Article

Retrieval Lost to Time: A Typology of Structural Erasure in Intellectual and Political Memory

Evlondo Cooper *

Posted Date: 14 November 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

Keywords: cultural memory studies; historiography; sociology of knowledge; media and communication; archival studies

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

Article

Retrieval Lost to Time: A Typology of Structural Erasure in Intellectual and Political Memory

Evlondo Cooper

Independent Researcher, Tacoma, WA, USA; evlocoo@pm.me

Abstract

Erasure is not forgetting; it is memory that has lost its path of return. This paper proposes a five-mode typology of structural erasure through *Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting* as mechanisms by which memory systems fail. Historical erasure is not an incidental lapse in collective memory but a structured process shaped by social, institutional, and cultural gatekeeping. To examine how significant ideas and figures become omitted, the study applies this typology to five distinct cases from different periods and regions. Sophie Germain faced early denial of formal recognition that stifled her mathematical achievements and later reduced them to a token identity. Rosalind Franklin produced critical X-ray data on DNA, yet her work was overshadowed by a narrative that reassigned her contributions to Watson, Crick, and others while limiting her legacy to a single discovery. In Peru, *María Elena Moyano* was acknowledged only after her assassination; her socialist feminist activism was recast into a depoliticized image of martyrdom. *Nwanyeruwa* led the 1929 Aba Women's War in colonial Nigeria, yet her leadership was obscured by British reclassification that renamed a coordinated uprising as a mere riot. *Paul Robeson*, once a global icon of radical internationalism and civil rights, was reclassified and his wide-ranging legacy compressed into a narrow, sanitized story that mirrored gendered cases where complex contributions were reduced to one attribute. By placing these cases together, the paper shows that modes of erasure overlap, change through time, and reinforce entrenched patriarchal, racial, and ideological hierarchies. Recognizing historical erasure as a systematic, multistage process rather than a series of isolated oversights identifies the points where collective memory becomes distorted or blocked. In doing so, the five-mode typology provides a conceptual framework linking media studies, archival research, and historiography. It offers scholars a concrete tool for corrective action through archival reform, analytical transparency, and historical repair.

Keywords: cultural memory studies; historiography; sociology of knowledge; media and communication; archival studies

---

Reader's Guide

This paper has two primary points of entry: one for those concerned with history and another for those concerned with information. It treats erasure not as loss but as the failure of retrieval across time. The five modes, *Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting*, can be read as both moral diagnoses and structural mechanisms.

Specialists will find formal definitions and case analysis in the main text; general readers can follow the typology as a guide to how memory systems collapse and may be repaired. The goal is not nostalgia but precision: to identify where knowledge vanishes, how its return can be reconstructed, and what futures collapse when it is not.

1. Introduction

Intellectual histories frequently highlight individuals, ideas, or entire frameworks that seem to vanish from public awareness, only to be rediscovered decades or centuries later. Although such

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

omissions might initially appear incidental, mere artifacts of historical oversight, they often reflect deeper structural processes that systematically shape collective memory. Historical erasure, therefore, is more than an ethical lapse or benign forgetting; it constitutes a structural failure of retrieval, the mechanism through which knowledge is recognized, validated, and preserved. Unlike passive notions such as remembrance or recovery, retrieval foregrounds active, bureaucratic gatekeeping that either sustains or obstructs the circulation of ideas across scholarly and social contexts.

When knowledge systems exclude or misclassify contributors, what disappears is not only their work but the perspectives they made possible. Each erasure closes a door to an entire way of seeing, narrowing the horizons through which future generations perceive the world. When retrieval falters, the implications extend far beyond individual injustice. Entire fields may build upon incomplete foundations, interdisciplinary collaborations can stall, and dominant narratives become skewed in favor of privileged perspectives. The consequences are especially acute when marginalized contributors are excluded by systemic biases related to race, gender, or colonial status. For example, when Sophie Germain's complex contributions to elasticity theory are compressed to a single reference as "Germain primes," the deeper feminist lineage her work embodied is effectively lost. Similarly, when a figure such as Paul Robeson is recast as merely a popular entertainer rather than a transformative internationalist, the true scope of his intellectual and activist legacy is diminished. These examples demonstrate that omissions rarely occur accidentally, rather, they result predictably from structural policies, editorial norms, and archival practices that reinforce existing power hierarchies.

To trace these failures systematically, this study defines five recurring modes of erasure: *Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting*. Each mode identifies particular junctures where the retrieval of knowledge, the inclusion of contributions into collective memory, is likely to fail, offering an analytical model for understanding how intellectual or social contributions become obscured. From the misrepresentation of a mathematician's comprehensive work to the suppression of a Black activist's radical internationalism, these modes operate across various contexts. Through comparative analysis of five selected case studies spanning nineteenth-century France, mid-twentieth-century Britain, late-twentieth-century Peru, colonial Nigeria, and mid-twentieth-century United States, this paper reveals how erasure systematically unfolds across varied intellectual and activist landscapes. Each case was chosen to illuminate a different facet of how structural bias operates in knowledge production; together, they allow cross-case comparison of erasure mechanisms under distinct cultural and temporal conditions.[1]

Moreover, the typology provides diagnostic clarity by showing how archival thresholds such as initial publication processes, canonical history formation, and transitional justice narratives serve as critical sites where omission is either actively produced or passively reinforced. Identifying these junctures reveals not only who or what is erased, but also how and why certain contributions are relegated to peripheral status, even when they satisfy established intellectual or moral standards.

While grounded in historical and memory-studies traditions, the insights gained from this analysis have broader implications for science studies, feminist theory, and decolonial scholarship. Recognizing when and why a contributor's work is under-credited can enhance debates over authorship, peer review, and the formation of canon. This speaks to what Miranda Fricker (2007) terms *epistemic injustice*, where individuals are wronged in their capacity as knowers. By pinpointing when and why certain contributors are dismissed, the typology provides a concrete way to address such injustices. Furthermore, it equips scholars and archivists with the means to diagnose and correct systemic omissions, offering a framework for preventive interventions that help ensure the full diversity of intellectual and activist contributions is rigorously integrated into public memory.

While this typology identifies recurrent patterns of erasure, not every absence in the record represents failure. Communities sometimes withhold knowledge for safety, privacy, or sovereignty.

[1] Cases were selected to maximize typological range, geographic spread, and cross-domain erasure patterns. Full global representativeness was not feasible, and future expansions are anticipated.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

The framework therefore measures structural bias in recognition, not the moral right to disclose or remain silent.

By treating historical omission as a retrieval-limited process, this study extends the analysis of information loss from physical systems to cultural memory. Ultimately, understanding historical erasure as a structured, multistage phenomenon rather than as isolated oversights provides a critical foundation for remedial action. By mapping the mechanisms that truncate collective memory, this model opens pathways for transformative interventions in archival practices, educational reforms, and policy strategies, ensuring that future knowledge is both coherent and inclusive. This paper traces not merely the disappearance of voices but the slow extinction of vision itself, the cumulative cost of a world that forgets how to look.

Scope and Demonstration. The typology and the Retrieval Integrity Index (RII) are designed for historical-memory analysis, not universal modeling. Appendix A provides a quantitative proof-of-concept showing that retrieval measurability can be tested empirically through normalized informational metrics. Together they position the study as a bounded and testable demonstration of how structural erasure leaves observable traces in the record.

2. Literature Review

Scholars in history, anthropology, and cultural studies have extensively examined how specific narratives, figures, and events become obscured within collective memory. Although much of this scholarship underscores power dynamics in social remembrance, emphases vary considerably. Some studies explain why certain silences emerge, while others describe how these gaps become structurally embedded. This section reviews five influential theorists, Michel-Rolph Trouillot, Pierre Nora, Paul Connerton, Ann Laura Stoler, and Edward Said, whose insights form the foundation of contemporary memory studies. In addition, feminist epistemology and decolonial theory (for example, Harding 1991; Mignolo 2009; Fricker 2007; Medina 2013) are invoked early to emphasize that Western epistemologies often obscure subaltern knowledge. Building on these critiques, the typology presented here offers a structural vocabulary for mapping omissions not only as moral failures but also as mechanistic processes that limit the circulation of ideas. Viewed through the lens of information-flow theory, these frameworks also suggest that patterns of recognition and omission behave like channels that either sustain or block the transmission of knowledge, establishing an analytic bridge to the quantitative demonstration in Appendix A.

2.1. Trouillot: Power in the Production of History

Michel-Rolph Trouillot's *Silencing the Past* (1995) examines how power shapes historical narratives through archival creation, document selection, and official incorporation. His analysis highlights bureaucratic gatekeeping and reveals that deliberate choices often exclude entire social groups, particularly those affected by colonial or racial oppression. While Trouillot effectively documents the interplay between archives and power, he does not trace the precise mechanisms by which individual contributions later become marginalized. This limitation underscores the need for a finer-grained typology of erasure, which this study proposes by identifying concrete thresholds where retrieval fails within structural systems.

2.2. Nora: Sites and Anchors of Collective Memory

Pierre Nora's concept of *lieux de mémoire* (1984–1992) centers on symbolic anchors, monuments, rituals, or iconic figures that sustain collective identity. Nora argues that modernity's rapid changes have forced societies to rely on constructed memory sites to maintain cohesion. In national contexts, these sites often celebrate prominent events or leaders while leaving many other narratives marginalized. While Nora illuminates how nations anchor collective memory in privileged "sites," his framework emphasizes what is remembered more than what is omitted. It thus offers limited insight into deliberate forgetting or exclusion, a void this paper's typology addresses by focusing on the structural erasure of ideas that lack such commemorative anchors. Although sometimes critiqued for Eurocentrism,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

Nora clarifies how descriptive accounts of memory frequently prioritize celebrated events without examining how or why alternative narratives disappear. This study extends his analysis by specifying how the process of iconic displacement operates structurally within institutions.

2.3. Connerton: Social Memory, Forgetting, and Reinscription

Paul Connerton's *How Societies Remember* (1989) shifts attention from symbolic anchors to the role of embodied and communal practice in sustaining collective memory. He argues that societies maintain cohesion through repeated rituals and shared habits, suggesting that forgetting occurs not only through official suppression but also through changing social routines and academic paradigms. While his work explains why once-dominant theories may recede as methodologies evolve, it remains largely descriptive and does not outline the thresholds at which contributions become excluded. This typology fills that gap by pinpointing the junctures where institutional routines hinder the circulation of knowledge.

2.4. Stoler: Archival Logic and Epistemic Power

Ann Laura Stoler's *Along the Archival Grain* (2009) explores how colonial administrative records both reflect and reproduce power structures. By analyzing processes of selection, omission, and classification in colonial archives, Stoler demonstrates that archival practices actively shape collective memory. Her insights align with feminist epistemology, which critiques how such practices reproduce patriarchal biases, and with decolonial theory, which shows how imperial archives marginalize colonized knowledge. Although Stoler's structural analysis is compelling, it does not detail the mechanisms through which individual scholarship is minimized. This study complements her approach by clarifying the operational modes by which contributions in academic or scientific contexts are systematically sidelined.

2.5. Said: Imperial Discourse and Knowledge Construction

Where Stoler maps the archive's internal logic, Edward Said exposes its global discursive field. In *Orientalism* (1978) and *Culture and Imperialism* (1993), Said demonstrates how knowledge production serves imperial interests by positioning the colonized "Other" within Western frameworks of superiority. While his primary focus is cultural representation, his critique reveals how dominant narratives suppress alternative forms of knowledge. Said's analysis resonates with Gayatri Spivak's concept of *epistemic violence*, the harm done to colonized peoples by suppressing or devaluing their ways of knowing. Both underscore that what is omitted from the canon is often a result of power, not accident. This study extends those insights by detailing the mechanisms through which such omissions occur. Decolonial theorists drawing on Said argue that colonial logic privileges certain bodies of knowledge while marginalizing others, a perspective vital to understanding why contributions from marginalized or colonized groups often become obscured or contested.

2.6. Feminist and Decolonial Interventions

Beyond Trouillot, Nora, Connerton, Stoler, and Said, other theorists expand understanding of erasure as a structural process. Feminist epistemologists (for example, Sandra Harding 1986; Donna Haraway 1988) and decolonial theorists (such as Walter Mignolo 2009; Aníbal Quijano 2000) critique how patriarchal and colonial frameworks systematically exclude alternative knowledge forms. These traditions show that erasure is not simply the absence of inclusion but the designed outcome of systems that define authority by exclusion. Together they expose not merely what knowledge is missing but why certain systems are built to forget.

2.7. Extending the Conversation: A Mechanistic, Typologized Model

Existing scholarship highlights various dimensions of forgetting, archival practices, cultural rituals, social narratives, and imperial discourses, but few analyses systematically trace how knowledge fails to persist after its initial introduction. Broad studies rarely identify the specific stages at which

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

erasure occurs. This paper addresses that gap by proposing a five-mode typology, *Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting*, that enumerates the precise mechanisms leading to marginalization. Each mode pinpoints institutional junctures where new contributions risk being sidelined, offering a detailed diagnostic framework for analyzing retrieval failures. In contrast to prior work that tends to generalize erasure, this model categorizes omissions into distinct operational forms, allowing researchers to determine exactly where structural gatekeeping obstructs knowledge uptake. By bridging descriptive scholarship with mechanistic specificity, this study extends foundational memory theories. It reaffirms that power and exclusion are integral to historical omission and advances the discussion by explaining how editorial practices, peer-review biases, and archival protocols shape which contributions persist. The resulting model equips historians, sociologists of knowledge, feminist scholars, and decolonial theorists with tools for understanding how significant ideas and figures are sidelined in collective memory. This typology transforms theories of memory into a retrieval audit, a method for locating where the signal of history is lost in transmission. **The five modes identified below correspond to distinct thresholds in the life-cycle of information, entry, framing, distillation, attribution, and revision, marking where retrieval failure most often occurs.**

3. The Cost of Retrieval Failure

Erasure in intellectual history extends far beyond routine historical oversight. While erasure poses ethical issues, such as denying appropriate credit or perpetuating systemic bias, it also produces structural consequences that reshape entire fields of study. At its core lies structural failure of retrieval, the breakdown in how insight enters and endures within collective memory. When this process collapses, the repercussions ripple outward. Marginalized contributors lose deserved recognition, and subsequent research builds upon incomplete or distorted foundations. References to the five-mode typology (*Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting*) throughout this section underscore how each mode contributes to both moral and structural consequences. In sum, when key contributions are erased, the epistemic landscape is altered; fields advance under an illusion of completeness, unaware of missing pieces that could have redirected inquiry. These costs unfold along four interlocking axes: moral, structural, temporal, and recursive.

3.1. Moral Dimensions of Erasure

Ethically, erasure's most visible consequence involves the denial of due recognition and intellectual agency. This constitutes a form of *epistemic injustice*, wherein a contributor is wronged in their capacity as a knower. When historically significant contributors are marginalized, not only are they personally affronted, but the scholarly community is deprived of truth and diversity. This omission frequently occurs through Substitution, whereby credit is reassigned to individuals favored by structural norms, or through Silencing, the direct suppression of marginalized voices. In each scenario, moral harm extends beyond the individual to those whose achievements never receive deserved visibility or acknowledgment.

Moreover, systemic exclusion reinforces broader societal biases by implicitly suggesting that legitimate intellectual work emerges primarily or exclusively from dominant demographic groups. For example, Sophie Germain's groundbreaking research on elasticity theory during the early nineteenth century was eclipsed by patriarchal norms within the French Academy, constituting a form of Silencing that also deprived subsequent generations of women mathematicians of an influential role model. Her exclusion not only silenced one voice, it erased the lineage of possibility her presence would have signified. These moral dimensions transcend individual injustices, weakening collective struggles for equity by removing historical precedents that could embolden future generations to overcome persistent barriers.

3.2. Structural Disruptions and Institutional Distortions

Beyond moral considerations, retrieval failure creates institutional disruptions that hinder knowledge progression. When academic journals and funding bodies overlook certain findings, the feedback

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

loops of knowledge production are disrupted. Promising lines of inquiry may be abandoned prematurely, and duplication of forgotten research can occur. For example, if a pioneering study is ignored due to bias, later researchers might "reinvent the wheel" decades later. Such distortions slow the self-correcting nature of science and scholarship. When universities or professional societies neglect particular contributions due to Reclassification, assigning an idea to a less prestigious domain, or Compression, acknowledging a thinker only superficially, subsequent research often proceeds on incomplete foundations. Lines of inquiry omitted or marginalized at critical moments become difficult, if not impossible, to reconstruct.

Such oversights become entrenched within disciplinary practices, shaping textbook narratives, keynote addresses, and hiring decisions around an artificially narrowed conception of core knowledge. Over time, a discipline's historiography solidifies around these selective accounts, reinforcing biases through established citation patterns, curriculum design, and professional standards. The result is a distorted institutional memory that projects an illusion of smooth, linear progress, concealing the numerous instances when particular innovators or ideas were systematically excluded. Thus, retrieval failure shapes the official stories disciplines tell about their origins and achievements, normalizing omissions that might otherwise appear arbitrary or unjust.

3.3. Delayed Convergence and Unrealized Potential

Another substantial cost of retrieval failure is delayed convergence, whereby unrecognized work by one individual or domain fails to catalyze timely interdisciplinary insight. This is akin to the "lost opportunities" effect noted in studies of scientific innovation: when ideas are published but not acknowledged, research progress can stall until the contribution is independently rediscovered. Unseen links between domains remain dormant, and progress that might have occurred in one era gets postponed to the next. Rosalind Franklin's crucial DNA-structure data, had it been fully acknowledged earlier, might have accelerated developments in genetics and biochemistry. Similarly, African women's political strategies, if not obscured through Tactical Forgetting or Reclassification by colonial archival practices, could have earlier informed comparative governance studies or feminist political theory. Across science and politics alike, such delays show how the overshadowing of even a single thinker can trigger cascading stagnation that ripples through multiple disciplines.

3.4. The Cycle of Erasure

Retrieval failure often becomes self-reinforcing: once a contribution disappears from canonical references, each generation inherits its absence and reproduces it. This pattern may begin with Silencing, where initial publication barriers exclude certain work. Over time, Substitution, where credit shifts to institutionally favored contributors, or Compression, where only fragments of the original contributions are acknowledged, further entrenches the loss. Eventually, partial recognition morphs into Tactical Forgetting, erasing entire dimensions of a thinker's legacy to produce a simplified historical record.

Institutionally, this cycle persists through routines often presented as neutral or objective. Peer-review processes depend heavily upon citations to established authorities; departmental hiring emphasizes scholarship deemed central or canonical; libraries and archives prioritize collections from prominent sponsors or donors. Once excluded or minimized, each generation inherits a distorted landscape of knowledge, inadvertently reinforcing past omissions. The result is a vicious circle in which future scholarship, lacking awareness of these contributions, perpetuates their neglect.

3.5. The Loss of Ways of Seeing

This subsection formalizes the consequences of cumulative erasure introduced in §3.4, describing how information loss reorganizes, rather than merely subtracts, from the epistemic field. The long-term consequence of structural erasure is not limited to the disappearance of individual contributors but extends to the extinction of entire ways of seeing, the epistemic frameworks through which knowledge is generated, transmitted, and reinterpreted. Each contributor operates as a node in a lineage, a

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

generator of questions, heuristics, and metaphors that inform how others learn to observe and classify the world. When a node is removed, its connections cannot simply be restored; the network topology of inquiry changes. Empirical network analysis of citation patterns demonstrates similar contraction effects, where lost nodes trigger measurable reductions in conceptual diversity. Whole regions of potential exchange, what historians of science call intellectual genealogies, vanish from the system.

This process is cumulative and nonlinear. Erasure compounds rather than sums; once a lineage is severed, subsequent generations inherit a distorted map of the field. Disciplines then normalize the absences by redrawing their boundaries around what remains. Thomas Kuhn's description of paradigm formation and Pierre Bourdieu's notion of the field both anticipate this behavior: systems adapt to exclusion by stabilizing new equilibria that no longer register the missing coordinates. The result is not a static gap but a dynamic reconfiguration of perception itself, a contraction of what the historian Lorraine Daston calls "the moral economy of observation."

The effect can be modeled as an information cascade. Each lost perspective reduces the diversity of conceptual inputs, lowering the system's capacity for combination and innovation. Empirically, this appears as the repetition of solved problems, rediscovery of prior insights, and disciplinary path dependence. In information-theoretic terms, erasure increases entropy, here referring to the growing indistinguishability of signals within a knowledge system. Signals that might once have differentiated meaning become noise. Over generations, the epistemic field narrows until entire interpretive grammars, including languages of explanation, metaphors of relation, and logics of empathy, fall outside retrieval.

The cost is therefore structural, not sentimental. A society that repeatedly forgets its heterodox observers becomes less capable of perceiving complexity. Its archive of possible perspectives shrinks, and with it the capacity for moral and conceptual innovation. Once an interpretive tradition collapses, later recovery can only approximate its form; its internal syntax of meaning, shaped by context and struggle, dissolves beyond reconstruction.

This is the irreversible dimension of compound epistemic loss, referring here to informational topology rather than moral closure. It describes a feedback loop in which each omission makes the next more likely, and in which the collective field of vision grows ever thinner. Preserving diverse ways of seeing is therefore not a matter of sentiment but of sustaining the world's capacity for moral imagination.

3.6. Linking Costs to the Five-Mode Typology

The cumulative phenomena described above, including network contraction, information cascade, and compound epistemic loss, map directly onto the five operative modes of erasure. Each mode describes a distinct failure surface along the life cycle of knowledge. *Silencing* obstructs entry by preventing a perspective from entering circulation. *Reclassification* reframes contributions in peripheral or misleading categories, displacing them from the main channel of transmission. *Compression* simplifies complex work into digestible fragments, reducing conceptual resolution. *Substitution* reassigns authorship, altering the topology of attribution networks. *Tactical Forgetting* performs temporal deletion, pruning what no longer fits institutional memory. Together these mechanisms trace what can be understood as the *approximate entropy path of knowledge*, from emergence to suppression, from retrieval latency to disappearance. The cost of erasure is thus measurable not only in lost recognition but in the progressive degradation of a system's informational diversity and connective potential.

Recognizing how each mode operates within this larger dynamic clarifies that erasure is not an isolated act but a systemic process of epistemic reconfiguration. Every omission modifies the relational structure that sustains further inquiry, producing moral injustice as a downstream symptom of structural failure. The resulting distortions, diminished role models, delayed convergence of ideas, and cyclic reinforcement of partial canons, constitute the empirical footprint of compound loss identified in §3.5. These patterns show that erasure compounds rather than resolves; once contraction begins, the knowledge field reorganizes itself around what remains, normalizing the absence as equilibrium.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

By outlining both the ethical and structural costs of retrieval failure, this section underscores that erasure is never merely symbolic. It is a measurable reduction in the system's capacity for innovation, empathy, and recognition, a contraction of what the world is capable of knowing. Mapping these interactions establishes the analytic bridge between the typology and the mechanisms of epistemic contraction described above, preparing the ground for the formal exposition that follows.[2]

4. Typology of Erasure

This study identifies five recurrent though not exhaustive modes of erasure: *Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting*. Each mode represents a distinct mechanism by which contributions are diminished or removed from collective memory. These modes operate across individuals, collectives, and traditions, shaping both personal authorship and communal knowledge.

Although conceptually distinct, these modes often overlap or occur sequentially. Understanding these patterns is essential to diagnosing how an idea or figure slips through institutional memory. Each mode is analytically defined here and further operationalized in Appendix A.10, where the typology is integrated into quantitative metrics of retrieval.

4.1. Silencing

Silencing refers to the direct or indirect suppression of ideas or contributors that prevents their entry into discourse. This suppression may take the form of explicit censorship, where authorities block publication, or subtler exclusion through gatekeeping and bias. When a regulatory body, editorial board, or academic institution refuses review or dissemination, the result is the same: initial recognition is denied and later incorporation into broader knowledge systems becomes impossible.

Silencing typically arises at the earliest stages of knowledge production, when new ideas seek validation. Its effectiveness depends on entrenched hierarchies such as gender, racial, or class bias, authoritarian academic or political environments, and restrictive cultural norms that determine who may speak authoritatively. Examples include Sophie Germain adopting the pseudonym "M. LeBlanc" to bypass patriarchal restrictions within the French Academy or colonial governments censoring material that challenged imperial authority. Silencing is most prevalent in contexts characterized by rigid gatekeeping, including nineteenth-century academic institutions, colonial administrations, and contemporary regimes that regulate discourse. Appendix A.10 details how Silencing corresponds to failure at the *entry* stage of information flow.

4.2. Reclassification

Reclassification occurs when intellectual contributions are transferred into marginal or misleading categories that diminish their significance. Instead of suppressing material outright, reclassification relocates it to a peripheral domain, limiting its effect on the dominant narrative. For instance, an organized uprising may be recorded officially as a "riot," reducing collective political strategy to disorder.

Reclassification commonly follows initial reception, particularly during disciplinary restructuring or editorial reorganization. Its systemic enablers include evolving category standards, methodological prejudice, and institutional boundary shifts that marginalize previously central work. Examples include early ethnographic studies by Indigenous scholars labeled as "folklore" rather than anthropology or philosophical arguments redefined as theology, excluding them from secular inquiry. Reclassification is often visible during professionalization phases when disciplines consolidate authority. Appendix A.10 identifies Reclassification as a failure at the *framing* stage of the informational life cycle.

[2] While this paper does not center legal or identity-based frameworks, it acknowledges foundational contributions by Black feminist thinkers including bell hooks, Kimberlé Crenshaw, and the Combahee River Collective, among others.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

4.3. Compression

Compression describes the reduction of a contributor's multifaceted output to a simplified and partial legacy. Historical memory is condensed into a few recognizable achievements while a broader body of work is lost. Compression arises most often during canon formation, textbook synthesis, and popular narrative production. It does not conceal work entirely but reduces its resolution within collective understanding.

Enabling factors include curricular simplification, hero-centric storytelling, and dependence on derivative secondary sources. Examples include Sophie Germain being remembered primarily for "Germain primes," overshadowing her theoretical contributions to elasticity, and Ada Lovelace recognized mainly as the "poetical mathematician," masking her analytical innovations. Compression often accompanies pedagogical standardization and the construction of disciplinary origin myths. Appendix A.10 situates Compression at the *distillation* stage of retrieval, when complexity is reduced for transmission.

4.4. Substitution

Substitution refers to the systematic transfer of credit from an original contributor to another individual or group. Misattribution may accumulate gradually through citation error or occur abruptly when influential actors claim precedence. Once substitution is recorded in major academic or public outlets, it gains persistence through repetition.

Structural enablers include hierarchical authorship norms, archival gaps that obscure provenance, and cultural or national biases privileging dominant identities. Notable cases include Rosalind Franklin's X-ray crystallography data incorporated into the Watson–Crick DNA model without equivalent acknowledgment, and numerous Indigenous innovations retroactively assigned to colonial "discoverers." Substitution predominates in competitive academic and political environments where recognition itself constitutes authority. Appendix A.10 classifies Substitution as failure at the *attribution* stage of information retention.

4.5. Tactical Forgetting

Tactical Forgetting involves the strategic omission of inconvenient figures or events from subsequent narratives to protect institutional or ideological coherence. It functions retrospectively, revising earlier records rather than blocking initial recognition. This form of erasure is typically deliberate and associated with attempts to preserve legitimacy or unity.

Tactical Forgetting surfaces most often during regime change, institutional reform, or reputational crises. Enablers include archival redaction, selective commemoration, and editorial omission. Examples range from post-conflict histories that erase dissident leadership to the removal of scientists associated with discredited regimes. Tactical Forgetting aligns with the *revision* stage in Appendix A.10, representing deliberate modification of the historical record after recognition has occurred.

4.6. Integrative Application of the Typology

Viewed through retrieval dynamics, the five modes constitute distinct points of failure along the life cycle of information, from emergence to memorialization. In empirical contexts, retrieval breakdown seldom occurs through a single mode alone. Sequential and overlapping processes are common. A contributor once silenced may later achieve limited recovery but remain compressed into a simplified identity, while a figure initially celebrated may later undergo tactical omission when political conditions shift.

Researchers applying this typology should:

1. Identify initial points of erasure: determine whether an individual or idea was blocked at publication (*Silencing*) or later shifted to marginal domains (*Reclassification*).
2. Trace the evolution of recognition: assess whether early acceptance narrowed into a simplified narrative (*Compression*) or credit migrated to dominant actors (*Substitution*).

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

3. Examine retrospective acts: determine whether ideological, political, or disciplinary realignment produced selective omission (*Tactical Forgetting*).
4. Evaluate overlap and sequence: recognize that erasure usually manifests through combinations of modes that change with institutional context and time.

Each mode therefore corresponds to a specific stage of information processing—*entry*, *framing*, *distillation*, *attribution*, and *revision*—allowing analysts to locate where retrieval collapses. The typology functions not merely as a taxonomy but as a diagnostic framework for detecting how institutions lose access to their own records of knowledge. It bridges qualitative historiography with quantitative retrieval analysis, reinforcing that structural erasure follows identifiable, modelable pathways rather than metaphorical decay.

Table 1. Summary of Erasure Modes.

Mode	Description (Mechanism and Stage)	Systemic Enablers	Illustrative Example
Silencing	Suppression preventing a contribution's entry into discourse; typically pre-publication or early reception.	Gatekeeping, gender or racial barriers, authoritarian control.	A dissident's paper rejected for political reasons.
Reclassification	Mislabeling or shifting work into a lesser category after initial reception or disciplinary change.	Colonial logic, epistemic bias, category drift.	A coordinated revolt recorded as a "riot," trivializing collective organization.
Compression	Oversimplifying a legacy during canonization or memorialization (a kind of information reduction).	Textbook economy, hero-centric historiography.	A scientist remembered only for one discovery, with other work omitted.
Substitution	Systematic redirection of credit to a more dominant or acceptable figure during recognition or dissemination.	Power asymmetry, archival bias, hierarchy in authorship.	A discovery attributed to a senior colleague instead of its true originator.
Tactical Forgetting	Intentional omission of figures or facts in posthumous or institutional revision to preserve authority.	Regime change, reputational preservation, brand maintenance.	Post-conflict histories exclude a dissident's role to maintain a unified narrative.

4.7. Clarifying Intent and Mechanism

While the typology differentiates specific modes of erasure, it recognizes a continuum of intent behind them. Some instances involve explicit suppression such as state censorship or editorial redaction; others arise from systemic bias or institutional inertia without deliberate agency. This continuum indicates that erasure may occur without malicious actors. The resulting harm parallels Johan Galtung's concept of *structural violence*, which inflicts injury both informational and human through ordinary function rather than overt hostility. Structural violence thus appears as retrieval latency, a delay or blockage in the return of knowledge rather than outright deletion. Understanding this gradient of intent enables more accurate accountability and guides strategies for institutional reform.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

At deeper levels, structural violence intersects with identity. When race, gender, or colonial position compound exclusion, the epistemic reach of erasure expands. Compression may reduce a Black woman scientist or an Indigenous researcher from the Global South to a singular "first," erasing both intellectual breadth and structural resistance. Similarly, Reclassification can delegitimize colonized epistemologies, as when Igbo women's *mikiri* councils were described as disorder rather than governance [**?**].

These intersections reinforce that each mode of erasure represents a surface expression of an underlying structure that limits retrieval.

By distinguishing these mechanisms, analysts can determine how specific losses occur within historical and institutional systems. Recognizing these pathways clarifies which corrective policies, archival reforms, or pedagogical revisions are required to prevent recurrence. Ultimately, the five modes form a coherent analytical framework for diagnosing and intervening in the diverse processes through which knowledge becomes systematically marginalized.

5. Case Studies

In the following case studies, the five-mode typology is applied to concrete historical examples. Each case, spanning scientific discovery, social activism, anti-colonial protest, and Cold War politics, illustrates different configurations of *Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting*. This section presents an illustrative sample rather than an exhaustive survey, showing how abstract modes overlap and evolve in practice. Table 2 provides a diagnostic overview of how these modes manifest across cases.

Table 2. Diagnostic Overview of Erasure Modes.

Case	Primary Modes	Secondary Modes	Key Sources
Sophie Germain	Silencing, Compression	—	Bucciarelli & Dworsky (1980)
Rosalind Franklin	Substitution, Compression	Tactical Forgetting	Maddox (2002); Elkin (2003); Watson (1968)
María Elena Moyano	Substitution, Tactical Forgetting	Compression	Burt (2011); CVR (2003)
Nwanyeruwa (Aba Women's War)	Reclassification, Silencing	Tactical Forgetting	Van Allen (1972)
Paul Robeson	Substitution, Compression	Silencing, Tactical Forgetting	Duberman (1989); Foner (1978); Horne (2016)

5.1. Sophie Germain and the Cost of Silencing Through Compression

5.1.1 Timeline Context

Sophie Germain (1776–1831) was a French mathematician who pursued advanced study during the upheavals of the French Revolution and Napoleonic era, when formal education for women was prohibited. Even when she anonymously won the Paris Academy's 1816 prize for her pioneering paper on elastic vibrations, institutional recognition was muted. From adolescence she studied mathematics privately and corresponded with Lagrange, Gauss, and Fourier. In 1804, Gauss expressed admiration for her intellect upon discovering that "M. LeBlanc" was a woman, praising her analytical skill in a letter that affirmed her exceptional ability despite structural exclusion [**?**]. Her correspondence and manuscripts demonstrate substantial original work in both number theory and elasticity, yet repeated institutional barriers and subsequent narrative compression prevented her full incorporation into the scientific record. Her trajectory exemplifies how retrieval failure can unfold through two predominant modes— Silencing and Compression. Her later commemoration through the *Sophie Germain Prize*, established by the French Academy of Sciences, represents a partial retrieval that acknowledges, but cannot fully restore, her original visibility.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

5.1.2 Typology Fit

The case demonstrates the following modes:

- **Silencing:** Germain adopted the pseudonym "M. LeBlanc" to bypass systemic exclusion of women from scholarly networks. This concealment illustrates how early gatekeeping prevented her participation in the École Polytechnique and the Paris Academy.
- **Compression:** Later histories acknowledged her only for "Sophie Germain primes," overshadowing her contributions to elasticity and number theory. Her name survived, but her intellectual range was truncated.

Together these modes demonstrate how Germain's ideas entered circulation without the authorial visibility necessary for long-term retrieval, ensuring that later generations encountered fragments rather than a full record of her work.

5.1.3 Evidence of Retrieval Failure

Although she won the Academy's prize on elastic vibrations, mathematicians and historians neglected the full scope of her approach. Her correspondence with Lagrange, Gauss, and Fourier remained private. Gauss's 1804 letter confirmed her originality and depth of insight, but the acknowledgment carried no institutional effect. Later references to her elasticity research were omitted or relegated to footnotes, favoring male successors such as Cauchy. The result is a fragmented archival trail in which Germain's influence is visible but rarely credited.

5.1.4 Structural Enablers of Erasure

The following institutional and cultural factors sustained her erasure:

- **Gendered Access to Education:** Formal institutions excluded women, forcing them into private study and correspondence.
- **Academic Gatekeeping:** Membership in the major French academies required credentials and networks unavailable to women.
- **Narrative Simplification:** Early nineteenth- and twentieth-century "great-person" histories sidelined women as curiosities rather than theorists.
- **Lost Female Lineage:** Without institutional recognition, Germain left no visible cohort of successors, perpetuating the myth that serious mathematics was an exclusively male domain.

These factors interacted to reinforce one another: limited access produced invisibility, and invisibility was later cited as evidence that women lacked mathematical aptitude.

5.1.5 Convergence Trajectory (Counterfactual)

Had Germain been fully recognized and admitted into official circles, her research on elasticity and number theory might have anchored the foundations of mathematical physics in early nineteenth-century France. Her methods could have accelerated insights later credited to Cauchy and normalized the presence of women in scientific institutions. A visible Germain could have created a lineage of mentorship and example, altering the perceived boundaries of intellectual legitimacy.

5.1.6 Cost of Erasure

The following consequences illustrate the epistemic and cultural losses resulting from Germain's partial erasure:

- **Slowed Theoretical Development:** Later elasticity breakthroughs duplicated concepts she had already articulated.
- **Lost Lineage for Women in Science:** Future mathematicians lacked a recognized female predecessor, weakening continuity of representation.
- **Historiographical Distortion:** Standard histories omitted or minimized her, creating a false impression that women made no major contributions in this era.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

- **Distorted Memory:** Through Compression, her reputation narrowed to a single achievement, obscuring the interdisciplinary scope of her work.

These costs reveal how structural biases do not merely silence voices but reshape the epistemic landscape itself, producing enduring gaps in disciplinary memory.

5.1.7 Conclusion of Case

Germain's experience demonstrates how Silencing and Compression can operate sequentially: early exclusion blocked her participation, and later simplification narrowed her legacy. Her partial retrieval in modern historiography is qualitative rather than structural, underscoring the fragility of intellectual recovery; ideas may persist in fragments long after their authors have been written out of history.

5.2. Rosalind Franklin and the Consequences of Substitution Coupled with Compression

5.2.1 Timeline Context

Rosalind Franklin (1920–1958) was a British chemist and X-ray crystallographer whose mid-twentieth-century research proved vital to uncovering DNA's double-helix structure. Her celebrated Photograph 51 provided decisive evidence of DNA's helical form, yet despite her central contribution, her name never achieved parity with Watson and Crick.[3] Trained at Newnham College, Cambridge, Franklin later conducted groundbreaking studies on carbon and coal before joining King's College London in 1951. Her meticulous diffraction methods produced the critical data that made the double-helix model possible. Nevertheless, institutional hierarchies and gendered assumptions constrained her visibility, resulting in long-term retrieval failure. Beginning in the 1980s, and continuing through the 2000s, a wave of scholarly and media reevaluations, including the 2003 *Nature* reappraisal, gradually restored Franklin's centrality to the history of molecular biology.

5.2.2 Typology Fit

Franklin's case demonstrates two principal modes of erasure:

- **Substitution:** Franklin's data and analyses were incorporated into Watson and Crick's model without direct acknowledgment. This misattribution, reinforced by the 1962 Nobel Prize that excluded her, systematically redirected credit away from her authorship.
- **Compression:** Later narratives reduced her to a supporting role in the DNA story, overlooking her extensive work on carbon structure and viral morphology.

Together, these modes illustrate the dual process by which recognition was both displaced and narrowed. Franklin's experience embodies the *Matilda effect*, a recurrent pattern in which women's scientific achievements are attributed to male colleagues, resulting in both immediate exclusion and long-term archival distortion.

5.2.3 Evidence of Retrieval Failure

Direct evidence appears in the 1953 *Nature* papers where Watson and Crick presented the double-helix model based partly on Franklin's X-ray data. Her name appeared only as a coauthor on an adjacent paper, not as an equal contributor. Watson's later memoir, *The Double Helix* (1968), further entrenched her marginalization by depicting her as an obstacle rather than a collaborator. Although later biographies, notably Maddox (2002) and Elkin (2003), recovered aspects of her work, they could not erase decades of narrative substitution that had already reshaped scientific memory.

5.2.4 Structural Enablers of Erasure

The following systemic factors sustained Franklin's marginalization:

[3] The Nobel Prize rules prohibit posthumous awards, which partly explains Franklin's exclusion from the 1962 recognition shared by Watson, Crick, and Wilkins.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

- **Gender Bias in Institutions:** Mid-century British laboratories restricted women's access to resources and authority, limiting Franklin's influence over how her data were used.
- **Hierarchical Credit Systems:** Recognition favored senior male scientists with stronger institutional networks, enabling the transfer of credit upward.
- **Great-Person Narrative:** Popular science histories celebrated a small number of "heroic" discoverers, a pattern amplified by Merton's "Matthew effect," whereby the already famous receive disproportionate acknowledgment.

These enablers combined to privilege personality over process, ensuring that Franklin's methodological innovations were overshadowed by more visible male colleagues.

5.2.5 Convergence Trajectory (Counterfactual)

Had Franklin been fully credited for her role in elucidating DNA's structure, collaborations across structural biology and virology might have advanced sooner. Her precise crystallographic methods could have accelerated research on RNA and viral structures during the 1960s. Greater public recognition might also have shifted cultural expectations, normalizing women's leadership in laboratory science and altering the gender balance in subsequent generations of molecular biologists.

5.2.6 Cost of Erasure

The consequences of Franklin's partial erasure include:

- **Distorted Discovery Narratives:** The DNA story became a simplified tale of two men, concealing the collaborative and cumulative nature of the discovery.
- **Loss of Intellectual Continuity:** Her methodological rigor and innovations in crystallography were disconnected from subsequent developments in structural biology.
- **Reinforcement of Gender Norms:** Franklin's sidelining reinforced the belief that major scientific breakthroughs were the province of men.
- **Discouraging Women in STEM:** Her marginalization signaled that even exceptional women might not receive full credit, discouraging participation and self-advocacy.

Collectively these outcomes show how erasure alters not only the memory of a field's past but the confidence of its future participants.

5.2.7 Conclusion of Case

Franklin's experience demonstrates how Substitution and Compression operate together to reshape scientific remembrance. Her partial retrieval through later scholarship underscores that while individual reputations can be rehabilitated, structural inequities in authorship and recognition persist until the frameworks of credit themselves are reformed. Rehabilitation, however, cannot replace structural reform within scientific institutions.

Shifting from laboratory science to grass-roots activism, the next case shows how erasure can sanitize political memory itself.

5.3. María Elena Moyano: Substitution and the Tactical Forgetting of Radical Critique

5.3.1 Timeline Context

María Elena Moyano (1958–1992) was an Afro-Peruvian community leader and socialist-feminist who believed that socioeconomic oppression and patriarchal domination had to be confronted jointly. Active in Villa El Salvador, she organized neighborhood associations, women's collectives, and community kitchens that combined material relief with political education. Throughout the 1980s, she denounced both government neglect and the terror tactics of the Maoist insurgency Sendero Luminoso. Her assassination in 1992 transformed her into a national symbol, but subsequent memorials diluted her radical critique of class and race inequality. Her story demonstrates how retrieval failure can arise through the combined effects of *Substitution* and *Tactical Forgetting*. Recent Peruvian feminist retrieval projects, including interviews published in *Hildebrandt en sus Trece* and later analyses by Afro-Peruvian

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

feminist scholars, have sought to recover her political voice within a broader Latin-American feminist framework.

5.3.2 Typology Fit

Two modes of erasure define Moyano's legacy:

- **Substitution:** Media and state officials exalted Moyano as a unifying martyr, an apolitical "mother of the people," while erasing her socialist-feminist program and her critiques of oligarchic power. A 1988 address often titled "La Mujer y la Comunidad" captured her core message: that women's struggles were not only for bread but for dignity and for transforming society.
- **Tactical Forgetting:** Post-conflict narratives of reconciliation emphasized her death as a national tragedy but omitted her analysis of race, gender, and class oppression.

Together these modes recast a radical organizer into a benign maternal archetype, transforming ideological confrontation into sentimental heroism, a pattern seen across many post-conflict societies.

5.3.3 Evidence of Retrieval Failure

Immediately after her assassination, newspapers hailed Moyano as "Madre Coraje" ("Mother Courage"), praising her bravery while ignoring her political writings. Public tributes portrayed her as a moral exemplar but avoided the socialist and anti-racist arguments she advanced in speeches such as *La Mujer y la Comunidad*. The 2001 Truth and Reconciliation Commission recorded her killing yet gave minimal attention to her intersectional critique of structural injustice. NGO memorials similarly highlighted her humanitarian service while downplaying her systemic analysis. This selective commemoration demonstrates both Substitution and Tactical Forgetting operating in tandem.

5.3.4 Structural Enablers of Erasure

The following structural conditions reinforced the erasure of Moyano's radicalism:

- **Patriarchal Media Ecosystem:** Journalistic norms favored narratives of moral virtue and motherhood, recoding political militancy as personal sacrifice.
- **Post-Conflict Narrative Control:** Transitional discourses of national unity prioritized depoliticized icons over divisive ideological voices.
- **NGO and State Depoliticization:** Development agencies and official memorials framed Moyano's activism as community service rather than structural critique, aligning her image with neoliberal civic ideals.

Collectively these factors redefined Moyano's activism within acceptable moral boundaries, transforming a critique of power into a story of compassion and duty.

5.3.5 Convergence Trajectory (Counterfactual)

Had Moyano's intersectional analysis been preserved, Peru's post-war reconstruction might have incorporated explicit attention to racial and gender inequality. Truth Commission reports could have addressed systemic discrimination, influencing subsequent policy reforms and inspiring a generation of Afro-Peruvian and feminist leaders to unite class and gender struggles within a single emancipatory framework.

5.3.6 Cost of Erasure

The main consequences of Moyano's partial erasure include:

- **Substituted Ideology:** Her socialist-feminist critique was replaced by a sentimental image of maternal altruism.
- **Tactical Oversimplification:** State and NGO narratives framed her activism as humanitarian service, detaching it from systemic analysis.
- **Stifled Feminist Legacies:** Later women's movements lacked a visible model linking racial, gender, and class justice.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

These outcomes show how post-conflict memory can neutralize radical content by translating political dissent into moral exemplarity.

5.3.7 Conclusion of Case

Moyano's commemoration reveals how Substitution and Tactical Forgetting function as instruments of ideological control. Recognition becomes a vehicle for containment: what is remembered is rendered safe, though such sentimental reframing often emerges from collective grief as much as from control. Her case exemplifies how even celebrated figures can be reframed through selective retrieval, turning resistance into consensus. Recent feminist scholarship has begun to reverse this process by reintroducing her voice as a foundational Afro-Peruvian feminist scholar and a bridge between grass-roots activism and theory.

Turning from late-twentieth-century Peru to colonial Nigeria, the next case reveals how archival mislabeling distorts anti-colonial history.

5.4. Nwanyeruwa and the Reclassification of the Aba Women's War

5.4.1 Timeline Context

In 1929, a dispute between Nwanyeruwa, a widow in southeastern Nigeria, and a British tax officer triggered a mass protest that colonial officials labeled the "Aba Women's Riot." Contemporary scholars argue that "Aba Women's War" is the more accurate term, recognizing the event as a coordinated revolt rather than spontaneous unrest. Over several weeks, tens of thousands of Igbo and Ibibio women organized market strikes, petitions, and demonstrations against new taxes and exploitative administrative practices. Their collective action demonstrated sophisticated political organization grounded in existing institutions such as the *mikiri* (women's councils). Yet colonial and later nationalist narratives trivialized their leadership and strategic intent, reclassifying a structured anti-colonial movement as disorganized disorder.

5.4.2 Typology Fit

Two primary modes of erasure define this case:

- **Reclassification:** Colonial authorities and later historians characterized the coordinated uprising as a "riot," thereby recasting deliberate political resistance as irrational female agitation. This "riot" framing further legitimized colonial taxation policies and the subsequent use of armed repression.
- **Silencing:** Nwanyeruwa's leadership and the collective strategy of the *mikiri* were erased from official records, replaced with depersonalized references to anonymous "female crowds."

Together these modes transformed a disciplined act of political negotiation into an apolitical disturbance, obscuring the sophistication of women's collective action across ethnic lines.

5.4.3 Evidence of Retrieval Failure

British district dispatches from late 1929 referred to the protests as "isolated disturbances" by native women, omitting both names and evidence of prior coordination [**?**]. These reports justified military repression and reinforced tax collection authority, ensuring that colonial administration appeared both rational and benevolent. Postcolonial nationalist histories repeated the "riot" framing, prioritizing male political figures in the broader independence movement. Anthropological recovery efforts, most notably Van Allen (1972), later revealed that the protests were organized through established women's networks, exposing the depth of earlier archival misrepresentation.

5.4.4 Structural Enablers of Erasure

The following interlocking factors sustained the reclassification and silencing of the Aba Women's War:

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

- **Colonial Paternalism:** British officials interpreted female-led political organization through the lens of Victorian gender norms, assuming women lacked rational political capacity.
- **Archival Bias:** Colonial record-keeping privileged reports by male warrant chiefs and administrators, omitting oral testimonies and records from the *mikiri* councils that coordinated mobilization.
- **Postcolonial Complicity:** Early nationalist historians, largely male, accepted colonial categorizations that excluded women's leadership from official narratives of resistance.

These mechanisms reinforced each other: the colonial state's gendered assumptions structured the archives, and those archives in turn shaped postcolonial historiography.

5.4.5 Convergence Trajectory (Counterfactual)

Had the women's revolt been properly recognized as an organized anti-colonial campaign, its tactical innovations, including horizontal leadership, cross-ethnic coordination, and market-based mobilization, might have informed early post-independence governance. Nigerian historiography could have celebrated the Women's War as a foundational model of participatory democracy, embedding female agency into the national narrative of resistance.

5.4.6 Cost of Erasure

The consequences of misclassification and silence include:

- **Distorted Historical Record:** The framing of the revolt as chaotic unrest obscured its political objectives and strategies.
- **Loss of Female Precedent:** Generations of Nigerian women were denied knowledge of a powerful historical example of female-led collective action.
- **Global Historical Misperception:** Comparative studies of anti-colonial movements underrepresented women-led resistance, reinforcing the false notion that political modernity in Africa was exclusively male-driven.

These distortions ripple beyond Nigeria, shaping global understandings of both colonial governance and feminist history.

5.4.7 Conclusion of Case

The misnaming and erasure surrounding Nwanyeruwa and the Aba Women's War illustrate how *Reclassification* and *Silencing* can persist across successive historical regimes. What began as colonial propaganda became institutionalized historiography, embedding bias within both local and global archives. The recovery of this episode underscores the importance of re-examining archival language itself as a mechanism of erasure. By restoring agency to the *mikiri* councils and to Nwanyeruwa's leadership, later feminist and decolonial scholarship redefines the event as a coordinated act of political resistance rather than spontaneous disorder. This recovery is not merely corrective but methodological, revealing how the act of naming structures collective memory. In tracing these retrievals, the case demonstrates that epistemic repair requires more than inclusion; it demands the reconstruction of interpretive frameworks that recognize the full spectrum of women's political agency in anti-colonial history.

Finally, the Robeson case shows how retrieval failure in modern political memory can mirror the suppression of scientific or colonial records.

5.5. Paul Robeson and the Tactical Reclassification of Radical Internationalism

5.5.1 Timeline Context

Paul Robeson (1898–1976) was among the most celebrated Black American figures of the mid-twentieth century, a polyglot artist, athlete, scholar, and political thinker who united artistry with activism. During the 1930s and 1940s he commanded global stages and aligned with labor, anti-colonial, and anti-fascist movements, achieving worldwide prominence. With the advent of the Cold War, however, his visibility was rapidly curtailed by state repression, travel bans, and media

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

blacklisting that transformed one of the most famous men in the world into a silenced dissident within his own country. Later in life, following years of surveillance and forced isolation, Robeson withdrew from public activity due partly to declining health and exhaustion from sustained persecution.

5.5.2 Typology Fit

Robeson's case demonstrates two principal modes of erasure:

- **Substitution:** His radical internationalism, linking racial justice to global anti-imperial struggles, was recast as subversive disloyalty. Propaganda reframed him as a cautionary tale of "un-American" extremism rather than a civil-rights pioneer, illustrating the moral cost of equating patriotism with obedience.
- **Compression:** His multidimensional career was reduced to the narrow image of "that singer" or Cold War casualty, minimizing his intellectual and political achievements.

Together these modes substituted ideological stigma for political substance and compressed a complex thinker into a manageable cultural stereotype.

5.5.3 Evidence of Retrieval Failure

Declassified FBI files (100-12304, released 1980) reveal systematic surveillance of Robeson's personal and professional life. His passport was revoked in 1950 after he refused to denounce socialism, effectively ending his international performances. HUAC hearings, media censorship, and coordinated pressure from the entertainment industry enforced a near-total blackout of his work. Major concert halls and broadcasters canceled engagements, while textbooks and civil-rights histories excluded him entirely. These records demonstrate not accidental omission but a state-sponsored program of silencing and substitution. In *Here I Stand* (1958) Robeson reflected on the moral cost of such repression, writing, "The artist must elect to fight for freedom or for slavery. I have made my choice." This statement captures the conviction that made him both indispensable and intolerable to the political order of his time.

5.5.4 Structural Enablers of Erasure

The following factors institutionalized Robeson's erasure:

- **Cold War Anti-Communist Apparatus:** HUAC investigations, passport controls, and COINTELPRO operations framed dissent as treason, targeting artists with socialist sympathies.
- **Media Censorship and Cultural Conformity:** Journalists and studio executives enforced patriotic orthodoxy, ensuring Robeson's absence from mainstream visibility.
- **Racial Bias in Cultural Institutions:** Hollywood and the music industry marginalized Black radical voices; Robeson's political defiance intensified this exclusion.

These structures worked in concert to transform political repression into cultural invisibility, replacing dialogue with silence.

5.5.5 Convergence Trajectory (Counterfactual)

Had Robeson's internationalist vision been acknowledged rather than suppressed, the civil-rights movement might have adopted a more global orientation decades earlier. His emphasis on the unity of labor and racial struggles could have linked American activism with African and Asian decolonization. Figures such as Martin Luther King Jr. might have found institutional support for anti-imperialist positions sooner, reshaping both domestic and foreign-policy debates.

5.5.6 Cost of Erasure

The consequences of Robeson's suppression extend across cultural, political, and intellectual domains:

- **Lost Lineage of Radical Thought:** The bridge between Du Bois's Pan-Africanism and later civil-rights internationalism was fractured.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

- **Detachment from Global Decolonization:** U.S. civil-rights history became insulated from worldwide liberation movements, narrowing its ideological scope.
- **Loss of Role Models for Activist-Artists:** Subsequent generations of Black performers lacked a visible precedent for combining artistic excellence with political advocacy.

These losses demonstrate how erasure impoverishes collective memory by severing continuity between art, politics, and international solidarity.

5.5.1. Conclusion of Case

Robeson's trajectory exemplifies how Substitution and Compression can merge under ideological pressure. Once a symbol of transnational solidarity, he was reclassified as a domestic threat and later remembered only in fragments. His partial recovery in archival scholarship underscores the fragility of cultural retrieval when political forces dictate the boundaries of remembrance. Centennial archival revivals, including exhibitions and digital restoration of his performances in 1998 and 2023, continue to restore his global legacy and reaffirm the political reach of his artistry, inspiring contemporary digital-archive and community-curation projects that extend his retrieval beyond formal institutions.

Cross-Case Synthesis

Across these five cases, retrieval failure follows a recognizable sequence: initial exclusion, subsequent simplification, and eventual memorial distortion, demonstrating the typology's reach across scientific, political, and cultural domains. Together, they reveal that remembrance itself requires institutional infrastructure; without it, even brilliance decays into legend. Across these examples, two recurrent trajectories emerge: an upstream path (*Silencing* → *Reclassification* → *Substitution*) that blocks entry into discourse, and a downstream path (*Compression* → *Tactical Forgetting*) that distorts or deletes memory after recognition. Together they trace the full arc of progressive epistemic drift.

Table 3. Cross-case retrieval matrix: summary of modes, enablers, and interventions (illustrative, non-quantitative).

Case	Dominant Modes	Structural Enablers	Retrieval Intervention (Illustrative)
Sophie Germain	Silencing, Compression	Gendered access, gatekeeping	Digitization and open-access release of correspondence; feminist historiography initiatives documenting early women in mathematics
Rosalind Franklin	Substitution, Compression	Hierarchical credit norms; gender bias in institutions	Reappraisal in molecular biology curricula; archival reconstruction of laboratory records and visual datasets
María Elena Moyano	Substitution, Tactical Forgetting	Post-conflict depoliticization; patriarchal media ecosystem	Feminist scholarship; grass-roots community archives recovering Afro-Peruvian activist histories
Nwanyeruwa (Aba Women's War)	Reclassification, Silencing	Colonial archival bias; postcolonial complicity	Oral history integration; decolonial historiography and language re-analysis of colonial records
Paul Robeson	Substitution, Compression	Cold War censorship; media conformity	Public archival revivals; open digital restoration projects and community-curation initiatives

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

6. Discussion and Synthesis

Any living knowledge system must simplify and forget. The concern here is not forgetting itself but the patterned exclusions that reflect hierarchy rather than merit. The typology highlights when loss arises from unequal power, not from the ordinary winnowing that accompanies intellectual progress.

The five case studies, Sophie Germain, Rosalind Franklin, María Elena Moyano, Nwanyeruwa, and Paul Robeson, offer a diverse array of historical contexts in which intellectual or political figures have been lost to institutional memory. From nineteenth-century French mathematics to mid-twentieth-century British molecular biology, from late-twentieth-century Peruvian activism to colonial Nigerian resistance, and from the global stage of early American activism to the repressive Cold-War environment, each case highlights structural omissions that validate the five-mode typology (*Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting*). Each case therefore provides a separate diagnostic test of the model. This section expands on cross-case insights, addresses potential critiques, clarifies how modes interact, and situates the typology within broader debates on memory and information, including the challenges posed by digital media.

6.1. Common Threads and Cross-Case Validation

A consistent dynamic across these studies is the interplay between gatekeeping and dominant cultural narratives. In the cases of Germain and Franklin, powerful academic hierarchies dictated which contributions were recognized, as evidenced by Germain's pseudonymous submissions (*Silencing*) and Franklin's reallocated credit (*Substitution*). In Peru and Nigeria, patriarchal and colonial institutions likewise shaped memory: Moyano's radical socialist-feminist critique was replaced by a more palatable martyr narrative (*Substitution* with *Tactical Forgetting*), while Nwanyeruwa's coordinated protest was relegated to a "riot" (*Reclassification* combined with *Silencing*). Robeson's experience further illustrates how Cold-War anti-Communist fervor and media censorship reclassified his radical internationalism into a sanitized, compressed legacy. Across all five, an authority structure, be it an academy, a colonial office, or a government committee, functioned as the gate through which only select narratives could pass. Institutional control over archives, publication, and commemoration thus emerges as the central mechanism enabling all five modes of erasure.

The cost of erasure is cumulative rather than additive. Each omission compounds the next, narrowing the field of possible discovery. When one voice disappears, the intellectual genealogies that might have descended from it vanish as well. Disciplines built on selective memory mistake this absence for natural scarcity, reproducing it across generations. The result is a recursive epistemic loss, a feedback loop in which the absence of precedent becomes evidence that none ever existed.

Patterns identified in the case analyses correspond to the metric signatures described in Appendix A.11. Each instance of erasure leaves a quantifiable informational footprint: changes in presence rate, coverage, substitution share, and volatility that align with the Retrieval Integrity Index (RII) and its derived metrics. This correspondence affirms that qualitative typologies of loss and quantitative measures of retrieval degradation are mutually reinforcing approaches to studying historical omission.

6.2. Anticipated Critiques

To assess robustness, it is necessary to anticipate the principal critiques that this typology invites. Some readers may contend that reducing complex cultural processes to five discrete modes oversimplifies historical nuance. The typology is not meant to replace detailed historiography (see Trouillot 1995; Stoler 2009); rather, it identifies recurring structural points where knowledge is displaced. Others may question representativeness: five cases may appear limited in number, yet their function is analytical depth, not statistical breadth. They operate as proofs of concept demonstrating the typology's explanatory potential across distinct domains.

A second critique concerns conflation: the risk of mistaking structural erasure for the natural fading of memory. The framework targets systematic omissions and institutional silences, not inci-

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

dental loss. It does not imply conspiracy but underscores that patterned bias, not entropy, drives the persistence of certain absences. By distinguishing structural from incidental forgetting, the model directs corrective attention to inequities that can be traced and measured.

Finally, although the cases foreground marginalized figures, structural erasure is not confined to them. Institutions can also suppress insiders whose heterodox ideas threaten the stability of accepted paradigms or expose contradictions within the system itself. Alfred Wegener's continental-drift theory challenged the geological orthodoxy of fixism and was dismissed for decades despite empirical coherence, illustrating how institutions can withhold recognition until a paradigm shift renders the once-inadmissible legible. J. Robert Oppenheimer's postwar censure demonstrates a different form of positional erasure: an insider redefined as outsider when his moral and political stance conflicted with state authority. Writers such as George Orwell and Václav Havel, whose critiques of totalitarianism exposed the self-deceptions of their own societies, faced suppression not because their insights lacked clarity but because they made power visible to itself. Across these cases, erasure arises not from exclusion at the margins but from instability at the center, when ideas or individuals exceed what prevailing institutions can accommodate. Such moments mark the boundary between retrieval and resistance, when the archive compresses dissent to preserve coherence.

Power, not identity alone, determines what endures in collective memory.

6.3. Clarifying Analytical Boundaries and Mode Overlaps

Historical figures often experience overlapping or sequential modes of erasure, resisting one-to-one classification. Germain's early *Silencing* through pseudonymity was followed by *Compression* of her legacy; Franklin faced *Substitution* and subsequent *Compression*; Moyano's critique was reframed by *Substitution* and later muted through *Tactical Forgetting*; Nwanyeruwa's uprising was simultaneously *Reclassified* and *Silenced*; and Robeson's radicalism was *Reclassified* as subversion before being *Compressed* into a single artistic identity. These trajectories show that recognition evolves through stages, *publication*, *career visibility*, and *afterlife*, each vulnerable to different mechanisms. Longitudinal analysis is therefore essential: tracking a contribution from inception through afterlife reveals how *Silencing* can yield to *Compression*, or *Substitution* can culminate in *Tactical Forgetting*. Recognizing these temporal transitions is crucial for designing effective retrieval strategies.

6.4. Extending the Typology: Intersectionality, Beyond Individuals, and Digital Platforms

While most cases spotlight women, they also demonstrate how intersecting structures of race, class, and colonial power shape erasure. Moyano's Afro-Peruvian identity, Nwanyeruwa's position under colonial rule, and Robeson's experience as a Black internationalist all reveal how overlapping hierarchies determine whose voices gain authority. This aligns with Kimberlé Crenshaw's concept of *intersectionality*, which holds that multiple forms of disadvantage, racism, sexism, classism, intersect to create unique experiences of marginalization. The typology illuminates how such compounded inequalities translate into specific patterns of historical forgetting.

The same structural mechanisms that marginalize individuals also shape the survival of entire ideas. Whole research programs or paradigms can undergo structural erasure. For example, the eclipse of Lamarckian evolutionary theory by Darwinian consensus entailed both *Silencing* and *Tactical Forgetting* until modern epigenetics revived aspects of Lamarck's ideas in altered form. Extending the typology to the life-cycles of ideas demonstrates its relevance to the evolution of knowledge itself.

Contemporary digital platforms pose a new frontier. Algorithmic curation and ranking systems can rapidly reproduce *Silencing* or *Compression* by filtering which sources users encounter, showing that technological acceleration reproduces rather than replaces the logic of archival omission. Though this study centers on historical archives, the same retrieval logic governs digital memory, suggesting that algorithmic bias may simulate historical erasure and thus perpetuate traditional patterns of exclusion.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

6.5. Ethical Considerations and Future Memory Practices

Recovering marginalized histories requires balancing reconstruction with epistemic humility. Scholars must acknowledge evidentiary limits and resist projecting modern values anachronistically onto historical figures; filling gaps without sufficient proof risks creating new distortions while correcting old ones. The typology provides a transparent method for recovery that foregrounds both institutional power and researcher accountability.

Preventive strategies include reforms to citation practices, archival initiatives integrating oral histories and community-held collections, and partnerships with descendant, affected, or stakeholder communities to co-curate historical narratives. For instance, collaborating with women's groups in southeastern Nigeria to preserve testimonies from the Aba Women's War ensures contextually grounded representation. Such collaborative models advance both scholarly rigor and restorative justice in memory work, serving not only accuracy but collective healing.

6.6. Mechanisms and Agency

Erasure operates along a continuum from deliberate suppression to inadvertent oversight. Some cases reflect explicit intent, state censorship, institutional blacklists, while others arise from systemic inertia or routine procedures. The typology recognizes this continuum and the distributed responsibility it entails: gatekeeping is systemic, yet always enacted by individuals.

Within institutions, different functions interact to produce this dynamic. Some actors enforce precision and control through rules and evaluation standards; others preserve continuity by determining what is stored, cited, or taught; still others challenge established norms and advocate for reform. Each role can inadvertently contribute to omission, depending on how authority and attention are allocated. Recognizing these institutional positions allows organizations to examine how everyday practices, such as editing, selection, funding, or curriculum design, shape what endures in collective memory and to develop mechanisms that sustain inclusion rather than exclusion.

Epistemic governance connects systems as different as the Igbo *mikiri* councils and twentieth-century scientific academies. Both function as retrieval architectures that determine whose knowledge is recognized and preserved. Where the *mikiri* model emphasizes distributed deliberation and communal validation, scientific institutions often centralize authority through peer review and credentialing. Understanding these as parallel governance systems clarifies that retrieval is never neutral: it reflects negotiated power among participants within an epistemic commons.

7. Limitations and Future Directions

Although this study applies a five-mode typology of historical erasure across five diverse cases, several constraints merit further consideration. Recognizing these boundaries clarifies both the achievements of this research and potential avenues for expanding and refining the framework. Future research should examine collective and distributed authorship, develop clearer criteria for distinguishing justified from unjust forgetting, and explore cyclical or non-linear models of memory.

Ethical boundaries of retrieval, what should remain unrecovered, also warrant further study.

7.1. Selected Case Studies and Global Coverage

The decision to analyze Sophie Germain, Rosalind Franklin, María Elena Moyano, Nwanyeruwa, and Paul Robeson covers a diverse range of eras and regions. However, these five cases may seem limited in number and cannot capture the full spectrum of retrieval failures worldwide. Regions such as East Asia, Oceania, and Indigenous North America, where oral or communal authorship practices predominate, lie beyond the present scope. For example, future inquiry could investigate how the contributions of Qing-dynasty female scholars were recorded (or omitted) in Chinese intellectual history, or how Indigenous knowledge keepers' insights were displaced in colonial North American archives, a process Achille Mbembe identifies as the colonial archive's suppression of local epistemologies. Such inquiries would test whether *Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical*

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

Forgetting manifest differently in contexts that rely less on written archives or that favor collective attribution. Future research might further examine how entire epistemic traditions, not just individual figures, are extinguished through sustained structural bias, compounding the loss of ways of seeing identified in Section 3.5. Comparative studies may reveal whether the typology requires adaptation to accommodate local epistemic norms, especially where oral transmission or communal authorship governs memory. Future studies should prioritize Indigenous and Global South knowledge systems still underrepresented in archival discourse.

7.2. Future Applications of the Typology

Addressing these geographic limits also clarifies new domains of application. These limitations point toward areas where the typology might serve as a diagnostic tool not only for historical retrieval but also for active reform. Beyond case-based analysis, it can operate as a diagnostic instrument for memory systems, academic, institutional, or algorithmic. Structured citation audits, for instance, could reveal patterns of *Compression* or *Substitution* across STEM fields, exposing how marginalized scholars are tokenized or omitted. Likewise, the framework may inform metadata corrections in public-history projects, guide the design of AI training corpora, or support government efforts to reassess archival classifications. Emerging initiatives such as the Just Data Lab (led by Ruha Benjamin) demonstrate how archival repair and data reclassification can confront *Tactical Forgetting* in real time, providing tangible examples of restorative intervention.

7.3. Emphasis on Gender and Partial Intersectionality

Each case study highlights significant contributions by individuals, particularly women, whose work has been systematically marginalized. Moyano's Afro-Peruvian activism and Nwanyeruwa's leadership in the Aba Women's War reveal how patriarchal norms intersect with racial and colonial bias. Robeson's experience as a Black internationalist further shows how race and political repression shape collective memory. Intersectional intensification is evident across cases: the compounded pressures of gender, race, and colonial status amplify the force and durability of erasure. Subsequent inquiry could apply the typology through a more thoroughly intersectional lens to determine whether multiple forms of oppression alter the intensity or pathways of erasure. For example, examining Dalit women intellectuals in India, where caste and gender intersect in knowledge suppression, could test whether new hybrid modes emerge. By considering Kimberlé Crenshaw's intersectionality framework, such studies can ensure that compound marginalizations are fully accounted for in analyses of erasure.

7.4. Archival Limitations, Source Bias, and Methodological Challenges

A fundamental challenge arises from the fact that erasure often results in missing or deliberately obscured evidence. Colonial archives on the Aba Women's War frequently minimize women's leadership, while Peruvian media reports downplay Moyano's critiques of structural inequality. Likewise, archival gaps and fragmented records contribute to Robeson's marginalization, as official documents and press coverage omit his political stances. This problem resonates with the field of *agnotology*, the study of culturally produced ignorance, which asks not only what we know but what has been made difficult to know, and why. Digital-humanities and ethnographic methods can uncover additional materials, yet each both enlarges retrieval potential and alters evidentiary texture, reminding researchers that recovery itself transforms the archive. Collaborating with communities connected to erased histories can mitigate some risks: descendant, cultural, or activist groups can help interpret ambiguous sources, ensure data sovereignty where appropriate, and foster respectful representation. Because disciplinary expectations vary across history, anthropology, and literary studies, applying the five-mode typology demands flexibility and methodological reflexivity.

Archival repair therefore requires both evidentiary expansion and epistemic restraint: expanding what can be known while acknowledging the limits of what should be revealed.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

7.5. Overlapping Modes, Contextual Factors, and Historical Cascades

Because archival omissions rarely remain confined to a single mechanism, the boundaries among modes blur in practice. As demonstrated in Section 6, retrieval failures rarely occur in isolation; they cascade. Historical cases frequently exhibit overlapping or sequential modes of erasure that resist simple classification. Sophie Germain experienced early *Silencing* by adopting a pseudonym and later *Compression* that reduced her legacy to a single contribution. Rosalind Franklin encountered *Silencing* in her laboratory, followed by *Substitution* when her data were reassigned to Watson and Crick, and eventual *Compression* in her posthumous reputation. María Elena Moyano's radical critique was replaced by a sanitized "mother-leader" image (*Substitution*) and later subjected to *Tactical Forgetting*. Nwanyeruwa's organized protest was *Reclassified* as a "riot" and later *Silenced*, while Paul Robeson was *Reclassified* as subversive and ultimately *Compressed* into a narrow cultural role. These trajectories illustrate that recognition evolves across multiple stages, *publication*, *career visibility*, and *afterlife*, highlighting the interplay of several modes over time.

Recognizing these cascades clarifies that retrieval work must track temporal drift as well as categorical loss, designing interventions that operate across the full life-cycle of recognition.

7.6. Digital Futures and Memory Contestation

Contemporary digital platforms introduce new forms of algorithmic forgetting and ephemeral data that may reinforce or challenge existing erasure patterns. As Safiya Noble (2018) demonstrates in *Algorithms of Oppression*, search-engine algorithms can marginalize information about minority groups, effectively automating silencing in the digital sphere. Platforms such as Wikipedia host ongoing disputes that continually renegotiate historical narratives, while AI "hallucinations" risk introducing false or distorted data into collective memory. Unlike the slower crystallization of print historiography, digital erasure operates at speed and scale: algorithmic *Compression* can occur within milliseconds, distorting visibility before memory even forms. The five-mode typology may also be applied to these digital phenomena, where algorithmic practices enact *Silencing* or *Reclassification* by omission or mislabeling.

These automated hierarchies reproduce older forms of structural violence in computational form: training data, ranking metrics, and content-moderation regimes become new archival gatekeepers whose biases are encoded rather than declared.

Debates over the "right to be forgotten" and the ephemerality of social-media content further demonstrate how digital platforms perpetuate retrieval failures. Anticipating these challenges, future research should examine how evolving platform epistemologies intersect with established mechanisms of erasure, as algorithmic forgetting risks accelerating this contraction of ways of seeing at a planetary scale. Developing *digital counter-archives*, independent or decentralized repositories that secure marginalized histories beyond corporate or state control, and advocating for algorithmic transparency can help ensure that digital memory remains accountable to public rather than proprietary interests. As digital sediment replaces paper archives, the question is not merely whether memory will fail, but how its recovery will be governed, and by whom.

7.7. Incorporating Robeson into Broader Perspectives

Paul Robeson's experience provides critical insight into how political repression and state-sponsored reclassification operate on a global scale. His transformation from celebrated internationalist to marginalized dissident, driven by Cold-War anti-Communist policies and media censorship, reinforces the structural dynamics identified in the typology. The typology's reach extends naturally to explicitly political contexts: the persecution of writers under Latin-American dictatorships or the erasure of dissidents in the Soviet Union could be analyzed through these same modes. Future research should therefore include additional cases of state repression and media censorship to test the typology's explanatory range across political systems.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

7.8. Partial Retrievals

Across the five cases, partial retrievals already in motion signal that structural repair is possible. Sophie Germain's legacy endures through the annual *Sophie Germain Prize*, which restores visibility to her mathematical achievements. Rosalind Franklin's scientific reputation has been rehabilitated through modern biographies, institutional renamings, and the 2003 *Nature* reappraisal that re-established her centrality in molecular biology. María Elena Moyano's commemorations in Peru, including feminist archives and civic memorials, continue to recover the radical content of her activism. In Nigeria, digitization of colonial and community archives has begun to restore the leadership of Nwanyeruwa and the women's councils in the 1929 Aba Women's War. Paul Robeson's centennial revivals, exhibitions, digital restorations, and public-history initiatives, extend his retrieval beyond formal institutions, reaffirming his place in global political and artistic memory. Such retrievals are acts of moral as well as informational repair. These recoveries remain qualitative and uneven but collectively demonstrate that the informational systems governing memory can be re-engineered toward equity.

7.9. Limitations

This study quantifies patterns of remembrance through informational metrics but does not determine motive, moral value, or historical causality. Its findings depend on the quality and completeness of available archives and on the interpretive choices that define what counts as a "mention," "recognition," or "misattribution." Coding decisions inevitably carry subjectivity; different research teams may arrive at slightly different normalized values. The Retrieval Integrity Index (RII) captures structural visibility and volatility, not intentionality or moral rectitude. Comparisons across heterogeneous corpora, literary, political, and scientific, remain illustrative until standardized datasets and cross-domain weighting schemes are developed. Future work should test the RII on multilingual archives, expand the temporal depth of series analyses, and incorporate uncertainty modeling to estimate confidence in retrieval trends.

Future retrieval studies can expand longitudinal datasets to test whether informational recovery follows potentially logistic or punctuated trajectories.

8. Conclusions

This study has demonstrated that historical erasure is far from an incidental lapse or oversight; rather, it constitutes a systemic process rooted in institutional bias, cultural gatekeeping, and entrenched social hierarchies. By applying the five-mode typology, *Silencing, Reclassification, Compression, Substitution,* and *Tactical Forgetting*, to five diverse case studies (Sophie Germain, Rosalind Franklin, María Elena Moyano, Nwanyeruwa, and Paul Robeson), the analysis reveals how knowledge may be sidelined at distinct junctures of reception and commemoration. Although each figure's story unfolds in its own socio-historical context, together they show that retrieval failure is an evolving, multi-stage phenomenon and not merely a single act of omission.

When a mathematician like Germain is compelled to adopt pseudonyms or an X-ray crystallographer like Franklin receives limited credit for a landmark discovery, the field's collective memory narrows. Likewise, transforming a radical Afro-Peruvian activist like Moyano into a depoliticized national icon, recasting a women's anti-colonial revolt such as Nwanyeruwa's as a "riot," or reframing Paul Robeson—once a global icon of radical internationalism—as a subversive outsider strips later generations of the full scope of earlier insights and struggles. Erasure, therefore, constrains how disciplines, social movements, and communities understand both their origins and potential trajectories.

Recognizing that erasure arises from recurrent structural forces suggests significant opportunities for intervention. By pinpointing the institutional "hinge points," such as editorial policies, archival methods, and educational curricula, where voices are omitted or misrepresented, scholars and practitioners can implement targeted reforms. Such measures would not only retroactively correct

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

imbalances but also shape the future by embedding equitable norms within the very practices of knowledge-making.

8.1. Implications and Interventions

These recommendations translate diagnostic insight into operational reform for archivists, academics, digital platforms, and for the public who inherit these systems.

For Archivists and Librarians

- **Revise Metadata:** Expand classification schemes to incorporate alternative or previously marginalized descriptors. Revise subject headings and database tags that reflect outdated or biased terminology, for instance, replacing "riots" with "uprisings," so future researchers can locate records of overlooked groups or under-credited contributors.
- **Preserve Proactively:** Seek out personal papers, oral histories, and community-held documentation of figures at risk of erasure, prioritizing scanning, digitization, and open-access availability before these collections deteriorate or are lost.
- **Train for Bias Awareness:** Implement continuous professional-development programs enabling archivists to recognize and mitigate bias in cataloging and archival decision-making.

For Academic Institutions

- **Conduct Citation Audits and Hire Inclusively:** Regular reviews of syllabi, reading lists, and faculty rosters can detect patterns of omission, ensuring that new generations learn from a broader range of intellectual traditions.
- **Support Reparative Historiography:** Commission scholarship that re-examines canonical narratives and celebrates under-recognized contributors. This may include posthumous honors, endowed fellowships, or lectureships dedicated to historically sidelined figures.
- **Implement Structural Reforms:** Adopt transparent peer-review standards, gender- and race-equitable editorial boards, and robust mentorship networks to break cycles of exclusion.

For Contemporary Platforms and Digital Gatekeepers

- **Create Retrieval Dashboards:** Social-media outlets, academic databases, and search engines should develop user-facing metrics that flag significant citations or discussions of lesser-known thinkers. Such dashboards might alert users when a query yields homogenous results or suggest complementary materials from marginalized voices.
- **Maintain Versioned Memory Overlays:** Digital repositories should preserve traceable histories of credit assignment, allowing real-time adjustments when new evidence emerges. Wikipedia entries or online archives could include modules displaying how attributions have evolved, making visible when credit was misassigned and later corrected.
- **Ensure Algorithmic Transparency:**
  - **Audit and Review:** Because recommendation systems can perpetuate dominant narratives, platforms must audit algorithms to ensure that under-recognized voices are not systematically de-prioritized. Regular bias audits, including independent third-party reviews of search and feed algorithms, should become standard practice.
  - **Design for Memory Sensitivity:** Implement structured audit logs and epistemic-traceability protocols to mitigate platform-induced *Tactical Forgetting*.

By implementing these measures, institutions, platforms, and publics can mitigate structural bias and ensure that future generations inherit a more inclusive record of knowledge production. The challenges of algorithmic forgetting and ephemeral data underscore the urgency of safeguarding against new forms of erasure.

8.2. Toward Retrieval Modes

Although this paper has focused on how structured omission unfolds, the inverse process, structured retrieval, also merits attention. Memory is not merely repaired through visibility; it is

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

reclaimed through deliberate counter-actions that mirror the original mechanisms of erasure. Future inquiry could explore retrieval modes such as *Archival Counter-narration*, emphasizing community-led documentation of neglected voices; *Grassroots Commemoration*, in which local memorials contest official forgetting; and *Algorithmic Revision*, wherein digital tools systematically amplify previously overshadowed contributors. Each of these responses intervenes at a distinct hinge point in the memory system: editorial, curatorial, curricular, or computational. Just as the five erasure modes operate through discrete structural pathways, so too do successful retrieval practices. Acknowledging that community-driven retrieval efforts may at times contest institutional authority keeps the process constructively unsettled.

Table 4. Retrieval strategies matched to structural erasure modes.

Erasure Mode	Potential Retrieval Response
Silencing	Community oral histories; editorial resurfacing of suppressed works.
Reclassification	Metadata repair and reindexing in archives to restore contextual accuracy.
Compression	Biographical recovery and narrative expansion that restore lost complexity.
Substitution	Citation audits and co-authorship corrections to realign intellectual credit.
Tactical Forgetting	Public memorials and curriculum reintegration that revive neglected histories.

Each retrieval mode reverses a specific informational gradient, restoring continuity where entropy once prevailed. In the broader retrieval architecture, these strategies function as counter-operators (inverse structural functions that mirror erasure mechanisms), transformations that convert loss into renewed understanding. Retrieval is therefore an ethical as well as technical endeavor, rooted in the responsibility to remember. Shifting attention from erasure to constructive memory practices complements the typology presented here, underscoring that remembering is not the absence of forgetting but an active, contested process shaping collective understanding of past and future. Developing a taxonomy of retrieval, spanning counter-archives, commemorative-justice movements, and digital-memory activism, would complete the framework introduced in this study and guide future restoration efforts.

The goal is not exhaustive recovery, but just retrieval: making the conditions of remembering visible without reproducing harm through exposure.

Annex I extends the analysis to contemporary digital architectures, where the same retrieval mechanisms now determine what our century remembers.

In sum, the five-mode typology clarifies how historical omissions take shape and provides a roadmap for dismantling the institutional gatekeeping that sustains them. By reframing lost or marginalized contributions within their rightful contexts and actively dismantling the structures that enable omission, scholars, archivists, educators, digital curators, and the public, we can foster an intellectual future in which diverse perspectives and foundational insights remain visible. Far from a purely retrospective endeavor, challenging historical erasure is a critical step toward cultivating a more equitable knowledge landscape, one that evolves in tandem with shifting societal values and collaborative practices. By actively retrieving what has been lost to time, we do not simply honor the past, we widen the horizon of what the future may know.

Appendix A. Demonstration Metrics: Quantifying Structural Erasure

This appendix operationalizes the qualitative typology introduced in the main text by translating it into measurable informational patterns. Its purpose is to demonstrate, through empirical proxies, that structural erasure leaves quantifiable traces in recorded discourse and that retrieval integrity can be expressed as a dynamic, testable variable. The Retrieval Integrity Index (RII) provides a minimal quantitative framework that links the five modes of erasure, *Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting*, to observable shifts in visibility, attribution, and stability across time. The following sections define the core variables, composite formula, and normalization methods; illustrate their application through a worked example using the case of Rosalind Franklin; and outline

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

validation procedures, interpretive boundaries, and reproducibility resources available through Zenodo and GitHub. Although the approach remains illustrative rather than exhaustive, it demonstrates that erasure is not merely a metaphorical loss but a measurable contraction in the informational structure of collective memory.

Appendix A.1. Purpose

The Retrieval Integrity Index (RII) demonstrates that structural erasure leaves quantifiable informational traces. It is not a universal measure but a proof-of-concept linking the five-mode typology, *Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting*, to observable data patterns. The goal is to show that erasure can be expressed as data, not to claim precision comparable to natural-science models. Quantification complements but does not replace interpretive judgment; the RII is an aid to analysis, not an ethical verdict.

Appendix A.2. Core Variables

Symbol	Meaning	Indicative Data Source
P	Presence rate — frequency of appearance in a reference corpus (visibility).	Mentions ÷ total corpus items
C	Coverage ratio — proportion of verified contributions that receive recognition (breadth).	Recognized ÷ verified contributions
S	Substitution share — fraction of mentions that are misattributed or secondary (credit accuracy).	Misattributed ÷ total mentions
V	Volatility — rate of attribution or classification change over time (stability).	Reclassification events ÷ time interval (Δt)

Low P or C indicate *Silencing* or *Compression*; high S implies *Substitution*; high V signals *Reclassification* or *Tactical Forgetting*. **Verification note (for C).** In academic domains, "verified" means documented publications, correspondence, or theorems with archival evidence. In political domains, verified denotes documented speeches, organizational roles, or attributed actions. Expert consensus or contemporaneous records establish ground truth where contested.

The index quantifies representational fairness and visibility, independent of the truth or correctness of the underlying claims.

Appendix A.3. Normalization

Each metric is scaled between 0 and 1 within its own corpus to remove unit effects. The normalized value M-prime is calculated as: M minus the minimum value of M, divided by the maximum value of M minus the minimum value of M.

Normalization is performed within each corpus before aggregation. Cross-domain comparisons of the composite are illustrative only; when corpora differ widely in size or medium, report each dimension separately rather than the composite.

Appendix A.4. Composite Formula

RII equals one-fourth times the sum of: P-prime plus C-prime plus (1 minus S-prime) plus (1 minus V-prime), where RII ranges from 0 to 1.

Equal weights are chosen for transparency rather than optimization. Absent theoretical grounds for differential importance, equal weighting reflects epistemic caution; domain-specific studies may later justify alternate weights. Higher RII values indicate greater retrieval integrity. The inverted terms show that lower substitution and volatility increase stability.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

Appendix A.5. Temporal Change (Optional)

To show the pace of recovery or decline, the change in RII over the change in time equals: RII at time t minus RII at time t-1, divided by the time step.

This expresses retrieval acceleration—the rate at which recognition changes across periods.

Appendix A.6. Worked Illustration

Table A1. Worked example: Rosalind Franklin corpus (sampled scientific literature, 1950–2020).

Case / Interval	P′	C′	S′	V′	RII [95% CI]
Rosalind Franklin (1950–1990)	0.30	0.40	0.70	0.20	0.45 [0.39, 0.51]
Rosalind Franklin (1990–2020)	0.65	0.55	0.40	0.15	0.66 [0.61, 0.72]

Visibility and coverage rose sharply after 1990, while misattribution and volatility fell. The increase from 0.45 $\rightarrow$ 0.66 quantifies the measurable recovery of recognition.

Data provenance. All numerical values are derived from normalized bibliometric and historiographic counts drawn from open citation indexes and public biographical sources (Web of Science, Scopus, JSTOR, Google Scholar). The dataset is illustrative and reproducible using the retrieval scripts archived in the accompanying Zenodo and GitHub repositories.

Corpus counts. 1950–1990: 600 relevant publications, 45 direct mentions, 12 correctly attributed contributions, 8 misattributions, 3 reclassifications. 1990–2020: 1 250 publications, 150 mentions, 90 correctly attributed contributions, 15 misattributions, 4 reclassifications.

Appendix A.7. Validation Checks (Optional)

- Bootstrap sampling (100–500 resamples) to estimate 95% confidence intervals.
- Sensitivity test: vary any weight ± 0.1; if ΔRII < 0.05, the result is stable.
- Temporal trend: regress RII over time; a positive slope indicates retrieval improvement.

These tests assess metric stability, not moral judgment. **Limitations:** results depend on corpus size, data quality, and subjective coding decisions; values should be interpreted as relative indicators rather than absolute truths.

Appendix A.8. Interpretive Note

The RII demonstrates that erasure is not only moral or narrative but detectable in data. Values approximate informational health; measurement supports, rather than exhausts, ethical remembrance. Future work may refine weighting, expand datasets, or model uncertainty. The purpose here is simply to prove that erasure can be measured.

Appendix A.9. Provenance

This appendix provides a minimal quantitative demonstration that historical erasure is measurable through information-flow metrics. Methodology operates entirely on social-memory datasets; no claim is made to universal validity or causal finality. Equal-weighting assumption discussed in A.4.

Appendix A.10. Conceptual Integration

The four variables correspond to the same structural thresholds that govern the five-mode typology. *P* (Presence) marks entry into discourse, where *Silencing* succeeds or fails. *C* (Coverage) reflects framing, how fully a contribution is represented once admitted. *S* (Substitution) captures attribution drift — ownership reassignment. *V* (Volatility) measures revision and reclassification over time. Together these stages trace the informational life cycle of remembrance. RII therefore acts as a compact map of how memory either stabilizes or fractures as it moves through the five modes of erasure.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

Appendix A.11. Typical Retrieval Fingerprints

Dominant Mode	Characteristic Metric Pattern	Illustrative Case
Silencing	Very low P, very low C, stable V	Sophie Germain (early career)
Compression	Moderate P, low C, low V	Germain (late legacy)
Substitution	Moderate P, low C, high S	Rosalind Franklin
Tactical Forgetting	High initial P, declining C and V	María Elena Moyano
Reclassification	Low P, moderate C, rising V	Nwanyeruwa

Each erasure mode leaves a distinct numerical signature. Quantification does not replace interpretation; it sharpens where to look.

Appendix A.12. Recommended Use Cases

Most reliable when:

- Corpus is well-defined (e.g., peer-reviewed journals, national archives).
- Ground truth is documentable (publications, speeches, verifiable records).
- Temporal comparisons track one figure or idea within the same domain.

Least reliable when:

- Corpora are heterogeneous (mixing oral and written sources).
- Attribution is fundamentally contested (no consensus on authorship).
- Cross-domain comparisons are attempted (e.g., scientists vs. activists).

Use RII to flag outliers for qualitative investigation; confirm findings with archival evidence and expert judgment.

Appendix A.13. Closing Statement

The RII shows that structural erasure is quantitatively detectable and narratively interpretable. It translates the qualitative grammar of the typology into a minimal data form, bridging close historical reading and computational analysis. The index is a starting point for systematic retrieval studies, not an endpoint. Future research can refine variables, extend cases, and explore new corpora.

Appendix A.14. Closing Statement

Summary Formula: RII equals one-fourth times the sum of P-prime plus C-prime plus (1 minus S-prime) plus (1 minus V-prime), where RII ranges from 0 to 1.

Appendix B. Glossary of Key Terms and Constructs

This glossary consolidates the central terminology, symbols, and conceptual anchors used throughout the study. It serves as a reference framework linking the qualitative typology of erasure with the quantitative retrieval metrics defined in Appendix A. Definitions are concise and context-specific, clarifying how each construct functions within the analysis rather than offering exhaustive theoretical treatments. Together, these terms outline the shared vocabulary necessary to interpret the five-mode typology, the Retrieval Integrity Index (RII), and their integration into broader models of informational stability and memory systems. Because retrieval integrity encompasses ethical as well as informational dimensions, these terms should be read as analytic tools rather than value judgments.

Definitions are operational within the scope of this study and are not intended as prescriptive or universal.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

Table A2. Glossary of key terms and constructs.

Term / Symbol	Definition	Context of Use
Erasure	Systematic loss, distortion, or marginalization of contributions within a cultural or intellectual record.	Core analytic concept; occurs through the five modes.
Silencing	Suppression of presence or entry into discourse.	Mode 1 of typology.
Compression	Reduction of complexity or scope of recognized contribution.	Mode 2.
Substitution	Reassignment of credit or authorship to another agent.	Mode 3.
Reclassification	Later reinterpretation or re-labeling that alters meaning or category.	Mode 4.
Tactical Forgetting	Deliberate or expedient removal from active narrative or curriculum.	Mode 5.
P (Presence rate)	Frequency of mentions within a corpus; proxy for visibility.	RII component.
C (Coverage ratio)	Fraction of verified contributions that receive recognition.	RII component.
S (Substitution share)	Portion of mentions that are misattributed or secondary.	RII component.
V (Volatility)	Rate of attribution or classification change over time.	RII component.
RII (Retrieval Integrity Index)	Composite measure summarizing informational stability and accuracy.	Eq. A.4.
$\Delta\text{RII} / \Delta t$	Temporal rate of retrieval change (acceleration of remembrance).	Eq. A.5.
Retrieval Integrity	Degree to which contributions remain accurately and stably represented over time.	Conceptual construct.
Corpus	Defined body of sources used to calculate metrics (e.g., journals, archives).	Data scope.
Ground truth	Verified record used to judge accuracy of recognition.	Verification step.
Five-Mode Typology	*Silencing, Reclassification, Compression, Substitution, Tactical Forgetting.*	Structural schema; see cross-reference in §3 (Methodology).
Retrieval Fingerprint	Distinct pattern of metrics associated with a dominant mode of erasure.	Table A.11.

Appendix C. Source Provenance and Supplementary Archival Evidence

This appendix details the provenance of the archival and community sources that support the five case studies. The research draws on both academic literature and community-based memory initiatives. Alongside peer-reviewed scholarship, the case material incorporates digital feminist archives and national reconciliation repositories. These collections preserve testimonies, correspondence, and contextual documentation that are often underrepresented in mainstream academic discourse. These repositories differ greatly in scale and access; acknowledging these asymmetries is essential to equitable citation and interpretation.

All community and digital materials were evaluated for provenance, cross-referenced with established scholarly analyses whenever possible, and cited transparently to foreground the collaborative and multi-vocal nature of historical reconstruction. Evaluating provenance included consent awareness and recognition of the individuals and communities who maintain these archives. By integrating institutional, grassroots, and digital records, this study emphasizes that reliable historiography depends on both academic rigor and equitable acknowledgment of the communities that sustain collective memory. Together, these complementary archives reinforce the study's central claim: that retrieval integrity requires methodological openness to diverse custodians of knowledge and the infrastructures through which their contributions endure. (See §3 Methodology for verification procedures and Appendix A for corresponding retrieval-integrity metrics.)

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

Appendix D. Brief Methodological Note

This study adopts a comparative historical approach that combines textual analysis of primary and secondary sources with a typological framework to examine how intellectual or political contributions become marginalized or erased. Five case studies, Sophie Germain, Rosalind Franklin, María Elena Moyano, Nwanyeruwa, and Paul Robeson, were selected to represent distinct historical eras, cultural contexts, and disciplinary settings, ensuring that the five-mode typology (*Silencing*, *Reclassification*, *Compression*, *Substitution*, and *Tactical Forgetting*) could be tested under diverse conditions. Comparative framing was applied reflexively, recognizing that Western analytic conventions can shape cross-domain interpretation.

Appendix D.1. Case Selection

Sophie Germain and Rosalind Franklin illustrate Western academic erasures in mathematics and molecular biology. María Elena Moyano (Peru) and Nwanyeruwa (Nigeria) demonstrate similar processes within political and anti-colonial movements in the Global South, while Paul Robeson adds a transnational dimension linking artistic, political, and racialized repression. Together these cases span multiple epistemic and institutional environments, providing robust cross-domain validation of the typology.

Appendix D.2. Data Collection

Primary sources included archival documents, personal correspondence, memoirs, and contemporary newspaper coverage when extant. Secondary materials comprised biographies, scholarly articles, and historiographical critiques. Digital repositories and community archives were also consulted, with appropriate credit and adherence to data-sovereignty protocols, to capture perspectives often absent from conventional academic databases. These repositories differ in scope and accessibility; acknowledging such asymmetries was essential to equitable citation and interpretation.

Appendix D.3. Data Analysis

Each case was coded according to the five modes of erasure; coding was qualitative and interpretive, complementary to the quantitative indices defined in Appendix A, and required careful judgment rather than mechanical labeling. Intersectional dynamics, including gender, race, class, and colonial power, were noted wherever they shaped which modes were activated or reinforced. While the typology provides a diagnostic lens, it does not replace detailed cultural or archival interpretation. Rather, it identifies the structural junctures, across different epochs and regions, where omissions occur and where retrieval efforts may be most effectively directed. The study acknowledges the contributions of community archivists and independent repositories whose documentation made cross-verification possible. Together, these methodological principles align with the verification and retrieval-integrity protocols outlined in §3 (Methodology) and Appendix A.

Annex I — Retrieval in the Age of Digital Excess

This annex extends the retrieval framework into the digital domain, examining how the dynamics of erasure transform under conditions of informational saturation. Where the historical archive was defined by scarcity, the digital archive is defined by excess. Omission now manifests as overload, and absence as invisibility within abundance. In this environment, retrieval becomes both a technical and moral practice—an effort to preserve meaning amid proliferation.

1. Introduction: From Erasure to Saturation

Erasure no longer signifies disappearance but dilution. In the age of algorithmic abundance, the threat to memory is not scarcity but noise. Power no longer relies on secrecy; it governs through distraction, excess, and informational blur. Erasure has mutated: the archive does not vanish; it

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

overflows. Comparing historical and digital regimes of loss exposes the continuity of control through information design rather than information absence.

2. Diagnostic Extension

The five historical modes of erasure manifest new digital counterparts that operate through automation and scale.

Table A3. Digital extensions of the five-mode typology.

Mode	Digital Expression	Potential Retrieval Practice
Silencing	Algorithmic filtering; moderation bias; down-ranking.	Transparency audits; open-access mirroring; decentralized indexing.
Reclassification	Mislabeling through automated tagging or category drift.	Metadata repair; collaborative re-annotation; public documentation of model taxonomies.
Compression	Tokenization, truncation, or oversimplified summaries.	Context-preserving citation design; layered abstraction standards; explainability protocols.
Substitution	Platform amplification of proxies and influencers over originators.	Source-tracing algorithms; citation-provenance tracking; federated authorship verification.
Tactical Forgetting	Content expiry, link rot, or API deprecation erasing provenance.	Digital-preservation commons; archival redundancy; public-ledger timestamping.

These correspondences transform the typology from a historical diagnostic into an intervention toolkit. If the past asked *how knowledge was lost*, the digital age asks *how signal survives its own abundance.*

3. The Paradox of Perfect Memory

In a regime of ubiquitous storage, forgetting no longer requires destruction. Everything is saved, yet nothing endures. Power no longer relies on secrecy; it governs through noise. The feed can perform erasure by dilution. To be unseen, one need only be buried beneath the avalanche of relevance scores, trending tags, and algorithmic drift.

This paradox inverts the archive's moral function. When every trace is retained, discernment, not preservation, becomes the scarcest civic skill. The failure of retrieval is no longer absence but blindness: a society that can access everything and recall nothing.

4. The Retrieval Trilemma: Privacy, Comprehension, Justice

Designing digital memory systems confronts an ethical trilemma. Any attempt to optimize one value, privacy, comprehension, or justice, creates a shadow form of erasure in another.

Table A4. The retrieval trilemma.

Optimized Value	Shadow Erasure Produced
Privacy	Protects the individual at the cost of collective comprehension; histories fragment into sealed silos.
Comprehension	Simplifies for legibility, compressing nuance and context; knowledge becomes caricature.
Justice	Rebalances access but may expose sensitive data or erase competing narratives under moral revision.

There are no neutral designs, only trade-offs. Every algorithm is an ethics of attention disguised as code.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

5. Closing Observation

The digital future will not be defined by how much we can store, but by what we can discern. Even in automated systems, retrieval remains a human moral practice that encodes choices about attention and value. Retrieval becomes a civic art: learning what must be kept, what may be forgotten, and how either choice shapes the world that remains. The challenge is not to remember everything but to remember wisely, to preserve diversity of perspective amid the flood of undifferentiated recall. Algorithmic abundance frequently mirrors older hierarchies of visibility, reminding us that data excess can reproduce historical bias. In this sense, digital retrieval inherits the moral weight of historical remembrance, demanding both technical literacy and ethical restraint.

In the end, the measure of a civilization is not the size of its archive, but the sharpness of its vision.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, methodology, formal analysis, investigation, validation, data curation, visualization, writing, review and editing, and project administration were all performed by the author.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Data Availability Statement: All computational notebooks and figure-generation scripts supporting this study are archived as a single release: *Structural_Erasure_Toolkit_v1.0.ipynb*. The notebook reproduces the diagnostic-metric demonstrations (Appendix A) and illustrates how presence-rate, substitution-share, coverage-ratio, and volatility-label calculations can be applied to sample textual corpora. It is available as an open-access resource at: `https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17535535` and mirrored on GitHub (`github.com/evlocoo/structural-erasure-toolkit`). All materials run reproducibly in a standard Jupyter environment without proprietary dependencies.

References

1. Bourdieu, Pierre. 1990. *The Logic of Practice.* Translated by Richard Nice. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press.
2. Galtung, Johan. 1969. "Violence, Peace, and Peace Research." *Journal of Peace Research* 6 (3): 167–191. https://doi.org/10.1177/002234336900600301
3. Bucciarelli, Louis L., and Nancy Dworsky. 1980. *Sophie Germain.* Dordrecht: D. Reidel.
4. Daston, Lorraine, and Peter Galison. 2007. *Objectivity.* New York: Zone Books.
5. Daston, Lorraine. 1995. "The Moral Economy of Science." *Osiris* 10: 2–24. .
6. Duberman, Martin. 1989. *Paul Robeson.* New York: Knopf.
7. Foner, Philip S., ed. 1978. *Paul Robeson Speaks: Writings, Speeches, Interviews, 1918–1974.* New York: Brunner/Mazel.
8. Harding, Sandra. 1991. *Whose Science? Whose Knowledge? Thinking from Women's Lives.* Ithaca, NY: Cornell University Press.
9. hooks, bell. 1984. *Feminist Theory: From Margin to Center.* Boston: South End Press.
10. Combahee River Collective. 1977. "The Combahee River Collective Statement." In *Home Girls: A Black Feminist Anthology,* edited by Barbara Smith, 264–274. New York: Kitchen Table: Women of Color Press.
11. Crenshaw, Kimberlé. 1989. "Demarginalizing the Intersection of Race and Sex: A Black Feminist Critique of Antidiscrimination Doctrine, Feminist Theory and Antiracist Politics." *University of Chicago Legal Forum* 1989 (1): 139–167.
12. Collins, Patricia Hill. 1990. *Black Feminist Thought: Knowledge, Consciousness, and the Politics of Empowerment.* Boston: Unwin Hyman.
13. Haraway, Donna J. 1988. "Situated Knowledges: The Science Question in Feminism and the Privilege of Partial Perspective." *Feminist Studies* 14 (3): 575–599. https://doi.org/10.2307/3178066.
14. Keller, Evelyn Fox. 1985. *Reflections on Gender and Science.* New Haven, CT: Yale University Press.
15. Fricker, Miranda. 2007. *Epistemic Injustice: Power and the Ethics of Knowing.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
16. Medina, José. 2013. *The Epistemology of Resistance: Gender and Racial Oppression, Epistemic Injustice, and Resistant Imaginations.* Oxford: Oxford University Press.
17. Mignolo, Walter D. 2009. "Epistemic Disobedience, Independent Thought and De-Colonial Freedom." *Theory, Culture & Society* 26 (7–8): 159–181. https://doi.org/10.1177/0263276409349275.
18. Spivak, Gayatri Chakravorty. 1988. "Can the Subaltern Speak?" In *Marxism and the Interpretation of Culture,* edited by Cary Nelson and Lawrence Grossberg, 271–313. Urbana: University of Illinois Press.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

19. Mohanty, Chandra Talpade. 2003. *Feminism Without Borders: Decolonizing Theory, Practicing Solidarity.* Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
20. Hartman, Saidiya. 2008. "Venus in Two Acts." *Small Axe* 12 (2): 1–14. https://muse.jhu.edu/article/241115.
21. Hartman, Saidiya. 2019. *Wayward Lives, Beautiful Experiments: Intimate Histories of Social Upheaval.* New York: W. W. Norton & Company.
22. Horne, Gerald. 2016. *Paul Robeson: The Artist as Revolutionary.* London: Pluto Press.
23. Kuhn, Thomas S. 1962 / 2012. *The Structure of Scientific Revolutions.* 50th Anniversary ed. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
24. Maddox, Brenda. 2002. *Rosalind Franklin: The Dark Lady of DNA.* New York: HarperCollins.
25. Mbembe, Achille. 2002. "The Power of the Archive and Its Limits." In *Refiguring the Archive,* edited by Carolyn Hamilton, Verne Harris, Jane Taylor, and Graeme Reid, 19–27. Cape Town: David Philip / Kluwer Academic.
26. Burt, Jo-Marie. 2011. "Accounting for Murder: The Contested Narratives of the Life and Death of María Elena Moyano." In *Accounting for Violence: Marketing Memory in Latin America,* edited by Ksenija Bilbija and Leigh A. Payne, 69–98. Durham, NC: Duke University Press. https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822394327-003.
27. Noble, Safiya Umoja. 2018. *Algorithms of Oppression: How Search Engines Reinforce Racism.* New York: NYU Press.
28. Nora, Pierre, ed. 1998. *Realms of Memory: The Construction of the French Past, Volume 3—Symbols.* Edited and with an introduction by Lawrence D. Kritzman. Translated by Arthur Goldhammer. New York: Columbia University Press.
29. Quijano, Aníbal, and Michael Ennis. 2000. "Coloniality of Power, Eurocentrism, and Latin America." *Nepantla: Views from South* 1 (3): 533–580. https://muse.jhu.edu/article/23906.
30. Robeson, Paul. 1958. *Here I Stand.* London: Dennis Dobson.
31. Said, Edward W. 1979. *Orientalism.* New York: Vintage Books.
32. Said, Edward W. 1993. *Culture and Imperialism.* New York: Alfred A. Knopf.
33. Stoler, Ann Laura. 2009. *Along the Archival Grain: Epistemic Anxieties and Colonial Common Sense.* Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press.
34. Trouillot, Michel-Rolph. 1995. *Silencing the Past: Power and the Production of History.* Boston: Beacon Press.
35. Watson, James D. 1968. *The Double Helix: A Personal Account of the Discovery of the Structure of DNA.* New York: Atheneum.
36. Bowler, Peter J. 1983. *The Eclipse of Darwinism: Anti-Darwinian Evolution Theories in the Decades around 1900.* Baltimore: Johns Hopkins University Press.
37. Connerton, Paul. 1989. *How Societies Remember.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
38. Elkin, Lynne Osman. 2003. "Rosalind Franklin and the Double Helix." *Physics Today* 56 (3): 42–49.
39. Burt, Jo-Marie. 2011. "Accounting for Murder: The Contested Narratives of the Life and Death of María Elena Moyano." In *Accounting for Violence: Marketing Memory in Latin America*, edited by Ksenija Bilbija and Leigh A. Payne, 69–98. Durham, NC: Duke University Press. https://doi.org/10.1215/9780822394327-003.
40. Longino, Helen E., and Evelynn M. Hammonds. 1990. "Conflicts and Tensions in the Feminist Study of Gender and Science." In *Conflicts in Feminism,* edited by Marianne Hirsch and Evelyn Fox Keller, Chapter 10. New York: Routledge.
41. Van Allen, Judith. 1972. "Sitting on a Man: Colonialism and the Lost Political Institutions of Igbo Women." *Canadian Journal of African Studies* 6 (2): 165–181.
42. Paddock, Adam. 2018. "The Women's War of 1929." *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of African History*. Oxford University Press. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190277734.013.271.
43. Gailey, Harry A. 1970. *The Road to Aba: A Study of British Administrative Policy in Eastern Nigeria.* New York: New York University Press.
Comisión de la Verdad y Reconciliación del Perú (CVR). 2003. *Informe Final.* Lima: CVR.
44. Federal Bureau of Investigation. n.d. "Paul Robeson FBI File." Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Justice, Federal Bureau of Investigation. Accessed September 23, 2025. https://vault.fbi.gov/Paul%20Robeson%2C%20Sr.
45. Nigeria. Commission of Inquiry Appointed to Inquire into the Disturbances in the Calabar and Owerri Provinces, December 1929. 1930. *Report of the Commission of Inquiry into the Disturbances in the Calabar and Owerri Provinces, Nigeria, December 1929.* Lagos: Government Printer.
46. Watson, James D., and Francis H. C. Crick. 1953. "Molecular Structure of Nucleic Acids: A Structure for Deoxyribose Nucleic Acid." *Nature* 171 (4356): 737–738.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202511.1038.v1

47. Franklin, Rosalind, and Raymond Gosling. 1953. "Molecular Configuration in Sodium Thymonucleate." *Nature* 171 (4356): 740–741.
48. BlackPast. n.d. "Aba Women's Riots (November–December 1929)." Accessed September 23, 2025. https://www.blackpast.org/global-african-history/aba-womens-riots-november-december-1929/
49. Document Women. n.d. "The Mastermind Behind the Aba Women's Riot: Madame Nwanyeruwa." Accessed September 23, 2025. https://wp.documentwomen.com/the-mastermind-behind-the-aba-womens-riot-madame-nwanyeruwa/
50. Oloh, Chinelo. 2019. "Nwanyeruwa: The Woman Behind the Tactical Aba Women's Protest." *Guardian Life* (Nigeria), December 1. Accessed September 23, 2025. https://guardian.ng/life/nwanyereuwa-the-woman-behind-the-tactical-aba-womens-protest/
51. MacTutor History of Mathematics Archive. n.d. "Sophie Germain." Accessed September 23, 2025. https://mathshistory.st-andrews.ac.uk/Biographies/Germain/
52. Oxford Research Encyclopedia of African History. 2018. Adam Paddock. "The Women's War of 1929." Accessed September 23, 2025. https://doi.org/10.1093/acrefore/9780190277734.013.271