

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Cosmic Neutrino Background (C ν B) Temperature Derived from the Stefan Boltzmann Law in $R_H = ct$ Cosmology

[Espen Haug](#)*

Posted Date: 19 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.1346.v1

Keywords: C ν B temperature; CMB temperature; Reissner-Nordstrom metric; Haug Spavieri metric



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Cosmic Neutrino Background (CνB) Temperature Derived from the Stefan Boltzmann Law in $R_{H_t} = ct$ Cosmolgy

Espen Gaarder Haug 

Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Christian Magnus Falsensvei 18, Aas, Norway; espenhaug@mac.com

Abstract: We are proposing a temperature formula for the Cosmic Neutrino background (CνB) temperature that can be derived from the Stefan-Boltzman law under certain assumptions, such as $R_{H_t} = ct$ cosmology. Our derived formula gives a prediction of the CνB temperature of $1.9336K \pm 0.0072K$ which is in line with the current literature on the topic.

Keywords: CνB temperature; CMB temperature; Reissner-Nordstrom metric; Haug Spavieri metric

1. CMB Temperature

In contrast to the cosmic microwave background the cosmic neutrino background is very hard to detect directly as neutrinos are only known to have very weak interactions with other particles [1], so before we get to how to predict it we will summarize some important development in relation to the CMB temperature. The CMB temperature is approximately 2.725K [2–6]. Tatum et. al [7] suggested based on heuristics in 2015 that the CMB temperature for $R_H = ct$ cosmology could be given by:

$$T_{cmb,t} = \frac{\hbar c^3}{k_b 8\pi G \sqrt{M_{cr,t} m_p}} = \frac{\hbar c}{k_b 4\pi \sqrt{R_t 2l_p}} \quad (1)$$

where $R_t = \frac{c}{H_t} = ct$, $l_p = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^3}}$ represents the Planck [8,9] length, and k_b denotes the Boltzmann constant. Furthermore $M_{cr,t} = \frac{c^2 R_{H_t}}{2G}$ is the time dependent critical Friedmann [10] mass.

Haug and Wojnow [11,12] have demonstrated that the following formula can be derived from the Stefan-Boltzmann law:

$$T_{cmb,t} = \frac{T_p}{8\pi} \sqrt{\frac{2l_p}{R_{H_t}}} \quad (2)$$

where $T_p = \sqrt{\frac{\hbar c^5}{G}} = \frac{m_p c^2}{k_b}$ is the Planck temperature. That Haug and Wojnow also demonstrated can be re-written into the Tatum et al formula.

Haug and Tatum [13] have indicated it also can be derived from geometric principles in a $R_h = ct$ type universe. See, for example, [14]. Haug [15] has demonstrated that the CMB temperature simply can be predicted as:

$$T_{cmb,t} = \sqrt{T_{max} T_{min,t}} \quad (3)$$

where $T_{max} = \frac{\hbar c^3}{k_b 8\pi G m_p} = \frac{\hbar c}{k_b 8\pi l_p}$ is the Hawking [16] temperature of a Planck mass black hole and $T_{min,t} = \frac{\hbar c^3}{k_b 8\pi G M_{bh}} = \frac{\hbar c}{k_b 4\pi R_{H_t}}$ is the Hawking temperature of the Hubble sphere, when $M_{bh} = M_{cr} = \frac{c^2 R_{H_t}}{2G}$ is the black hole mass equal to the critical Friedmann mass.

Equation 1, 2 and 3 are different ways to express the same. The most intuitive way is likely $T_{cmb,t} = \sqrt{T_{max} T_{min,t}}$ as it shows the CMB temperature is simply the geometric mean of the lowest and highest possible temperature in the universe, something that is consistent with the universe being a ideal heat engine (Carnot engine).

2. Derivation of CνB Temperature from the Stefan-Boltzmann Law

The Cosmic Neutrino Background temperature is assumed to be approximately 1.95K (see [17]). The cosmic microwave background (CMB) radiation spectrum is known to be that of a nearly perfect black body. This has been pointed out by for example Muller et al. [18]:

“Observations with the COBE satellite have demonstrated that the CMB corresponds to a nearly perfect black body, characterized by a temperature T_0 at $z = 0$, which is measured with very high accuracy, $T_0 = 2.72548 \pm 0.00057\text{K}$.”

It is natural to assume also the Neutrino background fits well the assumption of almost a perfect black body and thereby one should be able to use the Stefan-Boltzmann law for deriving the CνB temperature. From the Stefan-Boltzmann law, the Neutrino “luminosity” of the Hubble sphere must should be given by:

$$L_H = 4\pi R_H^2 \sigma T_\nu^4 \quad (4)$$

where T_ν is the Neutrino background temperature of the Hubble sphere. This should likely at least be a good first approximation as Neutrinos moves with almost indistinguishable speed to the speed of light so they behave like heat radiation.

Further the Hawking temperature of a Planck mass black hole must be as shown by Haug and Wojnow [11]:

$$T_{Hw,p} = \frac{\hbar g}{2\pi c k_b} = \frac{\hbar \frac{Gm_p}{r_s^2}}{2\pi c k_b} = \frac{\hbar c}{8\pi l_p k_b} = \frac{T_p}{8\pi} \quad (5)$$

We will assume Planck mass black holes are building blocks are linked to a hypothetical Planck mass particle that plays a central role in nature and cosmos, something discussed by Motz and Eppstein [19] as well as Haug [20]. The energy passing through a sphere with a radius equal to the Reissner-Nordström [21,22] extremal solution or the Haug Spavieri [23] minimal solution of a Planck mass black hole must be:

$$E = \frac{L_H}{4\pi r_h^2} \quad (6)$$

where $r_h = \frac{GM}{c^2} = \frac{1}{2}r_s$ is the extremal black hole event horizon. The radiant flux absorbed by the Planck mass black hole cross-section $\pi r_h^2 = \pi l_p^2$ is thus expressed as:

$$4\pi l_p^2 \sigma T_{Hw,p}^4 = \pi (2l_p)^2 E = 4\pi l_p^2 \frac{4\pi R_H^2 \sigma T_\nu^4}{4\pi l_p^2} = 4\pi R_H^2 \sigma T_\nu^4 \quad (7)$$

Solved for T_ν this gives:

$$\begin{aligned} T_\nu &= T_{Hw,p} \sqrt{\frac{l_p}{R_H}} \\ T_\nu &= \frac{T_p}{8\pi} \sqrt{\frac{l_p}{R_H}} = \frac{\hbar c}{8\pi k_b \sqrt{R_H l_p}} \approx 1.9336\text{K} \pm 0.0072\text{K}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

which is very close to what is also estimated by the standard cosmological model, as seen in [17,24,25], of about 1.95K. We have used a $H_0 = 67.4 \pm 0.5$ km/s/Mpc as given by the Particle Data Group (PDG)¹ in their Astrophysical Constants and Parameters. We have naturally also taken into account the uncertainty in the Planck length and used the NIST CODATA value of $l_p = (1.616255 \pm 0.000018) \times 10^{-35}$ m.

¹ <https://pdg.lbl.gov/2023/reviews/rpp2023-rev-astrophysical-constants.pdf>

However, unlike the CMB temperature [2,3,6], the CνB temperature has not been measured, as neutrinos interact so weakly with other particles that it is hard to measure, however it could be that we in the future are able to measure the CνB background temperature also.

3. Further Discussion

Equation (8) above is likely related to metrics such the Haug Spavieri metric and the extremal solutions off Kerr [26], and Kerr-Newman [27,28], as seen in [29]). The Haug-Spavieri metric as well as the extremal Reissner-Nordstrom metric leads to a total mass-energy in the observable universe given by:

$$M_u = \frac{c^2 R_H}{2G} + \frac{c^3 \Lambda R_H^2}{6G} = \frac{c^2 R_H}{G} \quad (9)$$

The first part, $\frac{c^2 R_H}{2G}$, is identical to the critical Friedmann mass. The second part is linked to relativistic gravitational energy and the cosmological constant ("Dark energy"), which has an exact value of $\Lambda = 3\left(\frac{H_t}{c}\right)^2 = \frac{3}{R_H^2}$. This latter part of the total mass represents relativistic gravitational energy, which can also be considered dark energy since only gravitational interactions from it are likely observable. We can also write the CνB temperature as:

$$T_\nu = \frac{\hbar c^3}{k_b 8\pi G \sqrt{M_u m_p}} = \hbar \frac{c}{\sqrt{R_H l_p}} \frac{1}{k_b 8\pi} = \frac{\hbar}{k_b 8\pi \sqrt{t_h t_p}} = \frac{\hbar \sqrt{H_0}}{k_b 8\pi \sqrt{t_p}} \approx 1.9336K \pm 0.0072K. \quad (10)$$

where M_u represents the Haug-Spavieri universe mass as well as the Reissner-Nordström extremal universe mass [29] given by $M_u = 2M_{cr} = \frac{c^2 R_t}{G}$, where $R_t = ct$. This is equivalent to the critical Friedmann mass plus 50% dark energy, which essentially constitutes relativistic gravitational energy interacting with matter. The Haug-Spavieri universe adheres to $R_H = ct$ and also to the Haug extremal universe. This naturally implies that the formula is applicable to any cosmic epoch. However, further investigation is warranted, both mathematically and experimentally, as each metric and argument used must be carefully investigated before one hastily draws conclusions.

4. Neutrino Density and Neutrino Density Parameter

We further predict that the density of the CνB radiation must be based on Planck's radiation law which should result in:

$$\rho_{\nu,t} = \frac{1}{c^2} \int_0^\infty h\nu n(\nu) d\nu = \frac{a_b T_{\nu,t}^4}{c^2} \quad (11)$$

where $a_b = \frac{4}{c} \sigma = \frac{\pi^2 k_b^4}{15c^3 \hbar^3}$ is the radiation constant.

Haug and Tatum [?] has demonstrated that the critical Friedmann density can be written as:

$$\rho_{cr,t} = \frac{3H_t^2}{8\pi G} = T_{cmb,t}^4 \frac{23040\pi}{c^3} \sigma \quad (12)$$

where $\sigma = a_b \frac{c}{4} = \frac{\pi^2 k_b^4}{60c^2 \hbar^3}$ is the Stefan-Boltzmann constant and $a_b = \frac{4}{c} \sigma$ is the radiation constant and $T_{cmb,t}$ is the CMB temperature. The neutrino density parameter is defined as $\Omega_\nu = \frac{\rho_\nu}{\rho_{cr}}$ so we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_\nu &= \frac{\rho_\nu}{\rho_{cr}} = \frac{\frac{\frac{4}{c} \sigma T_{\nu,t}^4}{c^2}}{T_{cmb,t}^4 \frac{23040\pi}{c^3} \sigma} \\ \Omega_\nu &= \frac{T_{\nu,t}^4}{T_{cmb,t}^4 5760\pi} \\ \Omega_\nu &= \frac{1}{23040\pi} \approx 1.38 \times 10^{-5} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

This means the Neutrino temperature after the neutrino decoupling can be written as (see also [30])

$$T_{\nu,t} = \hbar \frac{c}{\sqrt{R_{H_t} l_p}} \frac{1}{k_b 8\pi} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{3c^2 \Omega_\nu}{8\pi G a_b}} \frac{1}{t^{1/2}} \quad (14)$$

If we input $t = \frac{1}{H_0}$ and are using a $H_0 = 66.9 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ (see Haug and Tatum [31] for estimation of such a H_0) we get

$$T_{\nu,0} = \sqrt[4]{\frac{3c^2 \Omega_\nu}{8\pi G a_b}} \left(\frac{1}{H_0}\right)^{1/2} \approx 1.93\text{K} \quad (15)$$

Which is very close to the assumed CνB temperature in standard theory also [17], despite calculated from $R_{H_t} = ct$.

Equation (14) is not restricted only to a specific $R_{H_t} = ct$ model, but can be used to predict the CνB temperature also in other models that uses different assumptions and therefore have a different function or value for Ω_ν .

It is also interesting to note that we have:

$$\frac{\Omega_\nu}{\Omega_\gamma} = \frac{\rho_\nu}{\rho_\gamma} = \frac{5760\pi}{23040\pi} = \frac{1}{4} \quad (16)$$

An interesting question is why the CνB density would be exactly one fourth of the CMB density. If this is correct also in the real universe, what would be the physical explanation for this? We do not yet have the answer, but we encourage others to research this as well.

5. Further Discussion

The derivations above are based on certain assumptions, such as the metric solutions of Reissner–Nordström or the Haug–Spavieri metric. While the CMB temperature has been measured with high precision and aligns well with recent developments in CMB predictions, the CνB temperature remains highly uncertain from an observational standpoint. Therefore, theoretical models regarding it should remain open to revision. The uncertainty primarily lies in Ω_ν ; however, there is no such uncertainty in the model derived above, which yields an exact value of $\Omega_\nu = \frac{1}{23040\pi}$. Still, this result is based on assumptions that, with today's technology, are difficult to test due to the challenges involved in measuring the CνB temperature.

An interesting question is why the predicted neutrino and CMB energy densities are so close in value. Specifically, why do we find that the CνB density is exactly a quarter of the CMB density: $\frac{\rho_\nu}{\rho_\gamma} = \frac{1}{4}$. Could the CνB be more closely related to the CMB than is commonly assumed? This and many other questions warrant further investigation.

6. Conclusions

We have suggested a formula that links the CνB temperature and the Hubble constant (Hubble radius and Hubble time), as well as actually also linking the CνB to the CMB temperature, as we, based on the derivations above, must have the following relation: $\frac{T_\nu}{T_{cmb}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \approx 0.70711$ and further that $\frac{\rho_\nu}{\rho_\gamma} = \frac{1}{4}$, through the cosmic epochs of $R_{H_t} = ct$ cosmology. Furthermore, we have derived a CνB density parameter equal to $\Omega_\nu = \frac{1}{23040\pi} = \frac{1}{4}\Omega_\gamma$, valid for any time after neutrino decoupling inside $R_{H_t} = ct$ cosmology.

References

1. Y. Zhou. Methods of discovering new physics from the cosmic neutrino background. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 2381:012079, 2022. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/2381/1/012079>.
2. D. J. Fixsen and et. al. The temperature of the cosmic microwave background at 10 Ghz. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 612:86, 2004. URL <https://doi.org/10.1086/421993>.

3. D. J. Fixsen. The temperature of the cosmic microwave background. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 707:916, 2009. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/707/2/916>.
4. P. Noterdaeme, P. Petitjean, R. Srianand, C. Ledoux, and S. López. The evolution of the cosmic microwave background temperature. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 526, 2011. URL <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201016140>.
5. S. Dhal, S. Singh, K. Konar, and R. K. Paul. Calculation of cosmic microwave background radiation parameters using COBE/FIRAS dataset. *Experimental Astronomy* (2023), 56:715, 2023. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-023-09904-w>.
6. S. Dhal and R. K. Paul. Investigation on CMB monopole and dipole using blackbody radiation inversion. *Scientific Reports*, 13:3316, 2023. URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-023-30414-4>.
7. E. T. Tatum, U. V. S. Seshavatharam, and S. Lakshminarayana. The basics of flat space cosmology. *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 5:116, 2015. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ijaa.2015.52015>.
8. M. Planck. *Natuerliche Masseinheiten*. Der Königlich Preussischen Akademie Der Wissenschaften: Berlin, Germany, 1899. URL <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/93034#page/7/mode/1up>.
9. M. Planck. *Vorlesungen über die Theorie der Wärmestrahlung*. Leipzig: J.A. Barth, p. 163, see also the English translation "The Theory of Radiation" (1959) Dover, 1906.
10. A. Friedmann. Über die Krümmung des Raumes. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, 10:377, 1922. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01332580>.
11. E. G. Haug and S. Wojnow. How to predict the temperature of the CMB directly using the Hubble parameter and the Planck scale using the Stefan-Boltzmann law. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 12:3552, 2024. URL <https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2024.1210211>.
12. E. G. Haug. CMB, Hawking, Planck, and Hubble scale relations consistent with recent quantization of general relativity theory. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics, Nature-Springer*, 63(57), 2024. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-024-05570-6>.
13. E. G. Haug and E. T. Tatum. The Hawking Hubble temperature as a minimum temperature, the Planck temperature as a maximum temperature and the CMB temperature as their geometric mean temperature. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 12:3328, 2024. URL <https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2024.1210198>.
14. E. G. Haug and E. T. Tatum. Friedmann type equations in thermodynamical form lead to much tighter constraints on the energy density of the universe. *Discover Space*, 129:6, 2025. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11038-025-09566-y>.
15. E. G. Haug. The CMB temperature is simply the geometric mean: $T_{cmb} = \sqrt{T_{min}T_{max}}$ of the minimum and maximum temperature in the Hubble sphere. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 13:1085, 2025. URL <https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2025.134056>.
16. S. Hawking. Black hole explosions. *Nature*, 248, 1974. URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/248030a0>.
17. A. Faessler, R. Hodak, S. Kovalenko, and F. Simkovic. Search for the cosmic neutrino background. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 580:012040, 2015. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/580/1/012040>.
18. S. et al Muller. A precise and accurate determination of the cosmic microwave background temperature at $z = 0.89$. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 551, 2013. URL <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220613>.
19. L. Motz and J. Epstein. The gravitational charge $1/2\sqrt{\hbar c}$ as a unifying principle in physics. *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 51(1), 1979. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02822327>.
20. E. G. Haug. Collision space-time: Unified quantum gravity. *Physics Essays*, 33(1):46, 2020. URL <https://doi.org/10.4006/0836-1398-33.1.46>.
21. H. Reissner. Über die Eigengravitation des elektrischen Feldes nach der Einsteinschen Theorie. *Annalen der Physik*, 355:106, 1916. URL <https://doi.org/10.1002/andp.19163550905>.
22. G. Nordström. On the energy of the gravitation field in Einstein's theory. *Koninklijke Nederlandsche Akademie van Wetenschappen Proceedings*, 20:1238, 1918.
23. E. G. Haug and G. Spavieri. Mass-charge metric in curved spacetime. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 62:248, 2023. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-023-05503-9>.
24. Li Yu-Feng. Prospectives on direct detection of the cosmic neutrino background. *Journal of Physics: Conference Series*, 888:012146, 2017. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/888/1/012146>.
25. K. Akita and M. Yamaguchi. A review of neutrino decoupling from the early universe to the current universe. *Universe*, 8:552, 2022. URL <https://doi.org/10.3390/universe8110552>.
26. R. P. Kerr. Gravitational field of a spinning mass as an example of algebraically special metrics. *Physical Review Letters*, 11:237, 1963. URL <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.11.237>.

27. E. T. Newman and A. I. Janis. Note on the Kerr spinning-particle metric. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 6:915, 1965. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1704350>.
28. E. Newman, E. Couch, K. Chinnapared, A.; Exton, A. Prakash, and R. Torrence. Metric of a rotating, charged mass. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 6:918, 1965. URL <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.1704351>.
29. E. G. Haug. The extremal universe exact solution from Einstein's field equation gives the cosmological constant directly. *Journal of High Energy Physics, Gravitation and Cosmology*, 10:386, 2024. URL <https://doi.org/10.4236/jhepgc.2024.101027>.
30. E. G. Haug. The Gamow (1948) temperature formula, adjusted for the photon density parameter, predicts the cmb temperature now and in the past. *Cambridge University Press, Cambridge Engage, Physics and Astronomy*, 2025. URL <https://doi.org/10.33774/coe-2025-jlff7>.
31. E. G. Haug and E. T. Tatum. Solving the Hubble tension using the PantheonPlusSH0Es supernova database. *Journal of Applied Mathematics and Physics*, 13:593, 2025. URL <https://doi.org/10.4236/jamp.2025.132033>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.