

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Academic Use and Academic Writing: Copyright Law, Digital Transformation, and Educational Fair Use in India

[Raj Kumar](#)* and Asheesh Yadav

Posted Date: 10 June 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202506.0721.v1

Keywords: digital transformation; copyright law; fair dealing; academic use; academic writing; digital piracy; open access; institutional repositories; intellectual property; academic freedom



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Academic Use and Academic Writing: Copyright Law, Digital Transformation, and Educational Fair Use in India

Raj Kumar * and Asheesh Yadav

* Correspondence: rajkumar.yadav@cup.edu.in

Abstract: India's digital transformation, propelled by government initiatives, technological advancements, and economic imperatives, has significantly reshaped copyright law frameworks, particularly in academic contexts. The Digital India initiative and related programs highlight the need for legal reforms to address digital piracy and the valuation of digital copyrights, while technological advancements like digital rights management (DRM) and mass digitization challenge traditional copyright norms. Fair dealing provisions under the Indian Copyright Act of 1957 facilitate academic use by allowing limited reproduction for research and education, yet their narrow scope and enforcement complexities hinder their efficacy in the digital age. The rise of digital platforms and open access models further complicates copyright enforcement, impacting accessibility and academic freedom. This paper examines these dynamics, exploring fair dealing's role in balancing intellectual property rights with educational needs, the challenges of outdated copyright policies, and strategies to enhance digital access and ethical academic practices. It proposes modernizing legal frameworks to support innovation, inclusivity, and equitable access to knowledge in India's evolving digital landscape.

Keywords: digital transformation; copyright law; fair dealing; academic use; academic writing; digital piracy; open access; institutional repositories; intellectual property; academic freedom

1. Introduction

India's digital transformation is driven by a combination of government initiatives, technological advancements, and economic imperatives, which collectively impact copyright laws. The Digital India initiative, along with programs like the National Digital Health Mission and the Unified Payments Interface, exemplifies the government's commitment to leveraging technology for societal and economic benefits (Tiwari et al., 2023). However, this rapid digitalization poses challenges to existing copyright frameworks, necessitating legal reforms to address issues such as digital piracy and the valuation of digital copyrights (Haspada, 2024). The impact of these drivers on copyright laws is multifaceted, involving both opportunities for innovation and challenges in enforcement.

1.1. Government Initiatives and Legal Reforms

- The Digital India initiative aims to transform India into a digitally empowered society and knowledge economy, which has necessitated updates to legal frameworks, including copyright laws (Tiwari et al., 2023).
- The impending Digital India Act 2023 is expected to replace the Information Technology Act of 2000, addressing the complexities of the digital era, including intermediary liability and online dispute resolution (Tiwari et al., 2023).
- Amendments to the Indian Copyright Act, such as those in 2012, have been made to align with international standards and address digital challenges, including piracy and unauthorized distribution (Murthy, 2017) (Saraswat & Chaturvedi, 2017).

1.2. *Technological Advancements and Copyright Challenges*

- The convergence of content into digital forms has complicated copyright enforcement, as digital technologies facilitate unauthorized copying and distribution (Scharf, 2013).
- Mass digitization, which involves the bulk digital transformation of copyrighted works, challenges traditional copyright norms and necessitates a shift from an ex ante authorization regime to an opt-out system (Borghi & Karapapa, 2013).
- Technological measures like encryption and digital rights management tools are employed to protect digital content, but they also raise questions about accessibility and the balance between protection and public interest (Saraswat & Chaturvedi, 2017).

1.3. *Economic Implications and Copyright as Collateral*

- The digital economy's growth has led to discussions on using copyright as fiduciary collateral, though legal and technological barriers, such as the complexity of valuing digital copyrights, persist (Haspada, 2024).
- The gig economy and platform-based work models, which have expanded rapidly in India, further complicate copyright issues, as digital platforms often rely on user-generated content that may infringe on existing copyrights (Bhattacharyya & Adhikary, 2025).

1.4. *International Influence and Future Directions*

- International conventions like the WIPO Copyright Treaty and the TRIPS agreement influence India's copyright laws, pushing for stronger protections against digital piracy (Murthy, 2017) (Saraswat & Chaturvedi, 2017).
- The Indian film industry, particularly Bollywood, plays a significant role in shaping copyright legislation, advocating for measures to combat piracy while also considering the socio-economic context of digital access (Chowdhury, 2008).

While India's digital transformation offers significant opportunities for economic growth and innovation, it also presents challenges for copyright laws. The balance between protecting intellectual property and ensuring access to digital content remains a critical issue. As India continues to evolve its legal frameworks, the interplay between technology, law, and economic interests will shape the future of copyright in the digital age.

2. Fair Dealing in Academia

The significance of academic use of fair dealing in copyright laws is profound, as it plays a crucial role in balancing the rights of copyright holders with the needs of educators, researchers, and students. Fair dealing, along with its counterpart fair use in the United States, provides the necessary flexibility to ensure that copyright laws do not stifle educational and scholarly activities. This balance is essential for fostering an environment where knowledge can be freely accessed and disseminated, thereby promoting learning and innovation. The impact of fair dealing on copyright laws is multifaceted, influencing policy development, legal interpretations, and the operational practices of educational institutions.

2.1. *Balancing Rights and Access*

- Fair dealing and fair use are designed to balance the proprietary rights of copyright holders with the public interest in accessing information. This balance is crucial for enabling educational institutions to use copyrighted materials without infringing on the rights of authors (Caidi et al., 2015) (Billah & Al-Barashdi, 2018).
- In Canada, the statutory review of the Copyright Act has highlighted the importance of fair dealing in higher education, emphasizing the need for policies that support educational use while respecting the rights of publishers (Zerkee et al., 2022).

2.2. Legal Framework and Interpretation

- The legal framework surrounding fair dealing is often ambiguous, leading to challenges in its application. This ambiguity necessitates a liberal interpretation to ensure that educational and research activities are not unduly restricted (Billah & Al-Barashdi, 2018).
- The transformative use doctrine, which has gained prominence in fair use determinations, underscores the importance of using copyrighted materials in a way that adds new expression or meaning, thereby supporting scholarly work (Bunker, 2019).

2.3. Institutional Policies and Practices

- Academic institutions have developed fair dealing policies to navigate the complexities of copyright law. These policies aim to be flexible and accessible, ensuring that faculty and students can engage with copyrighted materials effectively (Valentino, 2013).
- The role of academic libraries is pivotal in advocating for fair use and fair dealing, as they provide access to resources and support the educational mission of universities (Neal, 2011).

2.4. Challenges and Advocacy

- Despite the benefits of fair dealing, there are ongoing challenges, such as the reluctance to use and defend fair use due to legal uncertainties. This reluctance can hinder the academic mission and limit access to information (Gerhardt & Wessel, 2010).
- Advocacy by educational institutions and libraries is essential to protect and expand fair dealing rights. This includes engaging with policymakers and the public to highlight the importance of fair dealing in supporting education and research (Zerkee et al., 2022) (Neal, 2011).

While fair dealing is instrumental in facilitating academic use of copyrighted materials, it is not without its challenges. The lack of clear legal definitions and the tension between copyright holders and educational institutions can complicate its application. However, with continued advocacy and a commitment to balancing rights and access, fair dealing can continue to support the academic community in its pursuit of knowledge and innovation.

3. Regulating Digital Platforms and Copyright

The regulation of digital platforms has significant implications for copyright laws and content accessibility, reshaping the landscape of creative expression and user rights. Digital platforms, through private agreements and regulatory frameworks, are increasingly influencing how copyrighted content is accessed and shared, often bypassing traditional legal mechanisms. This shift has profound effects on both copyright enforcement and the accessibility of content, raising concerns about freedom of expression, inclusivity, and the balance of power between content creators and platform operators. The following sections explore these implications in detail.

3.1. Private Governance and Copyright Law

- Digital platforms like Facebook and YouTube often rely on confidential licensing agreements with copyright holders, which effectively rewrite copyright laws outside of public oversight. These agreements can remove fair use protections and introduce new rights, altering the traditional balance of copyright law (Das, 2023).
- The dominance of private governance in copyright regulation can insulate these new rules from democratic processes, reducing public participation and oversight in shaping copyright laws (Das, 2023).

3.2. Impact on Freedom of Expression

- The EU's Article 17 of the Copyright in the Digital Single Market Directive exemplifies how copyright enforcement can limit freedom of expression by restricting users' ability to reuse and reinterpret copyrighted content. This can undermine democratic discourse on social media platforms (Mendis, 2023).
- Proposals to balance user and copyright holder interests include ensuring that copyright laws do not disproportionately restrict users' rights to free expression, thereby promoting a robust digital public sphere (Mendis, 2023).

3.3. Challenges in Copyright Enforcement

- Online streaming platforms face significant challenges in enforcing copyright due to jurisdictional complexities and the anonymity of digital platforms. This complicates efforts to identify and penalize infringers effectively (Rahman, 2023).
- Digital Rights Management (DRM) technologies, while intended to protect content, can lead to over-protection and restrict fair use, raising concerns about user rights and content accessibility (Bechtold, 2002).

3.4. Inclusivity and Access to Content

- Copyright laws can both promote and hinder inclusivity within creative industries. While they incentivize the production of new cultural works, they can also reinforce existing hierarchies and limit opportunities for marginalized communities (Jacques, 2024).
- The rise of digital platforms has challenged traditional gatekeepers, offering new opportunities for creators to reach global audiences. However, the emergence of new gatekeepers on these platforms can still impact inclusivity and representation in the creative landscape (Jacques, 2024).

3.5. Data and Transparency in Copyright

- The commercial value of digital platforms often lies in their data infrastructure rather than the content itself. Granting creators rights to transparency and access to data on the exploitation of their works could lead to fairer remuneration and better content accessibility (Mazziotti, n.d.).
- EU and US reforms provide examples of how copyright can be adapted to ensure fair compensation for creators in the digital environment, emphasizing the need for transparency and data access rights (Mazziotti, n.d.).

While digital platform regulations have reshaped copyright laws and content accessibility, they also present challenges and opportunities. The shift towards private governance and technological enforcement mechanisms can undermine traditional legal protections and limit user rights. However, these changes also offer potential for reform, emphasizing the need for balanced, transparent, and inclusive copyright policies that protect both creators and users in the digital age.

4. Digital Transformation and Copyright

Digital transformation is driven by several key factors, including technological advancements, economic pressures, and regulatory changes, which collectively impact global copyright laws. The rapid evolution of digital technologies has fundamentally altered how content is created, distributed, and consumed, challenging existing copyright frameworks and necessitating legal adaptations. This transformation has significant implications for copyright law, as it must evolve to address new forms of expression and distribution while balancing the interests of creators, consumers, and technology companies. The following sections explore these drivers and their impact on copyright laws.

4.1. Technological Advancements

- **Digital Reproduction and Distribution:** The ability to reproduce and distribute digital content instantaneously and at low cost has posed significant challenges to traditional copyright laws, which were not designed to handle such capabilities. This has led to increased instances of copyright infringement and necessitated the development of new legal frameworks to protect digital content (Menell, 2002) (Menell, 2002).
- **New Modes of Expression:** Technologies such as digital sampling and computer programming have introduced new forms of creative expression that existing copyright laws struggle to accommodate. This has prompted discussions on how to adapt copyright protection to cover these new forms without stifling innovation (Menell, 2002) (Menell, 2002).

4.2. Economic Pressures

- **Content Industry Concerns:** The digital transformation has threatened the traditional revenue models of content industries, which rely on the control of distribution channels. As digital platforms enable widespread sharing and reproduction, industries are pushing for stronger copyright protections to safeguard their economic interests (Menell, 2002) (Menell, 2002).
- **Valuation of Digital Copyrights:** The complexity of valuing digital copyrights poses challenges for using them as fiduciary collateral. This economic aspect underscores the need for harmonized international legal standards to facilitate the use of digital copyrights in financial transactions (Haspada, 2024).

4.3. Regulatory Changes

- **Legal Framework Adaptation:** The digital era requires the adaptation of copyright laws to address the unique challenges posed by digital content. This includes developing uniform approaches in law enforcement and creating new rules to manage digital rights effectively (Buzova, 2022).
- **International Cooperation:** The global nature of digital content distribution necessitates international cooperation to harmonize copyright laws and address cross-border infringement issues. This is crucial for creating a cohesive legal environment that supports digital transformation (Bratajaya & Hoesein, n.d.) (Tang & Hulsink, 1998).

4.4. Impact on Global Copyright Laws

- **Shift from Property to Regulatory Regime:** The digital revolution is shifting copyright law from a property rights orientation towards a regulatory regime, focusing on enforcement and standard setting to control content distribution (Menell, 2002) (Menell, 2002).
- **Balancing Innovation and Protection:** There is a growing tension between expanding copyright protections to safeguard content industries and the need to preserve technological innovation and civil liberties. This balance is critical to ensuring that copyright laws do not hinder technological progress (Menell, 2002) (Menell, 2002).

While digital transformation presents challenges to existing copyright laws, it also offers opportunities for innovation and collaboration. The evolving digital landscape requires a flexible and adaptive approach to copyright protection, one that considers the interests of all stakeholders, including creators, consumers, and technology companies. By fostering international cooperation and developing adaptive legal frameworks, policymakers can ensure that copyright laws remain relevant and effective in the digital age.

5. Conceptual Frameworks and Fair Dealing in Education

The implications of a conceptual framework for academic use and fair dealing on the future of education and research are profound, as they provide a structured approach to understanding and addressing complex educational and legal issues. Conceptual frameworks serve as essential tools in

organizing research inquiries, guiding methodological choices, and ensuring that educational practices align with evolving societal needs. In the context of fair dealing, these frameworks help navigate the legal landscape, ensuring that educational institutions can effectively utilize copyrighted materials for teaching and research. The integration of these frameworks into education and research promises to enhance the quality and relevance of academic endeavors, while also addressing legal challenges associated with fair dealing.

5.1. Role of Conceptual Frameworks in Education and Research

- Conceptual frameworks are instrumental in organizing research inquiries and creating theory-based, data-driven arguments. They help in defining the importance of research problems, ensuring methodological rigor, and suggesting implications for theory development and practice enhancement (Antonenko, 2015).
- In engineering education, conceptual frameworks are used to prepare students for future challenges by integrating social agency and technological understanding into the curriculum (Zhuang & Newland, 2017).
- Frameworks also guide curriculum revisions to incorporate future skills such as digital literacy, critical thinking, and adaptability, aligning with global standards and preparing students for a rapidly changing job market (Dumbuya, 2024).

5.2. Implications for Fair Dealing in Education

- The review of Canada's Copyright Act highlights the importance of fair dealing in higher education, emphasizing the need for advocacy to ensure educational institutions can use copyrighted materials effectively (Zerkee et al., 2022).
- The Canadian legal framework for fair dealing is evolving to be more flexible and inclusive, allowing for a broader range of educational uses. This flexibility is crucial for fostering innovation and new forms of expression in educational contexts (Katz, 2021).

5.3. Integration of ICT in Education

- The incorporation of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) into education is essential for meeting new societal demands and improving educational quality. Conceptual frameworks support the design and evaluation of ICT projects, ensuring they align with educational goals (Severin, n.d.).
- In Thailand, a national framework aims to address educational challenges by integrating ICT and other modern educational practices, reflecting a shift towards a more competitive and quality-focused educational system (Sethakul & Utakrit, 2019).
- Clinical legal education, which emphasizes practical skill development through real-world applications, can enhance students' understanding of legal frameworks, including copyright law, by integrating theoretical knowledge with hands-on practice (Sindhia & Yadav, 2025).

5.4. Challenges and Future Directions

- Despite the benefits, there are challenges in developing and applying conceptual frameworks, particularly for novice researchers. These frameworks require careful construction and alignment with research methodologies to be effective (Oppong, 2013).
- The evolving nature of fair dealing laws presents both opportunities and challenges for educational institutions. While increased flexibility is beneficial, there is a need for ongoing advocacy and adaptation to ensure that educational practices remain legally compliant and innovative (Zerkee et al., 2022) (Katz, 2021).
- The pragmatic approach to clinical legal education in India, as outlined over a decade ago, continues to inform strategies for addressing copyright-related challenges in academic settings (Yadav, 2012).

While conceptual frameworks and fair dealing laws offer significant benefits for education and research, they also present challenges that require careful navigation. The development and application of these frameworks demand a deep understanding of both theoretical and practical aspects, and the evolving legal landscape necessitates continuous advocacy and adaptation. As educational institutions and researchers strive to align their practices with these frameworks, they must remain vigilant in addressing the complexities and opportunities that arise in this dynamic field.

6. Challenges of Copyright Enforcement in the Digital Era

The digital era has introduced significant challenges to copyright enforcement, impacting the creative industries by complicating the protection of intellectual property rights. The rapid dissemination of digital content, the anonymity of users, and the global nature of the internet have made it increasingly difficult to enforce copyright laws effectively. These challenges not only threaten the economic interests of creators and rights holders but also pose broader implications for innovation and the sustainability of creative industries. The following sections explore the primary challenges to copyright enforcement in the digital era and their impact on the creative industries.

6.1. Challenges in Copyright Enforcement

- **Ease of Distribution and Replication:** Digital technologies have made it easier than ever to copy and distribute copyrighted materials without authorization. This ease of replication undermines the control that creators and rights holders have over their works, leading to widespread unauthorized use and distribution (Mani & C, n.d.) (Dawar et al., n.d.).
- **Anonymity and Global Jurisdiction:** The internet allows users to remain anonymous, making it difficult to identify and pursue infringers. Additionally, the global nature of the internet means that copyright violations often occur across multiple jurisdictions, complicating legal enforcement and cooperation between countries (Sanusi et al., 2024) (Rahman, 2023).
- **Ineffectiveness of Digital Rights Management (DRM):** While DRM technologies are designed to prevent unauthorized use of digital content, they have limitations and can often be circumvented. This ineffectiveness reduces the ability of rights holders to protect their works and enforce copyright laws (Sanusi et al., 2024) (Rahman, 2023).
- **High Cost of Enforcement:** Enforcing copyright laws in the digital era can be costly and resource-intensive. The complexity of tracking and prosecuting infringers, especially across international borders, places a significant financial burden on rights holders (Mani & C, n.d.) (Choudhary, 2024).

6.2. Impact on Creative Industries

- **Economic Losses:** Unauthorized distribution and use of copyrighted materials result in significant economic losses for creators and rights holders. This loss of revenue can hinder the ability of creative industries to invest in new projects and innovations (Mogol & Cruclu, 2023) (Peukert & Windisch, 2024).
- **Threat to Innovation:** The inability to effectively protect intellectual property rights can discourage creators from producing new works, as they may not receive fair compensation for their efforts. This threat to innovation can stifle creativity and limit the diversity of content available to consumers (Peukert & Windisch, 2024) (Peukert & Windisch, n.d.).
- **Need for New Business Models:** The challenges of copyright enforcement in the digital era have prompted the development of new business models and licensing agreements. These models aim to balance the protection of intellectual property with the need to adapt to the changing digital landscape (Peukert & Windisch, 2024) (Peukert & Windisch, n.d.).

6.3. Broader Perspectives

While the challenges of copyright enforcement in the digital era are significant, they also present opportunities for innovation in legal frameworks and technology. The development of more effective DRM technologies, the harmonization of international copyright laws, and increased public awareness and education about intellectual property rights are potential solutions to these challenges (Sanusi et al., 2024) (Akbar et al., 2024). Additionally, the digital era has enabled new forms of creative expression and distribution, offering creators new avenues to reach audiences and monetize their works. By addressing the challenges of copyright enforcement, the creative industries can continue to thrive and adapt in the digital age.

7. Technological Advancements and the Evolution of Copyright Law in the Digital Age

Technological advancements have significantly impacted the evolution of copyright law and its enforcement, necessitating adaptations to address new challenges and opportunities. The digital revolution, characterized by the proliferation of digital content and the ease of reproduction and distribution, has posed profound challenges to traditional copyright frameworks. This has led to a dynamic interplay between technology and law, where legal systems are compelled to evolve in response to technological innovations. The following sections explore key aspects of this evolution.

7.1. Impact of Digital Technologies

- **Ease of Reproduction and Distribution:** Digital technologies have made it possible to reproduce and distribute works instantaneously and at minimal cost, challenging the traditional copyright model that relied on physical media. This has necessitated new legal frameworks to address the ease of digital piracy and unauthorized distribution (Menell, 2002) (Menell, 2002).
- **New Forms of Expression:** The digital age has introduced new forms of creative expression, such as digital sampling and computer programming, which do not fit neatly into existing copyright categories. This has required the adaptation of copyright law to protect these new forms while balancing the need for innovation (Menell, 2002) (Friedman, 2001).

7.2. Legal Adaptations and Challenges

- **International Variance and Harmonization:** The international nature of digital content distribution has highlighted the need for harmonized copyright laws. The European Union, for instance, has undertaken significant legislative efforts to harmonize digital copyright laws across member states, addressing technological challenges through directives like the Information Society Directive (Synodinou, n.d.).
- **Judicial and Legislative Responses:** Courts and legislators have been pivotal in adapting copyright law to technological changes. For example, the Court of Justice of the European Union has played a significant role in shaping EU digital copyright law, while legislative bodies have introduced new directives to address emerging challenges (Synodinou, n.d.) (Buzova, 2022).

7.3. Enforcement and Protection

- **Technological Enforcement Tools:** The digital environment has necessitated the development of new enforcement mechanisms, such as digital rights management (DRM) systems, to protect copyrighted works. These tools aim to prevent unauthorized access and distribution, although they also raise concerns about user rights and access to information (Choudhary, 2024).
- **Judicial Protection:** The increase in copyright infringement cases has led to a greater emphasis on judicial protection of copyright and related rights. Courts have been tasked with interpreting and enforcing copyright laws in the context of digital technologies, often requiring new approaches to address the unique challenges posed by the digital environment (Buzova, 2022).

7.4. Broader Implications and Perspectives

While technological advancements have driven significant changes in copyright law, they also present broader implications for the balance between protecting intellectual property and promoting innovation. Some scholars argue that the expansion of copyright protection in response to digital technologies may stifle innovation and limit access to information, suggesting a need for a more balanced approach that considers both the rights of creators and the public interest (Cate, 1996). Additionally, the rapid pace of technological change continues to challenge the adaptability of legal frameworks, highlighting the importance of ongoing dialogue and collaboration among legal scholars, policymakers, and stakeholders to ensure that copyright law evolves in a way that supports creativity and cultural enrichment in the digital age (Çebi et al., n.d.).

7.5. Fair Dealing and Academic Research Under the Indian Copyright Act, 1957

The Indian Copyright Act of 1957 is the primary legislation governing the use of copyrighted materials in academic research in India. This Act provides a framework for the protection of literary, artistic, and other creative works, while also incorporating provisions that allow for the use of such materials in educational and research contexts. The Act aims to balance the rights of copyright holders with the public interest in accessing knowledge, particularly in academic settings. The key legal provisions relevant to the use of copyrighted materials in academic research are primarily found in Section 52 of the Act, which outlines exceptions and limitations to copyright protection.

7.6. Fair Dealing Provisions

- Section 52 of the Indian Copyright Act, 1957, includes provisions for "fair dealing," which allows for the reproduction of copyrighted works for purposes such as research and private study without the need for permission from the copyright holder. This is similar to the concept of "fair use" in other jurisdictions, although the scope and application can differ ("Fair Use Provisions under the Indian Copyright Act: Awareness Among Librarians of Colleges and Universities in Maharashtra," 2022) (Billah & Al-Barashdi, 2018).
- Specifically, Section 52(1)(o) permits non-commercial libraries to make up to three copies of a book for library use, provided the book is not available for sale in India. This provision supports academic research by ensuring access to necessary materials ("Fair Use Provisions under the Indian Copyright Act: Awareness Among Librarians of Colleges and Universities in Maharashtra," 2022).

7.7. Scope of Copyright Protection

- The Act provides copyright protection to a wide range of works, including literary, dramatic, musical, and artistic works, as well as films, sound recordings, and broadcasts. This protection is automatically conferred upon creation, although registration is recommended for legal disputes (Marakkar, 2024).
- The Act's provisions are designed to protect the economic and moral rights of creators while allowing for certain uses that benefit the public, such as educational and research activities ("Copyright Infringement and Exemption Clause on Indian Copyright Law: Issues and Challenges," 2024). The Indian Copyright Act's provisions for moral rights, which protect the attribution and integrity of creators' works, face implementation challenges that require bridging legislative intent with practical enforcement (Yadav et. al., 2025).
- The moral foundations of India's legal system underscore the importance of protecting creators' moral rights, such as attribution, which are essential for maintaining academic integrity in research and writing (Kumar, 2025a).

7.8. Challenges and Limitations

- Despite the provisions for fair dealing, there are challenges in the practical application of these laws. Librarians and educators often face difficulties in interpreting and implementing these provisions, which can lead to inadvertent copyright infringement ("Fair Use Provisions under the Indian Copyright Act: Awareness Among Librarians of Colleges and Universities in Maharashtra," 2022).
- The current fair dealing provisions are considered by some to be too narrow, potentially hindering the use of digital content in education and research. There is a call for a more liberal interpretation of these provisions to better support academic needs in the digital era (Prasad & Aggarwal, 2015).

7.9. Enforcement and Legal Framework

- The enforcement of copyright laws in India includes both civil and criminal remedies. Recent judicial decisions have emphasized the seriousness of copyright infringement, making certain offenses cognizable and non-bailable (Chaudhary, 2024). The criminal enforcement of copyright laws in India, aimed at curbing infringement, is hindered by significant judicial backlogs, complicating the protection of academic resources (Rahul & Yadav, 2025).
- The legal framework aims to protect the rights of creators while also considering the public interest in accessing copyrighted materials for educational purposes (Gupta, 2011).

While the Indian Copyright Act provides a framework for the use of copyrighted materials in academic research, there are ongoing discussions about the adequacy of these provisions. Some scholars argue for a shift towards a more flexible interpretation of fair use, similar to international practices, to better accommodate the evolving needs of education and research in the digital age. This perspective suggests that legislative and judicial efforts should focus on expanding the scope of permissible uses to foster innovation and knowledge dissemination (Billah & Al-Barashdi, 2018) (Prasad & Aggarwal, 2015).

8. Implications of Fair Dealing Limits on IP Protection and Creativity

The implications of fair dealing limitations on the balance between protecting intellectual property rights and promoting creative expression are multifaceted, involving legal, cultural, and economic dimensions. Fair dealing, as a doctrine, aims to strike a balance between the rights of creators and the public's access to creative works. This balance is crucial for fostering an environment where creativity can thrive without infringing on the rights of original creators. The limitations and exceptions within fair dealing are designed to facilitate this balance, but they also present challenges and opportunities for both creators and users of copyrighted works.

8.1. Legal Framework and Interpretation

- The European Copyright Society (ECS) emphasizes the importance of exceptions and limitations in copyright law to facilitate creativity and ensure a fair balance between protection and access to works. The ECS supports a harmonized interpretation of these exceptions, particularly in cases involving fundamental rights like freedom of expression (Geiger et al., 2015).
- The Court of Justice of the European Union (CJEU) plays a critical role in interpreting these limitations, ensuring they align with human rights and fundamental freedoms as protected by the European Convention on Human Rights (Soroka, 2021).
- Fair dealing, compared to fair use, is seen as more structured and predictable, which can better safeguard creators' rights while promoting innovation. However, there is a call for integrating some flexibility from the fair use system to enhance fair dealing's efficacy (Zhang, 2024).

8.2. Cultural and Creative Implications

- Copyright limitations are essential for promoting creativity, as they allow for the reuse of existing works, which is a common practice in modern creative processes such as sampling in music (Ivanović, 2020).
- The limitations are not always designed to address the creative reuse of copyrighted material, which can lead to private censorship where right holders control what can be created. This situation challenges the original intent of copyright to incentivize creativity (Geiger & Geiger, 2017).
- The balance between exclusivity and creativity is crucial, as innovation often builds on existing creations. Limitations can encourage creative uses by depriving copyright holders of the right to consent to certain acts, potentially leading to a more vibrant creative landscape (Geiger, 2010).

8.3. Economic Considerations

- The economic implications of fair dealing limitations include the potential for a remuneration system where creators benefit financially from uses legitimized by copyright limitations. This system can provide a just monetary return for right holders while promoting creative expression (Geiger, 2010).
- The structured nature of fair dealing can provide legal certainty, which is beneficial for both creators and users, potentially leading to a more stable economic environment for creative industries (Zhang, 2024).

While fair dealing limitations aim to balance intellectual property rights and creative expression, they also present challenges. The structured nature of fair dealing can sometimes limit flexibility, potentially stifling creativity in rapidly evolving cultural contexts. Moreover, the need for harmonization and clarity in legal interpretations remains a significant concern, as inconsistencies can lead to legal uncertainty and hinder creative endeavors. Balancing these aspects requires ongoing dialogue and adaptation of legal frameworks to ensure they meet the needs of both creators and users in a dynamic creative landscape.

9. Strategies to Improve Digital Access for Education in Low-Income Countries

Improving access to digital resources for academic purposes in low-income countries requires a multifaceted approach that addresses both technological and socio-economic barriers. The strategies must focus on enhancing digital infrastructure, reducing costs, and promoting digital literacy to ensure equitable access to educational resources. These strategies are crucial for bridging the digital divide and improving educational outcomes in these regions.

9.1. Enhancing Digital Infrastructure

- **Public Investment:** Increasing public investment in digital infrastructure is essential to provide reliable internet connectivity and access to digital devices. This is particularly important in rural and underserved areas where infrastructure is often lacking (Pettalongi et al., 2024) (Jibrin et al., 2024).
- **ICT Integration:** The integration of Information and Communication Technologies (ICT) into education systems can promote the availability of electronic teaching and learning materials (TLMs), which are crucial for improving educational access and quality (Fredriksen et al., 2015) (Jibrin et al., 2024).

9.2. Reducing Costs and Financial Barriers

- **Open Access Policies:** Encouraging open access policies can help reduce the cost of accessing academic publications. However, the burden of Article Processing Charges (APC) remains a challenge for researchers in low-income countries. Efforts by grant funding agencies to subsidize these costs can alleviate this burden (Saloojee & Pettifor, 2023).

- **Affordable Textbooks:** Allocating a portion of the national education budget to reduce textbook costs can significantly improve access to educational materials. Effective procurement practices and targeting production processes for cost savings are recommended strategies (Fredriksen et al., 2015).

9.3. Promoting Digital Literacy

- **Digital Literacy Programs:** Implementing digital literacy programs is vital to equip students and educators with the necessary skills to effectively use digital resources. This can help mitigate the digital divide and enhance educational equity (Pettalongi et al., 2024) (Tierney et al., 2018).
- **Localized Content and Mobile Learning:** Developing scalable EdTech solutions that offer localized content and mobile learning platforms can enhance literacy and educational access in low-income communities. These solutions should be culturally relevant and accessible offline to accommodate areas with limited internet connectivity (Pasupuleti, 2024).

9.4. Leveraging Partnerships and Innovative Solutions

- **Public-Private Partnerships:** Collaborations between governments, NGOs, and the private sector can foster the development and scaling of educational technologies. These partnerships can help overcome infrastructure and cost barriers, promoting sustainable educational ecosystems (Pasupuleti, 2024) (Jibrin et al., 2024).
- **Innovative EdTech Solutions:** The adoption of innovative educational technologies, such as mobile learning tools and low-cost educational devices, can transform education delivery in low-income countries. These technologies enable remote learning and personalized education, addressing traditional barriers like inadequate classrooms (Jibrin et al., 2024).

While these strategies offer promising solutions, it is important to recognize the challenges that persist in implementing them. Issues such as limited funding, resistance to change, and the need for policy support can hinder progress. Additionally, while digital resources can enhance educational access, they are not a substitute for traditional learning materials, such as printed textbooks, which remain essential in many contexts (Fredriksen et al., 2015). Therefore, a balanced approach that integrates both digital and traditional resources is necessary to achieve sustainable educational improvements in low-income countries.

10. Impact of Open Access and Institutional Repositories on Copyright Law in the Digital Age

The impact of Open Access (OA) and Institutional Repositories (IRs) on copyright laws in the digital age is multifaceted, involving both challenges and opportunities. Open Access aims to make scholarly communication freely available, which has significant implications for copyright management. Institutional Repositories, as platforms for archiving and disseminating scholarly work, play a crucial role in this movement. However, the digital reproduction and communication required to populate these repositories often involve rights protected by copyright law, necessitating careful navigation of legal frameworks. This dynamic has led to a reevaluation of traditional copyright practices and the development of new strategies to balance access and protection.

10.1. Open Access and Copyright Challenges

- **Digital Reproduction and Communication:** The act of making digital content available through IRs requires exercising rights protected by copyright law, which can complicate the open access process. This has been a significant factor in the development of open access repositories, as institutions must manage these rights carefully to avoid legal issues (Morgan & Wright, 2009).
- **Copyright Management in Repositories:** Institutional Repositories must address copyright and licensing issues that can interfere with open access. This involves ensuring that deposited works

comply with copyright laws while promoting the dissemination and use of scholarly works (Dawson & Yang, 2016).

10.2. Benefits of Open Access and Institutional Repositories

- **Increased Accessibility and Impact:** Open Access and IRs enhance the dissemination and use of scholarly works, leading to increased citations and broader readership. This democratization of knowledge is a key benefit of the open access movement (Dawson & Yang, 2016) (Oladokun et al., 2024).
- **Control Over Scholarly Work:** Authors gain more control over their work by self-archiving in repositories, which allows for faster access and expanded readership. This shift can redefine scholarly publishing, particularly in fields like legal scholarship (Parker, 2007).

10.3. Copyright Law Adaptation

- **Evolving Legal Frameworks:** Copyright laws are adapting to the digital age, with a focus on balancing intellectual property rights and access to information. This includes initiatives to reconcile copyright with the right of access to information, which is crucial for libraries and digital repositories (Geiger, 2011).
- **Copyright Liberalization:** The open access movement has encouraged copyright liberalization, allowing more authors to retain rights to their work and post it in online repositories. This shift supports the proliferation of repositories and the preservation of grey literature (Banks & Blaaij, 2006).

10.4. Challenges and Solutions

- **Enforcement and Compliance:** The digital age presents challenges in enforcing copyright laws due to the ease of distribution and replication. Solutions include copyright education, digital rights management, and legal action to ensure equitable protection of rights (Mani & C, n.d.).
- **Risk Management Strategies:** Practical strategies are needed to manage the risks associated with copyright in open access repositories. This includes developing policies that align with legal requirements while promoting open access principles (Morgan & Wright, 2009).

While Open Access and Institutional Repositories offer significant benefits in terms of accessibility and impact, they also pose challenges for copyright management. The digital age necessitates a reevaluation of traditional copyright practices to accommodate the needs of both content creators and users. As copyright laws continue to evolve, it is crucial to strike a balance between protecting intellectual property rights and fostering an environment of knowledge sharing and accessibility. This balance is essential for the sustainable development of open access initiatives and the continued growth of digital scholarship.

11. Conflicts Between Copyright Protection and Academic Freedom in Education

Conflicts between copyright protection and academic freedom significantly impact the dissemination of knowledge in educational institutions. These conflicts arise from the tension between the proprietary nature of copyright and the open, collaborative ethos of academia. Copyright laws, designed to protect intellectual property, can restrict access to educational materials, thereby hindering academic freedom and the free exchange of ideas. This tension is exacerbated by the commercialization of academic work and the global nature of education, which often involves cross-border teaching and collaboration. The following sections explore these issues in detail.

11.1. Impact on Access to Educational Materials

- Copyright laws can limit access to educational materials, as educators often face challenges in obtaining necessary resources due to high costs or restrictive licensing agreements. This is particularly problematic in cross-border teaching, where educators encounter geographically restricted content and contract limitations on library-purchased materials (Anastácio et al., 2024).
- The lack of clarity and support regarding copyright exceptions, such as fair use, further complicates access. Many educators are not well-informed about these exceptions, which could otherwise facilitate the use of copyrighted materials for educational purposes (Anastácio et al., 2024) (Gerhardt & Wessel, 2010).

11.2. Academic Freedom and Copyright Ownership

- The traditional model of academic freedom, which supports the free dissemination of scholarly work, is increasingly at odds with the commercial interests of universities. As universities seek additional revenue through commercial partnerships, conflicts over copyright ownership of academic work have intensified (Reid, 2004).
- The erosion of academic ownership of copyright can undermine academic freedom, as it restricts the ability of academics to freely share and build upon their work. This is particularly concerning in the context of course materials and lectures, which are often subject to copyright claims by universities (Triggs, 2009) (Reid, 2004).

11.3. Legal and Economic Implications

- The adoption of a neoclassical economic view of copyright, which emphasizes market preferences, poses a threat to academic freedom. This perspective can lead to the exclusion of outsider perspectives and undermine the principles of unfettered inquiry and inclusion that are central to academia (Ryan, 1999).
- International legal frameworks, such as the TRIPS Agreement and the Berne Convention, attempt to balance intellectual property rights with academic freedom. However, strict intellectual property restrictions can stymie academic research and collaboration, while overly lax regulations may weaken incentives for innovation (Dihaa et al., 2024).

11.4. Role of Open Access and Fair Use

- Open access models and fair use provisions are critical in addressing the conflict between copyright and academic freedom. Open access can provide a more equitable platform for the dissemination of knowledge, while fair use allows for the reasonable use of copyrighted materials in educational settings (Moscon, 2015) (Gerhardt & Wessel, 2010).
- Despite the potential of fair use to restore balance, its application remains ambiguous and underutilized in academia. Strengthening the understanding and application of fair use is essential to empower educational institutions and align copyright law with educational goals (Gerhardt & Wessel, 2010) (Gerhardt & Wessel, 2010).

While copyright protection is essential for incentivizing creativity and innovation, its rigid application can impede academic freedom and the dissemination of knowledge. The challenge lies in finding a balance that respects both intellectual property rights and the open, collaborative nature of academia. This balance is crucial for fostering an environment where knowledge can be freely generated, shared, and critiqued, ultimately contributing to social, cultural, and economic development.

12. Strategies for Detecting and Preventing Copyright Piracy in Academia

Detecting and preventing piracy and unauthorized use of copyrighted materials in academic settings is a multifaceted challenge that requires a combination of technological, legal, and educational strategies. The integration of advanced technologies such as machine learning,

blockchain, and digital rights management (DRM) systems, alongside traditional legal frameworks, can significantly enhance the protection of intellectual property in academic environments. The following sections outline the most effective strategies based on recent research.

12.1. Technological Solutions

- **Machine Learning Models:** Machine learning models can be trained to detect patterns indicative of piracy. These models analyze multimedia features and generate confidence values to classify content as pirated or legitimate. This approach allows for real-time detection and response to unauthorized content distribution (Brian & Diego, 2021).
- **Deep Packet Inspection (DPI):** DPI technology can monitor network traffic to identify unauthorized transfers of copyrighted content, particularly through peer-to-peer (P2P) networks. While effective in the short term, DPI faces challenges with encrypted traffic, necessitating complementary methods such as behavioral classifiers (Mateus, 2011).
- **Blockchain Technology:** Blockchain offers a secure and transparent platform for copyright detection and protection. By storing detection records on a blockchain, the credibility of copyright enforcement is enhanced, allowing for public verification and dispute resolution through smart contracts (Chen et al., n.d.).
- **Digital Rights Management (DRM):** DRM systems are designed to prevent unauthorized copying and distribution of digital content. They play a crucial role in safeguarding copyrighted materials by controlling access and usage rights (Atanasova, 2024).

12.2. Legal and Institutional Strategies

- **Trademark Licenses and Web-based Systems:** Integrating trademark licenses with web-based systems can prevent unauthorized access to copyrighted academic texts. This approach aligns the interests of educators, students, and publishers, promoting legal access to materials (Vogel, 2006).
- **Contractual and Policy Measures:** Establishing clear intellectual property policies and conducting strict contract reviews can help protect academic content. Institutions should foster a strong awareness of intellectual property rights among faculty and students (XU, n.d.).
- **Copyright Removal Initiatives:** Institutions can actively remove copyrighted materials from academic file-sharing websites. Although resource-intensive, these initiatives can deter unauthorized sharing and promote compliance with copyright laws (Slade et al., 2024).

12.3. Educational and Cultural Approaches

- **Awareness and Education:** Educating students and faculty about copyright laws and the importance of intellectual property rights is essential. This can be achieved through workshops, seminars, and integrating copyright education into the curriculum (Rooksby, 2015). Legal aid clinics in India, by providing practical training on intellectual property rights, can enhance access to justice while promoting awareness of copyright laws among students and faculty (Kumar, 2025c).
- **Integrity-based Strategies:** Encouraging a culture of academic integrity and respect for intellectual property can reduce instances of unauthorized use. Institutions should promote ethical behavior and provide clear guidelines on the use of copyrighted materials (Slade et al., 2024).

While technological and legal strategies are crucial in combating piracy, it is important to recognize the limitations and potential drawbacks of these approaches. For instance, DRM systems, while effective, can sometimes restrict legitimate use and access to materials, leading to user dissatisfaction (Atanasova, 2024). Additionally, the implementation of advanced technologies like blockchain and machine learning requires significant resources and expertise, which may not be readily available in all academic settings. Therefore, a balanced approach that combines technology,

policy, and education is essential for effectively addressing piracy and unauthorized use of copyrighted materials in academia.

13. Challenges of Outdated Copyright Policies on the Digital Economy and the Need for Reform

Outdated copyright policies pose significant challenges to the growth of the digital economy by failing to adapt to the rapid technological advancements and the evolving nature of digital content distribution. These policies often hinder innovation, limit access to knowledge, and create legal uncertainties that can stifle economic growth. The digital economy thrives on the free flow of information and the ability to innovate, but outdated copyright laws can create barriers that prevent these processes from occurring efficiently. This necessitates a reevaluation of copyright frameworks to better align with the needs of the digital age.

13.1. Barriers to Innovation and Economic Growth

- Outdated copyright laws can stifle innovation by imposing restrictive measures that do not account for the unique nature of digital content. The current frameworks often fail to support the innovative reuse of copyrighted works, which is crucial for fostering creativity and economic growth in the digital economy (Flew et al., 2013) (Peukert & Windisch, 2024).
- The lack of a flexible copyright system, such as the 'fair use' exception, can deter investment in digital technologies and platforms, as businesses may face legal uncertainties and potential litigation (Flew et al., 2013).
- The existing copyright frameworks, designed for a pre-digital era, do not adequately address the challenges posed by digital distribution, leading to inefficiencies and economic losses for creators and consumers alike (Menell, 2002).

13.2. Impact on Access to Knowledge and Cultural Exchange

- Copyright laws that create 'artificial scarcity' by granting monopolistic rights to publishers can limit access to digital works, thereby hindering the dissemination of knowledge and cultural exchange. This is particularly problematic in the digital age, where the cost of distribution is minimal (Harper, 2009).
- The inability to effectively enforce copyright in the digital realm, especially across different jurisdictions, exacerbates the problem of unlawful distribution, leading to significant losses for copyright holders and reducing the incentive to create new works (Chimeri, 2024).

13.3. Legal Uncertainties and Market Distortions

- The current copyright regime often results in legal uncertainties that can distort markets and reduce competition. Safe harbor provisions, for example, can lead to 'parasitic growth' where intermediaries benefit at the expense of creators, ultimately harming the economy (Ashcroft & Barker, 2014).
- The fragmented nature of copyright regulation, particularly in regions like the Southern African Development Community, highlights the need for harmonized frameworks that can accommodate technological advancements and support digital trade (Chimeri, 2024).

13.4. Alternative Perspectives and Future Directions

While outdated copyright policies present significant challenges, they also highlight the need for reform and adaptation. Some scholars argue for the development of new business models that can fund the creation of works without sacrificing the benefits of digital distribution (Harper, 2009). Additionally, the establishment of alternative regimes of property rights within the digital environment could facilitate the exploitation and dissemination of digital works, allowing for more efficient market development (Filippi, 2009). As the digital economy continues to evolve, it is crucial

for policymakers to address these issues and create a balanced copyright system that supports both creators and consumers.

14. Enhancing Fair Dealing Provisions to Support Academic Use in the Digital Age

Strengthening fair dealing provisions for academic use involves enhancing the flexibility and applicability of copyright exceptions to better support educational and research activities. Fair dealing, as a legal doctrine, allows the use of copyrighted material without permission under certain conditions, which vary by jurisdiction. The goal is to balance the rights of copyright holders with the public interest in promoting education and innovation. This balance is crucial in the digital age, where access to information is key to academic progress. The following sections explore the current state of fair dealing provisions, their limitations, and potential improvements.

14.1. Current State of Fair Dealing Provisions

- **Global Adoption and Evolution:** Fair dealing provisions are present in over 40 countries, reflecting a global recognition of their importance. Initially less flexible than the U.S. fair use doctrine, fair dealing in many Commonwealth countries has evolved to resemble fair use more closely, offering greater flexibility to educational institutions (Band & Gerafi, 2013).
- **Australian Context:** In Australia, fair dealing for research or study is explicitly recognized, with specific factors guiding its application, such as the purpose of use and market impact. This framework aims to support educational activities while protecting copyright holders' interests (Khan & Hancock, 2001).
- **Canadian Developments:** Recent legal interpretations in Canada have expanded fair dealing, aligning it more closely with fair use. This expansion provides educational institutions with greater leeway to develop user-friendly copyright policies, although there is still room for improvement in leveraging these rights (Valentino, 2013).

14.2. Limitations and Challenges

- **Narrow Scope in Some Jurisdictions:** In countries like India, fair dealing provisions are considered too narrow to meet modern educational needs, particularly in the digital context. The Indian Copyright Act's limitations hinder the development of e-learning and digital content, necessitating a shift towards a more flexible fair use model (Prasad & Aggarwal, 2015).
- **Complexity and Misunderstanding:** The fair use doctrine, while broad, is often misunderstood, leading to legal uncertainties. This complexity can deter educational institutions from fully utilizing these provisions, as the risk of litigation remains a concern (Pike, 2008).

14.3. Potential Improvements

- **Adopting a Fair Use Model:** Transitioning from fair dealing to a fair use model, as suggested for India, could provide the necessary flexibility to support digital education. This shift would require legislative and judicial collaboration to redefine the scope of permissible uses (Prasad & Aggarwal, 2015).
- **Economic Considerations:** A market-based approach to fair dealing could maximize the dissemination of works while minimizing reliance on legal exceptions. This approach would involve creating incentives for copyright holders to allow educational use of their works (Boyer, 2012).
- **Institutional Policies and Best Practices:** Developing comprehensive copyright policies and best practices can help educational institutions navigate fair dealing provisions effectively. This includes advocacy efforts to ensure that these policies reflect the expanded rights available under current laws (Valentino, 2013).

While strengthening fair dealing provisions is crucial for academic use, it is important to consider the potential impact on copyright holders. Balancing the interests of creators and users is

essential to maintain a fair and efficient copyright system. Encouraging voluntary licensing agreements and fostering collaboration between educational institutions and copyright holders can help achieve this balance, ensuring that both parties benefit from the use of copyrighted materials in education.

15. Policy Recommendations for Modernizing the European Union's Digital Copyright Framework

The digital copyright framework in the European Union (EU) is a complex and evolving landscape that requires careful policy recommendations to address the challenges posed by the digital age. The EU has been working towards modernizing its copyright framework to create a Digital Single Market, which is crucial for the creative content sectors. This involves addressing issues such as licensing, copyright exceptions, and enforcement in the digital environment. The following sections outline key policy recommendations for improving the digital copyright framework in the EU.

15.1. Licensing and Copyright Exceptions

- **Licensing Rules and Practices:** There is a need to streamline licensing rules and practices, particularly in the online music and film sectors, to facilitate easier access and distribution of creative content across the EU. This includes simplifying cross-border licensing and ensuring that licensing practices are transparent and fair (Mazziotti, 2013) (Mazziotti & Mazziotti, 2014).
- **Copyright Exceptions:** The definition and implementation of copyright exceptions must be updated to reflect the digital environment. This includes exceptions for private copying, teaching, disabled people, and libraries and archives. These exceptions should be harmonized across member states to ensure consistency and legal certainty (Gasser & Ernst, 2006) (Mazziotti & Mazziotti, 2014).

15.2. Enforcement and Content Moderation

- **Online Copyright Enforcement:** The EU should enhance its online copyright enforcement mechanisms to address the challenges posed by digital content sharing. This includes clarifying the role of online content-sharing service providers and ensuring that enforcement measures do not infringe on user rights (Quintais et al., 2024) (Mazziotti & Mazziotti, 2014).
- **Content Moderation:** There is a need for clear guidelines on content moderation practices, including the permissible scope of preventive filtering and the operationalization of user rights. This should be complemented by robust complaint and redress mechanisms to protect users' rights (Quintais et al., 2024).

15.3. Institutional and Regulatory Framework

- **EU-Level Regulator:** Establishing an EU-level public regulator for copyright could help harmonize enforcement and regulatory practices across member states. This regulator would be responsible for monitoring online platforms, coordinating dispute resolution, and providing policy advice on copyright legislation (Delaye, 2022).
- **Integrated Regulatory Framework:** The EU should consider integrating its regulatory frameworks for digital networks and services to ensure a level playing field in the digital value chain. This would involve replacing separate legislative silos with a unified framework for digital infrastructures and services (Streel & Larouche, 2016).

15.4. *Balancing Fundamental Rights and Market Efficiency*

- **Safeguarding Fundamental Rights:** Any copyright reform must balance the protection of intellectual property with the safeguarding of fundamental rights, such as freedom of expression and access to information. This requires careful consideration of the impact of copyright laws on the open internet and user autonomy (Senftleben et al., 2018).
- **Market Efficiency:** The EU should focus on strengthening the Internal Market for creative content by addressing inefficiencies in the current copyright system. This includes promoting productive, allocative, and dynamic efficiency to support content production and distribution (Renda et al., 2015) (Renda et al., 2015).

While these recommendations aim to modernize the EU's digital copyright framework, it is important to consider the diverse perspectives and interests of various stakeholders involved. The ongoing dialogue among policymakers, industry representatives, and civil society is crucial to achieving a balanced and effective copyright system that supports innovation and creativity while protecting rights holders and users alike.

16. Fostering Ethical Academic Practices in the Digital Era: Strategies and Challenges

Promoting ethical academic practices in the digital era is a multifaceted challenge that requires a comprehensive approach involving educational institutions, educators, students, and the broader community. The digital transformation of education has introduced both opportunities and ethical dilemmas, necessitating a reevaluation of traditional academic ethics. This response synthesizes insights from various studies to explore strategies for fostering ethical academic practices in the digital age.

16.1. *Institutional Policies and Technological Tools*

- Islamic higher education institutions have established academic ethics frameworks based on laws and mutual agreements, which are crucial in maintaining integrity amid digital advancements (Sundowo et al., 2024).
- Universities play a pivotal role in developing policies and employing technology to ensure academic integrity. Tools like plagiarism detection software (e.g., Rabin-Karp, text mining) and algorithms (e.g., Levenshtein distance) are essential in maintaining the originality of academic work (Amelia et al., 2024).
- Higher learning institutions are encouraged to implement comprehensive policies and procedures, provide ongoing education and training, and promote a culture of responsible technology use (Aliasari et al., 2024).

16.2. *Character Education and Ethical Literacy*

- Character education significantly enhances students' ethical awareness and moral reasoning, helping them navigate digital challenges. Collaborative efforts among schools, families, and communities are vital to maximizing its impact (Marwan et al., 2025).
- Teaching digital ethics involves fostering ethical literacy and encouraging reflection on personal and societal values. Educators are urged to incorporate ethical decision-making frameworks and discussions into their curricula (Frischherz & Millar, 2024).
- Educational strategies that promote ethical literacy, including respect for copyright laws, are vital for fostering social cohesion and academic integrity in the digital era (Kumar, 2025b).

16.3. Addressing Digital Ethics and Integrity

- The ethical considerations in digital education include intellectual property rights, data privacy, and equitable access to resources. Institutions must take a proactive approach to address these issues by developing robust ethical frameworks (Aliasan et al., 2024) (White & Stevens, 2024).
- The rise of contract cheating and digitally-situated plagiarism highlights the need for a culture of academic integrity. Institutions should model ethical behavior and responsibly manage student data, avoiding over-reliance on for-profit educational technologies (Liu, 2022).

16.4. Holistic and Collaborative Approaches

- A holistic approach involving educational institutions, educators, students, parents, and other stakeholders is essential in strengthening academic integrity. This includes promoting digital literacy, ethical learning, and policy development (Simanjuntak et al., 2023).
- The dynamic interplay between technology and ethics in online education requires educators to continuously negotiate their professional conduct and ethical standards. This negotiation is crucial in shaping their identity and practice within the digital realm (Daiss & Parra, 2024).

While the digital era presents unique challenges to academic ethics, it also offers opportunities for innovation and improvement. The integration of ethical frameworks, character education, and technological tools can help institutions navigate these challenges effectively. However, it is crucial to remain vigilant about the potential ethical pitfalls of digital technologies, such as data privacy concerns and the commercialization of education. By fostering a culture of integrity and ethical awareness, educational institutions can ensure that technological advancements contribute positively to academic practices.

17. Academic Use and Academic Writing: Approaches to Copyright Law

Academic use and academic writing are two distinct yet interconnected aspects of intellectual property in educational settings. While both involve the creation and dissemination of knowledge, they differ significantly in their approaches to copyright law. This response explores these differences, examining the legal frameworks, ownership rights, exceptions, and implications for each.

17.1. Ownership of Academic Materials

Academic use often involves the utilization of copyrighted materials for educational purposes, such as textbooks, articles, and multimedia resources. In contrast, academic writing typically refers to the creation of original works, such as research papers, theses, and books. Ownership of these materials is a critical factor in determining how copyright law is applied.

1. **Academic Use and Ownership:** Academic use generally involves the consumption of existing materials, where the copyright is often held by publishers or authors. Institutions and educators may have limited ownership rights over these materials, except in cases where specific licensing agreements or fair use provisions apply. For instance, universities may purchase licenses for digital databases, granting access to copyrighted content for educational purposes (Austin, 2023) (“In Keeping with Academic Tradition: Copyright Ownership in Higher Education and Potential Implications for Open Education,” 2022).
2. **Academic Writing and Ownership:** Academic writing, on the other hand, typically involves the creation of original works, where the author usually holds the initial copyright. However, ownership can be complex, as institutions may claim rights over works created by faculty or students under specific policies. For example, some universities assert ownership over scholarly works produced using institutional resources, while others allow authors to retain ownership (Gadd, 2017) (Rooksby, 2015).

17.2. Exceptions to Copyright Law

Copyright law provides exceptions that allow for the use of copyrighted material without permission under certain conditions. These exceptions are crucial for both academic use and academic writing, but their application differs.

1. **Fair Use in Academic Use:** Fair use is a key exception in many jurisdictions, particularly in the United States. It permits the use of copyrighted material for purposes such as teaching, research, and criticism without obtaining permission. Academic use often relies on fair use to incorporate copyrighted materials into lectures, assignments, and research projects. For example, educators may use excerpts from copyrighted works to illustrate key concepts in the classroom (Svoboda et al., 2022) (Vindele & Căne, 2019).
2. **Fair Dealing in Academic Writing:** In contrast, academic writing may rely on fair dealing, which is a similar but distinct concept in countries like the United Kingdom. Fair dealing allows limited use of copyrighted material for specific purposes, such as research, criticism, or review. Academic writers may use fair dealing to quote or paraphrase existing works to support their arguments or analyses (Majekolagbe & Priora, 2024) (Kelli et al., 2023).

17.3. Implications for Academic Freedom and Integrity

The approaches to copyright law in academic use and writing have significant implications for academic freedom and integrity.

1. **Academic Use and Access to Knowledge:** Academic use is essential for accessing and disseminating knowledge. Overly restrictive copyright laws can limit access to educational materials, particularly in digital environments. For instance, the use of digital rights management (DRM) technologies can restrict the sharing of copyrighted materials, even for educational purposes (Herrington, 2010) (Lunsford & Purdy, 2019).
2. **Academic Writing and Originality:** Academic writing emphasizes originality and the proper citation of sources. Copyright law plays a crucial role in protecting the originality of academic works and preventing plagiarism. However, the increasing reliance on digital tools and collaborative platforms has raised new challenges in maintaining academic integrity (Ryan, 1999) (Holloway, 2012). The concept of originality under Indian copyright law, which requires a minimal degree of creativity, plays a critical role in ensuring the integrity of academic writing by distinguishing original scholarly works from derivative ones (Kumar & Shahi, 2025). Training in drafting skills within clinical legal education programs can strengthen students' ability to create original legal documents while respecting intellectual property rights, aligning with copyright law principles (Kumar Yadav, 2025).

17.4. Cultural and Ethical Considerations

Cultural and ethical considerations also influence the approaches to copyright law in academic use and writing.

1. **Cultural Perspectives on Copying:** In some cultures, copying is viewed as a valuable learning tool, particularly in Confucian heritage cultures. This approach contrasts with Western educational systems, which emphasize originality and discourage copying. Understanding these cultural differences is essential for developing inclusive copyright policies in global educational contexts (Joyce & Lundberg, 2013).
2. **Ethical Use of Copyrighted Material:** Ethical considerations are paramount in both academic use and writing. Educators and writers must balance the need to use copyrighted material with the obligation to respect the rights of authors and creators. This requires a deep understanding of copyright law and its exceptions, as well as a commitment to ethical practices (Wang, 2018) (Lukose, 2016).

Table 1. Key Differences in Approaches to Copyright Law.

Aspect	Academic Use	Academic Writing	Citation
Ownership	Typically owned by publishers or authors	Often owned by the creator with institutional claims	(Austin, 2023) (Gadd, 2017)
Exceptions	Relies on fair use for educational purposes	Relies on fair dealing for research and criticism	(Svoboda et al., 2022) (Kelli et al., 2023)
Implications	Access to knowledge and educational resources	Protection of originality and prevention of plagiarism	(Herrington, 2010) (Ryan, 1999)
Cultural Considerations	Cultural differences in attitudes toward copying	Ethical considerations in citing and referencing	(Joyce & Lundberg, 2013) (Wang, 2018)

This table highlights the key differences in how academic use and academic writing approach copyright law, emphasizing ownership, exceptions, implications, and cultural considerations.

18. Review of Academic Use vs. Academic Writing in Copyright Law

The key distinctions between academic use and academic writing in the context of copyright law revolve around the roles of creators and users of copyrighted materials, the application of fair use, and the implications for academic freedom. Academic use typically involves the utilization of copyrighted materials for educational purposes, while academic writing pertains to the creation of new scholarly works. Both activities are deeply intertwined with copyright law, which aims to balance the rights of creators with the public's access to knowledge. This balance is crucial in maintaining academic freedom and fostering an environment conducive to research and education.

18.1. Academic Use

- **Fair Use Doctrine:** Academic use often relies on the fair use doctrine, which allows limited use of copyrighted materials without permission, provided it benefits society. This is particularly relevant in educational settings where copyrighted works are used for teaching and research purposes. However, the application of fair use in academia is complex and often contested, as it requires a case-by-case analysis to determine whether a particular use is fair (Ryan, 1999) (Neal, 2011).
- **Role of Libraries:** Academic libraries play a critical role in facilitating access to copyrighted materials. They advocate for fair use and other exceptions to copyright law, which are essential for supporting educational and research activities. Libraries must navigate the complexities of copyright law to provide resources while avoiding infringement (Neal, 2011) (McCormick, 2014).

18.2. Academic Writing

- **Creation and Ownership:** Academic writing involves the creation of new works, which are themselves subject to copyright protection. The question of who owns the copyright—whether it is the individual author or the institution—can be contentious. This ownership issue is central to discussions about the rights of faculty versus those of the institutions they work for (Rooksby, 2016) (Austin, 2023).
- **Plagiarism and Co-authorship:** Academic writing must also address issues of plagiarism and co-authorship. Ensuring that proper credit is given and that works are original is crucial to maintaining ethical standards in academia. The use of plagiarism detection software and clear guidelines on co-authorship are tools used to uphold these standards (Satur et al., 2020).

18.3. Implications for Academic Freedom

- **Balancing Rights and Access:** The intersection of copyright law and academic freedom is a delicate balance. While copyright law provides incentives for the creation of new works, it can also restrict access to knowledge, which is contrary to the principles of academic freedom.

Ensuring that copyright law does not unduly hinder academic inquiry is essential for fostering a vibrant academic environment (Ryan, 1999) (Moscon, 2015).

- **Open Access Models:** The open access movement seeks to address these concerns by promoting free access to scholarly works. This model aims to balance the rights of authors with the need for public access to knowledge, thereby supporting academic freedom and the dissemination of research (Moscon, 2015).

While copyright law is designed to protect the rights of creators, it also poses challenges for academic use and writing. The fair use doctrine and open access models are attempts to mitigate these challenges, but they require careful navigation to ensure compliance with the law while promoting academic freedom. The ongoing evolution of copyright law, particularly in the digital age, continues to shape the landscape of academic use and writing, necessitating ongoing dialogue and adaptation within the academic community.

18.4. Suggestions

1. **Expand Fair Dealing Provisions:** Advocate for a broader interpretation of fair dealing under the Indian Copyright Act, aligning it closer to the flexible fair use model to better support digital education and research needs, as suggested by Prasad & Aggarwal (2015).
2. **Enhance Digital Infrastructure:** Increase public investment in digital infrastructure, particularly in rural and low-income areas, to improve access to educational resources, as emphasized by Pettalangi et al. (2024).
3. **Promote Open Access Policies:** Encourage open access initiatives and institutional repositories to enhance knowledge dissemination while addressing copyright management challenges, as discussed by Dawson & Yang (2016).
4. **Strengthen Copyright Education:** Implement mandatory copyright literacy programs in academic institutions to increase awareness among students and faculty, reducing unintentional infringements (Rooksby, 2015).
5. **Adopt Advanced Technologies:** Utilize machine learning, blockchain, and DRM systems to detect and prevent copyright piracy in academic settings, ensuring robust protection without restricting legitimate use (Chen et al., n.d.; Atanasova, 2024).
6. **Harmonize International Standards:** Align India's copyright laws with international frameworks like the WIPO Copyright Treaty to address cross-border digital content issues, as suggested by Murthy (2017).
7. **Develop Institutional Policies:** Create clear, flexible copyright policies in universities to navigate fair dealing complexities and support ethical academic practices (Valentino, 2013).
8. **Foster Public-Private Partnerships:** Collaborate with private sectors to develop scalable EdTech solutions, enhancing digital access in low-income communities (Pasupuleti, 2024).
9. **Address Judicial Backlogs:** Streamline judicial processes for copyright enforcement to reduce delays, ensuring effective protection of academic resources (Rahul & Yadav, 2025).
10. **Balance Creator and User Rights:** Promote licensing models that incentivize creators while ensuring affordable access to educational materials, supporting both innovation and inclusivity (Mazziotti, n.d.).

19. Conclusions

India's digital transformation has significantly impacted copyright law, particularly in academic settings. The Indian Copyright Act of 1957 provides exemptions for academic use, but their effectiveness is limited due to their limited scope and enforcement challenges. The rise of digital platforms and open access presents opportunities for knowledge dissemination but also introduces complexities in copyright management. Outdated policies risk stifling innovation and limiting access, highlighting the need for legal reforms that embrace technological advancements and international standards. By adopting strategies like expanded fair dealing, enhanced digital infrastructure, and

robust copyright education, India can create a copyright framework that supports academic freedom, ethical practices, and equitable access to knowledge. Modernizing copyright laws to align with the digital economy will ensure India's academic and creative ecosystems thrive in the digital age.

References

- Tiwari, N., Kumar, S., & Srivastava, V. (2023). *India's digital governance odyssey: navigating economic transformation in the digital era through prowess and legal resilience*. <https://doi.org/10.52458/9788196830052.2023.eb.grf.ch-03>
- Haspada, D. (2024). Digital transformation and copyright as fiduciary security: legal, economic and technological perspectives. *Journal Of Social And Economics Research*. <https://doi.org/10.54783/jser.v6i1.485>
- Murthy, C. P. D. (2017). *Copyright and the Digital Media: Perspective and Challenges in the New Legal Regime in India*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3984-3_11
- Saraswat, J., & Chaturvedi, R. (2017). *Copyright Protection in the Digital Environment: Indian Perspective and International Obligations*.
- Scharf, N. F. (2013). *Digital copyright law : exploring the changing interface between copyright and regulation in the digital environment*.
- Borghi, M., & Karapapa, S. (2013). *Copyright and Mass Digitization*.
- Bhattacharyya, P., & Adhikary, S. (2025). *Shifting Work Paradigms: Legal Perspectives on India's Digital Revolution*. <https://doi.org/10.9734/bpi/nabme/v1/3708>
- Chowdhury, A. R. (2008). The future of copyright in India. *Journal of Intellectual Property Law & Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/JIPLP/JPM227>
- Caidi, N., Centivany, A., Samuelson, P., & Wolfe, M. (2015). *Envisioning how fair use and fair dealing might best facilitate scholarship*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/PRA2.2015.145052010011>
- Billah, M. M., & Al-Barashdi, S. (2018). Fair or Free Use of Copyrighted Materials in Education and Research and the Limit of Such Use. *The Chicago-Kent Journal of Intellectual Property*.
- Zerkee, J., Savage, S., & Campbell, J. (2022). Canada's Copyright Act Review: Implications for Fair Dealing and Higher Education. *Journal of Copyright in Education and Librarianship*. <https://doi.org/10.17161/jcel.v5i1.15513>
- Bunker, M. D. (2019). Decoding Academic Fair Use: Transformative Use and the Fair Use Doctrine in Scholarship. *Journal of Copyright in Education and Librarianship*. <https://doi.org/10.17161/JCEL.V3I1.6481>
- Valentino, L. D. (2013b). Review of Canadian University Fair Dealing Policies. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2263034>
- Neal, J. G. (2011). *Fair Use is Not Civil Disobedience: Rethinking the Copyright Wars and the Role of the Academic Library*. <https://doi.org/10.7916/D88G8VWC>
- Gerhardt, D. R., & Wessel, M. (2010a). Fair Use and Fairness on Campus. *Social Science Research Network*.
- Menell, P. S. (2002a). *Envisioning Copyright Law's Digital Future*.
- Menell, P. S. (2002b). *Envisioning Copyright Law's Digital Future*. *Social Science Research Network*.
- Menell, P. S. (2002c). *Envisioning Copyright Law's Digital Future - eScholarship*.
- Buzova, N. N. (2022). Judicial Protection of Copyright and Related Rights in the Context of Technological Changes. *Росси́йское Пра́восудие*. <https://doi.org/10.37399/issn2072-909x.2022.si.70-86>
- Bratajaya, M. M. A., & Hoesein, Z. A. (n.d.). *The perspective of pluralistic society on the transformation of intellectual property protection in the digital era as an effort to prevent copyright infringement*. <https://doi.org/10.46799/ijssr.v4i11.1113>
- Tang, P., & Hulsink, W. (1998). The winds of change: digital technologies trading information and managing intellectual property rights. *International Journal of Technology Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTM.1998.002642>
- Antonenko, P. D. (2015). The instrumental value of conceptual frameworks in educational technology research. *Educational Technology Research and Development*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11423-014-9363-4>

- Zhuang, K., & Newland, F. (2017). *Engineering the Future: A Conceptual Framework for Evolving Engineering Education*. <https://doi.org/10.24908/PCEEA.V0I0.7368>
- Dumbuya, E. (2024). Developing a framework for revising the curriculum to incorporate future skills such as digital literacy, critical thinking and adaptability, while aligning with global standards. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*. <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.13.2.2483>
- Katz, A. (2021). *Debunking the Fair Use vs. Fair Dealing Myth: Have We Had Fair Use All Along?* <https://doi.org/10.1017/9781108671101.011>
- Severin, E. (n.d.). *Projects for the Use of Information and Communication Technologies in Education: Conceptual Framework*. <https://doi.org/10.18235/0008659>
- Sethakul, P., & Utakrit, N. (2019). Challenges and Future Trends for Thai Education: Conceptual Framework into Action. *International Journal of Engineering Pedagogy (iJEP)*. <https://doi.org/10.3991/IJEP.V9I2.10220>
- Oppong, N. Y. (2013). Construction and Application of Conceptual Framework as Research Tool: A Researcher's Reflections. *Research on Humanities and Social Sciences*.
- Ryan, M. (1999). Fair Use and Academic Expression: Rhetoric, Reality, and Restriction on Academic Freedom. *Cornell Journal of Law and Public Policy*.
- McCormick, A. (2014). *Copyright, Fair Use and the Digital Age in Academic Libraries: A Review of the Literature*. <https://doi.org/10.31979/2575-2499.040205>
- Rooksby, J. H. (2016). *Copyright in Higher Education: A Review of Modern Scholarship*.
- Austin, G. W. (2023). From Pedagogy to Property: Copyright in Teaching Materials. *Victoria University of Wellington Law Review*. <https://doi.org/10.26686/vuwlr.v54i1.8435>
- Satur, R. V., Dias, G. A., & Silva, A. M. da. (2020). Direito autoral, plágio e coautoria: questões acadêmicas e éticas. *Brazilian Journal of Information Science: Research Trends*. <https://doi.org/10.36311/1981-1640.2020.V14N1.04.P57>
- Moscon, V. (2015). *Academic Freedom, Copyright, and Access to Scholarly Works: A Comparative Perspective*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-44648-5_4
- Das, S. (2023). Privatizing Copyright. *Michigan Law Review*. <https://doi.org/10.36644/mlr.121.5.privatizing>
- Mendis, S. (2023). *Copyright Enforcement on Social Media Platforms: Implications for Freedom of Expression in the Digital Public Sphere*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-45304-5_29
- Rahman, M. M. (2023). Enforcing Copyright on Online Streaming Platforms: Challenges Faced by Rights Holders in the Digital Era. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2023.v05i05.8075>
- Bechtold, S. (2002). From copyright to information law: Implications of digital Rights management. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*.
- Jacques, D. S. (2024). *Platforms and copyright in creative industries: A tool for inclusivity?* <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803927268.00026>
- Mazziotti, G. (n.d.). *A Data-Driven Approach to Copyright in the Age of Online Platforms*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.3655027>
- Mani, M., & C, P. (n.d.). *Copyright and IPR Ethical Concerns and Solutions: In the Digital Age*. <https://doi.org/10.46610/joeci.2023.v09i03.004>
- Dawar, K., Sudarshan, A., & T, Dr. C. B. (n.d.). *Copyright Protection in Digital Era*. <https://doi.org/10.17148/iarjset.2021.86105>
- Sanusi, R. Z., Sasea, E. M., & Bonsapia, M. (2024). *Copyright Protection in the Digital Age: Addressing Challenges and Finding Solutions in Indonesian Civil Law*. <https://doi.org/10.61194/law.v2i3.170>
- Choudhary, S. (2024). Copyright Infringement: Bridging the Gap Between Legislation and Enforcement. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*. <https://doi.org/10.36948/ijfmr.2024.v06i03.19965>
- Mogol, N., & Crudu, R. (2023). Challenges and strategies for copyright protection in the digital era. *Journal of Social Sciences*. [https://doi.org/10.52326/jss.utm.2022.5\(4\).01](https://doi.org/10.52326/jss.utm.2022.5(4).01)
- Peukert, C., & Windisch, M. (2024). The economics of copyright in the digital age. *Journal of Economic Surveys*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/joes.12632>

- Peukert, C., & Windisch, M. (n.d.). The Economics of Copyright in the Digital Age. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4608809>
- Akbar, S. A., Adnan, A. M. R., & Azmi, F. A. N. (2024). Perlindungan Hukum Terhadap Hak Kekayaan Intelektual di Era Digital. *Doktrin*. <https://doi.org/10.59581/doktrin.v2i4.3608>
- Friedman, D. D. (2001). Does Technology Require New Law. *Harvard Journal of Law and Public Policy*.
- Synodinou, T. (n.d.). EU Copyright Law, an Ancient History, a Contemporary Challenge. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.4637612>
- Cate, F. H. (1996). The Technological Transformation of Copyright Law. *Iowa Law Review*.
- Çebi, E., Reisoğlu, P., & Goktas, E. (n.d.). *The Influence of Artificial Intelligence on Copyright Law*. <https://doi.org/10.61838/kman.isslp.2.2.5>
- Fair Use Provisions under the Indian Copyright Act: Awareness Among Librarians of Colleges and Universities in Maharashtra. (2022). *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*. <https://doi.org/10.56042/jipr.v27i1.44164>
- Marakkar, S. (2024). *Copyright Protection of literary and artistic works in India: An overview of legal framework and enforcement mechanisms*. <https://doi.org/10.69974/gslawjournal.v6i1.126>
- Copyright Infringement and Exemption Clause on Indian Copyright Law: Issues and Challenges. (2024). *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights*. <https://doi.org/10.56042/jipr.v29i1.872>
- Prasad, N., & Aggarwal, P. (2015). Facilitating Educational Needs in Digital Era: Adequacy of Fair Dealing Provisions of Indian Copyright Act in Question. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/JWIP.12036>
- Chaudhary, A. (2024). Criminal enforcement of copyright in India. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jwip.12325>
- Gupta, T. S. (2011). *Intellectual Property Law in India*.
- Geiger, C., Griffiths, J., Senftleben, M., Bently, L., & Xalabarder, R. (2015). *Limitations and Exceptions as Key Elements of the Legal Framework for Copyright in the European Union – Opinion of the European Copyright Society on the Judgment of the CJEU in Case C-201/13 Deckmyn*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40319-015-0297-0>
- Soroka, N. (2021). *Exceptions and limitations to copyright and related rights in the light of the caselaw of the Court of Justice of the European Union*. <https://doi.org/10.53486/2537-6179.7-1.02>
- Zhang, S. (2024). Comparing Fair Dealing with Fair Use: Why Fair Dealing Can Better Balance Copyright Interests? *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/75/20241717>
- Ivanović, S. (2020). *Use of copyrighted works: Between freedom of expression and infringement of copyright and related rights*. <https://doi.org/10.5937/PIP2003220I>
- Geiger, C., & Geiger, C. (2017). Freedom of Artistic Creativity and Copyright Law: A Compatible Combination? *Social Science Research Network*.
- Geiger, C. (2010). *Promoting Creativity through Copyright Limitations: Reflections on the Concept of Exclusivity in Copyright Law*.
- Pettalongi, S. S., Londol, M. M., & Umboh, S. E. (2024). Disparities in Digital Education: Socioeconomic Barriers to Accessing Online Learning Resources. *International Journal of Social and Human*. <https://doi.org/10.59613/be6gdv98>
- Jibrin, M., OYINWU, U. V., & Ibrahim, A. (2024). *Innovative educational technologies for africa*. <https://doi.org/10.70382/tijerls.v06i8.008>
- Fredriksen, B., Brar, S., & Trucano, M. (2015). *Getting Textbooks to Every Child in Sub-Saharan Africa: Strategies for Addressing the High Cost and Low Availability Problem*.
- Saloojee, H., & Pettifor, J. M. (2023). Maximizing Access and Minimizing Barriers to Research in Low- and Middle-Income Countries: Open Access and Health Equity. *Calcified Tissue International*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00223-023-01151-7>
- Tierney, W. G., Corwin, Z. B., & Ochsner, A. (2018). *Diversifying Digital Learning: Online Literacy and Educational Opportunity*.
- Pasupuleti, M. K. (2024). *Developing Scalable EdTech Solutions for Enhancing Literacy in Low-Income Communities*. <https://doi.org/10.62311/nesx/9036>

- Morgan, T., & Wright, R. (2009). *Open access repositories and Australian copyright law: towards practical risk management strategies*.
- Dawson, P., & Yang, S. Q. (2016). Institutional Repositories, Open Access and Copyright: What Are the Practices and Implications? *Science & Technology Libraries*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0194262X.2016.1224994>
- Oladokun, B. D., Sambo, A. S., Bassey, M., & Enakrire, R. T. (2024). The Open Access Effect: Transforming Collection Development Using Open Repositories. *International Journal of Librarianship*. <https://doi.org/10.23974/ijol.2024.vol9.4.395>
- Parker, C. A. (2007). Institutional Repositories and the Principle of Open Access: Changing the Way We Think about Legal Scholarship. *New Mexico Law Review*.
- Geiger, C. (2011). *Copyright and Digital Libraries: Securing Access to Information in the Digital Age*. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-2136-7.CH007>
- Banks, M. A., & Blaaij, C. D. (2006). *Implications of Copyright Evolution for the Future of Scholarly Communication and Grey Literature*.
- Anastácio, K., Ziskina, J., & Aufderheide, P. (2024). Higher Education in the International Digital Economy: Effects of Conflicting Copyright Regimes on Cross-Border Teaching. *Journal of Copyright in Education and Librarianship*. <https://doi.org/10.17161/jcel.v7i2.21653>
- Reid, T. (2004). Academics and Intellectual Property: Treading the Tightrope. *Deakin Law Review*. <https://doi.org/10.21153/DLR2004VOL9NO2ART262>
- Triggs, C. (2009). Academic Freedom, Copyright and the Academic Exception. *Workplace: A Journal for Academic Labor*. <https://doi.org/10.14288/WORKPLACE.V0I13.182188>
- Dihaa, D. H., Salman, A. S., Khalaf, L. R., & Jasim, B. A. A.-L. (2024). International Legal Frameworks for Protecting Intellectual Property and Ensuring Academic Freedom. *Journal of Ecohumanism*. <https://doi.org/10.62754/joe.v3i5.3905>
- Gerhardt, D. R., & Wessel, M. (2010b). Fair Use and Fairness on Campus. *North Carolina Journal of Law & Technology*.
- Brian, E. B., & Diego, G. (2021). *Systems and methods for piracy detection and prevention*.
- Mateus, A. M. (2011). *Copyright Violation on the Internet: Extent and Approaches to Detection and Deterrence*. <https://doi.org/10.1184/R1/6715397.V1>
- Chen, Z., Wang, Y., & Ni, T. (n.d.). *DCD Chain: A Credible Architecture of Digital Copyright Detection Based on Blockchain*. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.2010.01235>
- Atanasova, I. (2024). Digital right management: safeguarding copyright in the cyber era. *Annals of Spiru Haret University Economic Series*. <https://doi.org/10.26458/23413>
- Vogel, J. H. (2006). *Web-based system and method to capture and distribute royalties for access to copyrighted academic texts by preventing unauthorized access to discussion boards associated with copyrighted academic texts*.
- XU, W. (n.d.). *On Strategies to Protect Intellectual Property at Colleges and Universities*. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1008-889x.2009.01.017>
- Slade, C., Walton, J., & Cox, J. M. (2024). Investigating Copyright as a Mechanism for Combatting Unauthorised Student Academic file-sharing in Higher Education: Findings from an Explorative Study. *Journal of Academic Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10805-024-09558-z>
- Rooksby, J. H. (2015). Copyright in Higher Education: A Review of Modern Scholarship. *Social Science Research Network*.
- Flew, T., Suzor, N., & Liu, B. R. (2013). *Copyrights and copyfights: copyright law and the digital economy*. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJTPL.2013.057009>
- Harper, G. K. (2009). OA and IP: open access, digital copyright and marketplace competition. *Learned Publishing*. <https://doi.org/10.1087/20090404>
- Chimeri, V. (2024). The regulation of copyright in digital trade: A case for the Southern African Development Community. *South African Intellectual Property Law Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.47348/saipl/v12/a3>
- Ashcroft, R., & Barker, G. R. (2014). Is Copyright Law Fit for Purpose in the Internet Era? An Economic and Legal Perspective. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3096644>

- Filippi, P. D. (2009). Copyright in the Digital Environment: From Intellectual Property to Virtual Property. *Social Science Research Network*.
- Band, J., & Gerafi, J. (2013). Fair Use/Fair Dealing Handbook. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2333863>
- Khan, A. N., & Hancock, P. (2001). Foreign Developments - Copyright Law in Australia - Fair Dealing for Research or Study Purposes. *The Journal of Law of Education*.
- Valentino, L. D. (2013a). Comparison of Fair Dealing and Fair Use in Education Post-Pentology. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.2320219>
- Pike, G. H. (2008). Putting Academic Fair Use to the Test. *Social Science Research Network*.
- Boyer, M. (2012). The Economics Fair Use/Dealing: Copyright Protection in a Fair and Efficient Way. *Social Science Research Network*.
- Mazziotti, G. (2013). *Copyright in the EU digital single market : report of the ceps digital forum*.
- Mazziotti, G., & Mazziotti, G. (2014). *Copyright in the EU Digital Single Market*.
- Gasser, U., & Ernst, S. (2006). EUCD Best Practice Guide: Implementing the EU Copyright Directive in the Digital Age. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.952561>
- Quintais, J. P., Katzenbach, C., Schwemer, S. F., Dergacheva, D., Riis, T., Mezei, P., Harkai, I., & Magalhães, J. C. (2024). Copyright Content Moderation in the European Union: State of the Art, Ways Forward and Policy Recommendations. *International Review of Intellectual Property and Competition Law*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40319-023-01409-5>
- Delaye, E. (2022). *Regulating Creativity Online: Proposal for an EU Copyright Institution*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/grurint/ikac086>
- Streel, A. de, & Larouche, P. (2016). *An integrated regulatory framework for digital networks and services: a CERRE policy report*.
- Senftleben, M., Angelopoulos, C., Frosio, G., Frosio, G., Moscon, V., Peguera, M., Peguera, M., & Rogstad, O.-A. (2018). The Recommendation on Measures to Safeguard Fundamental Rights and the Open Internet in the Framework of the EU Copyright Reform. *European Intellectual Property Review*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.3054967>
- Renda, A., Simonelli, F., Mazziotti, G., & Luchetta, G. (2015). *Policy Options for Improving the Functioning and Efficiency of the Digital Single Market in the Field of Copyright*. CEPS Special Report No. 121, 19 November 2015.
- Renda, A., Simonelli, F., Mazziotti, G., Bolognini, A., & Luchetta, G. (2015). *The Implementation, Application and Effects of the EU Directive on Copyright in the Information Society*. CEPS Special Report No. 120, 19 November 2015.
- Sundowo, E., Asari, H., Anas, M. H., Lestari, A., & Lubis, S. (2024). Academic Ethics of Islamic Higher Education Institutions in the Digital Era. *Edutec*. <https://doi.org/10.29062/edu.v8i1.915>
- Amelia, K., Larasati, G. E., Putri, V. N., & Rakhmawati, N. A. (2024). Peran Perguruan Tinggi dalam Menjaga Integritas Akademik pada Era Digital. *Jurnal Sistem Informasi Dan Ilmu Komputer*. <https://doi.org/10.59581/jusiik-widyakarya.v2i3.3287>
- Aliasari, A., Kusnadi, ., Muzaiyanah, M., & Razzaq, A. (2024). *Digital Ethics in Higher Learning Institutions*. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-2865-1.ch004>
- Marwan, M., Hasbullah, B., Rahayu, I., Wakhudin, W., & Saputra, D. G. (2025). The Role of Character Education in Building Ethics and Morality among Students in the Digital Age. *International Journal of Educational Research Excellence*. <https://doi.org/10.55299/ijere.v4i1.1224>
- Frischherz, B., & Millar, G. (2024). *Digital ethics: a teaching handbook*. <https://doi.org/10.58863/20.500.12424/4306851>
- White, J., & Stevens, K. (2024). *Ethics of digital education*. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781803928241.00019>
- Liu, A. (2022). *Ethics, EdTech, and the Rise of Contract Cheating*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-83255-1_9
- Simanjuntak, E. S., Tatang, J., Sianipar, D. R., Telaumbanua, S., & Boiliu, E. R. (2023). Strategi Penguatan Integritas Akademik dalam Pendidikan Kristen di Era Digital. *Jurnal Shanan*. <https://doi.org/10.33541/shanan.v7i2.5315>
- Kumar, R. (2025). The Placebo and Nocebo Effects of Legal Aid Clinics: Enhancing Access to Justice and Social Welfare in India.

- Daiss, B., & Parra, M. E. G. (2024). Navigating the ethical frontier: online education in the era of technological advancement. *Revista Internacional DIGILEC*. <https://doi.org/10.17979/digilec.2024.11.10745>
- In Keeping with Academic Tradition: Copyright ownership in higher education and potential implications for Open Education. (2022). *Journal of Copyright in Education and Librarianship*. <https://doi.org/10.17161/jcel.v5i1.14946>
- Gadd, E. (2017). *An investigation into stakeholders' approaches to copyright ownership in university-produced scholarly works and the effect on access to UK scholarship*.
- Kumar, R. (2025). Navigating Hatred in Modern Societies: Legal, Moral, and Educational Strategies for Social Cohesion.
- Svoboda, E., Chatterjee, D., & Harnke, B. (2022). Do I Need to Ask Permission? Applying Fair Use to Educational Activities. *Journal of Graduate Medical Education*. <https://doi.org/10.4300/jgme-d-22-00082.1>
- Kumar, R. (2025). The Moral Foundations of Legal Systems: A SocioLegal Analysis in Indian and Global Contexts.
- Vindele, L., & Câne, R. (2019). *Use of copyright protected works in the educational process*. <https://doi.org/10.17770/SIE2019VOL1.3907>
- Majekolagbe, F. O., & Priora, G. (2024). *An international instrument on copyright and educational uses: Regulatory models and lessons*. <https://doi.org/10.4337/9781035323579.00014>
- Kelli, A., Pedaste, M., & Leijen, Ä. (2023). An Empirical View of the Extent of the Use of the Education Exception to Copyright. *Juridica International*. <https://doi.org/10.12697/ji.2023.32.07>
- Herrington, T. K. (2010). *Intellectual Property on Campus: Students' Rights and Responsibilities*.
- Kumar Yadav, D. R. (2025). Enhancing Clinical Legal Education Through Drafting Skills: A Focus on Intellectual Property Rights and Beyond. Available at SSRN 5159770.
- Lunsford, K. J., & Purdy, J. P. (2019). *The Effects of Intellectual Property Law in Writing Studies: Ethics, Sponsors, and Academic Knowledge-Making*.
- Holloway, K. (2012). *Fair Use, Copyright, and Academic Integrity in an Online Academic Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.4018/978-1-4666-2136-7.CH044>
- Joyce, M., & Lundberg, A. (2013). *Copying to learn: mimesis, plagiarism and 21st century English language education*.
- Wang, J. (2018). *Copyright Limitations and Exceptions for Education and Research: Unity in Diversity*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-71831-6_3
- Yadav, Raj Kumar, Clinical Legal Education in India: A Study of Pragmatic Approach (March 9, 2012). Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=2018748>
- Lukose, L. P. (2016). *Copyright Issues in Legal Research and Writing*.
- Yadav, A., Yadav, R. K., Singh, V. P., Rajpurohit, G. S., & Singh, S. (2025). Recognition to Implementation: Bridging the Gap in Moral Rights Protection under Indian Copyright Law. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights (JIPR)*, 30(3), 295-303.
- Rahul, S. K., & Yadav, R. K. (2025). The Copyright Quandary: Criminalization and Judicial Backlog in India. *Journal of Intellectual Property Rights (JIPR)*, 30(2), 188-196.
- Kumar, R., & Shahi, S. K. Introduction to the Concept of originality under Copyright Law in India.
- Sindhia, A. K., & Yadav, R. K. (2025). Enhancing Pleading Skills Through Clinical Legal Education: Bridging Theory and Practice. Cambridge Open Engage. doi:10.33774/coe-2025-2lqk1 This content is a preprint and has not been peer-reviewed.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.