

Essay

Not peer-reviewed version

Why Gravity Isn't (Fundamentally) a Force A Simple Pedagogical Introduction for the General Public

[Mojdeh Banafsheh](#)*

Posted Date: 2 December 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202512.0024.v1

Keywords: general relativity; gravity; spacetime curvature; geodesics; Einstein field equations; Newtonian gravity; equivalence principle; physics education / pedagogical article



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Essay

Why Gravity Isn't (Fundamentally) a Force A Simple Pedagogical Introduction for the General Public

Mojdeh Banafsheh

Physics Department, AcademieLab Sàrl, Switzerland; mbanafsheh@academielab.com

Abstract

In elementary Newtonian mechanics, gravity is introduced as a fundamental force that causes masses to accelerate, successfully describing a wide range of everyday and astronomical phenomena. In Einstein's General Relativity, however, gravity is reinterpreted as a manifestation of spacetime curvature rather than a conventional force. This article explains in what sense "gravity is not a force" by contrasting the Newtonian and relativistic pictures, emphasising the role of free fall and the origin of the sensation of weight. We briefly develop the mathematical framework of General Relativity — metric, geodesics, and Einstein's field equations — to show how motion in a gravitational field arises from geometry alone, without an explicit force term. We also compare gravity with electromagnetism, a genuine force field acting on charge in flat spacetime, to highlight why gravity is more naturally understood as the structure of the spacetime arena itself. Throughout, we stress that the familiar Newtonian gravitational force remains an excellent description in appropriate limits, even though it is not fundamental in the relativistic framework.

Keywords: general relativity; gravity; spacetime curvature; geodesics; Einstein field equations; Newtonian gravity; equivalence principle; physics education / pedagogical article

1. Newtonian View: Gravity as a Force

In Newtonian mechanics, a *force* is something that causes an object of mass m to accelerate:

$$\vec{F} = m\vec{a}.$$

In this framework, gravity is treated as a genuine force. Near the Earth's surface, we write

$$\vec{F}_{\text{gravity}} = m\vec{g},$$

and more generally, for two point masses m_1 and m_2 separated by distance r ,

$$F = G \frac{m_1 m_2}{r^2},$$

where G is Newton's gravitational constant. This is the content of Newton's law of universal gravitation as first formulated in the *Principia*.^[9]

This description is highly successful for everyday scales and many astronomical problems, and it remains the basis for much of the teaching of classical mechanics.^[6,10] However, it is not the most fundamental picture.

2. Einstein's View: Gravity as Curved Spacetime

Einstein's General Relativity (GR) replaces the idea of gravity as a force with the idea of *curved spacetime*. The key starting point is the *equivalence principle*:^[4]

Equivalence principle: Locally, it is impossible to distinguish between being in a uniform gravitational field and being in an accelerating frame of reference.

From this, GR concludes that massive objects curve spacetime, and freely falling objects follow the straightest possible paths in this curved geometry. These paths are called *geodesics*.

In this picture:

- Massive bodies (like the Earth) tell spacetime how to curve.
- Curved spacetime tells matter and light how to move.

An object in free fall is not being “pulled” by a force in the usual Newtonian sense. Instead, it is simply following a geodesic—the natural straight-line generalisation in a curved spacetime. Standard modern references on this geometric viewpoint include Misner, Thorne and Wheeler,[8], Wald,[11] and Carroll.[2]

3. Free Fall and the Feeling of Weight

In GR, an object in free fall is considered to be experiencing *no force*. For example, an astronaut orbiting the Earth is in (approximate) free fall and feels weightless. The gravitational field is undoubtedly present, but there is no *proper* force acting on the astronaut.

By contrast, consider a person standing on the ground:

- Their body “wants” to follow a free-fall geodesic toward the centre of the Earth.
- The ground prevents this motion by exerting an upward contact force (the *normal* force).

The sensation of *weight* is associated with this contact force, not directly with gravity in the GR sense. Paradoxically, in General Relativity:

- Free fall corresponds to *no* force,
- Being held at rest in a gravitational field requires a real force (from the floor, chair, etc.).

For an accessible conceptual discussion of “is gravity a force?” in this sense, see Baez.[1]

4. Why We Still Talk About Gravitational “Force”

Even though GR gives a geometric description, the Newtonian idea of a gravitational force remains extremely useful as an approximation:

- For everyday problems (projectiles, engineering, basic orbits), Newton’s law of gravitation and $F = ma$ work remarkably well.[6,10]
- In weak gravitational fields and at low speeds compared to the speed of light, GR effectively reduces to Newtonian gravity.[2,11]

Therefore:

- In an approximate, practical sense: gravity *acts like* a force.
- In the deeper, relativistic sense: gravity is not a force but a manifestation of spacetime curvature.

5. The Math-y Side: Metric, Geodesics, and Field Equations

In General Relativity, spacetime is modelled as a 4-dimensional manifold endowed with a metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$. The metric determines spacetime intervals via

$$ds^2 = g_{\mu\nu}(x) dx^\mu dx^\nu,$$

where Greek indices $\mu, \nu = 0, 1, 2, 3$ label spacetime coordinates (time plus three spatial coordinates), and repeated indices are summed over.

A free-falling (i.e. force-free) test particle follows a *geodesic*—the path that extremizes the proper time

$$\tau = \int \sqrt{-g_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu}$$

for timelike curves (using the sign convention where $ds^2 < 0$ for timelike intervals).

The resulting equation of motion is the *geodesic equation*:

$$\frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma^\mu_{\nu\rho} \frac{dx^\nu}{d\tau} \frac{dx^\rho}{d\tau} = 0,$$

where $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\rho}$ are the Christoffel symbols constructed from the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$. This equation has the structure of a “force-free” equation: there is no extra term on the right-hand side. The apparent acceleration of the particle (in some coordinate system) is entirely due to the spacetime geometry encoded in $\Gamma^\mu_{\nu\rho}$. [2,11]

The curvature of spacetime is described by the Riemann tensor $R^\rho_{\sigma\mu\nu}$, from which one constructs the Ricci tensor $R_{\mu\nu}$ and the scalar curvature R . Einstein’s field equations then relate curvature to the energy–momentum tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$:

$$G_{\mu\nu} \equiv R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} = \frac{8\pi G}{c^4} T_{\mu\nu}.$$

These equations can be read as:

Matter and energy ($T_{\mu\nu}$) determine the curvature of spacetime ($G_{\mu\nu}$), and curvature then determines the motion of matter via the geodesic equation.

Comprehensive surveys of these structures and their experimental tests can be found in Ehlers[3] and Will.[12]

In this geometric formulation, there is no separate “gravitational force” term; instead, gravity is encoded entirely in the metric and its curvature.

6. More Pictures and Analogies: Gravity vs Electromagnetism

It is helpful to contrast gravity with a familiar force: electromagnetism.

Electromagnetic Force (Lorentz Force)

In special relativity, the motion of a charged particle of charge q and mass m in an electromagnetic field is governed by the Lorentz force law:

$$m \frac{d^2 x^\mu}{d\tau^2} = q F^\mu_{\nu} u^\nu,$$

where $u^\nu = dx^\nu/d\tau$ is the 4-velocity and $F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic field tensor. Here:

- The left-hand side describes the particle’s inertial response (m times 4-acceleration).
- The right-hand side is a genuine *force term*, proportional to the charge q and the field $F_{\mu\nu}$.

In this picture, spacetime itself can remain flat (Minkowski), and electromagnetism is a field *on* spacetime that pushes and pulls on charges. Standard treatments may be found in Jackson[7] and Griffiths.[5]

Gravity as Geometry Instead of a Force Field

By contrast, in General Relativity:

- All (sufficiently small) test bodies, regardless of their mass or composition, follow the same free–fall trajectories in a given gravitational field.
- This universality suggests that gravity is not a force that couples to some “charge” (like electric charge), but instead a property of spacetime itself.[8]

Some intuitive comparisons:

- **Charge vs. Mass:** Electromagnetism acts only on charged particles, and charges can be positive or negative. Gravity acts on all forms of energy–momentum, with only one “sign” (attractive under standard conditions).

- **Field Lines vs. Curvature:** Electromagnetic effects can be visualised via field lines in space. Gravitational effects in GR are better visualised as distortions of the underlying spacetime geometry—like drawing “straight lines” on a curved surface.
- **Shielding:** Electromagnetic fields can be shielded (e.g. by Faraday cages). There is no known way to “shield” gravity; you cannot block spacetime curvature.

A useful mental picture:

- Think of electromagnetism as a *force field* laid on top of a fixed stage (flat spacetime), pushing charged actors around.
- Think of gravity (in GR) as the *stage itself* being warped, so that the natural straight paths (geodesics) of all actors appear bent when viewed from afar.

In this sense, electromagnetism is a conventional force in the Newtonian sense (even in its relativistic formulation). At the same time, gravity, in General Relativity, is more fundamentally the geometry that tells all matter how to move.

7. Geometric Analogy

A useful analogy is motion on a curved surface, such as the Earth:

- On a flat plane, a straight path looks straight.
- On a sphere, the straightest paths (geodesics) are great circles. On a flat map, these paths can appear curved, even though on the sphere they are “straightest possible”.

Similarly, in General Relativity:

- In flat spacetime, straight–line motion is simple uniform motion.
- In curved spacetime, the “straightest possible” paths bend toward massive bodies.

What looks like a “force pulling objects together” is better understood as objects following geodesics in a curved spacetime geometry.[2]

8. Summary

- Newtonian mechanics treats gravity as a force causing acceleration: $\vec{F}_{\text{gravity}} = m\vec{g}$. [9]
- General Relativity reinterprets gravity as the curvature of spacetime, with free–falling objects following geodesics. [2,4]
- The feeling of weight arises not from gravity itself in GR, but from contact forces that prevent free fall.
- The language of “gravitational force” remains a highly accurate and convenient approximation in many contexts, even though the underlying reality, according to GR, is geometric rather than force–based. [6,10]
- Mathematically, gravity is encoded in the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and its curvature (via Einstein’s equations), while the motion of free–falling bodies is given by the geodesic equation, with no explicit force term. [8,11]
- Compared to electromagnetism, which is a genuine force field acting on charges, gravity in GR is best understood as the curvature of the spacetime arena itself. [1,5,7]

References

1. John C. Baez. Is gravity a force? <http://math.ucr.edu/home/baez/gravity/>. Accessed: 2025-11-30.
2. Sean M. Carroll. *Spacetime and Geometry: An Introduction to General Relativity*. Addison-Wesley, San Francisco, 2004.
3. Jürgen Ehlers. Survey of general relativity theory. *Relativity, Astrophysics and Cosmology*, pages 1–125, 1973.
4. Albert Einstein. The foundation of the general theory of relativity. *Annalen der Physik*, 49:769–822, 1916. English translation available in *The Principle of Relativity*.
5. David J. Griffiths. *Introduction to Electrodynamics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 4 edition, 2017.
6. James B. Hartle. *Gravity: An Introduction to Einstein’s General Relativity*. Addison-Wesley, San Francisco, 2003.
7. John David Jackson. *Classical Electrodynamics*. Wiley, New York, 3 edition, 1999.

8. Charles W. Misner, Kip S. Thorne, and John Archibald Wheeler. *Gravitation*. W. H. Freeman, San Francisco, 1973.
9. Isaac Newton. *Philosophiæ Naturalis Principia Mathematica*. Royal Society, London, 1687. English translation: *The Mathematical Principles of Natural Philosophy*.
10. Bernard Schutz. *A First Course in General Relativity*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2 edition, 2009.
11. Robert M. Wald. *General Relativity*. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, 1984.
12. Clifford M. Will. The confrontation between general relativity and experiment. *Living Reviews in Relativity*, 17(4), 2014.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.