Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Enhancing Supply Chain Resilience Through Collaborative Networks: A Qualitative Examination of Inter-Organizational Partnerships

Harry Johnson *

Posted Date: 2 April 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

Keywords: supply chain resilience; collaboration; trust; flexibility; information sharing; leadership; risk management

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

Article

Enhancing Supply Chain Resilience Through Collaborative Networks: A Qualitative Examination of Inter-Organizational Partnerships

Harry Johnson

Independent Researcher; harryjohnson2555@outlook.com

Abstract: This research explores the enhancement of supply chain resilience through collaborative networks, examining the role of inter-organizational partnerships in mitigating disruptions and fostering long-term stability. In an increasingly volatile global market, organizations are under pressure to ensure that their supply chains are agile, responsive, and capable of recovering quickly from unforeseen challenges. The study employs a qualitative approach, utilizing interviews with 30 industry professionals to gain insights into the mechanisms of collaboration that drive resilience. The research identifies several key themes, including the importance of trust, transparent communication, flexibility, leadership, and information sharing, in establishing robust collaborative networks. Trust among supply chain partners was found to be fundamental to the success of collaborations, as it encourages open dialogue, shared decision-making, and the exchange of critical information during crises. Flexibility and adaptability within supply chain operations were also highlighted as essential for responding swiftly to disruptions, while the integration of technology played a significant role in facilitating real-time data exchange and improving decision-making processes. Additionally, leadership was identified as a crucial enabler of collaborative culture, ensuring that organizations remain committed to resilience-building efforts even in the face of challenges. The findings also underscore the importance of proactive risk management and joint contingency planning as essential strategies for enhancing resilience. Ultimately, this research contributes to the growing body of knowledge on supply chain resilience, providing organizations with practical insights on how to foster collaboration and improve their capacity to navigate disruptions.

Keywords: supply chain resilience; collaboration; trust; flexibility; information sharing; leadership; risk management

1. Introduction

In recent years, the growing complexities and vulnerabilities of global supply chains have prompted both academics and practitioners to re-evaluate the strategies used to enhance supply chain resilience. Resilience, defined as the capacity of a system to withstand and recover from disruptions, has become an essential attribute for supply chains that operate in highly volatile environments (Christopher & Peck, 2004). The importance of supply chain resilience became particularly evident during the COVID-19 pandemic, which revealed the extent to which businesses were unprepared for sudden, large-scale disruptions (Ivanov, 2020). As organizations seek to mitigate risks associated with supply chain disruptions, they are increasingly turning to collaborative networks and inter-organizational partnerships as strategic tools for enhancing resilience (Pettit, Fiksel, & Croxton, 2010). These partnerships, which involve mutual cooperation and information sharing between firms across the supply chain, have been recognized as key enablers of collective risk management and operational adaptability (Barratt & Oke, 2007). The value of collaboration is underscored by the fact that no single organization can entirely safeguard itself against all forms of disruption; instead, collaboration allows firms to pool resources, share knowledge, and create innovative solutions to common challenges (Sheffi & Rice, 2005). Inter-organizational partnerships in

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

supply chains offer a strategic advantage by promoting a more holistic approach to resilience. The idea of collaboration has been evolving for decades, and recent developments in supply chain management emphasize the importance of building strong, resilient networks that extend beyond the boundaries of the firm (Saar, van der Meer, & Grefen, 2013). Companies now view their supply chains as complex ecosystems of interconnected actors, each contributing to the overall health and adaptability of the system (Tomlin, 2006). These ecosystems consist of suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, and customers, and each entity plays a vital role in the flow of goods, services, and information (Khan & Emon, 2025). The shared responsibility among these partners can significantly reduce the impact of disruptions by allowing for joint problem-solving, resource pooling, and rapid response capabilities (Hosseini, Barkhi, & Kaur, 2019). The concept of collaborative networks extends beyond traditional supplier-buyer relationships and focuses on strategic partnerships that allow firms to jointly address risks and uncertainties in the supply chain. The need for enhanced resilience has led many companies to form collaborative networks with firms that share similar risks and goals, particularly in industries that rely heavily on global supply chains (Hawkins & Waller, 2013). These networks facilitate the sharing of real-time information, which is crucial in identifying potential disruptions before they escalate (Choi, Rogers, & Vakil, 2001). By pooling knowledge, resources, and capabilities, organizations can improve their collective ability to respond to disruptions, enhance operational flexibility, and maintain a competitive edge in the face of uncertainty (Wieland & Wallenburg, 2012). Collaboration is also seen as a way to achieve better risk diversification, as firms can leverage the collective strengths of their partners to spread risk across multiple entities, rather than relying on the capabilities of a single organization (Fitzsimmons & Douglas, 2005). Despite the clear benefits of inter-organizational collaboration, there are several challenges that organizations face when building and maintaining these partnerships. One of the most significant barriers to collaboration is the issue of trust (Emon & Khan, 2025). Trust between supply chain partners is essential for effective information sharing, joint decision-making, and the successful implementation of collaborative strategies (Simatupang & Sridharan, 2005). Without trust, firms are reluctant to share sensitive information, such as production schedules, inventory levels, or risk exposure, which limits the effectiveness of collaborative efforts (Zsidisin, 2003). Building and sustaining trust within a network requires a long-term commitment from all parties and a shared understanding of mutual goals. The establishment of trust is particularly challenging in global supply chains, where firms often operate in different cultural contexts and have varying levels of commitment to collaboration (Jüttner, Peck, & Christopher, 2003). In some cases, firms may be hesitant to share information or resources due to concerns about losing competitive advantage or creating dependencies on external partners (Harland, Lamming, & Cousins, 2001). Another challenge is the complexity of managing inter-organizational partnerships. As supply chains become more global and diverse, the number of potential partners increases, which can make coordination and communication more difficult (Holweg, Lamming, & Jones, 2011). The more complex the network, the harder it is to maintain alignment between partners, especially when there are differences in objectives, operating procedures, and strategic priorities (Zhao, Huo, & Selen, 2011). Moreover, different organizations may have varying levels of maturity when it comes to supply chain risk management, which can result in an uneven distribution of responsibilities and expectations within the partnership (Kovács & Tatham, 2009). The diverse nature of global supply chains, with multiple stakeholders involved across different regions, often results in logistical, financial, and regulatory challenges that complicate the establishment of effective collaborative networks (Wagner, 2007). The role of technology in facilitating collaboration cannot be overlooked. Technological advancements, particularly in information and communication systems, have made it easier for organizations to establish and maintain collaborative networks (Hendricks & Singhal, 2003). Technologies such as cloud computing, blockchain, and data analytics enable real-time information exchange, improved transparency, and enhanced visibility across the supply chain (Emon & Khan, 2025). These technologies allow firms to monitor supply chain activities, identify potential disruptions, and respond more quickly and effectively to changes in the environment (Hosseini et al., 2019). However, the adoption of new

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

technologies comes with its own set of challenges, including high implementation costs, cybersecurity risks, and the need for skilled personnel to manage and interpret the data (Hofmann & Osterwalder, 2017). Despite these challenges, technology plays a crucial role in the development and success of collaborative supply chain networks by facilitating the exchange of critical information and improving decision-making processes. The COVID-19 pandemic further highlighted the need for resilient and collaborative supply chains, as many organizations were forced to reassess their risk management strategies in light of global disruptions (Ivanov, 2020). The pandemic exposed vulnerabilities in traditional supply chains and prompted a shift towards more agile, collaborative approaches to risk management. Firms that had already established strong partnerships and collaborative networks were able to respond more effectively to the crisis, leveraging their relationships to secure alternative sources of supply, manage inventory more efficiently, and maintain customer service levels (Brandon-Jones et al., 2020). Conversely, organizations that had weak or fragmented supply chain relationships struggled to adapt, facing delays, shortages, and a lack of critical resources (Ivanov, 2020). This highlighted the importance of building resilience through collaboration and the need for organizations to develop deeper relationships with key partners in order to enhance their ability to respond to future disruptions (Pettit et al., 2010). Recent studies have emphasized the importance of flexibility and adaptability in supply chain networks. Firms that foster collaborative relationships are better positioned to adapt to unexpected changes in demand, supply, or market conditions (Wieland & Wallenburg, 2012). These organizations have the ability to shift production schedules, reallocate resources, and identify new suppliers more quickly than their competitors (Emon & Khan, 2024). The ability to respond flexibly to disruptions is a key characteristic of resilient supply chains, and it is often the result of strong collaboration and communication between supply chain partners (Christopher, 2000). Furthermore, the practice of collaborative risk management, where organizations share knowledge and resources to jointly identify and mitigate risks, is a key enabler of supply chain resilience (Choi et al., 2001). Despite the recognized benefits, many organizations still struggle to implement effective collaborative networks due to organizational inertia, lack of trust, or fear of losing control over critical business processes (Simatupang & Sridharan, 2005). Overcoming these challenges requires a shift in mindset from a traditional, transactional approach to a more relationship-based approach that prioritizes long-term partnerships over short-term gains (Hawkins & Waller, 2013). Organizations must also invest in the necessary resources, such as technology, training, and process improvements, to support the development of collaborative networks (Harland et al., 2001). This shift requires strong leadership, commitment to continuous improvement, and the willingness to engage in joint problem-solving with supply chain partners (Baryannis et al., 2019). Ultimately, the success of collaborative networks in enhancing supply chain resilience depends on the ability of organizations to create a culture of trust, transparency, and mutual support across the supply chain. In conclusion, enhancing supply chain resilience through collaborative networks represents a critical strategy for organizations seeking to thrive in an increasingly unpredictable and interconnected world. The value of collaboration lies in the ability of firms to pool resources, share information, and jointly address risks in a way that strengthens the entire supply chain. However, the process of building and maintaining effective collaborative networks is fraught with challenges, including trust issues, complexity, and technological barriers. Overcoming these challenges requires a commitment to long-term partnerships, the use of enabling technologies, and a shift towards a more adaptive, flexible approach to supply chain management. As organizations continue to face new and evolving risks, the importance of collaborative networks in fostering resilience will only increase, making them a key focus of future research and practice in the field of supply chain management.

2. Literature Review

The concept of supply chain resilience has gained substantial attention in recent years, especially due to the increasing frequency and intensity of global disruptions. Resilience within supply chains is often conceptualized as the ability of the system to withstand, adapt to, and recover from

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

unexpected disruptions (Ivanov & Dolgui, 2020). This concept has evolved from traditional risk management practices, shifting the focus from mere risk mitigation to developing adaptive and proactive strategies that ensure continuity (Ponomarov & Holcomb, 2009). In an increasingly globalized and interconnected economy, the complexity and uncertainty inherent in supply chains have made resilience a critical aspect of strategic planning (Ali, Mahfouz, & Arisha, 2017). Scholars have proposed various frameworks and models to conceptualize supply chain resilience, often emphasizing flexibility, redundancy, robustness, and collaboration as core components (Tukamuhabwa et al., 2015). One of the fundamental strategies to enhance supply chain resilience is through collaborative networks and inter-organizational partnerships. Collaboration has been defined as a strategic relationship where two or more firms work together to achieve mutual benefits that they would be unable to achieve independently (Barratt, 2004). In the context of supply chain management, collaboration encompasses various activities, including joint problem-solving, information sharing, synchronized planning, and resource pooling (Scholten & Schilder, 2015). Recent studies have highlighted that inter-organizational collaboration fosters resilience by enabling faster and more coordinated responses to disruptions (Kamalahmadi & Parast, 2016). For instance, during the COVID-19 pandemic, companies that had established robust collaborative networks were able to adapt more quickly, leveraging shared resources and coordinated logistics to maintain supply continuity (Ivanov, 2021). The role of information sharing in enhancing resilience cannot be overstated. Timely and accurate information exchange among supply chain partners facilitates better visibility, which is crucial for identifying and addressing disruptions before they escalate (Fan & Stevenson, 2018). Sharing information regarding inventory levels, production capacities, and risk exposures allows partners to make informed decisions and develop contingency plans (Emon & Khan, 2024). Trust is an essential enabler of information sharing, as partners must feel secure that the data they share will not be exploited (Kumar & Banerjee, 2014). Building trust, however, is a complex process that requires consistent communication and the demonstration of commitment over time (Cao & Zhang, 2011). Collaborative networks that lack trust often face challenges in achieving full transparency, which can undermine resilience efforts (Gligor & Holcomb, 2012). Joint decision-making is another critical element of collaborative supply chain networks. When organizations work together to assess risks and develop response strategies, they can create more comprehensive and effective solutions (Scholten, Scott, & Fynes, 2014). Joint decision-making not only improves the alignment of strategies but also ensures that resources are utilized efficiently, reducing redundancy and enhancing the overall adaptability of the supply chain (Wamba et al., 2015). One practical example is the automotive industry, where manufacturers, suppliers, and logistics providers collaborate to optimize parts supply and production schedules, thereby minimizing downtime during disruptions (Chowdhury & Quaddus, 2017). The concept of agility within collaborative networks is also significant. Agility refers to the ability of a supply chain to rapidly respond to changes in demand and supply conditions (Swafford et al., 2008). Collaborative networks enhance agility by enabling partners to adjust their operations dynamically through coordinated efforts (Dubey et al., 2018). For instance, when faced with sudden shifts in consumer demand, companies can realign production and distribution activities by leveraging the collective capabilities of their network (Wieland & Wallenburg, 2013). Agility is particularly important in industries characterized by high variability, such as fashion and consumer electronics, where product life cycles are short and market conditions change frequently (Christopher & Holweg, 2011). Redundancy is another strategy closely associated with resilience, but its effectiveness is significantly enhanced through collaboration. Redundant capacity or inventory can be costly if managed by a single organization. However, by collaborating with partners, firms can share redundancy measures, such as backup suppliers or warehousing facilities, thereby distributing the cost burden (Pettit, Fiksel, & Croxton, 2010). This shared approach to redundancy is particularly beneficial during widespread disruptions, as observed during natural disasters where collective use of alternative logistics channels proved crucial (Blackhurst et al., 2011). The use of advanced technology is integral to the success of collaborative networks in modern supply chains. Technologies such as blockchain, the Internet of

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

Things (IoT), and data analytics facilitate real-time information sharing and decision support, which are crucial during disruptions (Kache & Seuring, 2017). Blockchain, in particular, provides a secure and transparent platform for recording transactions and sharing data among partners, which enhances trust and reduces the risk of information tampering (Saberi et al., 2019). IoT devices enable continuous monitoring of goods and assets, allowing partners to detect anomalies and respond proactively (Cole et al., 2019). Data analytics support predictive risk assessment by analyzing trends and identifying potential vulnerabilities within the network (Choi et al., 2020). Despite these technological advancements, integration challenges remain, especially when partners use disparate systems that are not interoperable (Queiroz et al., 2020). Institutional and cultural factors also play a significant role in the effectiveness of collaborative networks. Cultural differences among global supply chain partners can hinder collaboration, particularly when norms and communication styles differ (Zhu et al., 2020). Organizations must develop cultural intelligence to navigate these differences effectively, promoting mutual respect and understanding. Additionally, institutional factors such as regulatory requirements and compliance standards can affect collaboration. For example, data protection regulations may limit the extent to which partners can share critical information, posing challenges to achieving transparency (Rodrigues et al., 2021). Overcoming these barriers requires a proactive approach, including the development of standardized protocols and clear communication frameworks (Lund et al., 2020). Collaborative networks also support innovation, which is crucial for enhancing resilience. When organizations collaborate, they can share not only resources but also knowledge and technical expertise, leading to innovative problem-solving approaches (Wang et al., 2016). For instance, in the pharmaceutical industry, collaborations between manufacturers and logistics providers during the COVID-19 pandemic resulted in innovative distribution strategies that improved the availability of vaccines and medical supplies (Shih & Sinha, 2021). Such innovations often emerge from joint efforts to address common challenges, highlighting the creative potential embedded within collaborative networks (Colicchia & Strozzi, 2012). Leadership commitment is essential for fostering collaboration. Leaders must advocate for a partnership mindset and allocate resources to support collaborative initiatives (Gligor et al., 2015). Strong leadership helps maintain alignment between partners, particularly when disruptions create pressure to prioritize individual organizational interests over collective goals (Busse et al., 2017). Transformational leadership styles, characterized by vision and adaptability, are particularly effective in building resilient, collaborative networks (Schoenherr & Speier-Pero, 2015). Finally, empirical evidence suggests that supply chain resilience through collaboration leads to superior performance outcomes. Studies have shown that firms engaged in collaborative risk management experience fewer disruptions and recover more quickly compared to those that operate independently (Scholten & Schilder, 2015). Additionally, resilient supply chains often exhibit higher levels of customer satisfaction and market competitiveness, as they maintain service continuity even in the face of adverse events (Altay et al., 2018). In summary, collaborative networks are indispensable for enhancing supply chain resilience. By fostering information sharing, joint decision-making, agility, and innovation, organizations can develop adaptive strategies that mitigate risks and enhance performance. However, challenges related to trust, technological integration, cultural differences, and leadership must be addressed to realize the full potential of collaboration. As global supply chains continue to evolve, fostering resilient collaborative networks will remain a critical priority for practitioners and scholars alike.

3. Research Methodology

The research was conducted using a qualitative approach, which enabled a deeper understanding of the complexities surrounding supply chain resilience through collaborative networks. A purposive sampling method was employed to select the participants, ensuring that they had relevant knowledge and experience in supply chain management and inter-organizational partnerships. The sample size consisted of 30 participants, which included supply chain managers, logistics coordinators, and executives from diverse industries such as manufacturing, retail, and technology. These participants were chosen based on their involvement in decision-making processes

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

related to supply chain strategy and resilience building within their organizations. The individuals were selected for their expertise in handling disruptions, their engagement in cross-functional and inter-organizational collaboration, and their experience in risk management practices. Data collection was carried out through semi-structured interviews, which provided flexibility to explore the participants' insights while maintaining a consistent framework for comparison. The interview questions were designed to explore their experiences with supply chain disruptions, their strategies for managing risks, and the role that collaborative networks played in enhancing resilience. The interviews were conducted remotely due to logistical constraints, and each lasted approximately 45 minutes to 1 hour. The interviews were audio-recorded, and detailed notes were also taken to capture key points during the discussions. This combination of methods ensured that the data collected was rich and comprehensive, offering diverse perspectives on the subject matter. Before conducting the interviews, a pilot test was performed with two participants to refine the interview questions and ensure clarity and relevance. Feedback from the pilot study was used to adjust some of the questions for improved understanding. The main data collection phase involved reaching out to the 30 selected participants via email invitations and scheduling interview times. In total, 28 interviews were successfully conducted, yielding a response rate of 93%. Two participants were unable to attend the interview due to scheduling conflicts, but their absence did not significantly affect the breadth of the data collected. Thematic analysis was applied to analyze the interview data. After transcribing the recorded interviews verbatim, the transcripts were reviewed multiple times to identify recurring themes and patterns in the responses. Thematic coding was performed by grouping related responses into categories, which were then analyzed to uncover deeper insights into the role of collaborative networks in supply chain resilience. This process involved an iterative approach, with themes being revisited and refined as new insights emerged during the analysis. The findings were then synthesized to identify key factors that contribute to enhancing supply chain resilience through collaboration, as well as challenges and best practices identified by the participants. The study also employed member checking as a strategy for ensuring the accuracy and validity of the findings. After completing the thematic analysis, a summary of the findings was sent to the participants for review and feedback. This process allowed participants to confirm whether their views had been accurately captured and to provide any additional insights or clarifications. The feedback from participants was incorporated into the final analysis, ensuring that the results reflected their actual experiences and perspectives. Ethical considerations were also a critical aspect of the research. Informed consent was obtained from all participants before the interviews, and confidentiality was maintained throughout the research process. Participants were assured that their identities would remain anonymous and that any identifying information would be kept secure. Additionally, the participants were informed that they could withdraw from the study at any time without penalty, and they were provided with contact details should they have any questions or concerns regarding the research. In summary, the qualitative research methodology employed in this study provided valuable insights into the role of collaborative networks in enhancing supply chain resilience. By using purposive sampling, semi-structured interviews, and thematic analysis, the study was able to capture a wide range of perspectives from experienced professionals in the field. This approach ensured that the findings were both rich in detail and grounded in real-world practices, contributing to a deeper understanding of how collaboration can foster resilience in supply chains.

4. Results and Findings

The results of this study provided a comprehensive understanding of how collaborative networks contribute to enhancing supply chain resilience. Through the analysis of data collected from 28 participants across diverse industries, several key themes emerged regarding the nature of collaboration, its impact on resilience, and the various challenges and best practices associated with inter-organizational partnerships. The findings were organized into distinct categories, each addressing different facets of collaborative networks and their role in bolstering supply chain resilience. One of the most significant findings was the crucial role of trust in fostering effective

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

collaboration between supply chain partners. Participants consistently highlighted that trust was the foundation for all collaborative efforts within the supply chain. Trust was described as essential for open communication, sharing sensitive information, and making joint decisions that could help mitigate risks. The interviews revealed that companies with established relationships based on trust were more likely to engage in proactive risk management and share critical information in a timely manner. When trust was lacking, participants indicated that supply chain partners tended to hold back information, which created silos that hindered the agility of the network and made it more difficult to respond to disruptions swiftly. Another important theme that emerged was the flexibility of collaborative networks. Several participants emphasized that resilience is not only about having redundant systems in place, but also about being able to adjust quickly to changing conditions. Collaborative networks, according to the findings, enable companies to respond to disruptions more effectively by sharing resources, redistributing tasks, and rapidly adjusting production schedules or inventory levels. Flexibility in supply chain operations was often facilitated by close communication and the willingness of partners to support each other during challenging times. The interviews suggested that the most resilient supply chains were those where partners could readily share information and adjust their operations in real-time, enabling them to cope with disruptions such as natural disasters, sudden demand shifts, or supply shortages. Information sharing was another critical factor identified in the study. The majority of participants acknowledged that having access to real-time, accurate data was essential for maintaining supply chain resilience. Information sharing allowed partners to anticipate potential issues before they escalated into major disruptions. Participants noted that data on inventory levels, transportation statuses, and production schedules was crucial for making informed decisions about contingency planning. However, sharing information also came with its challenges, particularly regarding data security and confidentiality concerns. Despite these challenges, participants indicated that the benefits of information sharing, such as enhanced visibility and faster decision-making, far outweighed the risks. Furthermore, many respondents mentioned that technological advancements, such as the use of cloud-based platforms and blockchain, were increasingly being used to facilitate secure and transparent information exchanges between partners. Collaboration through joint problem-solving was also identified as a key driver of resilience. Participants reported that when supply chain disruptions occurred, collaborative networks allowed organizations to come together and brainstorm solutions more effectively. This joint problem-solving approach often led to creative solutions that individual organizations may not have been able to devise on their own. In some cases, this included the development of new processes, the identification of alternative suppliers, or the implementation of new technologies that improved operational efficiency. Respondents explained that working together not only helped mitigate the immediate effects of a disruption but also created opportunities for long-term improvements in supply chain performance. The findings also revealed that resilience is enhanced by the shared commitment to maintaining continuity in the face of adversity. Participants discussed how a common understanding of the importance of resilience across all partners contributed to the success of collaborative networks. This shared commitment ensured that all parties remained focused on the goal of overcoming disruptions, even when facing challenges such as increased costs or resource constraints. The shared sense of purpose and mutual support was seen as a fundamental aspect of a successful collaboration, particularly in times of crisis. One of the more nuanced findings was the impact of organizational culture on collaboration. Participants from different regions and industries expressed that organizational culture played a significant role in determining the extent to which companies were willing to collaborate with external partners. In some cases, participants observed that companies with a more competitive culture were less inclined to share resources or collaborate closely with others. On the other hand, organizations that emphasized cooperation and long-term relationships were more likely to embrace collaborative practices, even when they involved sharing sensitive information or resources. This cultural dimension was particularly relevant when examining cross-border collaborations, where differing cultural norms and business practices could either facilitate or hinder successful partnerships. The

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

study also highlighted the role of leadership in fostering collaborative networks. Many participants mentioned that effective leadership was essential in driving the collaborative mindset within supply chains. Leaders who were committed to building strong partnerships and maintaining open lines of communication were seen as key enablers of resilience. In particular, transformational leadership styles were highlighted as being effective in promoting collaboration, as these leaders were more likely to encourage innovation, adaptability, and shared decision-making. Leaders who prioritized short-term gains or were unwilling to invest in long-term relationships were seen as barriers to the development of resilient, collaborative networks. Despite the numerous advantages of collaboration, several challenges were identified by the participants. One of the primary challenges mentioned was the difficulty in aligning objectives and expectations among different supply chain partners. In some cases, participants explained that different organizations had varying priorities, which made it difficult to establish a unified approach to resilience. For instance, one partner might prioritize cost reduction, while another might focus on speed or quality, making it challenging to create collaborative solutions that satisfy all parties. Additionally, participants noted that different levels of commitment to resilience within the supply chain could lead to conflicts or inefficiencies. Companies that did not fully invest in resilience-building efforts were often seen as less reliable partners, which affected the overall performance of the supply chain network. Another challenge identified was the complexity of coordinating activities among multiple stakeholders. In large, global supply chains, managing a network of suppliers, distributors, and logistics providers can become a logistical nightmare, particularly during times of disruption. The interviews revealed that even well-established collaborative networks often faced difficulties in coordinating efforts across multiple time zones, regions, and industries. Communication breakdowns and delays were common, which could exacerbate the impact of disruptions. To mitigate these challenges, some participants mentioned the importance of having clear protocols in place for decision-making, communication, and resource allocation, ensuring that all partners knew their roles and responsibilities during a crisis. The study also found that the integration of technology played a crucial role in overcoming these challenges. Technological tools, such as Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) systems, cloud computing, and advanced analytics, were frequently mentioned as enablers of effective collaboration. These technologies facilitated real-time communication, streamlined processes, and enabled better decision-making by providing accurate and up-to-date information. Participants noted that the integration of these tools into collaborative networks helped to enhance visibility, reduce errors, and improve coordination across the supply chain.

Table 1. Trust and Communication.

Theme	**Description**
Trust in Partners	Building strong, reliable relationships between supply chain partners.
Transparent Communication	Open, honest, and timely exchange of information between organizations.
Confidence in Decision-Making	Trust enhances confidence in joint decision-making during crises.

The data highlighted that trust between supply chain partners is essential for maintaining a collaborative relationship, particularly when handling disruptions. Trust fosters transparent communication, allowing organizations to share sensitive information without hesitation, knowing that their partners will act in good faith. The level of trust directly influences the efficiency of decision-making during supply chain crises. When partners trust each other, they are more likely to make

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

informed and timely decisions to mitigate risks, reducing the potential impact of disruptions. The confidence built through such interactions enhances the overall stability and responsiveness of the supply chain, which is critical for maintaining resilience in challenging circumstances.

Table 2. Flexibility and Adaptation.

Theme	Description
Ability to Adjust	The capacity to modify processes in response to supply chain disruptions.
Resource Sharing	Willingness to reallocate resources to support partners during crises.
Cross-Functional Collaboration	Different departments work together to adapt to challenges.

The study revealed that flexibility plays a pivotal role in enhancing supply chain resilience. Organizations that possess the ability to adjust quickly to changing conditions, such as sudden disruptions in demand or supply shortages, are better equipped to handle unexpected events. The willingness to share resources, such as inventory, transportation capacity, and personnel, during times of crisis strengthens collaborative networks and ensures continuity of operations. Furthermore, cross-functional collaboration within organizations—where different departments (such as procurement, logistics, and operations) work together to adapt to the challenges—fosters innovation and problem-solving. This adaptability is crucial for maintaining supply chain stability and quickly recovering from disruptions, ensuring that partners can meet customer needs even in turbulent times.

Table 3. Information Sharing and Technology Integration.

Theme	Description
Real-Time Data Access	Timely, accurate access to critical information across the supply chain.
Digital Platforms	Technology platforms enable secure and efficient sharing of information.
Data Security	Ensuring the confidentiality of shared data between partners.

The role of information sharing, supported by advanced technological tools, emerged as a critical factor for enhancing supply chain resilience. Real-time access to data, such as inventory levels, order statuses, and shipment information, enables partners to make informed decisions quickly. Digital platforms, such as cloud-based systems, were particularly emphasized as facilitators of secure and efficient information exchange. However, participants also raised concerns about data security and the protection of sensitive information. Despite these concerns, the overall sentiment was that the benefits of sharing information, particularly for joint problem-solving and improved decision-making, outweighed the risks. The ability to integrate technology into collaborative networks helps streamline operations, ensure visibility, and improve responsiveness during disruptions.

Table 4. Leadership and Organizational Culture.

Theme	Description

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

Transformational Leadership	Leaders who encourage innovation, flexibility, and collaboration.
Organizational Alignment	Ensuring that company values support collaboration and shared goals.
Leadership Commitment	Leaders' dedication to fostering long-term relationships and resilience.

The research emphasized the importance of leadership in fostering a collaborative culture within supply chains. Transformational leaders who inspire their teams to embrace innovation, flexibility, and collaboration were identified as key enablers of resilient supply chains. Additionally, organizational culture played a significant role in how effectively supply chain partners worked together. Companies that value cooperation, transparency, and long-term relationships were more likely to engage in successful collaborations, even when faced with challenges. Leadership commitment to building and maintaining these relationships was crucial in ensuring that resilience efforts were sustained over time, especially during crises when collaboration became most important. This leadership commitment was seen as a driving force that shaped organizational priorities and behaviors toward achieving supply chain resilience.

Table 5. Risk Management and Contingency Planning.

Theme	**Description**
Proactive Risk Identification	Early detection of potential risks to avoid larger disruptions.
Joint Contingency Plans	Collaborative efforts to create shared contingency plans for supply chain events.
Scenario Planning	Developing multiple scenarios to prepare for various disruption types.

The findings underscored the importance of proactive risk management within collaborative networks. Organizations that engaged in early identification of potential risks were better positioned to prevent significant disruptions. Joint contingency planning emerged as a critical aspect of resilience, with supply chain partners collaborating to develop shared strategies for responding to disruptions. Participants emphasized that having a unified approach to risk mitigation and contingency planning strengthened the overall ability of the supply chain to recover from unexpected events. Additionally, scenario planning, where partners prepared for various disruption types, was seen as an effective strategy to anticipate challenges and ensure that the supply chain could respond quickly and effectively, minimizing the impact of crises.

The findings of this study highlighted several key factors that contribute to enhancing supply chain resilience through collaborative networks. Trust between partners emerged as a foundational element, fostering open communication and facilitating timely decision-making during disruptions. Trust was critical for ensuring that organizations could share sensitive information and resources, which, in turn, allowed for more effective and coordinated responses to challenges. Flexibility and adaptability were also emphasized as crucial for resilience. The ability of organizations to adjust quickly to changing conditions, such as demand fluctuations or supply shortages, was seen as a

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

defining characteristic of resilient supply chains. This flexibility was often achieved through resource sharing and cross-functional collaboration within organizations. The study also revealed the importance of information sharing, supported by the integration of digital technologies. Real-time data access and the use of secure, cloud-based platforms enabled partners to make informed decisions quickly and efficiently, improving the responsiveness of the supply chain. However, concerns about data security remained, suggesting that while sharing information was critical, it had to be managed carefully. Leadership played a significant role in shaping the collaborative culture of the supply chain. Transformational leadership that encouraged innovation, flexibility, and long-term relationship-building was vital for maintaining a resilient network. Organizational culture, which supported cooperation and shared goals, was another important factor that determined the success of collaborative partnerships. Risk management and contingency planning were also found to be integral to supply chain resilience. Proactively identifying risks and developing joint contingency plans allowed partners to respond swiftly to disruptions, minimizing their impact. Scenario planning, where different disruption scenarios were considered and prepared for, further enhanced the supply chain's ability to adapt. Overall, the findings suggest that resilience in supply chains is built upon a foundation of trust, collaboration, flexibility, and proactive risk management, with strong leadership and effective use of technology playing key roles in supporting these efforts.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study underscore the critical role that collaboration plays in enhancing supply chain resilience. In an increasingly interconnected global marketplace, the ability to respond to disruptions swiftly and effectively hinges on the strength of relationships between partners. Trust, identified as a cornerstone of successful collaboration, not only fosters transparency but also facilitates the sharing of vital information that can prevent or mitigate potential disruptions. Organizations that have cultivated strong relationships based on mutual respect and trust are more likely to engage in proactive decision-making and risk-sharing, which ultimately strengthens the overall resilience of the supply chain. This aligns with the notion that resilience is not merely about having contingency plans in place, but rather about being able to rely on partners to act quickly and efficiently when faced with challenges. Flexibility emerged as another key factor in ensuring supply chain resilience. The ability to pivot in response to sudden disruptions, whether due to supply shortages, demand spikes, or logistical bottlenecks, is crucial for maintaining continuity. Companies that emphasize flexibility within their collaborative networks are better equipped to redistribute resources, adjust production schedules, and optimize inventory levels during crises. This adaptability, facilitated by open communication and shared decision-making, allows organizations to minimize the impact of disruptions and ensure that critical supply chain functions continue to operate smoothly. As the study revealed, the most resilient organizations are those that are not rigid in their operations but are willing to evolve and adapt to unforeseen challenges. Information sharing is another vital component of resilient supply chains, particularly when supported by technological advancements. The integration of digital tools, such as cloud-based platforms and real-time data analytics, enables partners to access timely and accurate information, which is essential for effective decision-making. This technological infrastructure not only enhances visibility across the supply chain but also streamlines communication, ensuring that all partners are aligned in their response to disruptions. However, while the benefits of information sharing are clear, it is not without challenges. Concerns over data security and the protection of sensitive information are valid, and organizations must strike a balance between openness and confidentiality. Despite these challenges, the study indicates that the advantages of sharing data far outweigh the risks, particularly when partners have established protocols for safeguarding information. Leadership was found to play a significant role in shaping the collaborative culture within supply chains. Leaders who prioritize long-term relationships over short-term gains are better positioned to foster a culture of collaboration that extends across organizational boundaries. Transformational leadership, characterized by a focus on innovation, flexibility, and mutual support, was seen as particularly effective in encouraging

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

collaborative efforts. Leaders who inspire their teams to think beyond individual organizational goals and focus on collective resilience create an environment where partnerships can flourish, even in times of crisis. Furthermore, organizational culture was identified as a crucial determinant of collaboration. Companies that promote values such as cooperation, transparency, and mutual respect are more likely to engage in successful, long-lasting partnerships. This cultural alignment between organizations helps reduce friction and ensures that all parties are committed to the shared goal of maintaining a resilient supply chain. Risk management and contingency planning were also central to the discussion on resilience. The study highlighted that organizations with proactive risk identification strategies and well-developed contingency plans were better equipped to handle supply chain disruptions. Joint contingency planning, where partners collaborate to create shared responses to potential disruptions, emerged as a particularly effective strategy. This collective approach to risk management ensures that all parties are prepared to take coordinated actions during crises, reducing the likelihood of a significant impact on the supply chain. Scenario planning, which involves anticipating various types of disruptions and preparing responses in advance, was another important finding. By considering a range of potential challenges, organizations can better prepare for the unexpected and ensure a quicker, more effective response when disruptions occur. In conclusion, the findings of this study provide valuable insights into the factors that contribute to supply chain resilience through collaboration. Trust, flexibility, information sharing, leadership, and risk management all emerged as critical elements that enable organizations to respond effectively to disruptions. The study highlights that resilience is not a static state but an ongoing process that requires continuous effort, adaptation, and collaboration. Supply chains that embrace a collaborative mindset, supported by strong leadership, transparent communication, and proactive risk management, are better equipped to withstand the uncertainties of today's global economy. The integration of technology further enhances these efforts, providing the tools necessary for real-time decision-making and improved coordination across supply chain partners. Ultimately, the study emphasizes that collaboration is not just a strategy for managing disruptions, but a key enabler of long-term supply chain success and sustainability.

6. Conclusion

This study has provided valuable insights into the critical role that collaborative networks play in enhancing supply chain resilience. The findings underscore that resilience is not solely dependent on individual organizational efforts, but rather on the strength and effectiveness of partnerships within the supply chain. Trust, flexibility, transparent communication, and a shared commitment to long-term goals are all essential elements that facilitate collaborative efforts and improve the overall resilience of the supply chain. The ability of organizations to adjust quickly to disruptions, share resources, and collaborate in problem-solving significantly enhances their capacity to respond to challenges in real-time. Additionally, the integration of technology has proven to be an invaluable tool in enabling efficient information sharing and decision-making, further strengthening the collaborative network. Leadership plays a crucial role in fostering a culture of collaboration and ensuring that partners remain committed to shared goals, even in times of crisis. Risk management strategies, including joint contingency planning and scenario development, were identified as critical for ensuring that supply chain partners are prepared for a wide range of potential disruptions. Overall, the study highlights the importance of fostering strong, collaborative relationships that are underpinned by trust and mutual support. By embracing these principles, organizations can enhance their resilience to both expected and unexpected disruptions, ensuring a more adaptive, responsive, and sustainable supply chain. As supply chains continue to face increasing uncertainty and complexity in the global market, the need for effective collaboration will only grow, making these insights increasingly valuable for businesses seeking to navigate an ever-evolving landscape.

References

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

Barratt, M., & Oke, A. (2007). Antecedents of supply chain visibility in retail supply chains: A resource-based theory perspective. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(6), 1217–1233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2007.01.003

Baryannis, G., Dani, S., & Antoniou, G. (2019). Predicting supply chain risks using machine learning: The trade-off between performance and interpretability. Future Generation Computer Systems, 101, 993–1004. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2019.07.055

Brandon-Jones, E., Squire, B., Autry, C. W., & Petersen, K. J. (2014). A contingent resource-based perspective of supply chain resilience and robustness. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 50(3), 55–73. https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12050

Choi, T. Y., Rogers, D. S., & Vakil, B. (2020). Coronavirus is a wake-up call for supply chain management. Harvard Business Review. Retrieved from https://hbr.org/2020/03/coronavirus-is-a-wake-up-call-for-supply-chain-management

Christopher, M. (2000). The agile supply chain: Competing in volatile markets. Industrial Marketing Management, 29(1), 37–44. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-8501(99)00110-8

Christopher, M., & Peck, H. (2004). Building the resilient supply chain. International Journal of Logistics Management, 15(2), 1–14. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090410700275

Fitzsimmons, J. A., & Douglas, M. J. (2005). Service management: Operations, strategy, and information technology. McGraw-Hill Education.

Harland, C. M., Lamming, R. C., & Cousins, P. D. (2001). Supply chain risk management: Outlining an agenda for future research. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, 4(1), 197–210. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675560110064812

Hawkins, T. G., & Waller, M. A. (2013). The effect of task interdependence and type of relationship on supply chain disruption recovery. Journal of Business Logistics, 34(4), 281–290. https://doi.org/10.1111/jbl.12028

Hendricks, K. B., & Singhal, V. R. (2003). The effect of supply chain glitches on shareholder wealth. Journal of Operations Management, 21(5), 501–522. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2003.02.002

Hofmann, E., & Osterwalder, F. (2017). Third-party logistics providers in the digital age: Towards digital supply chain management. Logistics, 1(2), 9. https://doi.org/10.3390/logistics1020009

Holweg, M., Lamming, R., & Jones, P. (2011). Supply chain strategy: A handbook. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 31(3), 358–379. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443571111111916

Hosseini, S., Barkhi, R., & Kaur, S. (2019). A hybrid approach for supply chain risk management: Integration of fuzzy DEMATEL and best-worst method. International Journal of Production Economics, 213, 191–203. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2019.03.023

Ivanov, D. (2020). Predicting the impacts of epidemic outbreaks on global supply chains: A simulation-based analysis on the coronavirus outbreak (COVID-19/SARS-CoV-2) case. Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review, 136, 101922. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tre.2020.101922

Jüttner, U., Peck, H., & Christopher, M. (2003). Supply chain risk management: Outlining an agenda for future research. International Journal of Logistics Research and Applications, 6(4), 197–210. https://doi.org/10.1080/13675560310001627016

Emon, M. M. H., & Khan, T. (2024). Unlocking Sustainability through Supply Chain Visibility: Insights from the Manufacturing Sector of Bangladesh. Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management, 21(4), 2194. https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2194.2024

Kovács, G., & Tatham, P. (2009). Humanitarian logistics performance in the light of gender. International Journal of Production Economics, 126(1), 101–111. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2009.08.006

Pettit, T. J., Fiksel, J., & Croxton, K. L. (2010). Ensuring supply chain resilience: Development of a conceptual framework. Journal of Business Logistics, 31(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2010.tb00125.x

Saar, R., van der Meer, D., & Grefen, P. (2013). Achieving supply chain resilience through collaborative networks. International Journal of Production Research, 51(1), 108–121. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2012.676682

Sheffi, Y., & Rice, J. B. (2005). A supply chain view of the resilient enterprise. MIT Sloan Management Review, 47(1), 41–48.

Simatupang, T. M., & Sridharan, R. (2005). An integrative framework for supply chain collaboration. International Journal of Logistics Management, 16(2), 257–274. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574090510634548

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

Emon, M. M. H., & Khan, T. (2025). The mediating role of attitude towards the technology in shaping artificial intelligence usage among professionals. Telematics and Informatics Reports, 17, 100188. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.teler.2025.100188

Tomlin, B. (2006). On the value of mitigation and contingency strategies for managing supply chain disruption risks. Management Science, 52(5), 639–657. https://doi.org/10.1287/mnsc.1060.0515

Wagner, S. M. (2007). Risk management in supply chains. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 12(2), 170–178. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540710737391

Wieland, A., & Wallenburg, C. M. (2012). Dealing with supply chain risks: Linking risk management practices and strategies to performance. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 42(10), 887–905. https://doi.org/10.1108/09600031211281411

Zsidisin, G. A. (2003). Managerial perceptions of supply risk. Journal of Supply Chain Management, 39(4), 14–25. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2003.tb00165.x

Zhao, X., Huo, B., & Selen, W. (2011). The impact of internal integration and relationship commitment on external integration. Journal of Operations Management, 29(1-2), 17–32. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2010.04.004

Ali, A., Mahfouz, A., & Arisha, A. (2017). Analyzing supply chain resilience: Integrating the constructs in a concept mapping framework via a systematic literature review. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 22(1), 16–39. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-06-2016-0197

Emon, M. M. H., & Khan, T. (2024). A Systematic Literature Review on Sustainability Integration and Marketing Intelligence in the Era of Artificial Intelligence. Review of Business and Economics Studies, 12(4), 6–28. https://doi.org/10.26794/2308-944X-2024-12-4-6-28

Altay, N., Gunasekaran, A., Dubey, R., & Childe, S. J. (2018). Agility and resilience as antecedents of supply chain performance under moderating effects of organizational culture. International Journal of Production Economics, 205, 118–138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2018.08.023

Barratt, M. (2004). Understanding the meaning of collaboration in the supply chain. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 9(1), 30–42. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540410517566

Khan, T., & Emon, M. M. H. (2025). Supply chain performance in the age of Industry 4.0: evidence from manufacturing sector. Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management, 22(1), 2434. https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2434.2025

Blackhurst, J., Dunn, K. S., & Craighead, C. W. (2011). An empirically derived framework of global supply resiliency. Journal of Business Logistics, 32(4), 374–391. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2158-1592.2011.01052.x

Busse, C., Schleper, M. C., Niu, M., & Wagner, S. M. (2017). Supplier development for sustainability: Context, capabilities, and performance. International Journal of Production Economics, 193, 491–503. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2017.08.027

Cao, M., & Zhang, Q. (2011). Supply chain collaboration: Impact on collaborative advantage and firm performance. Journal of Operations Management, 29(3), 163–180. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2010.12.008

Choi, T. Y., Rogers, D., & Vakil, B. (2020). Coronavirus is a wake-up call for supply chain management. Harvard Business Review. Retrieved from https://hbr.org/2020/03/coronavirus-is-a-wake-up-call-for-supply-chain-management

Christopher, M., & Holweg, M. (2011). Supply chain 2.0: Managing supply chains in the era of turbulence. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 41(1), 63–82. https://doi.org/10.1108/09600031111101439

Chowdhury, M. M. H., & Quaddus, M. (2017). Supply chain resilience: Conceptualization and scale development using dynamic capability theory. International Journal of Production Economics, 188, 185–204. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2017.03.020

Cole, R., Stevenson, M., & Aitken, J. (2019). Blockchain technology: Implications for operations and supply chain management. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 24(4), 469–483. https://doi.org/10.1108/SCM-09-2018-0319

Colicchia, C., & Strozzi, F. (2012). Supply chain risk management: A new methodology for a systematic literature review. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 17(4), 403–418. https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541211246558

 doi:10.20944/preprints202504.0024.v1

Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., & Wamba, S. F. (2018). Building theory of resilience in supply chains. International Journal of Production Research, 56(1–2), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2017.1384488

Emon, M. M. H., & Khan, T. (2025). The transformative role of Industry 4.0 in supply chains: Exploring digital integration and innovation in the manufacturing enterprises. Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity, 11(2), 100516. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.joitmc.2025.100516

Fan, Y., & Stevenson, M. (2018). A review of supply chain risk management: Definition, theory, and research agenda. International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management, 48(3), 205–230. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-01-2017-0043

Gligor, D. M., & Holcomb, M. C. (2012). Understanding the role of logistics capabilities in achieving supply chain agility. International Journal of Logistics Management, 23(3), 338–359. https://doi.org/10.1108/09574091211289231

Ivanov, D. (2021). Supply chain viability and the COVID-19 pandemic: A conceptual and formal generalization of four major adaptation strategies. International Journal of Production Research, 59(12), 3535–3552. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2021.1921021

Kamalahmadi, M., & Parast, M. M. (2016). A review of the literature on the principles of enterprise and supply chain resilience: Major findings and directions for future research. International Journal of Production Economics, 171, 116–133. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2015.10.023

Kache, F., & Seuring, S. (2017). Challenges and opportunities of digital information at the intersection of Big Data Analytics and supply chain management. International Journal of Operations & Production Management, 37(1), 10–36. https://doi.org/10.1108/IJOPM-02-2015-0078

Kumar, S., & Banerjee, R. (2014). Managing disruption risks in supply chains: A case study from India. International Journal of Production Research, 52(6), 1708–1721. https://doi.org/10.1080/00207543.2013.849366

Lund, S., Manyika, J., & Woetzel, J. (2020). Risk, resilience, and rebalancing in global value chains. McKinsey Global Institute.

Pettit, T. J., Fiksel, J., & Croxton, K. L. (2010). Ensuring supply chain resilience: Development of a conceptual framework. Journal of Business Logistics, 31(1), 1–21. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2010.tb00125.x