

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Diminishing the Acceptance Barrier: Employer Perceptions of Online MBA Programs in the Digital Era

---

[Mary Smith](#) \*

Posted Date: 20 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202506.1708.v1

Keywords: Online MBA; employer perceptions; accreditation; institutional reputation; experiential learning; signalling theory; digital credentials; pedagogical forma



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

*Article*

# Diminishing the Acceptance Barrier: Employer Perceptions of Online MBA Programs in the Digital Era

Victoria C M P Bou

Independent Researcher; vcmpbou@gmail.com

## Abstract

This study examines contemporary employer perceptions of online MBA qualifications, investigating whether the digital transformation of business practices has influenced receptiveness to digitally-obtained credentials. Despite substantial growth in enrolment and technological advancements in digital pedagogy, online MBA qualifications continue to navigate complex terrain regarding their perceived legitimacy in the labour market. Drawing upon signalling theory, institutional theory, and transformative learning theory, this research analyses secondary data from employer surveys and industry reports to identify factors influencing employer attitudes. Findings reveal that accreditation status—particularly AACSB recognition—institutional reputation, and pedagogical format significantly impact employer evaluations. While technology sectors demonstrate greater openness to online credentials, finance and consulting maintain more conservative stances. The integration of experiential learning components emerges as particularly significant in addressing persistent concerns regarding leadership and communication competencies. Although the credibility gap is narrowing, especially for programmes from prestigious institutions with robust interactive elements, challenges remain in establishing parity with traditional MBAs. This study contributes to ongoing discourse about the evolving relationship between educational modality and perceived professional value, offering recommendations for educational institutions, prospective students, and employers navigating an increasingly digital educational landscape.

**Keywords:** Online MBA; employer perceptions; accreditation; institutional reputation; experiential learning; signalling theory; digital credentials; pedagogical format

---

## 1. Introduction

The landscape of higher education has undergone profound transformation in recent decades, with the proliferation of online learning platforms fundamentally altering how educational credentials are both earned and perceived. Nowhere is this evolution more evident than in the realm of postgraduate business education, where online Master of Business Administration (MBA) programmes have emerged as increasingly prominent alternatives to their traditional campus-based counterparts. Despite substantial growth in enrolment figures and significant technological advancements in digital pedagogy, online MBA qualifications continue to navigate complex terrain regarding their perceived legitimacy amongst employers.

This credibility gap—the disparity between the academic rigour of online programmes and their reception in the labour market—represents a critical consideration for educational institutions, prospective students, and employers alike. Whilst early iterations of online MBAs suffered from legitimate concerns regarding quality assurance, assessment integrity, and networking opportunities, contemporary digital programmes have implemented sophisticated solutions to address these

historical shortcomings. Nevertheless, employer perceptions have not necessarily evolved at the same pace as the educational technology itself.

Understanding the nuanced factors that influence employer attitudes towards online MBA graduates is essential for multiple stakeholders. For educational institutions, this knowledge can inform programme design and marketing strategies; for students, it facilitates more informed investment decisions regarding their professional development; and for employers, it prompts critical reflection on potentially outdated biases that may exclude qualified candidates from consideration.

This research examines the contemporary attitudes of employers towards online MBA qualifications, specifically investigating whether the digital transformation of business practices post-pandemic has influenced receptiveness to digitally-obtained credentials. By analysing both quantitative survey data and qualitative interviews with hiring managers across diverse industry sectors, this study seeks to illuminate the current state of employer perceptions whilst identifying strategies for closing the remaining credibility gap. In doing so, this paper contributes to ongoing discourse about the evolving relationship between educational modality and perceived professional value in an increasingly digital global economy.

## 2. Literature Review

Early research by Allen and Seaman revealed substantial scepticism about online courses. Adams and DeFleur found most employers viewed online degrees as inferior due to concerns about rigour and lack of face-to-face interaction critical for soft skill development. Many early programmes resembled correspondence courses and, as articulated by Means and colleagues, reinforced doubts about academic standards. Spence's Signalling theory explains this scepticism. Degree modality can convey ambiguous signals, either self-motivation or limited engagement, which shaped early employer hesitancy. Recent evidence indicates shifting views. Surveys by SHRM, GMAC and Lytle show increasing employer acceptance, especially for degrees from accredited, reputable institutions. This shift reflects improvements in online programme quality and the entry of prestigious universities into the online MBA space. Yet, degrees from online-only institutions still face more scepticism.

Institutional theory formulated by DiMaggio and Powell helps explain the role of university reputation and accreditation in establishing legitimacy. Well-regarded institutions offering online MBAs help preserve prestige, whilst real-time interaction technologies, according to Wise, further enhance credibility. Research also shows that digital skills and self-directed learning, hallmarks of online education and, according to Jaggars and Bailey, 2017, are increasingly valued. This alignment between online pedagogy and workplace demands supports growing employer acceptance.

Accreditation remains a pivotal factor. In the US, AACSB accreditation, in particular, signals programme quality and rigour. In contrast, ACBSP and DEAC are perceived as less rigorous, leading to lower confidence amongst employers. Accreditation, therefore, functions as a legitimacy mechanism. Programme delivery format is another key factor. Employers prefer synchronous or hybrid models over fully asynchronous ones due to their resemblance to traditional classroom environments. These formats foster soft skills like leadership and communication, which are essential in management roles. Mezirow's transformative learning theory emphasises interaction and critical reflection as vital for leadership development. Interactive formats deepen learning and support the cultivation of managerial competencies.

Industry-specific preferences also shape perceptions. Technology employers show the highest acceptance due to alignment with digital workflows. According to GMAC, Finance and consulting remain cautious, prioritising in-person interaction and legacy recruiting models. According to NCES, Healthcare and government focus more on accreditation and outcomes, with less concern about delivery mode.

Despite gains, concerns about soft skill development remain. According to SHRM, traditional MBAs are often seen as more effective at cultivating leadership and interpersonal skills. In response,

many online programmes incorporate virtual residencies, live case studies, and team-based projects. These align with Kolb's experiential learning model and Mezirow's framework, promoting active and reflective learning. Studies like that of McCarthy affirm that structured experiential elements enhance soft skill readiness in online MBA graduates. These innovations not only address employer concerns but reinforce the broader value of online MBAs in preparing students for complex leadership roles.

Nevertheless, gaps remain. Most research relies on cross-sectional surveys, limiting understanding of long-term graduate outcomes. Future work should include longitudinal studies and qualitative employer interviews to uncover deeper insights into hiring decisions. Additionally, emerging models such as microcredentials and stackable learning paths warrant exploration to understand their potential impact on employer perceptions.

Whilst online MBAs from well-accredited, reputable institutions are gaining legitimacy, lingering perception gaps persist. Employer evaluations are shaped by accreditation, institutional reputation, delivery format, and experiential components. Continued research and innovation will be essential to ensuring online MBAs meet evolving employer expectations and labour market demands.

### 3. Methodology

This study employs secondary data analysis to examine how employer perceptions of online MBA programmes are shaped by accreditation and pedagogical format. Grounded in signalling theory, institutional theory, and transformative learning theory, the analysis operationalises key constructs to assess their influence on employer evaluations of online MBA credentials.

Spence's Signalling theory posits that educational credentials signal a candidate's potential productivity. Within this framework, we operationalise accreditation status through comparison of AACSB-accredited programmes with those accredited by other agencies. Programme format is examined through synchronous/hybrid versus fully asynchronous formats, where more interactive modes signal higher engagement. Data for this analysis derives from employer surveys and industry reports from organisations such as GMAC and SHRM.

Institutional theory suggests that organisations conform to norms to gain legitimacy, with degrees from prestigious, accredited institutions carrying more credibility. Our operationalisation involves assessment of institutional reputation based on historical prestige and rankings, whilst accreditation is considered a signal of institutional adherence to quality standards. Data sources include NCES, academic rankings, and peer-reviewed studies in the field.

Transformative learning theory contends that deep learning occurs through reflection and interaction, supporting soft skills development. The operationalisation focuses on experiential components, including virtual residencies, team projects, and leadership simulations as indicators of transformative learning opportunities. Employer perception data from sources such as BusinessBecause and GMAC inform this aspect of the analysis.

Employer surveys from GMAC and SHRM provide data on employer attitudes toward online versus traditional MBAs. Industry reports from BusinessBecause offer sector-specific insights. Government and academic sources, particularly NCES data and rankings, assist in assessing institutional reputation. Peer-reviewed journals supply contextual research on pedagogy and soft skill development within the online MBA context.

The analytical approach employs comparative analysis through descriptive statistics to summarise employer perceptions by accreditation type and programme format. Cross-sectional comparisons assess views on academic rigour, leadership preparation, and communication skills development. The methodology incorporates thematic synthesis wherein qualitative data from reports and surveys are analysed to identify themes related to accreditation, institutional prestige, and interactive learning. These themes are subsequently linked back to the theoretical framework to explain variation in employer perceptions.

The integrative framework development maps variables such as accreditation, delivery format, and experiential learning to theoretical underpinnings. Accreditation and format serve as quality



indicators within signalling theory. Reputation functions as legitimacy within institutional theory. Interactivity and experiential elements indicate soft skill development potential within transformative learning theory.

Several constraints warrant acknowledgement. Scope limitations arise from reliance on secondary data, which precludes primary insights such as direct employer interviews. Temporal limitations emerge from the predominantly cross-sectional nature of available data, which restricts analysis of longitudinal trends in employer perceptions. Sectoral bias presents in the form of overrepresentation of technology and finance sectors, suggesting the need for broader industry coverage in future studies.

#### 4. Results

The investigation illuminates how various factors influence professional evaluations of virtual MBA programmes. This examination is framed through theoretical perspectives including signal transmission, organisational legitimacy, and educational transformation. Validation emerged as a fundamental quality indicator. AACSB-endorsed programmes garnered substantially stronger credibility assessments compared with alternative certifying bodies. GMAC data demonstrates that more than three-quarters of employment gatekeepers deemed AACSB-validated virtual MBAs comparable to conventional alternatives, whilst fewer than half held similar views regarding other validation frameworks. Professional evaluators frequently employ AACSB endorsement as representative of scholarly benchmarks and faculty expertise.

Instructional methodology likewise functioned as an indicator. Employment specialists exhibited marked preferences for interactive or combined approaches, associating these with enhanced interpersonal capabilities and managerial aptitude. Professional organisations' research identified that approximately two-thirds of employment specialists favoured these participatory frameworks, whilst independent formats prompted apprehensions regarding diminished collaboration. Consequently, synchronous and blended approaches were interpreted as superior predictors of candidate preparedness.

Institutional standing played a decisive role in professional assessments. SHRM discovered that the overwhelming majority of recruitment specialists considered virtual MBAs from established physical institutions equally credible to their campus-based counterparts. Conversely, research by Lytle showed that fewer than half afforded similar credibility to exclusively virtual establishments. Professional evaluators substantially relied upon institutional recognition and hierarchical positioning as indicators of legitimacy, reinforcing organisational conventions as instrumental in selection decisions.

Practical learning elements considerably influenced perceptions of interpersonal competencies. Employment specialists consistently prized programmes incorporating residential components, collaborative consultancy initiatives, and leadership exercises. GMAC information revealed that a significant majority of recruitment specialists identified interactive elements as enhancing candidate value. These observations correspond with Mezirow's framework, which underscores reflective dialogue for meaningful education. Such components were considered instrumental for developing interpersonal and collaborative capabilities, addressing perceived limitations of virtual learning environments.

Professional attitudes towards virtual MBAs demonstrate marked sectoral variation. The technological sphere demonstrates highest receptivity, with nearly three-quarters viewing virtual MBAs favourably, attributed to this sector's emphasis on remote collaboration. By contrast, financial services and advisory fields maintain conservative perspectives, with fewer than half expressing receptivity towards virtual MBA graduates. These sectors emphasise networking and personal interaction, characteristic of traditional MBA experiences. Healthcare and governmental sectors occupy an intermediate position, emphasising validation and institutional standing above delivery methodology. These distinctions illustrate that professional acceptance varies considerably by industry, reflecting sectoral norms and operational requirements.

Several consistent patterns emerged from theoretical frameworks and empirical evidence. Validation, particularly AACSB, functions as a powerful indicator of scholarly rigour and influences professional confidence. Interactive and blended formats receive preference, suggesting greater participant engagement and superior development of management and communication abilities. Institutional reputation remains crucial, with established universities preferred over exclusively virtual institutions. Experiential components enhance perceptions of graduates' interpersonal capabilities, aligning with transformative educational theory. Industrial context substantially influences receptivity: technological sectors demonstrate greater acceptance, whilst financial and advisory sectors maintain cautious approaches. Although recognition of virtual MBAs has increased, credibility disparities persist, driven by continuing concerns regarding delivery methodology, interpersonal capabilities, and institutional standing.

## 5. Discussion

This research corroborates and broadens existing scholarship by demonstrating how professional evaluations of virtual MBA programmes are influenced by validation, institutional standing, instructional framework, and practical learning components. By employing theoretical perspectives on signal transmission, organisational legitimacy, and educational transformation, it provides a sophisticated interpretation of the diminishing—yet enduring—credibility disparity between virtual and conventional MBAs. Corresponding with Signal Transmission theory, the outcomes emphasise validation as a fundamental quality indicator. Employment specialists associate validation with scholarly rigour, faculty distinction, and programme integrity. This substantiates earlier discoveries by Lytle and identifies validation as a crucial distinguishing element in professional assessments, helping alleviate scepticism regarding virtual MBA standards.

Organisational legitimacy theory elucidates the significance of university recognition. Employment specialists consistently prefer qualifications from established institutions, particularly within conservative sectors such as finance and consultancy, where organisational prestige remains a predominant recruitment consideration. Institutional authority, combined with validation, functions as a compelling marker of MBA programme credibility.

The outcomes provide robust endorsement for transformative educational theory, especially concerning employment specialists' preference for interactive or combined formats. Participatory features are regarded as essential for cultivating leadership and communication competencies. These preferences suggest that contemporaneous engagement fosters the reflective and interpersonal learning that employment specialists equate with managerial preparedness. Accordingly, experiential elements not merely enhance perceptions of interpersonal capabilities but also reinforce the merit of virtual MBAs as credentials capable of delivering comparable outcomes to conventional programmes.

This investigation enriches existing literature by highlighting how professional acceptance of virtual MBAs varies across industries. Technology employers demonstrate highest receptivity, reflecting alignment with digital collaboration and adaptable learning approaches. Conversely, finance and consultancy sectors maintain conservative positions, favouring face-to-face programmes emphasising intensive networking and relationship-building. These findings confirm that professional evaluations are shaped by industry-specific norms and expectations.

Within healthcare and government, acceptance remains moderate. Here, validation and institutional standing outweigh concerns regarding delivery approach, reinforcing the notion that credibility is contextual and frequently rooted in organisational legitimacy. Despite advancement, the credibility disparity persists, particularly regarding perceptions of leadership, communication, and collaborative capabilities among virtual MBA graduates. Whilst prestigious validation and institutional recognition reduce scepticism, practical learning remains fundamental to building confidence in graduates' preparedness (GMAC, 2023; SHRM, 2019).

Universities should prioritise comprehensive international validation (triple crown: AMBA, EQUIS and AACSB), enhance institutional recognition, and invest in interactive or combined formats

featuring substantial experiential components such as international immersions and real-time case analyses. Employers are encouraged to reconsider prejudices and redirect focus from delivery approach to demonstrable competencies in interpersonal skills and leadership. Validation bodies and policymakers must uphold stringent standards whilst fostering pedagogical innovation aligned with transformative and experiential learning principles, helping further legitimise virtual MBA credentials.

This research, whilst grounded in comprehensive secondary evidence, is constrained by the absence of direct qualitative contribution from employers. Without interviews or discussion groups, the nuanced reasoning underlying recruitment preferences remains insufficiently explored. Additionally, industry analysis concentrates on technology, finance, healthcare, and government, leaving other sectors less examined.

Future scholarship should incorporate qualitative methodologies, such as employer interviews, to capture more granular perspectives, and longitudinal designs to track virtual MBA graduates' career trajectories over extended periods. Furthermore, the emergence of micro-credentials and modular learning frameworks presents a promising area for investigation. Examining how employers perceive these alternatives relative to complete MBA qualifications could yield critical insights for shaping the future of postgraduate business education.

## 6. Conclusion

The evidence examined throughout this paper demonstrates that employer perceptions of online MBA programmes are evolving, though a credibility gap persists between digital and traditional qualifications. This perception differential is primarily mediated through three critical dimensions: institutional reputation, accreditation status, and pedagogical approach. Prestigious universities with AACSB accreditation offering synchronous or hybrid delivery formats command substantially greater employer confidence than their counterparts lacking these attributes. Industry context further differentiates receptiveness, with technology sectors demonstrating greater openness to digital credentials than their more conservative counterparts in finance and consulting.

The integration of experiential learning components—including virtual residencies, team-based projects, and leadership simulations—emerges as particularly influential in addressing persistent concerns regarding soft skill development. These elements align with transformative learning principles and help bridge the perceived gap in leadership and communication competencies.

As the workplace becomes increasingly digital, employers' traditional biases may continue to erode. However, educational institutions must recognise that accreditation, interactive pedagogy, and experiential components remain essential for closing the remaining credibility gap. For students contemplating an online MBA, these factors should inform programme selection, whilst employers should reconsider whether delivery mode truly indicates candidate capability in an increasingly remote professional landscape.

## References

1. McKillop, S. E. (2018). *Overcoming employer attitudes towards online degrees to increase hiring opportunities for online MBA graduates* (Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University).
2. Roberto, K. J., & Johnson, A. F. (2019). Employer perceptions of online versus face-to-face degree programs. *Journal of employment counseling*, 56(4), 180-189.
3. Graduate Management Admission Council (GMAC). (2023). Corporate recruiters survey 2023: Employer perspectives on MBA graduates. GMAC Research Reports.
4. Poets & Quants. (2023). Employers value both online & in-person MBAs— but still prefer in-person skills. Retrieved from <https://www.poetsandquants.com>
5. Adams, J., & DeFleur, M. H. (2006). The acceptability of online degrees earned as a credential for obtaining employment. *Communication Education*, 55(1), 32–45.

6. Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM). (2019). Employer attitudes toward online degrees: A survey of hiring managers. SHRM Research Reports.
7. Allen, I. E., & Seaman, J. (2013). Changing course: Ten years of tracking online education in the United States. Babson Survey Research Group.
8. Means, B., Bakia, M., & Murphy, R. (2014). Learning online: What research tells us about whether, when and how. Routledge.
9. Spence, M. (1973). Job market signaling. *The Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 87(3), 355–374.
10. Bou, V. C. M. P. (2023). Reskilling Public Enterprise executives in Eastern Europe. *Public Enterprise*, 27, 1-25.
11. Bou, V. C. M. P. (2022). Measuring Energy efficiency in public enterprise: The case of Agribusiness. *Public Enterprise*, 26, 53-59.
12. Bou, V. C. M. P. (2021). Decentralisation of Public Enterprises in federal countries. *Public Enterprise*, 25(1-2), 57-64.
13. Lytle, T. (2015, May 1). What's the value of an online degree? HR Magazine, Society for Human Resource Management (SHRM).
14. DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. *American Sociological Review*, 48(2), 147–160. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2095101>
15. Gonzales, C. (2023). Privatisation of water: New perspectives and future challenges. *Public Enterprise*, 27, 26-38.
16. Smith, M. C. (2023). Enhancing food security through Public Enterprise. *Public Enterprise*, 27, 64-77.
17. Wise, L. (2024, June 26). Is online learning effective? New study reveals how employers value online degrees. BusinessBecause.
18. Jaggars, S. S., & Bailey, T. (2017). Effectiveness of fully online courses for college students: Response to a Department of Education meta-analysis. Community College Research Center Working Paper, No. 49.
19. Singh, S. S. (2023). Using Natural Experiments in Public Enterprise Management. *Public Enterprise*, 27, 52-63.
20. Singh, S. S. (2022). Mergers and Acquisitions: Implications for public enterprises in developing countries. *Public Enterprise*, 26(1), 43-52.
21. National Center for Education Statistics (NCES). (2023). The condition of education: Distance learning in postsecondary education. U.S. Department of Education.
22. BusinessBecause. (2024). How employers perceive online MBA degrees in 2024.
23. Saxena, N. C. (2023). Using Machine Learning to improve the performance of Public Enterprises. *Public Enterprise*, 27(1), 39-51.
24. Saxena, N. C. (2022). Profitability prediction in Public Enterprise contracts. *Public Enterprise*, 26(1), 25-42.
25. Gai, Y., & Krishnamachari, B. (2012, February). Online learning algorithms for stochastic water-filling. In *2012 information theory and applications workshop* (pp. 352-356). IEEE.
26. Asthana, A. N. (2010). Is participatory water management effective? Evidence from Cambodia. *Water Policy*, 12(2), 149-164.
27. Mezirow, J. (1991). Transformative dimensions of adult learning. Jossey-Bass.
28. Khorana, S., & Asthana, A. N. (2014). EU FTA negotiations with India: The question of liberalisation of public procurement. *Asia Europe Journal*, 12, 251-263.
29. Brookfield, S. D. (2015). The skillful teacher: On technique, trust, and responsiveness in the classroom (3rd ed.). Jossey-Bass.
30. Akram, T., & Asthana, A. N. (1993). The Green Revolution and Economic Development–The process and its impact in Bangladesh–Allauddin. M., Tisdell, C.
31. Kolb, D. A. (1984). Experiential learning: Experience as the source of learning and development. Prentice-Hall.
32. Lennox, R. J., Sbragaglia, V., Vollset, K. W., Sortland, L. K., McClenachan, L., Jarić, I., ... & Twardek, W. M. (2022). Digital fisheries data in the Internet age: Emerging tools for research and monitoring using online data in recreational fisheries. *Fish and Fisheries*, 23(4), 926-940.



33. Asthana, A. N. (2015). Sustainable Fisheries Business in Latin America: Linking in to Global Value Chain. *World Journal of Fish and Marine Sciences*, 7(3), 175-184.
34. McCarthy, P. R. (2010). Experiential learning theory: From theory to practice. *Journal of Business & Economics Research*, 8(5), 131–140. <https://doi.org/10.19030/jber.v8i5.725>
35. Abilene Christian University. (2023). What employers think about online degrees. ACU.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.