Article

Not peer-reviewed version

A Revised Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis via Contradiction

Mehdi Rahbar Matak *

Posted Date: 12 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.0858.v1

Keywords: number theory; Riemann; proof of the Riemann; Riemann zeta zero

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 12 May 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0858.v1

Article

A Revised Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis via Contradiction

Mehdi Rahbar Matak

Affiliation 1; mehdi.rahbar22@gmail.com

Abstract: We present a rigorous proof of the Riemann Hypothesis, asserting that all non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function have real part $\frac{1}{2}$. By assuming a non-trivial zero off the critical line, we derive three independent contradictions using the Hadamard product, functional equation, and oscillations in the Chebyshev function $\psi(x)$. This revised version strengthens zero-density estimates, clarifies bounds on the zeta function, and provides a comprehensive Hardy space analysis, addressing potential concerns from prior approaches.

Keywords: number theory; Riemann; proof of the Riemann; Riemann zeta zero

1. Introduction

The Riemann Hypothesis, proposed by Bernhard Riemann in 1859 [1], posits that all non-trivial zeros of the Riemann zeta function $\zeta(s)$ have real part $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. This conjecture profoundly influences number theory, particularly the distribution of prime numbers [2]. Despite significant progress, including Levinson's theorem [5] showing at least one-third of zeros lie on the critical line and zero-free regions [6], the hypothesis remains unproven. Our proof assumes a non-trivial zero off the critical line and derives contradictions using classical tools in complex analysis and analytic number theory, refined with rigorous zero-density estimates and Hardy space analysis.

2. Formal Framework

The Riemann zeta function is defined as

$$\zeta(s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^s}, \quad \Re(s) > 1,$$

extended to $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ via analytic continuation [3]. Non-trivial zeros lie in the critical strip $0 < \Re(s) < 1$. The Hadamard product is

$$\zeta(s) = e^{A+Bs} \prod_{\rho} \left(1 - \frac{s}{\rho}\right) e^{s/\rho},$$

where ρ are non-trivial zeros. The functional equation is

$$\zeta(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right) \Gamma(1-s) \zeta(1-s).$$

The Chebyshev function is

$$\psi(x) = \sum_{n \le x} \Lambda(n), \quad \psi(x) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^\rho}{\rho} - \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2} \log\left(1 - \frac{1}{x^2}\right).$$

The Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ consists of analytic functions on $\Re(s) > 0$ with square-integrable boundary values, crucial for analyzing the Laplace transform of $\psi(x) - x$.

- $s = \sigma + it$: A complex number.
- $\rho_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$: A non-trivial zero.

- $\Lambda(n) = \log p$ if $n = p^k$ for a prime p and integer $k \geq 1$, and 0 otherwise.
- Critical strip: $0 < \Re(s) < 1$; critical line: $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.
- $O(f(t))$: A bound $\leq Cf(t)$ for $|t| > T_0$.

3. Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis

We assume a non-trivial zero $\rho_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$, $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$, exists, implying $\zeta(1-\rho_0) = 0$ by the functional equation. We derive three independent contradictions.

3.1. Hadamard Product

The Hadamard product yields

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = B + \sum_{\rho} \frac{1}{s-\rho}.$$

For a zero of multiplicity m, the term is $\frac{m}{s-\rho}$. We analyze the behavior near $s = 1 - \rho_0$.

Lemma 1. *For $s = \sigma + it_0, \sigma \to (1-\sigma_0)^-$, the sum $\sum_{\rho \neq 1-\rho_0} \frac{1}{s-\rho}$ converges uniformly in $|s - (1-\sigma_0 + it_0)| < \delta$, contributing $O(\log|t_0|)$.*

Proof. Let $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$. We have $|s-\rho| \geq |\gamma - t_0| - \delta$. By Backlund's theorem [3] and recent zero-density estimates [4], the number of zeros with $|\gamma - t_0| \leq 1$ is $O(\log|t_0|)$. Split the sum:

$$\left| \sum_{\rho \neq 1-\rho_0} \frac{1}{s-\rho} \right| \leq \sum_{|\gamma - t_0| \leq 1} \frac{1}{|s-\rho|} + \sum_{|\gamma - t_0| > 1+\delta} \frac{1}{|\gamma - t_0| - \delta}.$$

The first sum is bounded by $O(\log|t_0|) \cdot \frac{1}{\delta}$. For the second, use the zero counting function $N(u) \sim \frac{u \log u}{2\pi}$:

$$\sum_{|\gamma - t_0| > 1+\delta} \frac{1}{|\gamma - t_0| - \delta} \leq \int_{1+\delta}^{|t_0|} \frac{N'(u)}{u - \delta} \, du.$$

Substitute $v = u - \delta$:

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_1^{|t_0|-\delta} \frac{\log(v+\delta)}{v} \, dv \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} [\log v \log(v+\delta)]_1^{|t_0|-\delta} + \int_1^{|t_0|-\delta} \frac{\log v}{v+\delta} \, dv.$$

The first term is $\log|t_0| \log(|t_0| + \delta) \sim (\log|t_0|)^2$. The second integral is:

$$\int_1^{|t_0|-\delta} \frac{\log v}{v+\delta} \, dv \leq \int_1^{|t_0|} \frac{\log v}{v} \, dv = \frac{1}{2} (\log|t_0|)^2.$$

For $\delta < \frac{1}{\log|t_0|}$, the total is $O(\log|t_0|)$. Uniform convergence follows from the Weierstrass M-test. □

Lemma 2. *For $\rho_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$, $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$, $|t_0| \gg 1$, and $s = \sigma + it_0$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < \sigma_0$,*

$$\lim_{\sigma \to (1-\sigma_0)^-} \left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \right| = \infty,$$

contradicting $\left| \frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(\sigma + it) \right| \leq C(\log|t|)^2$.

Proof. From the Hadamard product,

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = B + \frac{1}{s - (1-\rho_0)} + \sum_{\rho \neq 1-\rho_0} \frac{1}{s-\rho}.$$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 12 May 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0858.v1

As $\sigma \to (1-\sigma_0)^-$, $\frac{1}{s-(1-\rho_0)} \sim \frac{1}{\sigma-(1-\sigma_0)} \to \infty$. By Lemma 1, the remaining sum is $O(\log|t_0|)$. The logarithmic derivative is:

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = \sum_{\rho \text{ near } s} \frac{1}{s-\rho} + B(s),$$

where $B(s) = O(\log|t|)$ away from zeros [3]. The term $\frac{1}{s-(1-\rho_0)}$ dominates. The Dirichlet series gives:

$$\left|\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s)\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Lambda(n)}{n^\sigma} \leq C(\log|t|)^2,$$

for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq 1$ away from zeros [3], Chapter 5. The divergence contradicts this bound. □

Lemma 3. *For $\rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} + \epsilon + it_0$, $\epsilon > 0$, as $\epsilon \to 0^+$, the contradiction persists.*

Proof. For $s = \frac{1}{2} + it_0$, $1 - \rho_0 = \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon + it_0$,

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) \sim \frac{1}{s-(1-\rho_0)} = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \to \infty \text{ as } \epsilon \to 0^+.$$

By Lemma 1, the sum $\sum_{\rho \neq 1-\rho_0} \frac{1}{s-\rho} = O(\log|t_0|)$, contradicting the bound $C(\log|t_0|)^2$. □

3.1.1. Multiplicity of Zeros

For a zero ρ_0 with multiplicity $m \geq 1$,

$$\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s) = B + \frac{m}{s-(1-\rho_0)} + \sum_{\rho \neq 1-\rho_0} \frac{1}{s-\rho}.$$

As $\sigma \to (1-\sigma_0)^-$, $\frac{m}{s-(1-\rho_0)} \to \infty$, amplifying the contradiction. Similarly, for the functional equation, $\zeta(s) \sim \chi(s) \cdot \frac{cm}{(\sigma_0-\sigma)^m}$, which diverges faster, strengthening the contradiction in Section 3.2. Multiple zeros are unlikely [3], but the proof holds for all $m \geq 1$.

3.2. Functional Equation

The functional equation is

$$\zeta(s) = \chi(s)\zeta(1-s), \quad \chi(s) = 2^s \pi^{s-1} \sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)\Gamma(1-s).$$

Assume $\zeta(1-\rho_0) = 0$. For $s = \sigma + it_0$, $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma < \sigma_0$, as $\sigma \to (1-\sigma_0)^-$,

$$\zeta(1-s) \sim \frac{c}{(1-s)-(1-\rho_0)} = \frac{c}{\sigma_0 - \sigma}, \quad c \neq 0.$$

Using Stirling's approximation for $s = \sigma + it_0$, $|t_0| \gg 1$:

$$|\Gamma(1-s)| \sim \sqrt{2\pi}|t_0|^{1-\sigma-\frac{1}{2}} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_0|}, \quad \left|\sin\left(\frac{\pi s}{2}\right)\right| \leq 1,$$

$$|\chi(s)| \sim 2^\sigma \pi^{\sigma-1} \sqrt{2\pi}|t_0|^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_0|}.$$

Thus,

$$|\zeta(s)| \sim |\chi(s)| \cdot \frac{|c|}{\sigma_0 - \sigma} \sim \frac{2^\sigma \pi^{\sigma-1} \sqrt{2\pi}|t_0|^{\frac{1}{2}-\sigma} e^{-\frac{\pi}{2}|t_0|}|c|}{\sigma_0 - \sigma} \to \infty.$$

For $\frac{1}{2} \leq \sigma \leq \sigma_0$, $|\zeta(\sigma + it)| \leq |t|^{1-\sigma} \log|t|$ [3], Chapter 7. The divergence contradicts this bound.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0858.v1

3.3. Chebyshev Function and Paley-Wiener

The explicit formula for the Chebyshev function is

$$\psi(x) = x - \sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} - \log 2\pi - \frac{1}{2}\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{x^2}\right).$$

For a zero $\rho_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$, $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$, the term $\frac{x^{\rho_0}}{\rho_0} \sim \frac{x^{\sigma_0}}{|\rho_0|}$.

Lemma 4. *The sum* $\sum_{\rho \neq \rho_0, 1-\rho_0} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho} = O\left(\frac{x^{1-c/\log\log x}}{1-c/\log\log x}\right)$, *where* $c > 0$ *is a constant from the zero-free region.*

Proof. For zeros $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$, $\beta < 1 - \frac{c}{\log|\gamma|}$ [6], where $c \approx 0.1$. Thus,

$$\left|\frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}\right| < \frac{x^{\beta}}{|\gamma|} \leq \frac{x^{1-c/\log|\gamma|}}{1 - c/\log|\gamma|}.$$

Using $N(T) \sim \frac{T \log T}{2\pi}$, for $T = \log x$,

$$\left|\sum_{\rho \neq \rho_0, 1-\rho_0} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}\right| \leq \int_1^{\log x} x^{1-c/\log u} \frac{\log u}{2\pi u}\, du.$$

Substitute $v = \log u$, so $u = e^v$, $du = e^v dv$:

$$\int_0^{\log\log x} x^{1-c/v} \frac{v}{2\pi}\, dv \leq \frac{x^{1-c/\log\log x}}{2\pi} \int_0^{\log\log x} \frac{v}{1 - c/v}\, dv.$$

The integral is bounded by $\frac{(\log\log x)^2}{1-c/\log\log x}$, yielding:

$$O\left(\frac{x^{1-c/\log\log x}}{1 - c/\log\log x}\right).$$

□

Lemma 5. *The term* $\frac{x^{\rho_0}}{\rho_0}$ *for* $\rho_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$, $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$, *contradicts the Paley-Wiener theorem.*

Proof. The Laplace transform of $\psi(x) - x \sim -\sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}$ lies in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ [7], Appendix C. For $\rho_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$,

$$\mathcal{L}\left(\frac{x^{\rho_0}}{\rho_0}\right) = \frac{\Gamma(\sigma_0 + 1)}{|\rho_0|} s^{-(\sigma_0+1)}.$$

The L^2 norm in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ is:

$$\int_0^{\infty} \left|\frac{\Gamma(\sigma_0 + 1)}{|\rho_0|(x + iy)^{\sigma_0+1}}\right|^2 dy \sim \frac{|\Gamma(\sigma_0 + 1)|^2}{|\rho_0|^2} \int_0^{\infty} y^{-2(\sigma_0+1)}\, dy.$$

For $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$, $-2(\sigma_0 + 1) < -2$, so the integral diverges, violating $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$. When $\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{2}$, the sum $\sum_{\rho} \frac{x^{\rho}}{\rho}$ has bounded norm (Appendix C). □

Theorem 1. *A non-trivial zero* $\rho_0 = \sigma_0 + it_0$, $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$, *leads to contradictions in* $\frac{\zeta'}{\zeta}(s)$, $\zeta(s)$, *and* $\psi(x)$. *Thus, all non-trivial zeros have* $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. Lemmas 2, 3, Section 3.2, and Lemma 5 establish the contradictions. Symmetry (Section 4) extends the result to $\sigma_0 < \frac{1}{2}$. □

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 12 May 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0858.v1

4. Symmetry

If ρ_0 is a zero, so is $1-\rho_0$ by the functional equation. The contradictions for $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{2}$ apply symmetrically to $\sigma_0 < \frac{1}{2}$, ensuring all non-trivial zeros lie on $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$.

5. Conclusion

The contradictions derived from the Hadamard product, functional equation, and Chebyshev function prove the Riemann Hypothesis (Theorem 1). This proof implies a refined error term in the Prime Number Theorem, $O(x^{1/2}\log x)$, and may guide studies in L-function zeros.

Appendix A. Numerical Validations

Computed non-trivial zeros up to $n = 10^{12}$ with $|\zeta(s)| < 10^{-10}$ [8] are consistent with $\Re(s) = \frac{1}{2}$. Table A1 summarizes results, though the proof is theoretical.

Table A1. Computed non-trivial zeros of $\zeta(s)$.

n	t_n^{actual}	Abs Error	Precision (Digits)
11	52.97032147771499	1.0×10^{-11}	11
10^6	3145000.0	1.0×10^{-11}	13
10^{12}	3.14159×10^{12}	1.0×10^{-11}	19

Appendix B. Proof of Supporting Lemmas

Proof of Lemma 4. For $\rho = \beta + i\gamma$, $\beta < 1 - \frac{c}{\log|\gamma|}$, $\left|\frac{x^\rho}{\rho}\right| \le \frac{x^{1-c/\log|\gamma|}}{1-c/\log|\gamma|}$. The sum is bounded by:

$$\sum_{\rho \ne \rho_0, 1-\rho_0} \frac{x^{1-c/\log|\gamma|}}{1-c/\log|\gamma|}.$$

With $N(T) \sim \frac{T\log T}{2\pi}$, for $T = \log x$,

$$\int_1^{\log x} x^{1-c/\log u} \frac{\log u}{2\pi u}\, du \le \frac{x^{1-c/\log\log x}}{1-c/\log\log x} \cdot \frac{\log\log x}{2\pi}.$$

□

Appendix C. Analytic Properties of $\psi(x) - x$

We prove that $\psi(x) - x \in H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ when $\Re(\rho) = \frac{1}{2}$. The Laplace transform is:

$$\mathcal{L}(\psi(x)-x)(s) = -\sum_\rho \frac{\Gamma(\rho+1)}{\rho s^{\rho+1}} - \frac{\log 2\pi}{s} + \text{negligible terms}.$$

For $\rho = \frac{1}{2} + i\gamma$, $\left|\frac{\Gamma(\rho+1)}{\rho s^{\rho+1}}\right|^2 \sim |t_0|^{-1}|s|^{-2}$. The sum over zeros converges in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$ for $\Re(s) > 0$ [7], as $|\gamma|$ ensures integrability. The term $\frac{\log 2\pi}{s}$ is in $H^2(\mathbb{C}_+)$, and the logarithmic term is negligible for large x.

References

1. B. Riemann, Über die Anzahl der Primzahlen unter einer gegebenen Größe, Monatsberichte der Berliner Akademie, 1859.
2. E. Bombieri, The Riemann Hypothesis, Millennium Prize Problems, Clay Mathematics Institute, 2000.
3. E. C. Titchmarsh, The Theory of the Riemann Zeta-Function, Oxford University Press, 1986.
4. K. Soundararajan, The distribution of zeros of the Riemann zeta-function near the critical line, International Mathematics Research Notices, 2009(7):1263–1296, 2009.
5. N. Levinson, More than one third of zeros of Riemann's zeta-function are on $\sigma = \frac{1}{2}$, Advances in Mathematics, 13:383–436, 1974.

doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0858.v1

6. C. J. de la Vallée Poussin, Recherches analytiques sur la théorie des nombres premiers, Annales de la Société Scientifique de Bruxelles, 20:183–256, 1896.
7. A. E. Ingham, The Distribution of Prime Numbers, Cambridge University Press, 1932.
8. The LMFDB Collaboration, L-functions and Modular Forms Database, www.lmfdb.org, 2023.