

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# The Neuromorphic Conductor: A Speculative Framework for Brain-Chip Interfaces to Restore Bodily Function

---

[Anand Rawat](#)\* and Anamika Yadav

Posted Date: 20 November 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202511.1462.v1

Keywords: neuromorphic computing; brain-computer interfaces (BCIs); neural rehabilitation; neuroprosthetics; digital nervous system; neural signal processing; severe brain injury; neural bypass systems; neurotechnology; artificial neural architectures; motor function restoration; neuroethics; human-machine integratio; computational neuroscience; bioelectronic medicine



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a [Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license](#), which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Review

# The Neuromorphic Conductor: A Speculative Framework for Brain-Chip Interfaces to Restore Bodily Function

Anand Rawat \* and Anamika Yadav

Dept. of Computer Science and Engg Pranveer Singh Institute Of Technology (Affiliated with AKTU) Kanpur, Uttar Pradesh, India

\* Correspondence: anandrawat138a@gmail.com

## Abstract

This report is a deep dive into the complex world of using neuromorphic chips to help people with severe brain damage regain control of their bodies. We'll look at the fundamental science behind neuromorphic computing, explore the current landscape of brain-computer interfaces (BCIs), and confront the biological and ethical challenges of making such a technology a reality. The main idea is to create a kind of "digital nervous system" that could bypass damaged parts of the brain to restore basic functions like movement and breathing. This isn't just a technical paper; it's a detailed exploration of the immense hurdles and profound questions that must be answered before we can truly build a bridge between mind and machine. This document is a starting point for anyone looking to understand this fascinating and difficult field.

**Keywords:** neuromorphic computing; brain-computer interfaces (BCIs); neural rehabilitation; neuroprosthetics; digital nervous system; neural signal processing; severe brain injury; neural bypass systems; neurotechnology; artificial neural architectures; motor function restoration; neuroethics; human-machine integratio; computational neuroscience; bioelectronic medicine

---

## 1. Introduction: A Vision at the Frontier of Neurotechnology

### 1.1. The User's Query and the Problem Domain

Imagine a world where a person in a coma or with a severe intellectual disability could have their body's functions restored by a tiny computer chip. That's the core of this project – to envision a neuromorphic chip that could act as a prosthetic brain, sending direct commands to the body to keep it working and even perform basic tasks. The goal is to tackle the profound limitations these conditions create, from a lack of purposeful response to the environment in comatose patients [1] to significant challenges with intellectual, social, and practical skills in those with intellectual disabilities.[2] The real challenge here is figuring out how to create a system that can take a command from a synthetic source and turn it into physical action, giving a patient back their connection to their own body.

### 1.2. Scope and Purpose of the Report

This report is designed as a foundational guide for anyone interested in this cutting-edge intersection of neuroengineering, clinical medicine, and neuroethics. It's not a blueprint for something you could build tomorrow – the technology is still a long way off. Instead, its purpose is to provide a grounded, yet imaginative, look at what this far-future technology could entail. We'll start with the foundational tech, move into the difficult biological and clinical realities, and then build a speculative model for the technology, all while grappling with the serious ethical and societal questions that would inevitably arise.

## 2. Part I: The Building Blocks—Neuromorphic Computing and Brain-Computer Interfaces

### 2.1. Neuromorphic Computing: The Blueprint of a Synthetic Brain

#### 2.1.1. Foundational Principles: A Departure from Von Neumann Architecture

Most computers today are built on a design called the von Neumann architecture, which has a major flaw: the processing unit is separate from the memory. This constant back-and-forth shuffling of data creates a "bottleneck" that limits speed and efficiency.[4]

Neuromorphic computing offers a radically different approach, one that mimics the brain's own design. Instead of separating processing and memory, these systems put them right next to each other, a concept known as in-memory computing.[6] This design gets rid of the data bottleneck, making for faster and more efficient computation.[4] The core of these systems is the spiking neural network (SNN), which acts like a biological neuron. Just like a real neuron, a spiking neuron in an SNN only "spikes" and becomes active when it accumulates enough charge to hit a certain threshold.[4] This event-based, asynchronous operation means only the parts of the network actively doing work use power, while the rest remain idle.[4] This incredible energy efficiency is absolutely essential for any device that would need to live inside the human body for a long time. After all, a human brain runs on just about 20 watts of power, and a prosthetic one would need to be in the same ballpark.[6]

#### 2.1.2. Hardware and Prototyping: Industry Leaders and Architectural Innovations

The race to develop neuromorphic hardware is a fast-paced one, with several key players pushing the boundaries.

- **IBM:** IBM's early work led to the **TrueNorth** microchip in 2014, which had over a million simulated neurons and ran on a minuscule 70 milliwatts of power.[5] They built on this success with the 2023 **NorthPole** chip, a proof-of-concept that combines the in-memory computing of TrueNorth with modern designs.[5] NorthPole is an astonishing 4,000 times faster than its predecessor and uses 25 times less energy than comparable GPUs.[5]
- **Intel:** Intel has developed its own cutting-edge neuromorphic processors. **Loihi 2** is 10 times faster than its first version, and **Hala Point** is the world's largest neuromorphic system, containing 1.15 billion neurons—a number roughly on par with an owl's brain.[5]
- **SpiNNaker:** The **SpiNNaker** system, created at the University of Manchester, uses numerical models on custom digital multicore chips.[11] This system, with over 1 million cores, is being used to simulate brain activity for things like Alzheimer's research.[5]

#### 2.1.3. Current Advantages and Constraints

The neuromorphic approach has major benefits that align with our vision of a prosthetic brain. These systems are adaptive, can learn in real-time from new information, and are great at solving new problems.[4] Their high performance and ability to process multiple things at once (parallel processing) are key to the low-latency, real-time control needed for a system like this.[4]

But there are serious hurdles to overcome. One big problem is that converting a traditional neural network to a spiking one can sometimes lead to less accuracy.[4] Also, because this field is so new, there are no established benchmarks or standards, making it tough to compare performance.[4] The entire field is also highly interdisciplinary, pulling from biology, computer science, and physics, which means there's a steep learning curve for those who aren't specialists.[4]

## 2.2. Brain-Computer Interfaces: The Bidirectional Bridge to the Body

### 2.2.1. State of the Art in Neuroprosthetics: From Communication to Control

Brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) are the crucial link that translates brain activity into commands for external devices, completely bypassing the body's own nerves and muscles.[13] The current technology is focused on helping people with severe movement disorders like paralysis or ALS regain some lost function.[14] These systems are paving the way for the more ambitious vision of restoring full bodily function.

We've already seen incredible breakthroughs in motor control and speech decoding. Companies like Neuralink and Blackrock Neurotech have shown that implants can turn a patient's "thoughts" into actions, like moving a cursor on a screen or controlling a robotic arm.[16] Beyond movement, researchers at Stanford and Blackrock Neurotech have created systems that can decode brain activity associated with attempted speech and turn it into text at near-conversational speeds, with one system reaching up to 60 words per minute.[15] The ability to translate silent intent into external action is a fundamental requirement for a system that would need to issue commands to the body.

### 2.2.2. Invasive versus Non-Invasive Approaches: A Spectrum of Efficacy and Risk

BCIs are generally split into two types based on how they're implanted, which affects their performance, cost, and risk.

- **Invasive BCIs:** These systems, like microelectrode arrays (MEAs), are surgically placed directly into the brain.[13] They offer the highest performance because they get a much cleaner, more detailed signal from individual neurons.[15] However, this comes with the significant risks and high cost of neurosurgery, including the possibility of infection and tissue damage.[16]
- **Non-Invasive BCIs:** Technologies such as electroencephalography (EEG) read brain activity from outside the skull, without any surgery.[13] They are much safer, more affordable, and more accessible, but their signals are weaker and more prone to noise, making them less suited for tasks that require high precision.[15]
- **Emerging Less-Invasive Modalities:** New technologies like ultrasound-based BCIs are a promising middle ground. They use high-frequency sound waves to monitor and stimulate specific brain regions non-invasively.[22] Early human trials have even shown they can detect "covert consciousness" in coma patients [24], offering a way to get higher spatial precision than non-invasive EEG without the dangers of traditional surgery.[22]

The ultimate goal for a prosthetic brain is a closed-loop system that can both read brain signals and send signals back to the body.[13] This two-way street is crucial for creating a system that can constantly adapt to manage motor and autonomic functions while also receiving real-time sensory feedback from the body, fulfilling the vision of a true "digital nervous system".[15]

### 2.2.3. The Future of BCI: Timelines and Projections

BCIs are no longer just a futuristic concept; they are on the cusp of becoming a real, marketable technology. We've seen a wave of clinical trials in the 2020s, with companies like Synchron and Neuralink aiming for regulatory approval in the next few years.[25] The market for neuromorphic chips is also expected to skyrocket, growing from \$0.33 billion in 2025 to \$11.77 billion by 2030, a massive annual growth rate of 104.7%.[9] The healthcare industry is a huge part of this growth, with a projected 105.4% CAGR by 2030, as the demand for low-power medical devices like BCIs and neurostimulators rises.[9] This clearly shows that neuromorphic technology is perfectly suited for the needs of medical devices.[9]

## 2.3. The AI Co-pilot: Using Machine Learning to Enhance BCI Performance

While the hardware is the foundation of any brain-chip interface, the algorithms—the software that interprets the neural signals—are what truly make it work. Over the last decade, AI, particularly

machine learning and deep learning, has proven to be a "game-changer" for neuroprosthetics by overcoming the limitations of earlier, more traditional signal decoding methods like support vector machines (SVM).[52]

This integration of AI and BCI can significantly enhance a system's capabilities in several crucial ways:

- **Improved Signal Processing:** AI and machine learning algorithms can be trained to filter out noise and extract the most relevant brain signals with greater precision.[53] This is especially important for non-invasive BCIs like EEG, which are prone to noise from sources like eye and muscle movements.[20]
- **Adaptive Learning:** One of the biggest hurdles for long-term BCI use is that neural signals can change over time due to things like micromotion of the implant or the brain's inflammatory response.[54] AI can continuously learn and adapt to a user's unique neural patterns, which improves the BCI's performance over time and makes the experience more intuitive and robust.[53] Researchers are even using machine learning methods to impute, or reconstruct, degraded neural signals to improve decoding accuracy and system reliability.[54]
- **Enhanced Prediction:** AI models, especially deep learning architectures like Convolutional Neural Networks (CNNs), can anticipate user intent and predict a desired action with remarkable accuracy.[52] This is critical for controlling complex tasks, such as moving a robotic arm or navigating a cursor. One study from UCLA, for instance, developed a non-invasive BCI with an "AI co-pilot" that helped a paralyzed participant complete a robotic arm task they were unable to do without the AI's assistance.[55] The AI combined neural signals with visual input to infer the user's intent, proving that it could make a previously impossible task achievable.[55]

This fusion of AI and BCIs holds the promise of developing revolutionary applications, from "Brain-to-Speech" to "Brain-to-Image" technology.[56] However, the field still faces challenges, such as ensuring that these AI models can generalize reliably from a controlled lab environment to the complexities of the real world.[56]

### 3. Part II: The Clinical and Biological Context

#### 3.1. Understanding the Target Conditions: Neurological Dysfunction

##### 3.1.1. The Nature of Coma and Vegetative States

A coma is a deep state of unconsciousness where a person can't be woken up, their eyes are closed, and they don't move purposefully.[1] This happens when there is a problem with the brainstem's reticular activating system (RAS) or both sides of the cerebral hemispheres.[1] A vegetative state (VS) is a little different. In this condition, the cerebrum is badly damaged, but the brainstem—which controls basic vital functions like breathing, heart rate, and sleep-wake cycles—is still active.[27] Patients in a VS might open their eyes and look awake, but they are completely unaware of their surroundings and can't follow commands or communicate.[27]

Even with such severe loss of function, these aren't just "offline" brains. New research with brain imaging has found signs of "covert consciousness" in some patients—meaning they show willful brain activity in response to commands even when there are no outward signs of awareness.[24] For example, studies have shown that some patients in a VS can intentionally change their brain activity when asked to imagine moving a body part, which suggests there's a hidden intent that a BCI might be able to tap into.[27] This is a crucial finding because it means a technological solution wouldn't be a brute-force replacement; it would be an adaptive system designed to sense and leverage any remaining brain activity.

### 3.1.2. The Spectrum of Intellectual Disability

Intellectual disability (ID) presents a different set of problems for a prosthetic brain. Unlike the sudden, severe injury that causes a coma, ID is a developmental condition that starts before the age of 22. It's defined by limitations in both intellectual functions (like learning and problem-solving) and adaptive behaviors (like communication and social skills).[2] It can be caused by many things, from genetic issues to head trauma or environmental factors.[2] The brain isn't "offline" at all; instead, there's a defect in its development or network.[2]

This is a key difference. For a coma patient, the technology would need to restart a system that is mostly dormant. For a patient with intellectual disability, the technology would need to augment or "correct" a system that is present but impaired, which is a much more delicate and ethically complex task. The solution can't be a simple replacement; it has to be a highly personalized system that can integrate its outputs with the patient's existing, even if limited, neural functions.

## 3.2. Engineering the Human-Chip Interface: The Most Critical Challenge

### 3.2.1. The Foreign Body Response: The Biological Barrier to Longevity

Beyond creating a powerful, energy-efficient chip, the biggest obstacle to a long-lasting implant is the body's natural reaction to a foreign object.[15] The moment an implant is inserted, it sets off a progressive inflammatory response. The injury breaches the blood-brain barrier and activates the brain's main immune cells, microglia and astrocytes.[32] Within 24 hours, microglia form a thin layer around the implant [32], and over weeks or months, astrocytes proliferate and create a "glial scar".[32] This encapsulation of the electrode degrades the signal quality and can even lead to the death of nearby neurons.[32] This is a fundamental biological problem that can't be solved with just better electronics; it requires a deep collaboration between engineering, neuroscience, and material science.

### 3.2.2. Material Science and Biomimicry: Forging a Path to Integration

To fight the foreign body response, researchers are turning to advanced materials and biomimicry. The goal is to minimize the mechanical difference between the soft, delicate brain tissue and the hard, rigid implant.[34] Most electrodes are made of hard materials like metals and silicon, which are orders of magnitude stiffer than brain tissue.[34] But new, more flexible polymers like polyimide (PI) and silicone (PDMS) show real promise.[36]

The ultimate goal is to create a "biohybrid" system where the implant is fully integrated with the neural tissue.[37] One promising idea is to use tissue-engineered hydrogel scaffolds to encourage astrocytic growth, which can help guide neural networks to form and reduce the inflammatory response.[37] The concept of biomimicry also extends to function; for a prosthesis to truly take on a cognitive role, it has to have "bidirectional communication" with the existing neural tissue. This means it must not only receive information from the brain but also send back appropriate feedback to the surrounding nerve cells, a critical need for a hippocampal prosthesis designed to restore memory.[39]

## 4. Part III: A Hypothetical Framework for an Autonomous Prosthetic Brain

### 4.1. From Communication to Control: Bridging the Cognitive Gap

#### 4.1.1. The Functional Requirement: Beyond Simple Tasks

The user's vision of a chip that can restore "normal function" suggests a system that does more than just simple, reactive communication; it would need to provide proactive, autonomous control. A functional neuromorphic prosthesis would have to handle a wide range of tasks, from basic motor control for movement to the regulation of autonomic functions. For a comatose or vegetative patient, the chip might need to help regulate vital signs like breathing and circulation if the brainstem is

damaged.[1] It would also have to help with communication, from typing for a patient with covert consciousness to more complex speech synthesis.[18]

#### 4.1.2. The Role of the "Digital Brainstem": A Speculative Model

With an estimated 86 billion neurons and 800 trillion synapses, the human brain is far too complex for any current artificial system to fully replace.[5] A full-brain replacement is, for now, science fiction. A more realistic and grounded idea is to see the neuromorphic chip not as a replacement but as a modular, functional bypass—a "digital brainstem."

This model would lean on the neuromorphic chip's key strengths: its low latency, high performance, and ability to process many things at once.[4] This system would bypass damaged brain areas and directly connect to the peripheral nervous system and muscles. It would function as a closed-loop BCI [15], with a two-way flow of information. It would send motor commands to the body and receive real-time sensory feedback (for example, from pressure sensors in a robotic arm). This constant loop of action and response would allow the system to adapt and refine its outputs, leading to smooth, autonomous control of the body. This approach redefines the problem from an impossible "brain replacement" to a series of huge challenges in creating a functional, adaptive "digital nervous system."

#### 4.1.3. A Case Study: The Hippocampal Prosthesis

The work of researchers like Theodore Berger on a hippocampal prosthesis is a great example of this framework. This device is designed to restore long-term memory by bypassing damaged hippocampal tissue.[40] The prosthesis is a biomimetic, multi-circuit system with three main parts: a multi-electrode array that records neural activity from an upstream region (like CA3), a VLSI chip with a non-linear model that predicts the output of a downstream region (like CA1), and an electrical stimulator that sends these predicted signals back into the brain.[40] This model proves that replacing a *specific, damaged circuit* is a much more achievable goal than trying to replace the entire brain.

The table below shows how the functional requirements of a neuromorphic prosthesis would come together by building on existing BCI technology and targeting specific neurological conditions.

Function	Current State of BCI	Required Neuromorphic Capability	Clinical Relevance
<b>Motor Control</b>	Cursor control, robotic arms	Real-time parallel processing, low latency	Paralysis, comas, intellectual disabilities
<b>Autonomic Regulation</b>	N/A	Bidirectional feedback loop, on-chip learning	Coma, vegetative state

<b>Communication</b>	Text entry, speech decoding	On-device learning, robust pattern recognition	Paralysis, intellectual disabilities
<b>Memory</b>	Memory assistance	Biomimetic modeling, in-memory computing	Dementia, traumatic brain injury

## 5. Part IV: The Broader Implications—Ethical, Legal, and Societal

### 5.1. *The Ethics of Restoration vs. Enhancement: A Blurry Line*

The user's query brings up a very important ethical question: what is the difference between restoring function and enhancing it? A neuromorphic prosthesis blurs this line even more.[41] While the goal is to get a patient back to a state of normal functioning, the technology itself is a form of *augmentation*.

One major concern is whether such an implant would create an unfair advantage or a "cognitive hierarchy".[42] While some technologies like transcranial direct current stimulation (TDCS) are cheap and widely available, a complex, surgically implanted chip would likely be extremely expensive, raising serious questions about who can afford it.[43] What's more, the long-term, unpredictable side effects of permanently altering brain function are a big worry.[41] Creating a "digital brainstem" could have unforeseen consequences for a patient's physical and mental health, raising new questions about how far we should go in changing human function.[41]

### 5.2. *Identity, Autonomy, and the Human Psyche*

Putting a permanent neuromorphic prosthesis in someone's brain raises some of the most fundamental questions about what it means to be a person. What happens to a person's identity and free will? When a predictive or advisory implant starts making decisions for a patient, who is really in control?[44]

The psychological concept of "cognitive offloading" is highly relevant here. Research on using external AI tools has shown that relying too much on technology can dull critical thinking, memory, and the ability to engage in "productive struggle".[46] If a neuromorphic chip takes care of all the basic bodily functions, a person might risk losing any residual cognitive capacity they have. This could create a negative loop where a technology meant to help could, over time, erode the very skills it was supposed to assist.[47] This technology challenges our basic understanding of what it means to be human, as self-awareness and self-determination have long been considered exclusively human traits.[48]

### 5.3. *Legal and Societal Crossroads*

The legal and societal issues are as complicated as the science. The development of a prosthetic brain could introduce legal dilemmas we've never faced before.

- **Personhood and Rights:** As neuromorphic systems get more advanced, we will have to ask if they should be considered sentient or be granted legal rights.[48] If a patient becomes a "bio-digital hybrid," what rights does the synthetic part have? Legal experts have already dealt with granting rights to non-human entities like corporations and animals, so this isn't an entirely new idea.[48]

- **Liability and Accountability:** The lack of transparency in spiking neural networks raises major questions about who is responsible when something goes wrong.[51] If a neuromorphic chip makes an error that harms a patient, who is to blame? The patient, the manufacturer, or the system itself? Legal experts are currently considering whether entirely new governance models will be needed for advanced AI that can make its own ethical judgments.[48]
- **Misuse:** We also have to consider the potential for misuse of this technology. Autonomous neuromorphic systems that can adapt in real-time could be weaponized or used for mass surveillance, which would raise serious human rights concerns.[51] International agreements on the use of neuromorphic technologies in warfare may be necessary to prevent this kind of misuse.[51]

These legal and societal challenges are not just side issues; they are central to the entire project. The table below provides a clear overview of how a speculative technology can raise real-world ethical questions.

Domain	Key Concern	Underlying Principle/Source	Hypothetical Consequence
<b>Autonomy &amp; Identity</b>	Over-reliance	The "Google Effect," Cognitive Offloading	Eroded cognitive skills, diminished sense of self
<b>Legal Status</b>	Personhood	Corporate Personhood debate	New legal frameworks, rights for bio-digital entities
<b>Accountability</b>	Opaque decision-making	Lack of interpretability in SNNs	Complex liability issues in cases of error or harm
<b>Societal Impact</b>	Misuse	Military/Surveillance applications	Creation of cognitive hierarchies, weaponization of technology

## 6. Conclusions and Future Outlook

### 6.1. Synthesis of Findings: A Convergence of Disciplines

The user's vision of a neuromorphic chip that can restore bodily function isn't something we can do today, but the foundational research is laying the groundwork for a possible future. This path requires a deep, interdisciplinary collaboration between advanced computing, high-fidelity

neuroengineering, and cutting-edge material science. The incredible energy efficiency and parallel processing of neuromorphic chips, along with the game-changing power of AI to decode complex neural signals, make them an ideal candidate for a continuous, implantable device. At the same time, the progress in BCIs proves that we can translate a person's intent into action. The next big step is to turn these one-way communication systems into closed-loop, two-way controllers that can manage the body's complex functions.

The most difficult technical and biological problems will be overcoming the body's foreign body response and achieving a long-lasting, seamless integration of the implant with delicate neural tissue. This is a problem that can't be solved by electronics alone; it needs a deep fusion of engineering, material science, and biology. The success of a prosthetic brain will depend on its ability to be a biomimetic, adaptive, and personalized system that can sense and complement a patient's remaining neurological functions.

### 6.2. *The Road Ahead: Balancing Progress with Stewardship*

The journey toward an autonomous prosthetic brain is just as much about biology and ethics as it is about technology. While the idea of a "digital brainstem" gives us a grounded, speculative model to work with, its creation would force society to confront deep questions about human identity, autonomy, and legal personhood. The risk of unintended consequences, from the erosion of cognitive skills to the potential for misuse in surveillance or military applications, means we must be proactive in addressing the ethical questions.

The future of this field depends on more than just building better chips; it requires a rigorous, ongoing public and academic conversation to establish clear ethical guidelines and legal frameworks. The immense promise of restoring function to those with severe disabilities is balanced by the profound responsibility of making sure these technologies are developed and used in a way that respects and preserves the very essence of what it means to be human. The road ahead is long, but it is one defined by both scientific innovation and the critical need for ethical stewardship.

## References

1. Coma | Clinical Neurology, 10e - AccessMedicine - McGraw Hill Medical, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://accessmedicine.mhmedical.com/content.aspx?bookid=2274&sectionid=176231696>
2. Intellectual Disability - StatPearls - NCBI Bookshelf, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK547654/>
3. What is Intellectual Disability? - American Psychiatric Association, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.psychiatry.org/patients-families/intellectual-disability/what-is-intellectual-disability>
4. What Is Neuromorphic Computing? - IBM, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.ibm.com/think/topics/neuromorphic-computing>
5. Cognitive computer - Wikipedia, accessed September 13, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cognitive\\_computer](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Cognitive_computer)
6. How neuromorphic computing takes inspiration from our brains - IBM Research, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://research.ibm.com/blog/what-is-neuromorphic-or-brain-inspired-computing>
7. A Survey on Neuromorphic Architectures for Running Artificial Intelligence Algorithms, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-9292/13/15/2963>
8. TrueNorth: A Deep Dive into IBM's Neuromorphic Chip Design, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://open-neuromorphic.org/blog/truenorth-deep-dive-ibm-neuromorphic-chip-design/>
9. Neuromorphic Chip Market Report | Industry Analysis, Size & Forecast Overview, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.mordorintelligence.com/industry-reports/neuromorphic-chip-market>
10. Neuromorphic Computing and Engineering with AI | Intel®, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.intel.com/content/www/us/en/research/neuromorphic-computing.html>
11. Neuromorphic Computing - Human Brain Project, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.humanbrainproject.eu/en/science-development/focus-areas/neuromorphic-computing/>

12. The Rise of Neuromorphic Computing: How Brain-Inspired AI is Shaping the Future in 2025, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.ainewshub.org/post/the-rise-of-neuromorphic-computing-how-brain-inspired-ai-is-shaping-the-future-in-2025>
13. State-of-the-Art on Brain-Computer Interface Technology - MDPI, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/23/13/6001>
14. Brain-Computer Interfaces for Communication and Control - PMC, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC3188401/>
15. Brain-Computer Interfaces: Exploring the Last Frontier - byFounders, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.byfounders.vc/insights/brain-computer-interfaces>
16. AI co-pilot boosts noninvasive brain-computer interface by interpreting user intent, UCLA study finds, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://newsroom.ucla.edu/releases/ai-brain-computer-interface-interprets-user-intent-ucla>
17. Elon Musk's Neuralink brain chip implanted into 2 quadriplegic Canadian patients as part of clinical trial | CBC News, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.cbc.ca/news/health/neuralink-brain-chip-clinical-trial-1.7626598>
18. Neural Decoding of Attempted Speech | Explore Technologies - Stanford University, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://techfinder.stanford.edu/technology/neural-decoding-attempted-speech>
19. Neuroprosthesis for Decoding Speech in a Paralyzed Person with Anarthria | Human BCI Research | Blackrock Neurotech, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://blackrockneurotech.com/story/human-bci-research-story-neuroprosthesis-speech/>
20. Modulating Brain Activity with Invasive Brain-Computer Interface: A ..., accessed September 13, 2025, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC9856340/>
21. Invasive and Non-invasive BCIs | Download Scientific Diagram - ResearchGate, accessed September 13, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/figure/nvasive-and-Non-invasive-BCIs\\_fig1\\_329490079](https://www.researchgate.net/figure/nvasive-and-Non-invasive-BCIs_fig1_329490079)
22. Ultrasound Technology for Brain-Computer Interfaces (BCIs) - Acertara, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://acertaralabs.com/ultrasound-technology-for-brain-computer-interfaces-bcis/>
23. Sumner Norman | The Institute, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.theinstitute.com/fellow/sumner-norman>
24. The History And Future Of Brain Computer Interfaces - YouTube, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=KBBawTBRnDg>
25. Brain-computer interfaces are closer than you think - Clinical Trials Arena, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.clinicaltrialsarena.com/analyst-comment/brain-computer-interfaces-closer/>
26. Overview of Coma and Impaired Consciousness - Neurologic Disorders - Merck Manuals, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.merckmanuals.com/professional/neurologic-disorders/coma-and-impaired-consciousness/overview-of-coma-and-impaired-consciousness>
27. Vegetative State - Brain, Spinal Cord, and Nerve Disorders - MSD Manuals, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.msdmanuals.com/home/brain-spinal-cord-and-nerve-disorders/coma-and-impaired-consciousness/vegetative-state>
28. Selective brain activity in response to one's own name in the persistent vegetative state - PMC, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2077408/>
29. Brain Activity During Coma: 3 Surprising Facts | Brain Injury Law Center, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.brain-injury-law-center.com/blog/brain-activity-during-coma>
30. (PDF) Brain-computer interfaces for communication with nonresponsive patients - ResearchGate, accessed September 13, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/232010527\\_Brain-computer\\_interfaces\\_for\\_communication\\_with\\_nonresponsive\\_patients](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/232010527_Brain-computer_interfaces_for_communication_with_nonresponsive_patients)
31. Information about Mental Illness and the Brain - NIH Curriculum Supplement Series - NCBI, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK20369/>
32. Brain Tissue Responses to Neural Implants Impact Signal Sensitivity and Intervention Strategies - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4304489/>
33. Chronically Implanted Intracranial Electrodes: Tissue Reaction and Electrical Changes, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.mdpi.com/2072-666X/9/9/430>

34. Tissue-like Neural Probes for Understanding and Modulating the Brain | *Biochemistry*, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://pubs.acs.org/doi/10.1021/acs.biochem.8b00122>
35. Improving Brain–Machine Interfaces with Machine Learning - [www.caltech.edu](http://www.caltech.edu), accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.caltech.edu/about/news/improving-brain-machine-interfaces-with-machine-learning>
36. Foreign Body Reaction to Neural Implants: A Comparative Study of Polymer Toxicity and Tissue Response - MDPI, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.mdpi.com/2079-6374/15/9/599>
37. Astrocyte–Guided Maturation of Neural Constructs in a Modular Biosynthetic Hydrogel for Biohybrid Neurotechnologies | *bioRxiv*, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.biorxiv.org/content/10.1101/2025.08.22.671553v1.full>
38. In vivo spatiotemporal dynamics of astrocyte reactivity following neural electrode implantation - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC10231871/>
39. Hippocampal prosthesis - Wikipedia, accessed September 13, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hippocampal\\_prosthesis](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hippocampal_prosthesis)
40. Neural prosthesis for hippocampal memory function – Center for ..., accessed September 13, 2025, <https://cne.usc.edu/neural-prosthesis-for-hippocampal-memory-function/>
41. Ethical issues in neuroscience - Queensland Brain Institute, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://qbi.uq.edu.au/brain/nature-discovery/ethical-issues-neuroscience>
42. Revolutionizing Human Augmentation: The Future of Neuroprosthetics and Brain-Computer Interface Technologies - ResearchGate, accessed September 13, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384670497\\_Revolutionizing\\_Human\\_Augmentation\\_The\\_Future\\_of\\_Neuroprosthetics\\_and\\_Brain-Computer\\_Interface\\_Technologies](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/384670497_Revolutionizing_Human_Augmentation_The_Future_of_Neuroprosthetics_and_Brain-Computer_Interface_Technologies)
43. The ethics of brain boosting | University of Oxford, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.ox.ac.uk/news/science-blog/ethics-brain-boosting>
44. A Threat to Autonomy? The Intrusion of Predictive Brain Implants ..., accessed September 13, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC4685604/>
45. Mind uploading - Wikipedia, accessed September 13, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mind\\_uploading](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Mind_uploading)
46. AI's cognitive implications: the decline of our thinking skills? - IE, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.ie.edu/center-for-health-and-well-being/blog/ais-cognitive-implications-the-decline-of-our-thinking-skills/>
47. Your brain, rewired: How AI is altering cognition and what you can do about it - Medium, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://psykobabble.medium.com/your-brain-rewired-how-ai-is-altering-cognition-and-what-you-can-do-about-it-c32459b0f529>
48. The Ethical Crossroads of AI Consciousness: Are We Ready for ..., accessed September 13, 2025, <https://www.interaliomag.org/articles/david-falls-the-ethical-crossroads-of-ai-consciousness-are-we-ready-for-sentient-machines/>
49. Artificial consciousness - Wikipedia, accessed September 13, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artificial\\_consciousness](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Artificial_consciousness)
50. Neuromorphic computing - Wikipedia, accessed September 13, 2025, [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neuromorphic\\_computing](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Neuromorphic_computing)
51. Ethical and Security Aspects of Neuromorphic Hardware - Atomfair, accessed September 13, 2025, <https://atomfair.com/semiconductor-material-primer/article.php?id=G94-1865>
52. The Evolution of Neural Signal Decoding Techniques in Brain-Computer Interfaces from Traditional Methods to Deep Learning | *Theoretical and Natural Science*, accessed September 14, 2025, <https://www.ewadirect.com/proceedings/tns/article/view/25856>
53. (PDF) AI-powered Neuroprosthetics for brain-computer interfaces (BCIs) - ResearchGate, accessed September 14, 2025, [https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381001157\\_AI-powered\\_Neuroprosthetics\\_for\\_brain-computer\\_interfaces\\_BCI](https://www.researchgate.net/publication/381001157_AI-powered_Neuroprosthetics_for_brain-computer_interfaces_BCI)
54. Degradation-aware neural imputation: Advancing decoding stability in brain machine interfaces - PMC - PubMed Central, accessed September 14, 2025, <https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC12005879/>

55. AI-Aided Brain-Computer Interface Improves Speed and Task Accuracy, accessed September 14, 2025, <https://www.technologynetworks.com/informatics/news/ai-aided-brain-computer-interface-improves-speed-and-task-accuracy-404202>
56. On using AI for EEG-based BCI applications: problems, current challenges and future trends - arXiv, accessed September 14, 2025, <https://arxiv.org/pdf/2506.16168>

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.