

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Accuracy of an Artificial Intelligence Model to Predict Dementia Development with Additional Dental Checkup Data: A Retrospective Cohort Study

---

[Komei Iwai](#) , [Tetsuji Azuma](#) , Takatoshi Yonenaga , Yasuyuki Sasai , Koichiro Tabata , Iwane Sugiura , Seiji Nakashima , Yoshikazu Nagase , [Takaaki Tomofuji](#) \*

Posted Date: 8 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.0725.v1

Keywords: artificial intelligence; dementia; dental checkups; disease prediction model



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# Accuracy of an Artificial Intelligence Model to Predict Dementia Development with Additional Dental Checkup Data: A Retrospective Cohort Study

Komei Iwai <sup>1</sup>, Tetsuji Azuma <sup>1</sup>, Takatoshi Yonenaga <sup>1</sup>, Yasuyuki Sasai <sup>1</sup>, Koichiro Tabata <sup>1</sup>, Iwane Sugiura <sup>2</sup>, Seiji Nakashima <sup>2</sup>, Yoshikazu Nagase <sup>2</sup> and Takaaki Tomofuji <sup>1,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Community Oral Health, School of Dentistry, Asahi University, 1-1851 Hozumi, Mizuho, Gifu 501-0296, Japan

<sup>2</sup> Gifu Dental Association, 1-18 minamidori, Kano-cho, Gifu, Gifu 500-8486, Japan

\* Correspondence: tomofu@dent.asahi-u.ac.jp; Tel: +81-58-329-1496

## Abstract

**Background:** This retrospective cohort study created an artificial intelligence (AI) model to predict dementia development and compared the prediction accuracy of the training data. The study participants were 7,384 older adults (age  $\geq 75$  years) who underwent regional dental checkups in Gifu Prefecture, Japan, in 2018 and 2020. **Methods:** The National Database of Health Insurance of Japan (NDB) was integrated with dental checkup data, and the participants were randomly divided into two datasets: training ( $n = 5,169$ ) and validation ( $n = 2,215$ ). A data analytics tool was utilized to create the AI model with training data in 2018 and data on the presence or absence of dementia development in 2020. **Results:** The AI model trained solely on NDB data showed sensitivity of 0.73 and specificity of 0.91 in predicting the presence or absence of dementia development after 2 years. By contrast, the AI model trained on NDB and dental checkup data showed sensitivity of 0.75 and specificity of 0.95, indicating improvement in both metrics. **Conclusions:** Combining different sets of data, such as NDB and dental checkup data, for training may be useful for improving the accuracy of AI models to predict dementia development.

**Keywords:** artificial intelligence; dementia; dental checkups; disease prediction model

## 1. Introduction

Dementia is a condition characterized by irreversible decline in intellectual and cognitive abilities resulting from acquired organic brain damage [1]. In the early stages, it is often confused with age-related forgetfulness, but memory impairment, disorientation, and cognitive dysfunction become more pronounced as symptoms progress [2,3]. In Japan, approximately 20% of older adults (age  $\geq 75$  years) had dementia in 2020, with the proportion increasing annually, making it a major aging-associated physical and mental disorder [4]. Dementia progresses irreversibly [5], and a fundamental cure has yet to be developed [6]. Therefore, countermeasures should focus on predicting when dementia will develop and rapidly seeking preventive strategies rather than interventions after development.

In recent years, various artificial intelligence (AI) models have been developed with the aim of predicting disease development [7,8]. In the field of dementia, techniques that analyze patients' speech patterns to diagnose early symptoms of dementia have been reported [9,10], as have practical applications of AI models that diagnose dementia from imaging modalities such as magnetic resonance imaging, computed tomography, and positron emission tomography [11]. However, these models focus on how early a diagnosis can be made for patients who have already developed dementia; how useful AI models can be for preventive measures before dementia develops remains unclear.

Previous reports have indicated that dementia is associated with various diseases such as hypertension, diabetes, and hyperlipidemia [12–14], as well as oral health conditions such as tooth loss and periodontal disease [15–17]. Therefore, an individual's medical and oral health conditions may be useful for predicting future dementia development.

Against this background, Sony Network Communications (Tokyo, Japan) released Prediction One®, a software program that automatically creates AI models using existing data [18]. In Japan, the National Health Insurance Database (NDB) provides information on people with dementia or other medical conditions. In addition, regional dental checkups (GIFU SAWAYAKA Oral Checkups) are conducted once a year for older adults in Gifu Prefecture, with the results also stored in a database. Because existing data such as those from the NDB and dental checkups can be utilized, an AI model using Prediction One® may be able to predict dementia development. Therefore, in the present study, we developed and evaluated the accuracy of an AI model using Prediction One® to predict dementia development after 2 years based on NDB or dental checkup data in Japanese older adults who had undergone regional dental checkups in Gifu.

## 2. Materials and Methods

This retrospective cohort study targeted older adults residing in Gifu Prefecture. Using NDB and dental checkup data acquired in fiscal year (FY) 2018 (April 2018 to March 2019) as the baseline, we tracked the occurrence of dementia through FY 2020 (April 2020 to March 2021) to construct and validate an AI prediction model.

### 2.1. Participants

A total of 7,834 Japanese older participants met the following inclusion criteria: 1) older adult (aged  $\geq 75$  years) who participated in the GIFU SAWAYAKA Oral Checkups program in FY 2018, 2) individuals for whom NDB data from FY 2018 were obtainable, and 3) individuals for whom dementia onset could be tracked in the NDB in FY 2020. Among these individuals, 450 already diagnosed with dementia by FY 2018 were excluded. As a result, 7,384 participants (3,078 male, 4,306 female; average age, 80.0 years) were included in the analysis. This study employed a census survey method, registering all eligible individuals in the target area, and no prior sample size calculation was performed. In addition, follow-up was possible for all subjects using the 2020 NDB data; therefore, no subjects were lost to follow-up.

### 2.2. Development of the AI Model

The primary outcome measure in the present study was whether participants received a new diagnosis of dementia between FY 2018 and FY 2020. Dementia diagnoses were extracted from medical facility visit data recorded in the NDB and defined based on the presence or absence of corresponding codes for dementia from the tenth revision of the International Classification of Diseases. Next, NDB and dental checkup data were integrated and individual data were randomly assigned to a training dataset ( $n = 5,169$ ) and a validation dataset ( $n = 2,215$ ) using the systematic sampling method. An AI model was created from the training group using Prediction One® (Sony) based on 2018 NDB data and 2018 dental checkup data, in addition to data from participants newly diagnosed with dementia before 2020.

### 2.3. Survey Items in the NDB

We obtained information on gender, age, hypertension, diabetes, dyslipidemia, musculoskeletal disease, pneumonia, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD), support/care-need certification, and medication history from the NDB [19,20].

### 2.4. Assessment of Body Composition

A nurse measured the participants' height and weight. Body mass index (BMI) was calculated by dividing weight in kilograms by height in meters squared ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) [21].

### 2.5. Assessment of Serum Hemoglobin A1c (HbA1c) Levels

Serum HbA1c in venous blood collected after an overnight fast was measured using high-performance liquid chromatography [22].

#### *2.6. Smoking Habit, Exercise Habit, Weight Loss, Going Out, and Oral Items*

Data on smoking habits, exercise habits, weight loss, going out habits, and oral items were obtained as follows: presence or absence of regular dental checkups, brushing frequency  $\geq$  twice/day, presence or absence of using of interdental brushes/dental floss, presence or absence of difficulty in biting hard food, presence or absence of choking on tea and water, presence or absence of dry mouth, presence or absence of  $\geq 20$  teeth, presence or absence of decayed teeth, presence or absence of periodontal pocket depth  $\geq 4$  mm, presence or absence of ill-fitting dentures, presence or absence of tongue coating, presence or absence of halitosis, poor or good tongue and lip function, poor or good swallowing function, and poor or good oral hygiene. The oral items were provided by Gifu Dental Association, Japan.

Presence of smoking habits was defined as smoking at least one cigarette per day [23]. Presence of exercise habits was defined as engaging in light exercise ( $\geq 30$  minutes) more than twice/week for  $\geq 1$  year [24]. Presence of weight loss was defined as having lost  $\geq 2-3$  kg/6 months [25]. Presence of going out was defined as going out at least once a week [24,25]. Presence of regular dental checkups was defined as having visited a dental clinic at least once/6 months [26]. The participants were asked to select “yes” or “no” for the following items on a self-administered questionnaire: “Difficulty in biting hard food”, “Choking on tea and water”, and “Dry mouth” [27]. Number of present teeth, decayed teeth, periodontal pocket depth, ill-fitting dentures, tongue coating, halitosis, tongue and lip function, swallowing function, and oral hygiene were documented by dentists. For the assessment of a periodontal pocket  $\geq 4$  mm, the coded values of the Community Periodontal Index were used, with periodontal pocket codes 1 and 2 evaluated as a periodontal pocket depth  $\geq 4$  mm [28]. Participants were classified in regard to fitting dentures (good, poor, fracture), tongue coating (minimal, moderate, heavy), and halitosis (minimal, moderate, heavy). Participants indicating poor or fracture were classified as having ill-fitting dentures, those indicating moderate or heavy were classified as presence of tongue coating, and those indicating moderate or heavy were classified as presence of halitosis. Tongue and lip function were assessed using the oral diadochokinesis test, with poor tongue and lip function defined as producing fewer than 30 syllables (“pa,” “ta,” or “ka”) within 5 seconds [29]. Swallowing function was assessed using the repeated saliva swallowing test, with poor swallowing function defined as swallowing fewer than three times within 30 seconds [30]. Participants were also classified in regard to dental plaque (minimal, moderate, heavy). Those indicating moderate or heavy were classified as having poor oral hygiene [31].

#### *2.7. Prediction Accuracy of the AI Model and Magnitude of Each Factor’s Contribution to the Prediction of Dementia Development*

When creating an AI model to predict dementia development, Prediction One® automatically calculates the prediction accuracy and magnitude of each factor’s contribution to the prediction of dementia development. Prediction accuracy was determined by creating an AI model twice using the training dataset and measuring the degree of agreement between the two AI models [18]. The magnitude of each factor’s contribution to the prediction of dementia development was evaluated by how much the accuracy of the AI model decreased when that factor was removed [18,32]. Thus, the greater the decrease in accuracy, the greater that factor’s contribution to dementia development.

#### *2.8. Evaluation of AI Model Accuracy Using Validation Data*

We used the AI model to predict dementia development after 2 years based on verification data from 2018 and evaluated its relationship with actual measurements. Specifically, we calculated the sensitivity (number of individuals predicted to develop dementia by the AI model / number of individuals who actually developed dementia) and specificity (number of individuals predicted not to develop dementia by the AI model / number of individuals who actually did not develop dementia) regarding dementia development after 2 years.

## 2.9. Statistical Analysis

Data normality was assessed using the Lilliefors test. Because all continuous variables were not normally distributed, the data were expressed as the median (first and third quartiles). We divided the participants into two data groups: training and validation. For the between-group comparisons of each factor, we used the chi-square test and the Mann–Whitney *U* test. All data were analyzed using SPSS Statistics (Version 27; IBM Japan, Tokyo, Japan). P-values <0.05 were considered to indicate statistical significance.

## 2.10. Research Ethics

This study was approved by the Ethics Committee of Asahi University (No. 33006) and conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki (as revised in Brazil 2013). As the data from the NDB and dental checkups were anonymous, informed consent was not considered necessary.

## 3. Results

Table 1 shows the characteristics of the participants in the training and validation data groups. No significant differences in any of the factors set in our study were observed between the two groups.

**Table 1.** Characteristics of the participants in the training and validation data groups.

Factor	Training data (n = 5,169)	validation data (n = 2,215)	p-value
Gender <sup>a</sup>	2,160 (42%)	918 (41%)	0.784
Age (years)	79 (77, 83)	79 (77, 83)	0.146
BMI (kg/m <sup>2</sup> )	22.2 (20.2, 24.3)	22.5 (20.4, 24.0)	0.953
HbA1c level (%)	5.7 (5.5, 6.0)	5.7 (5.4, 6.0)	0.923
Smoking habits <sup>b</sup>	94 (2%)	34 (2%)	0.392
Exercise habits <sup>b</sup>	1,168 (23%)	541 (24%)	0.392
Weight loss (≥ 2-3kg/6 month)	719 (14%)	305 (14%)	0.873
Going out habits (≥ once/week)	4,862 (94%)	2,078 (94%)	0.684
Hypertension <sup>b</sup>	3,491 (68%)	1,468 (66%)	0.290
Diabetes <sup>b</sup>	2,125 (41%)	914 (41%)	0.902
Dyslipidemia <sup>b</sup>	2,859 (55%)	1,234 (56%)	0.751
Musculoskeletal disease <sup>b</sup>	3,942 (76%)	1,735 (78%)	0.054
Pneumonia <sup>b</sup>	742 (14%)	314 (14%)	0.841
COPD <sup>b</sup>	395 (8%)	194 (9%)	0.105
Support/care-need certification <sup>b</sup>	1,165 (23%)	477 (22%)	0.342
Medication history <sup>b</sup>	4,063 (78%)	1,713 (77%)	0.544
Regular dental checkups <sup>b</sup>	3,612 (70%)	1,559 (70%)	0.664
Brushing frequency (times/day)			
-1	1,004 (19%)	402 (18%)	0.201
2-	4,165 (81%)	1,813 (82%)	
Use of interdental brushes/dental floss <sup>b</sup>	2,942 (57%)	1,247 (56%)	0.623
Difficulty in biting hard food <sup>b</sup>	1,289 (25%)	527 (24%)	0.295
Choking on tea and water <sup>b</sup>	1,047 (20%)	452 (20%)	0.883
Dry mouth <sup>b</sup>	1,481 (29%)	649 (29%)	0.573
Number of present teeth (tooth)			
-19	1,795 (35%)	723 (33%)	0.083
20-	3,374 (65%)	1,492 (67%)	
Decayed teeth <sup>b</sup>	1,381 (27%)	580 (26%)	0.635
Periodontal pockets (mm)			
-3	1,673 (32%)	759 (34%)	0.111

4-	3,496 (68%)	1,456 (66%)	
Ill-fitting denture <sup>b</sup>	1,900 (47%)	831 (48%)	0.603
Tongue coating <sup>b</sup>	1,216 (24%)	515 (23%)	0.927
Halitosis <sup>b</sup>	798 (15%)	326 (15%)	0.265
Tongue and lip function <sup>c</sup>	1,649 (32%)	661 (30%)	0.080
Swallowing function <sup>c</sup>	692 (13%)	284 (13%)	0.511
Oral hygiene <sup>c</sup>	2,715 (52%)	1,142 (51%)	0.697

Abbreviations: BMI, body mass index; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

\*  $p < 0.05$ , using Fishers exact test or Mann-Whitney  $U$  test.

<sup>a</sup> Male (proportion of male); <sup>b</sup> Presence (proportion of presence); <sup>c</sup> Poor (proportion of poor).

Tables 2 and 3 show the relationships between the prediction and actual occurrence of dementia development in the AI model. In total, 141 participants (6%) were newly diagnosed with dementia after 2 years.

In Table 2, we used an AI model trained solely on data from the NDB. This AI model predicted that 73.0% of the participants with the presence of actual occurrence of dementia development after 2 years would develop dementia (sensitivity, 0.730; 103/141). Furthermore, it predicted that 91.4% of the participants with the absence of actual occurrence of dementia development after 2 years would not develop dementia (specificity, 0.914; 1896/2074). In addition, Prediction One® calculated the prediction accuracy of the AI model to be 77.3%.

In Table 3, we used an AI model trained using NDB and dental checkup data. This AI model predicted that 74.5% of the participants with the presence of actual occurrence of dementia development after 2 years would develop dementia (sensitivity, 0.745; 105/141). Furthermore, it predicted that 94.9% of the participants with the absence of actual occurrence of dementia development after 2 years would not develop dementia (specificity, 0.949; 1968/2074). In addition, Prediction One® calculated the prediction accuracy of this AI model to be 79.0%.

**Table 2.** Relationship between the prediction of the presence or absence of dementia development in AI models trained solely on NDB data and the actual occurrence of the presence or absence of dementia development (n = 2,215).

Prediction of dementia development		Actual occurrence of dementia development		<i>p</i> -value*
		Absence	Presence	
Absence	Absence	1,896	38	<
	Presence	178	103	

Abbreviations: AI, artificial intelligence; NDB, the National Database of Health Insurance of Japan. \*  $p < 0.05$ , using Fishers exact test. Sensitivity, 0.730; Specificity, 0.914. Prediction accuracy level, 77.3% (Calculated based on Prediction One®).

**Table 3.** Relationship between the prediction of the presence or absence of dementia development in the AI model trained using NDB and dental checkup data, and the actual occurrence of the presence or absence of dementia development (n = 2,215).

Prediction of dementia development		Actual occurrence of dementia development		<i>p</i> -value*
		Absence	Presence	
Absence	Absence	1,968	36	<
	Presence	106	105	

Abbreviations: AI, artificial intelligence; NDB, the National Database of Health Insurance of Japan.

\*  $p < 0.05$ , using Fishers exact test.

Sensitivity, 0.745; Specificity, 0.949.

Prediction accuracy level, 79.0% (Calculated based on Prediction One®).

Tables 4 and 5 show the top 10 factors among those learned that contributed to the AI model's prediction of dementia development after 2 years. In the AI model trained solely on NDB data, the factors contributing to the prediction of dementia development after 2 years, listed in order of decreasing contribution, were as follows: support/care-need certification (support-need certification  $\geq 2$ ), HbA1c level (%) ( $\geq 8.0$ ), musculoskeletal disease (presence), pneumonia (presence), hypertension (presence), BMI ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) ( $\leq 20.0$ ), age (years) ( $\geq 86$ ), COPD (presence), gender (female), and diabetes (presence) (Table 4). In the AI model trained using NDB and dental checkup data, the factors contributing to the prediction of dementia development after 2 years, listed in order of decreasing contribution, were as follows: support/care-need certification (support-need certification  $\geq 2$ ), pneumonia (presence), use of interdental brushes/dental floss (absence), HbA1c level (%) ( $\geq 8.0$ ), regular dental checkups (absence), swallowing function (poor), choking on tea and water (presence), musculoskeletal diseases (presence), gender (female), and age (years) ( $\geq 86$ ) (Table 5).

**Table 4.** Top 10 factors contributing to the prediction of dementia development in the AI model trained solely on NDB data.

Factor	Specific characteristics
Support/care-need certification	Support-need certification 2-
HbA1c level (%)	8.0-
Musculoskeletal diseases	Presence
Pneumonia	Presence
Hypertension	Presence
BMI ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ )	-20.0
Age (years)	86-
COPD	Presence
Gender	Female
Diabetes	Presence

Abbreviations: AI, artificial intelligence; NDB, the National Database of Health Insurance of Japan; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c; BMI, body mass index; COPD: chronic obstructive pulmonary disease.

**Table 5.** Top 10 factors contributing to the prediction of dementia development in the AI model trained solely using NDB and dental checkup data.

Factor	Specific characteristics
Support/care-need certification	Support-need certification 2-
Pneumonia	Presence
Use of interdental brushes/dental floss	Absence
HbA1c level (%)	8.0-
Regular dental checkups	Absence
Swallowing function	Poor
Choking on tea and water	Presence
Musculoskeletal diseases	Presence
Gender	Female
Age (years)	86-

Abbreviations: AI, artificial intelligence; NDB, the National Database of Health Insurance of Japan; HbA1c, hemoglobin A1c.

#### 4. Discussion

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to evaluate the accuracy of an AI model to predict dementia development using dental checkup data in Japanese older adults aged  $\geq 75$  years. The results indicated that the prediction accuracy automatically calculated by Prediction One® showed a value of 77.3% when trained solely using NDB data. Furthermore, when trained using NDB

and dental checkup data, it showed a value of 79.0%, indicating improved prediction accuracy. Sony Network Communications, the distributor of Prediction One®, provides the following prediction accuracy level benchmarks for AI model:  $a < 63\%$ : Low accuracy, " $63\% \leq a < 74\%$ : Standard accuracy," and " $74\% \leq a$ : High accuracy" [33]. This indicates that the AI model developed in the present study has high prediction accuracy. Furthermore, combining NDB and dental checkup data for training suggests that even more accurate AI models can be created.

In this study, 70% of the total data was allocated as training data. In a previous study that created an AI model to predict subarachnoid hemorrhage outcomes using Prediction One®, 67% of the total data was allocated as training data [18]. Furthermore, a study that created an AI model to predict blood urea nitrogen levels after dialysis using Shapley Additive Explanations utilized 70% of the total data for training data [34]. Thus, the allocation of training and validation data in our study was performed in accordance with previous studies.

In the present study, the AI model trained solely on NDB predicted participants with actual dementia development after 2 years with a sensitivity of 73.0%, and participants without actual dementia development after 2 years with a specificity of 91.4%. Furthermore, the AI model trained using NDB and dental checkup data predicted participants with actual dementia development after 2 years with a sensitivity of 74.5%, and participants without actual dementia development after 2 years with a specificity of 94.9%. In other words, when creating an AI model, incorporating dental information in addition to NDB data increased both the sensitivity and specificity of the model. These findings suggest that incorporating dental information in AI models is effective for predicting dementia development more accurately. On the other hand, while incorporating dental checkup data into the NDB training dataset improved the accuracy of the AI model, the sensitivity remained  $< 80\%$ . This suggests that while our AI model is suitable for exclusionary diagnosis, its utility for definitive diagnosis is still limited, and further refinement is needed. Prediction One® can create more accurate AI models by training based on a larger number of participants and disease-related factors [34,35]. It has been reported that dementia development is associated with factors beyond those discussed in the present study, including hyperthyroidism [36], mental disorders [36], alcohol dependence status [37], and socioeconomic indicators such as income and education levels [38]. Moving forward, we aim to create a more accurate AI model by incorporating these factors into a training dataset.

In the AI model developed in the present study, when trained solely on NDB data as the factor contributing to predicting dementia development, the order of contribution magnitude was as follows: support/care-need certification (support-need certification  $\geq 2$ ), HbA1c level (%) ( $\geq 8.0$ ), musculoskeletal disease (presence), pneumonia (presence), hypertension (presence), BMI ( $\text{kg}/\text{m}^2$ ) ( $\leq 20.0$ ), age (years) ( $\geq 86$ ), COPD (presence), gender (female), and diabetes (presence). Furthermore, when trained on both NDB and dental checkup data, dental factors such as the use of an interdental brush/dental floss (absence), regular dental checkups (absence), swallowing function (poor), and choking on tea and water (presence) were among the top 10 contributing factors. Previous studies have reported that higher rates of dental floss use and dental visits are associated with a lower risk of developing Alzheimer's disease [39]. The risk of dementia development has also been associated with poor swallowing function [40] and choking [41]. However, because the present analysis is based on a prediction model rather than a causal inference framework, these factors should be interpreted as important predictors or correlates of dementia development rather than as definitive causal determinants.

In Japan, as of 2022, the proportion of older adults with dementia is 23.6% among those aged 75–79 years, 39.2% among those aged 80–85 years, and 60.3% among those aged 86–90 years [42]. Furthermore, according to the Annual Report on the Ageing Society in 2024, the number (proportion) of older adults with dementia in Japan is expected to increase to approximately 10.35 million (28.3%) in 2025, 11.16 million (30.2%) in 2030, and 11.73 million (31.1%) in 2035 [43]. This indicates that the incidence of dementia is expected to continue rising across all age groups of older adults aged  $\geq 75$  years. Conducting "future dementia development predictions" for participants who undergo regular dental checkups and raising awareness could be effective in helping to prevent the increase in dementia development among older adults. Furthermore, based on the results of the present study, using an AI model as a tool for predicting future dementia development is considered effective.

This study has several limitations. First, because this study used NDB data, the presence of diseases not included in the database is unknown. Second, while Prediction One® calculates the magnitude of each factor's contribution, it does not establish a benchmark for this magnitude. Therefore, the validity of the magnitude of the contribution of each factor needs to be verified in the future. Third, our study was conducted in a single prefecture and included only individuals who participated in a regional dental checkup program, which may introduce selection bias and limit the generalizability of our findings to other regions or countries. Fourth, we did not perform external validation using an independent cohort, so additional studies are needed to confirm the robustness and transportability of our AI model. Finally, as we did not report confidence intervals for sensitivity, specificity, or accuracy measures, future work should quantify the statistical uncertainty around these estimates. On the other hand, a major strength of the present study is the sample size of over 7,300 Japanese older adults aged  $\geq 75$  years. This sample size is sufficient to demonstrate the creation of an AI model for predicting future dementia development and to evaluate its accuracy, and may also help in creating an accurate AI model for predicting dementia development by inferring associated factors.

## 5. Conclusions

The results of the present study indicated that our AI model created using a combination of NDB and dental checkup data modestly improved the accuracy of dementia development prediction compared with models trained on NDB data alone. Several dental-related variables were identified as important predictors statistically associated with future dementia development in our AI model, suggesting that obtaining dental information through medical–dental collaboration may be useful for creating AI models for the prediction of disease development. These findings support the potential role of integrating routinely collected medical and dental data into AI-based risk stratification tools for older adults. However, further refinement, external validation, and causal investigations are needed before their clinical implementation.

**Author Contributions:** Methodology, K.I., T.A., and T.T.; validation, K.I. and T.T.; formal analysis, K.I., T.A., and T.T.; investigation, T.A., T.Y., Y.S., K.T., I.S., S.N., and Y.N.; writing-original draft preparation, K.I.; writing-review and editing, K.I.; supervision, T.T.; project administration, T.T. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Ethics Committee of Asahi University (No. 33006; approved June 29 2021).

**Informed Consent Statement:** As the data from the NDB and dental checkups were anonymous, informed consent was not considered necessary.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author. The data are not publicly available because of ethical restrictions.

**Acknowledgments:** This study was self-funded by the authors and their institution. The authors are grateful to the Gifu National Health Insurance Federation and the Wide-Area Federation of Medical Care for Late-Stage Older People for providing us with the data. The authors are also grateful to Sony Network Communications for providing advice about the AI model.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

## Abbreviations

The following abbreviations are used in this manuscript:

MDPI	Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute
AI	Artificial intelligence

NDB	National Health Insurance Database
COPD	Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease
BMI	Body mass index
HbA1c	Hemoglobin A1c

## References

1. Krinsky-McHale, J.S.; Silverman, W. Dementia and mild cognitive impairment in adults with intellectual disability: issues of diagnosis. *Dev Disabil Res Rev.* **2013**, *18*, 31-42.
2. Petersen, C.R.; Weintraub, S.; Sabbagh, M.; Karlawish, J.; Adler, H.C.; Dilworth-Anderson, P.; Frank, L.; Hummel, H.C.; Taylor, A. A New Framework for Dementia Nomenclature. *JAMA Neurol.* **2023**, *80*, 1364-1370.
3. Quail, Z.; Carter, M.M.; Wei, A.; Li, X. Management of cognitive decline in Alzheimer's disease using a non-pharmacological intervention program: A case report. *Medicine.* **2020**, *99*, e20128.
4. Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare. Patient survey in 2020. Available online: <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/toukei/saikin/hw/kanja/20/index.html>. (accessed on 21 November 2025).
5. Devadhasan, P.J.; Kim, S.; An, J. Fish-on-a-chip: a sensitive detection microfluidic system for Alzheimer's disease. *J Biomed Sci.* **2011**, *18*, 33.
6. Tisher A, Salardini A. A comprehensive update on treatment of dementia. *Semin Neurol.* **2019**, *39*, 167-178.
7. Mitsala, A.; Tsalikidis, C.; Pitiakoudis, M.; Simopoulos, C.; Tsaroucha, K.A. Artificial Intelligence in Colorectal Cancer Screening, Diagnosis and Treatment. *Curr Oncol.* **2021**, *8*, 1581-1607.
8. Chan, C.H.; Shan, H.; Dahoun, T.; Vogel, H.; Yuan, S. Advancing Drug Discovery via Artificial Intelligence. *trends Pharmacol Sci.* **2019**, *40*, 592-604.
9. Petti, U.; Baker, S.; Korhonen, A. A systematic literature review of automatic Alzheimer's disease detection from speech and language. *J Am Med Inform Assoc.* **2020**, *27*, 1784-1797.
10. Yang, Q.; Li, X.; Ding, X.; Xu, F.; Ling, Z. Deep learning-based speech analysis for Alzheimer's disease detection: A literature review. *Alzheimers Res Ther.* **2022**, *14*, 186.
11. Kale, M.; Wankhede, N.; Pawar, R.; Ballal, S.; Kumawat, R.; Goswami, M.; Khalid, M.; Taksande, B.; Upananlawar, A.; Umekar, M.; Kopalli, R.S.; Koppula, S. AI-driven innovations in Alzheimer's disease: Integrating early diagnosis, personalized treatment, and prognostic modelling. *Ageing Res Rev.* **2024**, *101*, 102497.
12. Nagai, M.; Hoshida, S.; Kario, K. Hypertension and dementia. *Am J Hypertens.* **2010**, *23*, 116-24.
13. Hameed Husain, K.; Sarhan, F.S.; AlKhalifa, H.; Buhasan, A.; Moin, A.; Butler, E.A. Dementia in Diabetes: The Role of Hypoglycemia. *Int J Mol Sci.* **2023**, *24*, 9846.
14. Pan, Y.; Liang, J.; Zhang, W.; Gao, D.; Li, C.; Xie, W.; Zheng, F. Association between Age at Diagnosis of Hyperlipidemia and Subsequent Risk of Dementia. *J Am Med Dir Assoc.* **2024**, *25*, 104960.
15. Nakamura, T.; Zou, K.; Shibuya, Y.; Michikawa, M. Oral dysfunctions and cognitive impairment/dementia. *J Neurosci Res.* **2021**, *99*, 518-528.
16. Sureda, A.; Daglia, M.; Castilla, A.S.; Sanadgol, N.; Nabavi, F.S.; Khan, H.; Belwal, T.; Jeandet, P.; Marchese, A.; Pistollato, F.; Hernandez, F.T.; Battino, M.; Neagoe, B.I.; Onofrio, D.G.; Nabavi, M.S. Oral microbiota and Alzheimer's disease: Do all roads lead to rome? *Pharmacol Res.* **2020**, *151*, 104582.
17. Asher, S.; Stephen, R.; Mäntylä, P.; Suominen, L.A.; Solomon, A. Periodontal health, cognitive decline, and dementia: A systematic review and meta-analysis of longitudinal studies. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* **2022**, *70*, 2695-2709.
18. Katsuki, M.; Kakizawa, Y.; Nishikawa, A.; Yamamoto, Y.; Uchiyama, T. Postsurgical functional outcome prediction model using deep learning framework (Prediction One, Sony Network Communications Inc.) for hypertensive intracerebral hemorrhage. *Surg Neurol Int.* **2021**, *12*, 203.
19. Iwai, K.; Azuma, T.; Yonenaga, T.; Nomura, T.; Sugiura, I.; Inagawa, Y.; Matsumoto, Y.; Nakashima, S.; Abe, Y.; Tomofuji, T. Relationship between Oral Function and Support/Care-Need Certification in Japanese Older People Aged  $\geq 75$  Years: A Three-Year Cohort Study. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* **2022**, *19*, 16959.

20. Fujiwara, S.; Ishii, S.; Hamasaki, T.; Okimoto, N. Incidence of fractures among patients receiving medications for type 2 diabetes or chronic obstructive pulmonary disease and glucocorticoid users according to the National Claims Database in Japan. *Arch Osteoporos.* **2021**, *16*, 106.
21. Iwai, K.; Azuma, T.; Yonenaga, T.; Ekuni, D.; Watanabe, K.; Obora, A.; Deguchi, F.; Kojima, T.; Morita, M.; Tomofuji, T. Association between Self-Reported Chewing Status and Glycemic Control in Japanese Adults. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* **2021**, *18*, 9548.
22. Kawahara, T.; Imawatari, R.; Kawahara, C.; Inazu, T.; Suzuki, G. Incidence of type 2 diabetes in pre-diabetic Japanese individuals categorized by HbA1c levels: A historical cohort study. *PLoS ONE.* **2015**, *10*, e0122698.
23. Sultan, Q.; Shereen, A.; Estabraq, M.; Jood, S.; Abdelfattah, A.T.; Adil, A. Electronic cigarette among health science students in Saudi Arabia. *Ann Thorac Med.* **2019**, *14*, 56-62.
24. Kanamori, S.; Kai, Y.; Yamaguchi, D.; Tsuji, T.; Watanabe, R.; Kondo, K. Correlates of walking time by exercise stage of change in older adults in Japan: The 2019 JAGES cross-sectional study. *Nihon Koshu Eisei Zasshi.* **2022**, *69*, 861-873.
25. Satake, S. Basic Checklist and Frailty. *GGI.* **2018**, *55*: 319-328.
26. Patrick, F.; Philip, R.; Helen, W.; Janet, C.; Dwayne, B.; Paul, B. Recall intervals for oral health in primary care patients. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* **2020**, *14*, 10.
27. Iwai, K.; Azuma, T.; Yonenaga, T.; Sasai, Y.; Komatsu, Y.; Tabata, K.; Nomura, T.; Sugiura, I.; Inagawa, Y.; Matsumoto, Y.; Nakashima, S.; Abe, Y.; Tomofuji, T. Predictive Factors Associated with Future Decline in Swallowing Function among Japanese Older People Aged  $\geq 75$  Years. *Int J Environ Res Public Health.* **2024**, *21*, 674.
28. World Health Organization. Oral Health Surveys Basic Methods 5th. World Health Organization Publishers, Switzerland, 2013.
29. Satake, A.; Kobayashi, W.; Tamura, Y.; Oyama, T.; Fukuta, H.; Inui, A.; Sawada, K.; Ihara, K.; Noguchi, T.; Murashita, K.; Nakaji, S. Effects of oral environment on frailty: Particular relevance of tongue pressure. *Clin Interv Aging.* **2019**, *12*, 1643-1648.
30. Tamura, F.; Mizukami, M.; Ayano, R.; Mukai, Y. Analysis of feeding function and jaw stability in bedridden elderly. *Dysphagia.* **2002**, *17*, 235-241.
31. Hussein, S.; Kantawalla, F.R.; Dickie, S.; Suarez-Durall, P.; Enciso, R.; Mulligan, R. Association of Oral Health and Mini Nutritional Assessment in Older Adults: A Systematic Review with Meta-analyses. *J Prosthodont Res.* **2022**, *66*, 208-220.
32. Fukuda, M.; Eida, S.; Katayama, I.; Takagi, Y.; Sasaki, M.; Sumi, M.; Ariji, Y. A radiomics model combining machine learning and neural networks for high-accuracy prediction of cervical lymph node metastasis on ultrasound of head and neck squamous cell carcinoma. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol.* **2025**, *139*, 760-769.
33. SONY, Prediction One<sup>®</sup>. Prediction Accuracy Level. Available online: [https://predictionone.sony.biz/cloud\\_manual/terminology/model\\_level/#](https://predictionone.sony.biz/cloud_manual/terminology/model_level/#): (accessed on 21 November 2025)
34. Ninomiya, D.; Aoki, K.; Shojima, C.; Takayama, D.; Taniguchi, M.; Yoshitake, R.; Shinkai, Y.; Kurawaki, S.; Miyazaki, M.; Nakamura, S.; Nakamura, Y. Post-dialysis blood urea nitrogen value prediction using machine learning. *RRT.* **2023**, *56*, 167-175.
35. SONY, Prediction One<sup>®</sup>. To improve Prediction Accuracy Level. (Cited 22 November 2025). Available from: [https://predictionone.sony.biz/cloud\\_manual/tips/result/improve\\_model/](https://predictionone.sony.biz/cloud_manual/tips/result/improve_model/).
36. Gale, A.S.; Acar, D.; Daffner, R.K. Dementia. *Am J Med.* **2018**, *131*, 1161-1169.
37. Cheng, C.; Huang, C.; Tsai, C.; Chou, P.; Lin, C.; Chang, C. Alcohol-Related Dementia: A Systemic Review of Epidemiological Studies. *Psychosomatics.* **2017**, *58*, 331-342.
38. Geraets, F.A.; Leist, K.A. Sex/gender and socioeconomic differences in modifiable risk factors for dementia. *Sci Rep.* **2023**, *13*, 80.
39. Zou, M.; Yu, H.; Zhou, R.; Lu, Y.; Underwood, R.; Tseng, T. and Luo, S. Association between dental floss use, dental visits, and a five-year Alzheimer's disease risk prediction score among United States adults aged 65 years and older. *J Alzheimers Dis.* **2025**, 13872877251386836.
40. Sura, L.; Madhavan, A.; Carnaby, G.; Crary, A.M. Dysphagia in the elderly: management and nutritional considerations. *Clin Interv Aging.* **2012**, *7*, 287-298.

41. Correia-Marin, M.S.; Mansur, L.L.; Oliveira, F.F.; Marin, F.L.; Wajman, R.J.; Bahia, S.V.; Ferreira-Bertolucci, H.P. Swallowing in behavioral variant frontotemporal dementia. *Arq Neuropsiquiatr.* **2021**, *79*, 8-14.
42. Ministry of Health Labour and Welfare. Survey on prevalence of dementia and mild cognitive impairment and future projections. (Cited 22 November 2025). Available from: <https://www.mhlw.go.jp/content/001279920.pdf>
43. Cabinet Office. Annual Report on the Ageing Society in 2024. Available online: [https://www8.cao.go.jp/kourei/whitepaper/w-2024/zenbun/pdf/1s2s\\_02.pdf](https://www8.cao.go.jp/kourei/whitepaper/w-2024/zenbun/pdf/1s2s_02.pdf) (accessed on 22 November 2025)

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.