

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

From Axioms to Attractors: A Deterministic Statistical Feedback Law Unifying Equilibria in Physics

[Camilla Josephson](#) *

Posted Date: 13 October 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202510.0806.v1

Keywords: Deterministic Statistical Feedback Law (DSFL); Lyapunov functionals; Spectral gap; Quantum Markov semigroups; Lindblad dynamics; entropy; Einstein balance; foundations of physics



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

From Axioms to Attractors: A Deterministic Statistical Feedback Law Unifying Equilibria in Physics

Camilla Josephson

Independent Researcher, Sweden; cmkjosephson@gmail.com

Abstract

Equilibrium principles across physics—Born's rule, the second law, and Einstein's curvature–matter balance—are typically assumed rather than derived. We propose the Deterministic Statistical Feedback Law (DSFL), which treats the mismatch between statistical structure and physical response as a Lyapunov-type residual that decays monotonically, often exponentially. In this view, equilibria are dynamical attractors, not postulates. We prove a single template—propagation plus a spectral gap or coercivity—that yields context-dependent rates across five sectors: reversible quantum Markov semigroups (where DSFL is equivalent to a noncommutative Poincaré inequality with optimal rate), finite-dimensional Lindblad dephasing (sharp decay set by the slowest dephasing pair), coercive PDE flows (via an exact residual energy identity), free-field stochastic quantization (decay controlled by the Hamiltonian gap), and geometric DeTurck slices (exponential suppression governed by a Lichnerowicz-type gap). A pointer-algebra perspective shows the law's form is universal while the attractor and rate are contextual. We also introduce a residual-entropy proxy that increases strictly along DSFL trajectories, providing a structural arrow of time. The framework leads to testable signatures, including coherence bandwidths in gravitational-wave data, phase statistics at low multipoles in the cosmic microwave background, large-scale growth constraints in cosmology, and convergence rates in quantum-optics experiments.

Keywords: Deterministic Statistical Feedback Law (DSFL); Lyapunov functionals; Spectral gap; Quantum Markov semigroups; Lindblad dynamics; entropy; Einstein balance; foundations of physics

1. Introduction

Motivation

Across quantum mechanics (QM), thermodynamics (TD), and general relativity (GR), the central equilibrium relations—Born's rule, entropy increase, and the Einstein balance—are typically imposed as end states rather than derived as consequences of dynamics. This leaves open a structural question: under which deterministic conditions do physical systems return to these relations after perturbations, and with what rates?

We study a *partly sector-agnostic* mechanism. The core is the same everywhere: track a quadratic misfit between a statistical baseline and a physical response, and show that—under standard spectral-gap or coercivity hypotheses—this misfit is a Lyapunov residual that decays monotonically (often exponentially). This form (propagation plus gap/coercivity) is *invariant across sectors*. What is *not* agnostic are the inputs and outcomes: the constants and the equilibrium set (the “attractor”) depend on context. In the quantum/operator-algebraic setting they are set by the pointer algebra and the reversible QMS gap; in PDE models by the coercive closure and domain/boundary conditions; in free-field quantization by the Hamiltonian gap; and on geometric slices by the gauge and the Lichnerowicz-type gap. Thus, the DSFL provides a uniform template with sector-specific rates and targets.

Idea

We introduce the *Deterministic Statistical Feedback Law* (DSFL). Let P denote the sector-specific response (e.g. flux, current, or geometric tensor) and let $\nabla\rho$ represent the statistical baseline. The alignment residual

$$u := P - \nabla\rho, \quad \mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\Omega} |u(x, t)|^2 dx$$

quantifies misfit. DSFL asserts that \mathcal{R} acts as a Lyapunov functional:

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t) \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R}(t),$$

with a rate $\alpha > 0$ determined by the sector (spectral gap, coercivity constant, or Hamiltonian gap). A common propagation step (Jensen convexity, Kadison–Schwarz, or an energy identity) yields global monotonicity; a spectral gap or coercivity upgrades this to exponential decay. Within this template, Born alignment, entropy growth, and Einstein balance arise as attractors of the same residual-suppression mechanism.

What Is New

- **One residual, one propagation step.** A single quadratic residual \mathcal{R} is used across operator-algebraic QM, finite-dimensional Lindblad dynamics, coercive PDE flows, and free-field stochastic quantization, with a unified propagation lemma ensuring monotonicity.
- **Reversible QMS.** For ω -symmetric quantum Markov semigroups, we prove *DSFL is equivalent to* a noncommutative Poincaré (spectral-gap) inequality on the orthogonal complement of the fixed-point algebra, with optimal rate $\alpha_* = 2\lambda_*$.
- **Finite-dimensional Lindblad.** For pure dephasing generators, the Lüders off-diagonal variance decays at the sharp rate 2λ , where $\lambda = \min_i \gamma_i$.
- **PDE template.** An exact residual energy identity gives $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -(2\beta - C\varepsilon) \mathcal{R}$ under quantitative coercivity ($B \succeq \beta I$) and subcritical couplings; refinements use Helmholtz decomposition and boundary conditions.
- **Free-field QFT.** In Parisi–Wu stochastic quantization, smeared two-point residuals decay at twice the Euclidean Hamiltonian gap, deriving a DSFL inequality in the Gaussian sector.
- **Geometric slice analogue.** On compact Riemannian slices (DeTurck gauge), a Lichnerowicz-type spectral gap implies exponential L^2 suppression of the curvature–matter misfit toward Einstein balance. (A covariant Lorentzian version is left open.)
- **Residual-entropy monotone.** The proxy $S_R = -\log(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_0 + R_*)$ is strictly increasing whenever DSFL holds, providing a gap/coercivity-controlled “arrow of time.”

1.1. Position Relative to Prior Work

Variance and entropy decay for reversible diffusions (Bakry–Émery), spectral gaps for reversible QMS, modewise Lindblad contraction, and coercivity in geometric/dissipative flows are well established. Our contribution is to (i) isolate a single Lyapunov residual and a unified propagation step spanning these settings, and (ii) give sharp equivalences and rates that make sectoral relaxation theorems directly comparable. We do not introduce a new sector-specific model; we identify and quantify the common restoration law that many models already instantiate under standard gap/coercivity assumptions.

Scope and Limits

Our results are *form-invariant* across sectors but rely on *context-specific* assumptions. Throughout we require either reversibility or quantitative coercivity: (i) ω -symmetric (reversible) QMS with a spectral gap on $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$; (ii) coercive PDE closures with $B(x, t) \succeq \beta I$ and subcritical couplings; (iii) free fields (Gaussian sector) with a positive Euclidean Hamiltonian gap; and (iv) geometric DeTurck

slices with a Lichnerowicz–type gap on the physical subspace and small initial data. Under these hypotheses the residual obeys a DSFL inequality with an explicit (contextual) rate.

We *do not* claim theorems for: nonreversible/hypocoercive QMS (skew generators), fully covariant Lorentzian evolutions (hyperbolic, gauge–invariant DSFL), or interacting QFT beyond the Gaussian sector. These are stated as programs with testable intermediate predictions (e.g., scale–dependent rates, ISS bounds) but remain open.

The numerical section provides reproducible *protocols* rather than data–driven claims; the analytic results stand independently of numerics.

2. Background and Related Work

2.1. Quantum Markov Semigroups and Spectral Gaps

A (normal, unital) quantum Markov semigroup (QMS) $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on a von Neumann algebra \mathcal{M} with faithful normal state ω is called ω –*symmetric* (reversible) if it is self–adjoint on the GNS $L^2(\omega)$ space. In this setting the generator \mathcal{L} admits a densely defined, closed Dirichlet form

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega(X) := \langle X, -\mathcal{L}X \rangle_{2,\omega}, \quad \|X\|_{2,\omega}^2 = \omega(X^*X),$$

with standard functional–analytic underpinnings for noncommutative Dirichlet forms and symmetric quantum semigroups (e.g. [1?]). The fixed–point algebra $\mathcal{N} = \{X : T_t X = X \ \forall t\}$ is the natural *equilibrium* subspace; under modular invariance, the ω –preserving conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ exists and is unique (Takesaki’s theorem; cf. Tomiyama) [2,3].

A *noncommutative Poincaré (spectral–gap) inequality* with constant $\lambda > 0$,

$$\|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|_{2,\omega}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_\omega(X), \quad (1)$$

is equivalent to exponential decay of the noncommutative variance along the semigroup,

$$\|T_t X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|_{2,\omega}^2 \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|_{2,\omega}^2, \quad (2)$$

with optimal rate $\alpha_* = 2\lambda_*$ [4–6]. This mirrors the classical theory of reversible diffusions (Bakry–Émery calculus). Beyond Poincaré, quantum log–Sobolev/hypercontractive regimes and related mixing bounds are available (e.g. [7,8]), and rapid mixing for quantum channels/expanders provides a complementary discrete perspective [9]. In our DSFL interpretation, (1)–(2) constitute the operator–algebraic Lyapunov law for the residual $X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)$: once a gap holds, the residual contracts at rate λ and \mathcal{N} is the attractor.

2.2. Lindblad Dephasing and Modewise Contraction

In finite dimensions, completely positive trace–preserving dynamics admit the GKSL (Lindblad) representation

$$\mathcal{L}^*(\sigma) = -i[H, \sigma] + \sum_k \left(L_k \sigma L_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{L_k^\dagger L_k, \sigma\} \right),$$

with Hamiltonian H and noise operators L_k [10,11]; see also [12,13]. For *pure dephasing* in a fixed orthonormal basis, $L_i = \sqrt{\gamma_i} |i\rangle\langle i|$ and H diagonal, one obtains

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\sigma_t)_{ii} = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt}(\sigma_t)_{ij} = -\frac{\gamma_i + \gamma_j}{2} (\sigma_t)_{ij} \quad (i \neq j),$$

so coherence $(\sigma_t)_{ij}$ decays as $e^{-(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)t/2}$ while populations are conserved. The Hilbert–Schmidt variance off the pointer algebra (Lüders residual) thus satisfies

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(\sigma_t) = \sum_{i \neq j} |(\sigma_t)_{ij}|^2 \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(\sigma_0), \quad \lambda = \min_i \gamma_i,$$

and the rate 2λ is *sharp*. This is the finite-dimensional counterpart of (2), with a gap set by the smallest dephasing rate.

2.3. Coercive PDE Flows and Bakry–Émery Tools

In classical dissipative PDEs, exponential return to equilibrium combines: (i) an energy/entropy identity for a nonnegative functional along solutions, and (ii) a *coercive* functional inequality (Poincaré/log–Sobolev) to control lower-order couplings. Foundational results include Gross’s log–Sobolev \Leftrightarrow hypercontractivity [14] and its many developments [15,16]. In geometric analysis (e.g. Ricci/DeTurck flows), L^2 -type curvature residuals dissipate under an elliptic Lichnerowicz-type operator; Perelman’s monotone functionals provide a celebrated Lyapunov structure [17–19].

Our DSFL–PDE template mirrors this structure: for $u := P - \nabla\rho$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} u^{\top} B u dx - 2 \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (\text{controlled terms}),$$

so that, under uniform ellipticity $B \geq \beta I$ and subcritical couplings, Grönwall yields $\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq e^{-(2\beta - C\epsilon)t} \|u(0)\|_{L^2}^2$. This is the Bakry–Émery “propagation + gap \Rightarrow decay” pattern reinterpreted as sector-agnostic Lyapunov suppression of a misalignment functional.

2.4. Stochastic Quantization and Hamiltonian Gaps

Parisi–Wu stochastic quantization gives an analytically tractable Euclidean dynamics for QFT: for a free scalar with action $S[\phi] = \int \frac{1}{2} (|\nabla\phi|^2 + m^2\phi^2) dx$, the Langevin flow

$$\partial_{\tau}\phi_{\tau}(x) = -\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi}(x) + \eta(x, \tau)$$

generates a semigroup $T_{\tau} = e^{-\tau H}$ with nonnegative Euclidean Hamiltonian H . The spectral gap $\lambda_{*} = m^2$ controls exponential relaxation of smeared correlators; squaring gives decay of quadratic residuals at rate $2\lambda_{*}$ [20]. For reviews and field-theory context see [21,22]. In DSFL language, the same Hamiltonian gap that governs Euclidean relaxation drives residual suppression in the Gaussian sector; (extending to interacting fields requires nonperturbative functional inequalities or RG control.

2.5. Positioning vs. Prior Approaches

Exponential return to equilibrium is well established *within* specific formalisms: noncommutative Poincaré/log–Sobolev inequalities for reversible QMS [4–8], explicit modewise contraction in GKSL/Lindblad dynamics [10–13], coercivity-driven decay for dissipative PDEs and geometric flows [14–19,23], and Hamiltonian-gap relaxation in stochastic quantization [20–22].

The present work contributes a *single*, sector-neutral Lyapunov residual and a unifying propagation principle (Jensen/Kadison–Schwarz/energy identity) that render these results *structurally comparable*. Under the corresponding gap/coercivity hypotheses, one obtains the same DSFL inequality $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -\alpha\mathcal{R}$, and the sectoral equilibrium statements—Born alignment (QM), residual-entropy monotonicity (TD), and Einstein balance (GR)—emerge as *attractors* rather than axioms. (In our pointer-algebra formalism, POVMs and their Naimark dilations are standard [24–26].)

3. Notation and Conventions

3.1. Spaces, Norms, Inner Products

Domains and Measures.

$\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ denotes either a bounded C^1 domain or a flat torus \mathbb{T}^d . We write dx for Lebesgue measure, $|\Omega|$ for its volume, and $\langle f \rangle_{\Omega} := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} f dx$ for spatial averages. When needed, (\mathcal{U}, g) denotes a smooth Riemannian (or, where explicitly stated, Lorentzian) manifold with volume form $d\mu_g$.

Lebesgue and Sobolev Spaces.

For $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, $L^p(\Omega)$ has norm $\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} = (\int_{\Omega} |f|^p dx)^{1/p}$ (essential supremum for $p = \infty$). For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $H^k(\Omega)$ is the Sobolev space with $\|f\|_{H^k(\Omega)}^2 = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq k} \|\partial^\alpha f\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$. We write $H_0^1(\Omega)$ for the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in $H^1(\Omega)$. Vector/tensor-valued spaces are denoted $L^p(\Omega; E)$ and $H^k(\Omega; E)$ with the product norms.

Inner Products and L^2 Norms.

On Ω , $\langle f, g \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} f g dx$ for scalars and $\langle \mathbf{f}, \mathbf{g} \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = \int_{\Omega} \mathbf{f} \cdot \mathbf{g} dx$ for vectors. On (\mathcal{U}, g) , for symmetric 2-tensors T, S we use $\langle T, S \rangle_g = g^{\mu\alpha} g^{\nu\beta} T_{\mu\nu} S_{\alpha\beta}$ and $\|T\|_{L^2(g)}^2 = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \langle T, T \rangle_g d\mu_g$.

Gradients and Divergences.

In $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, ∇ is the Euclidean gradient and $\nabla \cdot$ the divergence. On (\mathcal{U}, g) , ∇_μ is the Levi-Civita covariant derivative and $\nabla \cdot$ its metric divergence. For a vector field P and a scalar ρ , we define the *alignment residual*

$$u := P - \nabla \rho, \quad \|u\|_2^2 := \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx.$$

Weighted Inner Products.

Given a measurable, symmetric positive-definite weight $W(x) \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times d}$, set $\langle v, w \rangle_{W(x)} := v^\top W(x) w$ and $|v|_{W(x)}^2 = \langle v, v \rangle_{W(x)}$. The global weighted norm is $\|v\|_{L_W^2}^2 := \int_{\Omega} |v(x)|_{W(x)}^2 dx$. Unless stated otherwise, $W \equiv I$.

Noncommutative Conventions.

For a von Neumann algebra (\mathcal{M}, ω) with faithful normal state ω , the GNS inner product is $\langle X, Y \rangle_{2, \omega} = \omega(X^* Y)$ and $\|X\|_{2, \omega}^2 = \omega(X^* X)$. The *noncommutative variance* is $\text{Var}_\omega(X) = \|X - \omega(X) \mathbf{1}\|_{2, \omega}^2$. If $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ is a von Neumann subalgebra invariant under the modular group of ω , $E_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ denotes the (unique) ω -preserving conditional expectation; it acts as the $L^2(\omega)$ -orthogonal projection onto $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$.

3.2. Measures, Domains, and Boundary Conditions

Flat Domains.

Unless stated otherwise, $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ is either a bounded C^1 domain or a flat torus \mathbb{T}^d . We write dx for the Lebesgue measure and $\int_{\Omega} (\cdot) dx$ for spatial integrals; spatial averages are $\langle f \rangle_{\Omega} := |\Omega|^{-1} \int_{\Omega} f dx$.

Boundary Conditions (BCs).

Energy identities and integrations by parts are justified under either (i) *periodic* BCs on \mathbb{T}^d , or (ii) homogeneous *no-flux* BCs arranged so that boundary terms vanish in the residual energy balance. In particular,

$$\int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla (\nabla \cdot u) dx = - \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \int_{\partial\Omega} (u \cdot n) (\nabla \cdot u) dS,$$

so the boundary contribution is zero if, for example, $u \cdot n = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ (e.g. $P \cdot n = \partial_n \rho = 0$), or on a torus.

Probability Measures.

In data-driven formulations we allow a time-indexed family of probability measures μ_t on Ω . Population expectations are $\mathbb{E}_{\mu_t}[f] = \int_{\Omega} f d\mu_t$, with empirical approximations $\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N f(x_i)$ when μ_t is supported on samples $\{x_i\}$. The residual

$$\mathcal{R}(t) := \mathbb{E}_{\mu_t} [|P - \nabla \rho|_W^2]$$

reduces to $\int_{\Omega} |u|_W^2 dx$ (with $u := P - \nabla \rho$) when μ_t is normalized Lebesgue and $W \equiv I$.

Manifolds.

In geometric sections we replace (Ω, dx) by a Riemannian (or, where explicitly stated, Lorentzian) manifold (\mathcal{U}, g) with Levi–Civita connection ∇ , metric pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_g$, and volume form $d\mu_g$. For symmetric 2–tensors T , the L^2 norm is $\|T\|_{L^2(g)}^2 = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \langle T, T \rangle_g d\mu_g$. On compact Riemannian slices in DeTurck gauge (Sec. ??), boundary terms vanish by compactness; on noncompact manifolds we assume decay/compatibility so that all integrals are finite.

Normalization and Gauges.

In probabilistic sectors we impose $\int_{\Omega} \rho dx = 1$ (densities) and $\|\Psi\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$ (wave functions). The baseline ρ is defined up to an additive gauge $\rho \mapsto \rho + C(t)$, which leaves $u = P - \nabla\rho$ and \mathcal{R} invariant. In geometric sectors, gauge choices (e.g. DeTurck) ensure ellipticity/hyperbolicity and do not alter the definition of the residual (e.g. $\|G - \kappa T\|_{L^2(g)}^2$).

3.3. Operators, Semigroups, and Spectra

Linear Operators and Spectra.

Let $\mathcal{A} : D(\mathcal{A}) \subset H \rightarrow H$ be a densely defined, closed linear operator on a Hilbert space $(H, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle)$. We write $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ for its spectrum and $\ker(\mathcal{A})$ for its kernel. If \mathcal{A} is self–adjoint and nonnegative, a *spectral gap* means that

$$\langle X, \mathcal{A}X \rangle \geq \lambda \|X\|^2 \quad \text{for all } X \perp \ker(\mathcal{A}),$$

for some $\lambda > 0$; then λ is the optimal Poincaré constant on $\ker(\mathcal{A})^\perp$.

Markov/Contraction Semigroups.

A strongly continuous one–parameter semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ on H with generator \mathcal{L} is a (sub)Markov contraction on a Banach lattice $L^p(\mu)$ if it preserves positivity, mass ($T_t \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$), and satisfies $\|T_t f\|_{L^p} \leq \|f\|_{L^p}$ for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. In the reversible case (self–adjoint on $L^2(\mu)$), the Dirichlet form is $\mathcal{E}(f) := \langle f, -\mathcal{L}f \rangle_{L^2(\mu)}$ and

$$\text{Var}_\mu(T_t f) \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \text{Var}_\mu(f) \iff \text{Var}_\mu(f) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{E}(f).$$

Quantum Markov Semigroups (QMS).

On a von Neumann algebra (\mathcal{M}, ω) , a normal unital completely positive (u.c.p.) semigroup $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ that is ω –symmetric is a noncommutative analogue of a reversible diffusion. The GNS $L^2(\omega)$ inner product is $\langle X, Y \rangle_{2,\omega} = \omega(X^*Y)$, the Dirichlet form is $\mathcal{E}_\omega(X) := \langle X, -\mathcal{L}X \rangle_{2,\omega}$, and the fixed–point algebra $\mathcal{N} = \{X : T_t X = X\}$ is the equilibrium subspace. The noncommutative Poincaré inequality

$$\|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|_{2,\omega}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_\omega(X)$$

is equivalent to exponential variance decay

$$\|T_t X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|_{2,\omega}^2 \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|_{2,\omega}^2,$$

with optimal rate 2λ .

Generators in Finite Dimension (GKSL Form).

On $M_d(\mathbb{C})$, the dual evolution for states σ_t is

$$\dot{\sigma}_t = -i[H, \sigma_t] + \sum_k \left(L_k \sigma_t L_k^\dagger - \frac{1}{2} \{L_k^\dagger L_k, \sigma_t\} \right),$$

with Hamiltonian H and noise operators L_k (GKSL/Lindblad form). For pure dephasing, $L_i = \sqrt{\gamma_i} |i\rangle\langle i|$ yields modewise decay $(\sigma_t)_{ij} = e^{-(\gamma_i+\gamma_j)t/2}(\sigma_0)_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$.

Poincaré and Log–Sobolev constants.

We use *Poincaré* constants $\lambda > 0$ to control variance by energy, and, where applicable, *log–Sobolev* constants $\rho > 0$ to control entropy by Fisher information. In this article the DSFL rate α is identified with twice a Poincaré gap in reversible settings (classical/QMS) and with quantitative coercivity constants in PDE/geometric settings.

Projection onto Equilibria.

$E_{\mathcal{N}}$ denotes the ω –preserving conditional expectation onto the fixed–point (pointer) algebra \mathcal{N} . In PDE/probability sectors, the analogue is projection onto the nullspace of the generator (e.g., $\rho \mapsto \langle \rho \rangle_{\Omega}$).

Spectral Notation in GR Slices.

For Lichnerowicz–DeTurck type operators acting on symmetric 2–tensors on a compact Riemannian 3–manifold (Σ, γ) , we denote by $\lambda_{\text{GR}} > 0$ the spectral gap on the orthogonal complement of the gauge directions; it controls exponential L^2 decay of the geometric residual.

3.4. Residuals and Entropy Proxies

DSFL Residuals.

The global DSFL residual in the classical/PDE sector is

$$\mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\Omega} |P(x, t) - \nabla \rho(x, t)|^2 dx,$$

or its weighted variant $\int_{\Omega} |P - \nabla \rho|_W^2 dx$. The noncommutative analogue is $\mathcal{R}_{\omega}(X) := \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|_{2, \omega}^2$ (QMS). On Riemannian slices we use the geometric residual

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) := \int_{\Sigma} \|G[\gamma(t)] - \kappa T\|_{\gamma(t)}^2 d\mu_{\gamma(t)}.$$

Residual–Entropy Proxy.

A dimensionless proxy is

$$S_R(t) := -\log(\mathcal{R}(t)/\mathcal{R}_0 + R_*) \quad (\mathcal{R}_0 > 0, R_* \in (0, 1)).$$

Initial Sameness and Common Ancestry.

All physical sectors—quantum, thermodynamic, and geometric—descend from a shared initial alignment between statistical and physical structures. We call this the *principle of common ancestry*: at $t = 0$, the universe (or any closed system) possessed a single residual-free configuration

$$P_0 = \nabla \rho_0, \quad \mathcal{R}(0) = \int_{\Omega} |P_0 - \nabla \rho_0|^2 dx = 0.$$

This expresses the state of complete statistical–physical identity (initial sameness) from which all later structures evolve.

Schematic: Common ancestry as initial sameness. The statistical blueprint $\nabla \rho$ and the physical response P diverge under perturbations but remain connected by the residual $\mathcal{R} = \int |P - \nabla \rho|^2$, whose deterministic decay re-establishes alignment across sectors.

Figure 1. The principle of common ancestry: evolution as deterministic recovery of initial sameness.

As evolution proceeds, local perturbations generate misalignments, $u(x, t) := P(x, t) - \nabla\rho(x, t)$, which define the *residual*

$$\mathcal{R}(t) = \int_{\Omega} |u(x, t)|^2 dx, \quad \dot{\mathcal{R}}(t) \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R}(t),$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is the sectoral spectral gap (quantum, thermodynamic, or geometric). The *Deterministic Statistical Feedback Law* (DSFL) ensures exponential suppression of these residuals, restoring the alignment that encodes shared ancestry:

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-\alpha t} \mathcal{R}(0).$$

Hence equilibrium is not a probabilistic emergence from randomness, but the dynamic *recovery of common ancestry* through deterministic residual decay. The same Lyapunov structure appears in all sectors—Born alignment in quantum mechanics, entropy growth in thermodynamics, and curvature–matter balance in general relativity—each governed by its own contextual rate α .

3.5. Abbreviations (DSFL, sDoF, pDoF, QMS, etc.)

DSFL	Deterministic Statistical Feedback Law (global Lyapunov law for the alignment residual).
sDoF / pDoF	Statistical / Physical Degrees of Freedom ($s = \nabla\rho$; p or P the response field).
Residual / \mathcal{R}	Quadratic misalignment functional; pointwise $r(x, t) = P - \nabla\rho ^2$, global $\mathcal{R}(t) = \int P - \nabla\rho ^2$.
S_R	Residual–entropy proxy $S_R(t) = -\log(\mathcal{R}(t)/\mathcal{R}_0 + R_*)$.
QMS	Quantum Markov Semigroup (normal, unital, completely positive—u.c.p.— semigroup on a von Neumann algebra).
GKSL	Gorini–Kossakowski–Sudarshan–Lindblad form (finite–dimensional generator of CPTP dynamics).
$E_{\mathcal{N}}$	ω –preserving conditional expectation onto the fixed–point (pointer) algebra \mathcal{N} .
\mathcal{E}_{ω}	Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(X) = \langle X, -\mathcal{L}X \rangle_{2, \omega}$ for an ω –symmetric QMS.
Poincaré gap λ	Optimal constant in $\ X - E_{\mathcal{N}}X\ _{2, \omega}^2 \leq \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(X)$ (on \mathcal{N}^{\perp}).
LSI ρ	Log–Sobolev constant controlling entropy by Fisher information (used where applicable).
OA	Operator–algebraic (framework of von Neumann algebras / Dirichlet forms).
QM / TD / GR / QFT	Quantum Mechanics / Thermodynamics / General Relativity / Quantum Field Theory.
PDE	Partial Differential Equation (coercive gradient–relaxation template for DSFL).
OU	Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (free–field Euclidean semigroup in stochastic quantization).
GNS	Gelfand–Naimark–Segal Hilbert space associated to (\mathcal{M}, ω) .
CPTP	Completely Positive Trace–Preserving (quantum channels/evolutions).
POVM / PVM	Positive Operator–Valued / Projection–Valued Measure (measurement models).
Pointer algebra \mathcal{N}	Abelian subalgebra encoding the measurement context (“sector”).
Lüders map Φ	Conditional expectation onto the diagonal algebra in a fixed basis.
DeTurck gauge	Gauge choice rendering curvature flows strictly elliptic on Riemannian slices.
Lichnerowicz operator	Elliptic operator on symmetric 2–tensors; its gap controls L^2 residual decay.

λ_{GR}	Spectral gap for the Lichnerowicz–DeTurck operator on the GR slice (physical subspace).
FRW	Friedmann–Robertson–Walker cosmological background (see Sec. ??).
CPL	Chevallier–Polarski–Linder dark–energy parametrization $w(a) = w_0 + w_a(1 - a)$.
BAO / CMB / RSD	Baryon Acoustic Oscillations / Cosmic Microwave Background / Redshift–Space Distortions.
AP test	Alcock–Paczyński test (consistency of $D_A(z)$ and $H(z)$ via the AP observable).
$f\sigma_8$	Growth–rate observable (see Sec. ??).
GBS	Gaussian Boson Sampling (quantum–optics benchmark for convergence rates).
ISS	Input–to–State Stability (noise–robust decay bound; see Lemma ??).

4. Main Results

4.1. Uniform Law, Contextual Rates

The DSFL inequality has a *uniform mathematical form* across sectors,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R}(t), \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-\alpha t} \mathcal{R}(0), \quad (3)$$

but the constant $\alpha > 0$ is *sector–dependent*. In each setting, α equals the corresponding spectral–gap/coercivity parameter:

$$\alpha = \begin{cases} 2 \lambda_{\text{Poincaré}} & \text{reversible classical/QMS on } \mathcal{N}^\perp, \\ 2 \beta - C\varepsilon & \text{coercive PDE closure } (B \succeq \beta I), \\ 2 \lambda_* & \text{free–field OU/Hamiltonian gap,} \\ 2 c \lambda_{\text{GR}} & \text{GR slice (DeTurck) on the physical subspace.} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Remark 1 (Mathematically uniform, physically contextual). *Equation (3) is the same in all sectors (uniform Lyapunov law), but its rate α in (4) is fixed by the sectoral physics: pointer algebra and Dirichlet form (QMS), mobility and closure (PDE), Hamiltonian spectrum (QFT/Gaussian), or Lichnerowicz gap (geometry). Thus the restoration mechanism is universal, while the speed of restoration is contextual and must be computed in each sector.*

Corollary 1 (Sectoral attractors with explicit rates). *Let \mathcal{A} denote the sectoral equilibrium manifold (e.g. the fixed–point algebra $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$, the Born law $|\Psi|^2$, or the Einstein balance set). Under the hypotheses yielding the corresponding gap/coercivity,*

$$\text{dist}(x(t), \mathcal{A}) \leq C e^{-\alpha t} \text{dist}(x(0), \mathcal{A}),$$

with α given by (4) and C a sectoral equivalence constant (projection/gauge). Hence, the form of convergence is universal, but the rate is determined by the sector’s gap.

Editorial note (for the Introduction). To make this distinction explicit up front, one sentence can be added: “While the DSFL provides a single Lyapunov law $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R}$, the constant α is sectoral: it equals the relevant spectral gap or coercivity (operator algebraic, PDE, free–field, or geometric), so the mechanism is universal but its rate is context dependent.”

Remark 2 (Covariance vs. slice formulation). *The geometric DSFL result in Theorem 6 is proved on a compact Riemannian slice in DeTurck gauge. It establishes exponential suppression of the curvature–matter misfit in that elliptic setting but does not yet provide a fully covariant (Lorentzian) formulation. In other*

words, the present theorem is a slice-level statement—analytically rigorous but gauge-fixed—while a fully diffeomorphism-invariant, hyperbolic extension remains an open program. This distinction should be made explicit: the law is structurally general, yet the proof given here is Riemannian rather than covariant.

This section states the core theorems in a hypothesis–result format, ready for citation in later sections and proofs. Throughout we use the notation and conventions of Sec. 3. In particular, \mathcal{R} denotes the global alignment residual (classical/PDE) or the noncommutative variance relative to a pointer algebra (QMS). All proofs are deferred to Sec. 5, where the three settings (classical, operator–algebraic, and PDE) are treated in parallel.

What Is Proved Here.

First, a unified *propagation lemma* (Sec. 4.2) shows that local convexity/contractivity mechanisms (Jensen, Kadison–Schwarz, energy identities) imply *global* residual monotonicity. Second, adding a spectral gap or coercivity yields *exponential* DSFL decay. In the operator–algebraic case (Sec. 4.3) we obtain an equivalence between DSFL and a noncommutative Poincaré (spectral-gap) inequality with optimal rate $\alpha_* = 2\lambda_*$.

4.2. 4.1 DSFL pRopagation Lemma (Classical/QMS/PDE)

Lemma 1 (Propagation of residual monotonicity: classical, noncommutative, and PDE). *Let $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ act on the Hilbert space where the residual \mathcal{R} is evaluated. Assume one of the following structural settings.*

(CL) Classical Markov setting. (T_t) is a Markov contraction semigroup on $L^1 \cap L^2(\mu)$ over a σ -finite measure space (Ω, μ) : it preserves positivity, mass ($T_t \mathbf{1} = \mathbf{1}$), and is L^p -contractive for $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Let $u_0 \in L^2(\mu)$ and let $r(x) = \varphi(u_0(x))$ with $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ a Borel convex function and $\varphi(0) = 0$. Define

$$\mathcal{R}(0) := \int_{\Omega} \varphi(u_0) d\mu, \quad \mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\Omega} \varphi((T_t u_0)(x)) d\mu(x).$$

(QM) Operator–algebraic/QMS setting. (T_t) is a normal unital completely positive (u.c.p.) semigroup on a von Neumann algebra (\mathcal{M}, ω) , ω -symmetric on $L^2(\omega)$ (reversible) and ω -preserving: $\omega(T_t Y) = \omega(Y)$. Let $\mathcal{N} := \{X : T_t X = X \forall t\}$ be the fixed-point algebra and $E_{\mathcal{N}}: \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ the ω -preserving conditional expectation (the $L^2(\omega)$ orthogonal projection). Define the noncommutative variance (residual)

$$\mathcal{R}_{\omega}(X) := \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|_{2,\omega}^2, \quad X \in L^2(\omega).$$

(PDE) Parabolic/energy-identity setting. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded C^1 domain (with homogeneous Dirichlet/Neumann b.c.) or \mathbb{T}^d (periodic). Let $\rho(\cdot, t) \in H^1(\Omega)$, $P(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$, and set $u := P - \nabla \rho \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$. Assume the exact residual identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} u^{\top} B u dx - 2 \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \text{Rem}(t), \quad (5)$$

with a measurable $B(x, t) \succeq 0$ a.e. and a remainder $\text{Rem}(t) \leq 0$ (or dominated by the dissipative terms). Let $\mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\Omega} |u(x, t)|^2 dx$.

Conclusion. In each setting, the residual is monotone nonincreasing:

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \leq \mathcal{R}(0) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

More precisely:

$$(CL): \int \varphi(\mathbb{T}_t u_0) d\mu \leq \int \varphi(u_0) d\mu, \quad (6)$$

$$(QM): \|\mathbb{T}_t X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|_{2,\omega} \leq \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|_{2,\omega}, \quad (7)$$

$$(PDE): \frac{d}{dt} \|u(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 0. \quad (8)$$

Proof. (CL). By Jensen and positivity, $\varphi(\mathbb{T}_t u_0) \leq \mathbb{T}_t(\varphi(u_0))$ μ -a.e.; integrate and use $\int \mathbb{T}_t f d\mu = \int f d\mu$.

(QM). Kadison–Schwarz for u.c.p. maps gives $\mathbb{T}_t(X)^* \mathbb{T}_t(X) \leq \mathbb{T}_t(X^* X)$; apply ω and $\omega \circ \mathbb{T}_t = \omega$ to obtain $\|\mathbb{T}_t X\|_{2,\omega} \leq \|X\|_{2,\omega}$. Since $E_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the $L^2(\omega)$ orthogonal projection and \mathbb{T}_t acts as the identity on \mathcal{N} , the same contraction holds for $X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X$.

(PDE). Integrate (5) in time; $B \geq 0$ and $\text{Rem}(t) \leq 0$ give $\frac{d}{dt} \int |u|^2 \leq 0$. \square

Corollary 2 (Propagation + gap/coercivity \Rightarrow DSFL). Under Lemma 1, suppose additionally:

- (CL) L (the generator of $\mathbb{T}_t = e^{tL}$) is symmetric on $L^2(\mu)$ and satisfies a Poincaré inequality $\text{Var}_{\mu}(f) \leq \lambda^{-1} \int \Gamma(f) d\mu$ for some $\lambda > 0$ (here Γ denotes the carré du champ).
- (QM) The ω -symmetric generator \mathcal{L} has a spectral gap $\lambda > 0$ on $L^2(\omega) \ominus L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$: $\|X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X\|_{2,\omega}^2 \leq \lambda^{-1} \langle X, -\mathcal{L} X \rangle_{2,\omega}$.
- (PDE) $B(x, t) \geq \beta I$ a.e. with $\beta > 0$, and $\text{Rem}(t) \leq C\varepsilon \mathcal{R}(t)$ for some $C > 0$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon \geq 0$.

Then the DSFL inequality holds with an explicit rate:

$$(CL) \ \& \ (QM): \quad \mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{R}(0), \quad (PDE): \quad \mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-(2\beta - C\varepsilon)t} \mathcal{R}(0).$$

Remark 3 (Domains and regularity; where proofs appear). In (QM), differentiating $\|\mathbb{T}_t X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X\|_{2,\omega}^2$ at $t = 0$ is justified for $X \in D(\mathcal{L})$ and extends by density to $D(\mathcal{E}_{\omega})$ (closedness of the Dirichlet form). In (PDE), integrations by parts are justified by periodic or homogeneous boundary conditions and the Sobolev regularity $\rho \in H^1$, $P \in L^2$ (or a standard mollification argument). Complete proofs are given in Sec. 5.

4.3. 4.2 DSFL \Leftrightarrow Spectral Gap (Reversible QMS)

Definition 1 (Operator-algebraic residual). Let (\mathcal{M}, ω) be a von Neumann algebra with faithful normal state ω , and let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be ω -modular invariant so that the ω -preserving conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ exists (Takesaki). Define, for $X \in L^2(\omega)$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\omega, \mathcal{N}}(X) := \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X\|_{2,\omega}^2.$$

Then $\mathcal{R}_{\omega, \mathcal{N}}$ is the squared $L^2(\omega)$ -distance to $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$.

Theorem 1 (DSFL \Leftrightarrow spectral gap). Let $(\mathbb{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a normal u.c.p. semigroup on \mathcal{M} that is ω -symmetric on $L^2(\omega)$ and ω -preserving. Write $\mathcal{N} = \{X : \mathbb{T}_t X = X \ \forall t\}$ and let \mathcal{L} be the $L^2(\omega)$ generator with Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}_{\omega}(X) = \langle X, -\mathcal{L} X \rangle_{2,\omega}$. The following are equivalent:

- (DSFL) $\exists \alpha > 0$ s.t. $\mathcal{R}_{\omega, \mathcal{N}}(\mathbb{T}_t X) \leq e^{-\alpha t} \mathcal{R}_{\omega, \mathcal{N}}(X)$ for all $t \geq 0$ and $X \in L^2(\omega)$.
- (Spectral gap) $\exists \lambda > 0$ s.t. $\|X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X\|_{2,\omega}^2 \leq \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\omega}(X)$ for all X in the form domain.

Moreover, the optimal constants satisfy $\alpha = 2\lambda$.

Setting and Assumptions.

Let (\mathcal{M}, ω) be a σ -finite von Neumann algebra with faithful normal state ω . Write $L^2(\omega)$ for the GNS Hilbert space with

$$\langle X, Y \rangle_{2,\omega} := \omega(X^* Y), \quad \|X\|_{2,\omega}^2 = \omega(X^* X).$$

Let $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a normal unital completely positive (u.c.p.) semigroup on \mathcal{M} such that:

(A1) (ω -symmetry / detailed balance) Each T_t is self-adjoint on $L^2(\omega)$: $\langle T_t X, Y \rangle_{2,\omega} = \langle X, T_t Y \rangle_{2,\omega}$ for all $X, Y \in L^2(\omega)$.

(A1') (ω -preservation) $\omega(T_t Z) = \omega(Z)$ for all Z and $t \geq 0$.

(A2) (L^2 generator and Dirichlet form) The $L^2(\omega)$ -generator \mathcal{L} of (T_t) is self-adjoint, with closed quadratic form

$$D(\mathcal{E}_\omega) = \overline{\text{Dom}(\mathcal{L}^{1/2})}, \quad \mathcal{E}_\omega(X) := \langle X, -\mathcal{L}X \rangle_{2,\omega},$$

and a $*$ -subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_0 \subset D(\mathcal{E}_\omega)$ (e.g. the analytic elements of (T_t)) is a form core.

(A3) (Fixed-point algebra) $\mathcal{N} := \{X \in \mathcal{M} : T_t X = X \ \forall t \geq 0\}$ is a von Neumann subalgebra. The modular group $(\sigma_s^\omega)_{s \in \mathbb{R}}$ leaves \mathcal{N} globally invariant.

Under (A3), Takesaki's theorem yields:

Lemma 2 (Conditional expectation). *There exists a unique faithful normal conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ that preserves ω . It extends to the orthogonal projection $L^2(\omega) \rightarrow L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$ with $\|E_{\mathcal{N}}\| = 1$.*

Define the noncommutative variance (residual)

$$\mathcal{R}_\omega(X) := \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}X\|_{2,\omega}^2, \quad L^2(\omega) = L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega) \oplus L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp.$$

Write $X^\perp := X - E_{\mathcal{N}}X \in L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$.

Lemma 3 ($L^2(\omega)$ -differentiability and domains). *For $X \in D(\mathcal{L})$,*

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \|T_t X^\perp\|_{2,\omega}^2 \right|_{t=0} = -2 \mathcal{E}_\omega(X^\perp).$$

More generally, for all $t \geq 0$ with $T_t X \in D(\mathcal{L})$,

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|T_t X^\perp\|_{2,\omega}^2 = -2 \mathcal{E}_\omega(T_t X^\perp).$$

Proof. Since (T_t) is self-adjoint and strongly continuous on $L^2(\omega)$, $t \mapsto \|T_t X^\perp\|_{2,\omega}^2 = \langle T_t X^\perp, T_t X^\perp \rangle$ is C^1 on any interval where $T_t X \in D(\mathcal{L})$. Differentiating and using self-adjointness of \mathcal{L} gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|T_t X^\perp\|_{2,\omega}^2 = 2 \langle T_t X^\perp, \mathcal{L} T_t X^\perp \rangle_{2,\omega} = -2 \mathcal{E}_\omega(T_t X^\perp).$$

Orthogonality to $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$ is preserved because $T_t E_{\mathcal{N}} = E_{\mathcal{N}}$ and T_t acts as the identity on the fixed space. \square

Poincaré Inequality on the Orthogonal Complement.

We say that \mathcal{L} has a *spectral gap* $\lambda > 0$ on $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$ if

$$\mathcal{E}_\omega(Z) \geq \lambda \|Z\|_{2,\omega}^2 \quad \forall Z \in D(\mathcal{E}_\omega) \cap L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp.$$

Equivalently,

$$\|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}X\|_{2,\omega}^2 \leq \frac{1}{\lambda} \mathcal{E}_\omega(X) \quad \forall X \in D(\mathcal{E}_\omega), \quad (9)$$

i.e. the noncommutative Poincaré inequality holds on \mathcal{N}^\perp .

Theorem 2 (Operator-algebraic DSFL \iff spectral gap). *Under (A1)–(A3) the following are equivalent:*

(i) **DSFL decay.** $\exists \alpha > 0$ such that for all $X \in L^2(\omega)$,

$$\mathcal{R}_\omega(\mathbb{T}_t X) \leq e^{-\alpha t} \mathcal{R}_\omega(X) \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

(ii) **Spectral gap / Poincaré on \mathcal{N}^\perp .** There exists $\lambda > 0$ such that (9) holds on $D(\mathcal{E}_\omega)$.

Moreover, the optimal constants coincide as $\alpha_* = 2\lambda_*$.

Proof sketch. For $Z_t := (\mathbb{T}_t X)^\perp$ one has $\frac{d}{dt} \|Z_t\|_{2,\omega}^2 = -2\mathcal{E}_\omega(Z_t)$. If (ii) holds then $\|Z_t\|_2^2 \leq -2\lambda \|Z_t\|_2^2$ and Grönwall yields (i) with $\alpha = 2\lambda$. Conversely, differentiating (i) at $t = 0$ gives $\mathcal{E}_\omega(X^\perp) \geq (\alpha/2) \|X^\perp\|_2^2$. \square

Remark 4 (Closability, core, and invariance). (i) The form \mathcal{E}_ω is closed on $L^2(\omega)$ because (\mathbb{T}_t) is self-adjoint and contractive; $D(\mathcal{E}_\omega) = D(\mathcal{L}^{1/2})$ is the canonical form domain. Moreover, the $*$ -algebra of analytic elements for (\mathbb{T}_t) is dense in $D(\mathcal{E}_\omega)$ and forms a core. (ii) Reversibility implies (\mathbb{T}_t) leaves both $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$ and $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$ invariant; hence the restriction of \mathcal{L} to \mathcal{N}^\perp is self-adjoint and nonnegative, with spectrum contained in $\{0\} \cup [\lambda_*, \infty)$ iff (9) holds.

Remark 5 (Conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{N}}$). The modular invariance of \mathcal{N} (A3) ensures the existence and uniqueness of a faithful normal ω -preserving conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ (Takesaki's theorem). As an L^2 map it is the orthogonal projection onto $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$, so $\mathcal{R}_\omega(X) = \text{dist}(X, L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega))^2$.

DSFL Interpretation.

Theorem 2 identifies the DSFL rate with the spectral gap of the reversible QMS restricted to $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$: the noncommutative variance (misalignment) decays exponentially iff the Poincaré inequality holds on \mathcal{N}^\perp , with $\alpha = 2\lambda$.

4.4. 4.3 Sharp Lindblad Rate (Finite-Dimensional Dephasing)

Let $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^d$ with orthonormal basis $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=1}^d$ and spectral projectors $P_i = |i\rangle\langle i|$. Consider the (trace-preserving, completely positive) dephasing Lindblad generator on $M_d(\mathbb{C})$

$$\mathcal{L}^*(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i \left(P_i \sigma P_i - \frac{1}{2} \{P_i, \sigma\} \right), \quad \gamma_i > 0, \quad (10)$$

and the dual master equation $\dot{\sigma}_t = \mathcal{L}^*(\sigma_t)$ for density matrices. Let $\Phi : M_d \rightarrow M_d$ be the Lüders (diagonal) conditional expectation $\Phi(X) = \sum_i P_i X P_i$ onto the abelian pointer algebra $\mathcal{N} = \{X : [X, P_i] = 0 \forall i\}$. Then Φ is the (trace-)preserving conditional expectation onto \mathcal{N} , and the Lüders residual

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(\sigma) := \|\sigma - \Phi(\sigma)\|_2^2 = \sum_{i \neq j} |(\sigma)_{ij}|^2$$

is the Hilbert-Schmidt variance off the diagonal algebra \mathcal{N} .

Theorem 3 (Sharp exponential decay of the Lüders residual). Let

$$\lambda_* := \frac{1}{2} \min_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i + \gamma_j), \quad \alpha_* := 2\lambda_* = \min_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i + \gamma_j).$$

Then for all $t \geq 0$,

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(\sigma_t) \leq e^{-\alpha_* t} \mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(\sigma_0),$$

and the rate α_* is optimal (sharp). In particular, if the minimal dephasing rate is attained by at least two indices, then $\alpha_* = 2 \min_i \gamma_i$; otherwise $\alpha_* = \gamma_{\min} + \gamma_{2\text{nd min}}$.

Proof (modewise solution). In the basis $\{|i\rangle\}$ one has

$$\frac{d}{dt}(\sigma_t)_{ii} = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt}(\sigma_t)_{ij} = -\frac{\gamma_i + \gamma_j}{2} (\sigma_t)_{ij} \quad (i \neq j),$$

so $(\sigma_t)_{ij} = e^{-(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)t/2} (\sigma_0)_{ij}$ and hence

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(\sigma_t) = \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)t} |(\sigma_0)_{ij}|^2 \leq e^{-\alpha_* t} \sum_{i \neq j} |(\sigma_0)_{ij}|^2.$$

Sharpness: choose initial data supported on a pair (i_*, j_*) achieving $\min_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i + \gamma_j)$. \square

Corollary 3 (Born-aligned limit and trace-norm control). *As $t \rightarrow \infty$, $\sigma_t \rightarrow \Phi(\sigma_0)$ in Hilbert-Schmidt norm and in trace norm with*

$$\|\sigma_t - \Phi(\sigma_0)\|_1 \leq \sqrt{d} e^{-\lambda_* t} \|\sigma_0 - \Phi(\sigma_0)\|_2.$$

The limit is the Lüders (Born-aligned) state for the measurement basis $\{|i\rangle\}$.

Remark 6 (DSFL and spectral gap identification). *The off-diagonal (pointer-orthogonal) sector is invariant and the restriction of \mathcal{L} to it has spectral gap $\lambda_* = \frac{1}{2} \min_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i + \gamma_j)$. By Theorem 2, the DSFL rate is $\alpha_* = 2\lambda_*$.*

Remark 7 (Commuting Hamiltonians and basis choice). *(a) Adding $-i[H, \sigma_t]$ with $H = \sum_i h_i P_i$ (i.e. $[H, P_i] = 0$) leaves the modewise decay and the sharp rate α_* unchanged: the diagonals remain constant and off-diagonals acquire only phases. For noncommuting H , oscillations appear but the envelope of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(\sigma_t)$ still decays at least as $e^{-\alpha_* t}$. (b) The statement is basis-covariant: any pure dephasing generator is unitarily diagonalizable; \mathcal{N} is the diagonal algebra in that basis, and Φ is the corresponding conditional expectation.*

4.5. 4.4 Coercive PDE tEmplate: Exponential Decay

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded C^1 domain (or \mathbb{T}^d) with periodic or homogeneous boundary conditions chosen so that integrations by parts incur no boundary terms (cf. Remark 3). Assume

$$\rho(\cdot, t) \in H^1(\Omega), \quad P(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$$

for all $t \geq 0$, and consider the system

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (P - \nabla \rho), \quad \partial_t P = -B(x, t) (P - \nabla \rho) + G(\rho, P), \quad (11)$$

with $B \in L^\infty(\Omega \times [0, \infty))$ satisfying $B(x, t) \succeq \beta I$ a.e. for some $\beta > 0$, and a locally Lipschitz coupling G that is *subcritical* in the residual energy sense specified below. Define the residual

$$u := P - \nabla \rho \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d), \quad \mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\Omega} |u(x, t)|^2 dx.$$

Theorem 4 (Exponential residual decay under coercivity). *Under (11), $B \succeq \beta I$, and the subcriticality condition*

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u \cdot G(\rho, P) dx \right| \leq C \varepsilon \mathcal{R}(t) \quad (12)$$

for some $C > 0$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon \geq 0$, one has the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq -(2\beta - C\varepsilon) \mathcal{R}(t),$$

hence, by Grönwall,

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-(2\beta - C\varepsilon)t} \mathcal{R}(0) \quad (t \geq 0).$$

In particular, in the uncoupled case ($\varepsilon = 0$) one obtains $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\beta t} \mathcal{R}(0)$.

Proof sketch. Differentiate $\mathcal{R}(t) = \int_{\Omega} |u|^2$ and use $\partial_t u = -Bu - \nabla(\nabla \cdot u) + G(\rho, P)$ to obtain the exact identity (cf. (5))

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) = -2 \int_{\Omega} u^{\top} B u \, dx - 2 \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} u \cdot G \, dx.$$

Since $B \succeq \beta I$, the first term is $\leq -2\beta \mathcal{R}(t)$, the divergence term is nonpositive, and (12) gives $2 \int u \cdot G \leq 2C\varepsilon \mathcal{R}(t)$. Combine and apply Grönwall. \square

Remark 8 (Scope and refinements). (i) *Template coverage.* The estimate applies to mobility–relaxation closures, linear couplings bounded by the residual, and mild nonlinearities that satisfy (12). It is the PDE instance of the propagation lemma (Lemma 1, case (PDE)) followed by a sectoral coercivity bound; in DSFL notation, the rate is $\alpha = 2\beta - C\varepsilon$.

(ii) *Spectral sharpening.* With the Helmholtz decomposition $u = \nabla\phi + w$ and $\nabla \cdot w = 0$, the gradient channel gains additional spectral damping: on bounded/periodic domains,

$$\|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2}^2 = \|\Delta\phi\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \lambda_1 \|\nabla\phi\|_{L^2}^2$$

with Poincaré constant $\lambda_1 > 0$, so the gradient part decays at least like $e^{-2(\beta + \lambda_1)t}$ while the solenoidal part decays like $e^{-2\beta t}$.

(iii) *Regularity/BCs.* All integrations by parts are justified by periodic or homogeneous boundary conditions and the Sobolev regularity stated above (see Remark 3). For weak solutions, the identity holds by density/mollification and lower–semicontinuity.

(iv) *DSFL identification.* The inequality $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -(2\beta - C\varepsilon)\mathcal{R}$ is the DSFL law in this sector. With $\varepsilon = 0$ one recovers the clean rate $\alpha = 2\beta$.

4.6. 4.5 Free–Field Stochastic Quantization: Gap–Driven Decay

Setting and Notation.

Let Λ be either the flat d –torus \mathbb{T}^d (side length $L > 0$) or \mathbb{R}^d . We consider a real free scalar field $\phi : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with Euclidean action

$$S[\phi] = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Lambda} (|\nabla\phi(x)|^2 + m^2\phi(x)^2) \, dx, \quad m \geq 0.$$

Parisi–Wu stochastic quantization evolves the field in an auxiliary “Langevin time” $\tau \geq 0$ by

$$\partial_{\tau}\phi_{\tau}(x) = -\frac{\delta S}{\delta\phi}(x) + \eta(x, \tau) = \Delta\phi_{\tau}(x) - m^2\phi_{\tau}(x) + \eta(x, \tau), \quad (13)$$

where η is space–time white noise with covariance $\mathbb{E}[\eta(x, \tau)\eta(y, \sigma)] = 2\delta(x - y)\delta(\tau - \sigma)$ (the factor 2 ensures that the stationary covariance solves $A\Sigma_{\infty} + \Sigma_{\infty}A = 2I$). The generator of the one–body deterministic part is the nonnegative operator

$$A := -\Delta + m^2 \quad \text{on } L^2(\Lambda) \quad (\text{domain } H^2(\Lambda)),$$

whose spectrum is $\sigma(A) = \{|k|^2 + m^2 : k \in (2\pi/L)\mathbb{Z}^d\}$ on \mathbb{T}^d , and $\sigma(A) = [m^2, \infty)$ on \mathbb{R}^d .

OU Semigroup and Covariance Flow.

Equation (13) is an infinite-dimensional Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (OU) process on $H^{-s}(\Lambda)$ for $s > d/2$ (or on $L^2(\Lambda)$ at the level of covariances). Writing $X_\tau := \phi_\tau$ and W_τ a cylindrical Wiener process on $L^2(\Lambda)$, (13) reads

$$dX_\tau = -A X_\tau d\tau + \sqrt{2} dW_\tau.$$

Let $\Sigma_\tau := \mathbb{E}[X_\tau \otimes X_\tau]$ be the (two-point) covariance operator on $L^2(\Lambda)$. Standard OU calculus yields

$$\dot{\Sigma}_\tau = -A\Sigma_\tau - \Sigma_\tau A + 2I, \quad \Sigma_0 \text{ given}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad \Sigma_\tau = \int_0^\tau e^{-sA} 2I e^{-sA} ds + e^{-\tau A} \Sigma_0 e^{-\tau A}. \quad (14)$$

The unique stationary covariance is the Green operator

$$\Sigma_\infty = A^{-1} \quad (\text{on } \mathbb{T}^d \text{ for any } m \geq 0; \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d \text{ only if } m > 0). \quad (15)$$

Subtracting (15) from (14) gives the exact relaxation formula

$$\Sigma_\tau - \Sigma_\infty = e^{-\tau A} (\Sigma_0 - \Sigma_\infty) e^{-\tau A}. \quad (16)$$

Smeared Two-Point Residual.

For a test function f (to be specified below), define the smeared evaluation $\phi_\tau(f) := \int_\Lambda \phi_\tau(x) f(x) dx = \langle f, X_\tau \rangle_{L^2}$. Then

$$\mathbb{E}[\phi_\tau(f)\phi_\tau(f)] = \langle f, \Sigma_\tau f \rangle, \quad \mathbb{E}[\phi_\infty(f)\phi_\infty(f)] = \langle f, \Sigma_\infty f \rangle.$$

We define the (quadratic) residual as the squared deviation of the two-point function:

$$R[f; \tau] := \left| \frac{\langle f, (\Sigma_\tau - \Sigma_\infty) f \rangle}{\mathbb{E}[\phi_\tau(f)^2] - \mathbb{E}[\phi_\infty(f)^2]} \right|^2. \quad (17)$$

Admissible Class of Test Functions.

On \mathbb{T}^d , take $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^d)$; on \mathbb{R}^d with $m > 0$, take $f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ (or Schwarz \mathcal{S}). For $m = 0$, impose an IR regularization (finite volume or mean-zero f and a Poincaré gap).

Theorem 5 (Residual decay at twice the Hamiltonian gap). *Let $A = -\Delta + m^2$ on $L^2(\Lambda)$ and suppose there is a spectral gap*

$$\lambda_* := \inf \sigma(A \upharpoonright_{\text{Ker}(A)^\perp}) > 0.$$

Then for any admissible f ,

$$R[f; \tau] \leq R[f; 0] e^{-2\lambda_* \tau}. \quad (18)$$

In particular:

- On \mathbb{T}^d with $m \geq 0$, $\lambda_* = \min\{m^2, \lambda_1(-\Delta)\}$, where $\lambda_1(-\Delta) = (2\pi/L)^2$ is the first positive Laplace eigenvalue; if $m > 0$ then $\lambda_* = m^2$.
- On \mathbb{R}^d with $m > 0$, $\lambda_* = m^2$; if $m = 0$ there is no gap and (18) fails globally (decay is not uniform, see Remark 10).

Proof. By (16),

$$\langle f, (\Sigma_\tau - \Sigma_\infty) f \rangle = \langle e^{-\tau A} f, (\Sigma_0 - \Sigma_\infty) e^{-\tau A} f \rangle.$$

Hence,

$$|\langle f, (\Sigma_\tau - \Sigma_\infty) f \rangle| \leq \|\Sigma_0 - \Sigma_\infty\|_{\text{op}, \text{ker}(A)^\perp} \|e^{-\tau A} f\|_2^2.$$

Because $\|e^{-\tau A}\|_{\text{op}} = e^{-\lambda_*\tau}$ on $\ker(A)^\perp$ and $e^{-\tau A}$ is the identity on $\ker(A)$ (trivial unless $m = 0$ on compact Λ), we have $\|e^{-\tau A}f\|_2 \leq e^{-\lambda_*\tau} \|f\|_2$ whenever $f \perp \ker(A)$ (automatically true for $m > 0$). Thus

$$|\langle f, (\Sigma_\tau - \Sigma_\infty)f \rangle| \leq \|\Sigma_0 - \Sigma_\infty\|_{\text{op}, \ker(A)^\perp} e^{-2\lambda_*\tau} \|f\|_2^2,$$

and squaring yields (18) after absorbing the prefactor into $R[f; 0]$. \square

Remark 9 (Explicit Fourier picture on \mathbb{T}^d). Write $f(x) = \sum_{k \in (2\pi/L)\mathbb{Z}^d} \hat{f}_k e^{ik \cdot x}$ and similarly for ϕ_τ . Each mode solves the scalar OU SDE $d\hat{\phi}_\tau(k) = -(|k|^2 + m^2) \hat{\phi}_\tau(k) d\tau + \sqrt{2} d\beta_\tau(k)$, so $\text{Var} \hat{\phi}_\tau(k) = (\text{Var} \hat{\phi}_0(k) - (|k|^2 + m^2)^{-1}) e^{-2(|k|^2 + m^2)\tau} + (|k|^2 + m^2)^{-1}$. Therefore, for the smeared variance,

$$\langle f, (\Sigma_\tau - \Sigma_\infty)f \rangle = \sum_k |\hat{f}_k|^2 (\text{Var} \hat{\phi}_\tau(k) - (|k|^2 + m^2)^{-1}),$$

and the slowest decaying mode has rate $2 \min_k (|k|^2 + m^2) = 2\lambda_*$.

Remark 10 (Massless case and infrared issues). On \mathbb{T}^d with $m = 0$, $\ker(A) = \text{span}\{1\}$ and $\lambda_* = \lambda_1(-\Delta) = (2\pi/L)^2$ provided f has zero mean (or we project away the constant mode). On \mathbb{R}^d with $m = 0$, $\sigma(A) = [0, \infty)$ has no gap; uniform exponential decay fails and long-wavelength modes relax only algebraically in spatially extended senses. Thus a spectral gap (mass $m > 0$ or finite-volume Poincaré gap) is essential for the DSFL rate (18).

Remark 11 (From two-point residuals to DSFL). The estimate (18) derives the DSFL inequality in the Gaussian sector: the misalignment functional $\mathcal{R}_{\text{free}}(\tau) := \sup_{\|f\|_2=1} |\langle f, (\Sigma_\tau - \Sigma_\infty)f \rangle|^2$ decays as $\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{free}} \leq -2\lambda_* \mathcal{R}_{\text{free}}$ with optimal rate $2\lambda_*$. Equivalently, for any fixed f , the scalar residual $R[f; \tau]$ satisfies the same inequality.

Remark 12 (Regularity of smearing). On \mathbb{T}^d any $f \in L^2$ is admissible. On \mathbb{R}^d with $m > 0$, $f \in L^2$ (or \mathcal{S}) suffices and all formulas above hold; higher regularity $f \in H^\alpha$ yields the same exponential rate while changing only the (finite) prefactors.

4.7. 4.6 GR Slice: Geometric Residual Decay (Small Data, DeTurck Gauge)

Scope.

This subsection establishes a *slice* analogue on compact Riemannian 3-manifolds, in *DeTurck gauge*, for *small perturbations* of a fixed target metric. It is *not* a fully covariant Lorentzian result. A diffeomorphism-invariant Lorentzian formulation is stated as an open program in the remarks below.

Standing Assumptions (Slice, Small Data).

Let $(\Sigma^3, \bar{\gamma})$ be a smooth, closed (compact, boundaryless) Riemannian 3-manifold. Let $T \in C^\infty(\Sigma; \text{Sym}^2 T^*\Sigma)$ be time-independent and divergence-free with respect to $\bar{\gamma}$, $\nabla^{\bar{\gamma}} T_{ij} = 0$. Assume there exists a target metric $\bar{\gamma}$ solving

$$G[\bar{\gamma}] = \kappa T. \quad (19)$$

Consider the Einstein-source flow in DeTurck gauge

$$\partial_t \gamma_{ij} = -2(G_{ij}[\gamma] - \kappa T_{ij}) + \nabla_i X_j(\gamma) + \nabla_j X_i(\gamma), \quad (20)$$

with DeTurck vector

$$X^k(\gamma) = \gamma^{pq} (\Gamma_{pq}^k(\gamma) - \Gamma_{pq}^k(\bar{\gamma})), \quad (21)$$

which renders the linearization at $\bar{\gamma}$ strictly elliptic on the gauge-orthogonal (physical) subspace.

Residual (Gauge–invariant).

Define the L^2 curvature–matter misfit

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) := \int_{\Sigma} \|G[\gamma(t)] - \kappa T\|_{\gamma(t)}^2 d\mu_{\gamma(t)}. \quad (22)$$

Write $h := \gamma - \bar{\gamma}$ and assume small initial data $h(0) \in H^k(\bar{\gamma})$ with $\|h(0)\|_{H^k} \leq \delta$ for some $k \geq 4$ and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small.

Lemma 4 (Constraint preservation (DeTurck slice)). *Let $C_j(\gamma) := \nabla_{\bar{\gamma}}^i (G_{ij}[\gamma] - \kappa T_{ij})$. Along (20), $\partial_t C_j = \Delta_{\bar{\gamma}} C_j + R_j^k(\bar{\gamma}) C_k$. If $C_j(0) = 0$ and T is time–independent with $\nabla_{\bar{\gamma}} T \equiv 0$ along the flow (equivalently $\nabla^{\bar{\gamma}} T \equiv 0$ at $t = 0$ and preserved thereafter), then $C_j \equiv 0$ for all $t \geq 0$.*

Linearization, Model Operator, and Spectral Gap.

Linearizing (20) at $\bar{\gamma}$ yields, for h small,

$$\partial_t h = -\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h + \mathcal{N}(h), \quad (23)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}}$ is the self–adjoint Lichnerowicz–DeTurck operator on symmetric 2–tensors,

$$\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h := -\Delta_{\bar{\gamma}} h - 2\text{Rm}[\bar{\gamma}] * h, \quad (\Delta_{\bar{\gamma}} h)_{ij} := \Delta h_{ij} + 2R_{ikjl} h^{kl} - R_i^k h_{kj} - R_j^k h_{ki}, \quad (24)$$

and $\mathcal{N}(h) = O(|h| |\nabla^2 h| + |\nabla h|^2)$ is quadratic/higher order. Assume a spectral gap on the *physical* (gauge–orthogonal) subspace:

$$\exists \lambda_{\text{GR}} > 0 \quad \text{s.t.} \quad \langle h, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h \rangle_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})} \geq \lambda_{\text{GR}} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \quad \text{for all } h \perp \text{ gauge directions.} \quad (25)$$

By elliptic regularity, for h sufficiently small in H^k ,

$$\|h\|_{H^2(\bar{\gamma})} \leq C_{\text{ell}} (\|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})} + \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}) \leq \frac{2C_{\text{ell}}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{\text{GR}}}} \|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}. \quad (26)$$

Residual Equivalence Near $\bar{\gamma}$.

A Taylor expansion at $\bar{\gamma}$ and (19) give

$$G[\bar{\gamma} + h] - \kappa T = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h + \mathcal{Q}(h), \quad \|\mathcal{Q}(h)\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})} \leq C_{\mathcal{Q}} \|h\|_{H^2} \|h\|_{H^1}. \quad (27)$$

For h small and γ close to $\bar{\gamma}$ in C^0 , the norms induced by γ and $\bar{\gamma}$ are equivalent; hence

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) = \|G[\gamma] - \kappa T\|_{L^2(\gamma)}^2 \simeq \frac{1}{4} \|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \simeq \|h\|_{H^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2, \quad (28)$$

with constants depending only on $(\Sigma, \bar{\gamma})$ and the smallness radius.

Theorem 6 (Exponential L^2 decay of the geometric residual on a slice). *Under (19), (20), (25), and the small–data hypothesis $\|h(0)\|_{H^k(\bar{\gamma})} \leq \delta$ (for some $k \geq 4$ and $\delta > 0$ sufficiently small), there exists $c \in (0, 1)$ (depending only on $\bar{\gamma}$ and δ) such that*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq -2c \lambda_{\text{GR}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t), \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq e^{-2c \lambda_{\text{GR}} t} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(0).$$

In particular, $G[\gamma(t)] = \kappa T$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}$ modulo diffeomorphisms.

Proof sketch. Set $E(t) := \|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h(t)\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2$. From (23),

$$\dot{E}(t) = 2\langle \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} \partial_t h \rangle = -2\|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}}^{3/2} h\|_{L^2}^2 + 2\langle \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} \mathcal{N}(h) \rangle.$$

The gap (25) yields $-2\|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}}^{3/2}h\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -2\lambda_{\text{GR}}E(t)$. Estimate the nonlinear term via Cauchy–Schwarz, (26), and small–data absorption to obtain $|\langle \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}}h, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}}\mathcal{N}(h) \rangle| \leq (1-c)\lambda_{\text{GR}}E(t)$, whence $\dot{E}(t) \leq -2c\lambda_{\text{GR}}E(t)$. Grönwall gives $E(t) \leq e^{-2c\lambda_{\text{GR}}t}E(0)$; (28) then implies the stated decay for $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}$. \square

Remark 13 (Well–posedness and norm equivalences). For $\|h(0)\|_{H^k}$ small ($k \geq 4$), parabolic–elliptic theory in DeTurck gauge yields local existence/uniqueness in $C([0, T]; H^k)$ and a priori control. The exponential decay closes the bootstrap globally. Moreover, $L^2(\gamma)$ – and $L^2(\bar{\gamma})$ –norms are equivalent for small h , so (22) and (28) are interchangeable up to fixed constants.

Remark 14 (Matter compatibility and constraints). The condition $\nabla^{\bar{\gamma}}T \equiv 0$ together with Lemma 4 ensures preservation of the contracted Bianchi constraint and prevents spurious source terms in the energy estimates; T only fixes the equilibrium (19).

Remark 15 (Gauge directions and the physical subspace). The DeTurck term (21) removes the diffeomorphism kernel of the linearized operator; the spectral gap (25) is thus a genuine coercivity on the physical subspace. Without DeTurck, one must pass to the quotient by diffeomorphisms (e.g., transverse–traceless decomposition) and run the same argument there.

Remark 16 (Lorentzian caveat). The theorem is a Riemannian slice statement in DeTurck gauge. It does not imply a fully covariant Lorentzian DSFL. A Lorentzian version would require a diffeomorphism–invariant Lyapunov functional on the space of Lorentzian metrics and a hyperbolic evolution with an appropriate gap; this remains an open program.

Remark 17 (ISS robustness (small forcing)). If (20) is perturbed by a small, mean–zero forcing $\varepsilon\Xi(t)$ in L^2 –time, the same calculation yields $\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{geom}} \leq -2c\lambda_{\text{GR}}\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}} + C\varepsilon^2\|\Xi(t)\|^2$, and hence $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{2c\lambda_{\text{GR}}}\|\Xi\|_{L^2(0, \infty)}^2$.

Remark 18 (Interpretation). In the limit $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \rightarrow 0$ one has $G[\gamma(t)] = \kappa T$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$ (and pointwise where regularity allows); with small–data coercivity, $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}$ modulo diffeomorphisms. Thus, Einstein balance is a slice attractor when a Lichnerowicz–DeTurck gap is present.

4.8. 4.7 Master/Grand Attractor Theorems (Sectoral Attractors)

Let $\mathcal{R}(t)$ denote the global alignment residual associated with a given sector (classical/QMS/PDE/GR). Assume a DSFL inequality holds on its natural state space X :

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R}(t), \quad \alpha > 0, \quad (29)$$

where α is the Poincaré/spectral–gap constant or a quantitative coercivity as established in §4.2–§4.6. Write $\mathcal{A} \subset X$ for the sectoral attractor set (the equilibrium manifold in that sector; e.g. $|\Psi|^2$ in QM, $G = \kappa T$ in GR, etc.).

Proposition 1 (Small–gain for two coupled residuals). Let $R, S \geq 0$ satisfy, for some constants $\alpha, \beta > 0$ and couplings $\delta, \gamma \geq 0$,

$$\dot{R} \leq -2\alpha R + \delta S, \quad \dot{S} \leq -2\beta S + \gamma R. \quad (30)$$

If the small–gain condition holds,

$$\delta\gamma < 4\alpha\beta, \quad (31)$$

then there exists $c \in (0, 1)$ (depending only on $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma$) such that

$$R(t) + S(t) \leq C_0 e^{-2c\lambda_* t} \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0,$$

where the decay rate can be chosen as

$$\lambda_* = \frac{\alpha + \beta - \sqrt{(\alpha - \beta)^2 + \delta\gamma}}{2} > 0. \quad (32)$$

In particular, both R, S decay exponentially to 0.

Proof sketch. Write (30) in vector form $X' \leq AX$ with $X = (R, S)^\top$ and $A = \begin{pmatrix} -2\alpha & \delta \\ \gamma & -2\beta \end{pmatrix}$. The eigenvalues are $\lambda_\pm = -(\alpha + \beta) \pm \sqrt{(\alpha - \beta)^2 + \delta\gamma}$. Condition (31) yields $\lambda_+ < 0$. Hence $X(t) \leq e^{tA}X(0)$ componentwise and $\|X(t)\| \leq C e^{\lambda_+ t} \|X(0)\|$. Setting $\lambda_* = -(\lambda_+)/2$ gives $e^{\lambda_* t} = e^{-2\lambda_+ t}$. Alternatively, choose a weighted Lyapunov $V_{a,b} = aR + bS$ with $a, b > 0$ so that $\dot{V}_{a,b} \leq -2c\lambda_* V_{a,b}$. \square

Distance Equivalences Near the Attractor.

We record norm–equivalences that turn the residual \mathcal{R} into a bona fide distance to the attractor in each sector (up to constants).

Lemma 5 (Residual vs. geometric distance to the attractor). (i) **QM (Born sector).** On a bounded domain with Poincaré constant $\lambda_1 > 0$, if $P = \nabla|\Psi|^2$ and $\int_\Omega \rho = \int_\Omega |\Psi|^2 = 1$, then for $w = \rho - |\Psi|^2$,

$$\lambda_1 \|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla w|^2 dx = \mathcal{R}_{\text{QM}}(\rho) \leq C \|w\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2.$$

(ii) **TD (continuum).** With weights $W \succeq \lambda_{\min} I$ and $u = P - \nabla\rho$, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{TD}} = \int |u|_W^2 \geq \lambda_{\min} \|P - \nabla\rho\|_{L^2}^2$.

(iii) **QMS (OA).** With pointer algebra \mathcal{N} and conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{N}}$, $\mathcal{R}_\omega(X) = \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}X\|_{2,\omega}^2$ is the squared $L^2(\omega)$ -distance to $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$. (iv) **GR (slice, DeTurck).** For $h = \gamma - \bar{\gamma}$ small in H^k , $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}} \simeq \frac{1}{4} \|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \simeq \|h\|_{H^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2$, hence $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}} \simeq \text{dist}_{H^2}(\gamma, \bar{\gamma})^2$ modulo diffeos.

Proof. QM and TD are immediate from Poincaré and $W \succeq \lambda_{\min} I$. QMS is by definition. GR follows from (27)–(28) and elliptic regularity (26). \square

Omega–Limit Characterization and LaSalle.

Lemma 6 (LaSalle-type invariance). Let $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be the sector semigroup on X , continuous in t , and suppose \mathcal{R} is nonnegative, continuous on X , and satisfies (29). Then for any trajectory $x(t) = T_t x_0$, the ω -limit set $\omega(x_0)$ is nonempty, compact, and contained in $\{\mathcal{R} = 0\} = \mathcal{A}$. If, in addition, \mathcal{A} consists of a single orbit (modulo the natural gauge of the sector), then $x(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Monotonicity and boundedness of \mathcal{R} yield precompactness (sector by sector) and invariance. At any accumulation point \bar{x} , the derivative of \mathcal{R} vanishes, hence $\mathcal{R}(\bar{x}) = 0$ by (29). Uniqueness up to gauge gives convergence to the orbit. \square

Theorem 7 (Sector attractors from residual decay (Master theorem)). Under (29) and Lemma 5, the canonical equilibrium relations are the unique global attractors in their sectors:

(QM)(Born alignment) If $P = \nabla|\Psi|^2$ and $\int_\Omega \rho = \int_\Omega |\Psi|^2 = 1$, then $\rho(\cdot, t) \rightarrow |\Psi|^2$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ at least exponentially, with rate $\alpha^\# \geq \lambda_1$ (cf. Theorem 11).

(TD) (Residual entropy) $S_R(t) := -\log(\mathcal{R}(t)/\mathcal{R}_0 + R_*)$ satisfies $\dot{S}_R \geq \alpha > 0$, hence $S_R(t) \nearrow +\infty$ and $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0$ exponentially.

(QMS)(OA pointer alignment) If the reversible QMS has spectral gap $\lambda > 0$ on $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$, then $\mathcal{R}_\omega(T_t X) \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{R}_\omega(X)$ and $X(t) \rightarrow E_{\mathcal{N}}X$ in $L^2(\omega)$.

(GR) (Einstein balance on slices) Under Theorem 6, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq e^{-2c\lambda_{\text{GR}} t} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(0)$ and $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}$ modulo diffeomorphisms; thus $G[\gamma] = \kappa T$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$.

Proof. (QM) Combine (29) with Lemma 5(i) and Theorem 11. (TD) Differentiate $S_R: \dot{S}_R = -\dot{\mathcal{R}}/(\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*) \geq \alpha > 0$, hence S_R increases and $\mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0$ exponentially. (QMS) is Theorem 2. (GR) is Theorem 6 plus Lemma 5(iv). \square

Abstract Grand Theorem (Uniform Formulation).

Theorem 8 (Grand attractor theorem (abstract Hilbert form)). *Let $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a reversible contraction semigroup on a Hilbert space H with generator \mathcal{L} , fixed-point subspace $\mathcal{N} = \{X : T_t X = X\}$, and Poincaré gap $\lambda > 0$ on \mathcal{N}^\perp . Define $\mathcal{R}(X) := \text{dist}(X, \mathcal{N})^2$. Then for all $X \in H$,*

$$\mathcal{R}(T_t X) \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{R}(X), \quad \text{dist}(T_t X, \mathcal{N}) \leq e^{-\lambda t} \text{dist}(X, \mathcal{N}).$$

Moreover, \mathcal{N} is the unique global attractor (modulo the sector gauge).

Proof. Differentiate $\|(T_t X)^\perp\|^2 = \langle T_t X^\perp, T_t X^\perp \rangle: \frac{d}{dt} \|(T_t X)^\perp\|^2 = -2 \langle T_t X^\perp, \mathcal{L} T_t X^\perp \rangle \leq -2\lambda \|(T_t X)^\perp\|^2$. Grönwall yields the claimed exponential contraction. Uniqueness of the attractor follows since \mathcal{N} is the fixed-point set. \square

Robustness and Time-Varying Rates.

Proposition 2 (ISS/ultimate boundedness; time-varying $\alpha(t)$). (i) If $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R} + \varepsilon^2 u(t)$ with $\alpha > 0$ and $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, then $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-\alpha t} \mathcal{R}(0) + \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} u(s) ds$ and $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq \varepsilon^2 \|u\|_{L^1(0, \infty)}$. (ii) If $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -\alpha(t) \mathcal{R}$ with $\alpha(\cdot) \geq 0$ measurable and $\int_0^\infty \alpha(t) dt = +\infty$, then $\mathcal{R}(t) \rightarrow 0$; if $\underline{\alpha} := \inf_{t \geq 0} \alpha(t) > 0$, then $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-\underline{\alpha} t} \mathcal{R}(0)$.

Proof. (i) Grönwall with input. (ii) Integrate the differential inequality. \square

Discrete-Time and Product Systems.

Proposition 3 (Discrete DSFL; products). (i) If $\mathcal{R}_{k+1} \leq (1 - \theta) \mathcal{R}_k$ with $\theta \in (0, 1)$, then $\mathcal{R}_k \leq (1 - \theta)^k \mathcal{R}_0$. (ii) If $\mathcal{R}^{(1)}$ and $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ satisfy $\dot{\mathcal{R}}^{(i)} \leq -2\alpha_i \mathcal{R}^{(i)} + \sum_{j \neq i} \gamma_{ij} \mathcal{R}^{(j)}$ with a Metzler coupling matrix $\Gamma = (\gamma_{ij})$, then exponential decay holds provided the spectral abscissa of $A = \text{diag}(2\alpha_1, 2\alpha_2) - \Gamma$ is positive (cf. Proposition 1).

Proof. (i) Induction. (ii) Linear ODE comparison and the spectral condition. \square

Weighted Distances and Alternative Norms.

Lemma 7 (Residual vs. weighted distance). *Let $\mathcal{A} \subset X$ be the sectoral attractor and let $d_*(\cdot, \mathcal{A})$ be a locally equivalent distance induced by a positive quadratic form Q_* (e.g. an H^{-1} metric in PDE sectors or a weighted $L^2(\omega)$ metric in QMS). Suppose that in a neighborhood \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{A} there exist constants $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < \infty$ such that*

$$c_1 d_*(x, \mathcal{A})^2 \leq \mathcal{R}(x) \leq c_2 d_*(x, \mathcal{A})^2 \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{U}.$$

If the DSFL inequality (29) holds on \mathcal{U} , then

$$d_*(T_t x_0, \mathcal{A}) \leq \sqrt{\frac{c_2}{c_1}} e^{-\alpha t} d_*(x_0, \mathcal{A})$$

for all t for which the trajectory stays in \mathcal{U} . In particular, the convergence rate is unchanged up to the equivalence factor $\sqrt{c_2/c_1}$.

Proof. Combine (29) with the local equivalence to bound d_*^2 above and below by \mathcal{R} , then apply Grönwall. \square

Remark 19 (PDE H^{-1} distances). *In diffusion-type PDE sectors, observables are often controlled in H^{-1} rather than L^2 . If the residual controls $\|w\|_{H^{-1}}^2$ and vice versa near the attractor (e.g. via Poincaré and elliptic estimates), Lemma 7 transfers the DSFL rate directly to H^{-1} .*

Consequences for Sector Observables.

Corollary 4 (Observable convergence). *Let \mathcal{O} be a continuous observable on the sector state space and assume there exists a neighborhood of the attractor \mathcal{A} where $|\mathcal{O}(x) - \mathcal{O}^*| \leq C \operatorname{dist}(x, \mathcal{A})$ (local Lipschitz). Then under (29) (or Theorem 9),*

$$|\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T}_t x_0) - \mathcal{O}^*| \leq C e^{-\alpha^\# t} \operatorname{dist}(x_0, \mathcal{A}),$$

with $\alpha^\# = \lambda$ in the reversible cases and $\alpha^\# = (2\beta - C\varepsilon)$ in the coercive PDE case. Moreover, if a weighted distance d_* equivalent to dist near \mathcal{A} is used (Lemma 7), the same estimate holds with dist replaced by d_* .

Remark 20 (Uniqueness modulo gauge). *In PDE and GR sectors the attractor is unique modulo the natural gauge (additive constants for ρ , diffeomorphisms for γ). The theorems above are to be understood on the corresponding quotient spaces, or after fixing a gauge (DeTurck in GR, mean-zero in QM/TD).*

Remark 21 (Coupled residuals and small-gain). *In multi-residual settings (e.g. DSFL+ SABIM), a vector-Lyapunov $V_{a,b} = a\mathcal{R} + bS$ together with the sharp small-gain condition $\delta\gamma < 4\alpha\beta$ (Proposition 1) yields exponential decay with rate $\lambda_* = \frac{\alpha + \beta - \sqrt{(\alpha - \beta)^2 + \delta\gamma}}{2}$; see also the n -dimensional version in Corollary ??.*

Proof (expanded). (QM) Set $w := \rho - |\Psi|^2$. By definition of the residual in the Born sector one has $\mathcal{R}_{\text{QM}}(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w(x, t)|^2 dx$. The DSFL inequality implies $\mathcal{R}_{\text{QM}}(t) \rightarrow 0$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, hence $\nabla w(\cdot, t) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Since $\int_{\Omega} \rho = \int_{\Omega} |\Psi|^2 = 1$ for all t (mass conservation), we have $\int_{\Omega} w(\cdot, t) dx = 0$. By the Poincaré inequality on mean-zero functions, $\|w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla w(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2}^2 = \lambda_1^{-1} \mathcal{R}_{\text{QM}}(t)$, whence $w(\cdot, t) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Therefore $\rho(\cdot, t) \rightarrow |\Psi|^2$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. Moreover, if the sector provides the sharper differential inequality $\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{QM}} \leq -2\lambda_1 \mathcal{R}_{\text{QM}}$ (cf. Theorem 11), then $\|\rho - |\Psi|^2\|_{L^2} \leq \lambda_1^{-1/2} e^{-\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}_{\text{QM}}(0)^{1/2}$.

(TD) By definition $S_R(t) = -\log(\mathcal{R}(t)/\mathcal{R}_0 + R_*)$ with $R_* \in (0, 1)$. Differentiating and using DSFL,

$$\dot{S}_R(t) = -\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t)}{\mathcal{R}(t) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*} \geq \frac{\alpha \mathcal{R}(t)}{\mathcal{R}(t) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*} \geq \alpha \frac{\mathcal{R}(t)}{\mathcal{R}(0) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*} \geq 0.$$

In particular, if $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R}$ with $\alpha > 0$, then S_R is strictly increasing and $S_R(t) \geq S_R(0) + \alpha t \cdot \frac{\mathcal{R}(0)}{\mathcal{R}(0) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*}$, which diverges as $t \rightarrow \infty$ while $\mathcal{R}(t) \rightarrow 0$ exponentially.

(GR) By assumption, $\dot{\mathcal{R}}_{\text{geom}} \leq -2\lambda_{\text{GR}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}$, hence $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_{\text{GR}} t} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(0) \rightarrow 0$. Since $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}} = \int_{\Sigma} \|G[\gamma] - \kappa T\|_{\gamma}^2 d\mu_{\gamma}$, this implies $G[\gamma(t)] - \kappa T \rightarrow 0$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Under the small-data hypotheses of Theorem 6 and the gauge choice (DeTurck), elliptic regularity and the spectral gap yield convergence of $\gamma(t)$ to $\bar{\gamma}$ modulo diffeomorphisms; in particular, $G[\gamma] = \kappa T$ in the limit. \square

Theorem 9 (Grand attractor theorem (abstract form)). *Let $(\mathbb{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a reversible contraction semigroup on a Hilbert space H with generator \mathcal{L} , fixed-point subspace $\mathcal{N} = \{X : \mathbb{T}_t X = X \forall t \geq 0\}$, and Poincaré gap $\lambda > 0$ on \mathcal{N}^\perp . For the residual $\mathcal{R}(X) := \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}(X)\|^2$ one has*

$$\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{T}_t X) \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{R}(X), \quad \operatorname{dist}(\mathbb{T}_t X, \mathcal{N}) \leq e^{-\lambda t} \operatorname{dist}(X, \mathcal{N}),$$

and \mathcal{N} is the unique global attractor (modulo the sector's gauge).

Proof. Let $X^\perp := X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X \in \mathcal{N}^\perp$. Since \mathbb{T}_t is reversible on H , $t \mapsto \|(\mathbb{T}_t X)^\perp\|^2$ is differentiable with

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|(\mathbb{T}_t X)^\perp\|^2 = 2\langle \mathbb{T}_t X^\perp, \mathcal{L} \mathbb{T}_t X^\perp \rangle = -2\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{T}_t X^\perp) \leq -2\lambda \|(\mathbb{T}_t X)^\perp\|^2,$$

where $\mathcal{E}(\cdot) = \langle \cdot, -\mathcal{L} \cdot \rangle$ and we used the Poincaré gap on \mathcal{N}^\perp . Grönwall's lemma gives $\|(\mathbb{T}_t X)^\perp\|^2 \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \|X^\perp\|^2$, i.e. $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{T}_t X) \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{R}(X)$ and $\operatorname{dist}(\mathbb{T}_t X, \mathcal{N}) \leq e^{-\lambda t} \operatorname{dist}(X, \mathcal{N})$. Since \mathcal{N} is the fixed-point subspace of the semigroup, it is invariant and attracts every orbit. Uniqueness of the global attractor (modulo gauge) follows because any other closed invariant attracting set must lie in \mathcal{N} . \square

Remark 22 (Interpretation). *The Master/Grand theorems formalize the central message: once a (sector-appropriate) spectral gap/coercivity is present, the DSFL inequality holds and the sector's canonical equilibrium relation is recovered as a dynamical fixed point rather than as a postulate. In reversible settings the exponential rate is dictated by the Poincaré gap; in the PDE/GR coercive settings the rate is dictated by the quantitative coercivity (e.g. $2\beta - C\varepsilon$ or $2\lambda_{GR}$).*

Corollary 5 (Observable ISS under DSFL). *Assume the hypotheses of Corollary 4. Suppose further that the residual dynamics admit an input term in the DSFL inequality,*

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t) \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R}(t) + \varepsilon^2 u(t),$$

with $\alpha > 0$, $\varepsilon \geq 0$, and $u \in L^1_{loc}([0, \infty))$. Then

$$|\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T}_t x_0) - \mathcal{O}^*| \leq C e^{-\alpha t} \text{dist}(x_0, \mathcal{A}) + C \varepsilon^2 \int_0^t e^{-\alpha(t-s)} \frac{u(s)}{\sqrt{\mathcal{R}(s) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*}} ds,$$

where $\mathcal{R}_0 > 0$ and $R_* \in (0, 1)$ are the fixed constants from the residual–entropy proxy. In particular, if $u \in L^1(0, \infty)$ then

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{O}(\mathbb{T}_t x_0) - \mathcal{O}^*| \leq \frac{C \varepsilon^2}{\alpha} \left\| \frac{u}{\sqrt{\mathcal{R}(\cdot) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*}} \right\|_{L^1(0, \infty)}.$$

The same estimate holds with dist replaced by any locally equivalent weighted distance d_* (Lemma 7).

5. Proofs

This section collects the proofs of the results stated in Section 4.

5.1. 5.1 Proof of Sec. 4.1 (Propagation Lemma)

Proof of Lemma 1. (CL) Let $\varphi : \mathbb{R}^m \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be convex with $\varphi(0) = 0$ and let (\mathbb{T}_t) be a Markov contraction on $L^1 \cap L^2(\mu)$. By Jensen's inequality and positivity/mass preservation, $\varphi(\mathbb{T}_t u) \leq \mathbb{T}_t(\varphi(u))$ μ -a.e. Integrating and using $\int \mathbb{T}_t f d\mu = \int f d\mu$ yields $\int \varphi(\mathbb{T}_t u) d\mu \leq \int \varphi(u) d\mu$, i.e. $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq \mathcal{R}(0)$.

(QM) Let $(\mathbb{T}_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be normal u.c.p., ω -symmetric on $L^2(\omega)$, and ω -preserving. Kadison–Schwarz gives $\mathbb{T}_t(X)^* \mathbb{T}_t(X) \leq \mathbb{T}_t(X^* X)$. Applying ω and using $\omega \circ \mathbb{T}_t = \omega$ yields $\|\mathbb{T}_t X\|_{2, \omega}^2 \leq \|X\|_{2, \omega}^2$. Since $E_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the $L^2(\omega)$ orthogonal projection and \mathbb{T}_t acts as the identity on \mathcal{N} , the same contraction holds for $X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X$: $\|\mathbb{T}_t X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X\|_{2, \omega} \leq \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X\|_{2, \omega}$, hence $\mathcal{R}_{\omega}(\mathbb{T}_t X) \leq \mathcal{R}_{\omega}(X)$.

(PDE) With $u := P - \nabla \rho$ and $\mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx$, differentiate in time. Using $\partial_t u = -Bu - \nabla(\nabla \cdot u) +$ (controlled) and integrating by parts (periodic BCs or homogeneous BCs that kill boundary terms), we get

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) = -2 \int_{\Omega} u^{\top} B u dx - 2 \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + (\text{controlled}).$$

Under $B \succeq 0$ and the stated sign/dominance of the controlled terms, the right-hand side is ≤ 0 , hence $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq \mathcal{R}(0)$. \square

5.2. 5.2 Proof of Lemma ??

Proof. (i) **QM.** Let Ω be a bounded C^1 domain with periodic or homogeneous Neumann BCs. For $w := \rho - |\Psi|^2$ one has $\int_{\Omega} w dx = 0$ (mass normalization). Poincaré then gives $\|w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$, so $\lambda_1 \|w\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx = \mathcal{R}_{QM}(\rho)$, and the upper bound follows from the H^1 control of w .

(ii) **TD.** For $W(x) \succeq \lambda_{\min} I$ a.e., $|v|_W^2 = v^{\top} W v \geq \lambda_{\min} |v|^2$, hence $\mathcal{R}_{TD} = \int_{\Omega} |P - \nabla \rho|_W^2 dx \geq \lambda_{\min} \|P - \nabla \rho\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$.

(iii) **QMS.** By Takesaki's theorem, the ω -preserving conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the $L^2(\omega)$ orthogonal projection onto $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$. Therefore $\mathcal{R}_{\omega}(X) = \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X\|_{2, \omega}^2 = \text{dist}(X, L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega))^2$.

(iv) **GR.** Linearizing $G[\gamma] - \kappa T$ at $\bar{\gamma}$ yields $G[\bar{\gamma} + h] - \kappa T = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h + Q(h, \nabla h)$ with $Q = O(|h| |\nabla^2 h| + |\nabla h|^2)$. For $\|h\|_{H^2(\bar{\gamma})}$ sufficiently small, squaring and integrating gives $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}} \simeq \frac{1}{4} \|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2$. Elliptic regularity for $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}}$ on the gauge-orthogonal subspace implies $\|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})} \simeq \|h\|_{H^2(\bar{\gamma})}$, hence $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}} \simeq \|h\|_{H^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2$, which is equivalent to $\text{dist}_{H^2}(\gamma, \bar{\gamma})^2$ modulo diffeomorphisms. \square

5.3. 5.3 Proof of Lemma 6

Proof. Let $x(t) = \mathbb{T}_t x_0$. By (29), $\mathcal{R}(x(t))$ is nonincreasing and bounded below, hence convergent. Sector by sector, standard compactness (e.g. Rellich–Kondrachov in PDE/GR or spectral decomposition in reversible semigroups) yields precompactness of trajectories on bounded time intervals. Any $\bar{x} \in \omega(x_0)$ admits a sequence $t_k \rightarrow \infty$ with $x(t_k) \rightarrow \bar{x}$, and continuity of \mathcal{R} gives $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}(x(t_k)) = \mathcal{R}(\bar{x})$; invariance of $\omega(x_0)$ implies $\mathcal{R}(\bar{x}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}(x(t))$. Since $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R}$, necessarily $\dot{\mathcal{R}}(\bar{x}) = 0$ and hence $\mathcal{R}(\bar{x}) = 0$. Thus $\omega(x_0) \subset \{\mathcal{R} = 0\} = \mathcal{A}$. If \mathcal{A} consists of a single orbit modulo the sector's gauge, then Łojasiewicz–Simon/strict Lyapunov arguments imply $x(t) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$. \square

5.4. 5.4 Proof of Theorem 7

Proof. Combine Lemma 5 (residual \Leftrightarrow distance) with the DSFL inequality (29) to obtain L^2 - (QM) and H^2 - (GR) convergence. For TD, the S_R monotonicity follows by direct differentiation. For QMS, apply Theorem 2. Uniqueness modulo sector gauges follows from invariances (additive constants for ρ ; diffeomorphisms for γ) and the strict convexity of \mathcal{R} transverse to gauge directions. \square

5.5. 5.5 Proof of Sec. 4.3 (Lindblad sharpness)

Proof of Theorem 3 and Corollary 3. Work in the orthonormal basis $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=1}^d$ where $P_i = |i\rangle\langle i|$. The generator is

$$\mathcal{L}^*(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i \left(P_i \sigma P_i - \frac{1}{2} \{P_i, \sigma\} \right), \quad \gamma_i > 0.$$

\square

Proof of Theorem 3. (A) Modewise Solution and Residual Envelope.

Matrix elements satisfy

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\sigma_t)_{ii} = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} (\sigma_t)_{ij} = -\frac{\gamma_i + \gamma_j}{2} (\sigma_t)_{ij} \quad (i \neq j),$$

so $(\sigma_t)_{ij} = e^{-(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)t/2} (\sigma_0)_{ij}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(\sigma_t) = \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)t} |(\sigma_0)_{ij}|^2 \leq e^{-\alpha_* t} \sum_{i \neq j} |(\sigma_0)_{ij}|^2,$$

with $\alpha_* := \min_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i + \gamma_j)$.

\square

Proof of Theorem 3 and Corollary 3. Work in the orthonormal basis $\{|i\rangle\}_{i=1}^d$ where $P_i = |i\rangle\langle i|$. The generator is

$$\mathcal{L}^*(\sigma) = \sum_{i=1}^d \gamma_i \left(P_i \sigma P_i - \frac{1}{2} \{P_i, \sigma\} \right), \quad \gamma_i > 0.$$

(A) **Modewise solution and residual envelope.** Matrix elements satisfy

$$\frac{d}{dt} (\sigma_t)_{ii} = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dt} (\sigma_t)_{ij} = -\frac{\gamma_i + \gamma_j}{2} (\sigma_t)_{ij} \quad (i \neq j),$$

so $(\sigma_t)_{ij} = e^{-(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)t/2} (\sigma_0)_{ij}$. Hence

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lders}}(\sigma_t) = \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)t} |(\sigma_0)_{ij}|^2 \leq e^{-\alpha_* t} \sum_{i \neq j} |(\sigma_0)_{ij}|^2,$$

with $\alpha_* := \min_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i + \gamma_j)$.

(B) **Optimality.** Choose initial coherence supported on a pair (i_*, j_*) attaining $\min_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i + \gamma_j)$. Then

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lders}}(\sigma_t) = \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)t} |(\sigma_0)_{ij}|^2 = e^{-\alpha_* t} |(\sigma_0)_{i_* j_*}|^2,$$

which matches the envelope with equality. Hence the rate $\alpha_* = \min_{i \neq j} (\gamma_i + \gamma_j)$ is sharp.

(C) **Trace-norm convergence.** Since the diagonal entries are constant in time and the off-diagonals decay modewise as $e^{-(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)t/2}$, one has

$$\|\sigma_t - \Phi(\sigma_0)\|_2^2 = \sum_{i \neq j} e^{-(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)t} |(\sigma_0)_{ij}|^2 \leq e^{-\alpha_* t} \|\sigma_0 - \Phi(\sigma_0)\|_2^2.$$

Using $\|A\|_1 \leq \sqrt{d} \|A\|_2$ then gives

$$\|\sigma_t - \Phi(\sigma_0)\|_1 \leq \sqrt{d} e^{-\alpha_* t/2} \|\sigma_0 - \Phi(\sigma_0)\|_2,$$

so $\sigma_t \rightarrow \Phi(\sigma_0)$ in trace norm at an exponential rate, proving the corollary.

□

5.6. 5.6 Proof of Sec. 4.4 (PDE energy identity and decay)

Proof of Theorem 4. *Step 0 (regularity and IBP).* Assume (ρ, P) is a (mild) solution with $\rho(\cdot, t) \in H^1(\Omega)$, $P(\cdot, t) \in L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^d)$ for $t \in [0, T]$, and periodic or homogeneous boundary conditions chosen so that the boundary term in the IBP identity vanishes (cf. Remark 3). Standard mollification in time (or density of smooth compactly supported functions in the graph norm) justifies differentiation under the integral sign; the final inequalities extend by continuity to the given regularity class.

Step 1 (residual equation). Let

$$u := P - \nabla \rho, \quad \mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\Omega} |u(x, t)|^2 dx.$$

From (11),

$$\partial_t u = \partial_t P - \nabla(\partial_t \rho) = -B(x, t)u - \nabla(\nabla \cdot u) + G(\rho, P).$$

Step 2 (exact energy identity). Differentiate \mathcal{R} and use the product rule:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) = 2 \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \partial_t u dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} u^\top B u dx - 2 \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla(\nabla \cdot u) dx + 2 \int_{\Omega} u \cdot G dx.$$

By integration by parts and the BC choice,

$$\int_{\Omega} u \cdot \nabla(\nabla \cdot u) dx = \int_{\partial\Omega} (u \cdot n) (\nabla \cdot u) dS - \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 = -\|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2.$$

Hence

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) = -2 \int_{\Omega} u^\top B u dx - 2 \|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2 \int_{\Omega} u \cdot G dx. \quad (33)$$

Step 3 (coercivity and subcriticality). The uniform positive definiteness $B(x, t) \succeq \beta I$ a.e. implies

$$\int_{\Omega} u^\top B u dx \geq \beta \int_{\Omega} |u|^2 dx = \beta \mathcal{R}(t).$$

By the subcriticality hypothesis, there exist $C > 0$ and sufficiently small $\varepsilon \geq 0$ such that

$$\left| \int_{\Omega} u \cdot G(\rho, P) dx \right| \leq C \varepsilon \mathcal{R}(t) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T].$$

(For example, this holds if G is locally Lipschitz with $\|G(\rho, P)\|_{L^2} \leq C\varepsilon \|u\|_{L^2}$ in the energy region visited by the solution.)

Step 4 (differential inequality). Insert these bounds into (33) to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq -2\beta \mathcal{R}(t) - 2\|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2}^2 + 2C\varepsilon \mathcal{R}(t) \leq -(2\beta - C\varepsilon) \mathcal{R}(t).$$

Let $\alpha := 2\beta - C\varepsilon > 0$ (smallness of ε ensures positivity).

Step 5 (Grönwall). By Grönwall's inequality,

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-\alpha t} \mathcal{R}(0) \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T],$$

i.e. the residual decays exponentially at rate $\alpha = 2\beta - C\varepsilon$. In particular, for $\varepsilon = 0$ we recover the clean rate $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\beta t} \mathcal{R}(0)$.

Optional refinements. (i) The extra term $-2\|\nabla \cdot u\|_{L^2}^2$ is dissipative and improves the decay when the Helmholtz gradient component dominates; combine with a Poincaré inequality on gradient fields to sharpen the rate on tori or bounded domains. (ii) If $B = B(t)$ with

$$\beta(t) := \operatorname{ess\,inf}_{x \in \Omega} \lambda_{\min}(B(x, t)),$$

then

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq -(2\beta(t) - C\varepsilon) \mathcal{R}(t) \implies \mathcal{R}(t) \leq \exp\left(-\int_0^t (2\beta(s) - C\varepsilon) ds\right) \mathcal{R}(0).$$

In particular, if $\underline{\beta} := \inf_{s \geq 0} \beta(s) > \frac{C\varepsilon}{2}$, then $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-(2\underline{\beta} - C\varepsilon)t} \mathcal{R}(0)$; and if $\int_0^\infty (2\beta(s) - C\varepsilon) ds = +\infty$, then $\mathcal{R}(t) \rightarrow 0$.

This completes the proof. \square

5.7. 5.7 Proof of Sec. 4.5 (free-field residual decay)

Proof of Theorem 5. We give a concrete Ornstein–Uhlenbeck (OU) derivation for the free field and then the abstract semigroup proof.

OU formulation and Lyapunov Equation.

Write the free Euclidean dynamics as

$$\partial_\tau \phi_\tau = -\mathcal{A} \phi_\tau + \eta, \quad \mathcal{A} := (-\Delta) + m^2 \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^d,$$

with Gaussian space–time white noise η normalized by $\mathbb{E}[\eta(x, \tau)\eta(y, \sigma)] = 2\delta(x - y)\delta(\tau - \sigma)$. This factor 2 ensures the stationary covariance C_∞ solves the Lyapunov identity $\mathcal{A}C_\infty + C_\infty\mathcal{A} = 2I$, hence $C_\infty = \mathcal{A}^{-1}$ when defined. For any admissible test function f (e.g. $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ or $f \in L^2$ with compact Fourier support), let $\Phi_\tau(f) := \langle \phi_\tau, f \rangle$. The covariance $C(\tau)$ of ϕ_τ obeys

$$\frac{d}{d\tau} C(\tau) = -\mathcal{A}C(\tau) - C(\tau)\mathcal{A} + 2I, \quad C(\tau) = e^{-\tau\mathcal{A}}C(0)e^{-\tau\mathcal{A}} + \int_0^\tau e^{-(\tau-s)\mathcal{A}}(2I)e^{-(\tau-s)\mathcal{A}} ds.$$

Subtracting C_∞ gives

$$D(\tau) := C(\tau) - C_\infty = e^{-\tau\mathcal{A}}(C(0) - C_\infty)e^{-\tau\mathcal{A}},$$

hence for any f ,

$$C_\tau(f) - C_\infty(f) = \langle e^{-\tau A} f, (C(0) - C_\infty) e^{-\tau A} f \rangle.$$

Spectral Gap Bound.

Since $A \geq \lambda_* I$ with $\lambda_* = m^2$ (free field), the semigroup bound $\|e^{-\tau A}\|_{\mathcal{B}(L^2)} \leq e^{-\lambda_* \tau}$ yields

$$|C_\tau(f) - C_\infty(f)| \leq \|C(0) - C_\infty\| \|e^{-\tau A} f\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \|C(0) - C_\infty\| e^{-2\lambda_* \tau} \|f\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Equivalently,

$$|C_\tau(f) - C_\infty(f)| \leq e^{-2\lambda_* \tau} M(f), \quad M(f) := \|C(0) - C_\infty\| \|f\|_{L^2}^2. \quad (34)$$

The residual in Theorem 5 is $R[f; \tau] = |C_\tau(f) - C_\infty(f)|^2$, hence from (34)

$$R[f; \tau] \leq e^{-4\lambda_* \tau} M(f)^2.$$

This is *sharper* than the theorem's envelope; loosening the prefactor gives the stated $e^{-2\lambda_* \tau}$ bound.

Fourier–Mode Check (Explicit Diagonalization).

Let $\widehat{f}(k)$ be the Fourier transform and note $\widehat{A}f = (|k|^2 + m^2)\widehat{f}(k)$. Then $e^{-\tau A}$ multiplies by $e^{-\tau(|k|^2 + m^2)}$, while C_∞ multiplies by $(|k|^2 + m^2)^{-1}$. A direct computation yields

$$C_\tau(f) - C_\infty(f) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{-2\tau(|k|^2 + m^2)} \widehat{D}(0, k) |\widehat{f}(k)|^2 dk,$$

so $|C_\tau(f) - C_\infty(f)| \leq e^{-2\lambda_* \tau} \|\widehat{D}(0, \cdot)\|_{L^\infty} \|f\|_{L^2}^2$, consistent with (34).

Abstract Semigroup Proof.

Let H be the nonnegative, self-adjoint Euclidean Hamiltonian generating $T_\tau = e^{-\tau H}$ on the GNS space. With vacuum projector Π_0 one has $\sigma(H) \subset \{0\} \cup [\lambda_*, \infty)$ and $\|(T_\tau - \Pi_0)|_{\Gamma_0^\perp}\| \leq e^{-\lambda_* \tau}$. Then

$$C_\tau(f) - C_\infty(f) = \langle f, (T_\tau - \Pi_0) K (T_\tau - \Pi_0) f \rangle$$

for some positive operator K , whence

$$|C_\tau(f) - C_\infty(f)| \leq \|K\| \|(T_\tau - \Pi_0)|_{\Gamma_0^\perp}\|^2 \|f\|^2 \leq \|K\| e^{-2\lambda_* \tau} \|f\|^2,$$

and squaring gives the same residual decay (again with the sharper $4\lambda_*$ envelope available).

Admissible Test Functions.

The bounds hold for any f with finite quadratic forms $\langle f, C(0)f \rangle$ and $\langle f, C_\infty f \rangle$ (e.g. $f \in L^2$ with ultraviolet cutoff, or $f \in \mathcal{S}$), ensuring well-posed covariance pairings and OU action.

Combining the covariance estimate with $R[f; \tau] = |C_\tau(f) - C_\infty(f)|^2$ finishes the proof. \square

5.8. 5.8 Proof of Sec. 4.6 (GR DeTurck–gauge decay)

Proof of Theorem 6. We work on a smooth closed 3–manifold $(\Sigma, \bar{\gamma})$. Write the evolving metric as $\gamma(t) = \bar{\gamma} + h(t)$ with $h(t) \in \Gamma(\text{Sym}^2 T^* \Sigma)$ small in H^k , $k \geq 3$. The Einstein–DeTurck–source flow can be written as

$$\partial_t h = -\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h + \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\gamma}}(h, \nabla h), \quad (35)$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}}$ is the strictly elliptic Lichnerowicz–DeTurck operator (self-adjoint on $L^2(\bar{\gamma})$ on the orthogonal complement of gauge directions) and $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{\gamma}}$ collects quadratic and higher-order terms in $(h, \nabla h)$ (and lower-order dependence on $\bar{\gamma}$). By hypothesis, there exists a spectral gap $\lambda_{\text{GR}} > 0$ such that

$$\langle h, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h \rangle_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})} \geq \lambda_{\text{GR}} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \quad \text{for all } h \perp \text{ gauge directions.} \quad (36)$$

We also assume T is divergence-free along the flow so that constraint terms vanish.

Step 1: Energy Identity in the Fixed Background.

Taking the $L^2(\bar{\gamma})$ inner product of (35) with h ,

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 = -\langle h, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h \rangle_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})} + \langle h, \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\gamma}}(h, \nabla h) \rangle_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}.$$

Using (36) gives

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \leq -2\lambda_{\text{GR}} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 + 2 |\langle h, \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\gamma}}(h, \nabla h) \rangle_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}|. \quad (37)$$

Step 2: Nonlinearity Estimate.

On the compact manifold, for $k \geq 3$ the bilinear/quadratic structure of $\mathcal{N}_{\bar{\gamma}}$ and Sobolev product estimates yield

$$|\langle h, \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\gamma}}(h, \nabla h) \rangle_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}| \leq C \|h\|_{H^1(\bar{\gamma})} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})} \leq C \|h\|_{H^k(\bar{\gamma})}^\theta \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^{2-\theta}, \quad (38)$$

for some $\theta \in (0, 1)$ and $C = C(\bar{\gamma})$. (Here we used interpolation $\|h\|_{H^1} \leq C \|h\|_{H^k}^\theta \|h\|_{L^2}^{1-\theta}$ and the fact that \mathcal{N} has no linear part at $\bar{\gamma}$.) Since the flow is parabolic-elliptic in DeTurck gauge, standard local theory gives a time interval on which $\|h(t)\|_{H^k(\bar{\gamma})} \leq 2\|h(0)\|_{H^k(\bar{\gamma})}$. Choosing the smallness radius $\delta > 0$ so that $\|h(0)\|_{H^k} \leq \delta$ implies

$$|\langle h, \mathcal{N}_{\bar{\gamma}}(h, \nabla h) \rangle_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}| \leq \frac{\lambda_{\text{GR}}}{2} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2, \quad \text{as long as } \|h(t)\|_{H^k} \leq 2\delta. \quad (39)$$

Step 3: Differential Inequality and Decay.

Combining (37) and (39),

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \leq -2\lambda_{\text{GR}} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 + \lambda_{\text{GR}} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 = -\lambda_{\text{GR}} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2.$$

By Grönwall,

$$\|h(t)\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \leq e^{-\lambda_{\text{GR}} t} \|h(0)\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2. \quad (40)$$

The decay (40) and parabolic regularization imply, by a standard bootstrap, that $\|h(t)\|_{H^k}$ remains $\leq 2\delta$ for all $t \geq 0$ provided δ is chosen small enough initially. Hence (40) holds globally, and by refining the absorption in (39) one improves the rate to $2\lambda_{\text{GR}}$:¹

$$\frac{d}{dt} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \leq -2\lambda_{\text{GR}} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2.$$

Step 4: Equivalence of the Geometric Residual and $\|h\|_{L^2}^2$.

Define

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) := \int_{\Sigma} \|G[\gamma(t)] - \kappa T\|_{\gamma(t)}^2 d\mu_{\gamma(t)}.$$

¹ Writing $|\langle h, \mathcal{N}(h) \rangle| \leq \epsilon \|h\|_{L^2}^2$ with $\epsilon < \lambda_{\text{GR}}$ gives $\dot{Y} \leq -(2\lambda_{\text{GR}} - 2\epsilon)Y$, $Y = \|h\|_{L^2}^2$. Choosing $\epsilon \leq \lambda_{\text{GR}}/2$ yields the displayed $2\lambda_{\text{GR}}$ rate in the theorem statement for the geometric residual below.

For h small in C^0 one has the metric and volume equivalences

$$(1 - c\|h\|_{C^0}) \|S\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \leq \|S\|_{L^2(\gamma(t))}^2 \leq (1 + c\|h\|_{C^0}) \|S\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2,$$

uniformly for tensors S . Moreover, by linearization at $\bar{\gamma}$,

$$G[\bar{\gamma} + h] - \kappa T = \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h + \mathcal{Q}(h, \nabla h),$$

with $\|\mathcal{Q}(h, \nabla h)\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})} \leq C \|h\|_{H^1} \|h\|_{H^2}$. By elliptic regularity for $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}}$ and the spectral gap on the gauge-orthogonal subspace, $\|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h\|_{L^2} \geq \lambda_{\text{GR}} \|h\|_{L^2}$. Hence, for $\|h\|_{H^k}$ sufficiently small,

$$c_1 \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \leq \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq c_2 \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2, \quad (41)$$

for some $0 < c_1 \leq c_2 < \infty$ depending only on $(\Sigma, \bar{\gamma})$ and the smallness radius.

Step 5: Decay of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}$.

Differentiating $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}$ along the flow and using (35), the elliptic coercivity and (41), one obtains the differential inequality

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq -2\lambda_{\text{GR}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t),$$

for all $t \geq 0$ as long as h remains in the small regime (which we ensured in Step 3). Grönwall therefore gives

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(0) e^{-2\lambda_{\text{GR}} t},$$

and in particular $G[\gamma(t)] \rightarrow \kappa T$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Standard arguments then show $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}$ modulo diffeomorphisms (the DeTurck vector field fixes the gauge). \square

6. Instantiations and Consequences

6.1. 6.1 Born alignment in the PDE formulation

We show that the DSFL residual recovers Born's rule as a *global attractor* in a continuum setting: starting from any probability density ρ_0 with the same mass as $q := |\Psi|^2$, the residual $\mathcal{R}(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\rho - q)|^2$ decays exponentially and $\rho(\cdot, t) \rightarrow q(\cdot)$ in L^2 at an explicit rate controlled by the Laplacian's spectral gap.

Setting and Intuition.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded C^1 domain with periodic or homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions (or \mathbb{T}^d). Let $\Psi \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ be normalized and $q := |\Psi|^2 \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\int_{\Omega} q \, dx = 1$. The DSFL-PDE in the *Born sector* reads

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\nabla q - \nabla \rho), \quad P \equiv \nabla q \quad (\text{frozen by the quantum sector}). \quad (42)$$

Set $w := \rho - q$ (so $\int_{\Omega} w \, dx = 0$) and $u := P - \nabla \rho = \nabla(q - \rho) = -\nabla w$. The misalignment is purely gradient, so the residual is the "Dirichlet energy" of w :

$$\mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\Omega} |u(x, t)|^2 \, dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w(x, t)|^2 \, dx.$$

Proposition 4 (Residual energy identity in the Born sector). *Under (44), w solves the heat equation $\partial_t w = \Delta w$ with periodic/Neumann BCs, and*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) = -2 \|\Delta w(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 0.$$

In particular, \mathcal{R} is nonincreasing and $\int_0^{\infty} \|\Delta w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt < \infty$.

Proof. From (44), $\partial_t w = \partial_t \rho = \Delta(\rho - q) = \Delta w$. Differentiate $\mathcal{R}(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2$ and integrate by parts (BCs kill boundary terms):

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R} = 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla(\partial_t w) dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta w \partial_t w dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta w \Delta w dx = -2 \|\Delta w\|_{L^2}^2.$$

□

Let $\lambda_1 > 0$ denote the first nonzero eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on mean-zero functions with the chosen BCs; equivalently,

$$\|\Delta \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \lambda_1 \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H^2(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \varphi = 0. \quad (43)$$

Theorem 10 (Born alignment as a PDE attractor with explicit rate). *Assume $\int_{\Omega} \rho(\cdot, 0) dx = \int_{\Omega} q dx = 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0), \quad \|\rho(\cdot, t) - q(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq \lambda_1^{-1} e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0).$$

In particular, $\rho(\cdot, t) \rightarrow q(\cdot)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ at least exponentially with rate λ_1 .

Proof. By Proposition 5 and (45),

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) = -2 \|\Delta w\|_2^2 \leq -2\lambda_1 \|\nabla w\|_2^2 = -2\lambda_1 \mathcal{R}(t),$$

so $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0)$ by Grönwall. Poincaré on mean-zero functions gives $\|w\|_2^2 \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla w\|_2^2 = \lambda_1^{-1} \mathcal{R}(t)$, proving the L^2 convergence. □

Remark 23 (Spectral expansion (modewise alignment)). *If w_0 has Fourier/Laplace expansion $w_0 = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k \phi_k$ with $-\Delta \phi_k = \lambda_k \phi_k$ and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$, then $w(t) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k e^{-\lambda_k t} \phi_k$ and*

$$\mathcal{R}(t) = \|\nabla w(t)\|_2^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k |a_k|^2 e^{-2\lambda_k t} \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k |a_k|^2 = e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0).$$

Thus the envelope $e^{-2\lambda_1 t}$ is sharp if $a_1 \neq 0$.

Remark 24 (Tracking a moving pointer). *If $q = q(t)$ varies in time under Schrödinger dynamics, the pointer-space tracking inequality (Lemma 8) gives*

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t) \leq -\lambda_1 \mathcal{R}(t) + \frac{M^2}{\lambda_1}, \quad M := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla \partial_t q(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Hence \mathcal{R} follows $q(t)$ within an $O(M^2/\lambda_1^2)$ tube and decays exponentially when q is stationary ($M = 0$).

Remark 25 (Mass conservation and well-posedness). *Under periodic/Neumann BCs, (44) conserves mass: $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho dx = 0$, so the mean-zero constraint for w is preserved. Standard parabolic theory yields global existence and uniqueness of H^1 -solutions with initial data $\rho_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$; the above estimates then hold for all $t \geq 0$.*

ISS-Type Robustness (Small Pointer Noise).

Suppose the right-hand side of (44) contains a small forcing $\varepsilon \zeta(t, x)$ with $\int_{\Omega} \zeta dx = 0$. Then w solves $\partial_t w = \Delta w + \varepsilon \zeta$ and

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t) = -2 \|\Delta w\|_2^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \zeta dx \leq -2\lambda_1 \mathcal{R}(t) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_1} \|\nabla \zeta(t)\|_2^2,$$

so

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_1} \int_0^t e^{-2\lambda_1(t-s)} \|\nabla \xi(s)\|_2^2 ds,$$

$$\text{and } \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\lambda_1^2} \|\nabla \xi\|_{L^2(0, \infty; L^2)}^2.$$

7. Instantiations and Consequences

7.1. 6.1 Born Alignment in the PDE Formulation

We show that the DSFL residual recovers Born's rule as a *global attractor* in a continuum setting: starting from any probability density ρ_0 with the same mass as $q := |\Psi|^2$, the residual $\mathcal{R}(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(\rho - q)|^2$ decays exponentially and $\rho(\cdot, t) \rightarrow q(\cdot)$ in L^2 at an explicit rate controlled by the Laplacian's spectral gap.

Setting and Intuition.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be a bounded C^1 domain with periodic or homogeneous Neumann boundary conditions (or \mathbb{T}^d). Let $\Psi \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{C})$ be normalized and $q := |\Psi|^2 \in H^1(\Omega)$ with $\int_{\Omega} q dx = 1$. The DSFL-PDE in the *Born sector* reads

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\nabla q - \nabla \rho), \quad P \equiv \nabla q \quad (\text{frozen by the quantum sector}). \quad (44)$$

Set $w := \rho - q$ (so $\int_{\Omega} w dx = 0$) and $u := P - \nabla \rho = \nabla(q - \rho) = -\nabla w$. The misalignment is purely gradient, so the residual is the "Dirichlet energy" of w :

$$\mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\Omega} |u(x, t)|^2 dx = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w(x, t)|^2 dx.$$

Proposition 5 (Residual energy identity in the Born sector). *Under (44), w solves the heat equation $\partial_t w = \Delta w$ with periodic/Neumann BCs, and*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) = -2 \|\Delta w(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq 0.$$

In particular, \mathcal{R} is nonincreasing and $\int_0^{\infty} \|\Delta w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 dt < \infty$.

Proof. From (44), $\partial_t w = \partial_t \rho = \Delta(\rho - q) = \Delta w$. Differentiate $\mathcal{R}(t) = \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2$ and integrate by parts (BCs kill boundary terms):

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R} = 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla(\partial_t w) dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta w \partial_t w dx = -2 \int_{\Omega} \Delta w \Delta w dx = -2 \|\Delta w\|_{L^2}^2.$$

□

Let $\lambda_1 > 0$ denote the first nonzero eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on mean-zero functions with the chosen BCs; equivalently,

$$\|\Delta \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \geq \lambda_1 \|\nabla \varphi\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \quad \text{for all } \varphi \in H^2(\Omega), \int_{\Omega} \varphi = 0. \quad (45)$$

Theorem 11 (Born alignment as a PDE attractor with explicit rate). *Assume $\int_{\Omega} \rho(\cdot, 0) dx = \int_{\Omega} q dx = 1$. Then*

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0), \quad \|\rho(\cdot, t) - q(\cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq \lambda_1^{-1} e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0).$$

In particular, $\rho(\cdot, t) \rightarrow q(\cdot)$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ at least exponentially with rate λ_1 .

Proof. By Proposition 5 and (45),

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}(t) = -2\|\Delta w\|_2^2 \leq -2\lambda_1 \|\nabla w\|_2^2 = -2\lambda_1 \mathcal{R}(t),$$

so $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0)$ by Grönwall. Poincaré on mean-zero functions gives $\|w\|_2^2 \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla w\|_2^2 = \lambda_1^{-1} \mathcal{R}(t)$, proving the L^2 convergence. \square

Remark 26 (Spectral expansion (modewise alignment)). If w_0 has Fourier/Laplace expansion $w_0 = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k \phi_k$ with $-\Delta \phi_k = \lambda_k \phi_k$ and $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots$, then $w(t) = \sum_{k \geq 1} a_k e^{-\lambda_k t} \phi_k$ and

$$\mathcal{R}(t) = \|\nabla w(t)\|_2^2 = \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k |a_k|^2 e^{-2\lambda_k t} \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \sum_{k \geq 1} \lambda_k |a_k|^2 = e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0).$$

Thus the envelope $e^{-2\lambda_1 t}$ is sharp if $a_1 \neq 0$.

Remark 27 (Tracking a moving pointer). If $q = q(t)$ varies in time under Schrödinger dynamics, the pointer-space tracking inequality (Lemma 8) gives

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t) \leq -\lambda_1 \mathcal{R}(t) + \frac{M^2}{\lambda_1}, \quad M := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla \partial_t q(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Hence \mathcal{R} follows $q(t)$ within an $O(M^2/\lambda_1^2)$ tube and decays exponentially when q is stationary ($M = 0$).

Remark 28 (Mass conservation and well-posedness). Under periodic/Neumann BCs, (44) conserves mass: $\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\Omega} \rho \, dx = 0$, so the mean-zero constraint for w is preserved. Standard parabolic theory yields global existence and uniqueness of H^1 -solutions with initial data $\rho_0 \in H^1(\Omega)$; the above estimates then hold for all $t \geq 0$.

ISS-Type Robustness (Small Pointer Noise).

Suppose the right-hand side of (44) contains a small forcing $\varepsilon \zeta(t, x)$ with $\int_{\Omega} \zeta \, dx = 0$. Then w solves $\partial_t w = \Delta w + \varepsilon \zeta$ and

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t) = -2\|\Delta w\|_2^2 + 2\varepsilon \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \zeta \, dx \leq -2\lambda_1 \mathcal{R}(t) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_1} \|\nabla \zeta(t)\|_2^2,$$

so

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0) + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\lambda_1} \int_0^t e^{-2\lambda_1(t-s)} \|\nabla \zeta(s)\|_2^2 \, ds,$$

and $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2\lambda_1^2} \|\nabla \zeta\|_{L^2(0, \infty; L^2)}^2$.

Remark 29 (Tracking a moving quantum pointer). Suppose the pointer law $q = |\Psi|^2$ depends on time through a unitary Schrödinger evolution for Ψ . Let $\lambda_1 > 0$ denote the Poincaré constant on mean-zero functions in Ω (with the boundary conditions used above), and define the finite tracking modulus

$$M := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla \partial_t q(t)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \infty,$$

which is finite, e.g. on fixed energy shells for $H = -(\hbar^2/2m)\Delta + V(x)$ with $V \in W^{1, \infty}$ (cf. the continuity equation). Then the pointer-space tracking inequality (Lemma 8) yields

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t) \leq -\lambda_1 \mathcal{R}(t) + \frac{M^2}{\lambda_1}, \tag{46}$$

hence

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \leq \mathcal{R}(0)e^{-\lambda_1 t} + \frac{M^2}{\lambda_1^2} (1 - e^{-\lambda_1 t}).$$

In particular, the residual tracks the moving target $q(t)$ inside an $O(M^2/\lambda_1^2)$ tube. If q is static ($M = 0$), one recovers exponential decay $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq \mathcal{R}(0)e^{-\lambda_1 t}$ with rate set by the Poincaré gap.

Lemma 8 (Pointer–space tracking on a bounded domain). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be bounded with periodic or homogeneous Neumann BCs, and let $\lambda_1 > 0$ denote the first nonzero eigenvalue of $-\Delta$ on mean-zero functions. Consider*

$$\partial_t \rho = \nabla \cdot (\nabla q(t, \cdot) - \nabla \rho), \quad P(t, \cdot) \equiv \nabla q(t, \cdot),$$

with $\int_{\Omega} \rho(t) = \int_{\Omega} q(t) = 1$ for all $t \geq 0$. Set $w := \rho - q$ (so $\int_{\Omega} w = 0$) and the residual $\mathcal{R}(t) := \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w(t, x)|^2 dx$. Assume $M := \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla \partial_t q(t, \cdot)\|_{L^2(\Omega)} < \infty$. Then

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t) \leq -\lambda_1 \mathcal{R}(t) + \frac{M^2}{\lambda_1}, \quad (47)$$

and consequently

$$\mathcal{R}(t) \leq \mathcal{R}(0)e^{-\lambda_1 t} + \frac{M^2}{\lambda_1^2} (1 - e^{-\lambda_1 t}). \quad (48)$$

In particular, if q is stationary ($M = 0$) then $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0)$.

Proof sketch. Write $w = \rho - q$, so $\partial_t w = \Delta w - \partial_t q$ and $\mathcal{R} = \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^2$. Differentiate:

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}} = 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla (\Delta w - \partial_t q) dx = -2 \|\Delta w\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - 2 \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \partial_t q dx.$$

Apply Cauchy–Schwarz and Young’s inequality with parameter λ_1 :

$$2 \left| \int_{\Omega} \nabla w \cdot \nabla \partial_t q dx \right| \leq \lambda_1 \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 + \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla \partial_t q\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Use the Laplace gap on mean-zero functions, $\|\Delta w\|_{L^2}^2 \geq \lambda_1 \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^2$, to get

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -2\lambda_1 \mathcal{R} + \lambda_1 \mathcal{R} + \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla \partial_t q\|_{L^2}^2 \leq -\lambda_1 \mathcal{R} + \lambda_1^{-1} M^2,$$

which is (47). Grönwall then yields (48). \square

Corollary 6 (Steady–state tube radius). *If $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \|\nabla \partial_t q(t)\|_{L^2} \leq \bar{M} < \infty$, then*

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}(t) \leq \frac{\bar{M}^2}{\lambda_1^2}.$$

In particular, $\mathcal{R}(t) \rightarrow 0$ when q becomes asymptotically stationary.

Remark 30 (Schrödinger continuity law and a priori bounds for M). *For the time–dependent Schrödinger equation*

$$i\hbar \partial_t \Psi = \left(-\frac{\hbar^2}{2m} \Delta + V(x) \right) \Psi \quad \text{on } \Omega,$$

the probability density $q = |\Psi|^2$ and current $J = \frac{\hbar}{m} \text{Im}(\Psi^* \nabla \Psi)$ satisfy the continuity equation $\partial_t q + \nabla \cdot J = 0$. Hence

$$\nabla \partial_t q = -\nabla \nabla \cdot J, \quad \Rightarrow \quad M = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla \partial_t q(t)\|_{L^2} = \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla \nabla \cdot J(t)\|_{L^2}.$$

Writing $\nabla \cdot J = \frac{\hbar}{m} \text{Im}(\Psi^* \Delta \Psi)$ one obtains

$$\nabla \partial_t q = -\frac{\hbar}{m} \text{Im}(\nabla \Psi^* \Delta \Psi + \Psi^* \nabla \Delta \Psi),$$

and by Hölder/Sobolev embeddings on bounded Ω (e.g. $H^2(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^\infty(\Omega)$ in $d \leq 3$),

$$\|\nabla \partial_t q\|_{L^2} \leq \frac{\hbar}{m} \left(\|\nabla \Psi\|_{L^\infty} \|\Delta \Psi\|_{L^2} + \|\Psi\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla \Delta \Psi\|_{L^2} \right) \leq C \frac{\hbar}{m} \|\Psi\|_{H^3}^2.$$

Therefore, if $V \in W^{2,\infty}(\Omega)$ and $\Psi_0 \in H^3(\Omega)$, the unitary Schrödinger group preserves H^3 (hence $\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\Psi(t)\|_{H^3} < \infty$), and

$$M \leq C \frac{\hbar}{m} \sup_{t \geq 0} \|\Psi(t)\|_{H^3}^2 < \infty.$$

In particular, on fixed energy shells with smooth time-independent V , M is uniformly bounded, so the tracking tube in (48) has finite radius $O((\hbar/m)^2 \sup_t \|\Psi\|_{H^3}^4 / \lambda_1^2)$. When q becomes asymptotically stationary, $M \rightarrow 0$ and the residual collapses exponentially at rate λ_1 .

7.2. 6.2 Residual–Entropy Arrow of Time

The DSFL residual

$$\mathcal{R}(t) = \int_{\Omega} |P(x,t) - \nabla \rho(x,t)|^2 dx$$

plays a dual role: it is a quadratic Lyapunov functional (structural energy of misalignment) and, via a monotone transform, a surrogate “entropy” that certifies irreversibility.

Definition 2 (Residual entropy). Fix $\mathcal{R}_0 > 0$ and $R_* \in (0, 1)$. The residual entropy is

$$S_R(t) := -\log\left(\frac{\mathcal{R}(t)}{\mathcal{R}_0} + R_*\right).$$

Why This Transform?

S_R is smooth, strictly increasing in $\mathcal{R} \mapsto 0^+$, and avoids singularities at $\mathcal{R} = 0$ via the offset R_* . Moreover, if \mathcal{R} decays exponentially, S_R grows linearly in time (see below), which is convenient for data analysis and for defining an *intrinsic clock* $\tau(t) = S_R(t) - S_R(0)$.

Proposition 6 (Monotone increase of S_R under DSFL). If the DSFL inequality holds, $\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t) \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R}(t)$ with $\alpha > 0$, then

$$\dot{S}_R(t) = -\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}(t)}{\mathcal{R}(t) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*} \geq \frac{\alpha \mathcal{R}(t)}{\mathcal{R}(t) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*} \geq 0,$$

with strict inequality whenever $\mathcal{R}(t) > 0$. If $\mathcal{R}(t) = \mathcal{R}(0)e^{-\alpha t}$, then

$$S_R(t) = -\log\left(R_* + \frac{\mathcal{R}(0)}{\mathcal{R}_0} e^{-\alpha t}\right) = S_R(0) + \alpha t + \log\left(\frac{1 + \frac{\mathcal{R}(0)}{\mathcal{R}_0 R_*}}{1 + \frac{\mathcal{R}(0)}{\mathcal{R}_0 R_*} e^{-\alpha t}}\right),$$

and the last logarithmic term is bounded and vanishes as $t \rightarrow \infty$, so $S_R(t) = \alpha t + O(1)$.

Proof. Differentiate S_R and insert $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R}$. Positivity is immediate since $\mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}_0, R_* > 0$. The linear growth follows by direct algebra. \square

Remark 31 (Time-varying rates and perturbed dynamics (ISS form)). (i) Time-varying rate. If $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -\alpha(t)\mathcal{R}$ with $\alpha(\cdot) \geq 0$ measurable and $\int_0^\infty \alpha(t) dt = +\infty$, then S_R is increasing and diverges to $+\infty$. If

$\alpha(t) \geq \underline{\alpha} > 0$, then $S_R(t) \geq \underline{\alpha} t + O(1)$.

(ii) Small forcing. If $\mathcal{R} \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R} + \varepsilon^2 u(t)$ with $u \in L^1_{\text{loc}}$, then

$$\dot{S}_R(t) \geq \frac{\alpha \mathcal{R}(t)}{\mathcal{R}(t) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*} - \frac{\varepsilon^2 u(t)}{\mathcal{R}(t) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*}.$$

Therefore, on any interval where $\mathcal{R}(t) \geq \underline{R} > 0$, one has $\dot{S}_R(t) \geq \frac{\alpha \underline{R}}{\underline{R} + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*} - \frac{\varepsilon^2 u(t)}{\underline{R} + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*}$. If $u \in L^1(0, \infty)$, then $S_R(t)$ still diverges at least linearly modulo a bounded correction; in particular, $\liminf_{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{S}_R(t) \geq 0$ and $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}(t) \lesssim \varepsilon^2 \|u\|_{L^1}$.

Near-Alignment Connection to Classical Entropies.

When ρ and a target q are strictly positive densities with $\int \rho = \int q = 1$ and $q \geq \underline{q} > 0$, the Kullback–Leibler divergence satisfies the second-order Taylor bound near equilibrium:

$$D(\rho \| q) = \int \rho \log \frac{\rho}{q} = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{(\rho - q)^2}{q} dx + O(\|\rho - q\|_{L^2}^3) \leq \frac{1}{2\underline{q}} \|\rho - q\|_{L^2}^2 + o(\|\rho - q\|_{L^2}^2).$$

On bounded domains with Poincaré constant $\lambda_1 > 0$ and $\int(\rho - q) = 0$, $\|\rho - q\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla(\rho - q)\|_{L^2}^2 = \lambda_1^{-1} \mathcal{R}$. Thus, near alignment,

$$D(\rho \| q) \lesssim \|\rho - q\|_{L^2}^2 \lesssim \mathcal{R}(t),$$

and hence S_R —which is monotone in \mathcal{R} —tracks the decay of leading-order deviations of classical relative entropy.

Remark 32 (Information–geometric view). In the quadratic regime, the Fisher information $I(\rho \| q) = \int |\nabla \log(\rho/q)|^2 \rho dx$ linearizes to a Dirichlet form on $w = \rho - q$, and the Hellinger/Wasserstein–2 metrics become equivalent to L^2/H^{-1} distances modulo weights. Under DSFL, \mathcal{R} provides a sectoral Lyapunov that is locally equivalent to these information distances; therefore S_R inherits the arrow-of-time interpretation without invoking stochastic typicality.

Intrinsic Time and Reparametrization.

Define the intrinsic clock $\tau(t) := S_R(t) - S_R(0)$. Under DSFL with constant rate $\alpha > 0$, $\tau(t) = \alpha t + O(1)$; under time-varying $\alpha(t)$, one has $\tau(t) = \int_0^t \frac{\alpha(s) \mathcal{R}(s)}{\mathcal{R}(s) + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*} ds$, which is strictly increasing and unbounded if $\int_0^\infty \alpha(s) ds = +\infty$. This reparametrization is useful for comparing trajectories across sectors: observables that are Lipschitz in the sector distance (Cor. 4) will contract exponentially in τ .

Relation to Boltzmann’s H -Functional in Classical and Quantum Settings.

We compare the residual-entropy arrow S_R with classical and quantum entropy production principles.

Classical reversible diffusions (Bakry–Émery)

Let $(T_t)_{t \geq 0}$ be a reversible diffusion on a bounded domain with invariant density $q > 0$, carré du champ Γ and Dirichlet form $\mathcal{E}(f) = \int \Gamma(f) q dx$. For a solution ρ_t of the forward equation with $\int \rho_t = \int q = 1$ define:

$$\text{Relative entropy: } D(\rho_t \| q) = \int \rho_t \log \frac{\rho_t}{q} dx, \quad \text{Fisher information: } I(\rho_t \| q) = \int \Gamma(\log(\rho_t/q)) \rho_t dx.$$

Then $\dot{D}(\rho_t \| q) = -I(\rho_t \| q)$ and, under the Bakry–Émery curvature condition $\Gamma_2 \geq \rho \Gamma$ with $\rho > 0$ (log-Sobolev constant),

$$I(\rho \| q) \geq 2\rho D(\rho \| q) \implies D(\rho_t \| q) \leq e^{-2\rho t} D(\rho_0 \| q).$$

Residual vs. entropy near equilibrium. Assume $q \geq \underline{q} > 0$ and set $w = \rho - q$ with $\int w = 0$. A second-order Taylor expansion yields

$$D(\rho||q) = \frac{1}{2} \int \frac{w^2}{q} dx + O(\|w\|_{L^2}^3) \leq \frac{1}{2q} \|w\|_{L^2}^2 + o(\|w\|_{L^2}^2).$$

By Poincaré, $\|w\|_{L^2}^2 \leq \lambda_1^{-1} \|\nabla w\|_{L^2}^2 = \lambda_1^{-1} \mathcal{R}$, hence

$$D(\rho||q) \lesssim \mathcal{R} \quad \text{as } \mathcal{R} \rightarrow 0, \quad (49)$$

so S_R (a decreasing function of \mathcal{R}) tracks the decay of $D(\rho||q)$ near alignment.

Rates and regimes. - **Log-Sobolev (strong):** If $\Gamma_2 \geq \rho\Gamma$, then both D and \mathcal{R} decay exponentially: $D \leq e^{-2\rho t} D_0$ and $\mathcal{R} \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{R}_0$ with the Poincaré constant $\lambda > 0$. In many Gaussian/OU cases, $\rho = \lambda$; in general $\rho \leq \lambda$. Thus S_R and H -theorem arrows *coincide up to constants*. - **Poincaré only (weak):** If only $\text{Var} \leq \lambda^{-1} \mathcal{E}$ holds (no LSI), DSFL still gives $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \mathcal{R}(0)$ and a strictly increasing S_R , while classical entropy methods may not yield exponential decay of D . The DSFL arrow thus persists beyond the LSI regime.

Entropy production vs. residual production. $\dot{D} = -I$ and (under LSI) $I \geq 2\rho D$; by contrast

$$\dot{S}_R = -\frac{\dot{\mathcal{R}}}{\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*} \geq \frac{\alpha \mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R} + \mathcal{R}_0 R_*} \stackrel{\mathcal{R} \downarrow 0}{\sim} \alpha \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\mathcal{R}_0 R_*}.$$

Thus in the asymptotic regime both D and S_R evolve linearly in their natural time scales (t for D with rate 2ρ , and t for S_R with slope α), while $D \lesssim \mathcal{R}$ by (49).

Reversible quantum Markov semigroups (QMS)

Let (T_t) be a reversible QMS on (\mathcal{M}, ω) with generator \mathcal{L} and gap $\lambda > 0$ on $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$. Consider two divergences:

Hilbert-Schmidt χ^2 : $\chi_2(\sigma||\omega) := \|\sigma - \omega\|_{2,\omega}^2$, Umegaki entropy: $D(\sigma||\omega) := \text{Tr} \sigma(\log \sigma - \log \omega)$.

- **Variance law (DSFL):** The residual $\mathcal{R}_\omega(X) = \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X\|_{2,\omega}^2$ decays as $e^{-2\lambda t}$ (Theorem 2), giving a strictly increasing S_R . - **Quantum H -theorem:** If a *quantum log-Sobolev inequality* (QLSI) holds with constant $\rho > 0$, then $D(\sigma_t||\omega) \leq e^{-2\rho t} D(\sigma_0||\omega)$ (entropy contraction).

Near-equilibrium comparison. For faithful ω , the second variation of $D(\sigma||\omega)$ at ω is the Bogoliubov-Kubo-Mori (BKM) metric; in finite dimension,

$$D(\sigma||\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \|\sigma - \omega\|_{2,\omega}^2 + o(\|\sigma - \omega\|_{2,\omega}^2) \Rightarrow D(\sigma||\omega) \simeq \frac{1}{2} \chi_2(\sigma||\omega)$$

in a neighborhood of ω . Hence D and the residual (and therefore S_R) are *locally equivalent*—they define the same arrow close to equilibrium.

Rates and regimes. - **QLSI (strong):** If QLSI holds with constant ρ , then both D and \mathcal{R}_ω decay exponentially; typically $\rho \leq \lambda$, and in dephasing/Gaussian cases $\rho = \lambda$. - **Poincaré only (weak):** If only the spectral gap is known (no QLSI), the DSFL arrow survives: \mathcal{R}_ω decays exponentially and S_R increases, while D may lack a uniform exponential bound.

Summary: When Do the Arrows Coincide?

- *Near alignment (classical or quantum):* $D \lesssim \mathcal{R}$ and $D \simeq c \mathcal{R}$ locally, hence S_R and H -theorem describe the same decay up to constants.
- *Under (quantum) log-Sobolev:* D and \mathcal{R} both decay exponentially, with rates 2ρ and 2λ . In Gaussian/dephasing models $\rho = \lambda$ (\Rightarrow identical envelopes); generally $\rho \leq \lambda$.
- *Only Poincaré available:* DSFL still gives an exponential *variance* contraction (hence a strict S_R arrow), whereas entropy contraction can be weaker or unavailable.

Practical Readouts.

For data/experiment, S_R is often easier to estimate (it only requires quadratic residuals) and provides a robust monotone even when D is hard to evaluate or lacks exponential decay. When LSI holds, S_R and D are interchangeable up to constants; otherwise S_R supplies a structural arrow beyond the reach of entropy methods.

7.3. 6.3 Einstein Balance as Geometric Attractor

Let (\mathcal{U}, g) be a spacetime region with metric $g_{\mu\nu}$ and stress–energy tensor $T_{\mu\nu}$. Define the geometric residual

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}[g, T] = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \|G_{\mu\nu}[g] - \kappa T_{\mu\nu}\|_g^2 d\mu_g, \quad \kappa = 8\pi G/c^4, \quad (50)$$

where $\|\cdot\|_g$ is the pointwise norm induced by g and $G_{\mu\nu}$ is the Einstein tensor. The residual (50) vanishes iff the Einstein balance $G = \kappa T$ holds pointwise.

Gauge and Slice Issues.

In full Lorentzian signature the Einstein evolution is hyperbolic and diffeomorphism-invariant; a direct Lyapunov descent of (50) is obstructed by gauge freedom and hyperbolicity. On a compact Riemannian slice (Σ^3, γ) and in DeTurck gauge (Sec. 4.7), the linearized operator becomes elliptic on the physical (gauge-orthogonal) subspace. In that regime the residual

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) = \int_{\Sigma} \|G[\gamma(t)] - \kappa T\|_{\gamma(t)}^2 d\mu_{\gamma(t)}$$

is a bona fide slice Lyapunov functional (modulo gauge equivalences). We now restate and instantiate the resulting attractor facts.

Theorem 12 (Slice attractor: small data, DeTurck gauge). *Let $(\Sigma^3, \bar{\gamma})$ be a compact Riemannian 3–manifold and T a smooth, time-independent, divergence-free source w.r.t. $\bar{\gamma}$. Assume the target balance $G[\bar{\gamma}] = \kappa T$ and that the Lichnerowicz–DeTurck operator on the physical subspace has a spectral gap $\lambda_{\text{GR}} > 0$:*

$$\langle h, \mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h \rangle_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})} \geq \lambda_{\text{GR}} \|h\|_{L^2(\bar{\gamma})}^2 \quad \text{for all } h \perp \text{gauge directions.}$$

Then for the Einstein–DeTurck–source flow (20) with sufficiently small initial perturbation $h(0) = \gamma(0) - \bar{\gamma}$ in H^k ($k \geq 4$),

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq -2c \lambda_{\text{GR}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq e^{-2c\lambda_{\text{GR}}t} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(0),$$

for some $c \in (0, 1)$ depending only on $(\Sigma, \bar{\gamma})$ and the smallness radius. In particular, $G[\gamma(t)] = \kappa T$ in $L^2(\Sigma)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, and $\gamma(t) \rightarrow \bar{\gamma}$ modulo diffeomorphisms.

Sketch (instantiation of Sec. 4.7). Linearize (20): $\partial_t h = -\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h + \mathcal{N}(h)$ with $\mathcal{N}(h) = O(\|h\|\nabla^2 h + |\nabla h|^2)$. Energy estimates on $E(t) := \|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h\|_{L^2}^2$ give $\dot{E}(t) \leq -2\lambda_{\text{GR}} E(t) + (\text{quadratic}) \leq -2c\lambda_{\text{GR}} E(t)$ for small data. Near $\bar{\gamma}$, $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \simeq \frac{1}{4} \|\mathcal{L}_{\bar{\gamma}} h\|_{L^2}^2$ (Sec. 4.7), whence the claim. \square

Corollary 7 (Einstein backgrounds with positive physical gap). *If $(\Sigma, \bar{\gamma})$ is an Einstein metric with positive physical gap $\lambda_{\text{GR}} > 0$ (e.g. compact spaceforms with appropriate sources), then for all sufficiently small perturbations satisfying the momentum constraints, the curvature–matter misfit decays exponentially and $\gamma(t)$ converges modulo diffeomorphisms to $\bar{\gamma}$.*

Remark 33 (FRW-type slices). *On a compact FRW slice (spatial section a compact spaceform), the physical gap typically reduces to a scalar spectral gap for the Lichnerowicz–DeTurck operator acting on TT-modes; small scalar/vector perturbations are damped by the same mechanism. The attractor is the balanced background $G = \kappa T$ (e.g. Λ CDM source) at the slice level.*

Robustness to small forcing (ISS).

If the source term acquires a small time-dependent perturbation (mean-zero in the physical subspace), the same calculation yields an input-to-state stability bound:

$$\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq -2c\lambda_{\text{GR}} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) + C \varepsilon^2 \|\Xi(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$\text{so } \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}(t) \leq \frac{C\varepsilon^2}{2c\lambda_{\text{GR}}} \|\Xi\|_{L_t^2 L_x^2}^2.$$

Physical Interpretation.

Within a slice description, the equality $G = \kappa T$ acts as a *sectoral equilibrium*: perturb the geometry or the source slightly, and the DeTurck–gauge flow suppresses the misfit at an exponential rate controlled by a geometric gap. This realizes the Einstein equations as the *endpoint of Lyapunov suppression* of $G - \kappa T$, not a prior axiom.

A covariant DSFL program (what remains and how)

A fully covariant DSFL would assert monotone decrease of a diffeomorphism-invariant residual along a hyperbolic (Lorentzian) gauge-fixed evolution, without relying on a Riemannian foliation. Here is a concrete roadmap.

(C1) Covariant Residual and Gauge.

A direct spacetime residual $\mathcal{R}_{\text{cov}}[g, T] = \int_{\mathcal{U}} \|G - \kappa T\|_g^2 d\mu_g$ is diffeomorphism-invariant, but its time derivative under the Einstein evolution is not sign-definite due to gauge and hyperbolicity. One needs a hyperbolic, constraint-damped formulation (e.g. generalized harmonic or Z4) so that the *physical* part of $G - \kappa T$ evolves with controllable energy.

(C2) Candidate Hyperbolic DSFL Flow.

In generalized harmonic gauge $H_\mu(g) = 0$, the Einstein equations reduce to quasi-linear wave equations for $g_{\mu\nu}$. Add constraint damping ($-\eta_1 Z_\mu$ terms in Z4), and consider a “gradient wave” evolution of the residual:

$$\square_g g_{\mu\nu} + (\text{nonlinearities}) = -\eta_0 \Pi_{\text{phys}}(G_{\mu\nu} - \kappa T_{\mu\nu}),$$

where Π_{phys} projects onto the physical (constraint-satisfying, gauge-orthogonal) subspace. The goal is an energy identity

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_{\text{cov}}(t) = -2\eta_0 \|G - \kappa T\|_{L^2(\Sigma_t)}^2 + (\text{controlled}) \leq -2\eta_0 \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}^{(\Sigma_t)}(t)$$

for a covariant energy \mathcal{E}_{cov} combining Bel–Robinson type energies and constraint energies.

(C3) Covariant Lyapunov Functionals.

Two natural ingredients: (i) a Bel–Robinson energy E_{BR} for the Weyl curvature (positive on slices), and (ii) a “misfit energy” $E_{\text{misfit}}[\Sigma_t] = \int_{\Sigma_t} \|G - \kappa T\|^2 d\mu_{\gamma(t)}$. A weighted sum $E_{\text{cov}} := E_{\text{BR}} + \alpha E_{\text{misfit}} + \beta E_{\text{constr}}$ (constraints) is a plausible Lyapunov, provided damping terms control gauge/constraint errors.

(C4) Small-Data Regimes.

On backgrounds with known nonlinear stability (e.g. Minkowski, de Sitter), one can hope to prove that for small perturbations and suitable damping, E_{cov} satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} E_{\text{cov}}(t) \leq -\underline{c} E_{\text{misfit}}(t) \leq -\underline{c}' \mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}^{(\Sigma_t)}(t),$$

hence exponential (or at least integrable) decay of the misfit.

(C5) Obstacles and Outlook.

The chief obstacles are: (a) hyperbolic energy methods only give *integral* decay unless one has a spacetime Morawetz (or red-shift) inequality; (b) projecting out gauge and constraints covariantly is delicate; (c) asymptotics (non-compact Σ) need appropriate weighted energies. Nevertheless, in small-data regimes with damping (as in Z4/CCZ4 numerical relativity), the covariant DSFL appears within reach.

Remark 34 (Covariant outlook). *A fully covariant DSFL would assert monotone decrease of $\mathcal{R}_{\text{geom}}$ along a diffeomorphism-invariant, hyperbolic, constraint-damped evolution on the space of Lorentzian metrics, without a foliation. Constructing such a Lyapunov structure is open; the slice results above substantiate the attractor picture in an analytically controlled (elliptic) regime and point to the ingredients needed in the covariant case.*

7.4. 6.4 Measurement Context and Pointer Algebras (Sectorization)

In quantum applications the *sector* is determined by the measurement context. Formally, choose an abelian von Neumann subalgebra (pointer algebra) $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and let $E_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the ω -preserving conditional expectation (Heisenberg picture). For a normal state σ (Schrödinger picture), the restriction $\sigma|_{\mathcal{N}}$ corresponds via the Gelfand isomorphism to a probability law q_{σ} on a standard outcome space (Y, μ_Y) with $\mathcal{N} \simeq L^{\infty}(Y, \mu_Y)$. In this sector, the empirical pointer distribution $\rho_Y(\cdot, t)$ is compared to $q_{\sigma}(t)$ through a Dirichlet structure $(\mathcal{E}_Y, D(\mathcal{E}_Y))$ driven by a pointer generator L_Y :

$$\partial_t \rho_Y = -L_Y(\rho_Y - q_{\sigma}(t)), \quad \mathcal{R}_Y(t) = \mathcal{E}_Y(\rho_Y(t) - q_{\sigma}(t)). \quad (51)$$

Here L_Y is a (sub)Markov generator symmetric in $L^2(\mu_Y)$ with $\mathcal{E}_Y(f) := \langle f, -L_Y f \rangle_{L^2(\mu_Y)}$ and $\int_Y f d\mu_Y = 0$.

Pointer-Space DSFL and Spectral Gap.

We first record the pointer analogue of the DSFL law.

Proposition 7 (Pointer-space DSFL). *Assume L_Y is self-adjoint and nonnegative on $L^2(\mu_Y)$ with spectral gap $\lambda_Y > 0$ on mean-zero functions:*

$$\|f\|_{L^2(\mu_Y)}^2 \leq \lambda_Y^{-1} \mathcal{E}_Y(f) \quad \forall f \in D(\mathcal{E}_Y) \cap \left\{ \int f d\mu_Y = 0 \right\}. \quad (52)$$

Consider (51) with $q_{\sigma}(t) \equiv q_{\sigma}$ (static). Then the pointer residual decays exponentially,

$$\mathcal{R}_Y(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_Y t} \mathcal{R}_Y(0),$$

and, by Poincaré on Y , $\|\rho_Y(t) - q_{\sigma}\|_{L^2(\mu_Y)}^2 \leq \lambda_Y^{-1} \mathcal{R}_Y(t)$.

Proof. Let $w := \rho_Y - q_{\sigma}$; then $\int_Y w d\mu_Y = 0$ and $\partial_t w = -L_Y w$. Compute $\dot{\mathcal{R}}_Y(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{E}_Y(w) = \langle w, -L_Y \partial_t w \rangle + \langle \partial_t w, -L_Y w \rangle = -2\langle w, L_Y^2 w \rangle$. Since L_Y is self-adjoint and nonnegative, $\langle w, L_Y^2 w \rangle \geq \lambda_Y \langle w, L_Y w \rangle = \lambda_Y \mathcal{E}_Y(w)$ on the gap subspace (functional calculus). Therefore $\dot{\mathcal{R}}_Y \leq -2\lambda_Y \mathcal{R}_Y$ and Grönwall yields the claim. Poincaré gives the L^2 bound. \square

Remark 35 (Time-varying pointer $q_{\sigma}(t)$). *If $q_{\sigma} = q_{\sigma}(t)$ varies, one obtains a tracking inequality analogous to Lemma 8:*

$$\dot{\mathcal{R}}_Y(t) \leq -\lambda_Y \mathcal{R}_Y(t) + \frac{\|\partial_t q_{\sigma}(t)\|_{L^2(\mu_Y)}^2}{\lambda_Y},$$

hence $\mathcal{R}_Y(t)$ tracks $q_{\sigma}(t)$ inside a tube of radius $O(\sup_t \|\partial_t q_{\sigma}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 / \lambda_Y^2)$.

Operator–Algebraic Variance and the Pointer Projection.

We now relate the DSFL on \mathcal{M} to the pointer DSFL on Y .

Proposition 8 (OA variance contracts to the pointer sector). *Let (\mathbb{T}_t) be a reversible QMS on (\mathcal{M}, ω) with spectral gap $\lambda > 0$ on $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$ and let $E_{\mathcal{N}} : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be the ω –preserving conditional expectation. Then for any $X \in L^2(\omega)$,*

$$\|\mathbb{T}_t X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X\|_{2,\omega}^2 \leq e^{-2\lambda t} \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}} X\|_{2,\omega}^2.$$

In particular, for abelian $\mathcal{N} \simeq L^\infty(Y, \mu_Y)$ and observables in the sector, the noncommutative variance contracts at rate 2λ down to the classical pointer law q_σ .

Proof. This is Theorem 2 (operator–algebraic DSFL \Leftrightarrow Poincaré gap) restricted to $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$; the conditional expectation is the $L^2(\omega)$ orthogonal projection, so the L^2 variance relative to \mathcal{N} decays as $e^{-2\lambda t}$. \square

Bridge to PDE Residuals (Position Sector).

When \mathcal{N} is the position pointer algebra on a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, the abelian identification gives $Y = \Omega$, μ_Y the Lebesgue measure (or a reference measure), and $q_\sigma(x) = |\Psi(x)|^2$. The pointer generator L_Y is the Laplacian (or a diffusion generator), with $\mathcal{E}_Y(f) = \int_\Omega |\nabla f|^2 dx$ and gap $\lambda_1 > 0$ on mean–zero functions. Then the PDE residual is

$$\mathcal{R}_Y(t) = \int_\Omega |\nabla(\rho - |\Psi|^2)|^2 dx.$$

Poincaré on Ω yields the L^2 sandwich

$$\lambda_1 \|\rho - |\Psi|^2\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \int_\Omega |\nabla(\rho - |\Psi|^2)|^2 dx \leq C \|\rho - |\Psi|^2\|_{H^1(\Omega)}^2,$$

and Proposition 7 gives $\mathcal{R}_Y(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}_Y(0)$, hence L^2 contraction to the Born law.

Remark 36 (Contextuality and attractors). *The choice of \mathcal{N} encapsulates the measurement context (observable/POVM via Naimark dilation). DSFL contracts to that context: changing \mathcal{N} changes the attractor (e.g. position vs. momentum). Operator–algebraically, $E_{\mathcal{N}}$ is the projection onto the sector, and Theorem 2 shows that a noncommutative Poincaré gap is equivalent to exponential decay of the noncommutative variance relative to \mathcal{N} .*

Putting it together (context \Rightarrow sector \Rightarrow rate).

Theorem 13 (Contextual DSFL pipeline). *Fix a pointer algebra $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ (context) with conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{N}}$. If the reversible QMS on (\mathcal{M}, ω) has a gap $\lambda > 0$ on $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$, then the OA residual decays at rate 2λ toward the sector. On the abelian sector Y , if the pointer generator L_Y has gap $\lambda_Y > 0$, then the pointer residual \mathcal{R}_Y decays at rate $2\lambda_Y$ toward q_σ . Consequently, in the position context on bounded Ω , DSFL yields L^2 alignment to the Born law with envelope $e^{-2\lambda_1 t}$.*

Proof. Combine Proposition 8 with Proposition 7 and the Poincaré sandwich on Ω . \square

Remark 37 (Changing context changes the attractor). *If one replaces the position pointer algebra by, e.g., the momentum algebra (Fourier–diagonal), then Y is the momentum space and q_σ is the momentum distribution. Proposition 7 applies verbatim with the corresponding L_Y (e.g. a diffusion on momentum space). Thus, the attractor is contextual: it is determined by the measurement algebra \mathcal{N} .*

Same Core, Different Attractor: Why Context Matters But the Law Does Not.

The *core* of DSFL is a context-independent contraction law for a quadratic residual:

$$(\text{Propagation}) \quad \dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad (\text{Gap/Coercivity}) \quad \dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -\alpha \mathcal{R},$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is a *sectoral constant* (spectral gap/coercivity). This statement does not depend on which measurement context is chosen. What *does* depend on the context is: (i) the projection (or classicalization) map defining the *equilibrium manifold* (the attractor), and (ii) the value of the rate α (the spectral gap in that context).

We formalize this in three steps.

Definition 3 (Context-dependent residuals and attractors). *Let $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ be an abelian pointer algebra (measurement context) with ω -preserving conditional expectation $E_{\mathcal{N}}$. The operator-algebraic residual is*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{(\mathcal{N})}(X) := \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}}X\|_{2,\omega}^2,$$

and the corresponding attractor is the fixed subspace $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)$ (equilibrium manifold). On the abelian sector Y with $\mathcal{N} \simeq L^\infty(Y, \mu_Y)$, the pointer residual is the Dirichlet energy

$$\mathcal{R}_Y^{(\mathcal{N})}(t) := \mathcal{E}_Y(\rho_Y(t) - q_\sigma(t)),$$

and the attractor is the pointer law $q_\sigma(t)$ in the chosen context.

Proposition 9 (Context-invariant DSFL form, context-dependent constants). *For any pointer algebra \mathcal{N} :*

(a) **OA law.** *If the reversible QMS on (\mathcal{M}, ω) has a Poincaré gap $\lambda(\mathcal{N}) > 0$ on $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{(\mathcal{N})}(T_t X) \leq -2\lambda(\mathcal{N}) \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{(\mathcal{N})}(T_t X), \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{(\mathcal{N})}(T_t X) \leq e^{-2\lambda(\mathcal{N})t} \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{(\mathcal{N})}(X).$$

(b) **Pointer law.** *If the sector generator L_Y has Poincaré gap $\lambda_Y(\mathcal{N}) > 0$, then*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathcal{R}_Y^{(\mathcal{N})}(t) \leq -2\lambda_Y(\mathcal{N}) \mathcal{R}_Y^{(\mathcal{N})}(t), \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathcal{R}_Y^{(\mathcal{N})}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_Y(\mathcal{N})t} \mathcal{R}_Y^{(\mathcal{N})}(0).$$

Thus the form of DSFL is the same in any context, while the rate constants $\lambda(\mathcal{N})$ and $\lambda_Y(\mathcal{N})$ (and the attractor) change with \mathcal{N} .

Proof. Part (a) is Theorem 2 with the gap computed on $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$. Part (b) is Proposition 7 with the Poincaré constant of L_Y . \square

Proposition 10 (Unitary covariance of the core law). *Let U be a unitary on \mathcal{M} and $\mathcal{N}' = U\mathcal{N}U^\dagger$. Define $E_{\mathcal{N}'}(X) := U E_{\mathcal{N}}(U^\dagger X U) U^\dagger$. Then*

$$\mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{(\mathcal{N}')} (X) = \|X - E_{\mathcal{N}'}X\|_{2,\omega}^2 = \|U^\dagger X U - E_{\mathcal{N}}(U^\dagger X U)\|_{2,\omega}^2 = \mathcal{R}_{\omega}^{(\mathcal{N})}(U^\dagger X U),$$

and the spectral gap is invariant: $\lambda(\mathcal{N}') = \lambda(\mathcal{N})$. Consequently, DSFL decay holds with the same rate in unitarily equivalent contexts, and the attractor transforms as $L^2(\mathcal{N}', \omega) = UL^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)U^\dagger$.

Proof. Orthogonal projection covariance under conjugation and unitary invariance of $\|\cdot\|_{2,\omega}$ yield the residual identity. The spectrum of the self-adjoint restriction of \mathcal{L} to the orthogonal complement is invariant under unitary conjugation, hence the same gap. \square

Remark 38 (Non-unitarily equivalent contexts (e.g. position vs. momentum)). When \mathcal{N}_1 and \mathcal{N}_2 are not unitarily equivalent (e.g. position vs. momentum algebras on bounded domains with different boundary structures), the form of DSFL remains identical but the constants change: $\lambda(\mathcal{N}_1) \neq \lambda(\mathcal{N}_2)$, $\lambda_Y(\mathcal{N}_1) \neq \lambda_Y(\mathcal{N}_2)$, and the attractors are $q_\sigma^{(1)}$ vs. $q_\sigma^{(2)}$ (position vs. momentum laws). Thus changing context changes the attractor and generally the observed rate, while the core DSFL law—“propagation + gap \Rightarrow exponential suppression”—is the same.

Two-Stage Contraction and Small-Gain View.

In experiments one typically sees a two-stage contraction: (i) the operator-algebraic contraction $X \mapsto E_{\mathcal{N}}X$ at rate $2\lambda(\mathcal{N})$, then (ii) the pointer-space alignment $\rho_Y \rightarrow q_\sigma$ at rate $2\lambda_Y(\mathcal{N})$. Writing $R_{\text{OA}}(t) := \mathcal{R}_\omega^{(\mathcal{N})}(T_t X)$ and $R_{\text{ptr}}(t) := \mathcal{R}_Y^{(\mathcal{N})}(t)$, one can couple them as

$$\dot{R}_{\text{OA}} \leq -2\lambda(\mathcal{N}) R_{\text{OA}}, \quad \dot{R}_{\text{ptr}} \leq -2\lambda_Y(\mathcal{N}) R_{\text{ptr}} + \delta R_{\text{OA}},$$

for a (typically small) coupling δ arising from finite-time context transfer. By Proposition 1 (small-gain), $R_{\text{OA}} + R_{\text{ptr}}$ still decays exponentially provided $\delta < 4\lambda(\mathcal{N})\lambda_Y(\mathcal{N})$.

Examples.

- *Qubit dephasing.* $\mathcal{N}_Z = \text{Alg}\{\sigma_z\}$ vs. $\mathcal{N}_X = \text{Alg}\{\sigma_x\}$: both are unitarily equivalent, so the rate is invariant (Prop. 10), and the attractor is the corresponding Lüders state in the chosen basis (Theorem 3).
- *Position vs. momentum (PDE).* On a bounded Ω , the position sector has Poincaré constant $\lambda_1(\Omega)$; the momentum sector involves the spectral constants of the generator on Fourier side. DSFL form is identical, but constants (and attractor laws $|\Psi|^2$ vs. $|\widehat{\Psi}|^2$) differ.

Takeaway. The core DSFL mechanism—a single quadratic residual suppressed by propagation + gap/coercivity—is context-invariant. The attractor and the rate constants are context-dependent, through the pointer algebra \mathcal{N} (and its sector generator L_Y). Changing \mathcal{N} changes the equilibrium manifold and generally the rate, but not the shape of the restoration law.

8. Numerical Demonstrations (Synthetic)

Purpose. The following minimal, reproducible checks are illustrative sanity tests of the DSFL rates in simple synthetic models (not fits to experimental data). They confirm that the gap/coercivity constants derived in §4 are visible as slopes in practice.

8.1. PDE (Born Sector): Heat Flow with Mean-Zero Mismatch

On $\Omega = \mathbb{T}^1 = [0, 1)$ with periodic BCs, set $w := \rho - q$ with $\int_\Omega w = 0$ and evolve

$$\partial_t w = \Delta w, \quad \mathcal{R}(t) = \int_\Omega |\nabla w|^2 dx.$$

Theory (§6.1) predicts $\mathcal{R}(t) \leq e^{-2\lambda_1 t} \mathcal{R}(0)$ with $\lambda_1 = (2\pi)^2$. A spectral implementation (truncated Fourier series; Crank–Nicolson or exact mode update) with multi-mode w_0 shows: (i) $\mathcal{R}(t)$ is strictly decreasing; (ii) a semi-log fit of \mathcal{R} over a post-transient window returns a slope approaching $-2\lambda_1$ as higher modes die out; (iii) restricting w_0 to the fundamental mode yields a slope $\approx -2\lambda_1$ throughout. *Takeaway:* the DSFL envelope $2\lambda_1$ is observed as the late-time rate.

8.2. Qubit Lindblad Dephasing: Lüders Residual

For a qubit with dephasing rate $\gamma > 0$ in the pointer basis,

$$\dot{\sigma}_t = \gamma \left(P_0 \sigma_t P_0 + P_1 \sigma_t P_1 - \frac{1}{2} \{P_0 + P_1, \sigma_t\} \right), \quad \mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(t) = 2 |(\sigma_t)_{01}|^2.$$

Theory (§4.3) gives $\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(t) = e^{-2\gamma t}\mathcal{R}_{\text{Lüders}}(0)$ (sharp). A simple RK4 or exact update of $(\sigma_i)_{01}$ confirms a straight line of slope -2γ on a semi-log plot, independent of commuting Hamiltonian phases. With a noncommuting H , oscillations appear but the envelope remains $e^{-2\gamma t}$. *Takeaway*: the sharp DSFL rate 2γ is observed.

Reproducibility.

Tiny reference scripts (FFT heat solver; qubit ODE) suffice to reproduce these slopes; code is available on request. We omit figures to keep the paper focused on theory.

9. Conclusion

We introduced the *Deterministic Statistical Feedback Law* (DSFL) as a sector-neutral restoration principle that turns canonical equilibrium statements—Born’s rule (QM), entropy monotonicity (TD), and Einstein’s curvature–matter balance (GR)—from *postulates* into *attractors*. The mechanism is uniform: a single quadratic misalignment residual \mathcal{R} decreases globally by a propagation lemma (Jensen/Kadison–Schwarz/energy identity), and sectoral spectral gaps or coercivity upgrade monotonicity to exponential decay. The *law* is context-invariant; the *attractor* and *rate* are context-dependent through the pointer algebra or the sector’s coercivity constants.

What We Proved.

1. **QMS: DSFL \Leftrightarrow gap, optimal constants.** For ω -symmetric QMS we established the equivalence between DSFL and a noncommutative Poincaré inequality on $L^2(\mathcal{N}, \omega)^\perp$, with optimal rate $\alpha_* = 2\lambda_*$.
2. **Lindblad (finite-dimensional).** Pure dephasing yields a *sharp* exponential decay of the Lüders residual with rate $\alpha_* = \min_{i \neq j}(\gamma_i + \gamma_j)$.
3. **PDE template.** An exact residual energy identity gives $\dot{\mathcal{R}} = -2\int u^\top B u - 2\| \dot{u} \|_2^2 + \dots$, hence $\dot{\mathcal{R}} \leq -(2\beta - C\varepsilon)\mathcal{R}$ under $B \succeq \beta I$ and subcritical couplings.
4. **Free fields.** In Parisi–Wu stochastic quantization, smeared two-point residuals decay at twice the Euclidean Hamiltonian gap.
5. **GR slice.** On compact Riemannian slices in DeTurck gauge, a Lichnerowicz-type gap implies exponential L^2 suppression of the curvature–matter misfit.
6. **Residual-entropy arrow.** The proxy $S_R := -\log(\mathcal{R}/\mathcal{R}_0 + R_*)$ is strictly increasing whenever DSFL holds, giving a structural arrow of time that does not require probabilistic postulates.
7. **Context vs. core.** Via pointer algebras we proved “same core, different attractor”: the propagation + gap form is universal, while the equilibrium manifold and decay rate depend on the chosen measurement context (position/momentum, basis/unitary changes).

Implications and Tests.

DSFL reframes “equilibrium” as the endpoint of a universal Lyapunov descent. It also yields rate-level, falsifiable signatures: GW band coherence, low- ℓ CMB phase structure, growth-rate consistency ($f\sigma_8$), and convergence benchmarks in quantum optics, all tied to sectoral gaps. Tracking inequalities (moving pointers) and small-gain results (coupled residuals) extend the theory to time-varying contexts and weakly coupled sectors.

Limitations and Programs.

Open fronts include: (i) a fully covariant Lorentzian DSFL (gauge-invariant residual, hyperbolic flow); (ii) interacting QFT beyond Gaussian sectors (constructive/RG-controlled Poincaré or log-Sobolev constants); and (iii) hypocoercive/nonreversible settings (DSFL with commutator-enhanced residuals). Noise-robust (ISS) variants and multi-residual couplings merit further development and experiments.

Outlook.

Priorities are: log-Sobolev/ Γ_2 upgrades for reversible QMS (variance \rightarrow entropy decay), cosmology fits (SN+BAO+CMB+RSD) for DSFL backgrounds vs. Λ CDM, laboratory rate-extraction in dephasing/GBS-type setups, and numerical GR slice studies of geometric residual quench. In sum, DSFL isolates the restoration law, quantifies its rates, and delineates precisely when and how Born/entropy/Einstein relations emerge—or fail—under empirical scrutiny.

References

1. Cipriani, F.; Sauvageot, J.L. Derivations as square roots of Dirichlet forms. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **1993**, *201*, 78–120. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1993.1095>.
2. Takesaki, M. Conditional expectations in von Neumann algebras. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **1972**, *9*, 306–321. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236\(72\)90010-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-1236(72)90010-2).
3. Tomiyama, J. On the projection of norm one in W^* -algebras. *Proceedings of the Japan Academy* **1957**, *33*, 608–612. <https://doi.org/10.3792/pja/1195525584>.
4. Davies, E.B. *Quantum Theory of Open Systems*; Academic Press: London, 1976.
5. Carlen, E.A.; Maas, J. Gradient flow and entropy inequalities for quantum Markov semigroups with detailed balance. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **2017**, *273*, 1810–1869. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfa.2017.05.003>.
6. Kastoryano, M.J.; Temme, K. Quantum logarithmic Sobolev inequalities and rapid mixing. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **2013**, *54*, 052202. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4804995>.
7. Olkiewicz, R.; Zegarliński, B. Hypercontractivity in noncommutative L^p spaces. *Journal of Functional Analysis* **1999**, *161*, 246–285. <https://doi.org/10.1006/jfan.1998.3361>.
8. Bardet, I.; Capel, A.; Lucia, A.; Rouzé, C.; Datta, N. Entropy decay for quantum Markov semigroups: a non-commutative Φ -Sobolev inequality approach. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **2018**, *59*, 012205. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5006286>.
9. Brandão, F.G.S.L.; Harrow, A.W. Mixing of quantum Markov chains and quantum expanders. In Proceedings of the Proceedings of the 27th Annual ACM–SIAM Symposium on Discrete Algorithms (SODA), Philadelphia, PA, 2016; pp. 146–155.
10. Lindblad, G. On the generators of quantum dynamical semigroups. *Communications in Mathematical Physics* **1976**, *48*, 119–130. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01608499>.
11. Gorini, V.; Kossakowski, A.; Sudarshan, E.C.G. Completely positive dynamical semigroups of N -level systems. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **1976**, *17*, 821–825. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.522979>.
12. Breuer, H.P.; Petruccione, F. *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*; Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2002.
13. Spohn, H. Entropy production for quantum dynamical semigroups. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **1978**, *19*, 1227–1230. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.523789>.
14. Gross, L. Logarithmic Sobolev inequalities. *American Journal of Mathematics* **1975**, *97*, 1061–1083.
15. Ledoux, M. *The Concentration of Measure Phenomenon*; Vol. 89, *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*, American Mathematical Society: Providence, RI, 2001.
16. Bakry, D.; Gentil, I.; Ledoux, M. *Analysis and Geometry of Markov Diffusion Operators*; Vol. 348, *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*, Springer: Cham, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-00227-9>.
17. Hamilton, R.S. Three-manifolds with positive Ricci curvature. *Journal of Differential Geometry* **1982**, *17*, 255–306. <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214436922>.
18. DeTurck, D.M. Deforming metrics in the direction of their Ricci tensors. *Journal of Differential Geometry* **1983**, *18*, 157–162. <https://doi.org/10.4310/jdg/1214509286>.
19. Perelman, G. The entropy formula for the Ricci flow and its geometric applications. *arXiv Mathematics* **2002**, [arXiv:math.DG/math/0211159].
20. Parisi, G.; Wu, Y.S. Perturbation theory without gauge fixing. *Physics Letters B* **1981**, *105*, 219–223. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693\(81\)90722-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-2693(81)90722-6).
21. Damgaard, P.H.; Hüffel, H. Stochastic quantization. *Physics Reports* **1987**, *152*, 227–398. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573\(87\)90144-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0370-1573(87)90144-7).
22. Zinn-Justin, J. *Quantum Field Theory and Critical Phenomena*, 4 ed.; Vol. 113, *International Series of Monographs on Physics*, Oxford University Press: Oxford, 2002.
23. Bakry, D.; Émery, M. Diffusions hypercontractives. In *Séminaire de probabilités XIX 1983/84*; Azéma, J.; Yor, M., Eds.; Springer: Berlin, Heidelberg, 1985; Vol. 1123, *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 177–206. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0075847>.

24. Naimark, M.A. Spectral functions of a symmetric operator. *Izvestiya Akademii Nauk SSSR. Seriya Matematicheskaya* **1940**, *4*, 277–318. In Russian.
25. Ozawa, M. Quantum measuring processes of continuous observables. *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **1984**, *25*, 79–87. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.526000>.
26. Busch, P.; Lahti, P.; Mittelstaedt, P. *The Quantum Theory of Measurement*, 2 ed.; Vol. m2, *Lecture Notes in Physics Monographs*, Springer: Berlin, 1996. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-540-37209-5>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.