

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Metallic Shipwrecks and Bacteria: A Love-Hate Relationship

---

[Laurent Urios](#) \*

Posted Date: 24 March 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202503.1656.v1

Keywords: shipwreck; bacteria; MIC; biofilm



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Review

# Metallic Shipwrecks and Bacteria: A Love-Hate Relationship

Laurent Urios

Universite de Pau et des Pays de l'Adour, CNRS, IPREM, Pau, France; laurent.ursos@univ-pau.fr

**Abstract:** For two centuries, metallic shipwrecks have been relics of the history of navigation, trade and wars. They are also hotspots of marine biodiversity. The degradation of these shipwrecks not only threatens their environment through the release of polluting compounds, but also the reef ecosystems that have developed. Microorganisms are at the root of both these phenomena, and their roles are still more hypothetical than validated. The aim of this review is focus in the known or suggested relationships between bacteria and metallic shipwrecks and to identify issues that highlight the need for multidisciplinary studies to better understand the mechanisms at play in these ecosystems with the aim of protecting both the environment and these sites of underwater cultural and natural heritage.

**Keywords:** shipwreck; bacteria; MIC; biofilm

---

## 1. Introduction

UNESCO estimates that there are over 3 million shipwrecks in the world's oceans and seas, of which around 75% date back to the Second World War [1,2]. The cause of shipwreck can be natural (bad weather), man-made (collision with another ship, running aground, scuttling), mechanical or an act of war. Over time, shipwrecks become underwater cultural heritage artifacts [3].

Shipwrecks can contain hazardous substances such as explosives and hydrocarbons which, if released, can affect the environment. It is currently estimated that shipwrecks from the two world wars contain between 2.5 and 20.4 million tonnes of hydrocarbons [4]. Similarly, there are estimated to be around 1.6 million tonnes of ammunitions and explosives of all types [5]. More than 8,500 potentially polluting shipwrecks (PPW) lie in the marine environment, the majority dating from the Second World War [6]. Taking into account an average corrosion rate of 0.1 mm per year, these shipwrecks, which are more than 80 years old, are extremely fragile, and the risks of destructuring, and therefore dumping, are very high [7,8]. This large number of PPWs calls for the development of classification methods, prioritization and associated risk management strategies [9]. In addition, shipwrecks can affect living organisms in their environment when they release metals and metalloids from corrosion processes [10]. As a result, shipwrecks are considered a major source of ocean pollution by the European Parliament [2].

However, shipwrecks are not just sources of pollution. When a ship sinks, it enters an existing ecosystem, which is then disturbed. The new materials and structures that rise from the seabed will be colonized by numerous organisms [3]. They will be the physical support for a reef ecosystem that could become a real biodiversity hotspot [11].

Shipwrecks are generally involuntarily created artificial reefs that contribute to the economy through leisure activities or fishing. One of the main reasons for the attractiveness of shipwrecks is the biodiversity that concentrates on these sites [12].

Pioneer organisms colonize shipwrecks quickly after sinking, and an ecosystem is established, evolves and stabilizes [13,14] until the shipwreck degrades and eventually disappears. During the evolution of the reef ecosystem, the stability of environmental conditions can be as influential as the nature of the materials on the succession of colonizing organisms [15].

The biodiversity observed on metallic shipwrecks can be very different from that on nearby hard substrates [16]. Similarly, community structure can differ greatly between metallic shipwrecks and artificial reefs in the same environment [17]. These structures can serve as refuges and breeding grounds for many species that find sufficient nutrients there [18,19]. But this attractiveness can also have disadvantages, such as facilitating the establishment of invasive species or the spread of organisms requiring hard substrates [20,21].

Shipwrecks that are very close to each other may have differences in their epifaunal communities. The causes are unclear, as neither the age of the wreck nor obvious abiotic factors explain the variations observed, apart from the configuration of the remains, notably their elevation in relation to the substrate, their orientation in relation to prevailing currents and the frequency of human activities [22,23].

On soft bottoms, shipwrecks form an irregular network of hard substrates, the interconnections between which remain unclear [24]. Exchanges and relationships between wreck communities in the same geographical area must exist, but remain poorly described [25].

Given the small number of metallic shipwrecks studied in relation to the immense diversity of remains and situations, to date each wreck could be considered a specific case and it is therefore necessary to increase data acquisition on these ecosystems to better understand them and guide management strategies both for their preservation and therefore to limit pollution risks [11].

Colonization begins with the installation of microorganisms whose development on the surface of the shipwreck takes place in interaction with the materials. The aim of this review is to focus in what is known about the relationship between colonizing bacteria and metallic shipwrecks, the consequences of their development and to identify the questions that need to be answered in order to better understand shipwreck-bacteria systems.

## 2. Shipwrecks Change Their Environment and Affect Communities

Shipwrecks physically modify their environment. Their relief and elevation affect local currents, which in turn affect water column oxygenation, nutrient transport, topography and sediment grain size [26–29]. They also chemically modify their environment by releasing pollutants from cargoes or the ship itself. The zone of influence is much wider than the perimeter of the remains. The shipwreck ecosystem extends to at least 10 times the size of the wreck itself [12].

Shipwrecks can release PCBs and trace elements (including Cu, As, Cd, Cr, Hg, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn), which end up in sediments, but also in organisms living on or near shipwrecks [11,30]. The pollutant plume can stretch several kilometers from the site [31].

The release of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons may take place slowly through seepage from reservoirs and may not be observable or significantly modify microbial communities in long-polluted environments [32], or on the contrary affect the composition and functionalities of microbial communities [33].

Hydrocarbons, micropollutants and heavy metals can be released simultaneously and over long periods. The study of the V-1302 *John Mahn* (WWII shipwreck) showed that 80 years after its sinking, the wreck was still influencing the chemistry and microbial ecology of its environment due to the emission of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from the fuel and pollutants from the ammunitions and the ship itself [5].

Even if the sediment type was of great importance, Bolton et al. [34] showed that the frame type and country of origin of the wrecks played the most significant roles in surrounding sediments, but could not conclude on potential impact of chemicals released from the wrecks.

In environments where iron concentration is very limited, a metallic shipwreck provides a very significant source of iron that will diffuse over a long period, encouraging the development of algae and cyanobacteria. The extent of this development disrupts that of native organisms, even going so far as to cause their death. This phenomenon, observed on coral reefs in the Pacific Ocean, has been named “black reef” after the appearance of the reefs around the wreck site [10,35,36].

### 3. Microbial Colonization

Microorganisms are the first to colonize artificial structures introduced into marine environments, and this colonization is the first step in the transformation of these structures into artificial reefs [37]. Interactions between the colonizing microorganisms and the surface of metallic shipwrecks will influence not only the integrity of the wreck, but also its evolution into an artificial reef by allowing the recruitment of other organisms [38,39].

Colonizing microorganisms form biofilms. Costerton et al. [40] proposed a definition of biofilm: "Biofilms are defined as matrix-enclosed bacterial populations adherent to each other an/or to surfaces or interfaces. This definition includes microbial aggregates and flocules".

Biofilm formation begins within the first few hours, and maturation can take place within a few days [41,42]. The composition of the microbial community evolves over time [43]. This development strategy provides protection (toxic compounds, predators, mechanical stress), can facilitate nutrition, communication and cooperation between cells, and recruitment of other organisms [37,44]. The matrix produced by and enveloping microorganisms is made up of exopolysaccharides, proteins and nucleic acids, to which other organic and inorganic compounds may be added.

The development of a biofilm on the surface of the shipwreck reduces or even eliminates direct access to the metal. However, within the maturing biofilm itself, interactions with the substrate and between members of the microbial community can condition both the structuring of microorganisms according to their metabolic types, the release of compounds from the ship and the formation of concentration gradients between the surrounding environment and the wreck surface [33,45–47].

### 4. Microbial Communities and Taxonomic Groups on Metallic Shipwrecks

Many studies have been carried out on coupons placed in situ to study the corrosion of steels in a marine environment. The colonization of steel coupons in marine sediment begins with an increase in the abundance of iron-oxidizing bacteria (IOB). As the biofilm develops, other organisms become established [5]. During biofilm development on the metal surface, microorganisms in the upper layers consume oxygen, thus impoverishing the deeper layers within which microaerophilic or anaerobic microorganisms can grow, such as sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB) and methanogens [48]. The iron sulfides formed by reaction with the H<sub>2</sub>S produced by SRB are then oxidized by sulfur-oxidizing bacteria (SOB). During all transformation stages, corrosive compounds are produced, which can accentuate corrosion [49]. There are very few publications describing the taxonomy of bacteria colonizing metal shipwrecks. Hamdan et al. [50] suggest that shipwrecks may function as biodiversity islands for the microbial communities that thrive there. As with macroorganisms, shipwrecks could form an irregular network of islands whose zone of influence extends several hundred meters beyond the wreck itself, with the richness and diversity of the microbiome increasing with proximity to the wreck [51]. The question of the representativeness of the sampling in relation to the total dimensions of the shipwrecks is raised. In a study comparing the microbial communities of wreck samples with those of nearby sediments, greater similarity was shown between the communities of wreck samples and those of surrounding sediments and water column samples [39].

The major taxonomic groups identified from metallic shipwreck samples belong mainly to *Proteobacteria*. Mugge et al. [33] found a majority of *Alpha*-, *Epsilon*- and *Zetaproteobacteria*, among which sequences of *Mariprofundus* (*Zetaproteobacteria*) and *Sulfurimonas* (*Epsilonproteobacteria*) were very abundant, followed by *Roseobacter* (*Alphaproteobacteria*) and *Colwellia* (*Gammaproteobacteria*). Price et al. [39] also identified a majority of *Zetaproteobacteria*, including the genus *Mariprofundus*, unclassified *Proteobacteria* and *Planctomycetes*. They noted that *Zetaproteobacteria* can be IOB and *Planctomycetes* ammonia-oxidizing bacteria (AOB). The latter could produce nitrogen that could be used by *Zetaproteobacteria*. They also noted that the proportion of AOB was higher in samples that were visibly corroded. Finally, Garrison and Field [52] noted that *Proteobacteria* (*Alpha*-, *Beta*-, *Delta*-, *Gammaproteobacteria* and unclassified *Proteobacteria*) were in the majority (around 50% of OTUs), followed by *Bacteroidetes* and *Planctomycetes* (around 25%). They noted a similarity between wreck



communities and those of immersed metallic structures. Indeed, McBeth and Emerson [42] observed the presence of *Alpha*-, *Gamma*-, *Epsilon*- and *Zetaproteobacteria* from the first days of in situ coupon colonization, and *Deltaproteobacteria* (SRB) after a few weeks of incubation. They noted that *Zetaproteobacteria* rapidly colonized metallic surfaces in a coastal marine environment, where they were not abundant.

Other studies on steel coupons placed in a marine environment provide similar data. When monitoring community evolution, Moura et al. [53] first observed *Alteromonadales* (*Gammaproteobacteria*) and *Rhodobacterales* (*Alphaproteobacteria*), followed by *Bacteroidetes*. The latter could benefit from the metabolism of primo-colonizing IOB and SOB chemolithotrophs [54]. More generally, *Alpha*-, *Delta*- and *Gammaproteobacteria* were often found, notably *Rhodobacteraceae* and *Rhizobiales*, but also *Epsilonproteobacteria* (*Sulfurimonas*, *Arcobacter*) and *Zetaproteobacteria* [43,55]. Chemolithotrophic metabolisms appear to be important among microorganisms colonizing steels. Apart from the sulfur and iron cycle, other important metabolic pathways inferred are hydrogen oxidation, arsenate reduction and the nitrogen cycle [55]. Metabolic pathways linked to the nitrogen cycle could also affect corrosion processes [55].

Studies carried out directly on samples from shipwrecks are very few in number compared with the multitude of studies carried out on steel coupons to address the issue of corrosion in the marine environment. The data acquired on the composition of microbial communities on shipwrecks seem to indicate a certain analogy with those on steel coupons in the marine environment. This resemblance is interesting, as the subject of corrosion is a critical one when considering the evolution of shipwrecks.

## 5. Microbial Influenced Corrosion (MIC)

Carbon steel has been used in shipbuilding since the 19th century. This inexpensive, easy-to-use material is, however, prone to corrosion. This is particularly true of the large number of metallic shipwrecks from the First and Second World Wars, which have now been lying for over a century and over eighty years respectively [33]. Microorganism-influenced corrosion (MIC) is a phenomenon in which microorganisms accelerate corrosion directly or indirectly by creating corrosion-promoting conditions. Bacteria can create conditions that will affect corrosion, such as local pH variation, production of corrosive compounds, reduction or oxidation of corrosion products [54].

Three categories of microorganisms are usually associated with MIC: Iron oxidizing bacteria (IOB), Iron-Reducing Bacteria (IRB) and sulfate-reducing bacteria (SRB). IOB were among the first groups of microorganisms identified as causing MIC [56,57]. IOB oxidize ferrous ( $\text{Fe}^{2+}$ ) to ferric ( $\text{Fe}^{3+}$ ) iron to obtain energy. Bacterial strains belong notably to the families *Crenothricaceae*, *Sphaerotilaceae* and *Gallionellaceae* [47] but also *Zetaproteobacteria* in aquatic environments including shipwrecks as seen previously [58]. These bacteria produce deposits made of ferric oxides and/or hydroxides [59]. Microbial iron oxide reduction contributes to MIC by solubilization of iron compounds and removal of iron oxides [60]. The relationship of IRB to corrosion is not simple. They can enhance corrosion or have a passivating effect [61,62]. IRB can be very diverse and can be strict or facultative anaerobes. Solid manganese and iron oxides can be used as electron acceptors for anaerobic respiration by *Shewanella* and *Geobacter* strains [63,64]. In marine environments, some SRB can also reduce  $\text{Fe}^{3+}$  directly or indirectly by producing  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$ , which can reduce iron oxyhydroxides to form iron sulphides [65]. Co-cultures of IOB and IRB can cause a significant loss of metal from carbon steel and greater roughening of the surface in comparison with incubations of monocultures of IOB or IRB [59].

The role of IOB in biocorrosion processes is much less well described than that of SRB. IOB could be among the first colonizers to modify environmental conditions in contact with steel, enabling other colonizers to take hold [66]. Van Landuyt et al. [5] noted a high abundance of microorganisms involved in the sulfur cycle: SRB (notably *Desulfobulbia*) and IOB (*Mariprofundus*, *Zetaproteobacteria*).

As oxygen is consumed within the biofilm, SRB can become dominant in MIC processes. Indeed, sulfate reduction produces hydrogen and iron sulfides, which are corrosive [67]. However, SRB

activity is also dependent on the availability of nutrients and therefore on the functioning of other types of microorganisms nearby in the biofilm: the reduction of nutrients accessible to SRB will consequently reduce their functioning and therefore limit their effect in the corrosion process [68].

Garrison and Field [52] have attempted to define a “core steel microbiome”, as characterizing such a microbiome would help determine under which conditions steels are most susceptible or, conversely, most protected against corrosion. A core is defined as the suite of members shared among microbial consortia from similar habitats. Discovering such a core microbiome could allow to understand the stable, consistent components within microbial assemblages [69]. Colonizing communities are affected by environmental parameters [39,42]. If they vary, the functions they perform may also be altered (carbon, nitrogen, sulfur cycles). Members of a core microbiome can provide taxonomic and functional redundancy, and assessing the presence and proportion of each can give clues as to possible capacities to adapt to variations in environmental parameters [69]. To achieve this, community characterization must be as comprehensive as possible, as less-represented taxa may nevertheless have important roles in the functioning of these communities [70]. Somewhat surprisingly, while the metabolic types usually associated with corrosion are those related to the iron and sulfur cycles, the core steel microbiome defined by Garrison and Field [52] is predominantly made up of heterotrophic generalist taxa. Similarly, a high proportion of generalist taxa in biofilms on steels has been found to be associated with increased corrosion [33,53]. In their study of four shipwrecks including the *Titanic*, *Bismarck* and *Britannic*, Cullimore and Johnston [71] showed that although IRB and SRB were present and sometimes quite active, heterotrophic aerobic bacteria had the highest activity rates. Biofilms on steel accumulate organic compounds that reduce or prevent other microorganisms from accessing and adhering to the metal surface and accessing this potential iron source. The degradation of these organic compounds by heterotrophic generalist microorganisms would therefore be an action facilitating MIC [52]. What's more, these generalists would be less affected by changes in their environment, giving them a competitive advantage in terms of installation and development, but also ensuring stability for the community and enabling other, more specialized microorganisms to develop [52,72].

Metallic shipwrecks corrode, and the corrosion layer sometimes takes the form of stalactites that grow over time, known as rusticles for rust-covered icicles [73]. The formation of rusticles adhering to steel is caused by communities of microorganisms that form these porous concretions containing a high proportion of metal oxides. Although the water flowing through the rusticles contains iron, the transport mechanism of corrosion products from the corrosion point to the rusticle tip is not fully elucidated, and microorganisms may be involved in particle migration [74]. The formation of rusticles and their structure and shape depend on a number of factors, including the type of steel on which they grow, and the availability of nutrients in their environment. During their development, rusticles can change in appearance and shape, while increasing in complexity, suggesting changes within the microbial community that generates rusticles [75]. This community consists mainly of SRB, acid producing heterotrophs, denitrifiers, IRB and IOB. The diversity of metabolisms suggests cooperative community functioning. Oxidizing conditions appear to be the predominant factor promoting rusticle growth [71]. However, at the base of these structures, under anoxic conditions and alongside SRB, methanogenic Archaea can account for more than half of the microorganisms [48].

## 6. Protective Biofilm

Biofilm accumulation can reduce access to the metal surface and thus protect it against abiotic generalized corrosion [45]. However, changes in environmental conditions can induce changes in the structure and composition of biofilms and thus mitigate this protective action [76].

The production of EPS combined with the accumulation of other organic and inorganic compounds, such as calcite-forming biomineralization, constitute a so-called “hybrid” biofilm that can act as a barrier, protecting the metal surface [77].

However, on the large surfaces that submerged structures such as metallic shipwrecks can represent, the formation of these protective biofilms may not completely and uniformly cover the

surface. A random and uneven distribution of protective biofilms can then create differently oxygenated zones, producing cathodic and anodic sites and therefore increasing corrosion at other points [78,79].

## 7. The Particular Case of *Halomonas titanicae*

There are few studies describing the microbial diversity colonizing metal shipwrecks. There is even less characterization of strains from these shipwrecks. The example of *Halomonas titanicae* BH1<sup>T</sup> is a special case. This strain was isolated from a sample of rusticle taken from the *Titanic* in 1991 [80]. Its genome was published three years later [81]. After the publication of this new species, several other isolates of the same species were isolated and used in numerous works: to date, the original publication has been cited more than a hundred times. The vast majority of publications focus on the study of steel corrosion [82,83] or copper [84], but also the behavior of *Halomonas titanicae* strains such as their adaptation to stress [85], their ability to form EPS and a biofilm [86,87]. This particular case illustrates both the key points related to the colonization of submerged metallic structures (biofilm, behavior, metabolisms, corrosion, MIC) and the need to have a manipulable biological resource to explore in vitro what is technically very difficult to do in situ.

## 8. Environmental Changes

Natural variations in environmental conditions (tidal rhythm, freshwater inputs in estuarine areas) shape marine communities. However, periodic, chronic or exceptional variations in water composition can exert pressures that communities must cope with. These constraints can have consequences on the degradation of shipwrecks located in affected areas, whether in anthropized coastal or in deep-sea environments.

The nitrate reduction capacity is widespread in the marine environment and particularly among chemolithotrophs [88]. Continental nitrate input can promote the development of nitrate reducing bacteria (NRB). Iron oxidation coupled with nitrate reduction is at least as thermodynamically favorable as iron oxidation coupled with sulfate reduction [89,90]. Nitrate input can thus accelerate corrosion [91].

Inputs of organic compounds such as hydrocarbons can affect the composition and functionality of biofilms on a metallic shipwreck and consequently cause accelerated corrosion [33].

## 9. Questions and Future Research Directions

The bibliography on microbial communities colonizing metallic shipwrecks is limited and complex questions still await answers.

The extent of knowledge on the microbial diversity of metallic shipwrecks remains limited to a few studied sites and, to date, it appears that each wreck may be a special case. The small number of shipwrecks analyzed does not yet allow to define a solid core microbiome specific to metallic shipwrecks. Extending the study of microbial colonization to a larger number of shipwrecks would perhaps allow to identify a common background of microbial characteristics.

Microbial communities forming biofilms on coupons are evolving, but what about shipwrecks? Studies on the microbiome colonizing steel coupons in the marine environment have been conducted over relatively short periods of time, from a few weeks to a few months, while metallic shipwrecks lie for decades. How can we understand their evolution and that of the colonizing communities? How can we make very long-term predictions? Carrying out colonization monitoring experiments in the context of metallic shipwrecks, in parallel with characterizations of microbiomes of shipwrecks of different ages, could help us understand how communities evolve over the long term, what the associated effects are, and perhaps be able to model the joint evolutions of communities and shipwrecks. Another experimental possibility would be to study ships that are decontaminated and sunk in order to create artificial reefs. Several studies concern the diversity of macroorganisms on such shipwrecks, but the communities of microorganisms, although first colonizers, have not been

characterized from the day of immersion and then over time. These sites could make it possible to describe the first stages of colonization and then the succession of populations in situ in the medium and long term.

The few microbiological studies on shipwrecks have shown that environmental parameters affect microbial communities, including depth, salinity, hydrocarbons and nitrates. What about other pollutants or organic compounds from human activities? Many shipwrecks are located in anthropized coastal areas. Studies taking into account these anthropogenic parameters are necessary to integrate this influence into the overall understanding of the evolution of metallic shipwrecks.

## 10. Conclusion

Metallic shipwrecks could be considered as long-term corrosion experiments that cannot be reproduced in vitro [74]. But the fact that each wreck is a special case raises the problem of fundamental global understanding, extrapolation and formulation of generalizable solutions to slow down their degradation while preserving the reef ecosystems of which they are from the outset the vital element for their development and survival.

Metallic shipwrecks are attractive to certain bacterial taxonomic groups whose development on their surface has consequences both in the short term (initiation of the reef ecosystem) and in the long term (protection or accentuation of corrosion). The future of metallic shipwrecks therefore depends in particular on colonization by these microorganisms, but the reverse is true: as the shipwrecks degrade, disintegrate and disappear, the reef ecosystem is threatened and eventually disappears as well. Like other colonizing macroorganisms, microorganisms then gradually lose their development support both as a surface and as a source of useful compounds.

Marine structures are expected to increase in number and area by at least 23% globally over the next 10 years [92]. It is important to document the evolution of the seabed landscape in order to understand the effects on ecology before the arrival of new structures [55]. As submerged structures are supports and refuges for marine organisms, the increase in these structures raises questions about their effects on biodiversity and ecosystem functioning. The study of biofilms is therefore necessary to address these questions. The role of microorganisms colonizing submerged metallic structures is crucial in several ways, both in potential protection and in degradation mechanisms. The phylogenetic and functional diversity of biofilms that provides them with resistance, adaptation or resilience to changes can be both beneficial and problematic [79]. Understanding the composition and dynamics of communities within these complex biofilms appears necessary to imagine preservation solutions for both metallic shipwrecks and other submerged structures.

Underwater cultural heritage offers a vast network of ecological experimentation and monitoring sites that can contribute to expanding our collective understanding of the ecological functions of submerged structures [3]. Collaborations between archaeologists and biologists are necessary to achieve an understanding of the processes that occur on and around shipwrecks. Knowledge of shipwreck ecology has implications for ecology but also for archaeology and vice versa. Furthermore, collaboration with other disciplines interested in environmental conditions and physicochemical processes (oceanography, physics, chemistry) is necessary to better understand the functioning of wreck-living systems [93]. The subject is so vast and complex that its exploration can only truly progress within the framework of such multidisciplinary collaborations.

**Author Contributions:** conceptualization, investigation, writing made by the author.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Not applicable.



**Conflicts of Interest:** The author declares no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

## References

1. Vinson, I. Editorial. *Museum International* **2008**, *60*, 4–6. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-0033.2008.00660.x>
2. Parliamentary Assembly. The environmental impact of sunken shipwrecks. Resolution 1869, **2012**. <https://assembly.coe.int/nw/xml/XRef/Xref-XML2HTML-en.asp?fileid=18077&lang=en>
3. Paxton, A.B.; McGonigle, C.; Damour, M.; Holly, G.; Caporaso, A.; Campbell, P.B.; Meyer-Kaiser, K.S.; Hamdan, L.J.; Mires, C.H.; Taylor, J. C. Shipwreck ecology: Understanding the function and processes from microbes to megafauna. *BioScience* **2024**, *74*, 12–24.
4. Landquist, H.; Norrman, J.; Lindhe, A.; Norberg, T.; Hassellöv, I.M.; Lindgren, J.F.; Rosén, L. Expert elicitation for deriving input data for probabilistic risk assessment of shipwrecks. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2017**, *125*, 399–415. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2017.09.043. Epub 2017 Sep 29. PMID: 28969906.
5. Van Landuyt, J.; Kundu, K.; Van Haelst, S.; Neyts, M.; Parmentier, K.; De Rijcke, M.; Boon, N. 80 years later: marine sediment still influenced by an old war ship. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2022**, 1017136.
6. Michel, J.; D. Etkin, T.; Gilbert, J.; Waldron, C.; Blocksidge, R. Potentially Polluting Wrecks in Marine Waters: An Issue Paper Presented at the 2005 International Oil Spill Conference. American Petroleum Institute, Washington, D.C. 2005, 76 pp.
7. Melchers, R.E. Long-term corrosion of cast irons and steel in marine and atmospheric environments. *Corr. Sci.* **2013**, *68*, 186–194.
8. Faksness, L.G.; Daling, P.; Altin, D.; Dolva, H.; Fosbæk, B.; Bergström, R. Relative bioavailability and toxicity of fuel oils leaking from World War II shipwrecks. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2015**, *94*, 123–130.
9. Carter, M.; Goodsir, F.; Cundall, P.; Devlin, M.; Fuller, S.; Jeffery, B.; Hil, G.; Talouli, A. Ticking ecological time bombs: Risk characterisation and management of oil polluting World War II shipwrecks in the Pacific Ocean. *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2021**, *164*, 112087. doi: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2021.112087. Epub 2021 Feb 3. PMID: 33548805.
10. Kelly, L.W.; Barott, K.L.; Dinsdale, E.; Friedlander, A.M.; Nosrat, B.; Obura, D.; Sala, E.; Sandin, S.A.; Smith, J.E.; Vermeij, M.J.; Williams, G.J.; Willner, D.; Rohwer, F. Black reefs: iron-induced phase shifts on coral reefs. *ISME J.* **2012**, *6*, 638–49.
11. Renzi, M.; Romeo, T.; Guerranti, C.; Perra, G.; Canese, S.; Consoli, P.; Focardi, S.E.; Berti, C.; Sprovieri, M.; Gherardi, S.; Salvagio, D.; Giaramita, L.; Esposito, V.; Battaglia, P.; Giacobbe, S.; Andaloro, F. Are shipwrecks a real hazard for the ecosystem in the Mediterranean Sea? *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2017**, *124*, 21–32.
12. Stieglitz, T.C. Habitat engineering by decadal-scale bioturbation around shipwrecks on the Great Barrier Reef mid-shelf. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **2013**, *477*, 29–40.
13. Picken, G.B. Moray Firth marine fouling communities. *Proc. R. Soc. Edin. B-Bio.* **1986**, *91*, 213–220. doi:10.1017/S0269727000009313
14. Fagundes-Netto, E.B.; Gaelzer, L.R.; Coutinho, R.; Zalmon, I.R. Influence of a shipwreck on a nearshore-reef fish assemblages off the coast of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil. *Lat. Am. J. Aquat. Res.* **2011**, *39*, 103–116.
15. González-Duarte, M.M.; Fernández-Montblanc, T.; Bethencourt, M.; Izquierdo, A. Effects of substrata and environmental conditions on ecological succession on historic shipwrecks. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2018**, *200*, 301–310.
16. Simon, T.; Joyeux, J.C.; Pinheiro, H.T. Fish assemblages on shipwrecks and natural rocky reefs strongly differ in trophic structure. *Mar. Environ. Res.* **2013**, *90*, 55–65.
17. Arena, P.T.; Jordan, L.K.; Spieler, R.E. Fish assemblages on sunken vessels and natural reefs in southeast Florida, USA. *Hydrobiologia*, **2007**, *580*, 157–171.
18. Randell, S. Marine growth on shipwrecks. *AIMA Bull.* **1998**, *22*, 107–108.
19. Lengkeek, W.; Coolen, J.W.P.; Gittenberger, A.; Schrieken, N. Ecological relevance of shipwrecks in the North Sea. *Ned. Faun. Meded.* **2013**, *41*, 49–57.
20. Bulleri, F. The introduction of artificial structures on marine soft-and hard-bottoms: ecological implications of epibiota. *Environ. Conserv.* **2005**, *32*, 101–102.

21. Airoidi, L.; Turon, X.; Perkol-Finkel, S.; Rius, M. Corridors for aliens but not for natives: effects of marine urban sprawl at a regional scale. *Divers. Distrib.* **2015**, *2*, 755-768.
22. Zintzen, V.; Norro, A.; Massin, C.; Mallefet, J. Spatial variability of epifaunal communities from artificial habitat: Shipwrecks in the Southern Bight of the North Sea. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2008**, *76*, 327-344.
23. Zintzen, V.; Massin, C. Artificial hard substrata from the Belgian part of the North Sea and their influence on the distributional range of species. *Belg. J. Zool.* **2010**, *140*, 20-29.
24. Zintzen, V.; Massin, C.; Norro, A.; Mallefet, J. Epifaunal inventory of two shipwrecks from the Belgian Continental Shelf. In *Marine Biodiversity: Patterns and Processes, Assessment, Threats, Management and Conservation*; Developments in Hydrobiology; Martens, K., Queiroga, H., Cunha, M.R., Cunha, A., Moreira, M.H., Quintino, V., Rodrigues, A.M., Seroôdio, J., Warwick, R.M., Eds.; Springer: Dordrecht, The Netherlands, 2006; pp. 207-219.
25. Bacchiocchi, F.; Airoidi, L. Distribution and dynamics of epibiota on hard structures for coastal protection. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2003**, *56*, 1157-1166.
26. Baynes, T.W.; Szmant, A.M. Effect of current on the sessile benthic community structure of an artificial reef. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* **1989**, *44*, 545-566.
27. Falcão, M.; Santos, M.N.; Drago, T.; Serpa, D.; Monteiro, C. Effect of artificial reefs (southern Portugal) on sediment-water transport of nutrients: Importance of the hydrodynamic regime. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2009**, *83*, 451-459.
28. Ruuskanen, A.T.; Kraufvelin, P.; Alvik, R.; Díaz, E.R.; Honkonen, J.; Kanerva, J.; Karell, K.; Kekäläinen, P.; Lappalainen, J.; Mikkola, R.; Mustasaari, T.; Nappu, N.; Nieminen, A.; Roininen, J.; Svahnback, K. Benthic conditions around a historic shipwreck: Vrouw Maria (1771) in the northern Baltic proper. *Cont. Shelf Res.* **2015**, *98*, 1-12.
29. Balazy, P.; Copeland, U.; Sokołowski, A. Shipwrecks and underwater objects of the southern Baltic-Hard substrata islands in the brackish, soft bottom marine environment. *Estuar. Coast. Shelf Sci.* **2019**, *225*, 106240.
30. Rogowska, J.; Kudlak, B.; Tsakovski, S.; Gałuszka, A.; Bajger-Nowak, G.; Simeonov, V.; Konieczka, P.; Wolska, L.; Namieśnik, J. Surface sediments pollution due to shipwreck s/s "Stuttgart": a multidisciplinary approach. *Stoch. Environ. Res. Risk Assess.* **2015**, *29*, 1797-1807.
31. Annibaldi, A.; Illuminati, S.; Truzzi, C.; Scarponi, G. SWASV speciation of Cd, Pb and Cu for the determination of seawater contamination in the area of the Nicole shipwreck (Ancona coast, Central Adriatic Sea). *Mar. Pollut. Bull.* **2011**, *62*, 2813-2821.
32. Thomas, G.E.; Bolam, S.G.; Brant, J.L.; Brash, R.; Goodsir, F.; Hynes, C.; McGenity, T.J.; McIlwaine, P.S.O.; McKew, B.A. Evaluation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon pollution from the HMS royal oak shipwreck and effects on sediment microbial community structure. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2021**, *8*, 650139.
33. Mugge, R.L.; Brock, M.L.; Salerno, J.L.; Damour, M.; Church, R.A.; Lee, J.S.; Hamdan, L.J. Deep-sea biofilms, historic shipwreck preservation and the Deepwater Horizon spill. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2019**, *6*, 48.
34. Bolton, E.; Greenhalgh, N.; Kunselman, E.; Mifsud, J.; Patel, N.; Sausmekat, M.P.; Oldach, P.; Gambin, T. Deepening the understanding of wreck ecology: a comparative study of marine sediment microbiomes across 10 Maltese wreck sites. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2024**, *11*, 1480265.
35. Schroeder, R.E.; Green, A.L.; DeMartini, E.E.; Kenyon, J.C. Long-term effects of a ship-grounding on coral reef fish assemblages at Rose Atoll, American Samoa. *Bull. Mar. Sci.* **2008**, *82*, 345-364.
36. Work, T.M.; Aeby, G.S.; Maragos, J.E. Phase shift from a coral to a corallimorph-dominated reef associated with a shipwreck on Palmyra Atoll. *PLoS ONE* **2008**, *3*, e2989.
37. Svane, I.B.; Petersen, J.K. On the problems of epibioses, fouling and artificial reefs, a review. *Mar. Ecol.* **2001**, *22*, 169-188.
38. Huggett, M.J.; Williamson, J.E.; De Nys, R.; Kjelleberg, S.; Steinberg, P.D. Larval settlement of the common Australian sea urchin *Heliocidaris erythrogramma* in response to bacteria from the surface of coralline algae. *Oecologia* **2006**, *149*, 604-619.
39. Price, K.A.; Garrison, C.E.; Richards, N.; Field, E.K. A shallow water ferrous-hulled shipwreck reveals a distinct microbial community. *Front. Microbiol.* **2020**, *11*, 1897.
40. Costerton, J.W.; Lewandowski, Z.; Caldwell, D.E.; Korber, D.R.; Lappin-Scott, H.M. Microbial biofilms. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **1995**, 711-745.

41. Acuña, N.; Ortega-Morales, B.O.; Valadez-González, A. Biofilm colonization dynamics and its influence on the corrosion resistance of austenitic UNS S31603 stainless steel exposed to Gulf of Mexico seawater. *Mar. biotechnol.* **2006**, *8*, 62-70.
42. McBeth, J.M.; Emerson, D. In situ microbial community succession on mild steel in estuarine and marine environments: exploring the role of iron-oxidizing bacteria. *Front. Microbiol.* **2016**, *7*, 767.
43. Qian, P.Y.; Cheng, A.; Wang, R.; Zhang, R. Marine biofilms: diversity, interactions and biofouling. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* **2022**, *20*, 671-684.
44. Decho, A.W. Microbial biofilms in intertidal systems: an overview. *Cont. Shelf Res.* **2000**, *20*, 1257-1273.
45. Beech, I.B.; Cheung, C.S. Interactions of exopolymers produced by sulphate-reducing bacteria with metal ions. *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* **1995**, *35*, 59-72.
46. Zuo, R. Biofilms: strategies for metal corrosion inhibition employing microorganisms. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* **2007**, *76*, 1245-1253.
47. Little, B.J.; Lee, J.S.; Ray, R.I. The influence of marine biofilms on corrosion: a concise review. *Electrochim. Acta* **2008**, *54*, 2-7.
48. Usher, K.M.; Kaksonen, A.H.; MacLeod, I.D. Marine rust tubercles harbour iron corroding archaea and sulphate reducing bacteria. *Corr. Sci.* **2014**, *83*, 189-197.
49. Smith, M.; Bardiau, M.; Brennan, R.; Burgess, H.; Caplin, J.; Ray, S.; Urios, T. Accelerated low water corrosion: the microbial sulfur cycle in microcosm. *npj Mater. Degrad.* **2019**, *3*, 37. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41529-019-0099-9>
50. Hamdan, L.J.; Hampel, J.J.; Moseley, R.D.; Mugge, R.L.; Ray, A.; Salerno, J.L.; Damour, M. Deep-sea shipwrecks represent island-like ecosystems for marine microbiomes. *ISME J.* **2021**, *15*, 2883-2891.
51. Hampel, J.J.; Moseley, R.D.; Hamdan, L.J. Microbiomes respond predictably to built habitats on the seafloor. *Mol. Ecol.* **2023**, *32*, 6686-6695.
52. Garrison, C.E.; Field, E.K. Introducing a “core steel microbiome” and community functional analysis associated with microbially influenced corrosion. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* **2021**, *97*, fiae237.
53. Moura, V.; Ribeiro, I.; Moriggi, P.; Capão, A.; Salles, C.; Bitati, S.; Procópio, L. The influence of surface microbial diversity and succession on microbiologically influenced corrosion of steel in a simulated marine environment. *Arch. Microbiol.* **2018**, *200*, 1447-1456.
54. Doghri, I.; Rodrigues, S.; Bazire, A.; Dufour, A.; Akbar, D.; Sopena, V.; Sablé, S.; Lanneluc, I. Marine bacteria from the French Atlantic coast displaying high forming-biofilm abilities and different biofilm 3D architectures. *BMC Microbiol.* **2015**, *15*, 1-10.
55. Mugge, R.L.; Moseley, R.D.; Hamdan, L.J. Substrate specificity of biofilms proximate to historic shipwrecks. *Microorganisms* **2023**, *11*, 2416.
56. Sharpley, J.M. Microbiological corrosion in waterfloods. *Corrosion* **1961**, *17*, 92-96
57. Kobrin, G. Corrosion by microbiological organisms in natural waters. *Mater. Perform.* **1976**, *15*:38-43
58. McBeth, J.M.; Little, B.J.; Ray, R.I.; Farrar, K.M.; Emerson, D. Neutrophilic iron-oxidizing “Zetaproteobacteria” and mild steel corrosion in nearshore marine environments. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2011**, *77*, 1405-1412.
59. Lee, J.S.; McBeth, J.M.; Ray, R.I.; Little, B.J.; Emerson, D. Iron cycling at corroding carbon steel surfaces. *Biofouling* **2013**, *29*, 1243-1252.
60. Nealson, K.H.; Little, B. Breathing manganese and iron: solid-state respiration. *Adv. Appl. Microbiol.* **1997**, *45*, 213-239.
61. Larsen, I.; Little, B.J.; Nealson, K.; Ray, R.I.; Stone, A.; Tian, J. Manganite reduction by *Shewanella putrefaciens* mr-4. *Am. Mineral.* **1998**, *83*, 1564-1572.
62. Dubiel, M.; Hsu, C.H.; Chien, C.C.; Mansfeld, F.; Newman, D.K. Microbial iron respiration can protect steel from corrosion. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2002**, *68*, 1440-1445
63. Lovley, D.R.; Stolz, J.F.; Nord, G.L.; Phillips, E.J.P. Anaerobic production of magnetite by a dissimilatory iron-reducing microorganism. *Nature* **1987**, *330*, 252-254.
64. Myers, C.; Nealson, K.H. Bacterial manganese reduction and growth with manganese oxide as the sole electron acceptor. *Science* **1988**, *240*, 1319-1321.

65. Coleman, M.L.; Hedrick, D.B.; Lovley, D.R.; White, D.C.; Pye, K. Reduction of Fe(III) in sediments by sulfate-reducing bacteria. *Nature* **1993**, *361*, 436–438.
66. Emerson, D. The role of iron-oxidizing bacteria in biocorrosion: a review. *Biofouling* **2018**, *34*, 989–1000.
67. AlAbbas, F.M.; Williamson, C.; Bhola, S.M.; Spear, J.R.; Olson, D.L.; Mishra, B.; Kakpovbia, A.E. Influence of sulfate reducing bacterial biofilm on corrosion behavior of low-alloy, high-strength steel (API-5L X80). *Int. Biodeterior. Biodegrad.* **2013**, *78*, 34–42.
68. Moore III, J.D. Long-term corrosion processes of iron and steel shipwrecks in the marine environment: A review of current knowledge. *J. Marit. Archaeol.* **2015**, *10*, 191–204.
69. Shade, A.; Handelsman, J. Beyond the Venn diagram: the hunt for a core microbiome. *Environ. Microbiol.* **2012**, *14*, 4–12.
70. Jousset, A.; Bienhold, C.; Chatzinotas, A.; Gallien, L.; Gobet, A.; Kurm, V.; Küsel, K.; Rillig, M.C.; Rivett, D.W.; Salles, J.F.; van der Heijden, M.G.A.; Youssef, N.H.; Zhang, X.; Wei, Z.; Hol, W.G. Where less may be more: how the rare biosphere pulls ecosystems strings. *ISME J.* **2017**, *11*, 853–862.
71. Cullimore, D.R.; Johnston, L.A. Microbiology of concretions, sediments and mechanisms influencing the preservation of submerged archaeological artifacts. *Int. J. Hist. Archaeol.* **2008**, *12*, 120–132.
72. Pandit, S.N.; Kolasa, J.; Cottenie, K. Contrasts between habitat generalists and specialists: an empirical extension to the basic metacommunity framework. *Ecology* **2009**, *90*, 2253–2262.
73. Wells, W.; Mann, H. Microbiology and formation of rusticles from the RMS Titanic. *Resour. Environ. Biotechnol.* **1997**, *1*, 271–281.
74. Jeffrey, R.; Melchers, R.E. Influence of migration of iron particles, ions and compounds during long term marine immersion corrosion. *Corr. Eng. Sci. technol.* **2007**, *42*, 145–151.
75. Cullimore, D.R.; Johnston, L. Biodeterioration of the RMS Titanic. *Can. Chem. News* **2000**, *52*, 14–14.
76. Salerno, J.L.; Little, B.; Lee, J.; Hamdan, L.J. Exposure to crude oil and chemical dispersant may impact marine microbial biofilm composition and steel corrosion. *Front. Mar. Sci.* **2018**, *5*, 196.
77. Liu, T.; Guo, Z.; Zeng, Z.; Guo, N.; Lei, Y.; Liu, T.; Sun, S.; Chang, X.; Yin, Y.; Wang, X. Marine bacteria provide lasting anticorrosion activity for steel via biofilm-induced mineralization. *ACS Appl. Mater. interfaces* **2018**, *10*, 40317–40327.
78. Miller, R.B.; Sadek, A.; Rodriguez, A.; Iannuzzi, M.; Gai, C.; Senko, J.M.; Monty, C.N. Use of an electrochemical split cell technique to evaluate the influence of *Shewanella oneidensis* activities on corrosion of carbon steel. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0147899.
79. Tuck, B.; Watkin, E.; Somers, A.; Machuca, L.L. A critical review of marine biofilms on metallic materials. *npj Mater. Degrad.* **2022**, *6*, 25.
80. Sanchez-Porro, C.; Kaur, B.; Mann, H.; Ventosa, A. *Halomonas titanicae* sp. nov., a halophilic bacterium isolated from the RMS Titanic. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **2010**, *60*, 2768–2774.
81. Sánchez-Porro, C.; de la Haba, R.R.; Cruz-Hernández, N.; González, J.M.; Reyes-Guirao, C.; Navarro-Sampedro, L.; Carballo, M.; Ventosa, A. Draft genome of the marine Gammaproteobacterium *Halomonas titanicae*. *Genome Announc.* **2013**, *1*, 10–1128.
82. Wang, Y.; Wu, J.; Sun, L.; Zhang, D.; Li, E.; Xu, M.; Cai, H. Corrosion of EH40 steel affected by *Halomonas titanicae* dependent on electron acceptors utilized. *Corr. Sci.* **2021**, *182*, 109263.
83. Lu, S.; Chen, S.; Dou, W.; Wang, Y.; Sun, J.; Liu, G. Microbiologically influenced corrosion inhibition of two marine structural steels caused by *Halomonas titanicae* in aerobic environments. *Eng. Fail. Anal.* **2023**, *154*, 107668.
84. Lu, S.; Sun, J.; Xue, N.; Gu, T.; Xia, M.; Chu, W.; Chen, S.; Gangzhou, L.; Dou, W. Study of copper corrosion via extracellular electron transfer by nitrate reducing *Halomonas titanicae*. *Corr. Sci.* **2024**, *231*, 111996.
85. Li, J.; Xiao, X.; Zhou, M.; Zhang, Y. Strategy for the adaptation to stressful conditions of the novel isolated conditional Piezophilic strain *Halomonas titanicae* ANRCS81. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **2023**, *89*, e01304–22.
86. Balmaceda, R.S.; Ramos Ricciuti, F.E.; Redersdorff, I.E.; Veinticcinque, L.M.; Studdert, C.A.; Herrera Seitz, M.K. Chemosensory pathways of *Halomonas titanicae* KHS3 control chemotaxis behaviour and biofilm formation. *Microbiology* **2022**, *168*, 001251.



87. Fu, M.; Xia, M.; Lai, L.; Lu, S.; Chen, S.; Liu, G. Corrosion mechanisms of EH40 steel induced by extracellular polymeric substances from *Halomonas titanicae* cultivated under aerobic and anaerobic conditions. *Corr. Sci.* **2025**, 112758.
88. Lagarde, E. Métabolisme de l'azote minéral en milieu marin. *Vie Milieu* **1963**, 37-54.
89. Xu, D.; Li, Y.; Song, F.; Gu, T. Laboratory investigation of microbiologically influenced corrosion of C1018 carbon steel by nitrate reducing bacterium *Bacillus licheniformis*. *Corr. Sci.* **2013**, 77, 385-390.
90. Jia, R.; Unsal, T.; Xu, D.; Lekbach, Y.; Gu, T. Microbiologically influenced corrosion and current mitigation strategies: A state of the art review. *Int. biodeterior. Biodegrad.* **2019**, 137, 42-58.
91. Guo, D.; Duan, J. Nitrate pollution accelerated the microbial corrosion of Fe0: A simulated corrosion verification for understanding marine corrosion phenomenological model. *Bioelectrochemistry* **2025**, 108942.
92. Bugnot, A.B.; Mayer-Pinto, M.; Airoidi, L.; Heery, E.C.; Johnston, E.L.; Critchley, L.P.; Strain, E.M.; Morris, R.L.; Loke, L.H.; Bishop, M.J.; Sheehan, E.V.; Coleman, R.A.; Dafforn, K.A. Current and projected global extent of marine built structures. *Nat. Sustain.* **2021**, 4, 33-41.
93. Meyer-Kaiser, K.S.; Mires, C.H. Underwater cultural heritage is integral to marine ecosystems. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **2022**, 37, 815-818.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.