

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Navigating Ethical Approval in Legal Research: Global Perspectives and Indian Regulations

[Raj Kumar](#) * and Asheesh Yadav

Posted Date: 27 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.1944.v1

Keywords: ethical approval; legal research; human participants; ethics committees; informed consent; ICMR guidelines; Declaration of Helsinki; research integrity; non-compliance; cross-border research



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Navigating Ethical Approval in Legal Research: Global Perspectives and Indian Regulations

Raj Kumar * and Asheesh Yadav

Central University of Punjab

* Correspondence: rajkumar.yadav@cup.edu.in

Abstract: Ethical approval is a cornerstone of legal research involving human participants, ensuring their safety, rights, and the integrity of the research process. This paper explores the multifaceted aspects of obtaining ethical approval in legal research, with a focus on global variations, the specific regulatory framework in India, and the ethical principles that shape legal policies. It examines the processes, challenges, and consequences of non-compliance, drawing on international guidelines such as the Declaration of Helsinki and India's ICMR guidelines. The paper highlights the role of ethics committees, the complexities of cross-border research, and the need for harmonized ethical standards. By analyzing historical and contemporary cases, it underscores the legal, social, and ethical ramifications of non-compliance. Recommendations include fostering institutional support, enhancing transparency, and promoting ethical education to streamline approval processes and uphold research integrity. This study provides a comprehensive guide for researchers navigating the ethical landscape of legal research in diverse jurisdictions.

Keywords: ethical approval; legal research; human participants; ethics committees; informed consent; ICMR guidelines; declaration of Helsinki; research integrity; non-compliance; cross-border research

Introduction

Obtaining ethical approval in legal research is a critical step that ensures the protection of human participants and the integrity of the research process. Ethical approval is necessary to assess and mitigate potential risks, uphold participants' rights, and maintain public trust in research activities. The process involves several key considerations, including understanding the need for ethical approval, preparing a comprehensive application, and adhering to ethical guidelines and legal requirements. These considerations are essential for conducting ethical and legally compliant research.

Understanding the Need for Ethical Approval

- Ethical approval is required for all research involving human participants to ensure their safety and rights are protected [1,2].
- Researchers must determine whether their study requires ethical approval by consulting relevant guidelines and regulations [1].
- Legal research, although distinct from natural and social sciences, also requires adherence to ethical standards to avoid compromising human rights [3].

Preparing a Comprehensive Application

- A detailed research proposal, including the informed consent process, must be submitted to an Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Research Ethics Committee (REC) for review [2].
- The application should address potential risks, benefits, and the methods for safeguarding participant confidentiality [4].

- Researchers should be aware of the specific requirements of the ethics committee they are applying to, as these can vary by institution and region [4,5].

Adhering to Ethical Guidelines and Legal Requirements

- Researchers must comply with international guidelines such as the Declaration of Helsinki and the Belmont Report, which outline ethical principles for research involving human subjects [2,4].
- Legal considerations include ensuring informed consent, managing conflicts of interest, and protecting intellectual property rights [4,6].
- Failure to obtain ethical approval can result in legal and professional liabilities, including the revocation of funding and damage to the researcher's reputation [7].

Role of Ethics Committees and Institutional Support

- Ethics committees play a crucial role in reviewing research proposals to ensure ethical compliance and participant protection [8].
- Institutional support and capacity building for ethics committees are necessary to facilitate timely and effective reviews [8].
- Researchers should establish a good working relationship with their local IRB to enhance the approval process [2].

While obtaining ethical approval is a fundamental aspect of legal research, it is not without challenges. Researchers may face difficulties in navigating the complex ethical and legal landscape, particularly when dealing with sensitive issues or secondary interests that could affect the reliability of their research [3]. Additionally, the process of obtaining approval can be time-consuming, and researchers must be prepared to address any revisions or concerns raised by ethics committees [5]. Despite these challenges, adhering to ethical standards is crucial for ensuring the validity and credibility of legal research.

Ethical Non-Compliance in Research

Non-compliance with ethical approval laws in research settings can have significant legal, social, and ethical consequences, particularly in fields such as medical research, social science studies, and environmental research. These consequences can range from legal penalties and loss of funding to damage to public trust and ethical dilemmas. Historical cases and recent examples highlight the importance of adhering to ethical standards to maintain the integrity and credibility of research.

Legal Consequences

- **Legal Penalties:** Non-compliance with ethical approval laws can lead to severe legal repercussions, including fines, suspension of research activities, and even criminal prosecution. For instance, the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the Office for Human Research Protection (OHRP) have the authority to suspend research operations at institutions that fail to comply with ethical standards [9,10].
- **Loss of Funding:** Research projects that do not adhere to ethical guidelines risk losing financial support. Research Ethics Boards (REBs) can refuse or revoke funding for experiments that do not meet ethical requirements, which can be detrimental to the continuation of research projects [7].

Social Consequences

- **Loss of Public Trust:** Ethical breaches in research can erode public trust in scientific findings and institutions. The credibility of medical science, for instance, is heavily dependent on

maintaining ethical standards. Publicized cases of ethical non-compliance can lead to skepticism and reduced support for scientific research [11,12].

- **Impact on Research Participants:** Non-compliance can result in harm or exploitation of research participants, particularly in vulnerable populations. This can lead to social backlash and increased scrutiny from regulatory bodies and the public [13,14].

Ethical Consequences

- **Ethical Dilemmas:** Researchers may face ethical dilemmas when balancing the pursuit of scientific knowledge with the need to protect participants' rights and well-being. Non-compliance can lead to ethical breaches such as data manipulation, conflicts of interest, and disregard for participant safety [12].
- **Historical and Recent Examples:** Historical cases, such as the Tuskegee Syphilis Study, illustrate the severe ethical violations that can occur when research is conducted without proper ethical oversight. Recent investigations, such as those at the Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire Méditerranée Infection, highlight ongoing issues with ethical compliance in research [15].

While the consequences of non-compliance are significant, it is important to recognize the challenges researchers face in navigating complex ethical and legal frameworks. The lack of a centralized ethical review committee in some regions, as noted in the UK, can complicate the process of obtaining ethical approval and ensuring compliance [13]. Additionally, the increasing reliance on private industry funding in biomedical research introduces potential conflicts of interest that can compromise ethical standards [12]. Addressing these challenges requires a concerted effort to strengthen transparency, enhance research independence, and promote ethical education.

International Variations in Ethical Approval for Legal Research

The requirements for ethical approval in legal research vary significantly across different jurisdictions, influenced by local laws, cultural norms, and institutional practices. This variation can pose challenges for researchers, especially those conducting cross-border studies. The differences are evident in the processes, timelines, and specific requirements for obtaining ethical approval, which can impact the feasibility and design of research projects. Below, the key aspects of these variations are explored.

Variations in Ethical Approval Processes

- **Diverse Requirements:** In Europe, the requirements for ethical approval can differ widely even for similar types of studies. For instance, some countries may not require written consent for certain types of research, while others do. The United Kingdom is noted for having particularly lengthy governance procedures compared to other European countries [16].
- **Centralized vs. Decentralized Systems:** Some countries have centralized ethical review systems, while others require applications to multiple regional or institutional boards. This can lead to significant differences in the time required to obtain approval, ranging from a few days to several months [17].
- **Specificity of Requirements:** In Sweden, for example, the Ethics Review Act mandates independent ethics review for research involving personal data on crimes, yet adherence to this requirement varies significantly across institutions [18].

Impact on Research Design and Execution

- **Informed Consent:** The necessity and form of informed consent can vary. In some jurisdictions, informed consent is not required for certain observational studies, while in others, it is mandatory [17].

- **Data Management and Privacy:** Requirements for data management, such as the need for data safety monitoring committees or additional approvals for data sharing, can differ. This impacts how researchers plan their data collection and management strategies [16,17].
- **Ethical Principles Application:** The application of ethical principles such as autonomy, nonmaleficence, and beneficence can vary, affecting how research proposals are evaluated. For instance, in New Zealand, significant amendments may be required to minimize participant harm, whereas in Israel, the requirements might be minimal [19].

Challenges and Recommendations

- **Cross-Border Research:** The lack of harmonization in ethical approval processes across jurisdictions can hinder international research collaborations. Researchers often face delays and increased administrative burdens when navigating different ethical requirements [17].
- **Need for Harmonization:** There is a call for more harmonized ethical approval processes, particularly in Europe, to facilitate smoother transnational research collaborations and ensure consistent ethical standards [16,17].

While the variations in ethical approval requirements can complicate legal research, they also reflect the diverse legal and cultural landscapes across jurisdictions. These differences underscore the importance of understanding local ethical standards and adapting research designs accordingly. Moreover, the ongoing discussions about harmonizing ethical approval processes highlight the need for balancing ethical rigor with practical research considerations, ensuring that ethical standards do not unduly impede valuable research.

Ethical Principles Shaping Legal Policy and Regulation

Ethical principles in legal research significantly influence the development of legal policies and regulations by providing a moral framework that guides the creation, implementation, and enforcement of laws. These principles ensure that legal policies are not only legally sound but also morally justifiable, promoting fairness, welfare, and respect for human rights. The integration of ethical considerations into legal research and policy-making helps to address complex societal issues, such as human rights, environmental protection, and public health, by aligning legal frameworks with societal values and ethical norms. This alignment is crucial for maintaining public trust and ensuring that laws serve the common good. The following sections explore how ethical principles shape legal policies and regulations across various domains.

Ethical Foundations in Legal Frameworks

- Ethical principles often form the basis of legal regulations, as seen in areas like human rights and environmental protection. These principles guide the creation of laws that reflect societal values and moral standards [20].
- In the realm of public health, ethical considerations are integral to the development of policies that protect research subjects and ensure the integrity of research. This is achieved through mechanisms like informed consent and ethical review boards [21].

Ethical Principles in Legal Research

- Legal research is guided by ethical principles that ensure the reliability and integrity of research findings. This includes adherence to rigorous methodologies and the protection of human rights, which are essential for producing valuable and ethically sound research outcomes [3].
- The ethical dimensions of legal research also involve addressing secondary interests, such as financial incentives, which could compromise the objectivity and thoroughness of research [3].

Application of Ethical Principles in Regulation

- Ethical principles play a crucial role in the application and enforcement of regulations. For instance, in the case of federal regulations protecting children in research, ethical principles guide the decision-making processes and ensure that regulations are applied fairly and justly [22].
- In the context of data governance, ethical principles are embedded in European policies to address challenges related to data use and digital technologies. These principles promote human rights and ethical data management practices [23].

Ethical Considerations in Professional Conduct

- The ethical conduct of legal professionals, such as judges and attorneys, is governed by ethical principles that influence the content of legal statutes. These principles ensure that legal practitioners adhere to moral and ethical standards, which are crucial for maintaining the integrity of the legal system [24].
- Breaches of ethical principles in professional conduct can lead to disciplinary actions, highlighting the importance of ethics in regulating the behavior of legal practitioners [24].

While ethical principles play a significant role in shaping legal policies and regulations, challenges remain in their practical implementation. For instance, conflicts among ethical principles can arise, and resolving these conflicts requires careful consideration and balancing of competing interests [23]. Additionally, the socially constructed nature of knowledge and the influence of dominant discourses can impact the ethical considerations in policy research, highlighting the need for ongoing reflection and adaptation of ethical frameworks [25]. These challenges underscore the complexity of integrating ethics into legal research and policy-making, necessitating a continuous dialogue between legal and ethical perspectives.

Process for Obtaining Ethical Approval in Legal Research

Obtaining ethical approval in legal research is a critical step that ensures the protection of human participants and the integrity of the research process. Ethical approval is necessary to assess and mitigate potential risks, uphold participants' rights, and maintain public trust in research activities. The process involves several key considerations, including understanding the need for ethical approval, preparing a comprehensive application, and adhering to ethical guidelines and legal requirements. These considerations are essential for conducting ethical and legally compliant research.

Understanding the Need for Ethical Approval

- Ethical approval is required for all research involving human participants to ensure their safety and rights are protected [1,2].
- Researchers must determine whether their study requires ethical approval by consulting relevant guidelines and regulations [1].
- Legal research, although distinct from natural and social sciences, also requires adherence to ethical standards to avoid compromising human rights [3].

Preparing a Comprehensive Application

- A detailed research proposal, including the informed consent process, must be submitted to an Institutional Review Board (IRB) or Research Ethics Committee (REC) for review [2].
- The application should address potential risks, benefits, and the methods for safeguarding participant confidentiality [4].

- Researchers should be aware of the specific requirements of the ethics committee they are applying to, as these can vary by institution and region [4,5].

Adhering to Ethical Guidelines and Legal Requirements

- Researchers must comply with international guidelines such as the Declaration of Helsinki and the Belmont Report, which outline ethical principles for research involving human subjects [2,4].
- Legal considerations include ensuring informed consent, managing conflicts of interest, and protecting intellectual property rights [4,6].
- Failure to obtain ethical approval can result in legal and professional liabilities, including the revocation of funding and damage to the researcher's reputation [7].

Role of Ethics Committees and Institutional Support

- Ethics committees play a crucial role in reviewing research proposals to ensure ethical compliance and participant protection [8].
- Institutional support and capacity building for ethics committees are necessary to facilitate timely and effective reviews [8].
- Researchers should establish a good working relationship with their local IRB to enhance the approval process [2].

While obtaining ethical approval is a fundamental aspect of legal research, it is not without challenges. Researchers may face difficulties in navigating the complex ethical and legal landscape, particularly when dealing with sensitive issues or secondary interests that could affect the reliability of their research [3]. Additionally, the process of obtaining approval can be time-consuming, and researchers must be prepared to address any revisions or concerns raised by ethics committees [5]. Despite these challenges, adhering to ethical standards is crucial for ensuring the validity and credibility of legal research.

Ethical Approval Regulations in India

The current regulations and guidelines for obtaining ethical approval in legal research in India are primarily governed by a combination of national guidelines and regulatory frameworks established by various Indian authorities. These guidelines are designed to ensure the protection of human and animal subjects involved in research, while also facilitating the ethical conduct of research activities. The Indian Council of Medical Research (ICMR) and the Central Drugs Standard Control Organization (CDSCO) play pivotal roles in shaping these regulations. The following sections provide a detailed overview of the key aspects of these regulations and guidelines.

Institutional and Independent Ethics Committees

- Ethics Committees (ECs) are central to the ethical oversight of research in India. They are responsible for reviewing, approving, and monitoring research to protect human subjects. The ICMR's National Ethical Guidelines for Biomedical and Health Research provide a framework for ECs, emphasizing informed consent, compensation for adverse events, and the ethical review of multi-centric studies by a single EC [26,27].
- The CDSCO mandates that all ECs must be registered, and they are subject to guidelines that include audiovisual recording of informed consent and detailed compensation frameworks [28,29].

Regulatory Frameworks and Guidelines

- The ICMR guidelines, revised in 2017, address ethical issues such as broad consent and deception in research, aligning with international standards while incorporating unique Indian contexts [27].
- The New Drugs and Clinical Trials Rules, 2019, introduced by the Indian government, aim to enhance transparency and improve the quality of clinical research. These rules cover aspects like accelerated approval processes, post-trial access, and the roles of ECs [30].

Challenges and Developments

- Despite the comprehensive guidelines, challenges persist, such as inadequate training for EC members, lack of standard operating procedures, and administrative burdens [31,32].
- Recent regulatory changes have empowered ECs but also increased their workload, with many committees expressing concerns over the variability in compensation calculations and the centralized review process [26].

Animal Research Regulations

- The Committee for the Purpose of Control and Supervision of Experiments on Animals (CPCSEA) oversees the ethical treatment of animals in research. Established under the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act, 1960, the CPCSEA has developed guidelines to ensure the welfare of research animals, including provisions for their rehabilitation [33].

While the current regulations and guidelines provide a robust framework for ethical research in India, there are areas that require further refinement and clarity. The ICMR guidelines, for instance, have been critiqued for lacking clarity in certain areas, necessitating periodic revisions to address emerging ethical challenges and incorporate feedback from Institutional Ethics Committees [34]. Additionally, the evolving landscape of clinical trials and research in India calls for continuous updates to regulations to maintain alignment with global standards and address local needs effectively.

Suggestions

1. **Enhance Clarity in Indian Guidelines:** The ICMR and CDSCO should periodically revise guidelines to address ambiguities, particularly around compensation frameworks and broad consent, to reduce administrative burdens on ethics committees.
2. **Harmonize International Standards:** Advocate for a unified ethical approval framework, especially in Europe, to facilitate cross-border legal research and reduce delays caused by varying requirements.
3. **Strengthen Ethics Committee Training:** Institutions should invest in capacity building for ethics committee members to ensure consistent and efficient reviews, addressing challenges like inadequate training and procedural inconsistencies.
4. **Promote Ethical Education:** Integrate ethics training into legal research curricula to equip researchers with the knowledge to navigate complex ethical and legal landscapes effectively.
5. **Centralized Review Systems:** Explore the feasibility of centralized ethics review systems in regions lacking them, such as the UK, to streamline approval processes while maintaining ethical rigor.
6. **Address Conflicts of Interest:** Develop stricter guidelines to manage secondary interests, such as private funding influences, to safeguard research objectivity and ethical compliance.
7. **Leverage Technology for Transparency:** Utilize digital platforms to enhance transparency in ethical approval processes, such as public registries for approved studies, to build public trust and accountability.

Conclusion

Obtaining ethical approval in legal research is essential for protecting human participants and ensuring the credibility of research outcomes. While global and Indian frameworks, such as the Declaration of Helsinki and ICMR guidelines, provide robust ethical standards, challenges like varying jurisdictional requirements, administrative burdens, and potential conflicts of interest persist. Historical cases like the Tuskegee Syphilis Study and recent examples underscore the severe consequences of ethical non-compliance, including legal penalties, loss of funding, and eroded public trust. By fostering harmonized standards, enhancing institutional support, and promoting ethical education, researchers and institutions can navigate these challenges effectively. A balanced approach that upholds ethical rigor while facilitating practical research is crucial for advancing legal research that is both ethically sound and socially impactful.

References

1. Gelling, L. (2016). Applying for ethical approval for research: the main issues. *Nursing Standard*. <https://doi.org/10.7748/NS.30.20.40.S46>
2. Orimadegun, A. E. (2020). Protocol and Researcher's Relationship with Institutional Review Board. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*.
3. Groeneweg, S. (2023). *Ethics in Legal Research*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-24060-7_2
4. Yip, C., Han, N.-L. R., & Sng, B. L. (2016). Legal and ethical issues in research. *Indian Journal of Anaesthesia*. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0019-5049.190627>
5. Morgans, A., & Allen, F. C. L. (2015). Getting Ethics Committee Approval for Research: A Beginners Guide. *Australasian Journal of Paramedicine*. <https://doi.org/10.33151/AJP.3.3.322>
6. Flanagin, A. (2020). *Ethical and Legal Considerations*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/JAMA/9780190246556.003.0005>
7. Tremayne-Lloyd, T., & Srebrolow, G. (2007). Research ethics approval for human and animal experimentation: Consequences of failing to obtain approval –including legal and professional liability. *Journal of the Canadian Chiropractic Association*.
8. Bain, L. E. (2017). Ethics approval: responsibilities of journal editors, authors and research ethics committees. *The Pan African Medical Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.11604/PAMJ.2017.28.200.14170>
9. Mishkin, B. (2002). *Scientific Research, Law, and Penalties for Scientific Misconduct*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471250597.MUR031>
10. Russell, B. J. (2001). *Research compliance: entering Phase II*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08998280.2001.11927787>
11. Johnson, R.-J. (2022). Scientific Ethical Integrity and Human Research Subjects Protections Non-compliance Remediation: Commentary on Practical Considerations and Implications. *Journal of Human Health Research*. <https://doi.org/10.14302/issn.2576-9383.jhhr-22-4138>
12. Kulić, J., Aksentijević, D., Kostović, A., Krstić, D., Branović, D., Joksimović, B., Milić, M., Mitić, S., & Ristić, S. (2024). Possibilities of violation of ethical principles in sponsored research: analysis, consequences, and prevention measures. *Biomedicinska Istraživanja*. <https://doi.org/10.59137/bii202402451k>
13. Draper, H., & Wilson, S. (2007). Research ethics approval: comprehensive mechanisms are essential but not available. *Family Practice*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/FAMPRA/CMM072>
14. Asplund, K., & Asberg, K. H. (2021). Reporting ethical approval in health and social science articles: an audit of adherence to GDPR and national legislation. *BMC Medical Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12910-021-00664-W>
15. Frank, F., Florens, N., Meyerowitz-Katz, G., Barrière, J., Billy, E. P., Saada, V., Samuel, A. S., Robert, J., & Besançon, L. (2023). Raising concerns on questionable ethics approvals – a case study of 456 trials from the Institut Hospitalo-Universitaire Méditerranée Infection. *Research Integrity and Peer Review*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41073-023-00134-4>
16. Preston, N., Delden, J. J. M. van, Ingravallo, F., Hughes, S., Hasselaar, J., Heide, A. van der, Block, L. V. den, Dunleavy, L., Groot, M., Csikós, Á., & Payne, S. (2020). Ethical and research governance approval across Europe: Experiences from three European palliative care studies. *Palliative Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0269216320908774>

17. Lange, D. W. de, Guidet, B., Guidet, B., Andersen, F. H., Artigas, A., Bertolini, G., Moreno, R., Christensen, S., Cecconi, M., Agvald-Öhman, C., Gradišek, P., Jung, C., Marsh, B., Oeyen, S., Pinto, B. B., Szczechlik, W., Watson, X., Zafeiridis, T., & Flaatten, H. (2019). Huge variation in obtaining ethical permission for a non-interventional observational study in Europe. *BMC Medical Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/S12910-019-0373-Y>
18. Asplund, K., & Åsberg, K. H. (2023). Reporting Ethics Approval in Articles on Criminality. An Audit of Adherence to Swedish Legislation. *Journal of Empirical Research on Human Research Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/15562646231168980>
19. Goodyear-Smith, F., Lobb, B., Davies, G., Nachson, I., & Seelau, S. M. (2002). International variation in ethics committee requirements: comparisons across five Westernised nations. *BMC Medical Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1472-6939-3-2>
20. Nandedkar, N. S. (2024). *Revolutionary Effects Of Legal Regulations On Ethical Values In Society*. <https://doi.org/10.69758/gimrj/2412ivvxiiip0003>
21. Ghaith, S. (2024). *Public health: Law policy and ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/b978-0-323-85663-8.00019-2>
22. Williams, P. C. (1996). Ethical principles in federal regulations: the case of children and research risks. *Journal of Medicine and Philosophy*. <https://doi.org/10.1093/JMP/21.2.169>
23. Eke, D., & Stahl, B. (2024). Ethics in the Governance of Data and Digital Technology: An Analysis of European Data Regulations and Policies. *Digital Society*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s44206-024-00101-6>
24. Pavlichenko, I., Maslak, N. V., Gornostay, A., Melikhova, J., & Slyusarenko, T. (2024). Exploring the nexus between law and morality: ethical considerations in legal studies. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*. <https://doi.org/10.31893/multiscience.2024ss0734>
25. Cowburn, M. (2007). *Ethics, research and policy*. <https://doi.org/10.51952/9781847422996.ch008>
26. Davis, S., Sule, P., Bughediwala, M., Pandya, V., & Sinha, S. (2017). Ethics committees and the changed clinical research environment in India in 2016: A perspective! *Perspectives in Clinical Research*. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-3485.198555>
27. Behera, S. K., Das, S., Xavier, A. S., Selvarajan, S., & Anandabaskar, N. (2019). Indian Council of Medical Research's National Ethical Guidelines for biomedical and health research involving human participants: The way forward from 2006 to 2017. *Perspectives in Clinical Research*. https://doi.org/10.4103/PICR.PICR_10_18
28. Evangeline, L., Mounica, N., Reddy, V. S., Ngabhushanam, M., Reddy, D. N., & Bonthagarala, B. (2017). Regulatory process and ethics for clinical trials in India (CDSCO). *The Pharma Innovation Journal*.
29. Chaudhury, R. R., & Mehta, D. (2016). *Regulatory developments in the conduct of clinical trials in India*. <https://doi.org/10.1017/GHEG.2015.5>
30. Jhuria, A., Nagpal, D., Verma, R., Mittal, V., Budhwar, V., & Kaushik, D. (2024). Navigating the evolving landscape: A review of clinical trial regulations in India. *Journal of Generic Medicines*. <https://doi.org/10.1177/17411343241277439>
31. Thatte, U. M., & Marathe, P. A. (2017). Ethics Committees in India: Past, present and future. *Perspectives in Clinical Research*. <https://doi.org/10.4103/2229-3485.198549>
32. Narang, I., Bharota, I. K., Raisuddin, S., Jain, G. K., & Agarwal, N. B. (2014). A newer dimension to regulation of ethics committees in India. *Clinical Research and Regulatory Affairs*. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10601333.2013.842576>
33. Qadri, S. S. Y. H., & Newcomer, C. E. (2014). *Laws, Regulations, and Guidelines Shaping Research Animal Care and Use in India*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-397856-1.00008-8>
34. Ananthakrishnan, N., & Shanthi, A. K. (2012). ICMR's ethical guidelines for biomedical research on human participants: need for clarification. *Indian Journal of Medical Ethics*. <https://doi.org/10.20529/IJME.2012.067>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.