

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Yu Wine: Sustaining Tradition and Empowering Communities in Manipur

[Raj Kumar](#)* and Thokchom Gailengpou Singh

Posted Date: 28 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.2220.v1

Keywords: Yu wine; Manipur; Meitei community; traditional knowledge; geographical indication; TRIPS Agreement; Lisbon Agreement; cultural significance; economic impact; medicinal properties



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Yu Wine: Sustaining Tradition and Empowering Communities in Manipur

Raj Kumar and Thokchom Gailengpou Singh

* Correspondence: rajkumar.yadav@cup.edu.in

Abstract: Yu wine, a traditional alcoholic beverage of Manipur, India, is a cornerstone of the Meitei community's cultural, historical, and economic fabric. This paper explores the ancient origins of Yu wine, tracing its use in rituals, traditional medicine, and social gatherings, while highlighting its production techniques rooted in traditional knowledge. The study examines the beverage's cultural significance as a symbol of ethnic identity and its economic role in supporting livelihoods, particularly among women and rural households. Additionally, it addresses the legal frameworks surrounding Yu wine, including challenges in registering it under the Geographical Indication of Goods Act, 1999, and potential benefits of trademark and GI protection under international agreements like TRIPS and the Lisbon Agreement. The paper also discusses the medicinal properties of Yu wine, its environmental and socio-economic impacts, and the need for sustainable practices and legal reforms to preserve its heritage and enhance its global marketability. By integrating traditional knowledge with modern advancements, Yu wine holds significant potential for cultural preservation and economic development in Manipur.

Keywords: Yu wine; Manipur; Meitei community; traditional knowledge; geographical indication; TRIPS Agreement; Lisbon Agreement; cultural significance; economic impact; medicinal properties

Introduction

Yu wine, a traditional alcoholic beverage of Manipur, holds significant cultural, historical, and economic value in the region. This response delves into the history, cultural importance, economic impact, and production techniques of Yu wine, drawing from the provided contexts.

Historical Periods and Events

Ancient Origins

The history of Yu wine is deeply intertwined with the cultural and traditional practices of Manipur. The production of Yu dates back to ancient times, with evidence of its use in traditional medicine, rituals, and as a relaxant (Singh & Singh, 2006). The Meitei community, the dominant non-tribal group in Manipur, has been instrumental in preserving the traditional methods of Yu production (Wahengbam et al., 2020).

Historical Significance

Yu has been an integral part of Manipuri society for centuries, with its production and consumption deeply embedded in the social and cultural fabric. The beverage has been used in religious ceremonies, social gatherings, and as a form of traditional medicine (Singh & Singh, 2006) (Ayajuddin et al., 2016). The traditional knowledge of Yu production has been passed down through generations, with women playing a significant role in its preparation (Thokchom et al., 2020).

Cultural Significance

Role in Socio-Cultural Life

Yu is more than just a beverage; it is an integral part of Manipuri identity and culture. It is used in various socio-cultural activities, including weddings, festivals, and religious rituals (Wahengbam et al., 2020) (Thokchom et al., 2020). The preparation and consumption of Yu are often communal affairs, fostering a sense of unity and cooperation within the community (Soibam & Ayam, 2018).

Rituals and Ceremonies

Yu is an essential component of many traditional rituals and ceremonies. For instance, it is offered to deities during religious ceremonies and used as a form of offering to ancestors (Singh & Singh, 2006). The beverage also plays a role in traditional medicine, where it is used as a solvent for active constituents of medicinal plants (Singh & Singh, 2006).

Ethnic Identity

Yu is a symbol of ethnic identity for the Meitei community and other tribal groups in Manipur. The traditional methods of production and the cultural significance of Yu reflect the rich cultural heritage of the region (Wahengbam et al., 2020) (Soibam & Ayam, 2018).

Economic Impact and Livelihood Source

The production and sale of Yu serve as a source of income for many households in Manipur, particularly for poorer sections of society (Singh & Singh, 2006). The traditional knowledge of Yu production is often passed down through generations, making it a vital part of the local economy (Wahengbam et al., 2020).

Market Demand

There is a significant demand for Yu in both local and regional markets. The beverage is highly valued for its unique flavor and cultural significance, making it a popular choice among both locals and tourists ("Comparative Studies on the Ethnic Fermented Food Products and Its Preservation Methods with Special Focus of North-East India," 2022).

Economic Empowerment

The production of Yu provides economic empowerment to women, who are often involved in the preparation and sale of the beverage. This has contributed to the socio-economic development of rural areas in Manipur (Thokchom et al., 2020).

Production Techniques and Traditional Methods

The production of Yu involves a combination of traditional knowledge and indigenous practices. The process begins with the selection of local rice, which is fermented using a starter culture known as "hamei" (Singh & Singh, 2006) (Tamang et al., 2007). The starter culture is prepared from rice and various medicinal plants, including *Albizia myriophylla*, which enhances the quality and yield of the beverage (Panmei et al., 2007).

Fermentation Process

The fermentation process involves several stages, including the preparation of the rice slurry, the addition of the starter culture, and the fermentation of the mixture. The fermentation process typically lasts for several days, during which the mixture is regularly stirred to ensure even fermentation (Singh & Singh, 2006) (Tamang et al., 2007).

Distillation

After fermentation, the mixture is distilled to produce the final product. The distillation process is typically carried out using traditional equipment, such as clay pots and bamboo tubes (Singh & Singh, 2006).

Role of Microorganisms

The fermentation process involves a variety of microorganisms, including yeast and lactic acid bacteria, which play a crucial role in the production of Yu. These microorganisms contribute to the unique flavor and aroma of the beverage (Tamang et al., 2012) (Tamang et al., 2007).

Table 1. Key Aspects of Yu Wine.

Aspect	Details	Citation
Cultural Significance	Used in rituals, ceremonies, and as a form of traditional medicine	(Singh & Singh, 2006) (Ayajuddin et al., 2016)
Economic Impact	Source of income for rural households, particularly for women	(Singh & Singh, 2006) (Thokchom et al., 2020)
Production Techniques	Involves fermentation using starter culture (hamei) and distillation	(Singh & Singh, 2006) (Tamang et al., 2007)

Legal and Cultural Aspects of Yu Wine Regulation

The legal status of Yu wine, a traditional alcoholic beverage from the Meitei communities of Manipur, is not explicitly detailed in the provided contexts. However, the papers offer insights into the broader legal frameworks surrounding wine and alcoholic beverages, which can be extrapolated to understand the potential legal considerations for Yu wine. The production and sale of alcoholic beverages, including traditional ones like Yu, are typically subject to legal regulations that ensure consumer safety and compliance with trade standards. These regulations can vary significantly depending on the country and its specific legal environment.

Legal Frameworks for Alcoholic Beverages

- **Historical and Comparative Legal Approaches:** The regulation of wine and alcoholic beverages has a long history, with ancient civilizations like Mesopotamia and Rome having specific legal codes for wine production and sale. Modern legal systems continue to regulate these products to ensure safety and quality, as seen in the Serbian legal context, which includes criminal provisions for non-compliance with wine regulations (Jovanović & Atanasovska-Cvetković, 2022).
- **Geographical Indications and Trade:** The European Union's regulation of geographical indications, such as the case with Prosecco, highlights the importance of protecting regional products and their names. This approach can impact international trade and the marketing

of similar products in other countries (Davison et al., 2019). While Yu wine is not mentioned in this context, similar principles could apply if it were to be marketed internationally.

- **Domestic Legal Systems and International Law:** The interaction between domestic legal systems and international law, as discussed in the context of Chile, underscores the complexity of regulating traditional products like Yu wine. Domestic laws must align with international agreements, which can influence how traditional beverages are regulated and protected (Pizarro, 2016) (Pizarro, 1996).

Traditional and Cultural Considerations

- **Cultural Significance and Traditional Use:** Yu wine is deeply embedded in the cultural practices of the Meitei communities, used for medicinal purposes, relaxation, and rituals. This cultural significance can influence its legal status, as traditional practices are often considered in the formulation of local regulations (Singh & Singh, 2006).
- **Economic and Social Impact:** The production of Yu wine provides income for poorer sections of the community, highlighting its economic importance. Legal frameworks may need to balance regulation with the support of traditional industries to ensure they continue to benefit local communities (Singh & Singh, 2006).

Challenges and Opportunities

- **Regulatory Challenges:** The absence of specific legislation for traditional beverages like Yu can lead to challenges in ensuring quality and safety standards. This gap in regulation can also create opportunities for upgrading traditional production methods to meet modern standards (Singh & Singh, 2006).
- **Potential for Legal Development:** As seen with other traditional products, there is potential for developing specific legal frameworks that recognize and protect the unique characteristics of Yu wine. This could involve creating geographical indications or other forms of legal recognition that support both cultural heritage and economic development (Davison et al., 2019).

While the legal status of Yu wine is not directly addressed in the provided papers, the broader legal contexts of wine regulation, cultural significance, and economic impact offer insights into potential legal considerations. The development of specific legal frameworks for traditional beverages like Yu could enhance their protection and promote their cultural and economic value.

Culture, Economy, and Preservation of Yu Wine

Yu wine, a traditional alcoholic beverage from the Meitei communities of Manipur, India, is deeply rooted in cultural practices and traditional knowledge. It is primarily a distilled product of fermented local rice and is used for medicinal purposes, relaxation, and ritual offerings. The preparation of Yu wine is a traditional process that has been passed down through generations, reflecting the broader concept of traditional knowledge, which encompasses the collective wisdom and practices of indigenous communities. This knowledge is often transmitted orally and is integral to the cultural identity and heritage of these communities. The significance of Yu wine extends beyond its consumption, as it also plays a role in income generation for poorer sections of society. The traditional methods of Yu wine production are amenable to scientific upgrades, which could enhance its economic potential while preserving its cultural significance.

Traditional Knowledge and Cultural Significance

- Yu wine is a product of traditional knowledge, which includes the use of 12 plant species for medicinal purposes, highlighting its role in traditional medicine (Singh & Singh, 2006).
- Traditional knowledge, as a broader concept, is tied to the cultural practices and wisdom of indigenous communities, often passed down orally and encompassing various aspects of life, including medicine and rituals (VP & Mini, 2023) (Khachatryan, 2024).

Economic and Social Aspects

- The production of Yu wine serves as a source of income for poorer communities, demonstrating the economic potential of traditional practices (Singh & Singh, 2006).
- Similar to other traditional alcoholic beverages, such as sugar cane wine in Africa, Yu wine production can stimulate local economies and create job opportunities, although challenges such as low productivity and lack of modern techniques may hinder full commercialization (Magloire, 2000).

Ritual and Medicinal Uses

- Yu wine is used in rituals and as a medicinal solvent, reflecting its cultural and health-related significance. It is a strong solvent for active constituents of medicinal plants, which are used in traditional healing practices (Singh & Singh, 2006).
- The use of wine in rituals is not unique to Yu; historical contexts, such as the Western Zhou Dynasty, show that wine was integral to rituals and social customs, regulated by propriety and etiquette (Yan-feng, n.d.).

Challenges and Opportunities for Preservation

- The preservation of traditional knowledge, including the methods of Yu wine production, faces challenges such as the loss of knowledge among younger generations and the need for legal protection to prevent misuse or biopiracy (Ardhede, 2007) (He et al., 2019).
- Efforts to document and protect traditional knowledge are crucial for maintaining cultural heritage and ensuring that indigenous communities benefit from their traditional practices (宋忠胜, n.d.) (Ardhede, 2007).

While Yu wine is a significant cultural and economic asset for the Meitei communities, it also faces challenges common to traditional knowledge systems worldwide. The potential for scientific enhancement of traditional methods presents an opportunity to increase the economic benefits of Yu wine production while preserving its cultural significance. However, the preservation of traditional knowledge requires careful documentation and legal protection to prevent exploitation and ensure that the benefits remain with the indigenous communities.

Geographical Indication and Yu Wine

Geographical Indication (GI) plays a crucial role in the wine industry by providing legal protection and enhancing the marketability of wines based on their geographic origin. This concept is particularly significant for products like Yu Wine, which can leverage GI to establish a unique identity and competitive advantage in both domestic and international markets. The use of GI in the wine industry not only protects the authenticity and quality of the product but also contributes to regional economic development and cultural heritage preservation. The following sections explore the various aspects of GI in the context of wine production, drawing insights from the provided research papers.

Importance of Geographical Indication in Wine Production

- Geographical Indication serves as an industrial property instrument that distinguishes products based on their geographic origin, which is crucial for wine production as it often correlates with quality and reputation (Matos et al., 2024) (Zappalaglio, 2022).
- In Ukraine, the GI system is seen as a potential driver for innovative development in winemaking, promoting both domestic and foreign market presence (Babych et al., 2022).
- The GI designation for wines like Burdendem Karangasem salak wine in Indonesia has shown to protect product identity and boost local economic development by ensuring only products from the designated area can use the GI label (Apriliani et al., 2024).

Economic and Cultural Benefits

- GIs can significantly enhance the economic value of wines by allowing producers to command price premiums due to the perceived quality and authenticity associated with the geographic origin (Ty & Devaraja, 2024).
- In the United States, the use of American Viticulture Areas (AVAs) as geographic identifiers has been shown to increase consumer willingness to pay, resulting in substantial welfare gains for both producers and consumers (Chandra et al., 2024).
- The GI system also supports cultural heritage preservation and rural economic growth by promoting sustainable practices and protecting indigenous products (Ty & Devaraja, 2024).

Challenges and Considerations

- Despite the benefits, the implementation of GIs can face challenges such as monitoring, law enforcement, and the need for collaboration between government, farmers, and industry players (Apriliani et al., 2024).
- In New Zealand, the introduction of GIs has been slow due to tensions between large-scale industrial production and small-scale niche production, highlighting the need for a balanced approach to GI regulation (Overton & Murray, 2017).
- The ongoing WTO discussions on GIs reflect the complexity of international negotiations, with developing countries often needing to weigh the costs and benefits of GI protection against other intellectual property interests (Das, 2010).

Legal Framework and Protection

- The TRIPS Agreement provides a legal framework for GIs, emphasizing the need for protection against misleading use and unfair competition (Busche et al., 2009).
- The European Union's approach to GIs, particularly for wines, underscores the importance of a reputational link between the product and its geographic origin, allowing for some flexibility in the application of GIs (Zappalaglio, 2022).

While the benefits of Geographical Indication for wines like Yu Wine are evident, the successful implementation of GIs requires careful consideration of legal, economic, and cultural factors. The challenges associated with monitoring and enforcement, as well as the need for international cooperation, highlight the complexity of leveraging GIs effectively. Nonetheless, the potential for GIs to enhance product differentiation, support local economies, and preserve cultural heritage makes them a valuable tool in the global wine industry.

TRIPS Agreement and Yu Wine

The TRIPS Agreement, a cornerstone of the World Trade Organization (WTO), plays a crucial role in the protection of intellectual property rights, including geographical indications (GIs), which are particularly significant in the wine industry. The agreement sets a baseline for intellectual property protection, allowing for the enhancement of GIs, which are identifiers that link a product to a specific region, attributing its quality or reputation to that origin. This is particularly relevant for products like wine, where the geographical origin is a key aspect of its identity and marketability. The case of Yu Wine, a product that could potentially benefit from such protection, highlights the importance of GIs in the global trade landscape. The following sections explore the TRIPS Agreement's impact on GIs, the challenges and opportunities it presents, and its implications for products like Yu Wine.

TRIPS Agreement and Geographical Indications

- The TRIPS Agreement mandates WTO members to provide legal means to prevent misleading use of geographical indications, which are crucial for protecting the authenticity and reputation of products like wine (Blakeney, 2006).
- GIs serve as a form of intellectual property that can enhance the marketability and economic value of products by linking them to their geographical origin, as seen in the case of well-known wine regions (Hinchliffe, 2007).
- The agreement allows for a higher level of protection for wines and spirits, which has led to debates about extending similar protection to other products (Hai-yan, n.d.).

Challenges in Implementing GIs Under TRIPS

- The compatibility of the EU's GI regulations with the WTO's Technical Barriers to Trade (TBT) Agreement is questioned, particularly when regulations prohibit the use of grape variety names that evoke a GI, potentially conflicting with trade objectives (Henckels, 2022).
- Bilateral agreements often seek to enhance GI protection beyond TRIPS requirements, which can create tensions between international trade liberalization and the protection of local producers' interests (Almeida & Francisco, 2005).
- The administration of GIs can be complex, as seen in Australia, where the integration of European GIs into domestic law has faced challenges, particularly in balancing trademark rights and GI protection (Hinchliffe, 2007).

Opportunities for Products Like Yu Wine

- GIs can provide significant marketing advantages and export opportunities for products like Yu Wine by emphasizing their unique regional characteristics (Hinchliffe, 2007).
- The protection of GIs under TRIPS can help preserve cultural heritage and authenticity, which are increasingly valued in a globalized market (Hinchliffe, 2007).
- For countries like China, establishing a robust GI system for wines can enhance their competitiveness in the international market, as they prepare to align with WTO standards (李华, n.d.).

While the TRIPS Agreement provides a framework for protecting geographical indications, it also presents challenges in balancing international trade objectives with the protection of local producers' interests. The case of Yu Wine illustrates the potential benefits of GIs in enhancing marketability and preserving cultural heritage. However, the implementation of GIs must navigate complex legal and trade considerations, as seen in the EU's regulatory challenges and Australia's

integration of European GIs. As the global economy continues to evolve, the role of GIs in promoting authenticity and regional identity will likely become increasingly significant.

Lisbon Agreement and Yu Wine

The Lisbon Agreement, established in 1958, is a key international treaty aimed at protecting appellations of origin, which are a specific type of geographical indication (GI). This agreement is particularly relevant to the wine industry, as it provides a framework for the international registration and protection of wine appellations. The relationship between the Lisbon Agreement and the TRIPS Agreement, which also addresses GIs, is crucial for understanding the protection of wine-related geographical indications, such as Yu Wine. The Lisbon Agreement's potential to serve as a basis for a TRIPS register highlights its significance in the global trade of wines and spirits.

The Lisbon Agreement and Geographical Indications

- The Lisbon Agreement provides a system for the international registration of appellations of origin, which are a subset of geographical indications. This system is designed to protect the names of products that have a specific geographical origin and possess qualities or a reputation due to that origin (Gervais, 2010) (Goffic, 2021).
- The agreement has been revised, most notably by the Geneva Act in 2015, to enhance its flexibility and attractiveness, allowing for broader participation and adaptation to modern trade needs (Micara, 2016).

The TRIPS Agreement and Its Relationship with Lisbon

- The TRIPS Agreement, part of the World Trade Organization (WTO) framework, includes provisions for the protection of geographical indications, with specific emphasis on wines and spirits. Article 23 of TRIPS provides enhanced protection for these products (Goffic, 2021).
- There is a proposal to use the Lisbon Agreement as a foundation for establishing a TRIPS register for GIs, which would streamline the protection process and potentially extend it to products beyond wines and spirits (Gervais, 2010) (Gervais, 2010).

Challenges and Opportunities

- The integration of the Lisbon Agreement with the TRIPS framework faces challenges, including differences in definitions and the scope of protection. However, these can be addressed through a protocol to the Lisbon Agreement, which would align it more closely with TRIPS requirements (Gervais, 2010) (Gervais, 2010).
- The potential extension of GI protection to products other than wines and spirits is a significant opportunity, as it could support rural development and cultural preservation in developing countries (Gervais, 2010).

The Case of Yu Wine

- While the specific case of Yu Wine is not directly addressed in the provided contexts, the principles of the Lisbon Agreement and its potential integration with TRIPS could offer a robust framework for protecting such regional wines. This would ensure that Yu Wine, like other geographically indicated products, benefits from international recognition and protection.

In a broader perspective, the Lisbon Agreement's role in the protection of geographical indications, particularly for wines, underscores the importance of international cooperation in intellectual property rights. The ongoing discussions and potential revisions to the agreement reflect the dynamic nature of global trade and the need for adaptable legal frameworks. The case of Yu Wine, while not explicitly covered, exemplifies the broader implications of these agreements for regional products seeking international recognition and protection.

Geographical Indication of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act 1999 and Yu Wine

The registration and protection of Yu Wine under the Geographical Indication of Goods (Registration and Protection) Act 1999 present several challenges. These challenges are multifaceted, involving legal, procedural, and market-related issues. The complexities of the GI registration process, the enforcement of rights, and the maintenance of product quality are significant hurdles for producers. These challenges are compounded by the need for effective collaboration among stakeholders and the necessity of raising awareness about the benefits and responsibilities associated with GI registration. Below are the key challenges faced by Yu Wine producers in this context.

Legal and Procedural Challenges

- **Complex Registration Process:** The process of registering a GI is intricate and requires a comprehensive understanding of legal requirements. Producers often face difficulties in navigating the procedural aspects of the GI Act, which can be a barrier to successful registration (Kd & Tiwari, 2015) (Vinayan, 2017).
- **Ambiguities in the Law:** There are ambiguities and gaps in the GI Act that complicate the registration and protection process. These legal uncertainties can hinder the effective implementation of GI protections and create challenges in enforcement (Geographical Indication Protection System in India, 2022) (Bashir, 2020).

Quality Control and Maintenance

- **Ensuring Product Quality:** Maintaining the quality and authenticity of the product is crucial for GI protection. Producers must adhere to strict quality standards, which can be challenging without adequate resources and infrastructure (Nirosha & Mansingh, 2024).
- **Post-Production Control:** Effective post-production control mechanisms are necessary to ensure that the quality of GI-tagged products is maintained. The lack of such mechanisms can lead to inconsistencies in product quality, undermining the credibility of the GI label (Nirosha & Mansingh, 2024).

Market and Economic Challenges

- **Counterfeiting and Market Practices:** Counterfeiting and unethical market practices pose significant threats to GI products. These practices can dilute the market value of genuine GI products and reduce the economic benefits for producers (Bashir, 2020).
- **Limited Market Presence:** GI products often have a limited presence in both domestic and international markets. This is due to ineffective marketing strategies and insufficient infrastructure to promote and distribute these products (Nirosha & Mansingh, 2024).

Stakeholder Collaboration and Awareness

- **Lack of Awareness:** There is a general lack of awareness among producers and stakeholders about the benefits and responsibilities of GI registration. This lack of knowledge can impede the registration process and the effective utilization of GI protections (Geographical Indications Laws In India: Issues And Challenges, n.d.) (Bashir, 2020).
- **Need for Coordinated Efforts:** Successful GI protection requires coordinated efforts among government bodies, producers, and other stakeholders. The absence of such collaboration can hinder the protection and promotion of GI products (Kd & Tiwari, 2015).

While these challenges are significant, they are not insurmountable. Addressing these issues requires a multifaceted approach that includes legal reforms, capacity building, and enhanced stakeholder collaboration. Additionally, raising awareness about the benefits of GI registration and improving market access for GI products can help overcome these challenges. By addressing these areas, Yu Wine producers can better leverage the GI system to protect and promote their products effectively.

Medicinal Benefits and Traditional Practices

Yu wine, a traditional alcoholic beverage, is utilized for its medicinal properties in various cultural contexts, particularly within Chinese and Meitei communities. This wine is often prepared by infusing medicinal herbs into a base of distilled or fermented alcohol, which acts as a solvent to extract the active compounds from the herbs. The medicinal use of Yu wine is deeply rooted in traditional practices, where it is employed for its health benefits, including immune system enhancement, blood circulation improvement, and organ nourishment. The following sections delve into the specific medicinal uses and preparation methods of Yu wine as described in the provided papers.

Medicinal Ingredients and Their Functions

- **Yuyou Baxian Wine:** This variant of Yu wine incorporates eight traditional Chinese medicinal ingredients, including sealwort and wolfberry, which are used to invigorate the spleen, benefit the lungs, and nourish the liver and kidneys. Donkey-hide gelatin and jujube enrich the blood and improve immunity, while Poria cocos, hemp seed, and spine date seed reinforce the spleen and stomach and tranquilize the mind. Licorice root coordinates the actions of these ingredients, enhancing the overall efficacy of the wine (Daiyin & Weidong, 2016).
- **Traditional Chinese Medicinal Wines:** Other formulations include ingredients like ginseng, Chinese wolfberry, and ganoderma lucidum, which are known for strengthening the body, promoting blood circulation, and protecting health. These wines are often used to treat conditions such as qi and blood deficiency, listlessness, and insomnia (Yonghou, 2015) (Jianjun, 2007).

Preparation Methods

- **Traditional Techniques:** The preparation of Yu wine involves soaking medicinal herbs in a base of distilled or fermented alcohol, such as white spirit or baijiu, for a period ranging from a few days to several months. This process allows the alcohol to extract the active compounds from the herbs, enhancing the wine's medicinal properties (He, 2011) (Zhu, 2007).
- **Scientific Upgradation:** While traditional methods are still prevalent, there is potential for scientific enhancement of the preparation process. This includes optimizing the extraction of

active constituents from medicinal plants to improve the efficacy of the wine (Singh & Singh, 2006).

Health Benefits and Applications

- **Immune System and Organ Health:** Yu wine is reputed for its ability to improve immunity, nourish vital organs like the liver and kidneys, and reinforce the spleen and stomach. It is also used to tranquilize the mind and enhance overall well-being (Daiyin & Weidong, 2016) (Qunde et al., 2016).
- **Blood Circulation and Pain Relief:** Many formulations of Yu wine are designed to promote blood circulation, alleviate pain, and treat conditions such as rheumatism and arthritis. These wines are also used to manage symptoms of high blood pressure, high blood sugar, and high blood fat (Zhu, 2007) (Qunde et al., 2016).
- **Cultural and Economic Significance:** In addition to its medicinal uses, Yu wine holds cultural significance, particularly among the Meitei communities of Manipur, where it is used in traditional medicine, as a relaxant, and in religious offerings. It also serves as a source of income for local communities (Singh & Singh, 2006).

While Yu wine is celebrated for its medicinal properties, it is important to consider the potential for variability in its efficacy due to differences in preparation methods and ingredient quality. Additionally, the integration of scientific advancements in the preparation of Yu wine could further enhance its health benefits and ensure consistency in its therapeutic effects. However, as with any traditional remedy, it is crucial to approach its use with an understanding of its cultural context and potential limitations.

Socio-Economic Benefits and Sustainability Challenges

The production and consumption of Yu wine can significantly impact local community development through various socio-economic and environmental channels. The wine industry, particularly when integrated with geographical indications and wine tourism, can foster economic growth, cultural preservation, and sustainable practices. However, these benefits are contingent upon effective management and stakeholder collaboration to mitigate potential negative impacts.

Economic Development

- Geographical Indications (GIs) can enhance the economic value of wine products by establishing a unique identity, which in turn supports local economic development. This is evident in the case of Montefalco Sagrantino DOCG in Italy, where GIs have contributed to the socio-economic ascent of the region by promoting a distinctive wine identity (Grazia et al., 2024).
- Wineries contribute to local economies by creating jobs and supporting ancillary industries such as tourism and hospitality. In Italy, small wineries have been shown to have a strong socio-economic impact by promoting wine tourism and disseminating knowledge about the wine region (Alonso, 2016).
- Wine tourism initiatives, such as those in Reguengos de Monsaraz, have been instrumental in promoting local development by attracting visitors and increasing the visibility of the region's wine products (FAÍSCO et al., n.d.).

Cultural and Social Impact

- Wine production and consumption are deeply intertwined with cultural heritage, as seen in traditional wine-making practices in Wlahar village, which serve as a cultural identity and economic livelihood for local communities (Mubarok, 2024).
- Wine tourism not only supports economic growth but also helps preserve cultural heritage by promoting local traditions and products. This dual benefit is highlighted in studies of wine regions like Vale dos Vinhedos, where wine tourism has been used to combat rural exodus and poverty (Silva et al., 2024).

Environmental Considerations

- The environmental impact of wine production is a critical concern, with issues such as excessive water and energy use and chemical contamination being prevalent. Sustainable practices, such as the use of renewable energy and reduced chemical inputs, are essential for minimizing these impacts (Oliveira, 2024).
- Life Cycle Assessments (LCA) of wine production, such as those conducted in Texas, emphasize the importance of environmental improvements in the wine industry, advocating for practices like organic viticulture and lighter packaging to reduce ecological footprints (Poupart, 2017).

Challenges and Conflicts

- While wine tourism can drive sustainable rural development, it can also lead to conflicts and negative impacts if not properly managed. In Vale dos Vinhedos, the expansion of wine tourism has led to socio-economic benefits but also conflicts among residents and stakeholders (Silva et al., 2024).
- The balance between economic development and environmental sustainability is delicate. In Jumilla, Spain, the shift from traditional vineyards to more profitable but environmentally harmful crops highlights the need for sustainable viticulture practices to maintain ecological balance (Yago, 2024).

In contrast to the positive impacts, the production and consumption of Yu wine can also pose challenges, particularly in terms of environmental sustainability and cultural preservation. The integration of traditional practices with modern regulations, as seen in Wlahar village, underscores the tension between maintaining cultural heritage and adhering to official standards (Mubarok, 2024). Additionally, the environmental impacts of wine production necessitate a shift towards more sustainable practices to ensure long-term viability and community well-being (Oliveira, 2024) (Poupart, 2017).

Judicial Development of Wine: Quality, Trade, and Global Challenges

Judicial development plays a crucial role in regulating the production and trade of Yu wine by establishing a legal framework that ensures quality, safety, and fair trade practices. This framework is essential for maintaining the integrity of the wine industry and protecting both producers and consumers. The evolution of legal systems in various regions highlights the importance of adapting regulations to meet the changing dynamics of the wine market. Judicial development not only addresses domestic production standards but also navigates international trade barriers, ensuring that local wines can compete globally. The following sections delve into the specific aspects of judicial development in the wine industry.

Legal Framework and Standards

- China's wine regulatory system has evolved significantly over the past 40 years, moving from basic standards to a comprehensive system that includes product and manufacturing standards. This development supports the growth of the Chinese wine industry and helps address trade deficits by improving domestic wine quality and competitiveness (Yang et al., 2022).
- Historical legal frameworks, such as those from ancient Mesopotamia and Roman law, underscore the long-standing importance of regulating wine production and trade. These early regulations laid the groundwork for modern legal systems that continue to evolve to address contemporary challenges in the wine industry (Jovanović & Atanasovska-Cvetković, 2022).

Trade Barriers and International Regulations

- The imposition of tariffs and non-tariff barriers has historically been used to protect domestic wine industries from foreign competition. For instance, France implemented tariffs in the late 19th century to protect its wine industry from Italian and Spanish imports, which were seen as contributing to market saturation and fraud (Serra, 2022).
- Modern trade barriers continue to affect the global wine market, with new import markets facing challenges due to these barriers. Efforts to reduce such barriers, including initiatives by the World Wine Trade Group, aim to facilitate smoother international trade (Mariani et al., 2014).

Geographic Indications and Quality Assurance

- Geographic indications play a significant role in the legal regulation of wine, helping to protect the identity and quality of wines from specific regions. In China, the government has implemented rules to protect geographic indications, which supports the healthy development of the wine industry by ensuring product authenticity (Hua et al., 2009).
- Quality assurance is further reinforced through regulations that set standards for wine production, ensuring that wines meet specific criteria before reaching the market. This is crucial for maintaining consumer trust and promoting fair competition among producers (Meloni & Swinnen, 2018).

Economic and Policy Implications

- Judicial development in the wine industry also involves economic considerations, such as the impact of tariffs and regulations on trade. These policies can influence the competitive landscape, affecting both domestic and international markets (Meloni & Swinnen, 2018).
- In regions like Ukraine, state regulation and financial support are vital for sustaining the wine industry, especially in the face of economic instability. Such support helps ensure the stability of production and trade, promoting long-term growth and competitiveness (Serdyuk et al., 2019).

While judicial development is essential for regulating the wine industry, it is not without challenges. Disparate regulatory approaches across different markets can create obstacles to trade and increase costs for producers and consumers. Harmonizing regulations and adopting coherent principles, as suggested by organizations like FIVS, could facilitate global trade and reduce these barriers (Hodson & Azevedo, 2014). Additionally, climate change and policy interventions continue

to shape production and trade patterns, necessitating ongoing adaptation of legal frameworks to address these evolving conditions (Lamonaca et al., 2023).

Branding of Yu Wine: Safeguarding Identity and Rights

Registering Yu wine as a trademark offers several potential benefits in terms of intellectual property protection. Trademark registration provides statutory protection, ensuring that the rights of trademark owners are guaranteed by law. This registration grants the exclusive right to use the mark, preventing others from using similar marks that may cause confusion. Additionally, it offers a presumption of validity in court, placing the burden of proof on challengers. These advantages make trademark registration a strategic choice for businesses aiming to protect their brand reputation and image. The following sections will delve into the specific benefits of registering Yu wine as a trademark.

Statutory Protection and Exclusive Rights

- Trademark registration provides statutory protection, ensuring that the rights of trademark owners are guaranteed by law. This legal backing is crucial for enforcing rights and deterring unauthorized use of the trademark (Feng, 2024).
- Registered trademarks grant the owner exclusive rights to use the mark, preventing others from using similar marks that may cause confusion among consumers. This exclusivity is vital for maintaining brand integrity and consumer trust (Feng, 2024).

Presumption of Validity and Legal Advantages

- A registered trademark enjoys a presumption of validity in legal proceedings, which shifts the burden of proof to the challenger. This legal advantage can be significant in disputes, as it strengthens the trademark owner's position (Feng, 2024).
- In cases of infringement, registered trademarks can secure greater damages, potentially deterring illegal use and providing a more robust legal remedy for the trademark owner (Feng, 2024).

Nationwide and International Protection

- Once registered, a trademark is protected nationwide, regardless of where it was first used. This broad protection is essential for businesses operating in multiple regions (Feng, 2024).
- Trademark registration can facilitate international expansion by allowing businesses to register their trademarks in other countries more seamlessly. This is particularly beneficial for wine brands like Yu wine, which may seek to enter global markets (Feng, 2024).

Geographical Indications and Cultural Significance

- For wine, geographical indications (GIs) play a crucial role in intellectual property protection. GIs protect the unique characteristics and reputation of wines associated with specific regions, which is particularly relevant for Yu wine if it is tied to a specific geographical area (Sahu, 2024) (Zanzig, 2013).
- The protection of GIs under international agreements, such as the TRIPS Agreement, provides enhanced protection for wines and spirits, further safeguarding the cultural and economic value of Yu wine (Sahu, 2024) (Curzi et al., 2024).

While trademark registration offers numerous benefits, it is important to consider the challenges and limitations associated with it. For instance, the process of registering a trademark can be complex

and time-consuming, especially when dealing with international registrations under systems like the Madrid Protocol (Aburumman, 2022). Additionally, the protection of geographical indications, while beneficial, may not be sufficient in regions where enforcement is weak or where counterfeiting is prevalent, as seen in the case of Chinese counterfeit wines (Zanzig, 2013). Therefore, a comprehensive strategy that includes both trademark registration and geographical indication protection may be necessary to fully safeguard Yu wine's intellectual property rights.

Conclusion

Yu wine is a significant part of Manipur's cultural heritage, encompassing centuries-old traditions, social practices, and economic contributions. It is a symbol of ethnic identity and supports livelihoods, particularly for women and rural households. Yu wine's unique flavor and cultural value drive demand in local and regional markets. However, challenges in registering Yu wine under the Geographical Indication of Goods Act, 1999 highlight the need for clearer regulations and stakeholder collaboration. International frameworks like TRIPS and Lisbon Agreements can help protect and promote Yu wine globally, enhancing marketability and cultural preservation. Addressing these challenges can contribute to Manipur's socio-economic development while safeguarding its cultural legacy.

References

- Singh, P. K., & Singh, K. I. (2006). *Traditional alcoholic beverage, Yu of Meitei communities of Manipur*.
- Wahengbam, R., Thangjam, A. S., Keisam, S., Asem, I. D., Ningthoujam, D. S., & Jeyaram, K. (2020). *Ethnic Fermented Foods and Alcoholic Beverages of Manipur*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-15-1486-9_14
- Ayajuddin, M., Modi, P., Achumi, B., Muralidhara, M., & Yeniseti, S. C. (2016). *Plant Products and Fermented Foods as Nutrition and Medicine in Manipur State of Northeast India: Pharmacological Authenticity*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-0620-3_10
- Thokchom, S., Ningombam, D. S., & Singh, H. B. (2020). Legacy of traditional beverages with the chakpa community of manipur, northeast india. *International Journal of Scientific Research*.
- Soibam, H., & Ayam, V. S. (2018). The traditional fermented foods of meiteis of Manipur, India: A case study. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*.
- Comparative Studies on the Ethnic Fermented Food Products and its Preservation Methods with Special Focus of North-East India. (2022). *Journal of Ecology & Natural Resources*. <https://doi.org/10.23880/jenr-16000319>
- Tamang, J. P., Dewan, S., Tamang, B., Rai, A., Schillinger, U., & Holzapfel, W. H. (2007). Lactic Acid Bacteria in Hamei and Marcha of North East India. *Indian Journal of Microbiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S12088-007-0024-8>
- Panmei, C., Singh, P. K., Gautam, S., Variyar, P. S., Devi, G. A. S., & Sharma, A. (2007). Phenolic acids in Albizia bark used as a starter for rice fermentation in Zou preparation. *International Journal of Food, Agriculture and Environment*.
- Tamang, J. P., Tamang, N., Thapa, S., Dewan, S., Tamang, B., Yonzan, H., Rai, A. K., Chettri, R., Chakrabarty, J., & Kharel, N. (2012). *Microorganisms and Nutritional Value of Ethnic Fermented Foods and Alcoholic Beverages of North East India*.
- Jovanović, A. V., & Atanasovska-Cvetković, A. (2022). Wine as agricultural and food product: A historical and comparative legal approach. *Ekonomika Poljoprivrede* (1979). <https://doi.org/10.5937/ekopolj2202517j>
- Davison, M., Henckels, C., & Emerton, P. (2019). *In vino veritas? The dubious legality of the EU's claims to exclusive use of the term "Prosecco."*
- Pizarro, J. P. (2016). *Vino nuevo en odres viejos: Derecho Internacional Convencional y Derecho Interno Chileno. Ius Et Praxis*.
- Pizarro, J. P. (1996). *Vino nuevo en odres viejos: Derecho Internacional convencional y Derecho interno chileno. Revista Chilena De Derecho*.
- VP, S., & Mini, P. (2023). Traditional knowledge in Folklore Practices - A Review. *Journal of Ayurveda and Integrated Medical Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.21760/jaims.8.6.31>

- Khachatryan, A. (2024). «նախնիների իրավունքների» հասկացությունը եվ ավանդական բժշկական գիտելիքը որպես մտավոր սեփականության իրավունքի sui generis օբյեկտ / the concept of “ancestral rights” and traditional medical knowledge as a sui generis object of intellectual property rights. *Sahmanadrakan Dataran*. <https://doi.org/10.59560/18291155-2024.3-147>
- Magloire, L., Nguala Bom Khonde, Paul Charles Bazabana, Jean Jacques. (2000). Strengthening traditional technical knowledge : the sugar cane wine example. *Research Papers in Economics*.
- Yan-feng, T. (n.d.). Yu Excavated in Western Zhou Tombs on Stone Drum Mountain And “wine is Used to Complete Rituals.” <https://doi.org/10.13467/j.cnki.jbuss.2014.02.009>
- Ardhede, H. (2007). *Traditional Knowledge and the Patent System - Irreconcilable differences or a simple case of mistaken identity?*
- He, J., He, J., He, J., Zhang, R., Zhang, R., Lei, Q., Chen, G., Li, K., Ahmed, S., Long, C., Long, C., & Long, C. (2019). Diversity, knowledge, and valuation of plants used as fermentation starters for traditional glutinous rice wine by Dong communities in Southeast Guizhou, China. *Journal of Ethnobiology and Ethnomedicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S13002-019-0299-Y>
- 宋忠胜宋忠胜. (n.d.). *In the View of International Law for the Protection of Traditional Knowledge*. <https://doi.org/10.16494/j.cnki.1002-3933.2013.03.027>
- Matos, C., Santos, R. R. S. S., Canuto, A. C. B., Ramos, T. de M., & De-Bortoli, R. (2024). Geographical indication: a review. *Concilium*. <https://doi.org/10.53660/clm-3491-24j11>
- Zappalaglio, A. (2022). Getting Article 22(1) TRIPS Right: A Commentary on the Definition of ‘Geographical Indication’ from a European Union Perspective with a Focus on Wines. *The Journal of World Investment and Trade*. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22119000-12340246>
- Babych, I. M., Bondar, M., Boiko, P., & Prybylskyi, V. (2022). Geographical indication as a factor ensuring the competitiveness of Ukrainian wines. *Nauka, Tehnologii, Innovacii*. <https://doi.org/10.35668/2520-6524-2022-3-03>
- Apriliani, K. L., Sudirga, M., Putra, P. A. S., Darsana, K. D. I., & Krisnadewi, A. A. P. (2024). Pengaturan indikasi geografis terhadap produk wine salak bebandem karangasem. *Jurnal YUSTITIA*. <https://doi.org/10.62279/yustitia.v18i1.1198>
- Ty, D., & Devaraja, T. (2024). Geographical indications as a brand strategy: A literal review. *International Journal of Research in Marketing Management and Sales*. <https://doi.org/10.33545/26633329.2024.v6.i1a.147>
- Chandra, R., Moschini, G., & Lade, G. (2024). Geographical indications and welfare: Evidence from US wine demand. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ajae.12499>
- Overton, J., & Murray, W. E. (2017). *GI Blues: Geographical Indications and Wine in New Zealand*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-53073-4_8
- Das, K. (2010). Geographical indications at the WTO: an unfinished agenda. *Social Science Research Network*. <https://doi.org/10.2139/SSRN.1597091>
- Busche, J., Stoll, P.-T., & Arend, A. (2009). *Article 23. Additional Protection For Geographical Indications For Wines And Spirits*. <https://doi.org/10.1163/EJ.9789004145672.I-910.143>
- Blakeney, M. (2006). *Geographical Indications and Trips*. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780203133316-7>
- Hinchliffe, S. A. (2007). The Impact of the EC-Australia Wine Agreement and TRIPS on Australian Wine Law and Trade. *Social Science Research Network*.
- Hai-yan, Z. (n.d.). *On Extending Protection of Geographical Indications of the TRIPs Agreement*. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1000-2529.2006.03.018>
- Henckels, C. (2022). *The Compatibility of the European Union’s Geographical Indications Regulations for Wines with the World Trade Organization Agreement on Technical Barriers to Trade*. <https://doi.org/10.1163/22119000-12340249>
- Almeida, R. de, & Francisco, A. (2005). *The trips agreement, the bilateral agreements concerning geographical indications and the philosophy of the wto **.
- 李华李华. (n.d.). *WTO and the Viti-vinicultural Domain*. <https://doi.org/10.3969/j.issn.1009-9107.2001.04.023>
- Gervais, D. J. (2010b). The Lisbon Agreement’s Misunderstood Potential. *Social Science Research Network*.
- Goffic, C. L. (2021). International rules for the protection of wine geographical indications: past, present and future perspectives. *Territoires Du Vin*. <https://doi.org/10.58335/territoiresduvin.2097>

- Micara, A. G. (2016). *The Geneva Act of the Lisbon Agreement for the Protection of Appellations of Origin and Their International Registration: An Assessment of a Controversial Agreement*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40319-016-0496-3>
- Gervais, D. J. (2010a). Reinventing Lisbon: The Case for a Protocol to the Lisbon Agreement (Geographical Indications). *Chicago Journal of International Law*.
- Kd, R., & Tiwari, S. (2015). *The management of geographical indications: post registration challenges and opportunities*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S40622-015-0084-2>
- Vinayan, S. (2017). Geographical indications in India: Issues and challenges— An overview. *The Journal of World Intellectual Property*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/JWIP.12076>
- Geographical Indication Protection System in India. (2022). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-19-4296-9_1
- Bashir, A. (2020). *Protection of Geographical Indication Products from Different States of India*.
- Nirosha, R., & Mansingh, J. P. (2024). Geographical indication tag for agricultural produces: Challenges and methods. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.31893/multirev.2024206>
- Geographical Indications Laws In India: Issues And Challenges. (n.d.). <https://doi.org/10.55662/saler.2023.802>
- Daiyin, P., & Weidong, C. (2016). *Medicinal and edible Yuyou Baxian wine and preparation method thereof*.
- Yonghou, L. (2015). *Chinese traditional medicine medicinal wine*.
- Jianjun, M. (2007). *Chinese medicinal wine*.
- He, Q. (2011). *Chinese medicinal wine*.
- Zhu, W. (2007). *Health preserving wine from prescription of the Yi nationality, and preparation method*.
- Qunde, H., Linfeng, H., & Zhengyi, Y. (2016). *Traditional Chinese medicinal health-care wine*.
- Grazia, D., Corsi, S., & Mazzocchi, C. (2024). Can Geographical Indications foster local development? Evidence from Montefalco Sagrantino DOCG. *Wine Economics and Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.36253/wep-16016>
- Alonso, A. D. (2016). Wineries contribution to the local community: a stakeholder view. *International Journal of Economics and Business Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJEBR.2016.081225>
- FAÍSCO, A., SIMPLÍCIO, D., & CARMO, A. (n.d.). *Wine Tourism and Local Development: Reguengos de Monsaraz, European Wine City 2015*. <https://doi.org/10.17127/got/2021.21.012>
- Mubarok, D. I. (2024). Romantisme antara Norma dan Tradisi: Pembuatan Minuman Keras Tradisional Studi Kasus di Desa Wlahar Kecamatan Wangon. *Proceedings Series on Social Sciences & Humanities*. <https://doi.org/10.30595/pssh.v17i.1128>
- Silva, M. A. C. da, Bruch, K. L., Duarte, F. F., & Bettencourt, A. F. (2024). Wine tourism and sustainable territorial development in Vale dos Vinhedos: an analysis of the different perceptions and conflicts of the territory. *Revista Brasileira de Gestão e Desenvolvimento Regional*. <https://doi.org/10.54399/rbgdr.v20i1.7143>
- Oliveira, S. P. D. (2024). Análise da cadeia produtiva de vinho no município de angatuba & proposta de cultura sustentável. *Revista Científica Sistemática*. <https://doi.org/10.56238/rcsv14n3-002>
- Poupart, A. (2017). *Life Cycle Environmental Impact Assessment of Local Wine Production and Consumption in Texas: Using LCA to Inspire Environmental Improvements*.
- Yago, F. J. M. (2024). Viticulture in Jumilla (Spain). Contributions to sustainable territorial development. *Perspectiva Geografica*. <https://doi.org/10.19053/upct.01233769.16625>
- Yang, C., Song, R., Ding, Y., Zhang, L.-X., Wang, H., & Li, H. (2022). Review on Legal Supervision System of the Chinese Wine Industry. *Horticulturae*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/horticulturae8050432>
- Serra, O. (2022). Aspectos jurídicos de la lucha contra la importación de vinos españoles e italianos en Francia a finales del siglo XIX. *Revista de Estudios Jurídicos*. <https://doi.org/10.17561/rej.n22.7569>
- Mariani, A., Napoletano, F., Pomarici, E., & Vecchio, R. (2014). Tariff and Non-Tariff Barriers to Wine Exports and Initiatives to Reduce their Effects. *Agricultural Economics Review*. <https://doi.org/10.22004/AG.ECON.253673>
- Hua, L., JiaGui, L., & He-cai, Y. (2009). Review of Grape and Wine Industry Development in Recent 30 Years of China's Reforming and Opening-up. *Modern Food Science and Technology*.
- Meloni, G., & Swinnen, J. F. M. (2018). The political economy of regulations and trade: Wine trade 1860–1970. *The World Economy*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/TWEC.12655>
- Serdyuk, O. V., Samsonova, Ya. A., & Kolisnykova, H. V. (2019). *State regulation and financing of the ukrainian vinoground market in the conditions of euro integration*. <https://doi.org/10.18371/FCAPTP.V3I30.179817>

- Hodson, G., & Azevedo, S. (2014). *Regulatory principles to enhance coherence and to facilitate trade in wine*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/BIOCONF/20140303002>
- Lamonaca, E., Seccia, A., & Santeramo, F. G. (2023). The role of climate and trade policies in the wine sector. *BIO Web of Conferences*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/bioconf/20235603013>
- Feng, Y. (2024). Analysis of the Advantages of Trademark Registration Offer Compared with Relying on Unfair Competition or Passing-off to Enforce Rights over Trademarks. *Advances in Economics, Management and Political Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.54254/2754-1169/71/20241277>
- Sahu, Ms. N. (2024). Decoding The Enhanced Protection for Wine and Spirit GIs. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*. <https://doi.org/10.52783/jier.v4i1.752>
- Zanzig, L. (2013). The Perfect Pairing: Protecting U.S. Geographical Indications with a Sinoamerican Wine Registry. *Washington Law Review*.
- Curzi, D., Huysmans, M., & Haase, O. K. (2024). *Potable intellectual property: WTO TRIPS and EU geographical indication wines*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/aepp.13428>
- Aburumman, A. (2022). *The legal system for registering a trademark in accordance with the Madrid Agreement Concerning the International Registration of Trademarks and Related Legislation - A Comparative Study*. <https://doi.org/10.35875/1105-025-001-009>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.