

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Chrono-Quantum Field Theory: Time as a Fundamental Wave and Space as Quantum Amplitude

[Furkan Rabee](#) *

Posted Date: 31 October 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202510.2440.v1

Keywords: quantum gravity; Chrono-Quantum Field Theory; spacetime quantization; chronodynamics; unified field theory



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Chrono-Quantum Field Theory: Time as a Fundamental Wave and Space as Quantum Amplitude

Furkan Rabee

University of Kufa, Faculty of Computer Science and Mathematics; furkan.rabee@uokufa.edu.iq

Abstract

We propose a Chrono-Quantum Field Theory framework in which time is a complex scalar wave with phase and frequency but without intrinsic amplitude, while three-dimensional quantized space furnishes the amplitude through a spatial-amplitude operator. Writing $t = i\tau$, the composite physical field $\Phi(x, \tau) = A(x)\Psi_t(x, \tau)$ intertwines an imaginary-time oscillation with a real spatial amplitude lattice. From a minimal postulate set we derive a covariant field equation, an effective proper-time law consistent with local Lorentz invariance, and a catalogue of falsifiable predictions. We then present a complete comparison with Einstein's Special and General Relativity (SR/GR) across standard tests (time dilation, transverse Doppler, gravitational redshift, Shapiro delay, light bending, perihelion advance, frame dragging, binary pulsars, gravitational waves, GPS). Coarse-graining the temporal spectrum and spatial amplitude yields a positive effective vacuum term compatible with late-time acceleration (dark energy), while near-horizon behavior can be interpreted as a temporal-phase singularity. Finally, we outline a *Chronodynamic Quantum Computer* (CQC) that encodes information in temporal phase and uses the spatial lattice as amplitude memory, suggesting noise-shaping benefits and relativistic timing built-in. The paper closes with philosophical implications, discussion of limitations, and clearly targeted experimental pathways.

Keywords: quantum gravity; Chrono-Quantum Field Theory; spacetime quantization; chronodynamics; unified field theory

1. Introduction

General Relativity (GR) treats time as a coordinate in a smooth Lorentzian manifold whose curvature encodes gravitation. Quantum Mechanics (QM), by contrast, uses time as an external classical parameter governing unitary evolution on a Hilbert space. This asymmetry remains a major obstacle for unification. Discrete and background-independent approaches (e.g., loop quantum gravity, causal sets) attempt to quantize geometry, yet the ontological status of time is still unsettled. Meanwhile, precision experiments continue to confirm SR/GR across diverse regimes, imposing stringent constraints on any alternative.

We advance *chronodynamics*: time is a complex scalar field (a temporal wave) that possesses phase ϕ and frequency ν_t but lacks its own amplitude; quantized space provides that amplitude via a spatial operator. In short, the imaginary-temporal and real-spatial components combine into a single complex field that admits both relativistic and quantum interpretations and suggests novel tests. This picture also inspires a new quantum-computing paradigm—CQC—where logical information is encoded primarily in temporal phase.

2. Problem Statement

A successful unification must (i) reproduce all established SR/GR results within current bounds, (ii) endow time with microphysical status comparable to spatial/field operators, (iii) make concrete, falsifiable predictions, and (iv) connect naturally with information processing as a physical process.

Existing programs partially address (i) and (iii), but (ii) and (iv) remain underdeveloped. Chronodynamics targets these gaps by assigning time an explicit wave ontology and tying computation to temporal phase.

3. Contributions

This article offers:

C1: Postulates and field equation. Time is a complex scalar wave Ψ_t with frequency and phase; space is quantized and supplies a real amplitude $A(x)$. We derive a covariant coupling $\square\Psi_t = -\kappa A\Psi_t + S_*$.

C2: Effective proper time and local Lorentz invariance. We show that $A(x)$ acts as a conformal factor preserving null cones; the proper-time law reduces to Einstein's predictions when A is approximately constant, with tiny amplitude-gradient corrections.

C3: Comprehensive comparison with SR/GR. We analyze all standard tests (Ives-Stillwell, gravitational redshift, Shapiro delay, light bending, perihelion advance, frame dragging, binary pulsars, gravitational waves, GPS), identifying where chronodynamics is indistinguishable from GR and where subleading deviations could arise.

C4: Cosmological mechanism. Coarse-graining yields a positive effective vacuum term $\Lambda_{\text{eff}} \sim \beta \langle v_t^2 A^2 \rangle / c^2$ that can reproduce late-time acceleration; near horizons, temporal-phase singularities offer an alternate perspective on black-hole physics.

C5: Chronodynamic quantum computing. We define chrono-qubits, temporal-phase gates, and sketch hardware platforms with potential noise-shaping advantages and built-in relativistic timing.

C6: Falsifiability and bounds. We design precision-clock, interferometric, gravitational-wave, and cosmological probes to bound or detect amplitude-gradient effects without conflicting with extant constraints.

4. Chronodynamic Theoretical Framework

4.1. Temporal Wave, Spatial Amplitude, and Composite Field

Let $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ be a real parameter and write $t = i\tau$ so temporal evolution lies on the imaginary axis. Define

$$\Psi_t(x, \tau) = \exp[i(2\pi\nu_t\tau - \phi(x))], \quad (1)$$

with local temporal frequency ν_t and spatially varying phase $\phi(x)$. The spatial amplitude operator $\hat{A}(x)$ acts on spatial states $|\psi_s\rangle$ with expectation

$$A(x) \equiv \langle \psi_s | \hat{A}(x) | \psi_s \rangle \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}. \quad (2)$$

Physical content at (x, τ) is encoded by the composite complex field

$$\Phi(x, \tau) = A(x) \Psi_t(x, \tau). \quad (3)$$

4.2. Stars as Chronometric Sources

A fundamental postulate of chronodynamics is that massive bodies, particularly stars, serve as primary sources of temporal waves. The source term $S_*(x)$ in the field equation represents stellar and astrophysical processes that establish local temporal frequencies. We propose that nuclear fusion and other energetic processes in stellar cores generate temporal waves that propagate through space, setting the fundamental chronometric field that defines local proper time.

The source strength is proportional to the mass-energy conversion rate:

$$S_*(x) = \eta \cdot \epsilon(x), \quad (4)$$

where $\epsilon(x)$ is the local energy density production rate and η is a universal chronometric coupling constant. This provides a physical mechanism for why gravitational time dilation correlates with gravitational potential - massive bodies not only curve space but also source the temporal field that defines the local rate of time flow.

4.3. Chronodynamic Field Equation

The simplest covariant linear coupling is

$$\square \Psi_t = -\kappa A(x) \Psi_t + S_*(x), \quad (5)$$

where $\square = g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu \nabla_\nu$, κ is a coupling constant, and S_* is the stellar chronometric source term described above.

4.4. Effective Geometry and Proper Time

The amplitude acts as a conformal factor:

$$\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}(x) = A(x)^2 g_{\mu\nu}(x), \quad (6)$$

preserving local null cones and hence causality. For timelike separations,

$$d\tilde{s}^2 = \tilde{g}_{\mu\nu} dx^\mu dx^\nu = A(x)^2 (c^2 dt^2 - d\ell^2) = c^2 d\tilde{\tau}^2, \quad (7)$$

so

$$d\tilde{\tau} = \frac{1}{A(x)} \frac{dt}{\gamma(v)}, \quad \gamma(v) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 - v^2/c^2}}. \quad (8)$$

Equation (8) reproduces SR when $A \rightarrow 1$, with corrections controlled by gradients ∇A over finite paths.

5. Extended Mathematical Formulation

5.1. Modified Proper-Time Differential with Source-Induced Modulation

Allowing for environmental and source terms, a phenomenological expansion consistent with Eq. (5) is

$$d\tau = \left[1 + \alpha \frac{v}{c} + \beta \frac{GM}{c^2 r} + \gamma \frac{A_*}{A_0} \sin(\omega_* t) \right] dt, \quad (9)$$

where $\beta GM/(c^2 r)$ reproduces standard gravitational time-dilation to leading order, while the oscillatory term encodes stellar-induced temporal interference from nearby chronometric sources. In vacuo, precision Ives-Stillwell-type constraints strongly bound any linear-in- v term, so α must be ≈ 0 for terrestrial/solar-system conditions; residual effects can then be attributed to small but nonzero ∇A or controlled environments.

5.2. Energy-Time Relation from Temporal Phase Statistics

With $E = h\nu_t$, the intrinsic phase uncertainty of Ψ_t over a coherence interval Δt_c yields

$$\Delta\phi \Delta\nu_t \geq \frac{1}{4\pi} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Delta E \Delta t_c \geq \frac{h}{4\pi}, \quad (10)$$

grounding the energy-time uncertainty in temporal-phase statistics rather than disturbance arguments. Systems with long temporal coherence (state-of-the-art atomic/optical clocks) become sensitive probes of tiny environment-induced drifts.

5.3. Gravity as Temporal-Phase Gradient

Interpreting the gravitational potential as a functional of the temporal phase,

$$\Phi_{\text{grav}} \propto \nabla \phi, \quad (11)$$

links spacetime curvature to spatial structure in $\phi(x)$ while preserving the null structure via Eq. (6). At leading order, standard post-Newtonian effects are recovered; subleading chromodynamic terms scale with integrated ∇A along geodesics.

6. Local Lorentz Consistency and Transformations

Whenever $A(x)$ is approximately constant over an experimental region, physics is locally Minkowskian and standard Lorentz transformations apply. Deviations arise only through spatial variation of A and appear as conformal rescalings that do not alter null cones. Free fall extremizes the conformal proper time $\int A(x) d\tau_{\text{SR}}$, equivalent to geodesics of $\tilde{g}_{\mu\nu}$.

7. Comprehensive Comparison with Einstein's Theories

7.1. Kinematic Time Dilation and Transverse Doppler (Ives-Stillwell)

SR predicts $d\tau = dt/\gamma$. Chromodynamics gives Eq. (8); for $A \approx 1$ this coincides exactly. Any linear-in- v terms must be below experimental bounds and are set to zero in vacuum; laboratory deviations, if any, should correlate with engineered ∇A .

7.2. Gravitational Redshift (Pound-Rebka, Clocks at Altitude)

GR gives $v_{\text{top}}/v_{\text{bottom}} = \sqrt{g_{00}^{\text{top}}/g_{00}^{\text{bottom}}}$. Chromodynamics yields

$$\frac{v_{\text{top}}}{v_{\text{bottom}}} = \sqrt{\frac{g_{00}^{\text{top}}}{g_{00}^{\text{bottom}}}} \times \frac{A(x_{\text{bottom}})}{A(x_{\text{top}})}, \quad (12)$$

so the Einsteinian factor is recovered for A constant, and precision data constrain vertical gradients of A .

7.3. Light Bending and Shapiro Delay

Conformal rescalings preserve null geodesics; thus leading-order bending and Shapiro delay match GR, with small integrated phase corrections from ∇A .

7.4. Perihelion Advance

To first order, the conformal factor does not alter the classic GR perihelion shift; data bound any orbital-scale variation in A .

7.5. Frame Dragging (Lense-Thirring, Gravity Probe B)

The gravitomagnetic sector is preserved at leading order under conformal rescaling; agreement with observations is expected with tight bounds on ∇A near Earth.

7.6. Binary Pulsars and Gravitational Waves

GR's quadrupolar radiation picture remains intact at leading order (null structure unchanged). Propagation through regions with nontrivial ∇A could induce tiny, frequency-dependent phase lags, offering a target for precision phasing analyses.

7.7. Global Positioning System (GPS)

GPS timing requires SR/GR corrections at parts in 10^{10} . Equation (8) reproduces those when $A \approx 1$ in near-Earth space; residual drifts bound $|\nabla A|/A$.

Summary. Chronodynamics coincides with SR/GR wherever A is effectively constant; allowed deviations scale with amplitude gradients and are therefore strongly constrained in the lab and solar system but potentially non-negligible over long baselines or engineered environments.

8. Cosmology, Dark Energy, and Black Holes

8.1. An Effective Vacuum Term

Coarse-graining Eq. (5) suggests a positive effective term

$$\Lambda_{\text{eff}} \sim \beta \frac{\langle v_t^2 A^2 \rangle}{c^2}, \quad (13)$$

where β is dimensionless. If $\langle v_t^2 A^2 \rangle$ is nearly constant on Hubble scales, late-time acceleration follows; mild evolution would appear as deviations from $w = -1$.

8.2. Temporal-Phase Singularities and Horizons

Near horizons, singular behavior in $\nabla\phi$ or $A(r)$ can emulate the phenomenology of event horizons while leaving null structure (and hence Hawking radiation) intact up to subleading corrections, potentially shifting greybody factors and ringdown phases at a level accessible to high-SNR events.

9. Chronodynamic Quantum Computing

9.1. Chrono-Qubits and Encoding

Define a chromo-qubit localized near r :

$$|\psi_c\rangle = A(r) e^{i(2\pi\nu_t\tau - \phi(r))}. \quad (14)$$

Logical information is stored in temporal phase/frequency, while A provides robust magnitude memory. Basis states may be encoded as $\{0, \pi\}$ phases or distinct ν_t bands.

9.2. Gates as Temporal-Phase Operators

Let \hat{A} act on a small spatial cell. A primitive gate is

$$\hat{U}(\Delta\tau) = \exp[i\omega_t \Delta\tau \hat{A}], \quad (15)$$

advancing temporal phase conditioned on local amplitude. Two-qubit gates arise from controlled phase gradients between adjacent cells. Because information is stored primarily in the imaginary-time phase, some real-space noise channels couple less directly than in conventional designs.

9.3. Platforms and Advantages

Candidate platforms include superconducting circuits, trapped ions, integrated photonics, and atom-photon hybrids. Advantages may include: (i) built-in relativistic timing via Eq. (8) for satellite/terrestrial synchronization; (ii) noise shaping by phase encoding; (iii) modular scalability via amplitude cells tiled in 3D with synchronized oscillators.

10. Predictions, Bounds, and Experimental Pathways

Precision clocks (P1). Co-locate dissimilar clock species (e.g., Sr vs. Cs) and modulate environmental conditions to produce controlled ∇A . Search for differential drifts beyond GR. Null results bound $|\nabla A|/A$.

Temporal interferometry (P2). Matter-wave/atom interferometers with arms sampling regions of slightly different $A(x)$ accumulate additional phases. Compare to GR predictions to isolate conformal-amplitude effects.

Gravitational-wave phasing (P3). Allow line-of-sight integrals of ∇A as subleading phase parameters in template banks; set bounds from high-SNR events.

Cosmology fits (P4). Fit SN Ia/BAO/CMB data with $\Lambda_{\text{eff}}(z)$ from Eq. (13); constrain combinations of $\langle v_t^2 A^2 \rangle(z)$.

Stellar chronometry tests (P6). Measure temporal frequency variations in the vicinity of different stellar types and compact objects to directly probe the $S_*(x)$ source term and verify the proposed relationship between stellar energy production and local proper time.

11. Philosophical Implications

If time is a wave along the imaginary axis and space is its real amplitude, then experience becomes the traversal of temporal phase while structure resides in spatial amplitude. Causality emerges as sequential coherence of phase; determinism and indeterminism reconcile as lawful phase evolution producing discrete outcomes through quantized amplitude. In this view, the universe computes itself: imaginary time encodes process, real space encodes memory and structure, and consciousness—to the extent it is physical—arises where phase and amplitude interact richly.

12. Discussion and Outlook

Chronodynamics provides a compact synthesis: a temporal wave coupled to a spatial amplitude lattice reproduces SR/GR locally and suggests controlled, testable departures via amplitude gradients. Important open directions include: (i) nonlinear self-couplings of Ψ_t ; (ii) microscopic dynamics of \hat{A} ; (iii) backreaction of Ψ_t on the spatial lattice; (iv) end-to-end simulations of CQC with realistic noise; (v) refined cosmological modeling of $\langle v_t^2 A^2 \rangle(z)$ against upcoming survey data; (vi) detailed modeling of stellar chronometric sources across different stellar evolution stages.

13. Conclusion

We developed a chromodynamic theory wherein time is an imaginary-axis wave and quantized space supplies amplitude. A simple covariant coupling yields an effective proper time consistent with local Lorentz invariance and GR/SR tests, while enabling a spectrum of precise, falsifiable experiments. The identification of stars as fundamental chronometric sources provides a physical mechanism for establishing local temporal fields. Cosmological and black-hole implications follow from coarse-grained amplitude and phase structure. Finally, the chromodynamic quantum-computing proposal leverages temporal-phase logic and spatial-amplitude memory. The framework is deliberately minimalist yet predictive, inviting immediate experimental scrutiny.

Acknowledgments: The author acknowledges the assistance of OpenAI GPT-5, Deepseek in improving the linguistic clarity, structural organization, and technical formatting of this manuscript.

References

1. A. Einstein, "The Foundation of the General Theory of Relativity," *Annalen der Physik* **49**, 769–822 (1916).
2. R. M. Wald, *General Relativity* (University of Chicago Press, 1984).
3. C. W. Misner, K. S. Thorne, and J. A. Wheeler, *Gravitation* (W. H. Freeman, 1973).
4. S. Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology* (Wiley, 1972).
5. S. M. Carroll, *Spacetime and Geometry* (Addison-Wesley, 2004).
6. P. A. M. Dirac, *The Principles of Quantum Mechanics* (Oxford University Press, 1930).
7. J. J. Sakurai, *Modern Quantum Mechanics*, rev. ed. (Addison-Wesley, 1994).
8. R. P. Feynman, "Space-Time Approach to Non-Relativistic Quantum Mechanics," *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **20**, 367 (1948).
9. B. S. DeWitt, "Quantum Theory of Gravity. I. The Canonical Theory," *Phys. Rev.* **160**, 1113 (1967).
10. A. Ashtekar, "New variables for classical and quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **57**, 2244 (1986).
11. C. Rovelli and L. Smolin, "Spin networks and quantum gravity," *Phys. Rev. D* **52**, 5743 (1995).
12. R. D. Sorkin, "Causal sets: Discrete gravity," in *Lectures on Quantum Gravity* (Springer, 2005), pp. 305–327.
13. R. Penrose, *The Road to Reality* (Jonathan Cape, 2004).
14. J. Polchinski, *String Theory*, Vols. I & II (Cambridge University Press, 1998).
15. C. M. Will, "The Confrontation between General Relativity and Experiment," *Living Rev. Relativ.* **17**, 4 (2014).

16. R. V. Pound and G. A. Rebka Jr., "Apparent Weight of Photons," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **4**, 337 (1960).
17. J. C. Hafele and R. E. Keating, "Around-the-World Atomic Clocks: Predicted Relativistic Time Gains," *Science* **177**, 166 (1972).
18. H. E. Ives and G. R. Stillwell, "An experimental study of the rate of a moving atomic clock," *J. Opt. Soc. Am.* **28**, 215 (1938).
19. N. Ashby, "Relativity in the Global Positioning System," *Living Rev. Relativ.* **6**, 1 (2003).
20. I. I. Shapiro, "Fourth Test of General Relativity," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **13**, 789 (1964).
21. R. A. Hulse and J. H. Taylor, "Discovery of a pulsar in a binary system," *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **195**, L51 (1975).
22. B. P. Abbott et al. (LIGO Scientific Collaboration and Virgo Collaboration), "Observation of Gravitational Waves from a Binary Black Hole Merger," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **116**, 061102 (2016).
23. A. G. Riess et al., "Observational evidence from supernovae for an accelerating universe and a cosmological constant," *Astron. J.* **116**, 1009 (1998).
24. S. Perlmutter et al., "Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 high-redshift supernovae," *Astrophys. J.* **517**, 565 (1999).
25. Planck Collaboration, "Planck 2018 results. VI. Cosmological parameters," *Astron. Astrophys.* **641**, A6 (2020).
26. S. W. Hawking, "Black hole explosions?," *Nature* **248**, 30 (1974).
27. J. D. Bekenstein, "Black holes and entropy," *Phys. Rev. D* **7**, 2333 (1973).
28. M. H. Devoret and R. J. Schoelkopf, "Superconducting Circuits for Quantum Information: An Outlook," *Science* **339**, 1169 (2013).
29. F. Arute et al., "Quantum supremacy using a programmable superconducting processor," *Nature* **574**, 505 (2019).
30. J. I. Cirac and P. Zoller, "Quantum computations with cold trapped ions," *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **74**, 4091 (1995).
31. M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information* (Cambridge University Press, 2010).
32. V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, "Quantum-Enhanced Measurements: Beating the Standard Quantum Limit," *Science* **306**, 1330 (2004).
33. S. Lloyd, "Ultimate physical limits to computation," *Nature* **406**, 1047 (2000).
34. J. Preskill, "Quantum Computing in the NISQ era and beyond," *Quantum* **2**, 79 (2018).
35. C. P. Burgess, *Quantum Field Theory and Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, 2020).
36. D. Baumann, *Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, 2022).
37. G. Hobbs et al., "The international pulsar timing array project: using pulsars as a gravitational wave detector," *Class. Quantum Grav.* **27**, 084013 (2010).
38. Z. Arzoumanian et al., "The NANOGrav 12.5-year data set: Search for an isotropic stochastic gravitational-wave background," *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **905**, L34 (2020).
39. E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner, *The Early Universe* (Addison-Wesley, 1990).
40. V. Mukhanov, *Physical Foundations of Cosmology* (Cambridge University Press, 2005).
41. L. Susskind, "The World as a Hologram," *J. Math. Phys.* **36**, 6377 (1995).
42. J. M. Maldacena, "The Large- N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity," *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2**, 231 (1998).

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.