phase 2

Subjects who previously showed high variability with the pipette dropper displayed values similar to those of the gravity dropper group. The drop weight remained stable across all 30 days, indicating that:

- bottle opening
  - daily use
  - progressive emptying
- did not affect the amount delivered.

## Discussion

The pipette dropper proved less precise and less reproducible than the gravity dropper.

The greater variability observed with the pipette dropper is consistent with Washington's (1996) findings on the sensitivity of drop formation to operational conditions.

## Conclusions

The gravity dropper ensures more accurate and reproducible dosing, in accordance with European regulatory requirements (European Commission, 2017).

For this reason, the gravity dropper has been adopted as the standard dispensing system for *NegEnt Micellar Drops*, CE Medical Device.

**Author Contributions:** Tullio Scrimali: study design, scientific supervision, data interpretation, manuscript drafting. Advanced artificial intelligence tools: support in text structuring, linguistic optimisation, formal revision, and translation.

**Funding:** Herbal Neurocare funded this research.

**Acknowledgments:** The author also wishes to emphasise, for the purpose of developing new scientific methodologies, that advanced artificial intelligence tools were employed in conducting the research presented, in drafting the present article, and in translating it from Italian into English. Scientific, methodological, and interpretative responsibility for all content remains entirely with the human author.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflicts of interest other than the institutional relationship with the funding company.

## References

- European Commission. (2017). *Medical Device Regulation (EU) 2017/745*.
- European Medicines Agency. (2020). *Guideline on quality of oral solutions and suspensions*.

Lachman, L., Lieberman, H. A., & Kanig, J. L. (1986). *The theory and practice of industrial pharmacy* (3rd ed.). Lea & Febiger.

Torchilin, V. P. (2006). Structure and design of polymeric surfactant-based drug delivery systems. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 111(1–2), 1–33.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.