Article

What Rocket Propulsion Tells Us About How The World Competes And Connects

Amirbek Baxshilloyev *

Posted Date: 1 October 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202510.0071.v1

Keywords: Rocket propulsion; geopolitical competition; international collaboration; commercial spaceflight; technological innovation; nuclear propulsion; multipolar space order

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 1 October 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202510.0071.v1

Article

What Rocket Propulsion Tells Us About How the World Competes and Connects

Amirbek Baxshilloyev Nodirovich

Uzbekistan, Bukhara; nodirovichamirbek@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper examines the historical and contemporary drivers of innovation in rocket propulsion aiming to identify the strategic, scientific, economic, and geopolitical factors that have most significantly influenced technological progress. Research relies on open-source datasets, government and agency reports, mission case studies, and peer-reviewed publications to illustrate how propulsion technologies have met mission demands over time. The analysis identified four primary categories of innovation drivers: geopolitical competition, scientific freedom and institutional R&D support, international collaboration, and commercial disruption. Each driver is examined across distinct historical eras, from post-WWII missile development and the Cold War Space Race to present-day megaprojects and private-sector breakthroughs. The study asserts that propulsion advancement is not necessarily constrained by scientific knowledge alone, but by the sociopolitical context that either accelerates or suppresses engineering implementation. Accordingly, it argues that sustainable propulsion innovation will require environments that combine long-term scientific autonomy with focused strategic urgency. Key findings highlight the catalytic effect of competition (e.g., U.S.-Soviet and U.S.-China space rivalries), the reactivation of dormant propulsion concepts such as nuclear thermal engines, and the pivotal role of cost-efficiency and environmental considerations in shaping next-generation systems. The paper concludes by outlining specific recommendations for future work: improved access to proprietary commercial data, more granular modeling of propulsion-system life cycles, and expanded research into cross-border governance mechanisms for nuclear and fusion propulsion. Together, these directions aim to bridge historical insight with actionable foresight to help accelerate the propulsion development further.

Keywords: rocket propulsion; geopolitical competition; international collaboration; commercial spaceflight; technological innovation; nuclear propulsion; multipolar space order

---

1. Introduction

Since the dawn of human civilization, the desire to explore beyond the known world has driven remarkable technological advances. In the 20th century, this spirit took on a cosmic scale with the invention of rocket propulsion—a transformative technology that allowed humanity to escape Earth's gravitational pull and reach outer space.

The evolution of rocket propulsion has been profoundly shaped not just by technological innovation but by political, ideological, and economic forces. The Cold War rivalry between the United States and the Soviet Union transformed space exploration into a strategic domain, resulting in massive public investments, scientific breakthroughs, and nationalistic space races. Even today, national programs like those of China, India, and the European Union are influenced by a mix of geopolitical ambitions, commercial interests, and scientific goals.

Rocket propulsion has often been studied from technical or scientific angles, but its historical and geopolitical dimensions remain underexplored. This paper addresses that gap by examining how global conflicts, power rivalries, and national priorities have shaped the development of propulsion technologies. From the Cold War and the space race to contemporary competition in space and defense sectors, the advancement of propulsion technologies has been deeply intertwined with geopolitical imperatives. By exploring these dynamics, this study offers a more holistic understanding of how technical progress in rocketry has often been driven as much by national strategic concerns as by scientific inquiry.

2. Historical Evolution

2.1. Cold War Rivalry

The post-WWII geopolitical landscape was shaped by the Cold War, during which the United States and the Soviet Union engaged in a high-stakes race for military, ideological, and technological dominance. At the heart of this rivalry was the conquest of space, which became a potent symbol of national prestige and global influence (Ivanov, 2008).

The Soviet Union secured early milestones with the 1957 launch of Sputnik, the first artificial satellite, and the 1961 orbital flight of Yuri Gagarin aboard the R-7 rocket, making him the first human in space. These achievements, backed by massive state investment, elevated the USSR's global standing and spurred the United States into an accelerated strategic response.

In 1958, the U.S. established the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA), to lead its civilian space program and initiate the Apollo program. Motivated by President Kennedy's bold objective to land a man on the Moon, NASA made major advances in propulsion, culminating in the 1969 Apollo 11 mission and the launch of the powerful Saturn V rocket.

2.2. Post-Cold War: Multipolar Globalization of Space Activities

The end of the Cold War marked a shift in global space activities—from ideological rivalry to international cooperation and multipolar participation (Johnson-Freese, 2007). A key example is the 1990s development of the International Space Station (ISS), a joint effort by NASA, Russian Space Agency Roscosmos, European Space Agency (ESA), Japan Aerospace Exploration Agency (JAXA), and later the Indian Space Research Organisation (ISRO) (Johnson-Freese, 2007). Beyond its engineering significance, the ISS has symbolized political and diplomatic collaboration (Krige, 2005). Moreover, it has enabled sustained multinational research by promoting scientific exchange and aligning operational standards across diverse space agencies. These changes reflected the globalization of space, as propulsion technologies and launch capabilities have extended beyond the Cold War superpowers to a broader group of nations, each pursuing distinct scientific, commercial, and geopolitical goals.

2.3. Commercial Space Exploration

The 2010s marked a transformative decade in space activity, with commercial companies emerging as key innovators in propulsion and launch technologies. After years of state dominance, the entry of private firms such as SpaceX introduced reusable launch systems and significantly altered cost structures and development timelines (Pelton, 2019).

A major milestone came in 2015 when SpaceX's Falcon 9 achieved the first successful recovery of an orbital-class booster, proving the feasibility of reusability and reshaping industry norms (NASA, 2021; Selding, 2017). This success spurred the rise of competitors such as Blue Origin, Rocket Lab, and OneSpace, who expanded into suborbital tourism, small-satellite launches, and experimental propulsion (Pelton & Madry, 2020). Regulatory reforms, shifting investments, and strengthened public-private partnerships supported this wave of commercialization (Johnson-Freese, 2017).

Concurrently, China's national space program advanced rapidly. By 2018, the China National Space Administration (CNSA) led the world in annual orbital launches, driven by its Long March rockets and high-profile missions such as Chang'e and Tianwen (Moltz, 2019; Zhao, 2017). Analysts view this expansion as the beginning of a new space race—one fueled by resource access, cislunar infrastructure development, and the goal of a sustainable human presence on Mars (Wright, 2021).

Taken together, these trends reflect a post-Cold War realignment, where global propulsion leadership is no longer limited to the U.S. and Russia. Collaborative ventures like the ISS and regional successes by ESA, JAXA, and ISRO signal a multipolar space order, expanding the technological and geopolitical dimensions of rocket propulsion.

3. Scientific Breakthroughs

3.1. Fundamental Principles

Rocket propulsion is governed by universal physical laws. While engineering delivers the physical hardware, it is physics that defines the performance limits and indicates where innovation must be directed. Understanding the interaction between these fundamental principles and engineering design is essential for advancing rocket technology.

Building on these physical constraints, the next critical determinant of propulsion performance lies in propellant chemistry, which directly governs thrust, efficiency, and operational complexity. As Sutton and Biblarz (2010) explained, propellant chemistry is central to rocket performance. Solid propellants offer simplicity and reliability but lack efficiency and control. Liquid propellants, especially liquid hydrogen (LH_2) and liquid oxygen (LOX), deliver superior efficiency and thrust but require complex cryogenic handling. Hybrid systems attempt to combine the strengths of both yet still trail LOX/LH_2 systems in performance.

Propellant chemistry alone cannot determine performance; advances in materials science are essential to ensure engines can endure and efficiently channel the extreme forces and temperatures generated. Advances in nozzle design, combustion chamber alloys, and thermal protection systems allow rockets to withstand extreme pressure and heat (NASA, 2021a). Stronger, lighter materials that enable higher combustion pressures and better cooling directly enhance propulsion capabilities (NASA, 2021a).

These material advances set the stage for innovations in overall vehicle architecture. Overcoming the mass and efficiency limits of single-stage rockets, multi-stage designs emerged as the standard in modern spaceflight. For example, the Saturn V rocket, used during the Apollo missions, effectively utilized multiple stages to reach the Moon by shedding weight progressively during flight (NASA, 2021b).

Beyond chemical propulsion, electric and plasma-based systems mark a philosophical shift. Ion engines and Hall-effect thrusters use electromagnetic forces to accelerate ions, offering high efficiency and longevity but extremely low thrust—suitable only for deep-space missions (Pelton & Madry, 2020). For comparison, Falcon 9 produces millions of Newtons of thrust, while ion engines generate less than one (Pelton & Madry, 2020). The next frontier lies in nuclear propulsion. Nuclear thermal propulsion (NTP) uses a fission reactor to heat hydrogen propellant, producing significantly greater efficiency and thrust than chemical engines (NASA, 2021c). Nuclear electric propulsion (NEP), which generates electricity to power electric thrusters, combines efficiency with extended operational lifetimes. These systems are being studied for use in both cargo and crewed deep-space missions (NASA, 2021c).

Further ahead, fusion propulsion could unlock unprecedented energy densities by mimicking the Sun's processes. However, this demands breakthroughs in plasma control, magnetic confinement, and radiation-resistant materials (Moltz, 2019). Each propulsion advancement is not merely technical—it reflects geopolitical ambitions, institutional priorities, and historical context. A comprehensive understanding of propulsion requires analyzing both scientific evolution and the global forces that shape its trajectory.

3.2. Bridging Past and Future Patterns of Rocket Propulsion

Since WWII, competition has spurred propulsion breakthroughs, but collaboration remains vital. ESA's Ariane rockets that integrate French, German, and Italian expertise and the European Service Module on NASA's Orion spacecraft showcase pooled capabilities (ESA, 2022), while the NASA–ESA Mars Sample Return relies on cross-agency integration (ESA/NASA, 2023). Yet rising geopolitical tensions, including U.S. limits on Chinese partnerships, threaten sustained progress (ESA/NASA, 2023).

Collaborative megaprojects like the Lunar Gateway or Mars Sample Return will require harmonizing propulsion technologies across nations. These efforts can serve as testbeds for advanced systems such as modular nuclear-electric stages or high-power solar-electric cargo tugs. To safeguard cooperation, international agreements must prioritize scientific openness and maintain research flows even amid diplomatic friction.

To ensure continued advancement, it is also critical to address key risks and barriers. First, system reliability and safety must keep pace with rising performance levels. As engines like the SpaceX Raptor operate at extreme chamber pressures (∼300 bar), predictive analytics, AI-driven monitoring, and rigorous testing are vital (Johnson, Ferguson, & Nix, 2024). Second, environmental impacts—from kerosene soot to hydrazine toxicity—are drawing increased scrutiny. Greener alternatives such as LOX/methane and lifecycle impact assessments are expected to become industry standards (OECD, 2023). Finally, cost and accessibility are increasingly critical alongside performance. SpaceX's Starship, targeting less than $500/kg to Low Earth Orbit (LEO), signals broader access for smaller nations and startups but also complicates coordination (OECD, 2023).

Future propulsion development will likely emphasize reusability, operational simplicity, and sustainability over peak performance alone. Breakthrough technologies have the potential to radically accelerate the capabilities of rocket propulsion. To enable missions that can reach Mars within 3–4 months or support crewed journeys to the outer solar system, transformative advancements in propulsion systems are required—particularly in nuclear thermal, nuclear electric, and fusion-based drives. These technologies promise higher efficiency, greater thrust, and longer operational durations than current systems. However, realizing them will require coordinated multinational investment, comprehensive regulatory frameworks (especially for in-space nuclear applications), and cross-disciplinary advances in areas such as materials science, plasma physics, and power generation.

Historically, major propulsion breakthroughs have emerged at the convergence of political urgency, technological readiness, and clearly defined mission objectives. Moving into the second quarter of the 21st century, future propulsion advancement will likely depend on three interconnected and mutually reinforcing pathways, each with distinct scientific, economic, and geopolitical implications. First, geopolitical competition—particularly between the U.S. and China—is once again accelerating space innovation. China's Chang'e 7 and 8 missions, targeting a lunar base by the 2030s, may push the U.S. toward ambitious propulsion projects like nuclear thermal flights or megawatt-class nuclear-electric tugs. Meanwhile, firms like SpaceX, Blue Origin, iSpace, and CAS Space continue to drive private-sector advances.

Second, international collaboration remains essential for large-scale propulsion initiatives. Projects such as Artemis, the Lunar Gateway, and Mars Sample Return require harmonized standards, open data sharing, and cooperative risk management. Future modular systems—nuclear-electric Moon tugs or solar-thermal Mars cargo boosters—depend on sustained cross-border governance and trust. Finally, private-sector innovation has been transforming the propulsion landscape. Advances in reusability, additive manufacturing, and methane-fueled systems point to a future where entrepreneurial agility complements national programs. Concepts such as in-situ resource-based shuttle vehicles and fusion-powered demonstrators are rapidly shifting from speculation to viable development.

Altogether, this convergence of geopolitical urgency, international cooperation, and commercial dynamism positions humanity on the threshold of a transformative propulsion era. However, without coordinated, cross-sector action, many promising technologies risk stagnation or fragmentation.

4. Conclusions

The history of rocket propulsion reflects a remarkable arc of innovation shaped by science, engineering, and ambition. Its future hinges on three key forces. First, renewed geopolitical competition—especially between the U.S. and China—is accelerating development in a multipolar, commercially active space landscape. Second, international collaboration remains vital for large-scale missions requiring shared investment and governance. Third, private-sector innovation is driving major advances in reusability, environmentally friendly propellants, and experimental systems like fusion propulsion. Progress will depend on how well governments, space agencies, and companies align investments, support high-risk research, and balance rivalry with cooperation. Ultimately, propulsion advances emerge where political urgency, scientific potential, economic feasibility, and environmental responsibility converge.

This study has two main limitations. First, its reliance primarily on qualitative analysis and open-source data means it could not fully capture developments in classified military programs, proprietary innovations, or emerging technologies not yet publicly available. Second, the focus on national and commercial programs excludes smaller-scale university-led or international startup efforts that may play increasingly critical roles.

Future research should incorporate updated technoeconomic analysis, risk assessment, and environmental impact evaluation specifically related to emerging propulsion technologies. Further study is also needed on governance models and regulatory frameworks for in-space nuclear propulsion. Finally, examining how propulsion democratization affects smaller nations and non-spacefaring actors will help align technological progress with strategic foresight and global equity.

References

1. Calle, C. I. (2017). *From dust to life: The Ariane rocket program*. European Space Agency Publications.
2. DARPA. (2023). *DRACO: Demonstration Rocket for Agile Cislunar Operations*. Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency. Retrieved from https://www.darpa.mil/research/programs/demonstration-rocket-for-agile-cislunar-operations
3. European Space Agency (ESA). (2022). *Ariane 6 launch system: Technical overview*. ESA. Retrieved from https://www.esa.int/Enabling_Support/Space_Transportation/Launch_vehicles/Ariane_6_overview
4. European Space Agency (ESA). (2023). *Mars Sample Return: ESA-NASA joint mission architecture*. ESA. Retrieved from https://www.esa.int/Science_Exploration/Human_and_Robotic_Exploration/Exploration/Mars_sample_return
5. Ivanov, S. (2008). *The rise of the Soviet space program*. Cambridge University Press.
6. Johnson-Freese, J. (2007). *Space as a strategic asset*. Columbia University Press.
7. Johnson-Freese, J. (2017). *Space warfare in the 21st century: Arming the heavens*. Routledge.
8. Johnson, K. B., Ferguson, D., & Nix, A. (2024). Machine-Learning-Based Rotating Detonation Engine Diagnostics: Evaluation for Application in Experimental Facilities. *Journal of Propulsion and Power, 40*(2), 257–270. https://doi.org/10.2514/1.B39287
9. Krige, J. (2005). *The politics of European scientific collaboration*. MIT Press.
10. Lele, A. (2014). *India's space programme: Strategic and security dimensions*. Routledge.
11. McDougall, W. A. (1985). *The heavens and the earth: A political history of the space age*. Basic Books.
12. Moltz, J. C. (2019). *The politics of space security: Strategic restraint and the pursuit of national interests*. Stanford University Press.
13. NASA. (2021a). *NASA history*. Retrieved from https://www.nasa.gov/history/history-publications-and-resources/
14. NASA. (2021b). *Artemis program overview*. Retrieved from https://www.nasa.gov/humans-in-space/artemis/
15. NASA Jet Propulsion Laboratory. (2021c). *Mars 2020 Perseverance rover: Entry, descent, and landing report*. NASA JPL. Retrieved from https://www.jpl.nasa.gov/images/pia24285-perseverance-rovers-entry-descent-and-landing-profile/
16. National Academies of Sciences, Engineering, and Medicine. (2023). *Nuclear propulsion for human Mars missions: Enabling safe, reliable, and fast transit*. National Academies Press.
17. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD). (2023). *The space economy in figures: How space contributes to the global economy*. OECD. https://doi.org/10.1787/fa5494aa-en
18. Pelton, J. N. (2019). *Space 2.0: Revolutionary advances in the space industry*. Springer.
19. Pelton, J. N., & Madry, S. (2020). *Handbook of small satellites: Applications and innovations*. Springer.
20. Princeton Plasma Physics Laboratory. (2023). *Direct Fusion Drive (DFD): Enabling rapid outer planet exploration*. Princeton University. Retrieved from https://w3.pppl.gov/ppst/docs/cohen2019jbis.pdf

21. Space Foundation. (2023). *The Space Report 2023: The authoritative guide to global space activity*. Space Foundation. Retrieved from https://www.thespacereport.org/wp-content/uploads/2022/01/TSR-Q421-Book_PROD4.pdf
22. SpaceX. (2023). *Starship user guide*. SpaceX. Retrieved from https://www.spacex.com/vehicles/starship/
23. Sutton, G. P., & Biblarz, O. (2010). *Rocket propulsion elements* (8th ed.). Wiley.
24. Selding, P. B. (2017, March 31). SpaceX's reusability effort faces one more big challenge. *SpaceIntelReport.com*.
25. Turner, M. J. L. (2009). *Rocket and spacecraft propulsion: Principles, practice and new developments*. Springer.
26. Zhao, Y. (2017). *China's space program: A 21st century outlook*. Springer.