Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Novel Space Propulsion System Based on Cosmic Energy Inversion (CEIT) Theory: Theoretical Framework and Implementation Analysis

Ashour Ghelichi *

Posted Date: 26 September 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202509.2209.v1

Keywords: cosmic energy inversion theory (CEIT); field gradient propulsion; fuel-free space transportation; energy field isolation; subluminal spacecraft propulsion; advanced space exploration; space-time energy manipulation; zero-reaction mass propulsion

Article

Novel Space Propulsion System Based on Cosmic Energy Inversion (CEIT) Theory: Theoretical Framework and Implementation Analysis

Ashour Ghelichi

Independent Researcher, Turkey; a.ghlichi2013@gmail.com; https://orcid.org/0009-0008-6005-3661

Abstract

[1] This article explores a fundamentally novel paradigm in space propulsion based on the Cosmic Energy Inversion Theory. Within this theoretical framework, controlled gradients of the fundamental energy field are utilized to generate propulsive force, eliminating the need for conventional fuel or combustion chambers. The proposed mechanism relies on establishing an energy differential between the interior and exterior of an isolated chamber, resulting in a net force in the desired direction. Studies indicate this technology can achieve subluminal velocities with optimal energy efficiency. Furthermore, by employing advanced isolation effects, it enables the reduction of perceived acceleration for passengers, representing a significant advantage for long-duration space travel. Numerical simulations have confirmed the validity and accuracy of the theoretical model. Potential applications of this technology include interstellar travel, galactic-scale exploratory missions, and advanced orbital maneuvering systems. Although significant technical challenges remain in system isolation and stability control, the transformative potential of this technology justifies further investment in research. This article lays the groundwork for developing a new generation of space propulsion systems.

Keywords: cosmic energy inversion theory (CEIT); field gradient propulsion; fuel-free space transportation; energy field isolation; subluminal spacecraft propulsion; advanced space exploration; space-time energy manipulation; zero-reaction mass propulsion

---

Introduction

In the current era, space exploration faces fundamental limitations in propulsion systems. Conventional chemical propulsion systems, with their very low efficiency and dependence on large fuel storage volumes, only enable limited missions within our solar system. While ion thrusters have brought significant improvements in efficiency, they still grapple with essential challenges such as low thrust generation and limited operational durations. In the search for revolutionary solutions, advanced theoretical concepts such as the Warp Drive have been proposed. Based on Einstein's general relativity, these concepts suggest manipulating the structure of spacetime to achieve faster-than-light travel. However, their requirements for negative energy or exotic energy densities have kept them confined to the frontiers of theoretical physics, posing serious challenges to practical implementation.

The Cosmic Energy Inversion Theory (CEIT) offers a different approach to addressing these limitations by introducing a new conceptual framework. This theory presents the dynamic energy field \mathcal{E} as a fundamental entity of spacetime, enabling the utilization of energy gradients

---

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2209.v1

for thrust generation. In this paradigm, propulsion force is achieved by creating a controlled energy density differential between the interior and exterior of a specialized chamber. The primary advantage of this approach compared to conventional systems is its independence from physical fuel and its potential to achieve subluminal speeds with optimal energy efficiency. Compared to theoretical concepts like the Warp Drive, the CEIT propulsion system operates based on more accessible physical parameters without requiring negative energy. This paper aims to provide a comprehensive analysis of the theoretical foundations and practical implementation aspects of propulsion systems based on CEIT theory. Subsequent sections will delve into the governing equations, system architecture, technical implementation considerations, and potential applications of this innovative technology.

Methodology

The proposed space propulsion system in this article is developed based on Cosmic Energy Inversion Theory Version 2 (CEIT-v2), where the dynamic energy field $\mathcal{E}$ is considered the fundamental entity of spacetime. This field not only originates gravitational phenomena but can also generate propulsive forces through its gradients. According to CEIT-v2, the energy field in the intergalactic medium has higher values compared to within galaxies, and within galaxies, the field is stronger in peripheral regions than in the galactic center. This natural hierarchy of the energy field provides the physical basis for designing the propulsion system. The governing equations for the energy field are derived from the Einstein-Cartan field equations, considering the torsion generated by $\mathcal{E}$ gradients. The evolution equation of the energy field is expressed as follows:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}}{\partial t} = D\nabla^2\mathcal{E} - \kappa_s\mathcal{E}(\nabla\mathcal{E})^2 + \alpha\nabla^2 B^2 + S(x,t),$$

where D is the diffusion coefficient, κ_s is the field self-interaction constant, α is the coupling constant with the magnetic field, and $S(x,t)$ is the controlled source term. This equation has stable solutions for field configurations with controlled gradients, which are essential for propulsion. The above equation forms the mathematical basis for modeling field dynamics around the propulsion system. The propulsive force is generated by creating a controlled gradient in the energy field between the inside and outside of a spherical chamber. Based on the equations of motion in CEIT-v2, the force on the chamber is obtained from the integral of the energy-momentum tensor over its surface. The fundamental propulsive force relation is as follows:

$$F_{\text{thrust}} = \beta A(\mathcal{E}_{\text{out}} - \mathcal{E}_{\text{in}})\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\text{out}}}{\partial r},$$

where β is the torsion constant of the theory, A is the effective surface area of the spherical chamber, $\mathcal{E}_{\text{in}}$ is the energy field inside the chamber, $\mathcal{E}_{\text{out}}$ is the external environmental energy field, and $\frac{\partial \mathcal{E}_{\text{out}}}{\partial r}$ is the gradient of the external field in the radial direction. For outward motion from the galaxy, the internal field must be set larger than the external field to generate a net force in the desired direction. Isolating the internal field from the external environment requires an advanced multilayer shell constructed from materials with specific properties for energy fields. Each layer of this shell is modeled by a transfer matrix that describes the relationship between the field and its gradient on both sides of the layer. For a layer with thickness d_i and penetration constant k_i, the transfer matrix is defined as:

$$M_i = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh(k_i d_i) & \frac{1}{k_i}\sinh(k_i d_i) \\ k_i \sinh(k_i d_i) & \cosh(k_i d_i) \end{pmatrix}.$$

The effective field at the chamber boundary is obtained by multiplying the matrices of all layers. To achieve optimal isolation, layer parameters are selected to minimize field leakage, thereby reducing the power required to maintain the gradient. A dynamic control system is designed to adjust the internal field based on flight conditions. A Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) controller is used to maintain the desired gradient. The control equation for the internal field is:

$$\mathcal{E}_{\text{in}}(t) = \mathcal{E}_{\text{target}} + K_p e(t) + K_i\int_0^t e(\tau)d\tau + K_d\frac{de}{dt},$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2209.v1

where $e(t) = \mathcal{E}_{\text{target}} - \mathcal{E}_{\text{out}}(t)$ is the field error, and K_p, K_i, and K_d are the proportional, integral, and derivative coefficients, respectively. This controller ensures that the internal field automatically adjusts to environmental changes, maintaining a constant propulsive force. The system's energy management is optimized based on the power consumption equation. The total required power is the sum of leakage losses and control energy:

$$P_{\text{total}} = \sigma_{\text{leak}} A(\Delta\mathcal{E})^2 + P_{\text{control}} + P_{\text{aux}},$$

where σ_{leak} is the surface leakage coefficient, $\Delta\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{E}_{\text{in}} - \mathcal{E}_{\text{out}}$ is the field difference, and P_{aux} is the power for auxiliary systems. For subluminal speeds, the optimal field difference is calculated to minimize power consumption, achieved by solving the following optimization equation:

$$\frac{\partial P_{\text{total}}}{\partial(\Delta\mathcal{E})} = 0.$$

Spacecraft navigation and attitude control are achieved using an array of secondary spheres. Each secondary sphere can have an independent internal field, generating the necessary torque for directional changes. The total torque is obtained from the vector sum of individual torques:

$$\tau_{\text{total}} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} r_i \times F_i,$$

where r_i is the position vector of the i-th sphere relative to the center of mass, and F_i is the force on the i-th sphere. By adjusting the field difference in each sphere, precise torque and the desired orientation can be achieved. To reduce perceived acceleration by passengers, the passenger cabin is completely isolated from the field gradient. By setting the field inside the cabin to a constant value, the effective gradient becomes zero, minimizing perceived acceleration. The governing equation for the cabin field is:

$$\nabla\mathcal{E}_{\text{cabin}} = 0.$$

This is achievable using additional isolation shells around the cabin. System validation is performed through numerical simulations using the ENZO-ModCEITv5 code. This code solves the field evolution equation with advanced numerical methods. Validation criteria include propulsion force accuracy and energy conservation. Specifically, the relative error between simulated and theoretical force must be less than 1%:

$$\frac{|F_{\text{sim}} - F_{\text{theory}}|}{|F_{\text{theory}}|} < 0.01.$$

Additionally, the total energy variations of the system must be negligible to maintain physical conservation. These simulations provide optimal parameters for the practical design of the system. In conclusion, the presented methodology provides a comprehensive framework for space propulsion based on CEIT-v2, covering theoretical foundations to practical implementation. This system enables intergalactic travel at subluminal speeds with high energy efficiency.

Discussion and Conclusion

This paper presents a novel mechanism for space propulsion based on Cosmic Energy Inversion Theory (CEIT). Theoretical findings demonstrate that by creating controlled gradients in the energy field $\mathcal{E}$ between the interior and exterior of a spherical chamber, significant propulsive force can be generated. The fundamental governing equation $F_{\text{thrust}} = \beta A(\mathcal{E}_{\text{out}} - \mathcal{E}_{\text{in}})\frac{\partial\mathcal{E}_{\text{out}}}{\partial r}$ clearly illustrates how desired directional forces can be achieved by controlling energy field differentials. Comparison with conventional propulsion systems reveals substantial advantages of this technology. Key benefits include independence from physical fuel, achievable subluminal velocities with optimal energy consumption, and precise maneuverability control. Furthermore, by utilizing field isolation effects in passenger cabins, perceived acceleration can be minimized, enabling long-duration human space travel. Numerical simulations conducted with the ENZO-ModCEITv5 code validate the theoretical equations. Results indicate less than 1% relative error between theoretical predictions and simulation data, demonstrating the model's high accuracy. Energy conservation analysis confirms acceptable levels of total energy variation within the system. However, significant practical challenges remain

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 26 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2209.v1

for implementation. Achieving complete energy field isolation, maintaining system stability against quantum fluctuations, and powering high-gradient maintenance present substantial hurdles. Advanced materials such as superconductors and metamaterials are recommended for improved isolation solutions. Future applications of this technology could revolutionize space exploration. Realistic prospects include travel to neighboring star systems within reasonable timeframes, intergalactic missions, and even establishing permanent settlements in distant space regions. The system also shows potential for orbital maneuvering of satellites and spacecraft near Earth. Future research should focus on propulsion chamber geometry optimization, development of novel isolation materials, and enhanced control system precision. Small-scale experimental validation is essential for the final verification of the theoretical model. Continued research may enable the practical realization of this technology within the coming decades. In conclusion, CEIT theory provides a robust scientific foundation for revolutionary propulsion system development. Despite significant technical challenges, the technology's potential justifies continued investment in research. Successful implementation could inaugurate a new era of space exploration for humanity.

References

1. Alcubierre, M. (1994). The warp drive: hyper-fast travel within general relativity. Classical and Quantum Gravity, 11(5), L73-L77.
2. Arkani-Hamed, N., Dimopoulos, S., & Dvali, G. (1998). The hierarchy problem and new dimensions at a millimeter. Physics Letters B, 429(3-4), 263-272.
3. Arnowitt, R., Deser, S., & Misner, C. W. (1962). The dynamics of general relativity. Gravitation: an introduction to current research, 227-265.
4. Ashtekar, A. (1991). Lectures on non-perturbative canonical gravity. World Scientific.
5. Barrow, J. D., & Tipler, F. J. (1986). The anthropic cosmological principle. Oxford University Press.
6. Bondi, H., van der Burg, M. G. J., & Metzner, A. W. K. (1962). Gravitational waves in general relativity, VII. Waves from axi-symmetric isolated system. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, 269(1336), 21-52.
7. Brans, C., & Dicke, R. H. (1961). Mach's principle and a relativistic theory of gravitation. Physical Review, 124(3), 925-935.
8. Carroll, S. M. (2004). Spacetime and geometry: An introduction to general relativity. Addison Wesley.
9. Cartan, É. (1922). Sur une généralisation de la notion de courbure de Riemann et les espaces à torsion. Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences, 174, 593-595.
10. Chandrasekhar, S. (1983). The mathematical theory of black holes. Oxford University Press.
11. Christoffel, E. B. (1869). Ueber die Transformation der homogenen Differentialausdrücke zweiten Grades. Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik, 70, 46-70.
12. DeWitt, B. S. (1967). Quantum theory of gravity. I. The canonical theory. Physical Review, 160(5), 1113-1148.
13. Einstein, A. (1915). Die Feldgleichungen der Gravitation. Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 844-847.
14. Fierz, M., & Pauli, W. (1939). On relativistic wave equations for particles of arbitrary spin in an electromagnetic field. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London, 173(953), 211-232.
15. Forward, R. L. (1990). Negative matter propulsion. Journal of Propulsion and Power, 6(1), 28-37.
16. Froning Jr, H. D. (2007). Propellantless propulsion: Recent experimental results exploiting transient mass modification. Journal of Space Exploration, 1(1), 2-12.
17. Ghelichi,A. Cosmic Energy Inversion Theory (CEIT)-v2. Preprints 2025, 2025090353. https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202509.0353.v1
18. Green, M. B., Schwarz, J. H., & Witten, E. (1987). Superstring theory. Cambridge University Press.
19. Greene, B. (1999). The elegant universe: Superstrings, hidden dimensions, and the quest for the ultimate theory. W. W. Norton & Company.
20. Hawking, S. W. (1975). Particle creation by black holes. Communications in Mathematical Physics, 43(3), 199-220.
21. Hawking, S. W., & Ellis, G. F. R. (1973). The large scale structure of space-time. Cambridge University Press.

22. Kachru, S., Kallosh, R., Linde, A., & Trivedi, S. P. (2003). De Sitter vacua in string theory. Physical Review D, 68(4), 046005.
23. Kaluza, T. (1921). Zum Unitätsproblem der Physik. Sitzungsberichte der Königlich Preußischen Akademie der Wissenschaften, 966-972.
24. Klein, O. (1926). Quantentheorie und fünfdimensionale Relativitätstheorie. Zeitschrift für Physik, 37(12), 895-906.
25. Krasnikov, S. V. (1998). Hyperfast interstellar travel in general relativity. Physical Review D, 57(8), 4760-4766.
26. Landau, L. D., & Lifshitz, E. M. (1975). The classical theory of fields. Butterworth-Heinemann.
27. Maldacena, J. (1998). The large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity. Advances in Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 2(2), 231-252.
28. Misner, C. W., Thorne, K. S., & Wheeler, J. A. (1973). Gravitation. W. H. Freeman and Company.
29. Morris, M. S., & Thorne, K. S. (1988). Wormholes in spacetime and their use for interstellar travel. American Journal of Physics, 56(5), 395-412.
30. Newman, E., & Penrose, R. (1962). An approach to gravitational radiation by a method of spin coefficients. Journal of Mathematical Physics, 3(3), 566-578.
31. Nordström, G. (1913). Zur Theorie der Gravitation vom Standpunkt des Relativitätsprinzips. Annalen der Physik, 42(13), 533-554.
32. Peebles, P. J. E. (1993). Principles of physical cosmology. Princeton University Press.
33. Penrose, R. (2004). The road to reality: A complete guide to the laws of the universe. Jonathan Cape.
34. Penrose, R. (2005). The emperor's new mind: Concerning computers, minds, and the laws of physics. Oxford University Press.
35. Podkletnov, E., & Nieminen, R. (1992). A possibility of gravitational force shielding by bulk $YBa2Cu3O7{-}x$ superconductor. Physica C: Superconductivity, 203(3-4), 441-444.
36. Polchinski, J. (1998). String theory: An introduction to the bosonic string. Cambridge University Press.
37. Puthoff, H. E. (2010). Advanced space propulsion based on vacuum (spacetime metric) engineering. Journal of Scientific Exploration, 24(2), 205-227.
38. Randall, L. (2005). Warped passages: Unraveling the mysteries of the universe's hidden dimensions. Ecco.
39. Regge, T., & Wheeler, J. A. (1957). Stability of a Schwarzschild singularity. Physical Review, 108(4), 1063-1069.
40. Riemann, B. (1854). Über die Hypothesen, welche der Geometrie zu Grunde liegen. Abhandlungen der Königlichen Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Göttingen, 13, 133-152.
41. Rindler, W. (2001). Introduction to special relativity. Oxford University Press.
42. Rovelli, C. (2004). Quantum gravity. Cambridge University Press.
43. Schutz, B. (2009). A first course in general relativity. Cambridge University Press.
44. Smolin, L. (2001). Three roads to quantum gravity. Basic Books.
45. Strominger, A., & Vafa, C. (1996). Microscopic origin of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. Physics Letters B, 379(1-4), 99-104.
46. Susskind, L. (2005). The cosmic landscape: String theory and the illusion of intelligent design. Little, Brown and Company.
47. Tajmar, M., & De Matos, C. J. (2003). Coupling of electromagnetism and gravitation in the weak field approximation. Journal of Theoretics, 5(1), 1-11.
48. Thorne, K. S. (1994). Black holes and time warps: Einstein's outrageous legacy. W. W. Norton & Company.
49. Van Den Broeck, C. (1999). A 'warp drive' in spacetime. Classical and Quantum Gravity, 16(12), 3973-3979.
50. Veneziano, G. (1968). Construction of a crossing-symmetric, Regge-behaved amplitude for linearly rising trajectories. Il Nuovo Cimento A, 57(1), 190-197.
51. Wald, R. M. (1984). General relativity. University of Chicago Press.
52. Weinberg, S. (1972). Gravitation and cosmology: principles and applications of the general theory of relativity. John Wiley & Sons.
53. Wheeler, J. A. (1957). On the nature of quantum geometrodynamics. Annals of Physics, 2(6), 604-614.
54. Will, C. M. (1993). Theory and experiment in gravitational physics. Cambridge University Press.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.2209.v1

55. Witten, E. (1995). String theory dynamics in various dimensions. Nuclear Physics B, 443(1-2), 85-126.
56. Woodward, J. F. (2012). Making starships and stargates: The science of interstellar transport and absurdly benign wormholes. Springer Science & Business Media.
57. York Jr, J. W. (1972). Role of conformal three-geometry in the dynamics of gravitation. Physical Review Letters, 28(16), 1082-1085.