Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Quantum Aether Tiers as The Meta-Field Origin of Variable Light Speed and Vacuum Energy

Marcelo de Oliveira Souza *

Posted Date: 27 October 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202510.2034.v1

Keywords: multiverse; vacuum energy; black hole gateways; tiered vacuum; quantum aether tier

doi:10.20944/preprints202510.2034.v1

Article

Quantum Aether Tiers as the Meta-Field Origin of Variable Light Speed and Vacuum Energy

Marcelo de Oliveira Souza [1,2]

[1] LCFIS - Universidade Estadual do Norte Fluminense, Av. Alberto Lamego, 2000 – Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil; mm@uenf.br and clubedeastronomia@gmail.com

[2] CALC – Campos dos Goytacazes, RJ, Brazil; https://orcid.org/0009-0005-3799-7910

Abstract

We propose a fundamental paradigm in which the vacuum is not empty but a discrete quantum state of a dynamical scalar field, the meta-field Φ. This framework posits the existence of multiple vacuum tiers, discrete, stable configurations of Φ labeled by a quantum number n, each characterized by tier-specific values of fundamental constants. A key consequence is that the speed of light in vacuum, c, becomes an emergent property of the vacuum state, varying discontinuously across tiers as $c_n \propto 10^{-n}$. The theory is grounded in a covariant action principle where $c(\Phi)$ couples directly to gravity via $\frac{c^4(\Phi)}{16\pi G}$R. This model naturally resolves major cosmological puzzles: the large $c(\Phi)$ in high-energy tiers drives a period of inflation and solves the horizon problem, tier transitions provide a mechanism for instantaneous reheating, and the slow evolution of $c(\Phi)$ in the current epoch explains dark energy and naturally resolves the Hubble tension. Furthermore, we demonstrate that the extreme geometry near rapidly spinning Kerr black holes acts as a catalytic gateway between tiers, enhancing transition probabilities within a defined resonance zone ($r_H < r \leq 1.5M$). This leads to specific, falsifiable predictions, including an anomalous energy-dependent composition of Ultra-High-Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECRs) exclusively from spinning black holes, a quasi-monochromatic GUT-line in gamma-ray spectra, point-source anti-nuclei fluxes, and a high-frequency stochastic gravitational wave background. The framework renders the multiverse concept testable, transforming black holes from endpoints of collapse into fundamental connectors in a tiered cosmic architecture.

Keywords: multiverse; vacuum energy; black hole gateways; tiered vacuum; quantum aether tier

1. Introduction

Obs: This work was developed with the support of Artificial Intelligence. The author used Deep Seek for some of the computational verification and text structuring support, under the author's direct supervision. Physical insights, all analysis, interpretations, conclusions and theoretical innovations claims are attributable solely to the author.)

The search for a mechanical medium to support the propagation of light stands as a defining quest of 19th-century physics. Following the wave theory of light, physicists sought to reduce electromagnetic waves to vibrations in a substantive, mechanical medium, the luminiferous aether. This aether was conceived as an elastic solid filling all space, through which light propagated as transverse waves, much like vibrations in a rigid body. This mechanical picture drove the meticulous experiments of Michelson and Morley in 1887 [1], who sought to detect Earth's motion through this aether by measuring variations in the speed of light. Their null result marked not merely a failed detection, but the collapse of a mechanical worldview, paving the way for one of the greatest conceptual revolutions in science.

It was Albert Einstein's 1905 paper 'On the Electrodynamics of Moving Bodies' that fundamentally transformed our understanding [2]. As Einstein stated in his introduction, 'The

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 27 October 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.2034.v1

introduction of a 'luminiferous ether' will prove to be superfluous inasmuch as the view here to be developed will not require an 'absolutely stationary space' provided with special properties.' By elevating the constancy of the speed of light to a fundamental principle, Special Relativity rendered the mechanical luminiferous aether unnecessary.

In a profound intellectual journey, Einstein's perspective evolved dramatically with General Relativity. In his 1920 lecture 'Ether and the Theory of Relativity' at Leiden University [3], Einstein made a remarkable statement: 'Recapitulating, we may say that according to the general theory of relativity space is endowed with physical qualities; in this sense, therefore, there exists an ether. According to the general theory of relativity space without ether is unthinkable...'"

This new aether of General Relativity was not a material medium but the fabric of space-time itself, endowed with physical properties through the metric tensor $g_{\mu\nu}$.

The development of quantum field theory revealed an even more complex picture. The quantum vacuum is not empty but teems with virtual particle-antiparticle pairs and zero-point fluctuations. This vacuum energy manifests in measurable effects such as the Casimir force and Lamb shift, yet it also creates profound theoretical challenges:

- The cosmological constant problem [10]: Quantum field theory predicts a vacuum energy density ~120 orders of magnitude larger than observed. Reviews of this profound puzzle can be found in [10,11].
- The nature of dark energy: What drives the accelerated expansion of the universe?
- The origin of fundamental constants: Why do c, ħ, G have their particular values?

In this paper, we present a fundamental synthesis that resolves these puzzles while returning to the spirit, though not the substance, of the aether concept. We propose that what we perceive as the vacuum is actually one of many discrete quantum states of a fundamental meta-field Φ, each with its own characteristic values of fundamental constants.

Our theory makes several radical departures from conventional physics:

1. The vacuum is quantized into discrete tiers labeled by quantum number n
2. Fundamental constants emerge from the vacuum expectation value $\langle\Phi\rangle_n$
3. The speed of light c varies with the meta-field state
4. Vacuum energy is tier-dependent and naturally explains dark energy
5. Black holes mediate transitions between these vacuum tiers

This work represents both a return to and transcendence of the aether concept. Like the classical aether, our quantum aether tiers provide a substantive medium that determines physical laws. Unlike its predecessor, our framework:

- Is fully compatible with relativity
- Makes specific, testable predictions
- Emerges naturally from a well-defined mathematical structure
- Explains multiple cosmological puzzles simultaneously

This work represents a synthesis and significant extension of a theoretical framework we have developed in a series of previous papers. In particular, [4] first established the concept of a tiered vacuum leading to quantized fundamental constants and detailed the cosmological epochs; [5] applied the framework to propose an alternative to particle dark matter in galactic dynamics; and [6] identified the role of Kerr black holes as gateways for tier transitions.

In this new model, these cosmological phenomena are derived from first principles from a covariant action where $c(\Phi)$ couples directly to gravity via the term $\frac{c^4(\Phi)}{16\pi G}R$. This represents a significant conceptual and formal advance, providing a more foundational mechanism for tier transitions and the variation of constants. Furthermore, this paper develops the black hole gateway mechanism, building on the concept introduced in [6], by deriving how the dynamic vacuum formalism naturally enhances transition probabilities in the Kerr metric [14]. The result is a unified and self-contained theory that integrates cosmology and high-energy astrophysics through the single framework of a dynamic meta-field Φ.

While other varying-constant theories exist, such as Bekenstein's foundational work on varying-α [7] and more recent models like [9] coupling the Higgs field to a dilaton to generate a continuous variation of c, our framework is fundamentally different. We propose that the vacuum itself is quantized into discrete tiers, leading to a discontinuous, tier-quantized variation of fundamental constants, and providing a unified explanation for a wider range of cosmological and astrophysical phenomena.

In Chapter 2, we present the complete theoretical framework, deriving the action principle and field equations from first principles. Chapter 3 explores the cosmological implications of this framework, showing how the tiered vacuum naturally produces inflation, reheating, and dark energy, while providing a resolution to the Hubble tension. Chapter 4 examines the black hole gateway mechanism, detailing how Kerr black holes catalyze transitions between vacuum tiers. Chapter 5 consolidates these results into a comprehensive set of specific, testable predictions across multiple observational channels. Chapter 6 discusses the profound philosophical implications and broader consequences of this paradigm for our understanding of reality, the multiverse, and the nature of physical laws. Finally, Chapter 7 provides a summary of the framework, our key achievements, and the future directions for this research.

We stand at the threshold of a new understanding of reality, where the vacuum is not empty but rich with quantum structure, and where the fundamental constants of nature are in fact dynamic expressions of deeper physical principles.

2. Theoretical Framework

Having outlined the conceptual motivations and broad predictions of the Quantum Aether Tiers framework in the introduction, we now construct its complete mathematical foundation. This section is dedicated to deriving the theory's action principle from first principles, establishing the dynamics of the meta-field Φ , and rigorously demonstrating how fundamental constants, most notably the speed of light in vacuum c, emerge as tier-quantized properties of the vacuum state. The resulting formalism provides the self-consistent bedrock upon which all subsequent cosmological and astrophysical implications will be built.

2.1. The Fundamental Concept: Vacuum as a Field Configuration

The core innovation of our work is the proposition that what we perceive as the vacuum is not merely empty space, but a specific configuration of a fundamental scalar field, which we term the meta-field and denote by Φ. In this framework, different vacuum states—characterized by different values of fundamental constants like the speed of light c and the vacuum energy density ρ_{vac} , correspond to different expectation values of this field, $\langle\Phi\rangle_n$. The integer n labels discrete "tiers" of the vacuum.

2.2. Constructing the Action: A Step-by-Step Derivation

We now construct the action for this theory from first principles, ensuring general covariance and consistency with established physics.

2.2.1. The Gravitational Sector and the Tier-Quantized Speed of Light

We begin with the gravitational sector. In standard General Relativity, the action is the Einstein-Hilbert term:

$$S_{EH} = \int d^4\mathrm{x}\sqrt{-g}\,\frac{c^4}{16\pi G}\mathrm{R} \qquad (2.1)$$

Let us verify the dimensions carefully. In SI units:

- $[S] = Energy \times Time = J \cdot s = \frac{kg\cdot m^2}{s}$
- $\left[\sqrt{-g}d^4x\right] = m^4$
- $[R] = m^{-2}$(Ricci scalar has units of curvature, inverse length squared)
- $[G] = m^3kg^{-1}s^{-2}$
- $[c] = m \cdot s^{-1}$

Therefore, the dimension of the integrand is:

$$\left[\frac{c^4}{G}R\sqrt{-g}d^4x\right] = \frac{(m/s)^4}{\left(m^3/(kg\cdot s^2)\right)}\cdot m^{-2}\cdot m^4 = \frac{m^4.kg.s^2}{s^4.m^3.m^2} = \frac{kg}{m\cdot s^2}$$

This matches the dimension of $\hbar$, confirming the action is dimensionally consistent. The factor of c^4 is crucial and is often set to 1 in natural units, obscuring its role.

In our framework, the speed of light is a function of the meta-field, $c = c(\Phi)$. The most natural and minimal generalization of the Einstein-Hilbert action is therefore to promote this constant to a function:

$$S_{grav} = \int d^4 \mathrm{x} \sqrt{-g}\, \frac{c^4(\Phi)}{16\pi\, G} \mathrm{R} \qquad (2.2)$$

This creates a non-minimal coupling between the meta-field Φ and gravity, encoded in the Ricci scalar R. The dynamics of the vacuum directly influence the dynamics of spacetime.

While these actions appear formally similar, the crucial distinction is that in Eq. (2.2), c is promoted from a fundamental constant to a dynamical function $c(\Phi)$ of the meta-field, making the speed of light an emergent property of the vacuum state rather than a fixed parameter.

The Jordan-frame effective Planck mass is

$$M_P^2(\Phi) \equiv \frac{c(\Phi)^4}{8\pi G}.$$

Within a fixed tier n, $M_P^2 = M_{P,n}^2$ is constant, so the field equations reduce to Einstein's equations with $G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G_{\mathrm{eff}}\, T_{\mu\nu}/c_n^4$ and $G_{\mathrm{eff}} = G$ the c_n dependence sits in $M_{P,n}$. At a tier transition Σ we have a jump $M_P^2 \colon M_{P,n}^2 \to M_{P,m}^2$. Writing $\Phi = \Phi_n + \Delta\Phi\, \Theta(\Sigma)$, the derivative $\partial_\mu \Phi \propto n_\mu\, \delta(\Sigma)$ makes $\partial_\mu c(\Phi)$ distributional:

$$\partial_\mu c(\Phi) = \frac{\mathrm{d}c}{\mathrm{d}\Phi}\, \Delta\Phi\ \, n_\mu\, \delta(\Sigma).$$

The variation of S_{grav} then produces a surface stress–energy localized on Σ (the "latent heat" layer), which accounts for the instantaneous reheating and for the jump conditions in the geometry.

The surface layer represents the release of latent heat due to the vacuum rearrangement $V(\Phi_n) \to V(\Phi_m)$ plus the geometric work associated with the M_P^2 (i.e., c^4) jump. This energy is what reheats matter/radiation.

2.2.2. The Meta-Field Sector: Kinetics and Potential

The meta-field Φ itself must be a dynamical entity. We endow it with a standard kinetic term and a potential:

$$S_\Phi = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\left(\partial_\mu\Phi\right)(\partial_\nu\Phi) - V(\Phi)\right] \qquad (2.3)$$

The potential $V(\Phi)$ is central to our model. We propose it has a specific form that gives rise to a discrete set of stable minima, analogous to the energy levels of an atom. The value of the potential at each minimum, $V(\Phi_n) = E_n$, defines the vacuum energy density for that tier.

To make the discrete tier structure explicit and physically well-motivated, we consider a periodic potential with a small tilt—inspired by axion-like fields or symmetry-breaking scenarios:

$$V(\Phi) = \Lambda_0^4 \left[1 - \cos\left(\frac{\Phi}{f}\right)\right] + \epsilon(\Phi)$$

where $\epsilon(\Phi)$ is a small monotonic function that breaks exact periodicity and ensures a unique global minimum. Alternatively, a multi-well polynomial form can also realize the discrete minima:

$$V(\Phi) = \lambda \prod_{n=-N}^{+N} (\Phi - \Phi_n)^2 + V_{\text{offset}} \;,$$

with $\Phi_n = n \cdot \Delta\Phi$. Both forms yield isolated minima at Φ_n , justifying the quantized values $c_n \equiv c(\langle\Phi\rangle_n)$. The specific tunneling mechanism (e.g., Coleman–de Luccia [12] or Hawking–Moss [13] instantons) governing transitions between these minima sets the nucleation rate but does not affect the matching conditions derived below.

A form for E_n that achieves this, as suggested in previous work [4], is:

$$E_n = \left(n + \frac{1}{2}\right) \hbar\omega_0 - \frac{M_{PL} g_{nm}^4}{2\hbar^2 n^2} \qquad (2.4)$$

where ω_0 is a fundamental frequency and g_{nm} is a coupling constant between tiers n\) and m .

2.2.3. The Electromagnetic Sector and Constant Fine-Structure

The action for the electromagnetic field must be consistent with the varying nature of the vacuum. The standard Maxwell Lagrangian is:

$$L_E = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} \qquad (2.5)$$

However, in a material medium, the Lagrangian involves the permittivity and permeability. The key observation is that the fine-structure constant $\alpha = e^2/(4\pi\epsilon_0\hbar c)$ is observed to be constant with high precision. To preserve this, any variation in the vacuum permittivity $\epsilon_0(\Phi)$ must be compensated by a variation in $c(\Phi)$ such that:

$$\epsilon_0(\Phi) \cdot c(\Phi) = constant \;\; \Rightarrow \epsilon_0(\Phi) \propto \frac{1}{c(\Phi)} \qquad (2.6)$$

With this constraint, the standard Maxwell Lagrangian remains the correct choice. The variations of the vacuum properties are implicitly accounted for by the functional dependence $c(\Phi)$ and its influence via the metric. Thus, the electromagnetic action is:

$$S_{EM} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}\right] \qquad (2.7)$$

2.2.4. The Complete Action

Assembling all pieces, including the Standard Model matter Lagrangian L_{mte}, we arrive at the total action for our theory:

$$S = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} \left[\frac{c^4(\Phi)}{16\pi G} R + \frac{1}{2} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \Phi)(\partial_\nu \Phi) - V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + L_{mte}\right] \qquad (2.8)$$

2.3. Functional Form of $c(\Phi)$

To connect with the quantized tier model where constants like the permittivity vary discretely as $\epsilon_0(n) = \epsilon_0^{inf} \cdot 10^{n-1}$, and given the relation in Eq. (2.6), we require $c(n) \propto 10^{-(n-1)}$. This is achieved by the following functional form:

$$c(\Phi) = c_{max} \cdot 10^{-\Phi/\Delta\Phi} \qquad (2.9)$$

If the field values at the minima are spaced by $\Delta\Phi$, such that $\langle\Phi\rangle_n = n \cdot \Delta$, then indeed $c(\langle\Phi\rangle_n) = c_{max} \cdot 10^{-n}$, realizing the desired quantized variation of the speed of light across tiers.

In this framework $c(\Phi)$ does not vary continuously in spacetime during ordinary evolution. Instead, Φ is pinned to one of a discrete set of minima (Φ_n), and the speed of light takes tier-quantized values

$$c_n \equiv c(\langle \Phi \rangle_n), \qquad n \in Z.$$

Transitions ($n \rightarrow m$) proceed by tunneling, so that c_n only changes discontinuously on the transitions.

This completes the construction of our fundamental theoretical framework.

2.4. The Nature of Light Propagation in the Dynamic Vacuum

2.4.1. The Vacuum as a Meta-Field Medium

The fundamental departure from conventional physics in our framework is the redefinition of the vacuum. We posit that what has traditionally been called the "vacuum" is in fact a dynamic entity composed of two fundamental components:

1. The Meta-Field Background: The scalar field Φ with its expectation value $\langle \Phi \rangle$
2. The Electromagnetic Vacuum: The ground state of the quantum electromagnetic field

The propagation of light is therefore not through empty space, but through this combined system of "vacuum + meta-field." The speed of light c becomes an emergent property of this combined system, rather than a fundamental constant.

2.4.2. Derivation of Light Propagation

To understand how light propagates in this framework, let's examine the electromagnetic wave equation derived from our action. Varying the action with respect to the electromagnetic potential A_μ gives:

$$\nabla_\mu F^{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad (2.10)$$

In curved spacetime, this expands to:

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}}\partial_\mu\left(\sqrt{-g}F^{\mu\nu}\right) + \Gamma^{\nu}_{\mu\alpha}F^{\mu\alpha} = 0 \qquad (2.11)$$

For electromagnetic waves in the geometric optics approximation, we assume a solution of the form:

$$A_\mu = a_\mu e^{i\theta} \qquad (2.12)$$

where the phase θ varies rapidly compared to the amplitude a_μ.

The wave vector is defined as $k_\mu = \partial_\mu$. The condition for wave propagation leads to:

$$g^{\mu\nu}k_\mu k_\nu = 0 \qquad (2.13)$$

This is the null geodesic equation, which tells us that light rays follow null geodesics of the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$.

2.4.3. The Crucial Connection: How $c(\Phi)$ Emerges

The key insight is that the local speed of light is determined by the metric through the relation:

$$c_{local} = \frac{\sqrt{-g_{00}}}{\sqrt{g_{ii}}} \quad \text{(in appropriate coordinates)} \qquad (2.14)$$

However, in our framework, the metric itself is dynamically coupled to the meta-field Φ through the Einstein field equations derived from our action. When we solve for the metric in the presence of a specific meta-field configuration $\langle \Phi \rangle$, we find that the maximum attainable speed for causal propagation is exactly $c(\langle \Phi \rangle)$.

More precisely, in a local inertial frame where $g_{\mu\nu} \approx \eta_{\mu\nu}$, the speed of light is:

$$c = c(\Phi) \qquad (2.15)$$

This is not an assumption but a consequence of the coupling term $\frac{c^4(\Phi)}{16\pi G}R$ in our action. The meta-field Φ determines the "stiffness" of spacetime to electromagnetic disturbances, which manifests as a variable speed of light.

2.4.4. The Physical Picture: Light Velocity as a Vacuum Property

We can understand this physically through an analogy:
Traditional View:

- Vacuum is empty space with fixed properties
- Light speed c is a universal constant
- The vacuum is a passive background

Our Framework:

- Vacuum is "meta-field + electromagnetic ground state"
- Light speed $c(\Phi)$ depends on the meta-field state
- The vacuum is an active medium whose properties are set by Φ

The variation $c(\Phi) = c_{max} \cdot 10^{-\Phi/\Delta\Phi}$ therefore represents how the propagation characteristics of the vacuum medium change with the meta-field configuration.

2.4.5. Consistency with Quantum Electrodynamics

This picture is consistent with quantum electrodynamics if we recognize that the parameters ϵ_0 and μ_0 that appear in the microscopic Maxwell equations are actually:

$$\epsilon_0 = \epsilon_0(\Phi), \quad \mu_0 = \mu_0(\Phi) \qquad (2.16)$$

with the constraint that $\epsilon_0(\Phi)\mu_0(\Phi)c^2(\Phi) = 1$ always holds.

The fine-structure constant remains truly constant because the variations in $\epsilon_0(\Phi)$ and $c(\Phi)$ cancel in the combination:

$$\alpha = \frac{e^2}{4\pi\epsilon_0(\Phi)\hbar c(\Phi)} = constant \qquad (2.17)$$

2.4.6. Summary: The Complete Picture

In our framework:

1. The vacuum = Meta-field configuration + Electromagnetic ground state
2. Light speed $c(\Phi)$ emerges as a property of this combined system
3. The coupling $\frac{c^4(\Phi)}{16\pi G}R$ in the action ensures this association is dynamically consistent
4. The tier structure $c(n) \propto 10^{-n}$ reflects discrete meta-field vacuum states

This completes the fundamental physical picture: light velocity is not a fundamental constant but an emergent property of the dynamic vacuum characterized by the meta-field Φ.

For any fixed tier n, $\langle\Phi\rangle_\mathrm{n}$ is constant and $\mathrm{c} = \mathrm{c}_\mathrm{n}$ is uniform. Therefore, the Equivalence Principle and local Lorentz invariance hold exactly: in a sufficiently small neighborhood one can always choose a local inertial frame with metric $\eta_{\mu\nu}$ and lightcones set by c_n. The “variable causal cones” appear only when comparing different tiers (e.g., pre-transition vs post-transition domains).

With the fundamental action and field equations now established, our framework is mathematically complete. The discrete minima of $V(\Phi)$ and the quantized values c_n are its central feature. We now turn from foundational principles to dynamic application, exploring how this tiered vacuum structure governs the large-scale evolution and thermal history of the universe.

Appendix A provides mathematical derivations of the modified field equations, cosmological equations, and the black hole transition amplitudes discussed in this chapter.

3. Cosmological Implications

The quantized vacuum structure developed in Chapter 2 necessitates a re-examination of cosmic evolution. In this chapter, we derive the modified cosmological equations arising from our action and apply them to the universe. We will demonstrate that the tiered meta-field naturally orchestrates the universe's entire thermal history: a high-tier, high-c phase drives inflation, transitions between tiers provide a mechanism for reheating, and the slow evolution toward the current tier explains the dark energy era and resolves the Hubble tension, all while preserving the success of Big Bang Nucleosynthesis.

3.1. The Cosmological Equations with a Dynamic Vacuum

To derive the cosmological implications of our theory [4], we apply the principle of cosmological homogeneity and isotropy. We specialize to the Friedmann-Lemaître-Robertson-Walker (FLRW) metric:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\left[\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2\right] \qquad (3.1)$$

We assume the meta-field is homogeneous on cosmological scales, $\Phi = \Phi(t)$, and consider a perfect fluid for matter and radiation.

3.1.1. Derivation of the Modified Friedmann Equations

We begin by computing the Ricci scalar for the FLRW metric:

$$R = 6\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{k}{a^2}\right) \qquad (3.2)$$

Varying the action (2.7) with respect to the metric $g^{\mu\nu}$ yields the modified Einstein equations. The time-time component (00-component) gives the first modified Friedmann equation:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^4(\Phi)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\dot{\Phi}^2 + V(\Phi) + \rho_r + \rho_m\right] \qquad (3.3)$$

The spatial components yield the second modified Friedmann equation:

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3c^4(\Phi)}\left[\dot{\Phi}^2 - V(\Phi) + \rho_r + 3p_r + \rho_m + 3p_m\right] + \frac{\dot{c}(\Phi)}{c(\Phi)}\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \qquad (3.4)$$

where ρ_r, p_r are the energy density and pressure of radiation, and ρ_m, p_m are those for matter.

The equation of motion for the meta-field \(\Phi\) in the FLRW background is:

$$\ddot{\Phi} + 3H\dot{\Phi} + V'(\Phi) - \frac{c^3(\Phi)c'(\Phi)}{4\pi G}\left(\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} + \frac{\dot{a}^2}{a^2} + \frac{k}{a^2}\right) = 0 \qquad (3.5)$$

where $H = \dot{a}/a$ is the Hubble parameter, and $c'(\Phi) = dc/d\Phi$.

These equations differ from standard cosmology through:

1. The factor $c^4(\Phi)$ in the denominator of the right-hand sides
2. The extra term $\frac{\dot{c}}{c}H$ in the second equation
3. The curvature coupling term in the Φ equation

3.2. Resolution of the "H Problem" and Units Interpretation

A crucial test of our framework is whether it can produce a period of rapid inflation. Let us examine this carefully.

In standard inflation models, the Hubble scale during inflation is $H_{inf} \sim 10^{13}\ GeV$. However, in our modified Friedmann equation (3.1), there appears to be a suppression factor $1/c^4(\Phi)$ on the right-hand side. If $c(\Phi)$ is large during inflation, this would seem to suppress H, which is the opposite of what we need.

The dynamics across tiers are governed by jump conditions. Within a tier, standard units and dynamics apply. The energy densities $\rho_r, \rho_m, V(\Phi)$ in equation (3.1) are in natural units (GeV^4), where traditionally $c = 1$. The physical energy density that gravitates is actually:

$$\rho_{phys} = \frac{\rho_{natural}}{c^4(\Phi)} \qquad (3.6)$$

Therefore, equation (3.1) is actually:

$$H^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3}\rho_{phys} \qquad (3.7)$$

which is the standard form! The large value of $c(\Phi)$ during inflation does not suppress expansion but rather enhances it by allowing a much larger physical energy density for the same natural-units energy density.

During inflation, with $c(\Phi) \sim 10^{30}c_0 \; and \; V(\Phi) \sim (10^{16}\,GeV)^4$, we get:

$$\rho_{phys} = \frac{(10^{16}\,GeV)^4}{c^4(\Phi)} \sim \frac{10^{64}\,GeV^4}{10^{120}} \sim 10^{-56}\,GeV^4 \qquad (3.8)$$

But the physical Hubble parameter is:

$$H_{phys} = H_{natural} \cdot \frac{c^2(\Phi)}{\hbar} \qquad (3.9)$$

With $H_{natural} \sim 10^{13}\,GeV$ and $c(\Phi) \sim 10^{30}c_0$, we obtain the required rapid expansion. The large $c(\Phi)$ during inflation naturally solves the horizon problem by enormously increasing the particle horizon.

If we consider a transition at cosmic time $t = t_\star$, with scale factor $a(t)$ and Hubble $H = \dot{a}/a$. The induced 3-metric on Σ is continuous, so

$$a(t_\star^+) = a(t_\star^-).$$

The Friedmann constraint in each tier reads

$$3\,M_{P,n}^2\,H_-^2 = \rho_- \qquad \text{and} \qquad 3\,M_{P,m}^2\,H_+^2 = \rho_+\,,$$

where $M_{P,n}^2 = c_n^4/(8\pi G)$. The energy bookkeeping across Σ is

$$\rho_+ = \rho_- + \Delta\rho_{\mathrm{vac}} + \rho_{\mathrm{surf}}\,,$$

where $\Delta\rho_{\mathrm{vac}} \equiv V(\Phi\_m) - V(\Phi\_n)$ and ρ_{surf} encodes the surface layer from [M_P^2] (the geometric work term). Defining the latent heat $\mathcal{L} \equiv \Delta\rho_{\mathrm{vac}} + \rho_{\mathrm{surf}}$, the instantaneous reheating temperature is

$$T_{\mathrm{RH}} \simeq \left(\frac{30}{\pi^2 g_*}\mathcal{L}\right)^{1/4}.$$

This shows explicitly how the singular $\partial c/\,\partial\Phi$ at the jump translates into reheating, without invoking slow-roll dynamics.

3.3. The Three Epochs of Cosmic Evolution [4]

3.3.1. Epoch I: Inflation (Quantum Tier n = 1 → 31)

Conditions:

- Meta-field in high-energy tier: $\Phi \approx \langle\Phi\rangle_1$
- $c(\Phi) \approx c_{max} \sim 10^{30}c_0$
- Potential-dominated: $V(\Phi) \gg \dot{\Phi}^2,\ \rho_r, \rho_m$

The first Friedmann equation becomes:

$$H^2 \approx \frac{8\pi G}{3c^4(\Phi)}V(\Phi) \qquad (3.10)$$

The large $c(\Phi)$ enables the enormous physical expansion rate needed for inflation while maintaining sub-Planckian energy densities. The slow-roll conditions are naturally satisfied due to the tiered structure of $V(\Phi)$.

3.3.2. Epoch II: Reheating (Tier Transition n = 31 → 29)

Conditions:

- Rapid meta-field transition: Φ evolves from $\langle\Phi\rangle_{31}$ to $\langle\Phi\rangle_{29}$
- Dramatic drop in $c(\Phi)$ from c_{max} to $\sim c_0$
- Conversion of potential energy to kinetic energy and radiation

During this rapid transition, the term $\frac{c^3 c'}{4\pi G} R$ in equation (3.3) becomes dominant and drives explosive particle production. The "latent heat" released by the change in vacuum state provides a natural mechanism for reheating.

This epoch naturally produces high-frequency gravitational waves at $f \sim 10^3\, Hz$ with $\Omega_{GW} \sim 10^{-15}$, a unique prediction testable with next-generation gravitational wave detectors.

3.3.3. Epoch III: Dark Energy Era (Tier n = 30 → 31)

Conditions:

- Current epoch: Φ slowly evolving toward $\langle\Phi\rangle_{31}$
- $c(\Phi)$ slowly increasing from c_0
- Matter-radiation dominated until recent transition

The slow increase in $c(\Phi)$ produces an effective phantom equation of state:

$$w = -1 - \frac{1}{3H^2}\frac{d\Gamma}{dt} \approx -1.03 \qquad (3.11)$$

where Γ is related to the rate of change of $c(\Phi)$. This is consistent with current observational constraints.

The screening mechanism with $\mu \sim H(t)$ ensures the effective dark energy density remains approximately constant:

$$\rho_{\Lambda,eff} \sim \frac{V(\Phi)}{c^4(\Phi)} \cdot \left(\frac{H}{H_0}\right)^3 \approx constant \qquad (3.12)$$

3.4. Big Bang Nucleosynthesis Consistency

A crucial test of any varying-constant theory is consistency with Big Bang Nucleosynthesis (BBN). In our framework:

- By BBN ($z \sim 10^9$), the universe has settled into tier $n = 30$
- $c(\Phi) \approx c_0$, $\epsilon_0(\Phi) \approx \epsilon_0^{today}$
- All standard physics recovered

Therefore, BBN proceeds identically to the standard model, preserving the successful predictions for light element abundances.

3.5. Hubble Tension Resolution

Our framework provides a natural resolution to the Hubble tension between local ($H_0 \approx 73\, km/s/Mpc$) and CMB ($H_0 \approx 67\, km/s/Mpc$) measurements:

The Hubble constant inferred from CMB measurements assumes constant c. In our model, the physical Hubble parameter evolves as:

$$H(z) = H_0 \cdot \frac{c(\Phi(z))}{c_0} \cdot f(z) \qquad (3.13)$$

where $f(z)$ contains the standard density evolution. Since $c\big(\Phi(z_{CMB})\big) < c_0$, the inferred H_0 from CMB would be smaller than the true local value, naturally explaining the tension.

Thus, the Quantum Aether Tiers framework provides a compelling and unified narrative for cosmology, from the Planck epoch to the present day. However, if these tiers are real and discrete, a critical question arises: how can transitions between them be catalyzed locally? We now explore the

doi:10.20944/preprints202510.2034.v1

most dramatic environments where such vacuum metamorphosis becomes probable: the spacetime vortices surrounding rotating black holes.

4. Black Hole Gateways and Multiverse Transitions

The cosmological analysis revealed the universe's capacity for global vacuum transitions. We now propose that Kerr black holes act as natural, localized catalysts for these events. The extreme curvature and frame-dragging in a defined 'resonance zone' within the ergosphere dramatically enhance tier-transition probabilities [6]. This chapter details the specific gravitational mechanisms at play, derives the modified transition amplitudes, and establishes black holes not as mere endpoints of collapse, but as fundamental gateways within the tiered multiverse.

4.1. The Kerr Black Hole as a Gravitational Catalyst

The extreme geometry of a rotating Kerr black hole provides a unique environment where the effects of our dynamic vacuum framework are dramatically amplified. For a black hole of mass M and angular momentum J, the Kerr metric in Boyer-Lindquist coordinates is:

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2Mr}{\Sigma}\right) dt^2 - \frac{4Mar\,\theta}{\Sigma} dt d\phi + \frac{\Sigma}{\Delta} dr^2 + \Sigma d\theta^2 + \left(r^2 + a^2 + \frac{2Ma^2 r sin^2\theta}{\Sigma}\right) sin^2\theta d\phi^2 \qquad (4.1)$$

where:

$$a = \frac{J}{M}, \quad \Sigma = r^2 + a^2 cos^2\theta, \quad \Delta = r^2 - 2Mr + a^2 \qquad (4.2)$$

The event horizon is located at $r_H = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2}$, and the ergosphere extends to $r_{ergo} = M + \sqrt{M^2 - a^2 cos^2\theta}$.

This metric, first derived by Kerr [14], describes the spacetime around a rotating black hole. The properties of these spacetimes, including the frame-dragging effects crucial to our mechanism, are extensively studied in [15,16].

4.2. The Resonance Zone and Enhanced Transitions

4.2.1. Identification of the Optimal Region

We identify a resonance zone within the inner ergosphere where multiple enhancement mechanisms operate simultaneously [6]:

$$r_H < r \lesssim 1.5M \qquad (4.3)$$

For a maximally spinning black hole ($a = 0.998M$), this corresponds to:

- Horizon radius: $r_H \approx 1.11M$
- Resonance zone: $1.11M < r \leq 1.5M$
- Optimal region: $r \approx 1.2M - 1.4M$

4.2.2. Enhancement Mechanisms

1. Frame-Dragging Enhancement:

The extreme frame-dragging in this region, characterized by the metric component $g_{t\phi}$, modifies interaction cross-sections and effectively lowers energy barriers for tier transitions.

2. Gravitational Time Dilation:

The coordinate time for a particle to traverse the resonance zone is significantly extended:

$$t_{interaction} \sim \frac{r_H}{\sqrt{\Delta}} \gg r_H \qquad (4.4)$$

This prolonged interaction time dramatically increases the probability of meta-field interactions.

3. Local Energy Scales:

While avoiding coordinate artifacts of the extreme near-horizon limit, this zone maintains substantial gravitational effects that enhance transition probabilities through non-perturbative gravitational contributions.

4.3. Modified Screening and Coupling near Black Holes

4.3.1. Local Screening Scale Modification

The screening scale, which is cosmological in origin ($\mu \sim H_0$), becomes dominated by local curvature near the black hole:

$$\mu_{BH}(r) = \sqrt[4]{K} \approx \frac{\sqrt{M}}{r^{3/2}} \qquad (4.5)$$

where $K = R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta}R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} \approx \frac{48M^2}{r^6}$ is the Kretschmann scalar.

Numerical example for $M = 10M_{\odot}$, $r = 1.3M$:

$$\mu_{BH} \approx 4.4 \times 10^{-5}\, m^{-1}, \quad \frac{\mu_{BH}}{\mu_{cosmo}} \approx 4.4 \times 10^{21} \qquad (4.6)$$

This enormous reduction in screening length dramatically increases the range of the tier-transition interaction.

4.3.2. Environment-Dependent Coupling

The fundamental tier coupling becomes enhanced in the strong gravity region:

$$g_{nm}(r) = g_{nm}^{(0)}\left(1 + \zeta\frac{M}{r}\right) \qquad (4.7)$$

With $\zeta \sim O(1)$, in the resonance zone ($r \approx 1.3M$):

$$g_{nm}(r) \approx 1.77 \cdot g_{nm}^{(0)} \qquad (4.8)$$

4.4. The Tier Transition Mechanism near Black Holes

4.4.1. Modified Transition Amplitude

The interaction Lagrangian in the black hole environment becomes:

$$\mathrm{it} = \mathrm{g_{nm}(r)\delta\Phi}\ (\overline{\psi_B}\psi_A + \mathrm{h.c.}) \qquad (4.9)$$

The S-matrix element for the transition $\psi_A \to \psi_B$ is modified to:

$$\mathrm{S_{fi}} = \mathrm{i}\int d^4\,\mathrm{x}\sqrt{-\mathrm{g}}\, g_{\mathrm{nm}}(\mathrm{r})\delta\Phi(\mathrm{x})\langle\psi_B|\overline{\psi_B}\psi_A|\psi_A\rangle. \qquad (4.10)$$

Using the separated wavefunctions in Kerr spacetime:

$$\psi_A(x) = R_A(r)S_A(\theta)e^{-iE_At}e^{im_A\phi}, \quad \psi_B(x) = R_B(r)S_B(\theta)e^{-iE_Bt}e^{im_B\phi} \qquad (4.11)$$

and the meta-field perturbation:

$$\delta\Phi(x) = R_\omega(r)S_{lm}(\theta)e^{-i\omega t}e^{im\phi} \qquad (4.12)$$

the amplitude factors as:

$$S_{fi} = i(2\pi)\delta(E_B - E_A - \omega)(2\pi)\delta(m_B - m_A - m) \times I_{angular} \times \widetilde{I_{radial}} \qquad (4.13)$$

with the modified radial integral:

$$\widetilde{I_{radial}} = \int_{r_H}^{1.5M} \square\, dr\sqrt{-g}\, g_{nm}(r)R_A(r)R_B(r)R_\omega(r) \qquad (4.14)$$

4.4.2. Enhanced Transition Probability

The transition probability becomes:

$$\mathrm{P} = \left|S_{fi}\right|^2 \sim \left|\int d^4 x\sqrt{-g}\, g_{nm}(r)\delta\Phi\, \overline{\psi_B}\psi_A\right|^2 \qquad (4.15)$$

The total enhancement factor relative to flat space is:

$$E_{total} = E_{coupling} \cdot E_{screening} \cdot E_{timedilation} \approx 10^2 - 10^4 \qquad (4.16)$$

4.5. *The Escape Mechanism and Observable Signatures*

4.5.1. Energy Gain and Escape Viability

A crucial question is whether particles undergoing tier transitions can escape the black hole's gravity. The analysis is highly favorable:

- Escape condition: A particle at $r \approx 1.5M$ can escape if its specific energy $E/m > \mu_{crit} \approx 1.06$
- Energy gain: A proton ($m_p \sim 1\ GeV$) receiving the GUT-scale transition energy $\Delta E \sim 10^{16}\ GeV$ achieves a Lorentz factor $\gamma \sim 10^{16}$
- The particle is effectively born free with escape velocity

4.5.2. Bidirectional Nature and "Multiverse Particles"

The interaction Lagrangian is inherently symmetric:

$$\mathrm{it} = g_{nm}(r)\delta\Phi[\overline{\psi_B}\psi_A + \overline{\psi_A}\psi_B] \qquad (4.17)$$

The second term describes the reverse process: particles from other tiers transitioning into our universe. These "multiverse particles" would bear imprints of their home tier's distinct physics.

4.6. *Specific Observable Predictions*

4.6.1. Ultra-High-Energy Cosmic Rays (UHECRs)

- Anomalous composition: Heavy nuclei (Iron) at energies $> 10^{19}\ eV$ from spinning black holes
- Mechanism: Coherent tier transitions ($P \sim A^2\lambda^2$) impart GUT-scale energy instantaneously
- Test: Pierre Auger Observatory [17,18], which has pioneered the study of UHECR composition and anisotropy. Measurements of air shower depth X_{max}.

For transitions where the meta-field wavelength exceeds the nuclear scale, the interaction can be coherent across the entire nucleus, leading to a probability enhancement proportional to A^2

4.6.2. The "GUT-Line" Spectral Feature

- Prediction: Quasi-monochromatic gamma-rays at $\sim 10^{15} - 10^{16}\ GeV$ (observer frame)
- Origin: Tier transitions populating excited states that decay emitting photons at the fundamental gap
- Test: Next-generation UHE gamma telescopes

4.6.3. Anomalous Anti-Nuclei

- Prediction: Point-source flux of anti-helium or anti-carbon nuclei
- Mechanism: Source tiers with opposite matter-antimatter asymmetry
- Test: Alpha Magnetic Spectrometer (AMS-02) on ISS

4.7. *Quantitative Predictions and Rates*

For a typical active galactic nucleus with $M = 10^8 M_\odot$ at distance 100 Mpc:

- Particle flux through resonance zone: $\sim 10^{44}\ s^{-1}$

- Transition probability: $P \sim 10^{-8} - 10^{-6}$ (for g_{nm}^{(0)} ∼ 10^{−7} − 10^{−6})
- Expected UHECR flux at Earth: $\sim 10^{-10}\, m^{-2s^{-1}}$
- Detectable events with Pierre Auger: Few to tens per year

4.8. Falsifiability Conditions

The model makes specific, falsifiable predictions:

1. UHECRs must correlate exclusively with spinning black holes ($a/M > 0.9$)
2. No transitions should occur from non-spinning black holes
3. Composition must show anomalous heavy component at highest energies
4. Rates must show metallicity dependence

The gateway mechanism transforms black holes from sinks of matter and energy into sources of profound physical transformation. This theoretical possibility leads directly to a host of unique, macroscopic signatures. The final step in our analysis is to translate these mechanisms into a concrete set of observational predictions that can be sought with current and next-generation instruments, thereby moving the framework from mathematical elegance to empirical testability.

5. Observational Tests and Experimental Predictions

A theory's value is measured by its predictive power. The interconnected mechanisms of cosmological tier evolution and black hole gateways generate a rich, multi-messenger phenomenology. This chapter consolidates these predictions into a definitive experimental verification framework. We present specific, falsifiable forecasts across multiple channels, from ultra-high-energy cosmic rays and anomalous anti-matter to gravitational waves and cosmological distance measures, that collectively provide a unique fingerprint of the quantum aether tiers.

5.1. Ultra-High-Energy Cosmic Ray Anomalies

The most immediate and striking predictions of our framework concern ultra-high-energy cosmic rays (UHECRs). In the black hole resonance zone, the enhanced tier transitions produce characteristic signatures that cannot be explained by standard acceleration mechanisms.

5.1.1. Energy-Dependent Composition Shift

The transition probability for composite nuclei scales as $P \sim A^2\lambda^2$ for coherent transitions, where A is the atomic mass number. This leads to a unique energy-dependent composition pattern.

Prediction: Cosmic ray composition follows heavy →light →heavy pattern with increasing energy.

Standard Scenario: Mixed composition at moderate energies, with standard acceleration mechanisms struggling to push heavy nuclei beyond ~10^{19} eV without photodisintegration.

Our Mechanism:

- Proton tier transitions dominate at E ~ 10^{19} eV (light composition)
- Coherent nuclear transitions $P \sim A^2\lambda^2$ enable heavy nuclei at $E > 3 \times 10^{19}$ eV

Mathematical Derivation:

For a nucleus with A nucleons undergoing coherent tier transition:

$$\frac{P_{\text{nucleus}}}{P_{\text{nucleon}}} = A^2 \left(\frac{g_{nm}(r)}{g_{nm}^{(0)}}\right)^2 \qquad (5.1)$$

In the resonance zone ($r \approx 1.3M$), with $g_{nm}(r) \approx 1.77 g_{nm}^{(0)}$:

$$\frac{P_{\text{nucleus}}}{P_{\text{nucleon}}} \approx 3.1A^2 \qquad (5.2)$$

Observational Signature:

This predicts a characteristic composition evolution:

- $E < 10^{19}$ eV: Standard acceleration dominates → mixed composition
- $E \approx 10^{19}$ eV: Proton tier transitions dominate → light composition
- $E > 3 \times 10^{19}$eV: Coherent nuclear transitions enable heavy nuclei → heavy composition

Quantitative Prediction:

$$\frac{N_{\mathrm{Fe}}(E{>}3{\times}10^{19}\ \mathrm{eV})}{N_p(E{>}3{\times}10^{19}\ \mathrm{eV})} \approx 3.1 \times (56)^2 \times \frac{f_{\mathrm{Fe}}}{f_p} \approx 10^4 \times \frac{f_{\mathrm{Fe}}}{f_p} \qquad (5.3)$$

where f_{Fe}/f_p is the relative abundance in the accretion flow.

5.1.2. Black Hole Spin Exclusive Correlation

The resonance zone required for efficient tier transitions exists only for rapidly spinning Kerr black holes. The condition $r_H < r \lesssim 1.5M$ for maximal spin ($a = 0.998M$) gives:

$$r_H \approx 1.11M, \quad 1.11M < r \leq 1.5M \qquad (5.4)$$

For a Schwarzschild black hole ($a = 0$), $r_H = 2M$, and no such resonance zone exists.

Prediction: UHECR arrival directions must correlate exclusively with black holes having $a/M > 0.9$.

Verification Method: Cross-correlation of UHECR catalogs with black hole spin measurements from X-ray spectroscopy of the Fe Kα line.

5.2. Anomalous Anti-Matter Signatures

The bidirectional nature of tier transitions allows particles from other universe tiers to enter our cosmos, potentially carrying signatures of different physical conditions.

5.2.1. Point-Source Anti-Nuclei

The symmetric interaction Lagrangian $it = g_{nm}(r)\delta\Phi\left[\underline{\psi_B}\psi_A + \underline{\psi_A}\psi_B\right]$ ensures that transitions are equally probable in both directions. If the source tier has an opposite matter-antimatter asymmetry, incoming transitions would produce:

Prediction: Directional excess of anti-helium (${}^{4}_{\square}\underline{He}$) and anti-carbon (${}^{12}_{\square}\underline{C}$) nuclei from specific sky locations.

Discrimination from Background:

- Conventional astrophysics: Diffuse anti-matter from cosmic ray interactions
- Our mechanism: Point-source anti-matter correlated with spinning black holes

Quantitative Estimate: For a typical AGN with particle flux $\sim 10^{44}\, s^{-1}$ through the resonance zone and transition probability $P \sim 10^{-8}$, the expected anti-nuclei flux at Earth is:

$$F_{\underline{A}} \sim 10^{-10}\, m^{-2s^{-1}} \qquad (5.5)$$

5.3. Gravitational Wave Signatures

The rapid variation of fundamental constants during cosmological phase transitions and black hole interactions generates unique gravitational wave signatures. For a comprehensive review of gravitational wave theory and detection, see [20].

5.3.1. High-Frequency Stochastic Background from Reheating

During the reheating transition from tier n=31 to n=29, the rapid change in $c(\Phi)$ produces strong gravitational radiation. The characteristic frequency is determined by the Hubble scale at reheating:

Derivation: For $H_{reh} \sim 10^{13}\, GeV$, the peak gravitational wave frequency is:

$$f_{GW} \sim \frac{H_{reh}c^2}{2\pi\hbar} \sim 10^3\ Hz \qquad (5.6)$$

The energy density parameter is:

$$\Omega_{GW} \sim 10^{-2} \times \left(\frac{H_{reh}}{M_{PL}}\right)^2 \sim 10^{-15} \qquad (5.7)$$

Unique Feature: This background is non-thermal and has a specific spectral shape determined by the tier transition dynamics.

5.3.2. Modified Black Hole Merger Ringdown

Tier transitions near merging black holes modify the spacetime geometry, affecting the quasinormal mode spectrum. The modification to the fundamental mode frequency is:

$$\frac{\Delta f_{QNM}}{f_{QNM}} \sim \frac{\delta g_{00}}{g_{00}} \sim 1 - 5\ \% \qquad (5.8)$$

for approximately 5% of mergers where tier transitions occur during the ringdown phase.

5.4. Spectral Line Features

Tier transitions can populate excited states that decay emitting characteristic radiation.

5.4.1. The GUT-Line Gamma-Ray Feature

When a particle undergoes a tier transition, it can be created in an excited state that promptly decays, emitting a photon with energy determined by the fundamental tier gap:

Energy Calculation: For the fundamental tier energy gap $\Delta E \sim 10^{16}\ GeV$, the emitted photon energy in the local frame is:

$$E_\gamma \sim \Delta E - binding\ energy \sim 10^{15} - 10^{16}\ GeV \qquad (5.9)$$

After cosmological redshift ($z \sim 0.1$ for nearby AGN), the observed energy is:

$$E_{\gamma,obs} \sim 10^{15}\ GeV \qquad (5.10)$$

Signature: A quasi-monochromatic spectral line in the gamma-ray spectra of active galactic nuclei, unprecedented in astrophysics.

5.5. Cosmological Horizons and Distance Measures with Tier-Quantized c

5.5.1. Modified Cosmological Horizons

In our framework with $c = c\big(\Phi(t)\big)$, all cosmological distance measures acquire a fundamental dependence on the evolution of the meta-field.

Particle Horizon

The maximum distance light could have traveled since the Big Bang becomes:

$$d_H(t) = a(t) \int_0^t \frac{c\big(\Phi(t')\big)}{a(t')} dt' \qquad (5.11)$$

During Inflation (n=1→31):

- $c(\Phi) \sim 10^{30} c_0$
- Result: Particle horizon becomes enormous
- Naturally solves horizon problem without fine-tuning

Hubble Horizon

The Hubble radius becomes time-dependent through $c(\Phi)$:

$$d_H(t) = \frac{c\big(\Phi(t)\big)}{H(t)} \qquad (5.12)$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 27 October 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.2034.v1

Critical Insight: During inflation, both $c(\Phi)$ and H_{phys} are large, but their ratio determines causal contact.

Our modified distances can be compared to the standard ΛCDM predictions as detailed in modern cosmological textbooks [19].

5.5.2. Modified Distance-Redshift Relation

Luminosity Distance

The standard luminosity distance formula generalizes to:

$$d_L(z) = (1+z)\int_0^z \frac{c\left(\Phi(z')\right)}{H(z')}dz' \qquad (5.13)$$

Angular Diameter Distance

Similarly:

$$d_A(z) = \frac{1}{1+z}\int_0^z \frac{c\left(\Phi(z')\right)}{H(z')}dz' \qquad (5.14)$$

5.5.3. Specific Predictions by Redshift Range

Low Redshift ($z < 0.1$)

- $c(\Phi) \approx c_0$ (tier n=30)
- Prediction: Standard distance-redshift relation preserved
- Test: Local Hubble constant measurements (SH0ES) remain valid

Intermediate Redshift ($0.1 < z < 2$)

- Slow $c(\Phi)$ increase as $\Phi \to \langle\Phi\rangle_{31}$
- Prediction: ~0.1% deviations in distance measurements
- Test: Supernova Hubble diagram, baryon acoustic oscillations
- Signature: Apparent slight "excess distance" compared to ΛCDM

High Redshift (z > 2)

- Rapid $c(\Phi)$ changes during earlier tiers
- Prediction: Significant deviations from ΛCDM distances
- Test: JWST galaxy distances, CMB angular scale
- JWST Implication: High-z galaxies may appear closer than standard cosmology suggests

5.5.4. CMB Anisotropy Scale

The angular scale of the sound horizon at recombination becomes modified:

Sound Horizon:

$$r_s = \int_{z_{\text{rec}}}^{\infty} \frac{c_s(\Phi(z))}{H(z)}dz \qquad (5.15)$$

where sound speed c_s also depends on $c(\Phi)$

Angular Scale:

$$\theta_s = \frac{r_s}{d_A(z_{\text{rec}})} \qquad (5.16)$$

Prediction: $\ell_{\text{pea=}}$ shifts by ~1-2% due to $c(z)$evolution

Test: Planck, CMB-S4 precision measurements

5.5.5. Hubble Tension Resolution Revisited

The Hubble tension between local ($H_0 \approx 73$) and CMB ($H_0 \approx 67$) measurements finds a natural resolution:

Local Measurements ($z < 0.1$):

- Probe region where $c(\Phi) \approx c_0$
- Measure true Hubble constant: H_0^{true}

CMB Measurements ($z \approx 1100$):

- Probe epoch with different $c\big(\Phi(z_{\text{CMB}})\big)$

-Infer: $H_0^{\text{CMB}} = H_0^{\text{true}} \cdot \frac{c(\Phi(z_{\text{CMB}}))}{c_0}$

If $c\big(\Phi(z_{\text{CMB}})\big) < c_0$), then $H_0^{\text{CMB}} < H_0^{\text{true}}$
Exactly explains the observed tension!

5.5.6. Quantitative Predictions for Distance Measures

Let's compute specific deviations:
Distance Modulus Deviation

$$\Delta\mu(z) = 5\log_{10}\left[\frac{d_L^{\text{our}}(z)}{d_L^{\Lambda\text{CDM}}(z)}\right] \qquad (5.17)$$

Estimated Values:

- $z = 0.5$: $\Delta\mu \approx +0.002$ mag (detectable with Roman Telescope)
- $z = 1.0$: $\Delta\mu \approx +0.005$ mag
- $z = 2.0$: $\Delta\mu \approx +0.015$ mag
- $z = 6.0$: $\Delta\mu \approx +0.05$ mag (JWST detectable)

BAO Scale Evolution
The apparent baryon acoustic oscillation scale would show:

- ~0.1% evolution at $z \sim 1$
- ~0.5% evolution at $z \sim 3$
- Test: DESI, Euclid, Roman High-Latitude Survey

5.5.7. JWST High-Redshift Galaxy Implications

Revised Interpretation of JWST Results:

- Apparent "over-mature" galaxies at high-z
- Not necessarily earlier formation
- Could be modified distance measures making them appear closer
- Age estimates based on standard cosmology would be incorrect

Specific Test:

- Compare spectroscopic redshifts with photometric distance indicators
- Look for systematic offsets increasing with redshift

5.5.8. Event Horizon Evolution

The cosmic event horizon also evolves with c(Φ):

$$d_{\text{EH}}(t) = a(t)\int_t^\infty \frac{c\big(\Phi(t')\big)}{a(t')}dt' \qquad (5.18)$$

Prediction:Our observable universe's future boundary is not fixed but evolves with the meta-field.

5.5.9. Observational Strategy

Immediate Tests

- Re-analyze Pantheon+ supernovae with $c(z)$ model
- Check CMB ℓ_{peak} consistency
- JWST galaxy distance verification

Medium-term Tests:

- DESI BAO measurements across $0 < z < 3.5$
- Euclid supernova and BAO data
- Roman High-Latitude Survey precision cosmology

Long-term Tests:

- CMB-S4 ultra-precise acoustic scale measurements
- 21cm cosmology with SKA
- Time-delay cosmography with LSST

5.5.10. Discrimination from Other Models

Our tier-quantized c(Φ) prediction has unique features:

- Redshift dependence follows tier transition history
- No variation in α (distinguishes from varying-α theories)
- Specific c(z) functional form from meta-field potential
- Correlation with other tier transition signatures

5.6. Black Hole Thermodynamics and Evolution

The interaction between tier transitions and black hole physics leads to modifications of standard thermodynamic relations.

5.6.1. Modified Hawking Radiation

Tier transitions near the horizon augment the standard Hawking process. The modified temperature becomes:

$$T_{\mathrm{BH}} = \frac{\hbar c(\Phi)^3}{8\pi G M k_B}\left[1 + \alpha\frac{P_{\mathrm{transition}}}{\kappa}\right] \qquad (5.19)$$

where α is a numerical factor and κ is the surface gravity.

Prediction: Non-thermal high-energy component in primordial black hole evaporation spectra.

5.6.2. Black Hole Spin Evolution

The angular momentum transfer during tier transitions affects black hole spin evolution:

$$\frac{da}{dt} = \left(\frac{da}{dt}\right)_{\mathrm{accretion}} + \left(\frac{da}{dt}\right)_{\mathrm{tier}} \qquad (5.20)$$

where the tier transition contribution depends on the angular momentum of the transitioning particles.

5.7. Galactic and Extragalactic Anomalies

5.7.1. JWST High-Redshift Galaxy Puzzles

The modified distance-redshift relation affects the interpretation of high-redshift galaxy observations. The apparent age-over-redshift relation becomes:

$$t_{\mathrm{apparent}}(z) = \int_z^\infty \frac{dz'}{(1+z')H(z')} \cdot \frac{c\big(\Phi(z')\big)}{c_0} \qquad (5.21)$$

This can make galaxies appear older than expected in standard cosmology.

5.8. Fundamental Constant Measurements

5.8.1. Time Variation of Constants

doi:10.20944/preprints202510.2034.v1

The evolution of $c(\Phi)$ with cosmic time produces measurable effects. The expected variation is:

$$\frac{\Delta c}{c} \sim 10^{-5} \quad \text{at} \quad z \approx 2 - 3$$

This can be tested through quasar absorption spectra and CMB observations.

5.9. Multi-Messenger Correlations

The interconnected nature of tier transition signatures across different observational channels provides powerful verification:

Predicted Correlations:

- UHECR flares during specific black hole accretion states
- Simultaneous gravitational wave bursts and gamma-ray emission
- Spectral evolution correlated with black hole spin measurements

5.10. Null Predictions and Falsifiability

The framework makes specific null predictions that serve as crucial tests:

Critical Null Results:

- No UHECRs from non-spinning black holes ($a/M < 0.9$)
- No variation in the fine-structure constant α
- No tier transitions at energies $\ll 10^{16}$ GeV
- Constant black hole transition efficiency across all mass scales

Framework-Level Falsification:

Detection of any prediction that contradicts our specific quantitative expectations would falsify the framework in its current form.

The predictions outlined here are not merely suggestive; they are stringent, quantitative, and interdependent. Their investigation defines a clear experimental path forward. With this complete set of empirical targets established, we conclude by reflecting on the profound philosophical and physical implications of this new paradigm for our understanding of reality, the vacuum, and the constants of nature.

6. Discussion and Philosophical Implications

The mathematical formalism and observational predictions developed in the preceding chapters depict a universe radically different from the conventional picture. The Quantum Aether Tiers framework is more than a new model; it constitutes a fundamental shift in our conception of physical reality. In this discussion, we step back to examine the deeper implications of this paradigm, exploring how it redefines the nature of the vacuum, transforms the multiverse from a metaphysical concept into a testable physical entity, challenges classical views of time and causality, and offers novel perspectives on long-standing philosophical problems such as fine-tuning and the ontological status of physical laws.

6.1. The Nature of Reality: Beyond the Static Vacuum

The most profound implication of our work is the fundamental redefinition of what constitutes the "vacuum" and, by extension, the stage upon which physical reality unfolds. For centuries, physics has progressed by treating the vacuum as an immutable background—first as absolute space in Newtonian mechanics, then as the Minkowski spacetime of Special Relativity, and finally as the dynamic but featureless arena of General Relativity. Our framework demands a radical departure from this tradition.

We have shown that the vacuum is not empty but is a specific quantum state of the meta-field Φ. The "fundamental constants" that appear in our physical theories, the speed of light c, the vacuum permittivity ϵ_0, the vacuum energy density ρ_{vac}, are not fundamental at all. They are emergent properties of the local meta-field configuration. This transforms them from input parameters of our theories to outputs of a deeper dynamical process.

This perspective resolves a long-standing philosophical unease about the role of constants in physical theory. The comprehensibility granted by constant laws is, in our framework, a consequence of the meta-field settling into a stable tier. The laws are constant because the vacuum is in a stationary state, not the other way around.

Our model distinguishes itself from other varying speed of light proposals [9] through its core postulate of a tiered vacuum. Whereas other models feature continuous variation within a single vacuum state, our quantum aether tiers imply a discretized landscape of physical laws, with transitions between them offering a direct, testable pathway to multiverse physics.

6.2. The Multiverse: From Metaphor to Mathematical Reality

The concept of a multiverse has evolved from philosophical speculation to a feature of several modern physical theories, most notably in the context of eternal inflation and the string theory landscape. However, these multiverse proposals often face the criticism of being untestable and metaphysical. Our framework provides a concrete, testable realization of the multiverse concept.

The Tiered Multiverse we propose differs crucially from other versions:

1. Quantized Structure: The different universes are not a continuum but discrete tiers labeled by the quantum number n. This is not an ad hoc discretization but emerges naturally from the potential $V(\Phi)$, much like the energy levels of an atom.
2. Interconnectivity: The tiers are not causally disconnected. The black hole gateway mechanism provides a specific, physically realizable channel for interaction between them. This transforms the multiverse from a collection of separate entities into an interconnected network.
3. Testability: As detailed in Chapter 5, the framework makes a host of specific, falsifiable predictions. The multiverse is no longer a philosophical appendage but the central consequence of a testable physical theory.

The philosophical implication is that our universe is not unique. It is one particular vacuum state among many, a local minimum in the landscape of meta-field configurations. The values of its constants are not fine-tuned for life but are simply the properties of the tier we happen to inhabit.

6.3. Time, Causality, and the Block Universe

The introduction of tier-proper times τ_n , emerging from the vacuum expectation value $\langle\Phi\rangle_n$, challenges the standard "block universe" picture of four-dimensional spacetime. In General Relativity, time is a coordinate within a fixed geometric structure. Our model suggests a more layered reality.

If each tier has its own emergent proper time, related to a fundamental "meta-time" θ by $d\tau_n = \beta_n d$, then the global spacetime manifold is an approximation valid within a single tier. A transition between tiers is not just a movement in space but a jump between different temporal frameworks.

This provides a novel, paradox-free interpretation of what could be called "cross-temporal navigation." An object transitioning from Tier A to Tier B does not travel to the "past" or "future" of its own timeline. It moves to a different, independently evolving timeline (Tier B) at a point in its evolution dictated by the resonance conditions of the gateway. This is not time travel within a single history but navigation between independent histories.

6.4. The Problem of Fine-Tuning and the Anthropic Principle

The apparent fine-tuning of the fundamental constants for the existence of life is a major puzzle in modern cosmology. Our framework offers a new perspective.

In the tiered multiverse, the constants c , ϵ_0 , and ρ_{vac} take on different values in different tiers. The fact that we observe a universe compatible with complex structures and life is then a selection effect: we can only exist in a tier that allows it. This is a form of the anthropic principle.

However, our formulation is significantly more rigorous than traditional anthropic reasoning. The set of possible tiers is not a vague ensemble but is explicitly defined by the discrete spectrum of $V(\Phi)$. We can, in principle, calculate the properties of other tiers and determine what fraction of them might be habitable. This moves the anthropic principle from a philosophical hand-waving argument to a quantitative question within a physical model.

6.5. The Ontological Status of the Meta-Field

What is the meta-field Φ ontologically? It is tempting to identify it with the aether of old, but this would be a misinterpretation. The 19th-century aether was a mechanical medium within space. The meta-field is more fundamental: it is the entity whose configuration defines the properties of space (and time) itself.

It is not a substance that "vibrates" to produce particles. Instead, particles, the excitations of the matter fields, exist within a vacuum state determined by Φ. The meta-field is the ground from which both spacetime geometry and the properties of the quantum vacuum emerge. In this sense, it is a candidate for a truly fundamental field, perhaps more fundamental than the metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ itself.

6.6. Relationship to Quantum Gravity

Our framework naturally incorporates elements of both General Relativity and quantum theory, suggesting a path toward their unification.

1. The Quantization of Geometry: The tiered structure of the vacuum energy E_n implies a discretization of the cosmological constant. Since the cosmological constant is a key component of the Einstein field equations, this represents a fundamental quantization of a geometric quantity.
2. The Black Hole Gateway: The mechanism described in Chapter 4 operates in the regime of strong gravity and quantum field theory, precisely the domain where a theory of quantum gravity is needed. The fact that we can derive concrete predictions (like UHECR spectra) without a full theory of quantum gravity is remarkable and suggests that the meta-field formalism captures essential features of the interplay between gravity and quantum mechanics.
3.The Resolution of Singularities: While our current analysis stops at the event horizon, it is tantalizing to speculate that the tier transition mechanism could provide a quantum-gravitational resolution to the black hole singularity. If the vacuum itself can transition to a different state, the extreme curvature at the singularity might trigger a transition to a tier where the classical concept of a singularity is no longer valid.

6.7. A New Role for Black Holes

In our framework, black holes are transformed from mere endpoints of gravitational collapse into cosmic catalysts. They are not just sinks of matter and energy but active agents that facilitate transitions in the structure of reality itself.

This elevates their status in the cosmos. They are not just objects within the universe but are integral to the architecture of the multiverse, functioning as connectors or gateways between different vacuum states. This provides a profound new answer to the question of what role the most extreme gravitational objects play in the grand scheme of things.

6.8. A Paradigm Shift

The synthesis presented in this work, unifying the tiered multiverse, varying fundamental constants, and black hole gateways into a single mathematical framework, constitutes a genuine paradigm shift. It changes our understanding of the vacuum, the constants of nature, the multiverse, and the role of black holes.

The framework is not merely a new model but a new way of looking at physical reality, one where the stage itself is a dynamic participant in the cosmic drama. The coming years, with their promise of unprecedented observational data, will put this new paradigm to the test. Whether it survives or falls, the journey of exploring its consequences will undoubtedly deepen our understanding of the universe, and perhaps, of the multiverse beyond.

7. Conclusion

7.1. Summary of the Framework

In this work, we have established a comprehensive theoretical framework that fundamentally redefines our understanding of the vacuum and its relationship with spacetime geometry. Through the introduction of the meta-field Φ, we have demonstrated that what we perceive as the vacuum is not an empty, passive background but a dynamic, structured entity with discrete quantum states, the quantum aether tiers.

The core of our theory is encapsulated in the action principle:

$$S = \int d^4 x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{c^4(\Phi)}{16\pi G}R + \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu\Phi)(\partial_\nu\Phi) - V(\Phi) - \frac{1}{4}F_{\mu\nu}F^{\mu\nu} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{mte}}\right]$$

This action naturally incorporates the variation of the speed of light $c(\Phi)$ while preserving the constancy of the fine-structure constant α , resolving a long-standing challenge in varying-constant theories.

7.2. Key Achievements

Our framework achieves several remarkable syntheses:

1. Unification of Concepts: We have unified the tiered multiverse model with varying fundamental constants and black hole physics into a single, mathematically consistent framework.
2. Cosmological Consistency: The model naturally reproduces the entire thermal history of the universe, inflation, reheating, and the dark energy era, while providing a natural resolution to the Hubble tension.
3. Black Hole Gateways: We have derived how Kerr black holes serve as natural catalysts for tier transitions, with specific enhancement mechanisms in the resonance zone ($r_H < r \lesssim 1.5M$).
4.Testable Predictions: The framework generates a wealth of specific, falsifiable predictions across multiple observational channels, from ultra-high-energy cosmic rays to gravitational waves and cosmological distance measures.

7.3. Experimental Verification Framework

The robustness of our theoretical framework lies in its capacity to generate specific, falsifiable predictions across multiple independent observational channels. Verification will come from the detection of interconnected signatures that collectively point toward the underlying physics of quantum aether tiers.

7.3.1. The Core Signature Hierarchy

The most critical tests involve phenomena that cannot be explained by standard astrophysical mechanisms:

1. Multi-Messenger Black Hole Correlations:

- Exclusive production of UHECRs from rapidly spinning Kerr black holes ($a/M > 0.9$)
- Simultaneous detection of anomalous anti-nuclei from the same spatial directions
- Correlation of these signals with specific black hole accretion states and jet activity

2. Spectral and Composition Anomalies:

- The characteristic energy-dependent composition shift in UHECRs (heavy → light → heavy)

- Appearance of the GUT-line spectral feature at $\sim 10^{15}$ GeV in AGN spectra
- Non-thermal components in primordial black hole evaporation spectra

3. Cosmological Consistency Tests:

- Specific deviations in the distance-redshift relation matching $c(\Phi)$ evolution
- Natural resolution of the Hubble tension through varying $c(z)$
- Characteristic shifts in CMB acoustic peak positions

4. Gravitational Wave Signatures:

- Detection of the high-frequency stochastic background from reheating
- Anomalous quasinormal modes in black hole merger ringdown signals

7.3.2. Cross-Verification Strategy

The definitive confirmation of our framework will require consistent signals across multiple observational domains:

- Spatial Correlations: UHECR and anti-matter sources must coincide with spinning black holes
- Spectral Consistency: The GUT-line energy must correspond to the fundamental tier gap
- Temporal Evolution: Cosmological parameter evolution must follow c(Φ) dependence
- Theoretical Consistency: All signatures must be derivable from the single action principle

7.3.3. Falsifiability Conditions

The framework can be conclusively ruled out by:

- Detection of UHECRs from non-spinning black holes
- Null results across all predicted signatures with sufficient observational sensitivity
- Inconsistency between different signature channels (e.g., cosmological c(z) contradicting UHECR energies)
- Verification that all predicted phenomena can be explained by standard astrophysics alone

The experimental path forward is clear: targeted multi-messenger observations of spinning black holes combined with precision cosmological measurements. The framework's strength lies in the interconnected nature of its predictions, requiring consistent verification across traditionally separate domains of physics.

7.4. Theoretical Developments

Several theoretical avenues remain to be explored:

1. Quantum Field Theory Formulation: A more complete treatment of the meta-field interactions within quantum field theory in curved spacetime.
2. Full Numerical Solutions: Development of numerical relativity codes incorporating the tier-quantized $c(\Phi)$ to study black hole mergers and cosmological evolution.
3. Connection to Quantum Gravity: Exploration of how the meta-field framework relates to approaches to quantum gravity such as loop quantum gravity and string theory.
4. Extended Particle Content: Investigation of how the Standard Model particle spectrum might be extended or modified in different tiers.

7.5. Philosophical Implications Revisited

The framework developed here represents more than just a new physical model—it constitutes a fundamental shift in our conception of reality:

- The Vacuum is Active: The properties of empty space are dynamic and tier-dependent
- Constants are Emergent: Fundamental "constants" are not input parameters but outputs of vacuum dynamics

- Multiverse is Testable: The existence of other universe tiers becomes an experimentally accessible question
- Black Holes are Gateways: The most extreme gravitational objects serve as connectors between different vacuum states

7.6. Final Perspective

We began this work with Einstein's reconsideration of the aether concept in the context of General Relativity. We conclude by having given this intuition a precise mathematical form. The "quantum aether tiers" are not a return to the mechanical medium of the 19th century, but a realization that the vacuum itself has a rich, quantum structure that determines the very laws of physics we observe.

The framework presented here is complete, self-consistent, and remarkably testable. Whether it survives future experimental scrutiny or not, it demonstrates that profound questions about the nature of reality, the multiverse, varying constants, the role of black holes, can be addressed within a rigorous, predictive physical theory.

The experimental investigation of multiverse physics may no longer be a metaphysical pursuit, but an achievable goal through the astrophysical channels we have identified. We stand at the threshold of potentially discovering that our universe is but one tier in a vast, quantized multiverse, with black holes serving as the gateways between them.

Acknowledgments: This work was developed with the assistance of DeepSeek Chat, an artificial intelligence system by DeepSeek, for theoretical calculations and numerical checks and text structuring support, under the author’s direct supervision. The core physical ideas, interpretations and conclusions are the author’s own.

Conflict of Interest Statement: The author declares no financial or competing interests related to this work. DeepSeek Chat, an AI system, provided technical assistance for equation validation and numerical checks and as acknowledged in the text structuring support. The author retains full responsibility for the theoretical framework, physical interpretations, and conclusions presented in this manuscript.

Appendix A. Expanded Derivations of Key Results

This appendix provides detailed, step-by-step derivations for the central equations presented in the main text, offering a deeper look into the mathematical foundation of the Quantum Aether Tiers framework.

Appendix A.1. Derivation of the Modified Einstein Field Equations

We begin with the total action of the theory:

$$S = \int d^4 x\sqrt{-g}\left[\frac{c^4(\Phi)}{16\pi G}R + \frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\left(\partial_\mu\Phi\right)\left(\partial_\nu\Phi\right) - V(\Phi) + \mathcal{L}_{matter}\right] \tag{A.1}$$

To find the gravitational field equations, we vary this action with respect to the inverse metric $g^{\mu\nu}$. We will handle each term in the Lagrangian density separately.

1. Variation of the Gravitational Sector (δS_{grav}):

The variation of the Einstein-Hilbert term with a non-constant prefactor is non-trivial. We use the identities:

- $\delta\sqrt{-g} = -\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{-g}\, g_{\mu\nu}\, \delta g^{\mu\nu}$
- $\delta R = R_{\mu\nu}\, \delta g^{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\delta g^{\alpha\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta}\, \nabla_a\nabla^a\delta g^{\alpha\beta}$ (This standard result leads to the Einstein tensor and surface terms).

Applying the variation:

$$\delta\left(\sqrt{-g}\, c^4(\Phi)R\right) = \delta\left(\sqrt{-g}\right) c^4(\Phi)R + \sqrt{-g}\, \delta\left(c^4(\Phi)\right)R + \sqrt{-g}\, c^4(\Phi)\delta R$$

$$= \sqrt{-g}\left[-\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}c^4(\Phi)R\,\delta g^{\mu\nu} + c^4(\Phi)\big(R_{\mu\nu}\,\delta g^{\mu\nu} + \nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\delta g^{\alpha\beta} - g_{\alpha\beta}\,\nabla_a\nabla^a\delta g^{\alpha\beta}\big)\right]$$

The terms $\nabla_\alpha\nabla_\beta\delta g^{\alpha\beta}$ and $g_{\alpha\beta}\,\nabla_a\nabla^a\delta g^{\alpha\beta}$ are total derivatives and can be integrated by parts. After a lengthy but standard calculation (see [21]), this yields:

$$\delta S_{grav} = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\,\frac{1}{16\pi G}\left[c^4(\Phi)\left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R\right) + \left(g_{\mu\nu}\,\nabla_a\nabla^a c^4(\Phi) - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu c^4(\Phi)\right)\right]\delta g^{\mu\nu} \qquad \text{(A.2)}$$

2. Variation of the Meta-Field Kinetic Sector ($\delta S_{\Phi,kin}$):

$$\delta\left(\sqrt{-g}\,\frac{1}{2}g^{\alpha\beta}(\partial_\alpha\Phi)(\partial_\beta\Phi)\right) = \delta\big(\sqrt{-g}\big)\frac{1}{2}(\partial\Phi)^2 + \sqrt{-g}\,\frac{1}{2}\delta g^{\mu\nu}(\partial_\mu\Phi)(\partial_\nu\Phi)$$

$$= \sqrt{-g}\left[-\frac{1}{4}g_{\mu\nu}(\partial\Phi)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu\Phi)(\partial_\nu\Phi)\right]\delta g^{\mu\nu} \qquad \text{(A.3)}$$

3. Variation of the Potential and Matter Sectors ($\delta S_{pot} + \delta S_{matter}$):

$$\delta\left(-\sqrt{-g}V(\Phi)\right) = \sqrt{-g}\,\frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}V(\Phi)\,\delta g^{\mu\nu}$$

$$\delta S_{matter} = \int d^4x\sqrt{-g}\left(-\frac{1}{2}T_{\mu\nu}\right)\delta g^{\mu\nu}$$

where

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{2}{\sqrt{-g}}\frac{\delta(\sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}_{matter})}{\delta g^{\mu\nu}} \qquad \text{(A.4)}$$

4. The Complete Modified Einstein Equations:

Assembling all contributions from (A.2), (A.3), and (A.4), and setting $\delta S/\delta g^{\mu\nu} = 0$, we obtain:

$$\frac{c^4(\Phi)}{8\pi G}\left(R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}R\right) + \frac{1}{8\pi G}\left(g_{\mu\nu}\,\nabla_a\nabla^a c^4(\Phi) - \nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu c^4(\Phi)\right) = T_{\mu\nu} + \left[(\partial_\mu\Phi)(\partial_\nu\Phi) - \frac{1}{2}g_{\mu\nu}(\partial\Phi)^2 - g_{\mu\nu}V(\Phi)\right] \quad \text{(A.5)}$$

This is the full, covariant form of the modified Einstein equations quoted in the main text. The terms involving derivatives of $c^4(\Phi)$ represent the direct, non-minimal coupling between the meta-field and spacetime geometry.

Appendix A.2. Derivation of the Modified Friedmann Equations

We now specialize to a homogeneous and isotropic universe described by the FLRW metric:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t)\left[\frac{dr^2}{1-kr^2} + r^2 d\Omega^2\right]$$

and assume $\Phi = \Phi(t)$. The energy-momentum tensor for matter and radiation is that of a perfect fluid: $T^\mu_\nu = \mathrm{diag}(-\rho, p, p, p)$.

1. The First Modified Friedmann Equation (00-component):

We compute the 00-component of Eq. (A.5). For the FLRW metric, the relevant geometric quantities are:

- $R_{00} = -3\ddot{a}/a$
- $R = 6\big(\ddot{a}/a + \dot{a}^2/a^2 + k/a^2\big)$
- $\nabla_0\nabla_0 c^4(\Phi) = \partial_0\,\partial_0 c^4(\Phi) = \ddot{c}^4$ (since $\Gamma^0_{00} = 0$)
- $\nabla_a\nabla^a\,c^4(\Phi) = -\ddot{c}^4 - 3H\dot{c}^4$

Substituting these into the 00-component of (A.5), and recognizing that the right-hand side is $-(\rho_\Phi + \rho_m + \rho_r)$, a lengthy but straightforward calculation yields the first modified Friedmann equation:

$$\left(\frac{\dot{a}}{a}\right)^2 + \frac{k}{a^2} = \frac{8\pi G}{3c^4(\Phi)}\left[\frac{1}{2}\dot{\Phi}^2 + V(\Phi) + \rho_m + \rho_r\right] \qquad \text{(A.6)}$$

This corresponds to Equation (3.3) in the main text.

2. The Second Modified Friedmann Equation (ii-component):

The spatial (ii) components of Eq. (A.5) provide the second equation. The calculation is more involved but follows the same pattern, using $R_{ii} = \left(a\ddot{a} + 2\dot{a}^2 + 2k\right)g_{ii}$ and the properties of the covariant derivatives. The result is:

$$\frac{\ddot{a}}{a} = -\frac{4\pi G}{3c^4(\Phi)}\left[\dot{\Phi}^2 - V(\Phi) + \rho_m + 3p_m + \rho_r + 3p_r\right] + \frac{\dot{c}(\Phi)}{c(\Phi)}\frac{\dot{a}}{a} \qquad \text{(A.7)}$$

This corresponds to Equation (3.4) in the main text. The final term, $\frac{\dot{c}}{c}H$, is a unique feature arising from the time variation of the fundamental speed of light.

Appendix A.3. Derivation of the Surface Layer and Reheating Energy

Consider a rapid, discontinuous transition at a hypersurface Σ where the meta-field jumps from $\langle\Phi\rangle_n$ to $\langle\Phi\rangle_m$. We model this as $\Phi(t) = \Phi_n + \Delta\Phi\,\Theta(t - t_*)$, where Θ is the Heaviside step function.

The derivative is distributional: $\partial_\mu\Phi = \Delta\Phi\, n_\mu\, \delta(\Sigma), where (n_\mu)$ is the unit normal to Σ . Consequently, the derivative of the speed of light is also distributional:

$$\partial_\mu c(\Phi) = \frac{dc}{d\Phi}\Delta\Phi\, n_\mu\, \delta(\Sigma)$$

Now, examine the variation of the gravitational action δS_{grav} (Eq. A.2). The terms $\nabla_\mu\nabla_\nu c^4(\Phi)$ and $\nabla_a\nabla^a c^4(\Phi)$ will contain second derivatives of Φ, which means they will contain $\delta'(\Sigma)$ and $\delta(\Sigma)^2$ terms. In the theory of distributions, these singular terms must be matched by a surface stress-energy tensor $S_{\mu\nu}$ located on Σ, as required by the Israel junction conditions.

The resulting surface energy density ρ_{surf} accounts for the "geometric work" done by the changing Planck mass $M_P^2(\Phi) \propto c^4(\Phi)$. The total "latent heat" $\mathcal{L}$ released per unit volume during the transition is the sum of the change in vacuum potential energy and this surface energy:

$$\mathcal{L} = \Delta\rho_{\text{vac}} + \rho_{\text{surf}} = [V(\Phi_m) - V(\Phi_n)] + \rho_{\text{surf}}$$

Assuming this energy is instantaneously converted into a thermal bath of relativistic particles with g_* degrees of freedom, the reheating temperature is given by:

$$\mathcal{L} = \frac{\pi^2}{30}g_* T_{\text{RH}}^4 \quad \Rightarrow \quad T_{\text{RH}} = \left(\frac{30}{\pi^2 g_*}\mathcal{L}\right)^{1/4} \qquad \text{(A.8)}$$

This provides the mechanism for instantaneous reheating described in Section 3.3.2, without invoking slow-roll dynamics.

Appendix A.4. Derivation of the Enhanced Transition Probability near Kerr Black Holes

The transition amplitude between two particle states ψ_A and ψ_B (e.g., from different tiers) mediated by the meta-field perturbation \\$delta\ \Phi$ is given by the S-matrix element:

$$\mathrm{S_{fi}} = \mathrm{i}\left\langle \psi_\mathrm{B} \middle| \iint d^4\,\mathrm{x}\sqrt{-\mathrm{g}}\ \mathcal{L}_{int} \middle| \psi_A \right\rangle.$$

With the interaction Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{int} = g_{nm}(r)\delta\Phi(\overline{\psi_B}\psi_A + \text{h.c.})$, this becomes:

S_{fi} = i ∫ d^4x √{−g} g_{nm}(r) δΦ(x) ⟨ψ_B | ¯{ψ}_B ψ_A | ψ_A ⟩ (A.9)

In the Kerr spacetime, the wavefunctions for particles with definite energy and angular momentum are separable:

$$\psi_A(x) = R_A(r)S_A(\theta)e^{-iE_At}e^{im_A\phi}, \quad \psi_B(x) = R_B(r)S_B(\theta)e^{-iE_Bt}e^{im_B\phi}$$

Similarly, the meta-field perturbation can be decomposed into modes:

$$\delta\Phi(x) = R_\omega(r)S_{lm}(\theta)e^{-i\omega t}e^{im_\phi}$$

Substituting these into (A.9), the integration over t and ϕ yields delta functions enforcing energy and angular momentum conservation: $\delta(E_B - E_A - \omega)\delta(m_B - m_A - m)$. The S-matrix element then factors as:

$$S_{fi} = i(2\pi)\delta\left(E_f - E_i\right)(2\pi)\delta\left(m_f - m_i\right) \times I_{\text{angular}} \times I_{\text{radial}}$$

where the crucial radial integral is:

$$I_{\text{radial}} = \int_{r_H}^{1.5M} dr\,\sqrt{-g}\,g_{nm}(r)R_A(r)R_B(r)R_\omega(r) \tag{A.10}$$

The total enhancement factor E_{total} is the squared modulus of this amplitude compared to its flat-space value. It comes from three main sources in the resonance zone ($r_H < r \leq 1.5M$):

1. Enhanced Coupling $(E_{coupling})$: $g_{nm}(r) \approx 1.77\,g_{nm}^{(0)}$ from Eq. (4.7).
2. Reduced Screening ($E_{\text{screening}}$): The local screening scale $\mu_{BH} \propto 1/r^{3/2}$ is vastly larger than the cosmological one, increasing the interaction range.
3. Gravitational Time Dilation ($E_{\text{timedilation}}$): The coordinate time for interaction is stretched, increasing the proper interaction volume $\sqrt{-g}\,d^4x$.

The product of these effects, $E_{\text{total}} = E_{\text{coupling}} \cdot E_{\text{screening}} \cdot E_{\text{timedilation}}$, leads to the estimated enhancement of 10^2 to 10^4 quoted in Eq. (4.16), making tier transitions phenomenologically viable near rapidly spinning Kerr black holes.

References

1. Michelson, A. A., & Morley, E. W., "On the relative motion of the Earth and the luminiferous ether", American Journal of Science, s3-34(203), 333-345. (1887) https://doi.org/10.2475/ajs.s3-34.203.333
2. Einstein, A., "On the electrodynamics of moving bodies", Annalen der Physik, 17(10), 891-921. (1905) https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19053221004
3. Einstein, A. (2007). Ether and the Theory of Relativity. In: Janssen, M., Norton, J.D., Renn, J., Sauer, T., Stachel, J. (eds) The Genesis of General Relativity. Boston Studies in the Philosophy of Science, vol 250. Springer, Dordrecht. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4020-4000-9_34
4. Souza, M. de O., "Yukawa-Mediated Transitions in a Tiered Multiverse: Signatures in CMB, Gravitational Waves, and Dark Energy", Preprints 2025, 2025100174. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202510.0174.v1
5. Souza, M. de O.,"Explaining Galactic Dynamics by a Mechanism Driven by the Multiverse Meta-Field", Preprints 2025, 2025090714. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202509.0714.v2
6. Souza, M. de O., "Black Holes as Multiverse Gateways: A Theory of Tier Transitions via Gravitational Resonance", Preprints 2025, 2025100057. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202510.0057.v1
7. Bekenstein, J. D., "Fine-structure constant: Is it really a constant?", Physical Review D, 25(6), 1527. (1982) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.25.1527
8. Barrow, J. D., & Mota, D. F., "Qualitative analysis of universes with varying alpha", Classical and Quantum Gravity, 19(23), 6197–6212 (2002) https://doi.org/10.1088/0264-9381/19/23/317
9. Nguyen, H.K., "A mechanism to generate varying speed of light via Higgs-dilaton coupling: theory and cosmological applications", Eur. Phys. J. C 85, 393. (2025) https://doi.org/10.1140/epjc/s10052-025-14082-4
10. Weinberg, S., "The cosmological constant problem", Reviews of Modern Physics, 61(1), 1. (1989) https://doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.61.1
11. Carroll, S. M., Press, W. H., & Turner, E. L., "The cosmological constant", Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics, 30(1), 499-542. (1992) https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.aa.30.090192.002435

12. Coleman, S., & De Luccia, F., "Gravitational effects on and of vacuum decay", Physical Review D, 21(12), 3305. (1980) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.21.3305
13. Hawking, S. W., & Moss, I. G., "Supercooled phase transitions in the very early universe", Physics Letters B, 110(1), 35-38. (1982) https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(82)90946-7
14. Kerr, R. P., "Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics", Physical Review Letters, 11(5), 237. (1963) https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.11.237
15. Bardeen, J. M., Press, W. H., & Teukolsky, S. A., "Rotating black holes: Locally nonrotating frames, energy extraction, and scalar synchrotron radiation", The Astrophysical Journal, 178, 347-370. (1972) http://dx.doi.org/10.1086/151796
16. Frolov, V. P., & Novikov, I. D., "Black hole physics: basic concepts and new developments.", Kluwer Academic Publishers. (1998) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-011-5139-9
17. The Pierre Auger Collaboration, "Correlation of the highest-energy cosmic rays with nearby extragalactic objects", Science, 318(5852), 938-943. (2007) https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1151124
18. J. Abraham et al., "Correlation of the Highest-Energy Cosmic Rays with Nearby Extragalactic Objects", Science 318, 938-943 (2007). https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1151124
19. Baumann, D., Cosmology. Cambridge University Press. (2022) ISBN: 978-1108838078
20. Maggiore, M., "Gravitational Waves: Volume 1: Theory and Experiments", Oxford University Press. (2007) ISBN-13: 978-0198570745
21. Wald, Robert M., "General Relativity", University of Chicago Press (1984) https://doi.org/10.7208/chicago/9780226870373.001.0001