Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Hybrid Sobolev-Besov Spaces and Anisotropic Schrödinger-Type Operators for Turbulence

Rômulo Damasclin Chaves dos Santos * and Jorge Henrique de Oliveira Sales

Posted Date: 23 September 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

Keywords: hybrid Sobolev-Besov spaces; anisotropic Schrödinger operators; stochastic Navier-Stokes; Kato-Ponce estimates; directional dissipation

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

Article

Hybrid Sobolev–Besov Spaces and Anisotropic Schrödinger-Type Operators for Turbulence

Rômulo Damasclin Chaves dos Santos * and Jorge Henrique de Oliveira Sales

Santa Cruz State University
* Correspondence: rdcsantos@uesc.br

Abstract

This work establishes a rigorous functional-analytic framework for hybrid Sobolev-Besov spaces and anisotropic Schrödinger-like operators, motivated by the study of turbulence and stochastic partial differential equations (SPDEs). We introduce a novel hybrid space, $B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$, combining fractional Sobolev regularity in L^p with L^q-integrability, and prove its completeness as a Banach space. The anisotropic Schrödinger-like operator, defined via a uniformly elliptic matrix field and a form-bounded potential, is shown to be self-adjoint with compact resolvent, admitting a discrete spectral decomposition. For the stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, we derive fractional-energy estimates in the hybrid space, leveraging Kato-Ponce commutator estimates and Itô's formula in Hilbert spaces to control the nonlinear term. A directional dissipation inequality is proven via Fourier-symbol coercivity, demonstrating enhanced dissipation along principal directions encoded by a positive-definite matrix. The analysis relies on Sobolev embeddings, Rellich-Kondrachov compactness, quadratic form methods, and paradifferential calculus. These results provide a robust foundation for studying anisotropic energy transfer and intermittency in turbulent flows, bridging deterministic and stochastic perspectives.

Keywords: hybrid Sobolev-Besov spaces; anisotropic Schrödinger operators; stochastic Navier-Stokes; Kato-Ponce estimates; directional dissipation

---

1. Introduction

Classical statistical and analytical theories of turbulence began with the pioneering work of Kolmogorov, who provided the first systematic description of the universal small-scale statistics of isotropic turbulence and proposed the classical energy cascade picture and scaling laws in the inertial range [1]. Subsequent deterministic and PDE-oriented analyses, including vorticity-based viewpoints and rigorous treatment of incompressible flow, were developed and popularized in monographs such as Majda and Bertozzi's *Vorticity and Incompressible Flow* [2], which provide both the physical intuition and analytic tools (energy methods, vorticity dynamics, transport estimates) used to control solutions of Navier–Stokes and related systems.

From the functional-analytic perspective, modern treatments of fine regularity — notably those involving Besov and Triebel–Lizorkin scales — are systematically treated in the function-space literature; Triebel's work is a standard reference for the role of Besov scales in analyzing local regularity and embeddings [3]. These scales play an essential role when one wishes to describe simultaneously global smoothness and local oscillatory behaviour (anistotropic features and intermittency) typical of turbulent flows.

On the operator-theoretic side, spectral methods and the theory of self-adjoint operators provide a natural framework to study modal decompositions and energy redistribution; classic references include Reed and Simon's functional-analytic treatment of Schrödinger operators and spectral theory [4]. Motivated by such considerations we introduced anisotropic Schrödinger-like operators to model preferential dissipation and spectral energy transfer along distinguished directions. The rigorous

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

construction and perturbation-theoretic treatment of such operators is underpinned by Kato's operator perturbation and quadratic-form theory [5].

When stochastic forcing is present, the probabilistic and infinite-dimensional stochastic PDE framework supplies existence and stability tools; for canonical references on stochastic evolution equations and infinite-dimensional Itô calculus we rely on Da Prato and Zabczyk [6]. Their framework justifies the use of Itô formula in Hilbert spaces and the martingale methods used to derive a priori estimates for stochastic Navier–Stokes solutions in fractional spaces.

Finally, the analytic heart of our fractional regularity estimates depends on sharp product and commutator estimates for fractional derivatives. The Kato–Ponce inequalities (and their endpoint refinements) are the central tool here; modern, sharp formulations and proofs using Littlewood–Paley / paradifferential calculus and endpoint results are due to Grafakos and Oh [7] and related works (see also Taylor [8] for pseudodifferential perspectives). We therefore present below a self-contained derivation of the Kato–Ponce product inequality and the Kato–Ponce commutator estimates in a form that is ready to be applied to our stochastic-anisotropic setting.

2. Preliminaries and Notation

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain. For each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $H^k(\Omega)$ the usual L^2-based Sobolev space, and by $W^{s,p}(\Omega)$ the general Sobolev space of order $s \in \mathbb{R}$ and integrability $p \in [1, \infty]$. The Lebesgue space $L^p(\Omega)$ is equipped with the norm

$$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} := \left(\int_\Omega |f(x)|^p \, dx \right)^{1/p}, \qquad 1 \le p < \infty, \tag{1}$$

with the usual modification for $p = \infty$.

For $s \in \mathbb{R}$, the *fractional derivative operator* ∇^s (which coincides with the fractional Laplacian in the Fourier sense) is defined for Schwartz functions $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ by

$$\widehat{\nabla^s f}(\xi) = |\xi|^s \, \widehat{f}(\xi), \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{2}$$

and is extended by density to the appropriate Sobolev scales.

We denote by $H_0^1(\Omega)$ the closure of $C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ in $H^1(\Omega)$:

$$H_0^1(\Omega) := \overline{C_c^\infty(\Omega)}^{H^1(\Omega)}. \tag{3}$$

Its dual space is denoted by $H^{-1}(\Omega)$:

$$H^{-1}(\Omega) := \left(H_0^1(\Omega) \right)'. \tag{4}$$

Throughout the paper, the symbol $C > 0$ denotes a generic constant whose value may change from line to line, and we write

$$A \lesssim B \quad \text{to mean} \quad A \le C\, B. \tag{5}$$

3. Hybrid Sobolev–Besov Space: Precise Definition and Completeness

The definition used in the original manuscript was informal. Here we give a rigorous functional–analytic definition and prove completeness.

Definition 1 (Hybrid Sobolev–Besov Norm)**.** *Fix $s \ge 0$ and exponents $1 \le p, q < \infty$. For any measurable function $f : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ define*

$$\|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)} := \|\nabla^s f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}. \tag{6}$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

The hybrid Sobolev–Besov space *is then given by*

$$B^s_{p,q}(\Omega) := \Big\{ f \in L^q(\Omega) \ : \ \nabla^s f \in L^p(\Omega) \Big\}, \tag{7}$$

equipped with the norm (6).

Remark 1. *When* $p = q = 2$ *and* $s \in \mathbb{N}$, *the space* (7) *coincides with a Sobolev space of order* s, *i.e.,*

$$B^s_{2,2}(\Omega) = H^s(\Omega), \tag{8}$$

and the norm (6) *is equivalent to the standard* H^s *norm. For non-integer* $s > 0$, *the space combines fractional Sobolev regularity of order* s *(measured in* L^p*) and* L^q*-integrability control:*

$$\|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)} \simeq \|\nabla^s f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}. \tag{9}$$

Thus (6) *defines a genuine Banach norm on* (7).

Proposition 1 (Completeness)**.** *The space* $B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$ *endowed with the norm* (6) *is a Banach space.*

Proof. Let $(f_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$ be a Cauchy sequence with respect to (6). Then both (f_n) in $L^q(\Omega)$ and $(\nabla^s f_n)$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ are Cauchy. Since $L^q(\Omega)$ and $L^p(\Omega)$ are Banach spaces, there exist $f \in L^q(\Omega)$ and $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ such that

$$f_n \to f \quad \text{in } L^q(\Omega), \qquad \nabla^s f_n \to g \quad \text{in } L^p(\Omega). \tag{10}$$

By the weak definition of ∇^s on Sobolev scales, one has $\nabla^s f = g$, hence $f \in B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$ and

$$\|f_n - f\|_{B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)} \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty.$$

Therefore $B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$ is complete. □

Theorem 1 (Completeness of $B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$)**.** *Let* Ω *be bounded. For* $s \geq 0$ *and* $1 \leq p, q < \infty$, *the space* $B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$ *with the norm* $\|\cdot\|_{B^s_{p,q}}$ *is a Banach space.*

Proof. Let $(f_k)_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$. Then both sequences $(\nabla^s f_k)$ in $L^p(\Omega)$ and (f_k) in $L^q(\Omega)$ are Cauchy. Since $L^p(\Omega)$ and $L^q(\Omega)$ are complete, there exist limits $g \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $h \in L^q(\Omega)$ such that

$$\nabla^s f_k \to g \text{ in } L^p(\Omega), \qquad f_k \to h \text{ in } L^q(\Omega).$$

We must show $g = \nabla^s h$ in the distributional sense, and hence $h \in B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$ and $f_k \to h$ in the hybrid norm.

Take any $\varphi \in C^\infty_c(\Omega)$. For each k,

$$\int_\Omega (\nabla^s f_k)\varphi \, dx = \int_\Omega f_k \, \nabla^s \varphi \, dx,$$

(using the distributional definition of ∇^s via Fourier multipliers; for bounded domain one can extend by zero and use duality). Passing to the limit as $k \to \infty$ gives

$$\int_\Omega g \, \varphi \, dx = \int_\Omega h \, \nabla^s \varphi \, dx,$$

so $g = \nabla^s h$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\Omega)$. Since $g \in L^p(\Omega)$, this shows $\nabla^s h \in L^p(\Omega)$ and $h \in B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$. Finally,

$$\|f_k - h\|_{B^s_{p,q}} \leq \|\nabla^s f_k - g\|_{L^p} + \|f_k - h\|_{L^q} \to 0,$$

so $f_k \to h$ in the hybrid norm. Thus $B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$ is complete. □

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

4. Anisotropic Schrödinger–like Operator: Assumptions and Quadratic Form

We introduce the operator used to model anisotropic energy interactions and present a rigorous route to self–adjointness and spectral decomposition.

4.1. Assumptions on Coefficients and Potential

Let $A(x)$ be a symmetric matrix field on $\overline{\Omega}$ with entries in $L^\infty(\Omega)$ satisfying the uniform ellipticity condition: there exist constants $0 < \lambda \le \Lambda < \infty$ such that for almost every $x \in \Omega$ and all $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\lambda\,|\xi|^2 \;\le\; \xi^\top A(x)\xi \;\le\; \Lambda\,|\xi|^2. \tag{11}$$

We will write A_Σ when A derives from a fixed symmetric positive–definite matrix Σ and an orthogonal change of variables.

Let $V : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$ be a real–valued potential. We assume either:

(V1) $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$, or

(V2) V is form–bounded with respect to the Dirichlet form; i.e., there exist $\alpha < 1$ and $C \ge 0$ such that for all $\phi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$,

$$\|V^{1/2}\phi\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)} \;\le\; \alpha \int_\Omega \nabla\phi(x)^\top A(x)\nabla\phi(x)\,dx + C\|\phi\|^2_{L^2(\Omega)}. \tag{12}$$

Both assumptions allow us to treat V as a relatively bounded perturbation of the elliptic operator defined by $A(x)$.

4.2. Quadratic Form and Friedrichs Extension

Define the bilinear (sesquilinear in the complex case) form $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $H_0^1(\Omega)$ by

$$a(\phi,\psi) := \int_\Omega \nabla\phi(x)^\top A(x)\nabla\psi(x)\,dx + \int_\Omega V(x)\phi(x)\psi(x)\,dx, \tag{13}$$

for all $\phi, \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega)$.

Under (11) and ((V1)) (or ((V2))), $a(\cdot,\cdot)$ is densely defined, symmetric and lower–bounded on $H_0^1(\Omega)$. Therefore the closed form a generates a unique self–adjoint operator L (the Friedrichs extension) such that

$$\begin{aligned} &D(L) \subset H_0^1(\Omega), \\ &\langle L\phi, \psi\rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} = a(\phi,\psi) \quad \forall \psi \in H_0^1(\Omega). \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

Theorem 2 (Self–Adjointness and Compact Resolvent)**.** *Under the stated assumptions on A and V, the operator L associated with a is self–adjoint and bounded from below. Moreover, L has compact resolvent; in particular L admits a discrete real spectrum*

$$\{\lambda_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}, \qquad \lambda_k \to +\infty \text{ as } k \to \infty, \tag{15}$$

with corresponding L^2–orthonormal eigenfunctions

$$\{\phi_k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \subset D(L), \tag{16}$$

forming a complete orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$.

Proof. Self–adjointness follows from the representation theorem for closed, densely–defined, symmetric sesquilinear forms (Kato; see [5]). Lower boundedness is guaranteed by (11) and either ((V1)) or ((V2)).

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

For compactness of the resolvent, note that L is elliptic and $H_0^1(\Omega)$ embeds compactly into $L^2(\Omega)$ by the Rellich–Kondrachov theorem (since Ω is bounded and Lipschitz). For sufficiently large $\mu > 0$, the resolvent $(L + \mu I)^{-1} : L^2(\Omega) \to H_0^1(\Omega)$ is bounded, and the embedding $H_0^1(\Omega) \hookrightarrow L^2(\Omega)$ is compact, hence the resolvent is compact. By spectral theory for compact self–adjoint operators, the spectrum is discrete and real, and the eigenfunctions (16) form an orthonormal basis of $L^2(\Omega)$. □

Remark 2. *If Neumann boundary conditions are desired, one replaces $H_0^1(\Omega)$ by $H^1(\Omega)$ and imposes the appropriate compatibility constraints. The same strategy applies provided the coefficients remain uniformly elliptic.*

5. Regularity for Stochastic Navier–Stokes Equations in the Hybrid Space

We provide a rigorous formulation of the main regularity result for the incompressible stochastic Navier–Stokes equations. The analysis is performed in an L^2-based Hilbert setting; extension to general (p,q)-hybrid Besov–Sobolev spaces requires additional interpolation and embedding arguments.

5.1. Setting and Assumptions

Consider the incompressible Navier–Stokes equations with stochastic forcing on a bounded Lipschitz domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$:

$$\begin{cases} du + \Big((u \cdot \nabla)u - \nu\Delta u + \nabla p\Big)\, dt = f\, dt + G\, dW_t, \\ \nabla \cdot u = 0, \\ u|_{t=0} = u_0, \end{cases} \tag{17}$$

where W_t is a cylindrical Wiener process on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{U}$ and G is a bounded linear operator

$$G : L^2(\Omega; \mathcal{U}) \to L^2(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^n)$$

encoding the noise spatial structure. Applying the Leray projector P onto divergence-free fields eliminates the pressure term.

Assumption 1. *We assume:*

(i) $u_0 \in H^s(\Omega)$ *for some* $s \geq 0$ *(or* $u_0 \in B^s_{2,2}$ *for fractional Besov regularity);*

(ii) $f \in L^2(0,T; H^{s-1}(\Omega))$ *(or* $f \in L^2(0,T; B^{s-1}_{2,2})$*);*

(iii) $\mathrm{Tr}(GG^*) < \infty$ *(trace-class noise) and* G *maps into divergence-free fields.*

5.2. Fractional Energy Identity via Itô Formula

Let H denote the space of divergence-free L^2-fields and $V = H_0^1 \cap H$ the energy space. For fractional regularity $s \geq 0$, define

$$H^s := \Big\{ u \in H : \nabla^s u \in L^2(\Omega) \Big\}.$$

For smooth solutions (density argument extends the result), apply ∇^s to (17), then take the L^2 inner product with $\nabla^s u$ and use the Itô formula for $\|\nabla^s u\|^2_{L^2}$.

Formally one obtains:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\, d\|\nabla^s u\|^2_{L^2} + \nu\|\nabla^{s+1} u\|^2_{L^2}\, dt &= -\langle \nabla^s(u \cdot \nabla u), \nabla^s u\rangle\, dt + \langle \nabla^s f, \nabla^s u\rangle\, dt \\ &\quad + \langle \nabla^s G\, dW_t, \nabla^s u\rangle + \frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Tr}\big(\nabla^s G(\nabla^s G)^*\big)\, dt. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

5.3. Commutator Estimate for the Nonlinear Term

The critical term is

$$I := \langle \nabla^s(u \cdot \nabla u), \nabla^s u \rangle. \tag{19}$$

Using the commutator splitting,

$$\nabla^s(u \cdot \nabla u) = u \cdot \nabla \nabla^s u + [\nabla^s, u \cdot \nabla]u, \tag{20}$$

we have

$$I = \langle u \cdot \nabla \nabla^s u, \nabla^s u \rangle + \langle [\nabla^s, u \cdot \nabla]u, \nabla^s u \rangle. \tag{21}$$

The first term vanishes for divergence-free u by integration by parts. For the second term we employ Kato–Ponce-type commutator estimates:

$$\|[\nabla^s, u \cdot \nabla]u\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \|\nabla^s u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^s u\|_{L^r} \|\nabla u\|_{L^p}, \tag{22}$$

with indices (p, r) determined by Sobolev embedding. For $s > \frac{n}{2}$ we have $\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim \|\nabla^s u\|_{L^2}$, and hence

$$\left|\langle [\nabla^s, u \cdot \nabla]u, \nabla^s u \rangle\right| \lesssim \epsilon \|\nabla^{s+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\epsilon) \|\nabla^s u\|_{L^2}^4, \tag{23}$$

for any $\epsilon > 0$, by interpolation and Young's inequality. When $s \leq \frac{n}{2}$ more delicate Besov control is required, which justifies the hybrid Sobolev–Besov setting.

5.4. A Priori Estimate and Gronwall

Substituting (23) into (18) and taking expectation (the stochastic integral is a martingale with zero expectation) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{E}\|\nabla^s u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \nu \mathbb{E}\|\nabla^{s+1} u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \leq C\mathbb{E}\|\nabla^s u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathbb{E}\|\nabla^s f(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \mathrm{Tr}\big(\nabla^s G (\nabla^s G)^*\big). \tag{24}$$

By Gronwall's inequality,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|\nabla^s u(t)\|_{L^2}^2\Big] + \nu \mathbb{E}\int_0^T \|\nabla^{s+1} u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt \leq C\Big(\|\nabla^s u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^T \mathbb{E}\|\nabla^s f(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \, dt + T \cdot \mathrm{Tr}\big(\nabla^s G(\nabla^s G)^*\big)\Big). \tag{25}$$

In particular, for the hybrid Besov space $B^s_{2,2}$,

$$\mathbb{E}\Big[\sup_{0 \leq t \leq T} \|u(t)\|_{B^s_{2,2}}^2\Big] < \infty, \tag{26}$$

under Assumption 1.

Remark 3. *The above derivation sketches the key estimates. For a fully rigorous treatment one needs the stochastic Itô formula in Hilbert spaces and paradifferential calculus for low regularity (s small); see, e.g., Da Prato & Zabczyk for the general theory.*

6. Directional Dissipation via Fourier-Symbol Coercivity

We formalize the directional dissipation effect of an anisotropic pseudo-differential viscosity by inspecting its Fourier symbol and proving coercivity along principal directions.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

6.1. Anisotropic Viscosity Symbol

Definition 2 (Anisotropic viscosity symbol)**.** *Let $\Sigma \in \mathbb{R}^{n\times n}$ be a fixed symmetric positive-definite matrix, and let*

$$P : \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\} \to (0, \infty)$$

be a positive homogeneous symbol of order zero (or a positive bounded multiplier). Define the viscosity multiplier by

$$\nu(\xi) := P(\Sigma\xi), \qquad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}. \tag{27}$$

6.2. Coercivity and Directional Dissipation

Proposition 2 (Coercivity of anisotropic viscosity)**.** *Assume that P is continuous and positive on the unit sphere and Σ is symmetric positive-definite. Then there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that*

$$\nu(\xi)|\xi|^2 \geq c|\xi|^2, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{28}$$

and for any sufficiently smooth function $u : \Omega \to \mathbb{R}$,

$$\int_\Omega \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(\nu(\xi)|\xi|^2\widehat{u}(\xi)\big)(x)\, u(x)\, dx \geq c \int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2\, dx, \tag{29}$$

where $\mathcal{F}^{-1}$ denotes the inverse Fourier transform. This inequality shows enhanced dissipation along principal directions encoded by Σ.

Proof. We proceed:

Since Σ is symmetric positive-definite, it admits a spectral decomposition

$$\Sigma = Q^\top \Lambda Q, \quad \Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), \quad \lambda_i > 0.$$

Hence, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\lambda_{\min}|\xi|^2 \leq |\Sigma\xi|^2 \leq \lambda_{\max}|\xi|^2, \tag{30}$$

where $\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}$ are the smallest and largest eigenvalues of Σ, respectively. This shows that $\xi \mapsto \Sigma\xi$ is an invertible linear map and norms are equivalent.

By assumption, P is positive and continuous on the unit sphere S^{n-1}. Therefore,

$$c_0 := \min_{|\eta|=1} P(\eta) > 0.$$

Since P is homogeneous of order zero or bounded, for any $\xi \neq 0$,

$$\nu(\xi) = P(\Sigma\xi) \geq c_1 > 0, \tag{31}$$

where c_1 depends on c_0 and the extremal eigenvalues of Σ. Combining (30) and (31) yields (28).

Let $u \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$. By Parseval's identity and the definition of Fourier multipliers,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\Omega \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(\nu(\xi)|\xi|^2\widehat{u}(\xi)\big)(x)\, u(x)\, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nu(\xi)|\xi|^2|\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2\, d\xi \\ &\geq c \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\xi|^2|\widehat{u}(\xi)|^2\, d\xi \\ &= c \int_\Omega |\nabla u(x)|^2\, dx, \end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

where we have extended u by zero outside Ω. This proves (29) and shows that the anisotropic symbol induces enhanced dissipation along the principal directions associated with Σ. □

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

Remark 4. *In practice, the multiplier P can be designed to amplify dissipation along specific directions. For example, aligning large values of P with eigenvectors corresponding to slower decay modes of the system enhances stability in those directions.*

7. Kato–Ponce Product and Commutator Estimates

7.1. Notation and Littlewood–Paley decomposition

Let $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ denote the Schwartz space and $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ its dual. Fix a smooth radial function $\chi(\xi)$ supported in $|\xi| \le 2$, with $\chi \equiv 1$ for $|\xi| \le 1$, and set

$$\varphi(\xi) := \chi(\xi) - \chi(2\xi), \tag{33}$$

so that φ is supported in $\{\frac{1}{2} \le |\xi| \le 2\}$. For $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ define the Littlewood–Paley projectors

$$\widehat{\Delta_j f}(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi), \qquad \widehat{S_j f}(\xi) = \chi(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi). \tag{34}$$

The Bony paraproduct decomposition reads

$$fg = T_f g + T_g f + R(f,g), \tag{35}$$

where

$$T_f g := \sum_j S_{j-1} f\, \Delta_j g, \qquad R(f,g) := \sum_{|j-k|\le 1} \Delta_j f\, \Delta_k g. \tag{36}$$

The homogeneous fractional derivative is defined by

$$|D|^s f := \mathcal{F}^{-1}\big(|\xi|^s \widehat{f}(\xi)\big), \qquad s > 0. \tag{37}$$

We denote by $\|\cdot\|_{L^p}$ the $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ norm. All statements also hold with the inhomogeneous operator $(I-\Delta)^{s/2}$ (up to constants).

7.2. Auxiliary Lemmas

We record standard tools ([3,7,8]):

Lemma 1 (Bernstein inequalities, refined). *Let $1 \le p \le q \le \infty$, α a multi-index, and $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\Delta_j f$ is defined via the Littlewood–Paley decomposition (34). Then there exists a constant $C = C(\alpha, n)$ independent of j such that*

$$\|\partial^\alpha \Delta_j f\|_{L^q} \le C\, 2^{j|\alpha| + jn(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{q})} \|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}. \tag{38}$$

Moreover, if $\operatorname{supp} \widehat{f} \subset \{\xi : |\xi| \le A2^j\}$ for some $A > 0$, then the inequality (38) still holds with C depending on A.

Proof. The proof relies on rescaling, Fourier support, and Young's convolution inequality.

Let $\widehat{\Delta_j f}(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$, with φ supported in $\{2^{-1} \le |\xi| \le 2\}$. Define the kernel

$$K_j(x) := \mathcal{F}^{-1}[\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)](x) = 2^{jn}(\mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi)(2^j x). \tag{39}$$

Then

$$\Delta_j f(x) = (K_j * f)(x). \tag{40}$$

Applying a derivative ∂^α corresponds in Fourier space to multiplication by $(i\xi)^\alpha$, so

$$\partial^\alpha \Delta_j f(x) = (\partial^\alpha K_j) * f(x). \tag{41}$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

From (39) we compute

$$\partial^\alpha K_j(x) = 2^{j(n+|\alpha|)}(\partial^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi)(2^j x), \tag{42}$$

and hence

$$\|\partial^\alpha K_j\|_{L^1} = 2^{j|\alpha|}\|\partial^\alpha \mathcal{F}^{-1}\varphi\|_{L^1}. \tag{43}$$

By Young's inequality for convolutions,

$$\|\partial^\alpha \Delta_j f\|_{L^q} = \|\partial^\alpha K_j * f\|_{L^q} \le \|\partial^\alpha K_j\|_{L^r}\|f\|_{L^p}, \qquad \frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{r} - 1. \tag{44}$$

Choose $r = 1$ to get the $L^p \to L^q$ scaling for $p \le q$. Then $\|\partial^\alpha K_j\|_{L^1} \lesssim 2^{j|\alpha|}$ and we also account for the $L^p \to L^q$ scaling from rescaling of K_j:

$$\|\partial^\alpha \Delta_j f\|_{L^q} \lesssim 2^{j|\alpha|+jn(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{q})}\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}. \tag{45}$$

If $\operatorname{supp}\widehat{f} \subset \{\xi : |\xi| \le A2^j\}$, then the kernel argument still applies with φ replaced by a smooth cutoff supported in $|\xi| \le A$, possibly changing the constant C.

This proves (38). □

Lemma 2 (Square-function characterization, refined). *Let $1 < p < \infty$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$. Then there exist constants $c_p, C_p > 0$ (depending only on p, n, s) such that for all $f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$,*

$$c_p\| \, |D|^s f\|_{L^p} \le \left\| \Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} 2^{2js}|\Delta_j f|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \right\|_{L^p} \le C_p\| \, |D|^s f\|_{L^p}. \tag{46}$$

Proof. The proof is based on Fourier multiplier theory, almost orthogonality, and Littlewood–Paley decomposition.

By definition of the Littlewood–Paley projectors, we have

$$f = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} \Delta_j f \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n), \tag{47}$$

with

$$\widehat{\Delta_j f}(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi), \tag{48}$$

where φ is supported in a dyadic annulus $2^{-1} \le |\xi| \le 2$.

Applying the fractional derivative $|D|^s$ gives

$$|D|^s f = \sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} |D|^s \Delta_j f, \quad \widehat{|D|^s \Delta_j f}(\xi) = |\xi|^s \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi). \tag{49}$$

On the support of $\varphi(2^{-j}\xi)$, we have $|\xi| \approx 2^j$. Hence, for some constants $c_0, C_0 > 0$,

$$c_0\, 2^{js} \le |\xi|^s \le C_0\, 2^{js}, \quad \text{for } \xi \in \operatorname{supp}(\varphi(2^{-j}\cdot)). \tag{50}$$

This implies

$$\| |D|^s \Delta_j f\|_{L^p} \approx 2^{js}\|\Delta_j f\|_{L^p}. \tag{51}$$

doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

The Fourier supports of $\Delta_j f$ are essentially disjoint for different j (finite overlap due to $|j-k| \le 1$), so one can apply vector-valued inequalities (e.g., Mikhlin multiplier theorem and Fefferman–Stein maximal inequalities) to obtain

$$\Big\| \Big(\sum_j ||D|^s \Delta_j f|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_{L^p} \approx \Big\| \Big(\sum_j 2^{2js} |\Delta_j f|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_{L^p}. \tag{52}$$

Since $|D|^s f = \sum_j |D|^s \Delta_j f$ and the supports in Fourier space have finite overlap, the Littlewood–Paley theorem yields

$$\| \, |D|^s f \|_{L^p} \approx \Big\| \Big(\sum_j ||D|^s \Delta_j f|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_{L^p}, \tag{53}$$

with constants depending only on n, p, s.

Combining (51), (52) e (53) gives

$$c_p \| \, |D|^s f \|_{L^p} \le \Big\| \Big(\sum_j 2^{2js} |\Delta_j f|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_{L^p} \le C_p \| \, |D|^s f \|_{L^p}, \tag{54}$$

proving (46). □

Lemma 3 (Fefferman–Stein vector-valued maximal inequality, refined)**.** *Let $1 < p < \infty$. For any sequence $(g_j)_{j\in\mathbb{Z}}$ of measurable functions on $\mathbb{R}^n$, there exists a constant $C_p > 0$ depending only on p and n such that*

$$\Big\| \Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} |Mg_j|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le C_p \Big\| \Big(\sum_{j\in\mathbb{Z}} |g_j|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_{L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{55}$$

where M denotes the Hardy–Littlewood maximal operator

$$Mf(x) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| \, dy. \tag{56}$$

Proof. The inequality (55) is a classical result in harmonic analysis, and can be proven using the following ideas:

Maximal operator boundedness: For $1 < p < \infty$, $M : L^p \to L^p$ is bounded:

$$\|Mf\|_{L^p} \le C_p \|f\|_{L^p}. \tag{57}$$

Vector-valued extension: Use the linearization of the maximal operator (via dyadic grids and stopping-time arguments) to reduce the problem to estimating

$$\Big\| \Big(\sum_j |\mathcal{M}_d g_j|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_{L^p} \le C_p \Big\| \Big(\sum_j |g_j|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_{L^p}, \tag{58}$$

where $\mathcal{M}_d$ is a dyadic maximal operator. This uses almost orthogonality and square-function arguments.

Interpolation and duality: Combine the weak $(1,1)$ bound with the strong (∞, ∞) trivial bound and interpolate to $1 < p < \infty$.

The constants remain uniform over the sequence (g_j), yielding (55).
□

We also record the standard pointwise bound for the low-frequency Littlewood–Paley piece:

$$|S_{j-1} f(x)| \lesssim Mf(x), \tag{59}$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

which follows from the convolution structure

$$S_{j-1}f(x) = (\check{\chi}_{j-1} * f)(x), \qquad \check{\chi}_{j-1}(x) = 2^{(j-1)n}\check{\chi}(2^{j-1}x), \tag{60}$$

and the standard maximal function estimate for integrable kernels.

7.3. Kato–Ponce Product Inequality

Theorem 3 (Kato–Ponce product inequality). *Let $s > 0$, $n \geq 1$, and $1 < p < \infty$. Let $p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 \in (1, \infty)$ satisfy*

$$\frac{1}{p} = \frac{1}{p_1} + \frac{1}{q_1} = \frac{1}{p_2} + \frac{1}{q_2}. \tag{61}$$

Then there exists $C = C(n, s, p, p_i, q_i)$ such that for all Schwartz f, g,

$$\| \, |D|^s (fg) \|_{L^p} \leq C \Big(\| \, |D|^s f \|_{L^{p_1}} \|g\|_{L^{q_1}} + \|f\|_{L^{p_2}} \| \, |D|^s g \|_{L^{q_2}} \Big). \tag{62}$$

Proof. Decompose fg using (35): $fg = T_f g + T_g f + R(f, g)$. We estimate each term separately.

(A) Estimate for $T_f g$:

$$\begin{aligned} \| \, |D|^s (T_f g) \|_{L^p} &\approx \Big\| \Big(\sum_j 2^{2js} |\Delta_j (S_{j-1} f \cdot \Delta_j g)|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_{L^p} \\ &\lesssim \|M(f)\|_{L^{p_1}} \Big\| \Big(\sum_j 2^{2js} |\Delta_j g|^2 \Big)^{1/2} \Big\|_{L^{q_1}} \\ &\lesssim \|f\|_{L^{p_1}} \| \, |D|^s g \|_{L^{q_1}}. \end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

(B) Estimate for $T_g f$: symmetric to (A), yielding

$$\| \, |D|^s (T_g f) \|_{L^p} \lesssim \| \, |D|^s f \|_{L^{p_2}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}}. \tag{64}$$

(C) Estimate for $R(f, g)$:

$$\| \, |D|^s R(f, g) \|_{L^p} \lesssim \| \, |D|^s f \|_{L^{p_2}} \|g\|_{L^{q_2}}. \tag{65}$$

Combining (63)–(65) gives (62). □

7.4. Kato–Ponce Commutator Estimate

Theorem 4 (Kato–Ponce commutator estimate). *Let $s > 0$ and $1 < p < \infty$. With exponents p_1, p_2, q_1, q_2 as in (61), for all Schwartz f, g:*

$$\| [\, |D|^s, f] \, g \|_{L^p} \leq C \Big(\| \, |D|^s f \|_{L^{p_1}} \|g\|_{L^{q_1}} + \|\nabla f\|_{L^{p_2}} \| \, |D|^{s-1} g \|_{L^{q_2}} \Big), \tag{66}$$

where $[|D|^s, f]g := |D|^s(fg) - f|D|^s g$.

Sketch of proof. Use the decomposition

$$[|D|^s, f]g = |D|^s (T_f g) - T_f(|D|^s g) + \dots \tag{67}$$

and the integral kernel representation of $|D|^s \Delta_j$. A mean-value expansion for $S_{j-1}f(y) - S_{j-1}f(x)$ combined with the kernel scaling yields

$$\big| |D|^s (S_{j-1} f \Delta_j g) - S_{j-1} f \, |D|^s \Delta_j g \big| \lesssim 2^{j(s-1)} M(\nabla S_{j-1} f) M(\Delta_j g). \tag{68}$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 23 September 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

Applying the square-function and Fefferman–Stein inequalities gives the second term in (66). The remaining terms are controlled by Theorem 3. □

8. Results

This work delivers several key contributions to the analysis of turbulence and stochastic partial differential equations. We rigorously prove that the hybrid Sobolev-Besov space $B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$ is a Banach space, unifying fractional Sobolev and Besov regularity to accommodate functions with mixed smoothness and integrability. The anisotropic Schrödinger-like operator, constructed via the Friedrichs extension, is shown to be self-adjoint with compact resolvent, ensuring a discrete spectrum and a complete eigenfunction basis in $L^2(\Omega)$. For the stochastic Navier-Stokes equations, we derive a priori fractional-energy estimates in the $B^s_{2,2}(\Omega)$ framework, using Kato-Ponce commutator estimates to handle the nonlinear term and Itô's formula to address stochastic forcing. We also establish a directional dissipation inequality, demonstrating that anisotropic viscosity symbols defined through positive-definite matrices induce enhanced dissipation along principal directions, a result formalized via Fourier-symbol coercivity. Finally, we present sharp Kato-Ponce product and commutator estimates, derived using Littlewood-Paley theory, which are essential for controlling nonlinear interactions in low-regularity regimes. These results collectively advance the rigorous understanding of anisotropic energy transfer and intermittency in turbulent flows.

9. Conclusions

The hybrid Sobolev-Besov framework provides a flexible tool for analyzing turbulent flows, capturing both global smoothness and local oscillatory behavior. The self-adjointness of the anisotropic operator and the directional dissipation inequality offer new insights into energy transfer mechanisms, while the stochastic estimates extend classical deterministic results to SPDEs. These developments pave the way for rigorous treatments of intermittency and anisotropic effects in fluid dynamics, with potential applications to data-driven turbulence modeling and spectral methods for SPDEs.

Acknowledgments: Santos gratefully acknowledges the support of the PPGMC Program for the Postdoctoral Scholarship PROBOL/UESC nr. 218/2025. Sales would like to express his gratitude to CNPq for the financial support under grant 30881/2025-0.

List of Symbols and Nomenclature

Function Spaces and Norms	
$L^p(\Omega)$	Lebesgue space of p-integrable functions on Ω.
$\|f\|_{L^p(\Omega)}$	L^p-norm: $\left(\int_\Omega |f(x)|^p\,dx\right)^{1/p}$.
$H^k(\Omega)$	L^2-based Sobolev space of order k.
$W^{s,p}(\Omega)$	General Sobolev space of order s and integrability p.
$H^1_0(\Omega)$	Closure of $C^\infty_c(\Omega)$ in $H^1(\Omega)$.
$H^{-1}(\Omega)$	Dual space of $H^1_0(\Omega)$.
∇^s	Fractional derivative operator: $\widehat{\nabla^s f}(\xi) = |\xi|^s \hat{f}(\xi)$.
$B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)$	Hybrid Sobolev–Besov space: $\|f\|_{B^s_{p,q}(\Omega)} = \|\nabla^s f\|_{L^p(\Omega)} + \|f\|_{L^q(\Omega)}$.
Operators and Quadratic Forms	
$A(x)$	Symmetric matrix field on $\overline{\Omega}$, uniformly elliptic.
λ, Λ	Ellipticity constants: $\lambda|\xi|^2 \le \xi^\top A(x)\xi \le \Lambda|\xi|^2$.
V	Real-valued potential: $V \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ or form-bounded.
$a(\phi,\psi)$	Quadratic form: $a(\phi,\psi) = \int_\Omega \nabla\phi^\top A\nabla\psi + V\phi\psi\,dx$.
L	Self-adjoint operator associated with a, with compact resolvent.
λ_k	Eigenvalues of L: $\lambda_k \to +\infty$.
ϕ_k	L^2-orthonormal eigenfunctions of L.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202509.1901.v1

Stochastic Navier–Stokes Equations	
u	Fluid velocity.
p	Pressure.
ν	Viscosity coefficient.
W_t	Cylindrical Wiener process on $\mathcal{U}$.
G	Bounded linear operator modeling noise structure.
P	Leray projector onto divergence-free fields.
$\nabla^s u$	Fractional derivative of u.
$\|u\|_{B^s_{2,2}}$	Hybrid norm: $\|\nabla^s u\|_{L^2} + \|u\|_{L^2}$.
Directional Dissipation and Viscosity Symbols	
Σ	Symmetric positive-definite matrix.
$P(\xi)$	Homogeneous symbol of order zero or bounded multiplier.
$\nu(\xi)$	Viscosity multiplier: $\nu(\xi) = P(\Sigma\xi)$.
$\mathcal{F}^{-1}$	Inverse Fourier transform.
$\lambda_{\min}, \lambda_{\max}$	Minimum and maximum eigenvalues of Σ.
Inequalities and Estimates	
$A \lesssim B$	$A \leq CB$ for some constant $C > 0$.
$[\nabla^s, f]g$	Commutator: $\nabla^s(fg) - f\nabla^s g$.
$\Delta_j f$	Littlewood–Paley projection: $\widehat{\Delta_j f}(\xi) = \varphi(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$.
$S_j f$	Littlewood–Paley partial sum: $\widehat{S_j f}(\xi) = \chi(2^{-j}\xi)\widehat{f}(\xi)$.
$T_f g$	Bony paraproduct: $\sum_j S_{j-1} f \Delta_j g$.
$R(f,g)$	Paraproduct remainder: $\sum_{\|j-k\|\leq 1} \Delta_j f \Delta_k g$.
$\|D\|^s f$	Homogeneous fractional derivative: $\mathcal{F}^{-1}(\|\xi\|^s \widehat{f}(\xi))$.

References

1. Kolmogorov, A. N. (1941). The local structure of turbulence in incompressible viscous fluid for very large Reynolds. Numbers. *In Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 30*, 301.
2. Majda, A. J., Bertozzi, A. L., & Ogawa, A. (2002). Vorticity and incompressible flow. Cambridge texts in applied mathematics. *Appl. Mech. Rev., 55*(4), B77-B78. https://doi.org/10.1115/1.1483363.
3. Triebel, H. (2006). *Theory of function spaces III*. Basel: Birkhäuser Basel.
4. M. Reed and B. Simon: Methods of Modern Mathematical Physics, I. Functional Analysis Academic Press, New York, 1972.
5. Kato, T. (2013). *Perturbation theory for linear operators* (Vol. 132). Springer Science & Business Media.
6. Da Prato, G., & Zabczyk, J. (2014). *Stochastic equations in infinite dimensions* (Vol. 152). Cambridge university press.
7. Grafakos, L., & Oh, S. (2014). The kato-ponce inequality. *Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 39*(6), 1128-1157.https://doi.org/10.1080/03605302.2013.822885.
8. Taylor, M. E. (1991). Pseudodifferential operators and linear PDE. In *Pseudodifferential Operators and Nonlinear PDE* (pp. 7-34). Boston, MA: Birkhäuser Boston.