

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

The Mother of Ancient City and the World's Growing Cities: An Archaeological Study

[Kazi Abdul Mannan](#) * and [Khandaker Mursheda Farhana](#)

Posted Date: 5 September 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202509.0493.v1

Keywords: Urban archaeology; ancient cities; urbanisation; resilience; Mesopotamia; city planning; urban collapse; historical urban models; sustainable cities; archaeological theory



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

The Mother of Ancient City and the World's Growing Cities: An Archaeological Study

Kazi Abdul Mannan ^{1,*} and Khandaker Mursheda Farhana ²

¹ Department of Business Administration, Faculty of Business, Shanto-Mariam University of Creative Technology, Dhaka, Bangladesh

² Department of Sociology and Anthropology, Shanto-Mariam University of Creative Technology, Dhaka, Bangladesh

* Correspondence: drkaziabdulmannan@gmail.com

Abstract

This study investigates the origins, development, and legacy of ancient urban centres—termed the “Mother of Ancient Cities”—through a comprehensive archaeological lens, and connects these insights to the trajectories of contemporary global urbanisation. Drawing from archaeological case studies in Mesopotamia, the Indus Valley, ancient Egypt, Mesoamerica, and elsewhere, the research highlights the infrastructural, religious, and socio-political innovations of early cities. It explores how ancient urban models inform present-day urban planning and resilience strategies while critically examining urban decline and collapse. The study adopts an interdisciplinary methodology, integrating archaeological data, urban theory, and comparative analysis to offer a reframed narrative of urban evolution—not as a linear progression, but as a cyclical, adaptive, and culturally diverse phenomenon. Emphasising the relevance of ancient experiences in the context of rapid modern urban expansion and environmental challenges, this research contributes to a deeper understanding of how past urban forms can guide sustainable and inclusive future cities.

Keywords: urban archaeology; ancient cities; urbanisation; resilience; Mesopotamia; city planning; urban collapse; historical urban models; sustainable cities; archaeological theory

1. Introduction

Urbanisation is one of the most defining processes in human history, transforming the landscape of human settlement, organisation, and identity. As the global population increasingly gravitates toward urban centres—over 56% as of 2021 and projected to reach nearly 70% by 2050 (United Nations, 2019)—a critical question emerges: what can the past teach us about the cities of today and tomorrow? This research explores that question by tracing the genesis, development, and transformation of cities from antiquity to the present through the lens of archaeology. Central to this investigation is the idea of the “Mother of Ancient City,” a conceptual and symbolic framework used to understand the foundational role of early urban centres in shaping the material, political, and spiritual dimensions of human civilisation.

Urban studies typically prioritise modern phenomena—urban sprawl, megacities, and globalisation-driven networks—often sidelining the long history of city-making that predates the industrial era by millennia. However, archaeological evidence reveals that the essence of urbanity—complexity, social stratification, infrastructure, and governance—emerged far earlier. Cities such as Uruk in Mesopotamia, Mohenjo-Daro in the Indus Valley, and Thebes in ancient Egypt illustrate how early humans organised themselves into structured societies with central planning, specialised labour, and ideological systems (Smith, 2007). These cities, while different from their modern counterparts, served as crucibles of innovation and as templates for subsequent urban experiments.

The concept of the “Mother of Ancient City” is both metaphorical and empirical. It refers not only to the earliest cities chronologically, but also to the urbs at the heart of mythic, religious, and

political origin narratives. For instance, Jerusalem, Babylon, and Rome have been described as “mother cities” in historical texts, often imbued with divine legitimacy and cosmological centrality (Liverani, 2006). Archaeologically, these cities reveal the material substratum—architecture, artifacts, spatial organisation—that articulates the symbolic power attributed to them. Thus, “mother cities” represent both foundational realities and ideological constructs through which societies made sense of space, power, and destiny.

This research adopts a multidisciplinary approach that combines archaeological theory, empirical case studies, urban anthropology, and comparative analysis. The methodology includes literature review, examination of excavation data, and theoretical modelling of urban trajectories (Renfrew & Bahn, 2016). It also places significant emphasis on the *longue durée* perspective advocated by historical archaeology and the Annales School, foregrounding the temporal depth and continuity of urban experiences (Braudel, 1980). In doing so, it seeks to bridge the divide between past and present urban realities, arguing that ancient urban forms continue to influence the structures and imaginaries of today’s cities.

At the heart of this inquiry is the recognition that ancient cities were not merely predecessors to modern urbanism—they were systems of meaning, production, and habitation with their own logic. Unlike the contemporary notion of cities as economic engines or centres of service industries, ancient cities often functioned as religious centres, administrative hubs, and symbols of cosmological order. The ziggurat of Ur, the Great Bath of Mohenjo-Daro, and the ritual causeways of Teotihuacan were not only infrastructural feats but also spiritual and ideological projects (Trigger, 2003). These cities integrated the sacred and the civic in ways that modern urban planning frequently neglects.

Yet the legacy of ancient cities is not simply one of innovation and continuity. Collapse, abandonment, and transformation have also been key features of the urban experience. As Sections VI and VII of this article will explore, the decline of once-great cities such as Angkor, Palenque, and Nineveh demonstrates the fragility of urban systems in the face of environmental change, political instability, and socio-economic dislocation (Tainter, 1988). Archaeological data from these sites offer crucial lessons in resilience and sustainability, as well as cautionary tales about unchecked expansion, ecological mismanagement, and institutional decay.

In contrast to the myth of linear progress, archaeological perspectives suggest a cyclical or adaptive model of urban development. Cities rise not only because of human ingenuity but also because of favourable environmental conditions, cultural adaptation, and social cooperation. Conversely, they fall when systems become too rigid, exploitative, or disconnected from their ecological and social foundations. This paradigm challenges dominant narratives of urban exceptionalism and calls for a more nuanced understanding of urban evolution—one that values continuity, adaptability, and interdependence.

Moreover, the archaeological study of cities disrupts Eurocentric and modernist assumptions about urbanism. Civilisations across the globe—Africa, Asia, the Americas—produced diverse forms of urban organisation that challenge Western ideals of what a city should look like or how it should function (McIntosh, 2005). From the earthworks of Great Zimbabwe to the lattice-like planning of Harappan cities, these urban forms demonstrate the multiplicity of city-making traditions. They remind us that no single trajectory defines urban success, and that modern cities can benefit from a broader epistemological and ecological imagination.

This study thus proceeds through several key sections: Section 2 elaborates on the research methodology, including sources, theoretical frameworks, and interdisciplinary approaches. Section 3 delves into the concept of the “Mother of Ancient City” in archaeological discourse, examining its historical, cultural, and symbolic dimensions. Section 4 explores key archaeological sites considered cradles of civilisation, providing empirical grounding for the study’s arguments. Section 5 offers a comparative analysis between ancient urban models and contemporary city growth, drawing lessons and parallels. Section 6 discusses the implications of archaeological findings for modern urban planning, while Section 7 investigates the causes and patterns of urban decline and collapse. The final

section, Section 8, synthesises the study's findings and reframes the urban narrative through archaeological inquiry.

In an age of unprecedented urban expansion and climate uncertainty, the lessons embedded in ancient cities are more than academic curiosities—they are vital blueprints, cautionary tales, and reservoirs of human experience. By revisiting the “Mother of Ancient City,” this research not only seeks to understand where we have come from, but also where we might be going.

2. Research Methodology

This study adopts a multidisciplinary archaeological approach grounded in both theoretical and empirical methodologies. The primary research strategy involves comparative archaeological analysis, supported by literature review, GIS mapping data, and case studies of key archaeological sites known for early urban development.

2.1. Literature Review

A comprehensive review of scholarly literature forms the foundation of this study. Sources include peer-reviewed archaeological journals, historical texts, excavation reports, and modern urban theory publications. Emphasis is placed on works from institutions specialising in Near Eastern, South Asian, and Mesoamerican archaeology.

2.2. Archaeological Data and Case Study Selection

Key ancient cities selected for in-depth analysis include:

- Uruk (Mesopotamia): Often cited as the first true city.
- Çatalhöyük (Anatolia): Known for its early complex settlement pattern.
- Mohenjo-Daro (Indus Valley): Highlighted for its planned grid system and advanced water management.
- Teotihuacan (Mesoamerica): A model of pre-Columbian urbanism.

Each city is evaluated based on:

- Urban planning and architecture
- Population estimates and density
- Governance and socio-political structures
- Environmental adaptation

2.3. GIS and Spatial Analysis

GIS tools are utilised to interpret spatial configurations of ancient cities, enabling a clearer comparison with modern urban landscapes. Satellite imagery and digitised excavation maps are used to examine spatial relationships, resource distribution, and geographic expansion patterns.

2.4. Comparative Framework

A diachronic comparison is established between the ancient urban prototypes and selected modern cities experiencing significant urban growth (e.g., Lagos, Jakarta, and Mumbai). This includes:

- Growth patterns
- Infrastructure
- Environmental stress
- Governance models

2.5. Interdisciplinary Integration

Incorporating perspectives from anthropology, urban geography, and sustainability studies, the methodology aims to transcend traditional archaeological silos and frame the research within a contemporary global context.

3. The Concept of the "Mother of Ancient City" in Archaeological Discourse

3.1. Defining the "Mother of Ancient City"

The term "Mother of Ancient City" is not a standard term in formal archaeological nomenclature but serves as a conceptual metaphor to describe the foundational urban settlements that gave rise to civilisation as we know it. These proto-cities mark the transition from nomadic subsistence lifestyles to complex sedentary societies characterised by hierarchical structures, economic stratification, organised religion, and monumental architecture (Childe, 1950). These cities functioned as the primordial urban forms from which successive models of urbanism have drawn. V. Gordon Childe's seminal work on the "urban revolution" laid the groundwork for the academic understanding of early city development. Childe (1950) posited that urban life emerged not simply from population growth, but through significant socio-economic transformations, including craft specialisation, social surplus, and centralised political authority. The idea of a "Mother City" aligns with this thesis, suggesting that certain ancient urban centres served as the origin point—both materially and ideologically—for later urban phenomena.

3.2. Origins and Characteristics of Early Urbanism

Archaeological data from the Near East, South Asia, and Mesoamerica support the notion of a shared urbanising impulse, although arising independently in geographically disparate regions. These early cities often emerged near rivers and fertile plains—ecological niches conducive to agriculture, irrigation, and trade (Trigger, 2003). Despite cultural differences, these early cities share several foundational characteristics:

- Centralised authority or proto-state governance
- Specialised labour and economic interdependence
- Religious or ceremonial centres
- Defensive structures
- Long-distance trade networks

For instance, Uruk, considered by many scholars to be the earliest city in history, featured monumental ziggurats, a centralised temple economy, and one of the first known writing systems—cuneiform (Nissen, 1988). This complex urban centre set a precedent for urban administration and socio-economic organisation.

Similarly, Çatalhöyük, though often classified as a "proto-city," demonstrates early forms of social cohesion, domestic architecture, and symbolic spatial planning, even in the absence of clear public infrastructure (Hodder, 2006). Its dense housing, lack of streets, and rooftop access pathways suggest a highly organised, though egalitarian, urban experiment.

3.3. Symbolism and Cultural Legacy of "Mother Cities"

The "Mother City" concept also holds symbolic and ideological significance. These cities were not only places of residence but also served as sacred and mythological centres in their respective cultures. The city was often personified as a maternal figure, nurturing both the population and the culture itself. For instance, Inanna, the Sumerian goddess of love and war, was closely associated with the city of Uruk and served as its divine protector (Black & Green, 1992).

In Mesoamerican civilisation, Teotihuacan was later revered by the Aztecs as the place where the gods created the sun and moon. Although it had been abandoned for centuries by the time the

Aztecs encountered it, its monumental architecture and sophisticated layout led to its mythologization as the birthplace of the gods—another form of the “mother city” archetype (Sugiyama, 2005).

These associations with divinity, creation, and order lend credence to the idea that early cities were not merely utilitarian agglomerations but were infused with cosmological and metaphysical significance.

3.4. Theoretical Perspectives on Urban Origins

There is considerable debate within archaeology and anthropology regarding the drivers of early urbanism. Theories range from ecological determinism to social complexity theory. Scholars such as Robert Adams (1966) and Kent Flannery (1972) emphasised environmental and demographic pressures as catalysing urban development. Others like Henri Lefebvre (1991), from a more philosophical standpoint, argue that urban space is a social product—a materialisation of societal values and power dynamics.

Within these frameworks, the “Mother City” is not only an archaeological reality but a theoretical construct that represents the inception of urban ideology. It is the point where culture begins to scale, where spatial organisation becomes intentional and symbolic, and where power dynamics find architectural expression.

3.5. Case Studies of “Mother Cities” in Archaeology

3.5.1. Uruk (Mesopotamia)

Located in present-day Iraq, Uruk is often hailed as the first true city, dating back to 4000–3100 BCE. The city’s emergence coincided with the development of writing, large-scale architecture, and administrative record-keeping. The Eanna and Anu districts of Uruk housed temples that served as both religious and economic centres, administering the redistribution of goods and labour (Algaze, 2005).

Uruk’s innovations in urban layout, including canals and zoning, laid the groundwork for future cities in Mesopotamia and beyond. It also saw the stratification of society through both spatial and occupational differentiation—a hallmark of urban complexity.

3.5.2. Çatalhöyük (Anatolia)

Dating back to around 7500 BCE, Çatalhöyük provides evidence of one of the earliest forms of settled urban life, although it lacks many characteristics of later cities, such as centralised authority and monumental architecture. Its significance lies in its early experimentation with communal living, symbolic art, and proto-religious practices, which indicate the beginnings of social complexity (Hodder, 2006).

Despite its differences from later urban forms, Çatalhöyük offers insight into how shared cultural values and practical needs can result in highly organised, dense habitation—a proto-urban model of a “Mother City.”

3.5.3. Mohenjo-Daro (Indus Valley Civilisation)

Mohenjo-Daro (c. 2500 BCE) in present-day Pakistan is known for its advanced urban planning, including a sophisticated grid system, public baths, drainage systems, and standardised fired-brick construction (Possehl, 2002). The city reflects a high degree of civic planning and environmental adaptation, possibly administered through a non-theocratic and less visible centralised power.

This city challenges earlier assumptions that monumental architecture and clear elite symbols are required markers of urbanism. Instead, Mohenjo-Daro demonstrates that urban complexity can also manifest through public works and infrastructure rather than religious or political ostentation.

3.5.4. Teotihuacan (Mesoamerica)

Teotihuacan (c. 100 BCE–550 CE), located in modern-day Mexico, is another exemplar of a “Mother City.” With a population exceeding 100,000 at its peak, it featured multi-family residential compounds, pyramidal temples, and a clearly defined avenue of the dead. Its influence extended throughout Mesoamerica, evident in trade routes and cultural emulation (Cowgill, 2015).

The planning and construction of Teotihuacan suggest an advanced understanding of civic engineering, religious ideology, and economic management—attributes consistent with “mother city” status in its region.

3.6. Critiques and Revisions of the “Mother City” Paradigm

While the “Mother of Ancient City” concept is useful for identifying foundational patterns in urban development, it risks oversimplification. The assumption that one or several early cities “mothered” all others can overlook the diversity of urban trajectories and the heterogeneity of cultural contexts.

Postcolonial critiques emphasise the need to avoid Eurocentric or Near East-centric models that universalise one urban path (Smith, 2009). Many cities in sub-Saharan Africa, Oceania, and the Americas developed with their own unique urban features independent of Mesopotamian or Mediterranean influences. Urbanism, therefore, must be seen as a plural phenomenon—arising independently across various ecological and sociocultural milieus.

Furthermore, feminist archaeologists have critiqued the maternal metaphor embedded in the “mother city” concept, noting that it may unwittingly reinforce gendered binaries in historical interpretation (Conkey & Gero, 1991). A more inclusive approach would consider the multiplicity of roles—economic, political, symbolic—that ancient cities played.

3.7. Influence on Contemporary Urban Thought

Despite its limitations, the “Mother City” metaphor remains potent in contemporary urban theory. Urban planners and historians often refer back to ancient cities as foundational references for sustainability, civic engagement, and resilience. For instance, the decentralised sewage and water systems of the Indus Valley are being reexamined in today’s discourse on eco-friendly urban infrastructure (Kenoyer, 1998).

Moreover, the symbolism of cities as centres of innovation, culture, and human achievement has its roots in these early experiments. As modern cities grapple with the pressures of climate change, overpopulation, and inequality, the lessons embedded in the “Mother Cities” offer both cautionary tales and aspirational models.

4. Urban Genesis—Archaeological Evidence from Key Cradles of Civilisation

The emergence of urban centres represents one of the most transformative events in human history. Known as the “urban genesis,” this process saw the development of early cities that became nuclei of innovation, administration, trade, and culture. This section explores archaeological evidence from key cradles of civilisation that exemplify this transition from pre-urban settlements to organised, complex cities. Focus is placed on Mesopotamia, the Indus Valley, Ancient Egypt, the Yellow River Valley, and Mesoamerica—each offering unique insights into how cities evolved in different ecological and cultural contexts.

4.1. Mesopotamia: The First Urban Experiment

Mesopotamia, often referred to as the “cradle of civilisation,” witnessed the earliest known urbanisation in human history. Cities such as Uruk, Eridu, and Ur emerged in the 4th millennium BCE in the fertile plains between the Tigris and Euphrates rivers. The archaeological record in this region is among the richest in the world and has played a central role in theories of early urbanism (Nissen, 1988).

The city of Uruk, in particular, offers compelling evidence of early state formation and bureaucratic administration. Excavations have revealed monumental architecture, including the Eanna and Anu ziggurats, along with early cuneiform tablets that indicate the use of writing for economic transactions (Algaze, 2005). The division of labour, the establishment of long-distance trade networks, and the use of public space reflect complex social organisation. The Warka Vase, an artifact from Uruk, visually documents the hierarchical structure of society and the role of religious institutions in urban administration (Black & Green, 1992).

Another important Mesopotamian site is Eridu, believed to be the earliest city in southern Mesopotamia. Unlike Uruk, Eridu shows a more religious character in its urban core, with repeated rebuilding of temples indicating the centrality of spiritual life in early urban identity (Adams, 1981).

4.2. Indus Valley: Planned Urban Sophistication

The cities of the Indus Valley Civilisation—Mohenjo-Daro, Harappa, and Dholavira—represent an alternate path to urban complexity. Flourishing between 2600 and 1900 BCE, these cities were characterised by highly standardised brick construction, elaborate drainage systems, and grid-based urban layouts, suggesting a sophisticated understanding of urban planning (Possehl, 2002).

Mohenjo-Daro stands out for its Great Bath, a public water structure that likely held ritual significance. The presence of standardised weights and measures, storage granaries, and uniform housing units indicates a degree of civic regulation unusual for Bronze Age cities (Kenoyer, 1998). Interestingly, the archaeological record lacks monumental temples or royal palaces, leading some scholars to propose a more egalitarian or decentralised political system (Wright, 2010).

Excavations at Dholavira have revealed a tripartite city plan, water reservoirs carved from bedrock, and inscriptions in the Indus script, suggesting advanced civil engineering and symbolic communication (Bisht, 1991). These features reveal an indigenous model of urban development distinct from Mesopotamian models and underscore the diversity of ancient urban experiences.

4.3. Ancient Egypt: Monumentality and Divine Kingship

While Egypt is often celebrated for its monumental tombs and temples, its urban legacy is equally noteworthy. Cities such as Memphis, Thebes, and Amarna exhibit complex administrative structures, dense residential quarters, and public buildings. The Nile River served as both a transportation artery and an agricultural enabler, around which cities flourished.

The city of Amarna, built by Pharaoh Akhenaten in the 14th century BCE, provides an unparalleled archaeological snapshot of a planned city constructed in a single generation. Excavations reveal residential zones, workshops, administrative buildings, and the Great Temple to the Aten, the deity of Akhenaten's short-lived monotheistic religion (Kemp, 2012). The spatial distribution reflects not only religious reform but also centralised governance.

In contrast, Thebes functioned as a religious and political hub during the Middle and New Kingdoms. The Karnak and Luxor temple complexes were connected by a ceremonial axis and surrounded by dense urban habitation. Archaeological evidence of scribal schools, artisans' workshops, and granaries indicates the city's economic and bureaucratic functions (Trigger, 2003).

Although less archaeologically visible than in Mesopotamia or the Indus Valley, Egypt's urban planning emphasised monumentality, divine kingship, and centralised control, which were expressed architecturally in axial temple alignments and large-scale civic projects.

4.4. Yellow River Valley: Urbanisation in Early China

In ancient China, urbanisation began around the 3rd millennium BCE along the Yellow River, where early settlements like Erlitou and Anyang gave rise to the first cities associated with the Xia and Shang dynasties. Chinese urbanism was deeply intertwined with cosmology and state ideology.

The Erlitou culture (c. 1900–1500 BCE), associated with the semi-legendary Xia Dynasty, is one of the earliest urban settlements in East Asia. The site includes palatial complexes, bronze foundries, and road systems, suggesting centralised authority and stratified society (Liu & Chen, 2003).

Anyang, the last capital of the Shang Dynasty, exhibits more definitive evidence of urbanism. Excavations have uncovered royal tombs, sacrificial altars, and oracle bones used in divination—an indication of state control over religious practices (Keightley, 2000). The city was laid out according to cosmological principles, with the palace-temple complex at its centre and defensive walls encircling the elite core. Residential areas of varying status encircled this nucleus, exemplifying spatial hierarchy.

Unlike Mesopotamian cities, which often expanded organically, Chinese cities emphasised ritual order and alignment, with grid layouts reflecting the influence of geomancy and symbolic geography.

4.5. Mesoamerica: Monumental Urban Cosmology

Urban genesis in the Americas followed an independent trajectory. The city of Teotihuacan in central Mexico emerged around 100 BCE and became one of the largest cities in the world by 500 CE. With a population exceeding 100,000, it featured a monumental “Avenue of the Dead,” aligned with astronomical events, flanked by massive pyramids and elite residential compounds (Cowgill, 2015).

Teotihuacan’s urban plan was cosmologically significant. The Pyramid of the Sun and Pyramid of the Moon were constructed along a north-south axis, likely representing sacred geographies. The Ciudadela and Temple of the Feathered Serpent served as political and ceremonial centres, with archaeological evidence of mass human sacrifice suggesting ideological and religious centrality in urban governance (Sugiyama, 2005).

Unlike city-states in Mesopotamia or Egypt, Teotihuacan seems to have been a multi-ethnic, possibly collective society. Evidence from apartment compounds indicates communal living, while trade in obsidian and ceramics reveals a far-reaching economic influence (Millon, 1981). Its fall around 600 CE did not erase its influence; later Mesoamerican polities like the Aztecs revered it as a sacred site.

4.6. Commonalities and Divergences

While urbanisation in each region occurred independently, several common features emerge:

- Strategic location near water sources or trade routes.
- Hierarchical spatial organisation, including elite cores and peripheral zones.
- Monumental architecture is a symbol of religious and political authority.
- Technological specialisation (e.g., metallurgy, writing, water management).
- Economic interdependence, including long-distance trade.

However, important divergences highlight the cultural specificity of each urban experiment. For example, while Mesopotamian cities emphasised written bureaucracy, Indus Valley cities exhibit minimal evidence of centralised state propaganda. Egyptian cities prioritised divine kingship and monumentalism, whereas Mesoamerican cities often emphasised cosmological alignments and collective ritual.

These variations demonstrate that there is no single “blueprint” for urban development. Instead, urban genesis is shaped by a dynamic interplay of environmental, ideological, technological, and social factors.

The archaeological evidence from the key cradles of civilisation illustrates the multifaceted nature of urban genesis. While Mesopotamia may offer the earliest and most documented example of urbanism, other centres like Mohenjo-Daro, Amarna, Anyang, and Teotihuacan reveal equally complex and innovative trajectories. These early cities were not mere concentrations of people and buildings; they were expressions of cultural values, technological capabilities, and socio-political organisation.

Understanding these ancient urban models provides critical insight into how cities functioned as engines of civilisation. More importantly, they reveal the adaptive and symbolic nature of urban space—attributes that continue to shape modern urbanisation. As cities today grapple with issues of

sustainability, governance, and identity, the lessons embedded in these ancient cradles of civilisation remain profoundly relevant.

5. Comparative Analysis—Ancient Urban Models and Contemporary City Growth

Urbanism, as a human construct, has evolved through millennia, yet foundational elements of city life persist. Ancient urban models provide not only historical insight but also comparative frameworks through which contemporary city growth can be understood. This section examines the parallels and divergences between ancient cities—such as Uruk, Mohenjo-Daro, Amarna, Anyang, and Teotihuacan—and contemporary urban centres. By focusing on governance, infrastructure, spatial organisation, environmental interaction, and socio-political roles, this comparative analysis illuminates how the legacies of ancient urbanism still influence the global cities of today.

5.1. Governance and Administrative Structures

One of the defining characteristics of ancient cities was centralised governance. Urban centres such as Uruk and Anyang functioned as political capitals where rulers administered trade, religious practice, and social regulation. These cities often had formal bureaucracies, as evidenced by administrative tablets in Uruk or oracle bone inscriptions in Anyang (Keightley, 2000; Nissen, 1988). The existence of hierarchical governance enabled the organisation of large-scale projects such as irrigation systems, public monuments, and temple complexes.

Modern cities also rely on centralised, though more democratised, forms of administration. Contemporary urban governance involves complex systems of municipal, regional, and national institutions, many of which resemble the hierarchical structures seen in early civilisations. However, unlike ancient cities where authority was often theocratic or hereditary, modern urban governance is more bureaucratically specialised and politically pluralistic (Sassen, 2005).

Moreover, the role of cities as seats of government remains unchanged. Capitals such as Washington, D.C., Beijing, and Brasília function as nerve centres for national governance, mirroring the way Thebes or Teotihuacan served as religious-political hubs. The difference lies primarily in the scope and transparency of administrative practices, with modern cities being embedded in global information networks and subjected to democratic scrutiny (Harvey, 2000).

5.2. Infrastructure and Urban Planning

Infrastructure—defined as the basic physical and organisational structures needed for the operation of a society—was central to the success of ancient cities. Mohenjo-Daro and Dholavira, for instance, demonstrated remarkable water management systems and planned street layouts (Possehl, 2002; Kenoyer, 1998). Similarly, Teotihuacan's grid plan and avenue system reflected deliberate planning principles aimed at spatial coherence and social organisation (Cowgill, 2015).

In the contemporary context, cities are grappling with similar challenges of infrastructure: water supply, transportation, sanitation, energy, and waste management. Smart cities, such as Singapore or Amsterdam, use digital technologies to optimise infrastructure and enhance urban living. The use of zoning, master plans, and geographic information systems (GIS) echoes the ancient concern for order, functionality, and hierarchy (Batty, 2013).

A significant contrast, however, lies in the scale and complexity. While ancient cities rarely exceeded populations of 100,000, today's megacities such as Tokyo and Delhi host tens of millions. This demographic pressure necessitates advanced infrastructure systems—subways, vertical housing, fibre-optic communication—that ancient cities never required. Nevertheless, the fundamental urban functions—circulation, communication, sanitation, and administration—remain analogous.

5.3. Spatial Organisation and Social Stratification

Ancient cities were spatially organised to reflect social hierarchies. In cities like Amarna and Anyang, the elite inhabited the urban core, while artisans, labourers, and farmers lived in peripheral zones (Kemp, 2012; Liu & Chen, 2003). Sacred spaces were centrally located, reinforcing their ideological dominance.

This model finds echoes in modern cities, where central business districts (CBDs) house financial and governmental institutions, while lower-income populations are often pushed to the urban periphery due to gentrification and land value escalation (Harvey, 2000). Even in cities attempting more egalitarian layouts, socio-economic stratification inevitably manifests spatially.

However, modern cities also exhibit greater spatial fluidity and mobility. Technologies such as mass transit, automobiles, and digital connectivity have blurred traditional boundaries between urban cores and peripheries. Despite this, the socio-spatial dynamics first evident in cities like Ur and Memphis still underpin modern urban morphology (Smith, 2010).

Gated communities, slums, and business enclaves in today's cities mirror the residential clustering of ancient times. Spatial segregation by class, caste, or occupation is a long-standing phenomenon that transcends time, though modern forms may be shaped more by capitalism and globalisation than by divine or dynastic authority.

5.4. Environmental Interaction and Sustainability

Ancient cities had a mixed record in environmental sustainability. Irrigation systems in Mesopotamia, while revolutionary, led to long-term salinisation of soils, contributing to agricultural decline (Adams, 1981). Teotihuacan's collapse may have been precipitated by deforestation and resource over-extraction (Cowgill, 2015). Similarly, cities like Angkor in Southeast Asia, not covered in earlier sections but relevant here, faced hydrological stress leading to collapse (Evans et al., 2007).

Modern cities face similar, if not heightened, environmental challenges. Urban sprawl, pollution, water shortages, and climate change pose existential threats to contemporary urban life. The ecological footprint of cities like Los Angeles or Beijing far exceeds their geographic bounds, mirroring ancient urban overreach but at exponentially larger scales.

Ancient urban collapses offer cautionary tales. The lessons of resource mismanagement and ecological imbalance remain pertinent. Today's urban planners increasingly advocate for sustainable cities—emphasising green infrastructure, renewable energy, and circular economies—ideas not entirely alien to ancient practices of water harvesting in Dholavira or land use zoning in Mesopotamia (Bisht, 1991; Algaze, 2005).

5.5. Ideology, Symbolism, and Civic Identity

Urban identity in the ancient world was deeply symbolic. Cities were not just administrative centres but sacred landscapes imbued with cosmological meaning. Teotihuacan's alignment with celestial events, or the axial planning of Egyptian cities to honour deities, illustrates how urban space expressed ideology (Sugiyama, 2005; Trigger, 2003).

In modern times, cities continue to symbolise national identity and ideological values. Capital cities often house monuments, museums, and parliaments designed to evoke historical continuity or modern ideals. The symbolic architecture of Washington, D.C. or the nationalist urbanism of Brasília reflects the persistent link between urban form and ideological expression (Holston, 1989).

Public spaces, from Tahrir Square to Times Square, serve as stages for civic expression and political activism. This recalls the function of plazas and ceremonial avenues in ancient cities as loci of public life, reinforcing the idea that urban space is both functional and symbolic.

5.6. Trade, Connectivity, and Global Influence

Ancient cities like Uruk, Harappa, and Teotihuacan were embedded in trade networks that spanned hundreds or even thousands of kilometres. These cities imported raw materials and exported finished goods, acting as nodal points in regional economies (Wright, 2010; Millon, 1981). Urban growth was often contingent on these economic linkages.

Modern cities operate on a similar principle but at a planetary scale. Global cities like New York, Shanghai, and London serve as command centres for finance, technology, and media. Saskia Sassen (2005) describes these urban centres as part of a global network that transcends national borders, mirroring the economic interdependence of ancient trading hubs but with far greater speed and complexity.

Furthermore, the modern “networked city” is digitally connected, enabling instantaneous communication and virtual economies. While ancient cities relied on caravans and ships, today's urban economies are driven by data flows, multinational capital, and supply chains. Still, the essential role of cities as intermediaries—between hinterlands and foreign regions—remains a historical constant.

5.7. Resilience and Collapse

Finally, both ancient and modern cities are subject to cycles of growth, stress, adaptation, and sometimes collapse. Mesopotamian cities, the Indus Valley settlements, and Teotihuacan all experienced phases of urban abandonment due to environmental, economic, or political factors (Adams, 1981; Possehl, 2002; Sugiyama, 2005).

Modern cities face risks ranging from climate change and pandemics to economic shocks and social unrest. Urban resilience—the ability to absorb, recover from, and adapt to such stresses—is a key focus of 21st-century urban planning. Ancient precedents, such as the adaptive reuse of infrastructure in post-Uruk Mesopotamia or water storage systems in ancient South Asia, offer instructive examples of resilience through local knowledge and infrastructure flexibility (Kenoyer, 1998).

Learning from ancient collapses, today's urban theorists advocate decentralisation, green spaces, renewable energy, and participatory governance to enhance urban sustainability and resilience (Vale & Campanella, 2005). These principles, while technologically modern, are conceptually aligned with ancient strategies of adaptive design and spatial hierarchy.

The comparison between ancient urban models and contemporary city growth reveals enduring themes in the human experience of urbanisation. Though separated by millennia, cities past and present grapple with similar challenges: governance, infrastructure, social stratification, environmental balance, and symbolic meaning. Ancient cities laid the foundational principles of urban life—many of which have been inherited, adapted, or reinvented in modern contexts.

This comparative framework underscores the value of archaeology not only as a window into the past but also as a lens for understanding the present and imagining the future. As modern cities face unprecedented global challenges, the successes and failures of ancient urbanism provide vital lessons for creating more sustainable, equitable, and resilient urban futures.

6. Lessons from the Past—Integrating Archaeological Insights into Modern Urban Planning

The relationship between archaeology and urban planning is more than an academic curiosity—it is an essential dialogue that helps guide the sustainable development of modern cities. Ancient cities, while products of their time, often exhibited remarkable planning, environmental adaptation, and social organisation strategies that remain relevant. This section explores how archaeological insights into past urban systems can inform current urban challenges, such as sustainability, resilience, spatial equity, and cultural continuity. Drawing lessons from Mesopotamia, the Indus Valley, Mesoamerica, and ancient Egypt, it advocates for a transdisciplinary approach that places archaeology at the core of future urban design.

6.1. Environmental Adaptation and Water Management

One of the most enduring contributions of ancient cities lies in their management of environmental constraints, particularly regarding water systems. The cities of the Indus Valley Civilisation, notably Mohenjo-Daro and Dholavira, displayed sophisticated hydrological infrastructure. These included public wells, sewage systems, reservoirs, and underground drains, all of which point to a collective civic responsibility towards water conservation and urban hygiene (Kenoyer, 1998; Bisht, 1991). Similarly, ancient Mesopotamian cities like Ur relied on canal networks to irrigate farmland and sustain urban populations, albeit with longer-term environmental consequences such as soil salinisation (Adams, 1981).

In contrast, modern cities often struggle with water scarcity, flooding, and inadequate sanitation, particularly in rapidly urbanising regions. Urban planners can learn from the sustainable water harvesting techniques of ancient cities. For instance, incorporating decentralised water collection, such as rainwater harvesting systems and greywater recycling, as seen in Dholavira, can alleviate pressure on urban water supplies (Bisht, 1991).

Furthermore, contemporary urban developments in arid and semi-arid regions can draw inspiration from ancient passive cooling systems, wind catchers, and subterranean water channels (qanats) employed in cities across ancient Iran and Egypt. These technologies exemplify how architectural form can respond to environmental needs without high energy consumption (Fathy, 1986).

6.2. Resilience Through Modular and Adaptive Planning

Ancient cities often demonstrated a form of modular or “nested” urbanism, where neighbourhoods functioned as self-contained units with their own civic amenities. In Teotihuacan, for instance, the city was divided into apartment compounds that combined domestic, ritual, and production functions, indicating a distributed form of resilience (Cowgill, 2015). Similarly, archaeological excavations in Harappa and Lothal show distinct zones for administration, residence, and industry, enhancing manageability and risk distribution (Possehl, 2002).

This form of decentralised urbanism resonates with modern “15-minute city” models, which aim to reduce dependence on centralised services and long commutes by ensuring that residents can meet their needs within walking distance. Planners and policymakers can adopt these ancient precedents to create human-scaled neighbourhoods that are adaptive to demographic and environmental stressors (Moreno et al., 2021).

Moreover, modularity in ancient cities provided a measure of resilience against social collapse or environmental change. When central functions failed—whether due to political unrest or ecological disaster—decentralised zones could continue operating, preserving social continuity. Contemporary cities increasingly require such design flexibility in the face of pandemics, climate change, and economic shocks.

6.3. Civic Identity and Monumentality in Urban Space

The role of public spaces and monumental architecture in ancient cities underscores the importance of collective identity and civic engagement. From the ziggurats of Mesopotamia and the pyramids of Egypt to the Great Bath of Mohenjo-Daro and the Plaza of Teotihuacan, ancient urban centres prioritised spaces that facilitated community rituals and social cohesion (Trigger, 2003; Sugiyama, 2005).

Modern urban design often neglects the symbolic and communal dimensions of public space. Reviving this ancient principle could restore a sense of identity and belonging in contemporary cities suffering from alienation and social fragmentation. Parks, civic plazas, and pedestrian thoroughfares must be envisioned not just as recreational or functional spaces but as sites of shared memory and civic expression.

For instance, the spatial centrality and ritual prominence of structures like the Temple Mount in Jerusalem or the Sun Temple in Cuzco created a unified cultural orientation. Similarly, integrating

culturally resonant design into city planning—such as community monuments, local art, and architecture that reflects indigenous heritage—can foster inclusivity and urban pride.

6.4. Spatial Equity and Zoning Practices

In ancient cities, spatial organisation often reflected and reinforced social hierarchies. Elites lived in protected urban cores while the labouring classes occupied less privileged peripheral areas (Kemp, 2012; Liu & Chen, 2003). However, some cities, particularly in the Indus Valley, displayed less pronounced spatial segregation, hinting at more equitable access to infrastructure (Wright, 2010).

Modern urban planning can take a cue from the more egalitarian layouts of certain ancient cities. The proliferation of gated communities, urban ghettos, and infrastructural disparities in contemporary cities illustrates the dangers of exclusionary planning. Archaeological evidence of socially integrated urban fabrics underscores the potential for inclusive zoning and mixed-use development that bridges socio-economic divides.

Policy implications include promoting mixed-income housing, integrating informal settlements into formal planning frameworks, and ensuring universal access to urban amenities. These practices mirror the cohesive planning principles observed in ancient Harappan urbanism, where neighbourhoods shared access to water, waste systems, and trade spaces regardless of status (Kenoyer, 1998).

6.5. Urban Governance and Participatory Design

While ancient governance structures were largely authoritarian, archaeological records suggest a degree of civic participation in urban maintenance and ritual life. In Mesopotamia, temple complexes employed large labour forces, possibly reflecting communal involvement in urban sustenance (Nissen, 1988). Moreover, the regularity and repetition of certain urban forms indicate standardisation based on collective conventions or customary norms.

Modern cities must translate this into participatory governance and bottom-up planning models. Community engagement, urban co-design processes, and decentralised planning authorities can draw on ancient principles of civic responsibility. Encouraging public involvement in decisions about housing, public transport, zoning, and green space fosters more democratic and resilient cities.

Cities like Porto Alegre, Brazil, and Seoul, South Korea, exemplify this participatory approach through budgeting processes and open urban consultations—modern analogs to the communal labour and decision-making structures inferred from ancient settlements (Fung, 2006).

6.6. Integrating Heritage into Contemporary Urbanism

Lastly, a critical lesson from the archaeological past is the importance of cultural continuity. The destruction of ancient sites due to modern urban expansion has severed many communities from their historical roots. Yet, archaeological evidence can enrich urban design by anchoring it in deep temporal and cultural narratives.

For example, incorporating archaeological remains into modern cityscapes—as seen in Rome's metro stations, where excavations are integrated into station designs—offers both educational and aesthetic benefits. Likewise, ancient roads, walls, and settlement outlines can guide modern pathways, preserving historical identity while serving contemporary needs.

Moreover, the adaptive reuse of ancient infrastructure—such as aqueducts turned into parks or ancient markets revived as artisanal spaces—demonstrates how cities can simultaneously honour the past and innovate for the future (Holtorf, 2013).

Urban planners are thus encouraged to work with archaeologists, historians, and local communities to preserve, interpret, and adapt archaeological heritage as a living part of the urban fabric.

The archaeological record offers a rich repository of urban wisdom. From water conservation and adaptive planning to civic identity and inclusive zoning, ancient cities provide crucial lessons for modern urban planning. Recognising the depth and diversity of ancient urban experiments enables a more holistic approach to today's urban challenges.

Far from being relics, ancient cities are laboratories of human ingenuity and resilience. As modern urban centres confront issues of climate change, inequality, and sustainability, the past may offer not only warnings but also blueprints for a more just and enduring urban future.

7. Urban Decline and Collapse—Archaeological Perspectives on the Fragility of Cities

While the grandeur and ingenuity of ancient cities often capture scholarly attention, their decline and collapse are equally instructive. Understanding the causes and processes behind urban disintegration offers critical insights into the inherent vulnerabilities of cities—ancient and modern alike. Archaeology, with its capacity to reconstruct long-term social and environmental change, illuminates how political instability, environmental degradation, economic dislocation, warfare, and disease led to the downfall of some of history's most impressive urban centres. This section explores several key archaeological case studies that reveal patterns of fragility and resilience, with implications for contemporary urban sustainability.

7.1. *The Indus Valley Civilization: Systemic Failure Without Centralized Collapse*

The Indus Valley Civilisation (c. 2600–1900 BCE) presents a unique case of urban decline. Unlike Mesopotamian or Egyptian societies, the Indus lacked overtly monumental state structures or centralised kingship. Yet cities like Mohenjo-Daro, Harappa, and Dholavira exhibited remarkable urban planning, sanitation, and long-distance trade networks (Kenoyer, 1998; Possehl, 2002).

By 1900 BCE, however, major Indus cities began to shrink or were abandoned altogether. Archaeological evidence points to a complex interplay of factors—hydrological changes in river courses (notably the drying of the Ghaggar-Hakra system), declining trade with Mesopotamia, and a possible breakdown in civic coordination (Giosan et al., 2012). Rather than a sudden collapse, the urban decline was gradual and decentralised.

The Indus case underscores how environmental shifts and the erosion of civic networks, even without warfare or external invasion, can destabilise urban systems. Modern cities facing climate-induced water stress or supply chain disruptions may be experiencing similar vulnerabilities.

7.2. *Mesopotamian Cities: Ecological Overreach and Salinisation*

The great cities of ancient Mesopotamia—Uruk, Ur, and Babylon—are emblematic of early urban civilisation. Yet their decline also tells a cautionary tale. As Mesopotamian societies intensified irrigation to support agricultural surpluses, they inadvertently triggered ecological collapse. Over-irrigation led to rising water tables and salinisation of soils, reducing agricultural productivity and contributing to urban decline (Adams, 1981; Jacobsen & Adams, 1958).

Moreover, constant warfare between city-states drained resources and disrupted regional stability. Archaeological layers in cities like Lagash and Nippur show cycles of destruction and rebuilding, highlighting chronic instability.

This model resonates with the contemporary overexploitation of resources in modern megacities. Urban sprawl, deforestation, and water mismanagement may lead to outcomes similar to those in ancient Sumer. Sustainable resource management and environmental monitoring are thus critical lessons from Mesopotamia's fate.

7.3. *Maya Civilisation: Complexity, Deforestation, and Political Fragmentation*

The Classic Maya (c. 250–900 CE) constructed a network of city-states across present-day southern Mexico, Guatemala, Belize, and Honduras. These cities featured monumental architecture, complex calendrical systems, and dynamic political systems. However, by the 10th century CE, many Maya cities experienced sharp population declines and urban abandonment (Demarest, 2004).

While earlier theories emphasised warfare or drought as primary causes, recent archaeological and paleoecological studies suggest a combination of factors. Intense deforestation, soil degradation,

and climate variability created ecological pressures, while internecine warfare weakened political cohesion (Turner & Sabloff, 2012).

The collapse of the Classic Maya demonstrates how a tipping point can be reached when environmental and political stresses converge. For today's cities, the Maya case offers a stark warning: complexity without sustainability may become a liability.

7.4. Ancient Rome: Overextension, Infrastructure Decay, and Socioeconomic Strain

The decline of Rome, particularly from the 3rd to 5th centuries CE, remains one of the most studied urban collapses. As the empire overextended militarily and administratively, the urban fabric of Rome and other major cities began to unravel. The degradation of aqueducts, sewers, and road systems—once marvels of Roman engineering—mirrored a wider decay of institutional capacities (Ward-Perkins, 2005).

Economic crises, class polarisation, plague outbreaks, and barbarian invasions accelerated urban decline. Yet, as recent archaeological work shows, urban life in many regions did not disappear but was transformed into ruralised, decentralised settlements (Liebeschuetz, 2001).

This case emphasises the fragility of infrastructure-dependent cities when maintenance is neglected or institutions falter. Modern urban systems, similarly reliant on energy grids, transportation networks, and centralised governance, must invest in redundancy, maintenance, and civic trust.

7.5. Angkor: Water Infrastructure and Climatic Vulnerability

The Khmer capital of Angkor (9th–15th centuries CE), once the largest pre-industrial city in the world, flourished through a vast hydraulic system that managed monsoon rains and supported intensive agriculture (Fletcher et al., 2008). However, paleoclimate data reveal a series of extreme droughts followed by intense flooding in the 14th and 15th centuries (Buckley et al., 2010).

Angkor's water infrastructure, designed for seasonal monsoon rhythms, proved inflexible under these new extremes. Coupled with internal unrest and shifting trade networks, the city eventually declined.

This case illustrates how infrastructure must be designed to adapt to climatic unpredictability. As modern cities face increasing risks from extreme weather due to climate change, Angkor's collapse underscores the need for resilient, flexible systems that can absorb environmental shocks.

7.6. The Archaeology of Urban Decline – Broader Patterns and Warnings

Across civilisations, urban decline tends to follow several recurring patterns:

- Environmental mismanagement, especially related to water and soil;
- Over-complexity, where social, administrative, or infrastructural systems outstrip the capacity to sustain them;
- Political fragmentation, including elite competition, warfare, and governance failure;
- Disease and demographic shifts, often exacerbated by dense living conditions and limited sanitation;
- Trade disruption, particularly for cities reliant on long-distance exchange.

While the details differ, the overarching lesson is clear: cities are dynamic, vulnerable systems whose longevity depends on adaptability, sustainable resource use, and robust governance.

For today's urban planners, the archaeological record serves as both a caution and a guide. The myth of perpetual urban growth is challenged by these past collapses, which reveal the limits of scale, extraction, and complexity. Conversely, the survival of some cities—like Cairo, Varanasi, and Damascus—through millennia suggests that continuity is possible where cities integrate resilience, community networks, and environmental stewardship.

Urban decline and collapse are not historical anomalies but inherent possibilities within the life cycle of cities. The archaeological record offers sobering examples of how environmental change, political instability, and infrastructural fragility can bring even the most sophisticated urban systems

to their knees. As modern cities grapple with climate change, inequality, and institutional strain, these ancient experiences offer not just warnings but vital lessons for crafting more resilient urban futures.

8. Conclusion—Reframing the Urban Narrative Through Archaeological Inquiry

The study of ancient urbanism through archaeology offers a transformative lens for rethinking the trajectory of modern cities. Far from being static remnants of the past, ancient cities embody dynamic processes of formation, growth, adaptation, and, in many cases, collapse. The concept of the "Mother of Ancient City" not only captures the foundational role early urban centres played in shaping human civilisation, but also foregrounds the shared heritage and structural patterns linking past cities with the metropolises of today.

Throughout this study, we have explored how cities emerged in the cradles of civilisation—Mesopotamia, the Indus Valley, the Nile Delta, the Yellow River basin—where environmental conditions, technological innovations, and social coordination coalesced to produce unprecedented complexity. Archaeological records from these sites have revealed not just monumental achievements, but also the infrastructural, social, and ideological mechanisms that sustained urban life. Importantly, these records illuminate the fragility of urban systems in the face of environmental degradation, political disintegration, and economic upheaval.

The comparative analysis undertaken in Section 5 demonstrated how the spatial organisation, planning principles, and community values embedded in ancient cities continue to resonate in contemporary urban models. From grid patterns to resource distribution and religious centres, ancient precedents remain visible, though often transformed. Simultaneously, Section 6 emphasised the practical relevance of archaeological insights for modern urban planning—particularly in fostering sustainability, resilience, and social cohesion.

Crucially, the narratives of urban decline examined in Section 7 challenge the assumption of continuous urban progress. Instead, they underscore the cyclical nature of growth and collapse, shaped by interlinked environmental and sociopolitical factors. These narratives caution against hubris and underscore the importance of adaptability and ecological mindfulness—lessons that modern cities ignore at their peril.

Ultimately, archaeology invites us to reframe the urban narrative: from a linear tale of progress to a cyclical and adaptive story of human ingenuity, vulnerability, and resilience. Recognising the past not merely as a backdrop but as an active source of knowledge, urban historians, planners, and policymakers can better anticipate the challenges of the future. The mother cities of antiquity do not merely lie buried beneath the soil—they echo in the streets, skylines, and infrastructures of the present.

By embedding archaeological perspectives into our understanding of cities, we not only honour the legacies of our ancestors but also equip ourselves with the critical tools to build cities that are not only smart or sustainable but also enduring.

References

- Adams, R. M. (1966). *The Evolution of Urban Society: Early Mesopotamia and Prehispanic Mexico*. Aldine.
- Adams, R. M. (1981). *Heartland of Cities: Surveys of Ancient Settlement and Land Use on the Central Floodplain of the Euphrates*. University of Chicago Press.
- Algaze, G. (2005). *The Uruk World System: The Dynamics of Expansion of Early Mesopotamian Civilisation* (2nd ed.). University of Chicago Press.
- Batty, M. (2013). *The New Science of Cities*. MIT Press.
- Bisht, R. S. (1991). Dholavira: A new horizon of the Indus Civilisation. *Purātattva*, 20, 71–82.
- Black, J., & Green, A. (1992). *Gods, Demons and Symbols of Ancient Mesopotamia: An Illustrated Dictionary*. University of Texas Press.
- Braudel, F. (1980). *On History* (S. Matthews, Trans.). University of Chicago Press.

- Buckley, B. M., Anchukaitis, K. J., Penny, D., Fletcher, R., Cook, E. R., Sano, M., ... & D'Arrigo, R. (2010). Climate as a contributing factor in the demise of Angkor, Cambodia. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(15), 6748–6752.
- Childe, V. G. (1950). The urban revolution. *Town Planning Review*, 21(1), 3–17.
- Conkey, M. W., & Gero, J. M. (1991). Tensions, pluralities, and engendering archaeology: An introduction to women and prehistory. In M. W. Conkey & J. M. Gero (Eds.), *Engendering Archaeology: Women and Prehistory* (pp. 3–30). Blackwell.
- Cowgill, G. L. (2015). *Ancient Teotihuacan: Early Urbanism in Central Mexico*. Cambridge University Press.
- Demarest, A. A. (2004). *Ancient Maya: The Rise and Fall of a Rainforest Civilisation*. Cambridge University Press.
- Fathy, H. (1986). *Natural Energy and Vernacular Architecture: Principles and Examples with Reference to Hot Arid Climates*. University of Chicago Press.
- Flannery, K. V. (1972). The cultural evolution of civilisations. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics*, 3, 399–426.
- Fletcher, R., Penny, D., Evans, D., Pottier, C., Barbetti, M., & Kumm, M. (2008). The water management network of Angkor, Cambodia. *Antiquity*, 82(317), 658–670.
- Fung, A. (2006). Varieties of participation in complex governance. *Public Administration Review*, 66(s1), 66–75.
- Giosan, L., Clift, P. D., Macklin, M. G., Fuller, D. Q., Constantinescu, S., Durcan, J. A., ... & Tabrez, A. R. (2012). Fluvial landscapes of the Harappan civilisation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(26), E1688–E1694.
- Harvey, D. (2000). *Spaces of Hope*. University of California Press.
- Hodder, I. (2006). *The Leopard's Tale: Revealing the Mysteries of Çatalhöyük*. Thames & Hudson.
- Holtorf, C. (2013). On the possibility of time travel. *Public Archaeology*, 12(1), 69–80.
- Holston, J. (1989). *The Modernist City: An Anthropological Critique of Brasília*. University of Chicago Press.
- Jacobsen, T., & Adams, R. M. (1958). Salt and silt in ancient Mesopotamian agriculture. *Science*, 128(3334), 1251–1258.
- Kenoyer, J. M. (1998). *Ancient Cities of the Indus Valley Civilisation*. Oxford University Press.
- Keightley, D. N. (2000). *The Ancestral Landscape: Time, Space, and Community in Late Shang China (ca. 1200–1045 B.C.)*. University of California, Berkeley.
- Kemp, B. (2012). *The City of Akhenaten and Nefertiti: Amarna and Its People*. Thames & Hudson.
- Kenoyer, J. M. (1998). *Ancient Cities of the Indus Valley Civilisation*. Oxford University Press.
- Lefebvre, H. (1991). *The Production of Space* (D. Nicholson-Smith, Trans.). Blackwell.
- Liebeschütz, J. H. W. G. (2001). *Decline and Fall of the Roman City*. Oxford University Press.
- Liu, L., & Chen, X. (2003). *State Formation in Early China*. Duckworth.
- Liverani, M. (2006). *Myth and Politics in Ancient Near Eastern Historiography*. Cornell University Press.
- McIntosh, R. J. (2005). *Ancient Middle Niger: Urbanism and the Self-Organising Landscape*. Cambridge University Press.
- Millon, R. (1981). Teotihuacan: City, state, and civilisation. In G. L. Cowgill & R. Millon (Eds.), *Urbanisation in the Americas* (pp. 243–280). Mouton.
- Moreno, C., Allam, Z., Chabaud, D., Gall, C., & Pratlong, F. (2021). Introducing the “15-minute city”: Sustainability, resilience and place identity in future post-pandemic cities. *Smart Cities*, 4(1), 93–111.
- Nissen, H. J. (1988). *The Early History of the Ancient Near East, 9000–2000 B.C.* University of Chicago Press.
- Possehl, G. L. (2002). *The Indus Civilisation: A Contemporary Perspective*. AltaMira Press.
- Renfrew, C., & Bahn, P. (2016). *Archaeology: Theories, Methods, and Practice* (7th ed.). Thames & Hudson.
- Sassen, S. (2005). *The Global City: New York, London, Tokyo* (2nd ed.). Princeton University Press.
- Smith, M. E. (2007). Form and meaning in the earliest cities: A new approach to ancient urban planning. *Journal of Planning History*, 6(1), 3–47.
- Smith, M. E. (2009). V. Gordon Childe and the urban revolution: A historical perspective on a revolution in urban studies. *Town Planning Review*, 80(1), 3–29.
- Smith, M. E. (2010). Sprawl, squatters and slums: Cities expanded by informal means. *International Journal of Urban and Regional Research*, 34(4), 932–956.

- Sugiyama, S. (2005). *Human Sacrifice, Militarism, and Rulership: Materialisation of State Ideology at the Feathered Serpent Pyramid, Teotihuacan*. Cambridge University Press.
- Tainter, J. A. (1988). *The Collapse of Complex Societies*. Cambridge University Press.
- Trigger, B. G. (2003). *Understanding Early Civilisations: A Comparative Study*. Cambridge University Press.
- Turner, B. L., & Sabloff, J. A. (2012). Classic Period collapse of the Central Maya Lowlands: Insights about human–environment relationships for sustainability. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(35), 13908–13914.
- United Nations. (2019). *World Urbanisation Prospects: The 2018 Revision*. Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division. <https://population.un.org/wup/II>. Research Methodology
- Vale, L. J., & Campanella, T. J. (2005). *The Resilient City: How Modern Cities Recover from Disaster*. Oxford University Press.
- Ward-Perkins, B. (2005). *The Fall of Rome and the End of Civilisation*. Oxford University Press.
- Wright, R. P. (2010). *The Ancient Indus: Urbanism, Economy, and Society*. Cambridge University Press.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.