Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Heart of the Ocean: Frequency is Fundamental

Tamlyn Hunt *

Posted Date: 30 September 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

Keywords: frequency; time; temporal succession; relativity; standard model; Quantum Ocean Theory; General Resonance Theory

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

Article

The Heart of the Ocean: Frequency Is Fundamental

Tam Hunt

Univ. of California, Santa Barbara and USA; tam.hunt@psych.ucsb.edu

Abstract

Frequency represents the irreducible core of physical reality—the singular parameter from which all other wave properties emerge. Building on Quantum Ocean Theory, General Resonance Theory, Klimesch's Binary Hierarchy Brain Body Oscillation Theory, and our recent work on electromagnetic field computing and process-relational physics, we propose that frequency doesn't merely describe temporal succession but actually generates it through structured hierarchical oscillations in an eternal quantum ocean. Time = temporal succession = frequency, and is fundamental rather than emergent. I develop a mathematical framework showing how temporal succession emerges from binary octave relationships in this oscillatory medium, with empirical support from Klimesch's identification of 12-15 distinct frequency domains spanning from ultra-slow BOLD oscillations (0.0098 Hz) through body rhythms to high gamma (160 Hz), all organized in precise 1:2 harmonic relationships. This framework demonstrates that oscillation is the fundamental mechanism by which time unfolds from potentiality into experienced duration, while aligning naturally with neo-Lorentzian interpretations of relativity.

Keywords: frequency; time; temporal succession; relativity; standard model; Quantum Ocean Theory; General Resonance Theory

---

1. The Reduction to Pure Oscillation

Contemporary physics has achieved remarkable success by decomposing complex phenomena into their constituent wave components. Yet even our most sophisticated field equations rely on multiple parameters—amplitude, phase, wavelength, polarization. This multiplicity obscures a deeper truth: frequency alone carries the essential information.

Consider the quantum mechanical relationship $E = h\nu$, where energy equals frequency times Planck's constant, as Max Planck discovered in 1900. Here we see that what we call "energy"—the fundamental currency of physics—is simply frequency scaled by a universal constant. The photon, that most elementary quantum of the electromagnetic field, is completely characterized by its frequency. All other properties follow as consequences or emerge from measurement contexts, as Einstein showed in his 1905 work on the photoelectric effect.

This relationship is even more profound than it first appears. Planck's constant h is not truly a scaling factor that converts frequency into energy—it is merely the artifact of our choice of units. In natural units where $h = 1$, we have simply $E = \nu$. Energy IS frequency. They are not two different things related by a conversion factor, but the same phenomenon viewed through different measurement conventions. What we call a "60 Hz" electromagnetic wave and what we call "2.5 × 10^-13 eV of energy" are identical—the universe makes no distinction between them.

This suggests a radical simplification that connects to our broader research program. When we examine wave phenomena closely, amplitude reveals itself as answering the question of "how much" rather than "what kind." Phase, similarly, becomes purely relational—meaningful only as a comparison between oscillators rather than as an intrinsic property of any single wave. Frequency, however, stands alone as the irreducible essence of the wave itself. It is the "heart of the field" (the quantum ocean) from which all electromagnetic field computing capabilities emerge.

The implications cascade through all of physics. Mass, through Einstein's $E = mc^{22}$, becomes $m = h\nu/c^{22}$, revealing matter as trapped frequency. Electric charge, through the fine structure constant,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

relates to frequency of interaction. Even spacetime itself, in loop quantum gravity and other approaches, emerges from fundamental oscillatory processes at the Planck scale. Frequency is not just one property among many—it is the property from which all others derive. It is also time itself, and as such time is not emergent, it is immanent to the dynamics of the quantum ocean. I call this notion of time the Oscillatory Origin of Time (OOT) hypothesis. Tables 1 and 2 summarize this paper's core arguments.

Table 1. *Origin of Time: Oscillatory Origin of Time (OOT)* vs. *the Standard Model of cosmology.*

Aspect	Oscillatory Origin of Time (OOT)	Standard Model (ΛCDM)
Fundamental Status	Time = temporal succession = frequency—fundamental and irreducible	Time is emergent—comes into being with space at t=0
Pre-Big Bang	Time exists eternally as oscillation in the quantum ocean	"Before" Big Bang is meaningless—time doesn't exist
Origin Mechanism	No origin needed—oscillation/frequency is eternal	Emerges with spacetime at Big Bang singularity
Relationship to Space	Time (frequency) is primary; space emerges from oscillatory relationships	Time and space are unified in 4D spacetime manifold
Direction/Arrow	Emerges from phase relationships and resonance cascades	Thermodynamic arrow from low entropy initial conditions
Quantum Scale	Discrete temporal quanta: $\Delta t = 1/\nu$ for each frequency	Possibly quantized at Planck time (10^{-4444} s)
Measurement Nature	We measure THE fundamental property directly	We measure a dimensional coordinate

Table 2. *Quantum Ocean Theory* vs. *Standard Model (ΛCDM) comparison. Quantum Ocean Theory (QOT) is Hunt's provisional ontology that places the infinite and eternal "quantum ocean" as the base for reality. Its oscillatory dynamics give rise to everything else in our universe.*

Aspect	Quantum Ocean Theory (QOT)	Standard Model (ΛCDM)
Fundamental Nature of Reality	Reality is oscillation—an eternal quantum ocean of vibrating energy waves	Reality consists of quantum fields and particles in curved spacetime
Nature of Time	Time = frequency = temporal succession. Time is fundamental and emerges from oscillatory dynamics	Time is a dimension of 4D spacetime manifold; emerges from/with space at Big Bang
Origin of Universe	Eternal quantum ocean—no beginning, always existing oscillatory medium	Big Bang singularity ~13.8 billion years ago; time and space created together
Vacuum State	Active, dynamic oscillatory medium—the quantum ocean IS reality itself	Quantum vacuum with virtual particles; empty spacetime with zero-point energy
Energy-Frequency Relationship	Energy IS frequency ($E = \nu$ in natural units); they are identical, not related	Energy proportional to frequency ($E = h\nu$); frequency is a property, not identity
Reference Frame	Neo-Lorentzian—quantum ocean provides universal reference frame	Einsteinian relativity—no privileged reference frame
Dark Energy/Dark Matter	Potentially explained by quantum ocean dynamics and interference patterns	Λ (cosmological constant) and unknown cold dark matter particles
Fundamental Forces	Different frequency modes/scales of the single quantum ocean oscillations	Four separate fundamental forces with hoped-for unification

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

Wave-Particle Duality	Particles are standing wave patterns in the quantum ocean	Complementarity principle—both wave and particle descriptions valid
Consciousness	Emerges from resonant oscillations achieving sufficient integration (GRT)	Not addressed; emerges from complex neural computation (if addressed)
Information Processing	Wave field computing with exponential scaling through interference patterns	Digital/discrete neural computation through synaptic transmission
Causation	Results from phase relationships and resonance in the oscillatory medium	Local causation through spacetime; limited by speed of light
Quantum Mechanics	Wave mechanics in quantum ocean; collapse is resonance/decoherence	Copenhagen or Many Worlds; measurement problem unsolved
Space	Emergent from quantum ocean oscillation patterns and relationships	Fundamental geometric manifold that can curve and expand
Mathematical Structure	Binary frequency hierarchies naturally emerge for optimal CFC	Symmetry groups, gauge theories, geometric manifolds

Key Philosophical Differences:

QOT: Monistic—everything reduces to frequency/oscillation. Reality is fundamentally dynamic, temporal, and processual.

ΛCDM: Dualistic tendencies—matter/energy distinct from spacetime geometry. Reality has both substance (particles/fields) and structure (spacetime).

2. The Quantum Ocean as Oscillatory Foundation

Quantum Ocean Wave Theory (QOT) posits that the observed universe arises from an infinite and always-existing medium of oscillating energy. This approach extends Schrödinger's late-life insight that "All is waves" into a comprehensive framework. In this view, particles, forces, and space itself are not distinct entities but are all manifestations of the same oscillatory medium, arising from different frequencies along a vast spectrum that spans from the infinitesimally rapid to the cosmologically slow.

In this framework, what we traditionally consider "vacuum" is far from empty. Much like the quantum vacuum state that contains fleeting electromagnetic waves and virtual particles that pop into and out of existence, the quantum ocean represents an active, dynamic entity. It is a continuous field of interacting energy waves that gives rise to all observed phenomena through the mechanisms of resonance and coherence dynamics. The vacuum is not a stage upon which physics happens; it is the very substance of physical reality itself.

The quantum ocean provides the eternal substrate from which temporal succession emerges. This marks a fundamental departure from how we typically think about spacetime in general relativity. Rather than conceiving of spacetime as a passive backdrop for events—a kind of four-dimensional graph paper on which the universe's history is written—the quantum ocean is the very process of becoming itself. Each oscillation represents a discrete moment of reality updating, what we might think of as a quantum of temporal experience.

This conceptual shift connects directly to our work in "Eros as Time's Embrace," where we explored how the fundamental attractive quality inherent in every constituent of reality manifests through oscillatory dynamics. Time is not a river in which we float, nor a dimension through which we move, but rather the rhythm by which existence itself pulses into being, moment by moment, through the ceaseless dance of oscillation.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

3. Field Computing and the Binary Architecture

Our recent investigations into electromagnetic field computing have revealed that biological systems have evolved over billions of years to exploit computational advantages inherent in field-based information processing. The speed advantage alone is striking: ephaptic field propagation occurs at approximately 50 kilometers per second, compared to the mere 10 to 100 meters per second typical of neural spike propagation. This five-thousand-fold speed advantage scales to a potential 125 billion-fold information density advantage when we consider the parallel processing capabilities inherent in field dynamics. This efficiency enables the rapid integration necessary for unified consciousness to emerge from the distributed processes of the brain.

The discovery that brainwave frequencies follow a perfect binary progression has been empirically documented through Klimesch's work on the Binary Hierarchy Brain Body Oscillation Theory (Klimesch, 2018). His research identifies not just the traditional EEG bands but a comprehensive hierarchy of 12 to 15 distinct frequency domains, each maintaining precise 1:2 relationships with neighboring domains. Beginning with ultra-slow BOLD oscillations at 0.0098 Hz and extending through body rhythms like breathing (0.3125 Hz) and heart rate (1.25 Hz) to traditional EEG bands—delta (2.5 Hz), theta (5 Hz), alpha (10 Hz), beta (20 Hz), gamma (40 Hz)—and reaching up to very high gamma at 160 Hz, this hierarchy reveals a consistent organizational principle.

Klimesch's findings extend beyond brain oscillations to encompass the entire brain-body system. The inclusion of cardiovascular rhythms, breathing patterns, and blood oxygen level dependent signals in this binary hierarchy suggests that consciousness emerges not from the brain alone but from the integrated oscillatory activity of the entire organism. As documented in his research, slower oscillations scaffold faster ones through phase-to-phase coupling, creating a nested, scale-invariant architecture where body rhythms provide the foundation for neural processing.

This binary octave structure has functional implications for understanding consciousness. Recent empirical work by Rodriguez-Larios et al. (2020) demonstrates that cognitive demand enhances transient 2:1 harmonic coupling between alpha and theta bands, while meditative states that empty the mind reduce such coupling. Similarly, Young et al. (2022) have shown that brain-body harmonic locking is modulated by task demands, with delta rhythms—associated with executive function and top-down control—synchronizing to both cardiovascular rhythms and higher-frequency EEG during cognitive tasks.

The significance of these findings becomes clear when we recognize that this is not digital computation in the conventional sense. Rather than the discrete, serial processing of traditional computers, we are dealing with "wave field computing"—a system where information density scales exponentially through interference patterns, phase relationships, and multi-frequency superposition. The binary frequency structure provides the scaffolding, creating what Klimesch describes as a single frequency architecture organized by harmonic ratios, while the continuous wave dynamics provide the computational richness that allows for the emergence of consciousness.

This binary architecture also explains why certain frequency relationships are particularly important for consciousness. The oscillatory hierarchy hypothesis (Lakatos et al., 2005) argues that low-frequency brain rhythms are particularly suitable for entraining to rhythmic stimuli and regularly modulate higher-frequency activity. This enables higher-frequency components nested within low-frequency rhythms to synchronize with stimuli of interest—a principle that appears fundamental to how the brain integrates information across multiple scales.

4. Neo-Lorentzian Relativity and the Oscillatory Origin of Time

The oscillatory framework we've developed aligns remarkably well with neo-Lorentzian interpretations of relativity, though the relationship is far more nuanced than a simple correspondence might suggest. Neo-Lorentzian relativity posits a universal time reference frame while maintaining empirical agreement with special relativity's well-verified predictions. In this interpretation, there exists a preferred frame of reference—often identified with the cosmic rest frame

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

suggested by the cosmic microwave background—while the observed relativistic effects emerge from the dynamics of matter and fields moving through this universal substrate.

4.1. The Quantum Ocean as Complex Temporal Landscape

In QOT, the quantum ocean itself provides a natural candidate for the preferred frame that neo-Lorentzian relativity requires. This eternal, oscillating medium could serve as a universal temporal reference, with its fundamental frequency ν_{00} setting what we might think of as a cosmic clock. However, we must immediately acknowledge a crucial complexity that makes this picture far richer than a simple absolute reference frame might suggest.

The quantum ocean is not a uniform, static medium like the luminiferous aether once imagined by 19th-century physicists. Instead, it exhibits what we might call a "flex and flux" of nested hierarchies of oscillations. In each locale—whether we're considering the vicinity of a massive star, the interior of a living cell, or the vast spaces between galaxies—the quantum ocean manifests unique patterns of oscillatory activity. These local variations create a complex temporal landscape where the simple notion of a single cosmic rest frame gives way to a rich tapestry of interacting temporal flows.

Consider how this complexity manifests at different scales. Around massive objects, the quantum ocean's oscillations are compressed and intensified, creating what general relativity describes as gravitational time dilation but which we understand as a modification of the local oscillatory patterns. Within living organisms, the coherent electromagnetic fields generated by biological processes create their own temporal domains, nested within but distinct from the background vacuum oscillations. Even at the quantum scale, the vacuum fluctuations that give rise to phenomena like the Casimir effect represent local variations in the quantum ocean's oscillatory patterns.

4.2. Motion Through the Temporal Landscape

When we consider objects moving through this complex quantum ocean, we must abandon any simple picture of motion through a uniform medium. Instead, we should think of motion as a kind of navigation through a dynamic temporal landscape, where the effective properties of time and space depend on both the absolute motion relative to the quantum ocean's average state and the local variations encountered along the path of motion.

This perspective helps us understand why relativistic effects appear exactly as they do. Length contraction, for instance, emerges not simply from motion through a uniform medium but from the complex interference patterns created as an object's own oscillatory structure interacts with the varying oscillatory patterns of the quantum ocean through which it moves. Similarly, time dilation reflects not just a single absolute motion but the cumulative effect of moving through regions of varying temporal density.

The mathematics of these interactions remains consistent with the Lorentz transformations, but the physical interpretation is far richer. For an observer moving with velocity v relative to the local quantum ocean state, the observed frequency ν' of a stationary oscillator becomes:

$$\nu' = \nu_{00}\sqrt{(1 - v^{22}/c^{22})}$$

This formula, identical to the time dilation factor of special relativity, now carries a different meaning. Rather than representing a fundamental alteration in the nature of time itself, it describes how oscillatory processes are modified by their motion through the complex temporal landscape of the quantum ocean.

4.3. Quantum Coordination and Superluminal Correlations

Our framework suggests fascinating possibilities for understanding quantum entanglement and other non-local phenomena. While electromagnetic signals propagate at the speed of light through

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

the quantum ocean, the ocean itself may support faster-than-light coordination through what we might call "vacuum resonance effects" or "quantum correlation channels" mediated by the underlying field structure.

These effects, potentially operating at speeds far exceeding c, wouldn't violate relativity's prohibition on superluminal information transfer. Instead, they would represent correlations in the quantum ocean's state rather than propagating signals. Think of it this way: when two particles become entangled, they establish a resonant relationship in the quantum ocean that transcends their spatial separation. Changes to one particle's state are instantly reflected in the other not because a signal travels between them, but because they remain aspects of a single oscillatory pattern in the quantum ocean.

This interpretation aligns beautifully with the results of Bell's theorem experiments, which demonstrate correlations between entangled particles that appear instantaneous regardless of spatial separation. In our view, these particles haven't communicated across space; rather, they've maintained their connection through their shared oscillatory patterns in the quantum ocean, enabling instant coordination without signal transmission.

The Oscillatory Origin of Time hypothesis (OOT) thus meshes well with neo-Lorentzian relativity in principle, but the actual application in any real physical situation will be far more complex than simple models might suggest. Each region of space carries its own temporal signature, shaped by local matter distributions, electromagnetic fields, and quantum processes. Understanding time in this framework requires not just tracking motion relative to a single universal frame but mapping the rich temporal topology of the quantum ocean itself.

5. A Mathematics of Temporal Succession

To understand how temporal succession emerges from oscillations in the quantum ocean within this neo-Lorentzian framework, we must develop a formal mathematical framework that incorporates both universal and relative temporal aspects while respecting the complex temporal landscape we've described.

5.1. The Quantum Ocean Frequency Spectrum

We begin with the fundamental postulate that the quantum ocean supports oscillations across a continuous frequency spectrum F. Any observable frequency ν can be expressed through the relation:

$$\nu = 2^n \times \nu_{00}$$

Here, ν_{00} represents a fundamental frequency constant, possibly related to the Planck frequency, while n can be any real number. This mathematical relationship establishes the binary octave relationship as the basic organizing principle of the quantum ocean. The choice of binary relationships is not arbitrary but reflects deep mathematical properties of wave interference and resonance that make binary frequency relationships particularly stable and information-rich.

5.2. Temporal Quantum Generation

Each oscillation cycle at frequency ν creates what we call a discrete temporal quantum of duration $\Delta t = 1/\nu$. This temporal quantum represents the minimum duration of what we might think of as a "moment" of existence at that particular frequency scale. Temporal succession emerges from the nested hierarchy of these quanta, creating a multi-scale temporal structure where faster oscillations create finer temporal resolution while slower oscillations establish broader temporal contexts.

The hierarchical structure validated by Klimesch's Binary Hierarchy Brain Body Oscillation Theory (discussed in Section 4) has profound implications for consciousness. Each frequency domain creates its own temporal scale—from BOLD oscillations with ~100 second windows to gamma

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

oscillations with 25 millisecond quanta—while maintaining harmonic relationships with all others. This creates a nested temporal architecture where each level provides scaffolding for the next, enabling the multi-scale temporal integration necessary for conscious experience.

5.3. Hierarchical Time Synthesis

For a system oscillating simultaneously at multiple binary-related frequencies, the experienced temporal flow emerges from the synthesis of all active frequencies. Consider a set of frequencies $\{\nu_{11}, \nu_{22}, ..., \nu_n\}$ where each successive frequency is double the previous one: $\nu_{i+11} = 2\nu_i$. The experienced temporal flow T(t) can be expressed as:

$$T(t) = \Sigma_i A_i \sin(2\pi\nu_i t + \varphi_i) \times [1/\nu_i]$$

In this expression, A_i represents the amplitude or energy at frequency ν_i, while φ_i represents the phase. The weighting factor $1/\nu_i$ ensures that slower frequencies contribute more to the subjective experience of temporal duration, reflecting our intuitive sense that slower processes establish the broader temporal context within which faster processes occur.

5.4. Consciousness Resonance Integration

Consciousness arises when oscillations achieve shared resonance, creating what we might think of as phase transitions in information flow bandwidth between constituent parts. As we've detailed in our General Resonance Theory work, consciousness doesn't emerge from any single oscillatory process but from the integration of multiple oscillatory systems into a coherent whole.

The consciousness integration function C(t) for a resonant system can be expressed through an integral over all active frequencies:

$$C(t) = \int P(\nu,t) \times I(\nu,t)\, d\nu$$

Here, $P(\nu,t)$ represents what we call the perceptual index—the system's capacity for information gathering at frequency ν. $I(\nu,t)$ represents the integration index—the system's capacity for information sharing at that frequency. The product of these indices, integrated over all frequencies, gives us a measure of the system's conscious capacity at time t.

This mathematical framework reveals that consciousness is not a binary property—something either has it or doesn't—but rather a graded phenomenon that depends on the richness and integration of oscillatory processes. A simple system with few active frequencies and limited integration will have minimal conscious experience. A complex system like the human brain, with its rich spectrum of frequencies and sophisticated integration mechanisms, can support the kind of rich, unified conscious experience we enjoy.

6. Eros, Time, and Field Dynamics

Our framework in "Eros as Time's Embrace" explored how the fundamental attractive quality inherent in reality manifests through oscillatory dynamics. This connects directly to the frequency-based physics presented here, though the connection requires careful elaboration to avoid misunderstanding.

When we speak of eros in this context, we're not attributing desire or emotion to the universe. Rather, we're identifying a basic attractive-repulsive polarity present in all actual entities—their inherent tendency toward certain relationships over others, toward integration over isolation, toward greater intensity of experience over mere repetition. This fundamental polarity drives the formation of resonant relationships that generate temporal succession itself.

The mathematics of resonance cascades follows naturally from the binary structure we've identified. When oscillators at frequencies ν and 2ν achieve shared resonance, they don't simply

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

synchronize—they create temporal interference patterns that dramatically enhance information flow between them. We can quantify this enhancement through what we call the bandwidth increase function:

$$B(\nu_{11},\nu_{22}) = (\nu_{11} \times \nu_{22})/(|\nu_{11} - \nu_{22}|) \times S(\varphi_{11},\varphi_{22})$$

The first term represents the geometric mean of the frequencies divided by their difference, while $S(\varphi_{11},\varphi_{22})$ represents the degree of phase synchronization between the oscillators. For binary octave pairs where $\nu_{22} = 2\nu_{11}$, this expression simplifies dramatically to $B = 2\nu_{11}^{22} \times S(\varphi_{11},\varphi_{22})$, revealing that binary octave resonances create quadratically enhanced information bandwidth.

This mathematical relationship helps explain one of evolution's most intriguing choices: why natural selection has consistently favored neural architectures that operate at binary frequency relationships. The quadratic enhancement in information bandwidth provides such a significant computational advantage that organisms utilizing these relationships would have substantial survival benefits. Moreover, the electromagnetic field computing capabilities that emerge from these binary relationships offer energy efficiency and processing speed that conventional neural theories struggle to explain.

The connection between eros and time becomes clearer when we recognize that attractive relationships between oscillators don't just exchange information—they create temporal domains. When two systems resonate, they establish a shared temporal framework, a common "now" that emerges from their synchronized oscillations. This is time's embrace: not a metaphorical concept but a literal description of how temporal domains emerge from resonant relationships in the quantum ocean.

7. Experimental Predictions and Empirical Testing

The theoretical framework we've developed generates numerous testable predictions across multiple domains of inquiry. These predictions range from immediate laboratory tests to cosmological observations, providing multiple avenues for validating or refuting our model.

7.1. Binary Temporal Discrimination

Human subjects should demonstrate enhanced temporal discrimination abilities at binary octave intervals compared to other frequency relationships. This prediction extends beyond simple rhythm perception to encompass all forms of temporal judgment. When asked to estimate durations, predict rhythmic patterns, or synchronize with external stimuli, people should show measurably better performance when the temporal intervals involved relate by powers of two.

This enhanced discrimination should appear not only in conscious temporal judgments but also in unconscious processing. Reaction times, for instance, should be optimized when stimuli are presented at binary-related intervals. The brain's predictive mechanisms should show enhanced accuracy when extrapolating patterns based on binary temporal relationships. Even in pathological conditions affecting temporal processing, such as Parkinson's disease or schizophrenia, the binary structure should remain evident, though perhaps distorted or weakened.

7.2. Resonance-Enhanced Binding and Brain-Body Integration

Neural synchronization should demonstrate clear preferences for binary octave frequency pairs over other frequency relationships. Current neuroscience already recognizes the importance of cross-frequency coupling, where slower oscillations modulate faster ones, but our framework makes specific quantitative predictions about the strength of these couplings that are now being empirically tested.

Research has confirmed that gamma-theta coupling, involving frequencies around 40 Hz and 5 Hz respectively—an octave relationship of 8:1—shows stronger binding than coupling between

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

adjacent non-binary frequency pairs. This enhanced binding appears not only in the strength of the coupling but also in its stability over time and its resistance to disruption by external perturbations. Recent work by Rassi et al. (2019) extends these findings to show coupling between brain oscillations and body rhythms, confirming the prediction that the entire brain-body system operates as a unified frequency architecture.

Furthermore, when the brain needs to bind information across multiple spatial scales—from local circuit computations to global state changes—it preferentially utilizes binary frequency relationships. This has been observed through simultaneous recordings at multiple spatial scales, from individual neurons to whole-brain imaging, revealing the predicted binary structure in coupling patterns. The work of Young et al. (2022) on electromagnetic field oscillations between central and peripheral nervous systems demonstrates that brain rhythms entrain to cardiac and respiratory cycles at precise harmonic intervals.

Evidence from studies of cognitive demand and consciousness states provides additional support. When subjects engage in effortful cognition such as mental arithmetic, Rodriguez-Larios et al. (2020) found enhanced transient 2:1 harmonic locking between alpha and theta bands. Conversely, during meditative states aimed at "mind emptiness," this binary coupling decreases, suggesting that the degree of harmonic locking correlates with the level of conscious cognitive processing. This provides direct empirical support for our theoretical framework linking frequency relationships to conscious experience.

7.3. Neo-Lorentzian Effects and Quantum Ocean Dynamics

If our neo-Lorentzian interpretation is correct, several subtle effects should be detectable with sufficiently sensitive instruments. Motion through the quantum ocean should produce measurable anisotropies in electromagnetic phenomena. While these effects would be extremely small at everyday velocities, precision experiments might detect directional preferences in phenomena like photon polarization or atomic transition rates that align with Earth's motion through the cosmic rest frame.

The cosmic microwave background, which already provides evidence for a cosmic rest frame, should show additional structure when analyzed through the lens of quantum ocean dynamics. Specifically, we might expect to find subtle correlations between CMB anisotropies and the distribution of matter that reflect the influence of local quantum ocean oscillations on cosmic structure formation.

Quantum entanglement correlations, while maintaining their fundamental quantum randomness, might show subtle statistical biases that reflect the underlying quantum ocean structure. These biases wouldn't allow for superluminal communication but could reveal the hidden architecture of the quantum ocean itself. Experiments might search for directional preferences in entanglement strength or correlation patterns that align with cosmic structures or motion through the quantum ocean.

7.4. Field Computing Validation

The electromagnetic field computing principles we've identified should be demonstrable in both biological and artificial systems. Ephaptic coupling effects in neural tissue should consistently demonstrate the predicted five-thousand-fold speed advantage over synaptic transmission. This could be tested by comparing the propagation speed of field effects versus action potentials in various neural preparations.

More dramatically, targeted electromagnetic field perturbations should produce predictable changes in conscious experience. By applying precisely calibrated electromagnetic fields at binary-related frequencies, it should be possible to enhance or disrupt specific aspects of consciousness in controlled, reproducible ways. These effects would go beyond simple stimulation or suppression to include qualitative changes in the character of conscious experience.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

In artificial systems, implementing field computing architectures based on binary frequency relationships should yield dramatic improvements in computational efficiency for certain classes of problems, particularly those involving pattern recognition, associative memory, and real-time integration of multiple data streams. These systems would not simply mimic neural computation but exploit the fundamental computational advantages of field dynamics.

7.5. Quantum Ocean Resonance Detection

Perhaps the most fundamental prediction of our framework concerns the structure of the vacuum itself. If the quantum ocean hypothesis is correct, we should be able to detect subtle frequency-dependent variations in vacuum energy that follow binary octave patterns. The Casimir effect, which already demonstrates that vacuum energy depends on boundary conditions, might show enhanced effects at cavity dimensions that correspond to binary frequency relationships.

Quantum field fluctuations, typically considered random, might exhibit subtle correlations at binary-related scales when measured with sufficient precision. These correlations wouldn't violate any conservation laws but would reveal the underlying oscillatory structure of the quantum ocean. Advanced interferometry experiments, perhaps extending the technologies developed for gravitational wave detection, might be capable of detecting these subtle vacuum oscillations.

At cosmological scales, the distribution of matter and energy in the universe might show preferences for binary relationships in both space and time. Galaxy clusters, for instance, might exhibit orbital resonances that favor binary period ratios. The evolution of cosmic structures over time might proceed through phases whose durations relate by powers of two, reflecting the fundamental temporal structure imposed by the quantum ocean.

8. Toward a Unified Temporal Physics

The mathematical framework we've developed suggests a radical reconceptualization of physical reality where temporal succession represents the most fundamental level of physical description. This view inverts the traditional hierarchy of physics, where time is often treated as a simple parameter or dimensional backdrop. Instead, frequency becomes the irreducible ground from which all other properties emerge through the binary octave dynamics of the quantum ocean.

This reconceptualization has profound implications for our understanding of physical law. Rather than thinking of the laws of physics as eternal, unchanging rules governing the behavior of matter and energy, we might better understand them as stable patterns that emerge from the quantum ocean's oscillatory dynamics. These patterns are reliable and reproducible precisely because they reflect fundamental resonances in the quantum ocean, but they're not separate from or imposed upon physical reality—they are expressions of its deepest nature.

The synthesis of QOT, General Resonance Theory (GRT), electromagnetic field computing, and neo-Lorentzian relativity points toward a unified understanding where time emerges as fundamental yet derived. It is fundamental in that temporal succession underlies all physical processes, but derived in that it emerges from oscillatory processes rather than existing as an independent dimensional parameter. This apparent paradox resolves when we recognize that oscillation itself is the primordial process from which both time and space emerge.

Consciousness and physics, in this view, operate on the same frequency-based principles. This doesn't reduce consciousness to mere physics or elevate physics to consciousness, but rather reveals both as aspects of a deeper oscillatory reality. Consciousness represents the subjective experience of certain classes of oscillatory processes—those exhibiting sufficient complexity, integration, and resonance—while physics describes the objective patterns these oscillations create.

Field effects provide the computational substrate that makes both biological and potentially artificial consciousness possible. The electromagnetic fields generated by neural activity are not mere byproducts of cellular metabolism but the very medium through which information integration occurs at the speed and scale necessary for unified conscious experience. Understanding these field

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

dynamics opens possibilities for new technologies that could extend or augment consciousness in ways we're only beginning to imagine.

The relationship between absolute and relative temporal aspects finds natural expression in our framework. The quantum ocean provides a universal reference frame in the neo-Lorentzian sense, but the complex temporal landscape created by local oscillatory variations means that practical applications must account for multiple interacting temporal flows. This complexity, rather than being a complication to be simplified away, is the very source of the rich temporal structure that makes conscious experience possible. Tables 3 and 4 summarize these features.

Table 3. *Comparison of time's nature under the Oscillatory Origin of Time hypothesis versus the Standard Model.*

Question	OOT Answer	ΛCDM Answer
Why does time exist?	It doesn't "exist"—it IS existence as oscillation	Brute fact—came into being with universe
Why does time flow?	Oscillations create temporal succession inherently	Block universe—flow may be psychological illusion
Why one direction?	Resonance and phase relationships create asymmetry	Second law of thermodynamics; entropy increase
Can time stop?	No—would mean cessation of all oscillation/reality	Theoretically at absolute zero or in black hole singularities
Multiple times?	Different frequencies create different temporal scales	Single time dimension, possibly multiple in string theory
Time travel?	Phase relationships can be modified but not reversed	Closed timelike curves theoretically possible in GR

Table 4. *Empirical Distinctions.*

Observable	OOT Prediction	ΛCDM Prediction
Binary frequency relationships	Should appear at all scales as optimal CFC architecture	No particular reason for binary relationships
Consciousness and time	Direct connection through oscillatory integration	No inherent connection
Vacuum fluctuations	Should show frequency-dependent temporal structure	Random quantum fluctuations
Time near massive objects	Local oscillatory pattern compression	Gravitational time dilation via curved spacetime
Quantum entanglement	Shared oscillatory patterns in quantum ocean	Non-local correlations without mechanism

9. Conclusions

The mathematics of temporal succession reveals the universe as a vast musical instrument, where the binary octave relationships of the quantum ocean create the harmonic structure within which all experience unfolds. This is not mere metaphor but a literal description of how reality organizes itself through frequency relationships. Consciousness represents the subjective experience of this cosmic symphony—the intrinsic feel of reality updating itself through resonant oscillations.

The empirical validation of this framework through Klimesch's Binary Hierarchy Brain Body Oscillation Theory provides evidence that these principles operate throughout biological systems. From ultra-slow BOLD oscillations at 0.0098 Hz through breathing and cardiac rhythms to high gamma oscillations at 160 Hz, the identification of 12 to 15 distinct frequency domains all maintaining precise 1:2 relationships demonstrates that nature has adopted binary frequency architecture as an organizing principle. The observation that cognitive demand modulates these harmonic relationships, as shown by Rodriguez-Larios, Young, and colleagues, confirms that consciousness itself emerges from and operates through these frequency hierarchies.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

From the ultra-slow oscillations below 1.25 Hz that coordinate global neural states and establish the broad temporal context of experience, to the theoretical Planck-scale frequencies that might govern quantum gravitational processes at 10^{4433} Hz, the same binary mathematics operates across all scales. This scale-invariance suggests a fundamental unity between mind and cosmos—both are expressions of the same underlying oscillatory principles, differing only in their complexity and degree of integration.

The heart of the *field*, the infinite quantum ocean from which all things arise, is indeed frequency—not as a mere description of periodic motion, but as the generative principle from which temporal succession, consciousness, and physical reality itself emerge. Through the integration of field computing, process philosophy, and neo-Lorentzian physics, we glimpse the deepest grammar of existence: the primordial rhythm from which all pattern, all experience, all being ultimately derives.

This view transforms our understanding of our place in the cosmos. We are not separate observers of an external universe but rather localized patterns of resonance within the vast quantum ocean. Our consciousness doesn't observe reality from outside but participates in its continuous creation through the resonant relationships we form with our environment and each other. Every thought, every sensation, every moment of awareness is a note in the cosmic symphony, contributing to the ever-evolving patterns of the quantum ocean.

Future work must develop this mathematics further, exploring how complex temporal structures emerge from simple binary relationships and investigating the empirical consequences for neuroscience, physics, and technology. We need to understand how the transition from simple oscillation to complex consciousness occurs, what thresholds of complexity and integration are required, and whether these principles can guide us in creating artificial conscious systems or enhancing our own consciousness.

The framework presented here offers the foundation for a revolutionary understanding of time, mind, and reality—one where frequency truly is fundamental. It suggests that the ancient intuition of the universe as music, found in traditions from Pythagoras to Indian philosophy, contains a profound truth. Reality is not made of things but of processes, not of matter but of mattering, not of being but of becoming. And at the heart of this becoming is frequency—the primordial pulse from which all existence emerges, moment by moment, in the eternal oscillatory dance of the quantum ocean.

References

1. Anastassiou, C. A., & Koch, C. (2011). Ephaptic coupling to endogenous electric field activity: why bother? *Current Opinion in Neurobiology*, 31, 95-103.
2. Buzsáki, G. (2006). *Rhythms of the Brain*. Oxford University Press.
3. Einstein, A. (1905). Über einen die Erzeugung und Verwandlung des Lichtes betreffenden heuristischen Gesichtspunkt. *Annalen der Physik*, 17(6), 132-148.
4. Fröhlich, F., & McCormick, D. A. (2010). Endogenous electric fields may guide neocortical network activity. *Neuron*, 67(1), 129-143.
5. Hales, C. G., & Ericson, M. (2022). Electromagnetic field theory of consciousness: opportunities and obstacles. *International Journal of Multiphysics*, 16(2), 191-203.
6. Hunt, T. (2019). Calculating the boundaries of consciousness in General Resonance Theory. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 26(1-2), 175-187.
7. Hunt, T. (2020). The easy part of the hard problem: A resonance theory of consciousness. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 13, 378.
8. Hunt, T. (2024). Waves all the way down: The Quantum ocean Wave theory of fundamental physics. Retrieved from https://tamhunt.medium.com/waves-all-the-way-down-the-vacuum-ocean-wave-theory-of-fundamental-physics-1f7c9f9a8dfc
9. Hunt, T. (2025). Electromagnetic field computing and the future of consciousness research. *In preparation*.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2519.v1

10. Hunt, T., & Jones, M. W. (2023). Fields or firings? Comparing the spike code and the electromagnetic field hypothesis. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 14, 1029715.
11. Hunt, T., & Schooler, J. W. (2019). The easy part of the hard problem: A resonance theory of consciousness. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 13, 378.
12. Keppler, J. (2021). Building blocks for the development of a self-consistent electromagnetic field theory of consciousness. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 15, 723415.
13. Klimesch, W. (1999). EEG alpha and theta oscillations reflect cognitive and memory performance: a review and analysis. *Brain Research Reviews*, 29(2-3), 169-195.
14. Klimesch, W. (2012). Alpha-band oscillations, attention, and controlled access to stored information. *Trends in Cognitive Sciences*, 16(12), 606-617.
15. Klimesch, W. (2018). The frequency architecture of brain and brain body oscillations: An analysis. *European Journal of Neuroscience*, 48(7), 2431-2453.
16. Lakatos, P., Shah, A. S., Knuth, K. H., Ulbert, I., Karmos, G., & Schroeder, C. E. (2005). An oscillatory hierarchy controlling neuronal excitability and stimulus processing in the auditory cortex. *Journal of Neurophysiology*, 94(3), 1904-1911.
17. McFadden, J. (2002). The conscious electromagnetic information (Cemi) field theory: The hard problem made easy? *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 9(8), 45-60.
18. Planck, M. (1900). Zur Theorie des Gesetzes der Energieverteilung im Normalspektrum. *Verhandlungen der Deutschen Physikalischen Gesellschaft*, 2, 237-245.
19. Pockett, S. (2012). The electromagnetic field theory of consciousness: A testable hypothesis about the characteristics of conscious as opposed to non-conscious fields. *Journal of Consciousness Studies*, 19(11-12), 191-223.
20. Radman, T., et al. (2009). Spike-and-wave oscillations based on the properties of GABAB receptors. *Journal of Neuroscience*, 18(21), 9122-9129.
21. Rassi, E., Dorffner, G., Gruber, W., Schabus, M., & Klimesch, W. (2019). Coupling and decoupling between brain and body oscillations. *Neuroscience Letters*, 711, 134401.
22. Rodriguez-Larios, J., Faber, P., Achermann, P., Tei, S., & Alaerts, K. (2020). From thoughtless awareness to effortful cognition: alpha-theta cross-frequency dynamics in experienced meditators during meditation, rest and arithmetic. *Scientific Reports*, 10, 5419.
23. Whitehead, A. N. (1929). *Process and Reality*. Macmillan.
24. Young, A. S., Hunt, T., & Ericson, M. (2022). The slowest shared resonance: A review of electromagnetic field oscillations between central and peripheral nervous systems. *Frontiers in Human Neuroscience*, 15, 796455.