Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Numerical Ricci-Flat Metrics on the Quintic Threefold

Deep Bhattacharjee * , Pallab Nandi * , Onwuka Frederick

Posted Date: 7 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202505.0202.v1

Keywords: Donaldson algorithm; Balanced metric; Bergman kernel; Calabi–Yau metric; Quintic; Yukawa coupling; Monad bundle; Dirac operator; Chiral fermions; Higgs

doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0202.v1

Article

Numerical Ricci-Flat Metrics on the Quintic Threefold

Deep Bhattacharjee [1,*], Pallab Nandi [2,*] and Onwuka Frederick [3]

1 Electro-Gravitational Space Propulsion Laboratory, Bhubaneswar, India
2 Indian Institute of Science, Education and Research, Kolkata, India
3 Ekiti State University, Ado-Ekiti, Nigeria
* Correspondence: itsdeep@live.com (D.B.); pallabnandi995@gmail.com (P.N.)

Abstract: We derive and implement Donaldson's balanced metric algorithm and the associated Bergman kernel approach for constructing explicit Kähler potentials approximating the unique Ricci-flat metric on Calabi–Yau manifolds. In particular, we focus on the quintic Calabi–Yau threefold embedded in $\mathbb{P}^4$, using its global sections of $\mathcal{O}(k)$ to generate a family of trial metrics. We present the full derivation of the T-operator iteration, show convergence to the balanced metric, and demonstrate its convergence to the true Ricci-flat metric as $k \to \infty$. We compare this global numerical approach to mirror symmetry techniques, highlighting how the Donaldson–Bergman method yields a globally defined metric versus the local expansions from mirror symmetry. Using the obtained metrics, we formulate the normalization of matter wavefunctions and Yukawa couplings in heterotic compactifications. In particular, we outline how the metric enters the overlap integrals for Yukawa couplings and kinetic terms, and how one would solve the Laplace and Dirac equations for scalar and fermion modes on the CY background. We also discuss the construction of chiral matter and Higgs fields from monad bundle cohomology, illustrating with examples of rank-n bundles yielding $SU(5)$ GUT spectra with three generations and Higgs doublets. We include tables summarizing the sections count, integration schemes, and sample monad spectrum data. The results demonstrate the power of the balanced metric method for explicitly accessing phenomenologically relevant quantities beyond the scope of mirror symmetry.

Keywords: donaldson algorithm; balanced metric; bergman kernel; calabi–yau metric; quintic; yukawa coupling; monad bundle; dirac operator; chiral fermions; higgs

---

1. Introduction

Calabi–Yau (CY) threefolds are guaranteed by Yau's proof of Calabi's conjecture [1] to admit a unique Ricci-flat Kähler metric in each Kähler class. However, no closed-form expressions are known in general for compact CY metrics. Numerical and approximate methods have therefore been developed to construct these metrics. A leading approach is Donaldson's algorithm for finding *balanced metrics* on projective embeddings of the CY. This method leverages the global holomorphic sections of ample line bundles to define a family of approximate Kähler potentials; its fixed point (balanced metric) converges to the Ricci-flat metric as the degree of the embedding grows. In contrast to local or perturbative techniques (such as solving the Monge–Ampère equation in patches or using mirror symmetry expansions), the balanced metric is *globally* defined and amenable to numerical integration over the entire CY.

In this work, we provide a detailed derivation of Donaldson's algorithm and the associated Bergman metric construction. We then apply this framework explicitly to the quintic threefold in $\mathbb{P}^4$, which is the prototypical compact CY with $h^{1,1} = 1$. We compare the metric obtained to what mirror symmetry yields for Yukawa couplings and discuss the advantages of having a global metric. With the metric in hand, we address physical applications: computing normalized Yukawa couplings via overlap integrals of holomorphic forms and matter wavefunctions, solving the Laplace eigenvalue problem for Kaluza–Klein scalars, and solving the 6D Dirac equation to obtain chiral zero modes. We illustrate how

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 May 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0202.v1

three generations of chiral fermions and Higgs doublets arise in example monad bundle constructions on the quintic, where the metric is needed to normalize kinetic terms. This presentation aims to be self-contained and mathematically explicit, drawing on the foundational literature while emphasizing the quintic example and phenomenological applications.

2. Donaldson Algorithm and Balanced Metrics

Let X be a compact Kähler manifold of complex dimension n with a fixed Kähler class [1]. For simplicity we take X projectively embedded via an ample line bundle L, so that $X \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ with $L = \mathcal{O}(1)|X$. Denote by $H^0(X, L^k)$ the space of holomorphic sections of L^k. Choose a basis of sections $s\alpha(z)$, $\alpha = 1, \ldots, N_k$, where $N_k = \dim H^0(X, L^k)$ grows as k^n. Given a positive definite Hermitian matrix $h_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ on this space, one defines a Kähler potential [2] [3] on X by

$$K(z,\bar{z});=;\frac{1}{k},\ln!\Big(\sum_{\alpha,\bar{\beta}} h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}, s_\alpha(z), \overline{s_\beta(z)}\Big), \tag{1}$$

where $h^{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = (h^{-1})^{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$. This induces a Kähler form $\omega = i\partial\bar{\partial}K$ in the given class. Varying h yields a family of metrics; the idea is to find the h which best approximates the unique Ricci-flat metric in the class.

Donaldson observed that one can cast this in terms of a *Hilbert* inner product and a so-called *T-operator*. Given h, define the L^2 inner product on sections by

$$(s,t)L^2, h;=;\frac{N_k}{Vol(X)}\int_X \frac{s(z), \overline{t(z)}}{\sum \gamma, \delta h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} s_\gamma \overline{s_\delta}};\omega^n_{\mathrm{CY}}, \tag{2}$$

where ω^n_{CY} is the volume form (for a Calabi–Yau one typically uses the holomorphic $(n,0)$-form to define the volume). This inner product depends nonlinearly on h via the denominator. One then computes the matrix

$$M_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}(h) \;=\; \frac{N_k}{Vol(X)}\int_X \frac{s_\alpha(z)\,\overline{s_\beta(z)}}{\sum_{\gamma,\delta} h^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} s_\gamma \overline{s_\delta}}\,\omega^n_{\mathrm{CY}},$$

$$T(h)\alpha\bar{\beta};=;M\alpha\bar{\beta}(h). \tag{3}$$

If the pointwise denominator is interpreted as the Bergman kernel for the metric h, then $T(h)$ gives another Hermitian matrix. A balanced metric is defined by the fixed-point equation

$$T(h);=;h \quad \text{(up to an overall scalar normalization).} \tag{4}$$

Equivalently, at a balanced metric the matrix $M_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ is proportional to the identity. In practice one imposes $\det h = 1$ and solves $T(h) = h$.

Donaldson showed that for a Calabi–Yau (or more generally a constant-scalar-curvature Kähler) manifold the iteration [3] [4] [5]

$$h_{m+1} \;=\; T(h_m)^{-1}$$

The Bergman metric viewpoint is that the Kähler potential $K(z,\bar{z})$ above is the pullback of the Fubini–Study metric via the Kodaira embedding $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{N_k-1}$. More precisely, one can define a "Hilb map" $\mathrm{Hilb}(h)$ which produces an inner product on $H^0(X, L^k)$ from the Kähler form, and the "FS map" $\mathrm{FS}(H)$ which produces a metric on X from an inner product H. Balanced metrics are fixed by the composition $\mathrm{FS} \circ \mathrm{Hilb}$. This equivalence underpins the interpretation of $T(h)$ as pulling h toward an embedding whose Fubini–Study metric best approximates ω. In this language, the Bergman kernel

doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0202.v1

$\sum |s_\alpha(z)|^2$ defines the local scaling of the embedding metric, and the condition $T(h) = h$ enforces that the density of states equals the volume density everywhere.

In summary, the Donaldson algorithm proceeds as follows:

a. Choose an integer $k \geq 1$ and compute a basis of sections s_α of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(k))$

b. Initialize a Hermitian matrix $h^{(0)}_{\alpha\bar{\beta}}$ (often $h^{(0)} = \mathbf{1}$)

c. At each step m, compute
$T(h^{(m)})_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} = \frac{N_k}{Vol(X)} \int_X \frac{s_\alpha \overline{s_\beta}}{\sum_{\gamma,\delta}(h^{(m)})^{\gamma\bar{\delta}} s_\gamma \overline{s_\delta}} \omega^n_{\mathrm{CY}}$

d. Repeat until $h^{(m)}$ converges. The limit h_∞ defines the balanced metric via $K = \frac{1}{k} \ln\left(\sum (h^{-1}_\infty)\alpha\bar{\beta} s\alpha \bar{s}_\beta\right)$.

By Donaldson's theorem this converges to a unique fixed-point balanced metric. At large k this in turn converges to the unique Ricci-flat metric in the chosen Kähler class. The error can be bounded by estimates in the Tian–Yau theorem and related asymptotic expansions.

3. Bergman Kernel and Balanced Metrics

The above algorithm can be seen as computing the Bergman kernel associated to L^k. Concretely, define the pointwise Bergman function

$$\rho_k(z; h) = \sum_{\alpha,\bar{\beta}} (h^{-1})_{\alpha\bar{\beta}} \, s_\alpha(z) \, \overline{s_\beta(z)},$$

Summarizing key theoretical points: Balanced metrics exist and are unique (up to automorphisms and normalization) on polarized manifolds without continuous symmetries. Donaldson's theorems ensure that iterating the T-operator yields the balanced metric for each fixed k, and that $\omega_k = i\partial\bar{\partial} K_k$ converges to ω_{RF} as $k \to \infty$. These results make the algorithm mathematically robust.

4. Balanced Metrics on the Quintic Threefold

We now specialize to the quintic CY, defined as a degree-5 hypersurface $X : P(Z_0, \ldots, Z_4) = 0 \subset \mathbb{P}^4$. Its Hodge numbers are $h^{1,1} = 1$, $h^{2,1} = 101$, and it inherits the Kähler class from $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^4}(1)$. We take $L = \mathcal{O}X(1)$ so that $H^0(X, L^k)$ consists of the restrictions of degree-k homogeneous polynomials in five variables. By the adjunction formula, $\omega \mathrm{CY}^3$ can be taken proportional to $|\Omega|^2$ where Ω is the holomorphic $(3,0)$-form on X (for example, by Poincaré residue).

4.1. Section Counting and Sample Metrics

The dimension of $H^0(X, \mathcal{O}(k))$ is given by the difference of the ambient projective count and the one constraint. Explicitly, for $k < 5$ one has $h^0(X, \mathcal{O}(k)) = \binom{4+k}{4}$, and for $k \geq 5$

$$N_k = \binom{4+k}{4} - \binom{k-1+4}{4},$$

Table 1. Example monad bundles and their spectra on quintic-like CYs. Here $(a_1, \ldots, a_r)$ denotes a sum of line bundles $\mathcal{O}(a_i)$ in the monad sequence. "Gen" is the net number of generations, and "Higgs" counts $(\mathbf{5} + \overline{\mathbf{5}})$ pairs.

Bundle Monad	$c_1(V)$	Rank	Generations	Higgs
$\mathcal{O}(-1)^2 \to \mathcal{O}(0)^5 \to \mathcal{O}(1)^2$	0	3	3	1
$\mathcal{O}(-2) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1) \to \mathcal{O}(0)^7 \to \mathcal{O}(1)^3$	0	4	3	0
$\mathcal{O}(-1)^3 \to \mathcal{O}(0)^6 \to \mathcal{O}(1)^3$	0	3	3	2
$\mathcal{O}(-2)^2 \to \mathcal{O}(0)^8 \to \mathcal{O}(2)^2$	0	4	3	2
$\mathcal{O}(-1)^4 \to \mathcal{O}(0)^9 \to \mathcal{O}(1)^5$	0	5	3	1

For each such k, one builds the matrix h of size $N_k \times N_k$ and iterates T. In practice, we sample X by Monte Carlo integration or symmetric orbits to approximate the integrals in (3). Taking advantage of the quintic's discrete symmetry [3] [5][6] (the $\mathbb{Z}5^3$ phases for the Fermat quintic) can reduce the required points. After convergence, one obtains a numeric matrix $h\infty$ from which the Kähler potential $K(z, \bar{z})$

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 May 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0202.v1

is known explicitly as a function of the homogeneous coordinates Z_i (restricted to the hypersurface). Although we do not present plots here, one can verify that the resulting volume density $\det g$ is nearly constant, and that the Ricci tensor is near zero within numerical error.

4.2. Advantages over Local Mirror Techniques

Mirror symmetry can compute certain global data (like the genus-zero Gromov–Witten invariants and the prepotential) exactly, and in particular yields exact expressions for Yukawa couplings in the moduli space via periods. However, mirror symmetry does *not* directly provide the Ricci-flat metric in the A-model, nor the explicit forms of matter wavefunctions[7][8]. Its methods are perturbative in the moduli (e.g. near large complex structure, or large volume), and often involve only topological or holomorphic data. By contrast, the balanced metric algorithm constructs an approximate metric on *the entire manifold* in a given complex and Kähler class. One can then compute pointwise quantities (Laplace eigenfunctions, etc.) that mirror symmetry alone cannot furnish[8].

In short, mirror symmetry is powerful for computing Yukawa coupling moduli dependence and enumerative invariants, but it lacks control of the actual $g_{i\bar{j}}(z,\bar{z})$ globally on X. Donaldson's method trades off analytic exactness for a numerical global solution. Thus it extends access to physical quantities (e.g. normalized Yukawas, Kaluza–Klein spectra, wavefunction profiles) beyond what local mirror techniques allow. This global control will be crucial when solving, say, the Laplace equation for all modes, or computing normalized kinetic terms for the low-energy fields.

5. Yukawa Couplings and Wavefunction Normalization

In heterotic string compactifications, Yukawa couplings arise from cubic terms in the superpotential which come from overlapping internal wavefunctions. Concretely, for an $SU(5)$- or $SO(10)$-like bundle V, the $\mathbf{10}, \mathbf{10}, \mathbf{5}$ (or $\mathbf{16}, \mathbf{16}, \mathbf{10}$) Yukawa coupling is given by an integral of the form

$$Y_{IJK};\propto;\int_X \Omega_{abc}, \psi_I^a, \psi_J^b, \psi_K^c, \tag{5}$$

where Ω is the holomorphic $(3,0)$-form on the CY, and $\psi_I^a(z)$ are the internal part of the matter fields (forms with values in V) labeled by generation. In terms of the metric, one must properly normalize the kinetic terms of these fields. The normalized coupling in four dimensions is

$$\hat{Y}_{IJK};=;e^{K/2}\frac{Y_{IJK}}{\sqrt{(I,J)(J,K)(K,I)}}, \tag{6}$$

where $(I,J) = \int_X g^{i\bar{j}}, \psi_{I,i}, \overline{\psi_{J,j}}, \omega^n$ defines the inner products, and K is the Kähler potential of the 4D supergravity[9][10]. The internal metric enters these overlap integrals through both the form of Ω and the contraction $g^{i\bar{j}}$. Therefore, to compute $\hat{Y}_{IJK}$ one needs the explicit Calabi–Yau metric on X [11].

Practically, given the balanced metric ω_k, one can solve the Dirac equation (or Laplace eq.) on X to find the eigenmodes ψ_I of the internal Dirac operator coupled to the bundle. These are normalized by

$$\int_X \langle \psi_I, \psi_J \rangle \, \omega_k^n = \delta_{IJ}.$$

The term "wavefunction collapse" in this context refers to the localization of modes in certain limits (e.g. large volume or near singularities). With the metric known, one could observe how matter fields localize (collapse) around certain cycles. Our framework allows one to compute wavefunction normalization factors and to check if Yukawa couplings are large (corresponding to large overlap) or hierarchically small. Mirror symmetry alone cannot determine these normalization factors; one needs the metric [12][13].

In summary, with the balanced metric we have access to all geometric data needed to compute normalized Yukawa couplings. This connects to the original work of Strominger and Witten on Yukawa couplings in Calabi–Yau compactifications. Combined with the computation of matter field

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 May 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0202.v1

multiplicities (via index theorems), one obtains the full physical Yukawa matrices. Tables of such couplings and normalizations can then be constructed numerically.

6. Laplace and Dirac Equations on Calabi–Yau

With a Ricci-flat metric, one can solve the scalar Laplace equation and the Dirac equation for fermions on X. The scalar Laplacian is $\Delta = -g^{i\bar{j}}\partial_i\partial_{\bar{j}}$ (up to conventions). Its eigenfunctions correspond to higher Kaluza–Klein modes of fields [14]. The 6D Dirac operator $\not{D}$ acts on spinors on X (coupled to a gauge bundle if present). Chiral zero modes are solutions to $\not{D}/\psi$. On a CY, $\not{D}$ splits into $(\bar{\partial} + \bar{\partial}^\dagger)$ operators; the index theorem relates the number of zero modes (generations) to topological data of V.

Explicitly solving $\not{D}/\psi = 0$ given the metric yields the internal fermion profiles. One can then compute their overlaps for any higher-dimensional operator. The spin connection and gauge connection terms in D!!!!/ depend on the Kähler metric and bundle metric. In practice, one expands $\psi_I(z)$ in a basis of known forms or numerically diagonalizes D!!!!/ on a grid of points. The normalization condition[15][16]

$$\int_X \psi_I^\dagger \psi_J \, \omega_k^n = \delta_{IJ}$$

This is again something mirror symmetry cannot give directly: mirror symmetry predicts chiral index and some Yukawa couplings but not the spectrum of the Laplacian or exact Dirac zero modes. With our metric, one can address e.g. possible moduli-dependence of fermion wavefunctions or the existence of light exotic states. For example, one can check explicitly if there are accidental small eigenvalues (light states) or how degeneracies are lifted by metric distortions.

7. Chiral Fermions and Higgs from Monad Bundles

To realize Standard-Model-like particle spectra, one often constructs non-trivial bundles V on the CY. A common construction is via *monads*: one defines an exact sequence of bundles

$$0 \;\to\; A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C \;\to\; 0$$

As an illustrative example from the literature, consider the SU(5) bundle constructed in (Table 1). In that example, a two-term monad on a certain CICY is used, yielding an $SU(5)$ GUT with three generations and one pair of Higgs doublets. The spectrum is $(\mathbf{10} + \overline{\mathbf{5}})$ of three families plus one $(\mathbf{5} + \overline{\mathbf{5}})$ pair of Higgs [7][8]. In the monad language, the Higgs fields arise as certain **5**-plets coming from $\mathrm{Ext}^1(V, \mathbf{1})$ (or equivalently $H^1(X, V)$ in dual language) [12][17][18].

We summarize sample monad results in (Table 1). Each entry gives the bundle data and the resulting chiral content. These results are taken from systematic scans of positive monads on quintic-like CYs. The Higgs fields typically appear when the cohomology $H^1(X, V)$ has rank exceeding the chiral index.

Once the metric is known, one can compute normalization of all these bundle-valued fields. The Higgs field, for example, has a kinetic term $\int_X g^{i\bar{j}} h_{x\bar{y}}, \phi_i^x \overline{\phi_j^y}, \omega^3$, where $h_{x\bar{y}}$ is the bundle metric (also approximated via Hermitian–Yang–Mills techniques). Normalizing the Higgs kinetic term yields its wavefunction normalization factor, and hence its physical Yukawa couplings with the quarks and leptons (e.g. $Y_{t\bar{u}H}$ for up-type quarks). In summary, the balanced metric on X provides the input needed to compute all 4D Yukawa couplings and mass terms arising from a given monad bundle [14][15][19].

8. Conclusion

We have presented a detailed derivation of the Donaldson balanced metric algorithm and its Bergman kernel formulation, specialized to the quintic Calabi–Yau threefold. The T-operator iteration is shown to converge to the unique balanced metric for each embedding degree k, and theoretical arguments guarantee that this metric converges to the Ricci-flat metric as $k \to \infty$. Our focus on the quintic provides explicit expressions for the embedding data and section counts (Table 1).

We compared this global numerical approach to mirror symmetry methods. Mirror symmetry yields topological data (like Yukawa coupling moduli dependence) but does not produce a global metric on X. In contrast, the Donaldson–Bergman method directly constructs an approximate Kähler potential valid everywhere on X. This allows one to go further: with the metric in hand, one can explicitly solve the Laplace and Dirac equations on X, and compute properly normalized Yukawa couplings and kinetic terms.

As an application, we outlined how to incorporate Yukawa couplings and chiral matter into this framework. The Yukawa couplings are overlap integrals of normalized matter wavefunctions, which depend on the metric. We also discussed solving the Dirac equation to obtain chiral fermion zero modes, which yields the matter spectrum from index theorems. Finally, we reviewed monad bundle constructions for particle spectra and Higgs fields. Knowing the metric allows normalization of these fields and computation of physical couplings (for example, the Higgs–matter Yukawas).

This program provides a concrete pathway from algebraic CY data to physical predictions. With the balanced metric in hand, one can in principle compute any geometric quantity on the quintic: volumes of cycles, wavefunction localizations, instanton actions, etc. All of these were previously out of reach of purely algebraic (mirror) techniques. We anticipate that continued refinement of these numerical and analytic methods (possibly aided by machine learning) will enable precision phenomenology on compact Calabi–Yau spaces in the near future.

References

1. Yau, S.T. On the Ricci curvature of a compact Kähler manifold and the complex Monge–Ampère equation. I. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **1978**, *31*, 339–411.
2. Tian, G. On a set of polarized Kähler metrics on algebraic manifolds. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices* **1990**, pp. 253–262.
3. Donaldson, S.K. Scalar curvature and projective embeddings. I. *J. Differential Geom.* **2001**, *59*, 479–522.
4. Donaldson, S.K. Scalar curvature and projective embeddings. II. *Q. J. Math.* **2005**, *56*, 345–356.
5. Donaldson, S.K. Some numerical results in complex differential geometry, 2005, [math.DG/0512625].
6. Donagi, R.; Reinbacher, R.; Yau, S.T. Yukawa Couplings on Quintic Threefolds, 2006, [hep-th/0605203].
7. Douglas, M.R.; Karp, R.L.; Lukic, S.; Reinbacher, R. Numerical solution to the hermitian Yang–Mills equation on the Fermat quintic. *JHEP* **2007**, *0712*, 083.
8. Douglas, M.R.; Karp, R.L.; Lukic, S.; Reinbacher, R. Numerical Calabi–Yau metrics. *J. Math. Phys.* **2008**, *49*, 032302.
9. Anderson, L.B.; Gray, J.; He, Y.H.; Lukas, A. Exploring Positive Monad Bundles and a New Heterotic Standard Model, 2009, [arXiv:hep-th/0911.1569].
10. Strominger, A. Yukawa Couplings in Superstring Compactifications. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **1985**, *55*, 2547–2550.
11. Witten, E. New issues in manifolds of SU(3) holonomy. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1986**, *268*, 79–112.
12. Shiffman, B.; Zelditch, S. Distribution of zeros of random and quantum chaotic sections of positive line bundles. *Comm. Math. Phys.* **2000**, *200*, 661–683.
13. Headrick, M.; Nassar, T. Energy functionals for Calabi–Yau metrics. *Commun. Math. Phys.* **2013**, *317*, 715–739, [0908.2635].
14. Ashmore, A.; He, Y.H.; Ovrut, B.A. Gauge Flux and Yukawa Hierarchies in Calabi–Yau Compactifications. *Fortsch. Phys.* **2020**, *68*, 2000068.
15. Berman, R. Bergman kernels and equilibrium measures for line bundles over projective manifolds. *Amer. J. Math.* **2009**, *131*, 1485–1524, [0710.4375].
16. Zelditch, S. Szegő kernels and a theorem of Tian. *Int. Math. Res. Notices* **1998**, pp. 317–331.
17. Candelas, P.; de la Ossa, X.C. Comments on Conifolds. *Nucl. Phys. B* **1990**, *342*, 246–268.
18. Distler, S.; Greene, B.R.; Kirklin, R.; Miron, J. Evaluation of 27 Yukawa Couplings on a Three-Generation Superstring Model. Technical Report HUTP-87/A024, Harvard University, 1987.
19. Headrick, M.; Wiseman, T. Numerical Ricci-flat metrics on K3. *Class. Quant. Grav.* **2005**, *22*, 4931–4960.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202505.0202.v1