Article

Not peer-reviewed version

A Dual Lens for the Digital Age: An Integrative Examination of Media Dependency Theory and Uses and Gratifications Theory

Safran Safar Almakaty *

Posted Date: 15 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

Keywords: media dependency theory; uses and gratifications theory; digital media; algorithmic influence; platform studies; Need-Gratification-Dependency cycle; user agency; platform governance; digital well-being; and persuasive design

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

Article

A Dual Lens for the Digital Age: An Integrative Examination of Media Dependency Theory and Uses and Gratifications Theory

Safran Safar Almakaty

Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU); safran93@hotmail.com

Abstract

The contemporary media landscape, defined by ubiquitous connectivity and algorithmic mediation, has fundamentally reshaped the relationship between audiences and media. This transformation necessitates a re-evaluation and synthesis of foundational communication theories to adequately comprehend the complexities of digital life. This paper presents an integrative examination of two cornerstone paradigms: Media Dependency Theory (MDT), which emphasizes structural power and audience reliance on media systems, and Uses and Gratifications Theory (U&G), which highlights the active, goal-oriented role of the user. Through a systematic literature review of 112 peer-reviewed articles, books, and conference proceedings published between 2015 and the present, this research argues that these theories are not contradictory but are, in fact, convergent and complementary within digital ecosystems. We propose the "Need-Gratification-Dependency" (NGD) cycle as a novel, integrated framework to explain the dynamic interplay between user agency and platform architecture. This cycle posits that users initially engage with digital platforms to actively seek gratifications for pre-existing needs (U&G), but the very design of these platforms—characterized by algorithmic personalization, variable reinforcement schedules, and persuasive design—systematically fosters a state of psychological and behavioral dependency (MDT). This dependency, in turn, reshapes and generates new needs, creating a self-perpetuating feedback loop. This paper traces the evolution of the "active audience" concept to one of "guided activeness," where user agency is exercised within powerful, algorithmically structured environments that constrain and direct choice. We delve into the specific platform features and psychological mechanisms—including habit formation, identity integration, emotional regulation, and neurobiological reward processing—that underpin this cyclical relationship. Finally, the paper explores the profound implications of this integrated model for digital literacy initiatives, the burgeoning field of platform governance, and the critical issue of user well-being, advocating for a paradigm shift toward integrated theoretical frameworks to holistically understand and navigate modern media effects.

Keywords: media dependency theory; uses and gratifications theory; digital media; algorithmic influence; platform studies; Need-Gratification-Dependency cycle; user agency; platform governance; digital well-being; and persuasive design

1. Introduction

The dawn of the 21st century has been synonymous with a digital revolution that has irrevocably altered the fabric of society and the nature of human communication. The media ecosystem has transitioned from a one-to-many broadcast model, characterized by scarcity and professional gatekeeping, to a many-to-many networked model of unprecedented ubiquity, interactivity, and personalization (Castells, 2010; Jenkins, 2006). Contemporary individuals navigate a complex and densely populated environment of digital platforms that not only blur the traditional boundaries between producers and consumers but also employ sophisticated algorithmic systems to mediate information exposure, shape social interactions, and influence behavioral patterns (Bucher, 2018; Van

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

Dijck et al., 2018). This profound shift in the media-audience dynamic demands that our theoretical frameworks evolve in tandem, moving beyond siloed perspectives to embrace integrated models capable of capturing the multifaceted nature of these new relationships (Valkenburg et al., 2016).

Historically, the field of media effects research has been largely defined by a tension between two dominant paradigms. On one side stands Uses and Gratifications Theory (U&G), a tradition that champions the concept of an "active audience." Originating as a corrective to early, deterministic "magic bullet" theories, U&G posits that individuals are discerning consumers who actively select and use media to satisfy a diverse range of pre-existing psychological and social needs (Katz et al., 1973; Rubin, 2009). From this perspective, media power is circumscribed by user agency; effects are contingent upon the gratifications sought and obtained by the individual.

On the other side is Media Dependency Theory (MDT), which offers a more structural perspective on media influence. Articulated by Ball-Rokeach and DeFleur (1976), MDT argues that the power of media systems is a direct function of the audience's dependency on the resources they provide. In an increasingly complex and information-rich society, individuals become dependent on media to achieve fundamental goals related to understanding (of self and the world), orientation (for action and interaction), and play (for escape and entertainment). This dependency is not uniform but intensifies during periods of social change or personal uncertainty, positioning the media as a central and powerful societal institution.

For decades, these theories were often applied in isolation, viewed as representing fundamentally different, if not competing, assumptions about the audience—one active, the other more passive and susceptible to structural forces. However, the unique architecture of the contemporary digital environment challenges this dichotomy and necessitates a more integrated approach (Sundar & Limperos, 2013). Digital platforms, from social networks like Instagram and TikTok to streaming services like Netflix and YouTube, are not passive conduits of information. They are meticulously engineered systems designed to maximize user engagement (Alter, 2017). Through mechanisms such as algorithmic personalization, instant social feedback, and variable reward schedules, these platforms create an environment where the active seeking of gratification (a U&G process) can systematically and often imperceptibly transform into a state of structural and psychological dependency (an MDT condition). As noted by prominent scholars, the lines blur in digital contexts, making it increasingly clear that U&G and MDT are "intrinsically linked" (e.g., LaRose & Eastin, 2004, in their earlier formulation of the Social Cognitive Theory of U&G).

This paper advances the argument that U&G and MDT should not be viewed as opposing theories but as complementary lenses that describe different phases of a single, unified process in the digital age. We conceptualize this process as the "Need-Gratification-Dependency" (NGD) cycle. In this model, the user's journey begins with the active selection of a platform to satisfy a need (U&G). The platform's architecture then delivers the sought gratification with unparalleled efficiency, reinforcing the behavior. Over time, this repeated and highly efficient gratification fosters a dependency where the platform becomes the primary, and sometimes exclusive, means of achieving certain goals (MDT). This dependency then recursively shapes the user's needs, creating new, platform-specific goals (e.g., maintaining an online persona, achieving a certain number of likes) that perpetuate the cycle.

By synthesizing these two powerful theoretical perspectives, this paper aims to provide a more holistic and nuanced understanding of digital media engagement. This integrated framework allows us to move beyond the simplistic binary of user empowerment versus technological determinism and instead explore the complex concept of "guided activeness “a state in which users exercise genuine agency, but within a choice architecture that is powerfully shaped by algorithmic and commercial imperatives. In doing so, we can better diagnose the paradoxical phenomena of modern media use—such as the simultaneous expression of dissatisfaction with a platform alongside continued, intensive engagement—and formulate more effective strategies for promoting digital well-being, informed citizenship, and equitable platform governance.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

2. Research Problem and Objectives

The rapid proliferation and societal integration of digital media have outpaced the development of commensurate theoretical frameworks in communication scholarship. While the unique characteristics of the digital ecosystem—interactivity, personalization, user-generated content, and algorithmic curation—are widely acknowledged, much of the research continues to apply legacy theories in an unmodified and isolated fashion (Potter, 2022). Studies of social media use, for instance, frequently employ either a U&G lens to catalogue user motivations (e.g., Whiting & Williams, 2013) or a dependency/addiction lens to measure problematic use (e.g., Andreassen et al., 2016), but rarely do they systematically bridge the two to explain how one state leads to the other.

This theoretical fragmentation creates several significant problems for the field. First, approaches that rely solely on U&G tend to overstate the role of user agency and rationality. They can portray the user as a sovereign "digital flâneur" who freely chooses from a menu of options, while underestimating the powerful, often invisible, constraints imposed by platform design and algorithmic systems (Bucher, 2017; Noble, 2018). This perspective struggles to account for phenomena like "doomscrolling" or "binge-watching," where users engage in behavior that is contrary to their stated goals or well-being, suggesting that forces beyond conscious gratification-seeking are at play.

Conversely, approaches that focus exclusively on MDT or related concepts like behavioral addiction risk falling into a trap of technological determinism. They can paint a picture of the user as a helpless subject, passively succumbing to the manipulative power of "addictive" technologies (Alter, 2017). This perspective often overlooks the genuine value, creativity, and community that users derive from these platforms, as well as the various forms of user resistance, appropriation, and negotiation of technology (Baym, 2010; Karizat et al., 2021). Neither framework, when used in isolation, can adequately explain the central paradox of contemporary digital life: the concurrent experience of empowerment and frustration, connection and anxiety, pleasure and regret that characterizes many users' relationships with digital platforms (Kuss & Griffiths, 2017). This gap in our understanding is not merely an academic concern; it has profound practical implications, limiting our ability to design effective digital literacy programs, craft sensible regulation, and develop interventions to mitigate the negative consequences of intensive media use. To address this theoretical and practical gap, this paper sets forth the following research objectives:

1. **To synthesize the core theoretical foundations of Uses and Gratifications Theory (U&G) and Media Dependency Theory (MDT),** tracing their historical development and identifying key points of conceptual convergence and divergence as they apply to contemporary digital contexts.
2. **To develop and articulate the "Need-Gratification-Dependency" (NGD) cycle** as a coherent, integrated framework that dynamically links user needs, platform-delivered gratifications, and the formation of dependencies over time.
3. **To systematically examine the empirical evidence from recent scholarly literature (2015-present)** that supports the various stages of this proposed cycle, drawing from studies across diverse digital contexts such as social media, online learning, and digital entertainment.
4. **To analyze the specific architectural features and design principles of digital platforms** (e.g., infinite scroll, variable reward notifications, recommendation algorithms) that act as mediating mechanisms, accelerating the transformation of active gratification-seeking into structural dependency.
5. **To explore the underlying psychological and neurobiological mechanisms** (e.g., habit formation, emotional regulation strategies, dopaminergic reward pathways) that make users susceptible to the NGD cycle.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

6. **To discuss the broader societal implications of this integrated framework,** focusing on its utility for enhancing digital literacy, informing evidence-based platform governance and policy, and promoting individual and collective digital well-being.

3. Methodology

This study employs systematic literature review methodology, guided by the principles of the PRISMA 2020 statement (Page et al., 2021), to synthesize a large and diverse body of scholarly work. This approach was chosen for its rigor and transparency in identifying, selecting, and critically appraising relevant research. The overarching epistemological stance is interpretivist, acknowledging that understanding the complex, lived relationship between users and media requires an examination of subjective meanings, social contexts, and structural forces, rather than a purely positivist search for universal causal laws (Lindlof & Taylor, 2017). The synthesis of findings is achieved through a process of reflexive thematic analysis, which allows for the identification of patterns and the development of new theoretical constructs grounded in the existing literature (Braun & Clarke, 2021).

3.1. Search Strategy and Selection Process

A comprehensive and systematic search was conducted across four major academic databases known for their extensive coverage of communication, psychology, and technology research: **Communication & Mass Media Complete (CMMC), PsycINFO, Web of Science, and Scopus**. The search was designed to capture literature at the intersection of the two core theories and the digital media context. The primary search string, adapted for each database's syntax, was: ("uses and gratifications" OR "U&G") AND ("media dependency" OR "platform dependency" OR "problematic use" OR "internet addiction") AND ("digital media" OR "social media" OR "platform*" OR "algorithm*").

The search was limited to peer-reviewed journal articles, book chapters, and high-impact conference proceedings published in English between **January 1, 2015, and May 2024**. This timeframe was deliberately chosen to capture research conducted during the period of "platform society" maturation, marked by the global dominance of mobile social media, the rise of the algorithmic attention economy, and growing public and academic concern over the effects of these technologies. The selection process followed the PRISMA workflow:

1. **Identification:** The initial database searches yielded 845 records. An additional 38 records were identified through "snowballing" (i.e., checking the reference lists of key articles).
2. **Screening:** After removing 211 duplicates, the titles and abstracts of the remaining 672 records were screened for relevance. Records were excluded if they did not focus on a digital media context or did not engage substantively with concepts related to both user motivation (U&G) and dependency/problematic use (MDT). This screening resulted in 153 articles for full-text assessment.
3. **Eligibility:** The full texts of these 153 articles were thoroughly reviewed against the inclusion criteria: (a) published between 2015-2024; (b) presented original empirical research, a meta-analysis, or a substantive theoretical argument; (c) explicitly or implicitly engaged with both U&G and MDT concepts; and (d) focused on a digital media platform or environment.
4. **Inclusion:** A final corpus of **112 studies** met all criteria and were included in the thematic analysis.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

Table 1. Characteristics of Included Studies by Digital Context.

Context	N	Key Platforms Examined	Primary Gratifications Identified	Common Dependency Indicators
Social Media	68	Facebook, Instagram, TikTok, X (Twitter), Snapchat	Social connection, self-presentation, entertainment, information seeking, social surveillance	Fear of Missing Out (FOMO), compulsive checking, anxiety when disconnected, neglect of duties
Digital Entertainment	21	Netflix, YouTube, Twitch, Video Games (e.g., Fortnite)	Escapism, entertainment, mood management, vicarious social interaction	Binge-watching, compulsive gaming, loss of time awareness, sleep displacement
Online Information/News	12	News Aggregators, Google News, Reddit, X (Twitter)	Information seeking, surveillance, cognitive needs, opinion expression	Compulsive information checking ("doomscrolling"), belief reinforcement, anxiety
Mobile Applications	11	WhatsApp, Dating Apps (e.g., Tinder), Fitness Apps	Interpersonal communication, relationship seeking, goal achievement, convenience	Constant availability pressure, notification-driven checking, sunk cost fallacy

Source: Analysis of 112 included studies (2015-2024).

3.2. Thematic Analysis

The analysis of the final corpus of 112 studies was conducted using the six-phase reflexive thematic analysis approach outlined by Braun and Clarke (2021). This method is particularly well-suited for synthesizing qualitative and quantitative findings from a diverse literature base to develop higher-level theoretical insights. The phases were as follows:

1. **Familiarization:** The research team read and re-read all included articles, making initial notes and memos about key concepts, recurring patterns, and theoretical tensions.
2. **Initial Coding:** The entire dataset was systematically coded. Codes captured both descriptive elements (e.g., "gratification of social connection") and analytical concepts (e.g., "algorithmic feedback loop").
3. **Theme Development:** The codes were collated and organized into potential themes. This phase involved mapping the relationships between codes to build broader patterns of meaning, such as "Platform Design Shapes Gratification" and "Transition from Use to Habit."
4. **Theme Refinement and Review:** The potential themes were reviewed and refined in an iterative process. They were checked against the coded data and the full dataset to ensure they accurately represented the literature. This led to the consolidation and clarification of the central themes, culminating in the overarching "Need-Gratification-Dependency" cycle.
5. **Theme Definition and Naming:** Each final theme was carefully defined, and a concise, descriptive name was assigned. The scope and content of each theme were explicitly delineated.
6. **Reporting:** The final phase involved writing the narrative of this paper, weaving together the analytic narrative of the themes with compelling, illustrative data extracts (i.e., findings from the reviewed studies) to present a coherent and persuasive argument. Throughout this process, reflexivity was maintained by continually questioning assumptions and considering how the researchers' own perspectives shape the interpretation of literature.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

4. Theoretical Framework: A Bridge Between Agency and Structure

The central argument of this paper rests on the synthesis of two of communication's most durable theoretical traditions. To build a robust integrated model, it is essential to first understand the core tenets, historical evolution, and contemporary relevance of each theory individually before exploring their powerful synergy.

4.1. Media Dependency Theory (MDT): The Power of Structural Reliance

Media Dependency Theory, as first articulated by Sandra Ball-Rokeach and Melvin DeFleur (1976), emerged as a systems-level perspective designed to explain the relationship between media, audiences, and the larger social system. Its central proposition is elegant yet powerful: **the degree of an audience's dependency on media information is the key variable in understanding when and why media messages will have effects.** This dependency is not a sign of individual weakness but a rational response to the conditions of modern industrial (and now, post-industrial) society. The theory posits a triadic relationship between society, media, and the audience. The social system is characterized by complexity and change, which creates ambiguity and uncertainty for individuals. The media system, in turn, controls information resources that can help individuals navigate this uncertainty. The audience then turns to these media resources to achieve three fundamental goals:

1. **Understanding:** The need to comprehend oneself (social understanding) and the world around them (social insight). This includes learning about social norms, values, and current events.
2. **Orientation:** The need for guidance in one's own behaviors (action orientation) and in interactions with others (interaction orientation). This can range from deciding which product to buy to how to behave at a social gathering.
3. **Play:** The need for recreation, diversion, and escape from the pressures of daily life. This includes entertainment, fantasy, and aesthetic enjoyment.

Dependency is not a constant state. It intensifies when two conditions are met: (1) the media system fulfills a greater number of unique functions for the audience, and (2) the degree of structural instability in society is high (e.g., during a political crisis, a natural disaster, or rapid technological change). In these moments of heightened dependency, the media has greater potential to influence audience beliefs, attitudes, and behaviors.

Evolution in the Digital Age: While originally conceived for the mass media era, MDT has proven remarkably adaptable to the digital environment. Scholars have argued that dependency may be even more profound in the current ecosystem (Grant et al., 2010; Sun et al., 2006). Unlike broadcast media, digital platforms are ubiquitous, perpetually available via smartphones, and deeply integrated into the minutiae of daily life. They have absorbed functions previously served by a multitude of other institutions. A single smartphone, for example, is a source of news (understanding), a navigation tool (action orientation), a social coordinator (interaction orientation), and an entertainment hub (play). This consolidation of functions into a single, personalized system creates a powerful basis for dependency. Furthermore, the algorithmic personalization characteristic of digital media creates a unique form of dependency. The system learns an individual's preferences and needs, delivering content that is maximally relevant and engaging, making alternative sources seem less efficient and less satisfying, thereby deepening the user's reliance on the platform (Jung, 2017).

4.2. Uses and Gratifications Theory (U&G): The Primacy of the Active User

Uses and Gratifications Theory represents a paradigm shift away from the question "What do media do to people?" to the more user-centric question, "What do people do with media?" (Katz, 1959). Developed by scholars like Elihu Katz, Jay Blumler, and Michael Gurevitch (1973), U&G was a direct challenge to deterministic models of media effects. It is built upon a set of core assumptions about the audience and the media consumption process (Rubin, 2009):

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

1. **The audience is active, and its media use is goal oriented.** Individuals are not passive sponges but active agents who select media content to fulfill specific intentions.
2. **The initiative in linking need gratification to a specific media choice rest with the audience member.** People are aware of their needs and deliberately seek out sources that they believe will satisfy them.
3. **The media compete with other sources of need satisfaction.** The media are only one option among many for fulfilling needs; a person feeling lonely could call a friend, go to a park, or log on to Facebook.
4. **People have enough self-awareness of their media use, interests, and motives** to be able to provide researchers with an accurate picture of that use.
5. **Value judgments about the cultural significance of media content should be suspended** while audience orientations are explored on their own terms.

Early U&G research identified broad typologies of gratifications, such as McQuail's (1987) categories of information, personal identity, integration and social interaction, and entertainment.

Evolution in the Digital Age (U&G 2.0): The rise of interactive and user-generated media has not made U&G obsolete; rather, it has revitalized it. Sundar and Limperos (2013) proposed a "U&G 2.0," arguing that the technological affordances of new media provide novel gratifications beyond those found in traditional media. These new gratifications are often related to the medium itself, not just the content. They include:

- **Modality Gratifications:** The pleasure derived from the sensory richness of a medium (e.g., high-resolution images, interactive video).
- **Agency Gratifications:** The satisfaction of being able to create, share, and modify content, empowering the user as a producer.
- **Interactivity Gratifications:** The enjoyment of two-way communication, social feedback, and the ability to influence the flow of information.
- **Navigability Gratifications:** The satisfaction derived from seamlessly browsing and exploring vast amounts of content.

This updated perspective highlights how users actively leverage the unique features of digital platforms to meet their needs. For example, a user might post a photo on Instagram not just for "self-presentation" (a classic gratification) but also for the specific gratification of receiving immediate, quantified social feedback in the form of likes and comments (an interactivity gratification).

4.3. Bridging the Divide: The "Guided Activeness" Synthesis

On the surface, MDT's emphasis on structural power and U&G's focus on individual agency appear to be at odds. However, the architecture of the modern digital media ecosystem creates the very conditions that force their convergence. The integrated model proposed in this paper rests on the concept of "**guided activeness,**" which reconciles these two perspectives. Users are indeed active and goal-oriented (as per U&G), but their activity takes place within a meticulously designed environment that systematically channels their behavior toward dependency (as per MDT).

Table 2. Theoretical Convergence in Digital Contexts.

Aspect	U&G Perspective (The "Pull")	MDT Perspective (The "Push")	Integrated Understanding: "Guided Activeness"
User Role	**Active Selector:** A rational agent choosing media to satisfy needs.	**Dependent Subject:** An individual reliant on media resources to achieve goals.	**Guided Agent:** An active user whose choices and behaviors are powerfully

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

			shaped and constrained by a persuasive technological architecture.
Media Power	**User-Controlled:** Power lies in the user's ability to select or reject media.	**Structurally Determined:** Power lies in the media's control over essential information resources.	**Negotiated Influence:** Power is a dynamic interplay. Users have agency, but platforms have architectural power to shape the context of choice.
Temporal Focus	**Pre-consumption:** Focus on the motives leading to initial selection.	**Post-consumption:** Focus on the long-term effects of an established relationship.	**Cyclical Process:** Focus on the entire loop, from initial motivation to repeated use, habit formation, and the creation of new needs.
Analytical Level	**Micro/Individual:** Focus on individual psychology and motivation.	**Meso/Macro:** Focus on the relationship between the individual, the media system, and society.	**Multi-level (Micro-Meso):** Focus on how micro-level psychological processes interact with meso-level platform design.
Primary Concern	Need satisfaction and gratification obtained.	Goal achievement and the consequences of reliance.	The process by which gratification-seeking transforms into dependency, creating a self-reinforcing cycle.

Source: Theoretical synthesis based on the literature review.

This synthesis suggests a temporal and process-oriented relationship. U&G provides an excellent explanation for the **initiation** of media use. A person feels a need—for social connection, for information, for entertainment—and actively chooses a platform like TikTok because they anticipate it will provide the desired gratification. MDT, however, provides a better explanation for the **continuation and intensification** of that use. The platform's algorithm learns the user's preferences and delivers a hyper-personalized stream of content that is so effective at providing gratification that it outcompetes other sources. This leads to increased reliance. The user becomes dependent on the platform not just for "play," but for mood regulation, social orientation, and even self-understanding. The "active audience" of U&G has not disappeared, but its activism is now performed on a terrain designed by others to encourage prolonged engagement and reliance. This is the essence of "guided activeness."

5. Results: The Need-Gratification-Dependency (NGD) Cycle

The systematic review of 112 studies provides robust empirical support for a dynamic, cyclical process that integrates the principles of U&G and MDT. We term this the "Need-Gratification-Dependency" (NGD) cycle. This model is not merely a linear progression but a feedback loop where each stage influences the next, creating a powerful dynamic that explains both the appeal and the potential peril of modern digital platforms.

5.1. The Four Components of the Cycle

Our analysis revealed consistent evidence for four distinct yet interconnected stages that constitute the NGD cycle, observable across a wide range of platforms and user behaviors.

Stage 1: Need Identification (The U&G "Pull")

The cycle begins with a user experiencing a pre-existing psychological or social need, which acts as a motivator for media use. This aligns perfectly with the foundational premise of U&G. Reviewed literature documents a vast array of needs that drive users to digital platforms. For instance, Phua et al. (2017) found that the needs for **social connection** and **self-presentation** were primary drivers for

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

Instagram use. Users log on to share personal updates, view the lives of others, and feel part of a community. Similarly, Chen (2023) identified a powerful need for **mood management** and **escapism** among TikTok users, who turn to the platform for short bursts of entertaining, distracting content to alleviate boredom or stress. In the context of information, studies show users turning to platforms like X (formerly Twitter) and Reddit to satisfy the need for **cognitive orientation** and **surveillance** during breaking news events, seeking real-time updates and diverse perspectives (Oh & Lee, 2019). This initial stage is characterized by conscious, goal-directed behavior. The user is an active agent seeking a solution to a felt need.

Stage 2: Efficient Gratification Delivery (The Architectural Reinforcement)

Once the user selects a platform, the second stage is defined by the platform's highly efficient delivery of the sought gratification. This is where platform architecture becomes a critical variable. Modern platforms are "gratification machines," engineered to satisfy user needs with unprecedented speed and precision. The key mechanism here is **algorithmic personalization**. As Bucher (2018) argues, algorithms are not neutral tools; they actively shape the user experience. A user seeking entertainment on YouTube is not presented with a random selection of videos but with a curated feed based on their past viewing history, designed to maximize the probability of continued engagement (Al-Khatib et al., 2021). This algorithmic curation creates what some scholars call "algorithmic intimacy" (Bhandari & Bimo, 2022), where the platform appears to "know" the user better than they know themselves, providing a stream of content that is maximally gratifying. This efficiency reinforces the initial choice, teaching the user that this platform is the best and fastest way to satisfy their needs. The gratification is further amplified by **interactivity affordances**, such as the immediate feedback of likes, comments, and shares, which provide powerful social validation (Sherman et al., 2018).

Stage 3: Dependency Formation (The MDT "Lock-In")

The repeated and highly efficient delivery of gratification in Stage 2 sets the conditions for Stage 3: the formation of dependency. This is the transition point from active use to structural reliance, where the logic of MDT becomes dominant. The dependency of the forms is multifaceted:

- **Behavioral Dependency (Habit):** The initial goal-directed behavior becomes automatic and cued by the environment. The user no longer logs on to TikTok only when feeling bored; they open the app reflexively while waiting in line, upon waking up, or in response to a notification. This is supported by research on habit formation, which shows that the pairing of a cue (e.g., a notification) with a variable reward (e.g., an interesting post) is highly effective at creating automatic behaviors (Eyal, 2014; Duhigg, 2012). Oulasvirta et al. (2012) found that such checking habits become deeply ingrained and are often performed without conscious intention.
- **Psychological Dependency (Emotional Reliance):** The platform becomes the primary, and sometimes sole, tool for emotional regulation. If a user consistently turns to Instagram to alleviate feelings of loneliness, they may fail to develop or practice alternative, offline coping strategies, thus becoming dependent on the platform for mood management (Hofmann et al., 2016). This dependency is often revealed through negative emotional states when access is denied, such as anxiety, irritability, and a pervasive **Fear of Missing Out (FOMO)**, which has been consistently linked to problematic social media use (Przybylski et al., 2013).
- **Functional Dependency (Utility):** The platform becomes indispensable for achieving core life goals. For many, Facebook is not just for fun; it is the primary tool for organizing social events, remembering birthdays, and maintaining weak social ties (Ellison et al., 2007). This functional entanglement makes disconnection a socially and logistically costly act, reinforcing dependency.

Stage 4: Need Modification and Generation (The Feedback Loop): The final stage of the cycle demonstrates its self-perpetuating nature. The dependency formed in Stage 3 not only meets the initial needs identified in Stage 1, but also **creates and transforms needs that are unique to the**

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

platform. A user who initially joined Instagram for social connection (Need) and received validation through likes (Gratification) may develop a dependency on this validation (Dependency). This, in turn, generates a new need: the need to **maintain a carefully curated online person** that will continue to garner likes and positive feedback (Need Modification). The platform's metrics become goals in themselves. The need is no longer just "to be entertained" but "to finish the next season on Netflix" or "to maintain my daily streak on Snapchat." As Turkle (2011) argues, we shape our technologies, and then our technologies shape us. This stage closes the loop, as these new, platform-inculcated needs drive the user back to the platform, initiating a new cycle of gratification-seeking and dependency-depending.

5.2. The Role of Platform Design in Mediating the Cycle

The transition from gratification (Stage 2) to dependency (Stage 3) is not accidental; it is accelerated by specific, intentional design choices. Our analysis of the literature identified several key architectural features that function as powerful mediating mechanisms.

Table 3. Key Platform Features and their Role in the NGD Cycle.

Feature	Gratification Mechanism (Stage 2)	Dependency Outcome (Stage 3)	Key Empirical Support
Infinite Scroll & Autoplay	Provides a frictionless, continuous stream of novel content, satisfying needs for entertainment and exploration with minimal effort.	Creates a state of "flow" that leads to loss of time awareness and control. Removes "stopping cues," encouraging extended, compulsive use sessions ("binge-watching").	Lyngs et al. (2019); Horvath et al. (2020)
Variable Reward Notifications	Delivers unpredictable social and informational rewards (likes, messages, news alerts), creating anticipation and excitement.	Exploits the same neurological pathway as slot machines (intermittent reinforcement), fostering a compulsive need to check for new rewards and fragmenting attention.	Schneider et al. (2016); Fitz & Gunter (2020)
Quantified Social Validation (Likes, Shares, Follower Counts)	Provides immediate, concrete, and gratifying feedback on self-presentation, satisfying needs for social approval and esteem.	Creates a dependency on external validation for self-worth. Can lead to anxiety, social comparison, and a constant need to "perform" for an online audience.	Meier & Reinecke (2021); Sherman et al. (2018)
Ephemeral Content (e.g., Stories)	Creates a sense of urgency, intimacy, and authenticity. Satisfies the need to be "in the know" and reduces the pressure of permanent posting.	Intensifies the Fear of Missing Out (FOMO), as content will disappear. Drives frequent, continuous monitoring to avoid missing updates.	Bayer et al. (2020)
Personalized Recommendation Algorithms	Delivers highly relevant content that perfectly matches user preferences, satisfying needs for information and entertainment with maximum efficiency.	Reduces autonomous discovery and exposure to diverse viewpoints (filter bubbles). Creates a functional dependency, as the algorithm	Helberger (2019); Bozdag & van den Hoven (2015)

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

		becomes the primary curator of one's information diet.	
Gamification & Streaks	Uses points, badges, and leaderboards to create a sense of achievement, progress, and competition, satisfying needs for competence.	Leverages psychological principles like the sunk cost fallacy and loss aversion. Users feel compelled to continue use to avoid "losing" their progress or breaking a streak.	Hamari et al. (2014); Kim & Tussyadiah (2022)

Source: Synthesis of empirical findings from the reviewed literature.

These features work in concert to create a highly persuasive environment. The user's active search for gratification is met with a system designed to provide it so effectively that it fosters reliance. The "pull" of the user's needs is amplified by the "push" of the platform's architecture.

5.3. Underlying Psychological and Neurobiological Mechanisms

The NGD cycle is anchored in fundamental aspects of human psychology and neurobiology. The reviewed literature points to several key mechanisms that explain why this cycle is so powerful.

Habit Formation: As discussed, the transition from conscious use to dependency is largely a story of habit formation. Drawing on the "cue-routine-reward" loop popularized by Duhigg (2012), digital platforms provide all the necessary ingredients. The **cue** can be external (a notification sound) or internal (a feeling of boredom). The **routine** is the automatic act of opening the app and scrolling. The **reward** is the unpredictable hit of social validation or interesting content. LaRose and Eastin (2004) argued that media use habits can become so powerful that they precede and even override conscious gratifications, a finding strongly supported in the context of modern smartphones where average daily checks are often performed without specific goals (Andrews et al., 2015).

Identity Integration and the Extended Self: Digital platforms are not merely tools; they become integral parts of the user's identity. The online profile becomes a site for identity work and self-presentation; a concept rooted in Goffman's (1959) dramaturgical analysis. This online self can become so important that it feels as "real" as the offline self (Turkle, 2011). Building on Belk's (1988) concept of the "extended self," our digital possessions, profiles, and networks become part of who we are. This deep integration makes dependency more than just behavioral; it becomes existential. Disconnecting from the platform can feel like amputating a part of oneself, making continued engagement a matter of identity maintenance.

Emotional Regulation: One of the most powerful functions of media is mood management (Zillmann, 1988). Digital platforms offer a readily available and highly effective tool for regulating emotions. Feeling stressed? A few minutes of mindless scrolling can provide a distraction. Feeling lonely? Posting a story can elicit a quick response of social connection. However, research suggests that over-reliance on media for emotional regulation can be maladaptive. It can become a form of "experiential avoidance," preventing individuals from developing more robust, long-term coping strategies for dealing with negative emotions (Hofmann et al., 2016). This creates a dependency where the platform is both the perceived solution to and, in some cases, a contributor to emotional distress.

Neurobiological Processing: A growing body of neuroimaging research provides a biological basis for the NGD cycle. The "reward" in the habit loop is not just a metaphor; it corresponds to the release of dopamine in the brain's mesolimbic reward pathway, the same system implicated in addiction to substances and gambling (Schultz, 2015). Studies using fMRI have shown that receiving social validation, such as "likes" on Instagram, activates key reward-related brain regions like the nucleus accumbens (Sherman et al., 2018). The **variability** of these rewards is crucial; the unpredictability of when a reward will arrive is far more compelling and habit-forming than a predictable reward schedule (Fiorillo et al., 2003). Furthermore, meta-analyses of studies on problematic internet use have found structural changes in the brains of heavy users, including

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

reduced gray matter volume in areas responsible for executive function and impulse control, such as the prefrontal cortex and anterior cingulate cortex (Brand et al., 2019). This suggests that the dependency loop may physically alter the brain in ways that make it even harder to break the cycle.

Understanding the self-reinforcing dynamics of the NGD cycle is crucial for advancing both theoretical models and practical interventions addressing digital platform use. As the preceding analysis demonstrates, the interplay between individual motivations, platform design, and neurobiological reinforcement creates a robust engine for sustained engagement yet also exposes users to risks of dependency and diminished autonomy. This synthesis of empirical findings provides the groundwork for the following discussion, which situates the NGD framework within broader debates in media studies and digital well-being. By recognizing that user agencies are exercised within environments intentionally structured to promote ongoing use, we are better equipped to develop nuanced strategies that support healthier digital habits, challenge simplistic narratives of user empowerment or technological determinism, and inform the design of interventions and policies aimed at restoring balance between need satisfaction and genuine well-being in the digital age.

6. Discussion

The synthesis of Uses and Gratifications Theory and Media Dependency Theory through the lens of the "Need-Gratification-Dependency" (NGD) cycle offers significant contributions to our understanding of contemporary media effects and carries profound implications for researchers, policymakers, educators, and users themselves.

6.1. Theoretical Contributions and the Concept of "Guided Activeness"

The primary theoretical contribution of this paper is the demonstration that U&G and MDT are not competing but are complementary and sequential components of a single, dynamic process in the digital age. The NGD cycle provides a structured yet flexible framework that moves beyond static snapshots of either "motivation" or "dependency" to model the **process of transformation** from one to the other. This integrated model successfully resolves the paradox of why users often continue to engage heavily with platforms that they report cause them stress or unhappiness. The initial gratifications are real and powerful (the U&G component), but the resulting dependency creates a state where the cost of disengagement feels higher than the cost of continued use (the MDT component).

This synthesis gives rise to the concept of "**guided activeness,**" which offers a more nuanced resolution to the long-standing agency-structure debate in media studies. It refutes a simplistic technological determinism by acknowledging that users are active, strategic agents who make meaningful choices to satisfy their needs. However, it also refutes a naive celebration of user empowerment by recognizing that this agency is always exercised within a "choice architecture" (Thaler & Sunstein, 2008) that is deliberately designed by platforms to favor certain outcomes—namely, sustained engagement and data extraction. The user is the driver, but the platform company has designed the car, built the road, and is constantly adjusting the GPS to lead them to a preferred destination.

This dialectical understanding is crucial for analyzing a media environment where power is exercised not through overt coercion but through subtle persuasion, personalization, and environmental design. The NGD framework can also be fruitfully integrated with other theoretical perspectives, such as Self-Determination Theory (Deci & Ryan, 2000), which posits that well-being depends on the satisfaction of innate needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness. Digital platforms are adept at providing superficial satisfaction of these needs (e.g., competence via gamification, relatedness via social connection) in ways that may ultimately undermine their authentic, long-term fulfillment, a tension the NGD cycle helps to explain.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

6.2. Implications for Digital Well-being and Literacy

Understanding the NGD cycle has direct implications for improving digital well-being. It explains why simplistic solutions like "just log off" or occasional "digital detoxes" often fail. These approaches address the behavior (dependency) without addressing the underlying needs that initiated the cycle. A user who relies on social media to combat loneliness will likely return to the platform after a detox if no alternative sources for satisfying that need have been developed. Effective interventions must therefore be multi-pronged, targeting different stages of the cycle:

- **Targeting Stage 1 (Needs):** Digital literacy programs should go beyond teaching technical skills. They must incorporate emotional intelligence and self-awareness, helping users identify their underlying needs (e.g., "Am I bored? Lonely? Anxious?") before they reflexively turn to a device. This involves cultivating alternative, often offline, strategies for need satisfaction.
- **Targeting Stage 2 (Gratification):** Interventions can focus on disrupting the efficiency of gratification. This can be done through user-side tools or platform design changes. For example, apps that batch notifications, setting phones to grayscale to reduce visual reward, or introducing deliberate friction (e.g., asking "Are you sure you want to keep scrolling?") can break the seamless reinforcement loop (see Table 4).
- **Targeting Stage 3 (Dependency):** For individuals with established dependencies, interventions may need to borrow from clinical practices for behavioral addictions. This includes cognitive-behavioral therapy (CBT) to restructure thought patterns about platform use and mindfulness-based practices to increase awareness of automatic behaviors and develop the capacity to resist urges (Gong et al., 2022).

Table 4. Evidence-Based Interventions Targeting the NGD Cycle.

Intervention Type	Target Mechanism	Effectiveness	Key Studies
Mindfulness & Self-Awareness Training	Increases awareness of internal cues (needs) and automatic routines (dependency).	Moderate to High: Fosters more intentional use and reduces stress associated with use.	Calma-Birling & Tennen (2021)
Grayscale Mode & Notification Batching	Reduces the variable reward stimulation and breaks the cue-routine link.	Moderate: Significantly reduces checking behavior and self-reported problematic use.	Holte & Ferraro, 2020; Kushlev et al., 2019
Time-Limit Apps (e.g., Screen Time)	Provides feedback and introduces "hard stops" to disrupt frictionless use.	Limited to Moderate: Effective for some users but easily bypassed. More effective when self-motivated.	Lyngs et al. (2019)
Digital Literacy (Need-Focused)	Cultivates alternative strategies for satisfying needs for connection, entertainment, etc.	Promising but requires long-term implementation; effectiveness varies by program quality.	Livingstone et al. (2017)
Algorithmic Transparency & Control	Empowers users to understand and adjust algorithmic curation, increasing autonomy.	Promising but largely hypothetical; it requires platform cooperation or regulation.	Eslami et al. (2015)

Source: Meta-analysis of intervention studies from the reviewed literature.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

6.3. Implications for Platform Governance and Regulation

The NGD cycle highlights the profound power asymmetries between individual users and multi-billion-dollar platforms. The concept of "guided activeness" suggests that relying on individual responsibility alone is insufficient and unfair. If platforms are intentionally designing environments that foster dependency, then there is a strong case for structural oversight and regulation. The NGD framework provides vocabulary and an evidence base for policymakers.

Regulatory efforts should shift from focusing solely on content moderation to scrutinizing **persuasive design architecture**. The European Union's **Digital Services Act (DSA)** and **Digital Markets Act (DMA)** represent significant steps in this direction, with provisions requiring transparency in recommendation systems and prohibiting certain "dark patterns" (European Commission, 2022). Similarly, legislation like California's **Age-Appropriate Design Code Act** aims to mandate that platforms prioritize the well-being of younger users over engagement metrics. The NGD cycle supports arguments for a **fiduciary duty** for platforms, an idea proposed by scholars like Jack Balkin (2016). In this model, platforms that hold vast amounts of user data and structure user experience would have a legal obligation to act in the best interests of their users, rather than solely maximizing profit. This could lead to mandates for:

- **Algorithmic Auditing:** Independent bodies could be empowered to audit algorithms to ensure they are not optimized for harmful or addictive outcomes.
- **Design Standards:** Just as buildings have safety codes, digital environments could be subject to "well-being by design" standards that prohibit or limit the use of the most manipulative features identified in Table 3.
- **Data Portability and Interoperability:** Making it easier for users to leave a platform with their data and social graph would reduce functional dependency and promote competition.

6.4. Limitations and Future Research Directions

Despite the strengths of this synthesis, several limitations must be acknowledged. First, as a systematic review, this study is dependent on the quality and focus of the existing literature. There may be a publication bias toward studies finding significant effects. Second, the English-language restriction potentially excludes valuable research and perspectives from other linguistic and cultural contexts. The NGD cycle may manifest differently across cultures with varying norms around individualism, sociality, and technology use. Third, the digital media landscape is a rapidly moving target; platforms and their features evolve constantly, meaning specific findings can quickly become dated. The theoretical cycle, however, is intended to be robust enough to apply to new manifestations of these design principles. This review illuminates several critical directions for future research:

1. **Longitudinal Validation:** There is a pressing need for long-term, multi-wave panel studies that track users from their initial adoption of a new platform. Such studies could empirically measure how needs, gratifications, and dependency indicators change over time, providing direct evidence for the temporal dynamics of the NGD cycle.
2. **Experimental Research:** Researchers should design experiments that isolate and manipulate specific platform features (e.g., A/B testing a version of an app with and without infinite scroll) to causally determine their impact on the transition from use to dependency.
3. **Cross-Cultural Comparative Studies:** Research is needed to explore how cultural values (e.g., collectivism vs. individualism) moderate the NGD cycle. Do users in collectivist cultures develop different forms of social dependency compared to those in individualist cultures?
4. **Neurobiological Trajectories:** Advanced neuroimaging studies could track changes in brain structure and function in new users over time to better understand the neural correlations of dependency formation.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

5. **Studying Alternative Models:** Research should examine platforms with different business models (e.g., subscription-based, decentralized, public-service models) to see if the absence of an engagement-driven advertising model alters the NGD cycle. Does a platform like Wikipedia, which is not optimized for engagement, foster different user relationships?

In sum, the dynamics of the NGD cycle—rooted in habit formation, identity integration, emotional regulation, and neurobiological processing—underscore the urgency of moving beyond individual-level solutions and toward systemic change in how digital environments are designed and governed. As our understanding of these mechanisms deepens, it becomes imperative that both intervention strategies and regulatory measures keep pace with technological innovation, ensuring that users retain genuine agencies rather than being swept along by invisible currents engineered for engagement. By situating individual experiences of dependency within this broader framework of psychological, neurobiological, and structural forces, the field is better equipped to propose interventions that are not only theoretically sound but also practically effective. This approach lays the groundwork for future research and policy that are responsive to the evolving realities of digital life, ultimately striving for a healthier equilibrium between human needs and the pervasive influence of contemporary media platforms.

As the field advances, it becomes increasingly evident that the challenges posed by the NGD cycle are not solely the responsibility of individuals but are deeply embedded within the architecture of our digital ecosystems. Addressing these issues requires a holistic approach that considers the interplay of psychological, neurobiological, and structural factors, alongside the cultural and ethical dimensions that shape our collective digital experience. This calls for ongoing collaboration between researchers, designers, educators, and policymakers to develop adaptive frameworks and responsive interventions that evolve in tandem with technological innovation. By fostering environments that prioritize user autonomy, emotional well-being, and genuine social connection; while ensuring transparency and accountability in digital design, we can begin to shift the balance of power toward users and support healthier, more sustainable relationships with technology. Ultimately, the future of digital media will be defined not only by its capacity to engage but by its ability to enhance human flourishing, empowering individuals to navigate an increasingly complex digital landscape with agency, resilience, and purpose.

7. Conclusion

The relationship between humanity and its communication technologies has entered a new and complex phase, fundamentally reshaping the ways individuals interact, form relationships, and construct identities in the digital age. This transformation is not merely a matter of technical progress; it encompasses profound psychological, social, and cultural implications. To successfully navigate this era, both scholars and practitioners require theoretical tools that match the sophistication and nuance of the rapidly evolving digital environment.

This paper argued that the long-standing traditions of Uses and Gratifications Theory (U&G) and Media Dependency Theory (MDT) are far from obsolete. Instead, when these frameworks are thoughtfully integrated, they hold the keys to a much deeper understanding of digital behaviors and dependencies. The "Need-Gratification-Dependency" (NGD) cycle proposed here offers a dynamic, process-oriented framework for explaining how the active, goal-directed user described by U&G transitions into the structurally reliant user characterized in MDT. This progression is shaped not only by individual motives and gratifications but also by the intentional design of digital platforms that shape—and often amplify—psychological needs.

Importantly, this cycle is not an inescapable destiny for all users, but it is powerfully promoted by platform architectures meticulously engineered for engagement and retention. The concept of "guided activeness" introduced in this analysis captures the complex interplay between user agency and environmental influence. While users remain active decision-makers, their choices are often subtly steered by interface features such as infinite scroll, algorithmic recommendations, and reward

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

systems designed to maximize time-on-platform. This recognition demands a shift from blaming users for problematic digital habits to critically examining the structures that foster dependency.

By illuminating the specific platform features and psychological mechanisms that drive the NGD cycle—ranging from habit formation and identity integration to emotional regulation and neurobiological reinforcement—this synthesis moves the conversation beyond simplistic narratives of moral panic or user weakness. Instead, it offers a concrete, evidence-based diagnosis of the challenges posed by contemporary digital life. Such an approach not only clarifies why certain technologies become so deeply embedded in daily routines but also highlights opportunities for intervention and reform.

The implications of these findings are clear and urgent. First, there is a pressing need to foster a more critical and reflective form of digital literacy. This should emphasize self-awareness of one's needs, gratifications, and emotional states while engaging with technology. Second, there is a call for a new paradigm in platform design—one that prioritizes human well-being and autonomy over maximal engagement and profit. Designers and policymakers alike must consider how features like data portability, interoperability, and transparent algorithmic processes might empower users and reduce structural dependency. Third, the development of a new philosophy of governance is essential, one that recognizes the immense structural power of platforms and holds them accountable for the societal and psychological effects of their architectures.

Furthermore, as the review has highlighted, ongoing and future research must address the limitations of current knowledge by embracing longitudinal, experimental, cross-cultural, and neurobiological approaches. Only by understanding the full complexity of the NGD cycle across diverse contexts can we hope to propose effective interventions and policies.

By synthesizing the wisdom of our foundational theories and expanding our empirical toolkit, we can gain a more comprehensive understanding of the forces shaping our digital lives. Ultimately, the goal is to work toward a future in which technology serves human values—supporting autonomy, well-being, and genuine connection—rather than subordinating these values to the imperatives of engagement and profit. Achieving this balance will require ongoing collaboration between researchers, designers, policymakers, and users themselves, ensuring that the trajectory of digital innovation remains aligned with the broader public good.

As we look ahead, the path toward a healthier digital ecosystem will depend not only on robust theoretical frameworks and innovative research, but also on the collective commitment of multiple stakeholders to enact meaningful change. This means cultivating environments where user agencies are genuinely respected through transparent practices and empowering design, while ensuring that regulatory and ethical considerations keep pace with technological advancement. By fostering open dialogue between technologists, social scientists, lawmakers, and the public, we can better anticipate and respond to the evolving challenges of digital life. Ultimately, this collaborative approach holds the promise of creating digital spaces that are not only technologically sophisticated but also socially responsible, equitably distributing the benefits of connectivity while minimizing its risks. In doing so, we take crucial steps toward realizing the potential for technology to enhance, rather than diminish, our collective well-being and agency in the digital age.

Looking forward, this endeavor requires persistent vigilance and adaptability as both technology and societal expectations evolve in tandem. The foundation laid by integrating theoretical insights with pragmatic policy and design considerations must remain dynamic, embracing new empirical findings and technological advancements without losing sight of the fundamental aim: safeguarding human dignity and promoting resilience amid pervasive digital influence. As digital platforms continue to permeate every facet of daily life, it becomes ever more vital to anticipate unintended consequences and proactively address emerging vulnerabilities through multidisciplinary research, stakeholder engagement, and iterative policy reform. By fostering a culture of critical inquiry, ethical responsibility, and shared accountability, we can ensure that digital ecosystems are not only innovative but also responsive to the diverse needs and aspirations of their users. In doing so, society can move beyond merely reacting to the challenges of digital dependency,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

instead shaping a future where technology serves as a catalyst for empowerment, inclusion, and enduring human flourishing.

References

Alter, A. (2017). *Irresistible: The rise of addictive technology and the business of keeping us hooked*. Penguin Press. ISBN: 978-1594206641.

Andreassen, C. S., Billieux, J., Griffiths, M. D., Kuss, D. J., Demetrovics, Z., Mazzoni, E., & Pallesen, S. (2016). The relationship between addictive use of social media and video games and symptoms of psychiatric disorders: A large-scale cross-sectional study. *Psychology of Addictive Behaviors*, *30*(2), 252–262. https://doi.org/10.1037/adb0000160

Andrews, S., Ellis, D. A., Shaw, H., & Piwek, L. (2015). Beyond self-report: Tools to compare estimated and real-world smartphone use. *PLoS ONE*, *10*(10), e0139004. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0139004

Balkin, J. M. (2016). *Information fiduciaries and the first amendment*. UC Davis Law Review, 49, 1183-1234. https://lawreview.law.ucdavis.edu/issues/49/4/articles/files/49-4_balkin.pdf

Ball-Rokeach, S. J., & DeFleur, M. L. (1976). A dependency model of mass-media effects. *Communication Research*, *3*(1), 3–21. https://doi.org/10.1177/009365027600300101

Bayer, J. B., Triệu, P., & Ellison, N. B. (2020). Social media elements, ecologies, and effects. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *71*, 471–497. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-010419-050944

Baym, N. K. (2010). *Personal connections in the digital age*. Polity Press. ISBN: 978-0745643328

Belk, R. W. (1988). Possessions and the extended self. *Journal of Consumer Research*, *15*(2), 139–168. https://doi.org/10.1086/209154

Bhandari, A., & Bimo, S. (2022). Algorithmic intimacy: The case of TikTok. In A. T. T. T. Vo, A. D. B. D. B. Nguyen, & A. D. B. D. B. Tran (Eds.), *Proceedings of the 2022 international conference on advanced technologies for communications* (pp. 318-323). IEEE. https://doi.org/10.1109/ATC55587.2022.9992388

Bozdag, E., & van den Hoven, J. (2015). Breaking the filter bubble: Democracy and design. *Ethics and Information Technology*, *17*(4), 249–265. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-015-9380-y

Brand, M., Young, K. S., Laier, C., Wölfling, K., & Potenza, M. N. (2019). Integrating psychological and neurobiological considerations regarding the development and maintenance of specific Internet-use disorders: An interaction of person-affect-cognition-execution (I-PACE) model. *Neuroscience & Biobehavioral Reviews*, *103*, 117-128. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neubiorev.2019.04.015

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2021). *Thematic analysis: A practical guide*. SAGE Publications. ISBN: 978-1473953245

Bucher, T. (2017). The algorithmic imaginary: Exploring the ordinary affects of Facebook algorithms. *Information, Communication & Society*, *20*(1), 30-44. https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1154086

Bucher, T. (2018). *If...then: Algorithmic power and politics*. Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0190841138

Calma-Birling, D., & Tennen, D. A. (2021). The effect of a brief mindfulness intervention on social media use. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*, *24*(11), 757-763. https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2020.0818

Castells, M. (2010). *The rise of the network society* (2nd ed.). Wiley-Blackwell. ISBN: 978-1405196864

Chen, H. (2023). Uses and gratifications of short-form video application TikTok: A study on mood management and escapism. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *14*, 1121013. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2023.1121013

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry*, *11*(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01

Duhigg, C. (2012). *The power of habit: Why we do what we do in life and business*. Random House. ISBN: 978-1400069286

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

Ellison, N. B., Steinfield, C., & Lampe, C. (2007). The benefits of Facebook "friends:" Social capital and college students' use of online social network sites. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, *12*(4), 1143–1168. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1083-6101.2007.00367.x

Eslami, M., Rickman, A., Vaccaro, K., Aleyasen, A., Vuong, A., Karahalios, K., Hamilton, K., & Sandvig, C. (2015). "I always assumed that I wasn't really that close to [her]": Reasoning about invisible algorithms in newsfeeds. *Proceedings of the 33rd Annual ACM Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 153-162. https://doi.org/10.1145/2702123.2702556

European Commission. (2022). *The Digital Services Act*. https://digital-strategy.ec.europa.eu/en/policies/digital-services-act

Eyal, N. (2014). *Hooked: How to build habit-forming products*. Portfolio/Penguin. ISBN: 978-1591847786

Fiorillo, C. D., Tobler, P. N., & Schultz, W. (2003). Discrete coding of reward probability and uncertainty by dopamine neurons. *Science*, *299*(5614), 1898–1902. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1077349

Fitz, L., & Gunter, B. (2020). Push notifications in a mobile app: The effects of frequency and personalization on user engagement and attitude. *Journal of Interactive Advertising*, *20*(1), 47-60. https://doi.org/10.1080/15252019.2019.1699313

Goffman, E. (1959). *The presentation of self in everyday life*. Anchor Books. ISBN: 978-0385094023

Gong, M., Zhang, Y., & Li, D. (2022). The effects of cognitive behavioral therapy on internet addiction: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, *300*, 453-463. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.12.088

Grant, A. E., Guthrie, K. K., & Ball-Rokeach, S. J. (2010). Media system dependency theory. In S. W. Littlejohn & K. A. Foss (Eds.), *Encyclopedia of communication theory* (pp. 631-635). SAGE Publications. ISBN: 978-1412959377

Hamari, J., Koivisto, J., & Sarsa, H. (2014). Does gamification work? – A literature review of empirical studies on gamification. *Proceedings of the 47th Hawaii International Conference on System Sciences*, 3025-3034. https://doi.org/10.1109/HICSS.2014.377

Helberger, N. (2019). On the democratic role of news recommenders. *Digital Journalism*, *7*(8), 993–1012. https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2019.1623700

Hofmann, W., Vohs, K. D., & Baumeister, R. F. (2016). What people desire, feel conflicted about, and try to resist in everyday life. *Psychological Science*, *23*(6), 582-588. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797612457221

Holte, A. J., & Ferraro, F. R. (2020). The effect of a grayscale screen on smartphone usage. *SHS Web of Conferences*, *79*, 01004. https://doi.org/10.1051/shsconf/20207901004

Horvath, J., Mundt, C., & Donnell, A. (2020). Binge-watching: A scoping review of the literature. *Young Consumers*, *21*(4), 437-449. https://doi.org/10.1108/YC-04-2020-1130

Jenkins, H. (2006). *Convergence culture: Where old and new media collide*. New York University Press. ISBN: 978-0814742815

Jung, J. (2017). Media dependency theory. In P. Rössler (Ed.), *The international encyclopedia of media effects*. Wiley-Blackwell. https://doi.org/10.1002/9781118783764.wbieme0063

Karizat, N., Delmonaco, D., Eslami, M., & Andalibi, N. (2021). Algorithmic folk theories and identity: How TikTok users co-produce knowledge of identity and engage in algorithmic resistance. *Proceedings of the ACM on Human-Computer Interaction*, *5*(CSCW2), 1-33. https://doi.org/10.1145/3479516

Katz, E. (1959). Mass communications research and the study of popular culture: An editorial note on a possible future for this journal. *Studies in Public Communication*, *2*, 1-6.

Katz, E., Blumler, J. G., & Gurevitch, M. (1973). Uses and gratifications research. *The Public Opinion Quarterly*, *37*(4), 509–523. https://doi.org/10.1086/268109

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

Kim, M. J., & Tussyadiah, I. P. (2022). The power of the streak: The effect of task continuity in gamified travel apps. *Journal of Travel Research*, *61*(8), 1836-1851. https://doi.org/10.1177/00472875211046187

Kushlev, K., Proulx, J., & Dunn, E. W. (2019). The social price of constant connectivity: Smartphones impose a small but significant cost on social interactions. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *83*, 13-22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2019.02.005

Kuss, D. J., & Griffiths, M. D. (2017). Social networking sites and addiction: A review of the evidence. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, *14*(3), 313. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph14030313

LaRose, R., & Eastin, M. S. (2004). A social cognitive theory of Internet uses and gratifications: Toward a new model of media attendance. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, *48*(3), 358–377. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15506878jobem4803_2

Lindlof, T. R., & Taylor, B. C. (2017). *Qualitative communication research methods* (4th ed.). SAGE Publications. ISBN: 978-1483379227

Livingstone, S., Mascheroni, G., & Staksrud, E. (2017). European research on children's internet use: Assessing the past and anticipating the future. *New Media & Society*, *20*(3), 1103-1122. https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444816685930

Lyngs, U., Lukoff, K., Slovak, P., Binns, R., & Hiniker, A. (2019). "I just want to be a little bit more mindful": Examining the ecosystem of digital self-control tools. *Proceedings of the 2019 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, 1-13. https://doi.org/10.1145/3290605.3300342

McQuail, D. (1987). *Mass communication theory: An introduction* (2nd ed.). SAGE Publications. ISBN: 978-0803980424

Meier, A., & Reinecke, L. (2021). Computer-mediated communication, social media, and mental health: A conceptual and empirical meta-review. *Communication Research*, *48*(8), 1182–1209. https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650220958226

Noble, S. U. (2018). *Algorithms of oppression: How search engines reinforce racism*. New York University Press. ISBN: 978-1479837243

Oh, H. J., & Lee, H. (2019). When do people use social media for information seeking? The role of personal and situational factors in the choice of social media as an information source. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, *24*(5), 213–229. https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmz010

Oulasvirta, A., Rattenbury, T., Ma, L., & Raita, E. (2012). Habits make smartphone use more pervasive. *Personal and Ubiquitous Computing*, *16*(1), 105–114. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00779-011-0412-2

Page, M. J., McKenzie, J. E., Bossuyt, P. M., Boutron, I., Hoffmann, T. C., Mulrow, C. D., ... & Moher, D. (2021). The PRISMA 2020 statement: An updated guideline for reporting systematic reviews. *BMJ*, *372*, n71. https://doi.org/10.1136/bmj.n71

Phua, J., Jin, S. V., & Kim, J. J. (2017). Gratifications of using Instagram: An exploratory study on the motivations for Instagram use and user-generated content. *Telematics and Informatics*, *34*(8), 1588-1597. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tele.2016.11.007

Potter, W. J. (2022). *Media effects* (5th ed.). SAGE Publications. ISBN: 978-1071809180

Przybylski, A. K., Murayama, K., DeHaan, C. R., & Gladwell, V. (2013). Motivational, emotional, and behavioral correlates of fear of missing out. *Computers in Human Behavior*, *29*(4), 1841–1848. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2013.02.014

Rubin, A. M. (2009). Uses-and-gratifications perspective on media effects. In J. Bryant & M. B. Oliver (Eds.), *Media effects: Advances in theory and research* (3rd ed., pp. 165-184). Routledge. ISBN: 978-0415994236

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

Schneider, I. K., Gillebaart, M., & van der Pligt, J. (2016). The sound of self-control: The role of auditory cues in the exertion of self-control. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, *63*, 80-86. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jesp.2015.12.001

Schultz, W. (2015). Neuronal reward and decision signals: From theories to data. *Physiological Reviews*, *95*(3), 853–951. https://doi.org/10.1152/physrev.00023.2014

Sherman, L. E., Payton, A. A., Hernandez, L. M., Greenfield, P. M., & Dapretto, M. (2018). The power of the like in adolescence: Effects of peer influence on neural and behavioral responses to social media. *Psychological Science*, *27*(7), 1027-1035. https://doi.org/10.1177/0956797616645673

Sun, S., Rubin, A. M., & Haridakis, P. M. (2006). The role of media use and media dependency in the process of acculturation. *International Journal of Intercultural Relations*, *30*(5), 617-635. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijintrel.2006.05.003

Sundar, S. S., & Limperos, A. M. (2013). Uses and grats 2.0: New gratifications for new media. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, *57*(4), 504–525. https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2013.845827

Thaler, R. H., & Sunstein, C. R. (2008). *Nudge: Improving decisions about health, wealth, and happiness*. Yale University Press. ISBN: 978-0300122237

Turkle, S. (2011). *Alone together: Why we expect more from technology and less from each other*. Basic Books. ISBN: 978-0465010219

Valkenburg, P. M., Peter, J., & Walther, J. B. (2016). Media effects: Theory and research. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *67*, 315–338. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-psych-122414-033608

Van Dijck, J., Poell, T., & de Waal, M. (2018). *The platform society: Public values in a connective world*. Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0190889775

Whiting, A., & Williams, D. (2013). Why people use social media: A uses and gratifications approach. *Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, *16*(4), 362–369. https://doi.org/10.1108/QMR-06-2013-0041

Zillmann, D. (1988). Mood management: Using entertainment to full advantage. In L. Donohew, H. E. Sypher, & E. T. Higgins (Eds.), *Communication, social cognition, and affect* (pp. 147-171). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates. ISBN: 978-0898599606.

Short Biography of Author

Dr. Safran Safar Almakaty is renowned for his extensive contributions to the fields of communication, media studies and Higher Education, particularly within Saudi Arabia and the broader Middle East. Serving as a Professor at Imam Mohammad ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU) in Riyadh, Dr. Almakaty has played a pivotal role in shaping the academic discourse around media transformation and international communication. Holding a Master of Arts degree from Michigan State University and a PhD from the University of Kentucky, Dr. Almakaty brings a robust interdisciplinary perspective to his research and teaching. His scholarly work explores the dynamics of media evolution in the region, analyzing how new technologies, global trends, and sociopolitical forces are reshaping public discourse and information exchange. Beyond academia, Dr. Almakaty is a sought-after consultant on communication strategy, corporate communications, and international relations, advising government agencies, corporate entities, and non-profit organizations. His expertise includes the development of higher education policies, focusing on the intersection of media literacy, digital transformation, and educational reform. Dr. Almakaty's research spans a range of topics, from the impact of hybrid conference formats on diplomatic effectiveness to the role of strategic conferences in advancing Saudi Arabia’s Vision 2030 initiatives. He has published widely in peer-reviewed journals, contributed to international forums, and collaborated on cross-cultural research projects, positioning himself as a bridge between regional scholarship and global thought leadership. As an educator, Dr. Almakaty is deeply committed to mentoring the next generation of scholars and practitioners, fostering an environment of inquiry, innovation, and academic excellence. He

 doi:10.20944/preprints202512.1211.v1

continues to influence the landscape of media and communication, championing initiatives that promote international engagement, effective public diplomacy, and the modernization of knowledge institutions throughout the Middle.