

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Introduction and Application of BIM in Architectural Projects: Benefits, Challenges and Future Prospects

[Jorge Pablo Aguilar Zavaleta](#) *

Posted Date: 16 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.1341.v1

Keywords: BIM; architecture; engineering; construction; facilities management; educational technology



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Introduction and Application of BIM in Architectural Projects: Benefits, Challenges and Future Prospects

Jorge Pablo Aguilar Zavaleta

Faculty of Engineering and Architecture, Professional School of Architecture, César Vallejo University;
joaguilarz@ucvvirtual.edu.pe

Abstract

Building Information Modeling (BIM) represents a paradigmatic transformation in architecture and engineering, facilitating the transition from two-dimensional documentation to integrated multidimensional collaborative environments. This article synthesizes a systematic literature review (2014-2024) combining meta-analyses, case studies, and quantitative-qualitative research on the adoption of BIM in the AEC sector. The results document significant benefits: reductions of 25-30% in design errors, 20% in execution time and 15% in costs. However, adoption reveals geographic fragmentation (US 60%, UK 80%, Latin America <25%) and multidimensional barriers: lack of specialized training, cultural resistance, absence of specific legal frameworks in developing countries, and limited interoperability. The analysis identifies that successful integration requires deep organizational transformation, coordinated public policies, and educational curricular adaptation. Recommendations include micro-regional contextual strategies, contractual standardization (ISO 19650) and applied research in BIM-Facility Management integration and emerging technologies (XR, digital twins). BIM integrates geometric (3D), temporal (4D-schedule), economic (5D-costs) and operational (6D-facility management) information into collaborative parameterized models. Beyond visualization, the methodology calls for clarity on specific Development Levels (LODs) for each phase of the asset lifecycle, from LOD 100 (conceptual) to LOD 500 (as-built). Interoperability using IFC (ISO 16739) and ISO 19650 standards requires robust model validation and accurate definition of model views (MVDs), areas where 74% of projects in developing countries still have critical gaps. This article emphasizes that BIM is not only a software tool, but a comprehensive information management protocol that permeates processes from conceptual design to sustainable operation and demolition.

Keywords: BIM; architecture; engineering; construction; facilities management; educational technology

1. Introduction

The introduction of BIM in architectural projects focuses on improving the coordination, efficiency, and sustainability of projects, while addressing organizational and technical challenges through careful integration of emerging technologies and cultural shifts. [1–12].

Definition and Evolution of BIM

Building Information Modeling (BIM) is a digital methodology that integrates geometric, technical, and management information into collaborative three-dimensional models, allowing the simulation, analysis, and comprehensive management of architectural projects from conception to operation [1,5,9,13]. Its evolution has transformed architecture, moving from 2D documentation to multidimensional collaborative environments (3D, 4D, 5D), facilitating decision-making and coordination between actors. [1,13].

Importance of BIM in Architectural Projects

BIM is essential for the efficient management of architectural projects due to its ability to:

- Improve interdisciplinary coordination and reduce design conflicts. [2,3].
- Optimize cost estimation and control using 4D/5D models [4].
- Facilitate sustainability and waste reduction through integrated analytics [14].
- Centralize information and enable real-time updates, improving communication between stakeholders [2,3].

The adoption of BIM represents a paradigmatic change in architecture, promoting efficiency, quality and sustainability, but it requires overcoming organizational and technical barriers for its full integration. [1–4].

Table 1. Digital adoption and trends (sector survey, 2025).

Metric (annual survey)	Value
Organizations that use BIM	71%
Using Cloud Computing	77%
Use of immersive technologies (VR/AR)	35%
Plan to use VR/AR in 5 years	50%
Digital twin usage in the last year	16%
They need to work digitally	78%
They need BIM for "golden thread"	70%
They are not clear about how to implement it	51%

Note: NBS Digital Construction Survey (earnings release).

Key Benefits of BIM

- Reduction of RFIs and errors: BIM decreases requests for information and design errors by 25–30% [1–3].
- Time and cost savings: BIM projects show average reductions of 20% in time and 15% in costs [3,4].
- Improved collaboration: Collaborative workflows and advanced visualization facilitate multidisciplinary coordination [2–4].
- Sustainability: BIM enables life cycle analysis, material optimization, and waste reduction [15,16].

Challenges and Barriers in BIM Adoption

- Lack of training and skills: The shortage of BIM-trained professionals is a critical barrier [17–20].
- Resistance to change: Digital transformation requires overcoming individualism and fostering collaborative culture [9–12].
- High upfront costs: Hardware, software, and training represent significant investments, especially in SMBs. [17,18].
- Legal and contractual barriers: The absence of BIM standards and clauses in contracts hinders implementation [9–12].
- Organizational fragmentation: The lack of integration between disciplines and departments limits the potential of BIM [9,20].

Quantitative Impact on Projects

- Reduction of change orders: BIM modifies the nature of changes, shifting the initiative to the owner and reducing design changes. [3,21].

- Better control of cost overruns and deadlines: BIM projects have fewer deviations compared to traditional projects [22,23].
- Improved risk management: Early visualization and simulation allow risks to be identified and mitigated prior to construction. [3,21].

The benefits of BIM are evident in the reduction of errors, costs and time, but its success depends on overcoming organizational, technical and cultural barriers.

In Latin American contexts, the introduction of BIM is not simply the adoption of parameterized (3D) software, but a paradigmatic transition towards information-centric models where schedule (4D) and costs (5D) are generated derivatively from the central model. This transition requires reformulation of professional roles (architect → BIM Manager, individual engineer → integrated teams), validation of deliverables according to ISO 19650, and articulation with existing regulatory frameworks (public tenders, NSR standards in Colombia, ASCE in Chile). Case studies in Chile, Peru and Colombia show that companies that successfully integrated BIM did so under three conditions: (1) explicit executive leadership, (2) simultaneous investment in training and tools, (3) clear contractualization of responsibilities over models, intellectual property and as-built delivery. Without these conditions, BIM degrades to a visualization tool disconnected from the real life cycle of the asset." [1–4,9–12,15–20].

Technological and Process Transformations

- Software and workflows: The adoption of BIM involves updating software, integrating collaborative platforms, and redefining internal processes. [5,24–27].
- Professional roles: New profiles emerge (BIM Manager, BIM Modeler) and traditional responsibilities are redefined [25,28,29].
- Business models: BIM favors models based on digital services, data management, and collaborative consulting [26,28].

Organizational Culture Models for BIM

- Digital and innovative culture: Medium-sized firms with digital leadership and collaborative culture show greater success in adopting BIM [26,30,31].
- Regional adaptability: Cultural models must be adjusted to local contexts, considering governmental pressures and social differences. [32,33].
- Knowledge management: Continuous training and change management are essential for the sustainability of BIM [26,34,35].

Table 2. Quantitative Benefits and Challenges in Implementing BIM in Architectural Projects 2024-2025.

Appearance	Benefit	%	Application	Challenge	Severity
Reduced design errors	RFIs and Reduced Conflicts	25-30%	Multidisciplinary coordination	Requires high-quality models and IFC standards	High
Time savings	Reduction of project deadlines	20%	Design and construction phases	Shortage of trained BIM professionals	High
Cost savings	Cost Reduction	15%	Integrated budget control	High initial investment in software and hardware	Media
Reduced rework	Elimination of rework	73%	BIM-FM Coordination	Lack of training adapted to local contexts	High

Disposal of construction waste	Material optimization	20%	Modular and prefabricated construction	Limited interoperability between software	Media
Positive return on investment	Companies with positive ROI	66.7% (2 out of 3)	Complex projects >500M USD	Start-up costs depose SMEs	High
Perceived Value Added	Companies that recognize value	99.5%	Comprehensive process improvement	Education-professional practice disconnect	High
Adopting cloud-based BIM	Increase in cloud platforms	58%	Real-time coordination	Digital security and insufficient cybersecurity	Media

Leadership and Change Management

- Managerial commitment: Active leadership is key to overcoming resistance and fostering collaboration [36–38].
- Reimagining workflows: Incremental implementation and stakeholder networking facilitate the transition to BIM [37].

BIM Execution Plans and Contractual Deliverables

- International variability: BEPs and contractual deliverables vary by country and regulatory context, requiring adaptation and standardization. [39–43].
- Security and digital trust: The integration of cybersecurity requirements into BEP is becoming increasingly relevant [43].

The adoption of BIM transforms technology, culture, and organizational processes, with adaptability and leadership being critical factors for sustained success [5,24–28,30,31,33,36–43].

2. Methodology

Design and Search Protocol: A systematic review of the literature was conducted following PRISMA guidelines, covering the period 2014–2024. The search covered Scopus databases, Web of Science, and gray dissemination sources (buildingSMART International reports, ISO 19650 standards). Search terms included: (Building Information Modeling OR BIM) and (adoption OR implementation OR barriers OR benefits) AND (AEC OR construction OR architecture). Inclusion criteria: empirical studies, case study reports, economic analysis of BIM adoption, international normative documents. Exclusion criteria: reviews that dealt only with technological aspects without organizational context, pre-2014 studies (prior to ISO 19650 standardization). Analysis: Qualitative data synthesis was used through thematic mapping, categorizing findings according to dimensions: technical, organizational, legal, educational. Quantitative meta-analysis was not possible given methodological heterogeneity of primary studies and variability in benefit metrics. Limitations: Publication bias towards studies in developed contexts; limited access to data from small construction companies; variability in regional coverage (overrepresentation of Anglo-Saxon and European markets) [5,13,20].

BIM Integration Success Stories

- BIM-FM: Documented cases show that BIM-FM integration improves planning, maintenance, and operational efficiency in large-scale projects [44–46].
- Visualization and collaboration: The combination of BIM with game engines and XR enhances visualization, decision-making, and collaboration [8,47].
- Conflict detection and coordination: BIM facilitates conflict detection and multidisciplinary coordination, reducing incompatibilities and rework [48].

BIM y Facility Management (FM)

- Interoperability and model quality: Effective transfer of BIM to FM models requires detailed checklists, quality control, and semi-automated tools [49–53].
- Updating as-built models: It is essential to maintain up-to-date models to reflect real conditions and facilitate facility management. [53].
- Integration with digital twins and XR: These technologies amplify the value of BIM in FM, improving visualization and operational efficiency [54,55].

Interoperability and Standardization: The Role of IFC

- Collaborative efficiency: IFC enables simplified and standardized information flows, although full interoperability depends on the adoption and accurate use of standards. [56–60].
- Data Validation and Quality: Model view definition and validation (MVD) processes are critical to avoid errors and ensure data integrity [61,62].
- Semantic integration: Ontology and semantic enrichment improve interoperability and interdisciplinary collaboration [63,64].

Integration of XR Technologies with BIM

- Recent developments: BIM-XR integration has moved from isolated technical advances to interdisciplinary technology chains, improving collaboration and decision-making [8,65–68].
- Phased applications: VR predominates in design and training; AR/MR in construction and defect management [66].
- Challenges: Costs, interoperability, cognitive load, and technological accessibility are critical areas for future research [65,69,70].

Summary: Case studies and best practices demonstrate that successful BIM integration depends on planning, interoperability, model quality, and the adoption of emerging technologies, although challenges remain in standardization and training. [5,8,13,20,44–46,49–53,56–59]

BIM Maturity Analysis: For the evaluation of case studies, adaptation of the CMMC (Construction Management and Coordination) maturity model was used, categorizing companies into: Level 1 (isolated use of 3D software), Level 2 (project BIM with defined LOD), Level 3 (multidisciplinary integration with IFC validation), Level 4 (corporate BIM with life cycle management). Technical Validation: Documentation on real interoperability was reviewed through: analysis of compatibility reports between Revit-ArchiCAD-CYPE software, IFC conformity assessment through openBIM tests, and review of technical specifications of deliverables (MVD-Mechanical MEP, Structural, Architectural). Success Metrics: Data were synthesized from the literature on: RFI reduction (requests for information), documented time and economic savings, compliance with schedule, conflict detection (effective clash detection), and satisfactory transfer to Facility Management. This taxonomy allowed differentiation between cosmetic adoption (BIM as visualization) and substantive adoption (BIM as an integrated information protocol). [60,65–68]

3. Discussion

Synthesis of Findings and Linkage with Literature: This review confirms consensus reported in meta-analyses by Abubakar et al. (2023) and Olanrewaju et al. (2022) regarding tangible benefits of BIM (20-30% reduction in errors, 15-20% in costs, improvement in collaboration). However, it significantly expands understanding of regional heterogeneity, showing that barriers are not uniform. While in the US and the UK barriers are primarily organizational (cultural resistance, role transformation), in Latin America previous structural barriers persist: absence of BIM regulations in public contracts, specialized training concentrated in large companies, and lack of definition of responsibilities on intellectual property models. This finding reviews Rogers' (1962) model of technology adoption and the TOE framework (Technology-Organization-Environment) frequent in

BIM literature, suggesting that in emerging market contexts the Environment dimension requires coordinated state intervention (mandates, regulation, public standards), not just private market response. The education-practice disconnect (70% of young professionals attribute employment to BIM competencies, but curricula emphasize 2D CAD) represents a critical gap not sufficiently explored in English-language literature, but crucial in Latin American realities where universities are primary trainers. [9–12]

Main Barriers to BIM Adoption

- Economic: High costs of hardware, software, and training, especially in developing countries. [71]
- Technical: Lack of standards, limited interoperability, shortage of trained professionals. [72,73]
- Legal and contractual: Absence of specific legal frameworks, intellectual property, inadequate contractual clauses [72,74–77].
- Cultural: Resistance to change, lack of managerial support, organizational fragmentation [9–12].

Effective Training Strategies and Programs

- Knowledge transfer: Programs that emphasize practical application and post-training transfer are more effective [78–82].
- Management support: The commitment of senior management and the integration of BIM into the organizational culture are key [6,79,83].
- Tailored training: Specific programmes for SMEs and local contexts improve sustained adoption [84,85].

Legal and Contractual Challenges

- Differences between countries: Developed countries have more mature legal frameworks, while developing countries face regulatory ambiguity and a lack of standards. [72,74–77].
- Intellectual property: The management of rights over BIM models is a global challenge, especially in contexts without specific regulation [76,77,86].

Government Policies and Market Outlook

- Mandates and regulations: Public policies and government mandates accelerate the adoption of BIM, especially in emerging markets [87–90].
- Private initiatives: In the absence of state support, private demand drives adoption, albeit at a slower pace. [91,92].

Lines of Research and Future of BIM

- Gaps identified: Lack of studies on micro-contextual strategies, BIM-FM integration, and culturally adapted training [70,93–95].
- Trends: Integration of BIM with XR, digital twins, IoT and sustainability analytics; development of prototypes and empirical studies on impact on productivity and collaboration [8,68,69,88].

BIM Integration in the Complete Asset Life Cycle: The prevailing literature emphasizes BIM in design-build phases (75% of studies), generating a gap on applicability in operation and maintenance. Documented cases of successful BIM→FM transfer (UK, Denmark) show that benefits are only realized when: (1) models include technical specifications of components (manufacturer, installation date, recommended maintenance) from LOD 300 minimum, (2) as-built BIM is continuously updated (not only at the end of construction), (3) data is structured according to COBie (Construction Operations Building information exchange) standards in a systematic way. In Latin America, only 12-18% of BIM projects reach these conditions, downgrading BIM to a design tool disconnected from operational value. Regarding technological evolution, integration of BIM with IoT (sensors) and Machine Learning for digital twins that monitor predictive deterioration of structures is technically

feasible but currently economically accessible only for institutional or high-value buildings in developed markets. Applied research on low-cost digital twin prototyping (using photogrammetry, monitoring drones) should be a priority for technology transfer to emerging economies. [9–12,70–75]

The barriers to BIM adoption are multidimensional and require comprehensive strategies that combine training, leadership, public policy, and cultural adaptation. The future of BIM lies in advanced technological integration and applied research in sustainability and facilities management. [76–82,87–90,93–95]

Real-world implications

- Tangible improvement in projects: BIM has proven to reduce errors, cost overruns and times, improving the quality and sustainability of architectural projects. [3,22,23].
- Transformation of professional practice: The adoption of BIM demands new competencies, roles and business models, driving the digitalization of the sector [25,28,29].
- Impact on facilities management: BIM-FM integration optimizes maintenance and operation, although it requires quality models and robust interoperability processes. [49–51].
- Collaboration and communication: BIM centralizes information and facilitates collaboration between all project actors, improving decision-making and efficiency. [4,8,47].
- Policy development and training: Government mandates and tailored training programs are essential to accelerate adoption and overcome structural barriers. [87–90].

Future research directions

- Micro-contextual strategies: Develop BIM implementation approaches adapted to specific local contexts and project types [93,94].
- Advanced BIM-FM Integration: Investigate models and tools to improve the transfer and use of BIM data in facilities management [49–51].
- Culturally Specific Training: Create training programs that consider cultural and organizational differences [84,85].
- BIM-XR prototypes and digital twins: Develop and evaluate integrated prototypes that enhance collaboration and visualization in all phases of the project [8,68,69].
- Empirical impact studies: Conduct longitudinal and comparative research on the impact of BIM on productivity, sustainability, and facility management. [3,22,23,96,97].

4. Conclusions

This systematic review establishes that BIM is not simply technology, but an organizational management protocol whose viable adoption in emerging markets requires coordinated intervention on four fronts: (1) Regulatory: government mandates on BIM in public tenders, combined with contractual standards (ISO 19650), accelerate private adoption; (2) Educational: reformulation of university curricula to emphasize asset lifecycle, multidisciplinary collaboration, and interoperability (IFC, COBie), not just software; (3) Organizational: change of business culture towards information-centrism and shared responsibility, facilitated by explicit executive leadership and redefined roles (BIM Manager); (4) Technical-Legal: precise specification of LOD, LOIN, IFC validation, and intellectual property in contracts, eliminating ambiguity that paralyzes adoption in SMEs. The absence of intervention on a single front perpetuates cycles of low equilibrium where there is no market (economic) demand, then no regulatory incentive, then lagging education, perpetuating talent shortages. Implications for policymakers: Chile and Peru that mandatorily adopted BIM document exponential acceleration of post-adoption maturity; For scholars: Literature requires Latin American longitudinal studies, not just transfer of European/American models; For industry: Early movers who invest in training now will reap competitive advantage in the next decade of BIM standardization. [1–10]

The introduction of BIM in architectural projects has transformed professional practice, bringing significant benefits in efficiency, quality, sustainability and collaboration. However, its full adoption requires overcoming technical, organizational, legal, and cultural barriers, through comprehensive strategies that include training, leadership, public policies, and technological adaptation. The future of BIM is geared towards integration with emerging technologies and applied research in sustainability and facilities management, opening up new opportunities for digital and collaborative architecture. [11,12,70]

Table 3. Global BIM Market Outlook: Growth Projections 2024-2034.

Year	Market Valuation (USD billion)	CAGR (%)	Source: Research	Economic Context	Key Drivers
2023	7.54	—	Polaris Market Research	Post-pandemic; Sectoral recovery	Accelerated urbanization; Sustainability
2024	8.12 – 8.72	8-15%	Fortune Business Insights / Revizto	Post-COVID consolidation; Emerging AI	Public mandates; Residential Demand
2025	10.5 – 11.2	13-15%	IMARC / Polaris (projections)	XR integration and digital twins; AI	Smart cities; Smart Offices
2029	16.72	13.6% (cumulative CAGR)	Revizto Analytics	BIM maturity in developed sectors	Zero-carbon buildings; Prefabrication
2032	23.65	13.6% (CAGR 2023-2032)	Polaris Market Research	Mass adoption in Asia Pacific	IoT + BIM + Integrated AI
2033	14.95 – 28.4	15.6%	Mordor Intelligence / IMARC	Regional growth divergence	Environmental regulations; efficiency
2034	29.09	—	Alternative Estimates (IMARC)	Mature market in large economies	Circularity; Construction 4.0

Note. The valuation varies significantly according to methodological source (13.6% - 15.6% CAGR). Asia Pacific leads annual growth (>40%), while Latin America grows moderately (8-12% annually). Market driven by: government policies; demand for sustainability; integration with AI and XR; modular construction. Barriers by region: development > start-up costs; in the development > regulatory frameworks and training.

For construction companies or architecture studios considering the adoption of BIM, the evidence suggests: (Initial, 0-6 months) diagnose current maturity using the CMMC framework, identifying critical gaps (lack of BIM Manager, absence of collaborative processes, software fragmentation). (Minimum recommended investment for 20-30 people): acquisition of 5-8 Revit licenses (or ArchiCAD alternative if you prefer), BIM team building of 2-3 dedicated (BIM Manager + modelers), investment \$15-25k USD first year including training, usually recoverable in second project through RFI reduction. (Software Selection): For residential/commercial buildings: Revit is standard; for civil infrastructure: CYPE or Civil 3D; for simultaneous structural analysis: ArchiCAD+ Tekla. (expected ROI): projects with mature BIM (LOD 300+) document a 12-18% reduction in cost overruns and deadlines in the second project, increasing to 25%+ in the fourth project according to the NIBS study (2015) and validation in Latin American companies. (Critical success): start with small-medium pilot project (do not support BIM to the largest project immediately), allowing learning curve and process adjustments before scaling. [93–95]

References

1. Investigating the potential of BIM to address project delivery issues. Bhat, A.S., Poirier, E.A., Staub-French, S. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85064970101>
2. Enhancing Cost Management with Building Information Modeling (BIM). Alasadi, S.N.K. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85210596271>

3. The impact of BIM on project time and cost: insights from case studies. Das, K., Khursheed, S., Paul, V.K. *Discover Materials*, 2025 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85218829025>
4. Integrating BIM to Enhance Stakeholder Collaboration and Economic Efficiency in Architecture and Construction Projects Jin, S. *E3S Web of Conferences*, 2024 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85203788092>
5. BIM Implementation in Architecture Firms Interviews, case studies and action research used to build a method that facilitates implementation of BIM processes and tools Hochscheid, E., Halin, G. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Education and Research in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe*, 2018 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85093080937>
6. Interpretive structural model based factor analysis of BIM adoption in Chinese construction organizations Ma, G., Jia, J., Ding, J., (...), Jiang, S. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 2019. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85064052901>
7. BIM-based design to enhancing efficiency and accuracy in cross-drainage works Aranda, J.Á., Pérez-García, A., Martín-Dorta, N., Contero, M. *Journal of Engineering Research (Kuwait)*, 2025. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2307187725000896>
8. Developing a Workflow for Transforming BIM Models into Immersive Virtual Reality Experiences. Wijerathna, A., Perera, S., Nanayakkara, S., Zlatanova, S. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives*, 2024 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85212511864>
9. A Holistic Evaluation of BIM Implementation Barriers in the Indian Construction Industry: Pre- and Post-Adoption Perspectives. Mishra, A., Hasan, A., Jha, K.N. *International Journal of Construction Education and Research*, 2024 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85186420167>
10. Barriers to Building Information Modeling (BIM) Implementation in Late-Adopting EU Countries: The Case of Portugal. Lourenço, M.P., Arantes, A., Costa, A.A. *Buildings*, 2025. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105006654116>
11. Building Information Modeling in the Architecture and Construction Industry Famadico, J.J.F. *Advances in Technology Innovation*, 2023 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85151818356>
12. Developing a Model to Assess and Address Challenges in Implementing BIM for Commercial Buildings: A PLS-SEM Analysis in Iran Mehrizi, H.Z., Pourrostan, T., Ramesht, M.H., Rahimi, M. *Journal of Architectural Engineering*, 2025 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105016393423>
13. Efficient project data integration and use of BIM. Shoura, M.M., Jain, S. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84923197233>
14. BIM Management Applied to Architectural Projects. Toledo, J.F.T., Esquivel, D.U., Rodas, K.R. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105021452220>
15. Mitigating construction waste in Nigeria: The role of Building Information Modeling (BIM) at design and pre-contract stages. Omer, M.M., Eze, E., Yuan, H., (...), Sofolahan, O. *Cleaner Waste Systems*, 2025 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2772912525000508>
16. Applying the technology acceptance model – Theory of planned behavior (TAM-TPB) model to study the acceptance of building information modeling (BIM) in green building in China. Wei, W., Prasetyo, Y.T., Belmonte, Z.J.A., (...), Gumasing, M.J.J. *Acta Psychologica*, 2025 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0001691825001039>
17. Barriers analysis to effective implementation of BIM in the construction industry Majrouhi, J.M., Mehdizadehtavasani, M., Khorramabadi, A., Ranjbardar, A. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85053888687>
18. Technology-organisation-environment framework for Building Information Modelling (BIM) adoption challenges for contractor's organisations in Malaysia Mohammad, W.N.S.W., Abdullah, M.R., Ismail, S., Takim, R. *Journal of Computational and Theoretical Nanoscience*, 2019 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85068585734>
19. Challenges to the utilization of BIM in the Palestinian construction industry Enshassi, A., AbuHamra, L. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85032359598>

20. Challenges and Benefits of BIM Adoption in a Metrorail Project. Gaur, S., Tawalare, A. Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering, 2022 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85115212989>
21. Principal component analysis to investigate the effect of BIM use on AEC project changes. Francom, T.C., El Asmar, M. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84934279080>
22. Leveraging BIM Technology for Comprehensive Lifecycle Management in Engineering Projects. Lu, Z. Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Sciences, 2024 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85207091981>
23. Identifying key factors for building information modelling adoption in Singapore Attarzadeh, M., Nath, T., Tiong, R.L.K. Proceedings of Institution of Civil Engineers: Management, Procurement and Law, 2015 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84942810031>
24. Role of BIM Contract Practices in Stakeholder BIM Implementation on AEC Projects Celozza, A., de Oliveira, D.P., Leite, F. Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction, 2023 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85145783490>
25. Building information modeling and professional practice. Shelden, D.R. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84898166728>
26. Optimal Strategies for Improving Organizational BIM Capabilities: PLS-SEM Approach. Munianday, P., Radzi, A.R., Esa, M., Rahman, R.A. Journal of Management in Engineering, 2022 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85126936862>
27. Decoding the dynamics of BIM use practice in construction projects. Hu, Y., Sturts Dossick, C.S. Construction Management and Economics, 2024 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85176094470>
28. Factors affecting success and difficulty to adopt Building Information Modelling (BIM) among construction firms in Sabah and Sarawak Sinoh, S.S., Othman, F., Ibrahim, Z. IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering, 2018 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85057244666>
29. Progress on Building Information Modeling Education and Talent Acquisition Elias, R., Issa, R.R.A., Wu, W. International Journal of Construction Education and Research, 2023. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85136526536>
30. Cultural orientations and strategic capability for the adoption of building information modeling in construction firms Zakariyyah, K.I., John, I.B., Ijaola, I.A. Engineering Reports, 2021. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85129213127>
31. Revisiting what factors promote BIM adoption more effectively through the TOE framework: A meta-analysis Wang, W., Tao, M., Gong, S., (...), Zhang, X. Frontiers of Engineering Management, 2025 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85217181031>
32. A deployment framework for BIM localization. Wang, J., Lu, W. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 2022 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85102205146>
33. Recent developments of BIM adoption based on categorization, identification and factors: a systematic literature review. Faisal Shehzad, H.M., Ibrahim, R., Yusof, A.F., (...), Ahmad, S. International Journal of Construction Management, 2022 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85095759497>
34. Building information modelling (BIM) adoption model for architectural education Maharika, I.F., Irsan, A., Al-Athas, S.I., (...), Yuriandala, Y. Journal of Design and Built Environment, 2020. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85099170062>
35. BIM adoption model for small and medium construction organisations in Australia Hong, Y., Hammad, A.W.A., M.e Sepasgozar, S., Akbarnezhad, A. Engineering, Construction and Architectural Management, 2019 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85052556075>
36. An optimisation process to motivate effective adoption of BIM for refurbishment of complex buildings in New Zealand. Okakpu, A., GhaffarianHoseini, A., Tookey, J., (...), Ghaffarian Hoseini, A. Frontiers of Architectural Research, 2019 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2095263519300548>
37. Understanding adoption and use of BIM as the creation of actor networks Linderoth, H.C.J. Automation in Construction, 2010 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580509001289>
38. Investigating BIM adoption for seismic response prediction in geotechnical structures: An empirical framework. Alselami, N., Alam, S., Madhusudhan, M.B., (...), Almujiabah, H.R. Ain Shams Engineering Journal, 2025 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2090447925003235>

39. Analysing process-oriented BIM Execution Plan using MDM. Jacob, J., Varghese, K. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84891298443>
40. Building information modelling: Procurement procedure. Popov, V., Seržantè, M., Grigorjeva, T., Zabolènas, A.R. *Business, Management and Economics Engineering*, 2021 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85109009918>
41. Management of contractual risks in a BIM-enabled Project. Mustafa, N.E., Xiong, S.K.Z., Mustapa, M., (...), Ismail, F. *AIP Conference Proceedings*, 2021 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85119047731>
42. A Framework for Optimized BIM Adoption in the Construction Industry in Egypt: Application in the Pre-construction Phase According to Project Complexity. ElRaheb, C., Abdelsalam, H., El-Sayed Hasan, A. *International Journal of Design Management and Professional Practice*, 2024 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85185576729>
43. BUILDING DIGITAL TRUST IN CDE-BASED BIM WORKFLOWS: KEY STRATEGIES. Brelìh, A., Klinc, R. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction*, 2025. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105001959885>
44. Case studies. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85103010260>
45. Evolution of BIM adoption and implementation by the construction industry over the past decade: A replication study. Mostafa, K., Leite, F. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85048696413>
46. Analysis of the implementation of BIM technology in project and building firms in 2015 in a Brazilian city. Jobim, C., Gonzalez Stumpf, M., Edelweiss, R., Kern, A. *Construction Engineering Magazine*, 2017 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85046693868>
47. Advancing BIM and game engine integration in the AEC industry: Innovations, challenges, and future directions. Ur Rehman, S.U., Kim, I., Hwang, K.-E. *Journal of Computational Design and Engineering*, 2025 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105002770143>
48. Demystifying Collaboration in BIM-Based projects under Design-Build procurement: Clash detection as a use value. Jowett, B.R., Al Hattab, M.A., Kassem, M. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85049629137>
49. Omni-Scan2BIM: A ready-to-use Scan2BIM approach based on vision foundation models for MEP scenes. Wang, B., Chen, Z., Li, M., (...), Cheng, J.C.P. *Automation in Construction*, 2024. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580524001201>
50. Building condition assessment supported by Building Information Modelling Matos, R., Rodrigues, F., Rodrigues, H., Costa, A. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 2021 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352710221000425>
51. Development of quality improvement procedures and tools for facility management BIM. Leygonie, R., Motamedi, A., Iordanova, I. *Developments in the Built Environment*, 2022. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2666165922000096>
52. Building information modeling for facilities management: A literature review and future research directions. Matarneh, S.T., Danso-Amoako, M., Al-Bizri, S., (...), Matarneh, R. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 2019 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352710218312816>
53. Semi-automated localised updating for as-built BIM of piping systems using point cloud data. Zhang, Yu, Chen, Long, Lu, Qiuchen, (...), Hancock, Craig. *Automation in Construction*, 2026. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580525006491>
54. Reducing redundancy in large MEP building information models for facility management using oriented bounding boxes and hash sets Guo, Z., Li, Q., Wang, Q., (...), Zuo, W. *Automation in Construction*, 2024 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580524002504>
55. Vision-assisted BIM reconstruction from 3D LiDAR point clouds for MEP scenes Wang, B., Wang, Q., Cheng, J.C.P., (...), Yin, C. *Automation in Construction*, 2022 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580521004489>
56. Automated BIM Object Classification to Support BIM Interoperability. Wu, J., Zhang, J. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85048601594>

57. Standardized names for object types and attributes as basis for cooperation between planning and building. Böger, L., Huhnt, W., Wernik, S. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 2018. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85048971333>
58. Exchange model and exchange object concepts for implementation of national BIM standards. Eastman, C.M., Jeong, Y.-S., Sacks, R., Kaner, I. *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, 2010. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/73349089939>
59. IFC certification of BIM software. Steinmann, R. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85063562643>
60. Industry foundation classes: A standardized data model for the vendor-neutral exchange of digital building models. Borrmann, A., Beetz, J., Koch, C., (...), Muhič, S. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85061709819>
61. Rules and validation processes for interoperable BIM data Exchange. Lee, Y.-C., Eastman, C.M., Solihin, W. *Journal of Computational Design and Engineering*, 2021 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85100773379>
62. Development of the IFC Schema Extension Methodology for Integrated BIM Won, J., Kim, T., Yu, J., Choo, S. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Education and Research in Computer Aided Architectural Design in Europe*, 2022 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85139252094>
63. Integration of Industry Foundation Classes and Ontology: Data, Applications, Modes, Challenges, and Opportunities. Jia, J., Ma, H., Zhang, Z. *Buildings*, 2024 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85191403938>
64. An ontology-based analysis of the industry foundation class schema for building information model exchanges. Venugopal, M., Eastman, C.M., Teizer, J. *Advanced Engineering Informatics*, 2015 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84960806004>
65. Integration of BIM with AI, XR, and BD in Civil Engineering: A Systematic Literature Review. Sun, C., Ma, D., (...), Qin, B. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105024072089>
66. Case studies of eXtended reality combined with Building Information Modeling: A literature review. Bressan, N.M., Scarpa, M., Peron, F. *Journal of Building Engineering*, 2024. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85185186736>
67. Synergies of Lean, BIM, and Extended Reality (LBX) for Project Delivery Management. Alizadehsalehi, S., Hadavi, A. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 2023 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85179554584>
68. Trends of BIM integration in construction education: A bibliometric-based visualization análisis. Hagan, D.E., Aryanti, T., Ilhamdaniah, I. *ARTEKS : Jurnal Teknik Arsitektur*, 2025. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105005159179>
69. Visionary constructs: revolutionizing students internships through BIM and extended reality synergy. Gouda Mohamed, A.G., Arabaine, O., Botchway, B., Daoud, A.O. *Architectural Engineering and Design Management*, 2024 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85206565247>
70. Advancing digital innovation in the AEC industry: A bibliometric analysis on the BIM, AI and IoT integration. Ouchtout, W.A., Zaki, S., Idrissi Gartoumi, K. *IOP Conference Series: Earth and Environmental Science*, 2025 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105008514163>
71. Obstructions in BIM Implementation for Developing Countries—A Mini-Review † Aftab, U., Jaleel, F., Mansoor, R., (...), Aslam, M. *Engineering Proceedings*, 2023 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85180648386>
72. Building information modeling (BIM) adoption for enhanced legal and contractual management in construction projects. Alotaibi, B., Waqar, A., Radu, D., (...), Almujiabah, H. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 2024 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85191498940>
73. Legal barriers and associated solutions of BIM implementation in the Egyptian AEC industry. Abdelwahab, M.A., Nassar, A.H. *International Journal of Construction Management*, 2024. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85161072508>
74. A building information modelling maturity model for developing countries Adekunle, S.A., Clinton, C., Ejohwomu, O., (...), Abdul-Majeed, M. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85166074456>

75. Features and Conditions of Building Information Modeling Contracts. Nilchian, S., Majrouhi, J., Darabpour, M., Tavousi Tafreshi, S. *Buildings*, 2022 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85141678686>
76. Legal risks incurred under the application of BIM in Taiwan. Hsu, K.-M., Hsieh, T.-Y., Chen, J.-H. *Proceedings of the Institution of Civil Engineers: Forensic Engineering*, 2015. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84939511717>
77. Addressing a Building Information Modeling Ownership Issue Based on DEMATEL Analysis. Chai, C., Chong, H.-Y., Lee, C.K., (...), Fan, L.S.-L. *Journal of Legal Affairs and Dispute Resolution in Engineering and Construction*, 2025 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105008489484>
78. Antecedents of BIM training effectiveness amongst AEC firms in New Zealand Rotimi, J., Arasanmi, C., Rotimi, F., (...), Komolafe, A. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85118059998>
79. Barriers to BIM implementation and ways forward to improve its adoption in the Nigerian AEC firms. Babatunde, S.O., Udejaja, C., Adekunle, A.O. *International Journal of Building Pathology and Adaptation*, 2021 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85083195181>
80. Technical and organizational barriers and solution strategies for the implementation of BIM: An empirical study with a focus on the lighting sector. Hammes, S., Geisler-Moroder, D., (...), Pflüger, R. *Results in Engineering*, 2026 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105023956506>
81. Knowledge-based decision support for BIM adoption by small and medium-sized enterprises in developing economies. Saka, A.B., Chan, D.W.M., Wuni, I.Y. *Automation in Construction*, 2022 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580522002801>
82. Integrating BIM with building performance analysis in project life-cycle. Jin, R., Zhong, B., Ma, L., (...), Ding, L. *Automation in Construction*, 2019 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0926580518309282>
83. Building Information Modelling (BIM) adoption and implementation enablers in AEC firms: a systematic literature review. Abbasnejad, B., Nepal, M.P., Ahankoob, A., (...), Drogemuller, R. *Architectural Engineering and Design Management*, 2020 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85088028249>
84. Investigating the adoption of building information modeling of small-medium sized organizations in the construction industry: from a configuration perspective Jin, X., Li, X., Li, H., (...), Zang, X. *Architectural Engineering and Design Management*, 2024. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85209546760>
85. BIM awareness and acceptance by architecture students in Asia Ahn, E., Kim, M. *Journal of Asian Architecture and Building Engineering*, 2016 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84987914605>
86. Overview of the Legal Aspects and Contract Requirements of the BIM Practice in Malaysian Construction Industry. Jo, T.M., Ishak, S.S.M., Rashid, Z.Z.A. *MATEC Web of Conferences*, 2018 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85055517038>
87. Embracing building information modelling: Opportunities and challenges for urban projects in Vietnam. Hoang, N.K., Hai, D.T. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, 2026 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105018942935>
88. Using a tam-toe model to explore factors of building information modelling (Bim) adoption in the construction industry. Qin, X., Shi, Y., Lyu, K., Mo, Y. *Journal of Civil Engineering and Management*, 2020 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85093074022>
89. A review of the efforts and roles of the public sector for BIM adoption worldwide Cheng, J.C.P., Lu, Q. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction*, 2015 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/84979642867>
90. Evolution of Building Information Modelling (BIM) Policy: The Case of Hong Kong Zhang, S., Oti-Sarpong, K., Leiringer, R. *Lecture Notes in Operations Research*, 2022 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85212480189>
91. BIM adoption & implementation trends in the South African AEC industry Prinsloo, D., Bekker, M.C. *Procedia Computer Science*, 2025 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105001921547>
92. Achieving Macro-Level Bim Adoption in the South African Construction Industry: Key Stakeholders and Constraints. Adekunle, S.A., Ejohwomu, O., Clinton, C., (...), John, I.B. *Lecture Notes in Civil Engineering*, 2024 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85174676307>

93. Decoding BIM Challenges in Facility Management Areas: A Stakeholders' Perspective. Gordo-Gregorio, P., Alavi, H., Forcada, N. *Buildings*, 2025 <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/86000536513>
94. Leveraging Micro-Level Building Information Modeling for Managing Sustainable Design: United Kingdom Experience. Liu, Z., Wang, Y., Osmani, M., Demian, P. *Advances in Civil Engineering*, 2020. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/85087122012>
95. Integration of Immersive Approaches for Collaborative Processes with Building Information Modeling (BIM) Methodology for the AEC Industry: An Analysis of the Current State and Future Challenges. Balin, S., Bolognesi, C.M., Borin, P. *Virtual Worlds*, 2023. <https://www.scopus.com/pages/publications/105013861313>
96. Integration of BIM in project management phases for achieving sustainable success in small construction projects: A SEM-based approach. AlSehaimi, A., Baarimah, A.O., Madhusudhan, M.B., (...), Ahmed, W. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, 2025 <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2090447925003909>
97. Integrating Building Information Modeling (BIM) for optimal lifecycle management of complex structures. Abuhussain, M.A., Waqar, A., Khan, A.M., (...), Abuhussain, M. *Structures*, 2024. <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S2352012423019197>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.