

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Comparative Real-World Adverse Drug Reactions of Fluoxetine and Sertraline in Outpatients with Depression

---

Chompoonuch Werawattanachai , Pattaramart Hadee , Jitapa Sornsoongneon , [Tuanthon Boonlue](#) \*

Posted Date: 11 December 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202412.0844.v1

Keywords: adverse drug reactions; fluoxetine; sertraline; depression



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

*Article*

# Comparative Real-World Adverse Drug Reactions of Fluoxetine and Sertraline in Outpatients with Depression

Chompoonuch Werawattanachai <sup>1</sup>, Pattaramart Hadee <sup>2</sup>, Jitapa Sornsoongneon <sup>2</sup>  
and Tuanthon Boonlue <sup>2,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Pharmacy, Prasimahabhodi Psychiatric Hospital, Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ubon Ratchathani University, Ubon Ratchathani, Thailand

\* Correspondence: tuanthon.b@ubu.ac.th; Tel.: +6645353623

**Abstract:** Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs), including fluoxetine and sertraline, are widely prescribed for depression due to their favorable safety profiles compared to older antidepressants. However, these medications are associated with various adverse drug reactions (ADRs) that may affect patient adherence and treatment outcomes. This study evaluated the prevalence and characteristics of ADRs in outpatients treated with fluoxetine and sertraline, comparing ADR rates in real-world clinical settings. A prospective cross-sectional study was conducted at a tertiary care psychiatric hospital from July to December 2018, involving 65 outpatients with depression who had been on fluoxetine (N=47) or sertraline (N=18) for at least four weeks. Data on ADRs were collected using a validated questionnaire, and comparisons were performed using Chi-square and Fisher's Exact tests. The mean age of participants was  $47.55 \pm 16.14$  years for fluoxetine and  $45.16 \pm 19.47$  years for sertraline, with no significant differences in gender or treatment duration. Common ADRs included sedation, insomnia, and gastrointestinal symptoms. Anorexia was significantly more frequent in the fluoxetine group (21.28%,  $P=0.05$ ), while nausea/vomiting was higher in the sertraline group (27.78%,  $P=0.001$ ). Other ADRs, including sexual dysfunction and cardiovascular effects, were similar between groups. These findings underscore the importance of recognizing distinct ADR profiles to optimize treatment decisions for outpatients with depression.

**Keywords:** adverse drug reactions; fluoxetine; sertraline; depression

## 1. Introduction

Depression is a leading cause of disability worldwide, characterized by physical symptoms such as fatigue, weight loss, and decreased appetite. A hallmark of depression is anhedonia, the inability to experience pleasure. It is often accompanied by diminished motivation, sleep disturbances, cognitive impairments, and emotional symptoms, including feelings of guilt.[1] The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that depression contributes to 4.3% of the global disease burden and is projected to become the leading cause by 2030, driven by premature deaths and years lived with disability.[2]

Despite the availability of effective treatments, approximately 50-70% of patients with depression remain undiagnosed[3] and thus untreated, especially when depression coexists with other physical or psychiatric conditions that can result in increased mortality. [4] The treatment includes both pharmacological and non-pharmacological approaches. Non-pharmacological treatments involve lifestyle interventions aimed at improving physical symptoms and the use of innovative methods.[5] Antidepressants are the cornerstone of managing depression, with most current guidelines recommending SSRIs as the first-line treatment for patients with major depression. [6] Antidepressants can be used for both acute and maintenance phase treatment. Continuing antidepressant therapy in patients with depression who have responded to initial treatment reduces the risk of relapse by half in both specialized and primary care settings. [7] Comparisons between

SSRIs and placebo indicate statistically significant effects on depressive symptoms; however, the clinical relevance of these effects remains debatable. Additionally, SSRIs were associated with a significantly increased risk of both serious and non-serious adverse events compared to placebo. [8]

The six main SSRIs, fluoxetine, citalopram, escitalopram, paroxetine, sertraline, and fluvoxamine are structurally different but share a common mechanism of action. Despite this, they vary in pharmacokinetics, pharmacodynamics, side effect profiles, and efficacy, making each more suitable for specific clinical situations. Selecting the right SSRI depends on individual patient needs and whether its side effects can be leveraged as secondary therapeutic benefits. Common adverse effects across all SSRIs include sexual dysfunction, gastrointestinal distress, prolonged QT interval, and xerostomia, which also help distinguish them. [9] In a previous study, [10] 86% of patients receiving SSRIs for depression reported experiencing at least one side effect, and 55% had one or more side effects that were significant enough to impact their treatment. In another study, [11] A total of 131 patients were monitored for ADRs related to antidepressant use, with 29 patients (22.1%) reporting at least one ADR during the study period. The most reported ADR was weight gain, observed in eight (18.1%) patients, followed by somnolence in four (9.1%) patients. Escitalopram was the most frequently implicated drug, associated with ADRs in 13 (29.6%) patients, while fluoxetine was linked to ADRs in six (13.6%) patients.

Fluoxetine and sertraline are two commonly prescribed SSRIs. An eight-week double-blind, multicenter study comparing their efficacy and safety in treating major depression found no statistically significant difference between the two drugs on various efficacy measures. The incidence of adverse events was similar, with 40.4% for sertraline and 39.3% for fluoxetine. However, patients generally rated the severity of adverse events as lower in the sertraline group. [12] In the study of patients with depression who did not respond to initial sertraline therapy found that switching to fluoxetine could be effective. These findings suggest that fluoxetine and sertraline are not interchangeable. Patients who have difficulty tolerating or do not respond to sertraline may still benefit from treatment with fluoxetine. [13] However, recent nationwide population-based registry data indicate that, compared to sertraline, fluoxetine, paroxetine, and escitalopram are associated with a higher risk of non-response, while citalopram shows no difference. This suggests that sertraline may have a lower likelihood of treatment failure compared to fluoxetine and certain other SSRIs. [14]

In terms of ADRs, fluoxetine exhibits the least specific binding to the serotonin transporter (SERT) and, at higher doses, can also increase synaptic norepinephrine and dopamine levels. This reduced binding specificity may contribute to fluoxetine's association with higher rates of weight loss, agitation, and anxiety compared to other SSRIs. For sertraline, the most reported ADR during the acute phase of treatment is diarrhea. [9] The differences in study design can influence the reporting of ADRs. In randomized controlled trials comparing fluoxetine and sertraline in outpatients, nausea was the most reported side effect in both groups, affecting 23% of sertraline-treated and 17% of fluoxetine-treated patients, though the difference was not statistically significant. Treatment-related headaches or migraines were reported by 5% of sertraline patients and 7% of fluoxetine patients. Other less common side effects included trembling, gastralgia, diarrhea, somnolence, fatigue, insomnia, vomiting, dry mouth, and constipation. No significant differences were found between the two groups in the incidence of any adverse events. [15] In a cross-sectional study conducted in a naturalistic setting, the side effects of three commonly used SSRIs, sertraline, escitalopram, and fluoxetine, were assessed using a self-rating instrument. Among the patients, 53% were taking sertraline, 38% escitalopram, and 8% fluoxetine. The most reported side effects across all groups included flatulence, somnolence, memory impairment, decreased concentration, yawning, fatigue, dry mouth, weight gain, light-headedness, and sweating. Notably, patients on escitalopram experienced a higher incidence of headache, pruritus, memory impairment, decreased concentration, and dizziness. Those treated with sertraline reported significantly decreased appetite. [16]

Given the widespread use of SSRIs and their associated ADRs, this study aims to provide a comprehensive evaluation of the prevalence and characteristics of ADRs in patients treated with fluoxetine and sertraline in routine clinical setting, which will contribute valuable information to

clinical practice. Unlike randomized controlled trials, this study adopts a naturalistic design, reflecting real-world treatment conditions and patient experiences. This approach allows for a more realistic assessment of ADRs as they occur in routine clinical settings, providing insights that are highly relevant for everyday clinical practice.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### *Study Design*

This study employed a prospective cross-sectional design to evaluate ADRs in patients diagnosed with depression who were treated with fluoxetine or sertraline. The study was conducted at the outpatient department of A tertiary Psychiatric Hospital from July 1, 2018, to December 31, 2018.

### *Study Population and Inclusion Criteria*

The study included male and female patients aged 18 years or older who were diagnosed with depression and had been prescribed either fluoxetine or sertraline for at least four weeks. Both patients who were newly diagnosed with depression and those with recurrent episodes were eligible to participate. Patients who were taking fluoxetine or sertraline in combination with other antidepressants (except mianserin and trazodone for insomnia) that could influence the treatment of depression. Patients who had poor drug compliance described as self-report using fluoxetine or sertraline less than 80% or were unwilling to provide consent were excluded.

### *Sample Size*

All patients meeting the inclusion criteria and attending the outpatient department during the study period were invited to participate. Data collection was performed through patient self-reported questionnaires designed by the researchers.

### *Development and Validation of the Questionnaire*

A structured questionnaire was developed to assess adverse drug reactions (ADRs), comprising two sections: general demographic information and specific questions related to common ADRs associated with SSRIs. The questionnaire was designed based on a comprehensive literature review and feedback from pharmacists and psychiatrists, as detailed in Supplementary Material 1: Prasri Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors Adverse Drug Reactions Questionnaire.

To ensure the validity of the questionnaire, the Index of Item Objective Congruence (IOC) was utilized. The questionnaire items were reviewed by three experts in the field, who rated each item as +1 (clearly congruent with the objectives), 0 (uncertain), or -1 (not congruent). The IOC values for each item were calculated, and an overall average IOC score of 0.74 was achieved, indicating acceptable content validity. Items with an IOC score below 0.50 were revised or removed as per the experts' suggestions. Additionally, the reliability of the questionnaire was assessed using Cronbach's alpha coefficient, which was calculated after a try-out with a sample of 20 patients. The overall Cronbach's alpha was 0.755, demonstrating acceptable internal consistency for the questionnaire.

### *Data Collection and Assessment of Adverse Drug Reactions*

The assessment of ADRs was conducted using the validated questionnaire, which was administered through interviews by trained researchers. The questionnaire consisted of structured questions regarding ADRs categorized into several systems, including the central nervous system (e.g., sedation, insomnia, agitation, headache), endocrine and reproductive system (e.g., sexual dysfunction), peripheral nervous system (e.g., dry mouth, blurred vision), cardiovascular system (e.g., palpitation, orthostatic hypotension), gastrointestinal system (e.g., increased appetite, anorexia, nausea, vomiting, constipation), and other systems (e.g., rash). ADRs were evaluated based on patient self-reports, observations, and interview responses.



Prior to data collection, researchers explained the study objectives and ensured patient confidentiality. Informed consent was obtained from all participants.

Ethical Considerations

Ethical approval was obtained from the Hospital Ethics Committee (COA No. 006/2561). All participants provided written informed consent before participating in the study. Data confidentiality was maintained throughout the study, and participants were assured that their responses would be anonymized.

Statistical Analysis

Baseline characteristics, including demographic data, treatment duration, and severity of depression, were summarized using descriptive statistics. Continuous variables were reported as means  $\pm$  standard deviation (SD) or medians [min-max], depending on the distribution of data. Categorical variables were presented as frequencies and percentages.

Comparative analysis between the fluoxetine and sertraline groups was performed using Chi-square tests for categorical variables, Fisher’s Exact tests where expected counts were less than 5, t-tests for normally distributed continuous variables, and Wilcoxon rank-sum tests for non-normally distributed continuous variables. A P-value of  $<0.05$  was considered statistically significant.

3. Results

Baseline Characteristics

A total of 65 outpatients with depression were included in this study, with 47 patients receiving fluoxetine and 18 receiving sertraline. The baseline characteristics of the two groups are summarized in Table 1. The mean age of patients in the fluoxetine group was  $47.55 \pm 16.14$  years, while the mean age in the sertraline group was  $45.16 \pm 19.47$  years ( $P = 0.61$ ). There were no significant differences in gender distribution between the two groups, with females constituting 68.09% of the fluoxetine group and 77.78% of the sertraline group ( $P = 0.55$ ).

The median treatment duration was 6 months (range 1-48) for fluoxetine and 8 months (range 1-36) for sertraline ( $P = 0.44$ ). All patients in the fluoxetine group had moderate depression (F32.1), while 83.33% in the sertraline group were classified as moderate, with 5.56% being mild (F32.0) and 11.11% severe (F32.2, F32.3) ( $P = 0.02$ ). The median doses were 20 mg (range 10-60) for fluoxetine and 50 mg (range 25-100) for sertraline, with a statistically significant difference ( $P < 0.001$ ).

Concomitant use of benzodiazepines was common, with lorazepam being the most frequently used, seen in 66.67% of the fluoxetine group and 37.50% of the sertraline group ( $P = 0.10$ ). Co-medications such as mianserin, trazodone, and folic acid were also observed, but without significant differences between the groups.

Table 1. Baseline Characteristics (N=65).

Characteristics	Fluoxetine (N=47 )	Sertraline (N=18)	P-value
<b>Gender, n (%)</b>			
Female	32 (68.09)	14 (77.78)	0.55
Male	15 (31.91)	4 (22.22)	
Age (mean $\pm$ SD)	47.55 $\pm$ 16.14	45.16 $\pm$ 19.47	0.61
Treatment Duration (months, median [min-max])	6 [1-48]	8 [1-36]	0.44
<b>Severity, n (%)</b>			
Mild (F32.0)	0 (0.00)	1 (5.56)	0.02

Moderate (F32.1)	47 (100.00)	15 (83.33)	
Severe (F32.2, F32.3)	0 (0.00)	2 (11.11)	
<b>Dose</b> (mg, median [min-max])	20 [10-60]	50 [25-100]	<0.001
<b>Benzodiazepines, n (%)</b>			
Clorazepate	3 (9.09)	1 (12.50)	0.10
Clonazepam	1 (3.03)	2 (25.00)	
Diazepam	7 (21.21)	2 (25.00)	
Lorazepam	22 (66.67)	3 (37.50)	
<b>Co-medications, n (%)</b>			
Mianserin	7 (35.00)	0 (0.00)	0.14
Trazodone	8 (40.00)	1 (33.33)	
Propranolol	1 (5.00)	0 (0.00)	
Folic	1 (5.00)	2 (66.67)	
Multivitamin	3 (15.00)	0 (0.00)	

Comparison of Adverse Drug Reactions

The incidence of ADRs between fluoxetine and sertraline is presented in Table 2. In the central nervous system, sedation was reported by 23.40% of fluoxetine users compared to 11.11% of sertraline users, though the difference was not statistically significant ( $P = 0.33$ ). Insomnia was similar in both groups (23.40% for fluoxetine, 22.22% for sertraline;  $P = 0.91$ ). Agitation was observed only in the sertraline group (11.11%;  $P = 0.07$ ), while headaches were more common in sertraline users (33.33%) than in those taking fluoxetine (14.89%;  $P = 0.10$ ).

In the endocrine and reproductive system, sexual dysfunction was reported by 11.11% of patients on sertraline, with no cases in the fluoxetine group ( $P = 0.07$ ). For the peripheral nervous system, dry mouth was noted in 27.66% of fluoxetine users and 33.33% of sertraline users ( $P = 0.65$ ), while blurred vision was more frequent in the fluoxetine group (27.66%) compared to sertraline (11.11%;  $P = 0.20$ ).

In the cardiovascular system, palpitations occurred in 6.38% of fluoxetine patients and 11.11% of sertraline patients ( $P = 0.61$ ). Orthostatic hypotension was reported by 36.17% of the fluoxetine group and 33.33% of the sertraline group ( $P = 0.83$ ).

Regarding gastrointestinal symptoms, anorexia was significantly higher in the fluoxetine group (21.28%) compared to none in the sertraline group ( $P = 0.05$ ), while nausea or vomiting was more prevalent in the sertraline group (27.78%) than in the fluoxetine group, with no cases reported ( $P = 0.001$ ). Other gastrointestinal symptoms, such as increased appetite and constipation, showed no significant differences between the groups.

Other ADRs included ataxia, reported by one sertraline patient (5.56%) but none in the fluoxetine group ( $P = 0.28$ ). Fatigue was noted in 6.38% of the fluoxetine group and 16.67% of the sertraline group ( $P = 0.34$ ).

Table 2. Comparison of adverse drug reactions between fluoxetine and sertraline.

Adverse Reaction (ADR)	Drug	Fluoxetine (N=47 )	Sertraline (N=18)	P-value
<b>Central nervous system, n (%)</b>				
Sedation		11 (23.40)	2 (11.11)	0.33
Insomnia		11 (23.40)	4 (22.22)	0.91
Agitation		0 (0.00)	2 (11.11)	0.07
Headache		7 (14.89)	6 (33.33)	0.10
<b>Endocrine and Reproductive system, n (%)</b>				
Sexual dysfunction		0 (0.00)	2 (11.11)	0.07
<b>Peripheral nervous system, n (%)</b>				
Dry mouth		13 (27.66)	6 (33.33)	0.65
Blurred vision		13 (27.66)	2 (11.11)	0.20
<b>Cardiovascular system, n (%)</b>				
Palpitation		3 (6.38)	2 (11.11)	0.61
Orthostatic hypotension		17 (36.17)	6 (33.33)	0.83
<b>Gastrointestinal system, n (%)</b>				
Increase appetite		2 (4.26)	1 (5.56)	1.00
Anorexia		10 (21.28)	0 (0.00)	0.05
Nausea or Vomiting		0 (0.00)	5 (27.78)	0.001
Constipation		2 (4.26)	1 (5.56)	1.00
<b>Other, n (%)</b>				
Ataxia		0 (0.00)	1 (5.56)	0.28
Fatigue		3 (6.38)	3 (16.67)	0.34

4. Discussion

This study provides insights into the prevalence and characteristics of ADRs associated with fluoxetine and sertraline, two commonly prescribed SSRIs for the treatment of depression. By adopting a naturalistic design, this study reflects real-world clinical settings, which allows for a more comprehensive understanding of ADRs as they occur during clinical practice.

The results indicate that both fluoxetine and sertraline are associated with specific ADRs, though certain patterns emerged. Notably, patients on fluoxetine reported a higher prevalence of anorexia compared to those on sertraline (21.28% vs. 0%, P = 0.05). This finding aligns with previous studies suggesting that fluoxetine may initially lead to weight loss by -2.7 kg and body mass index by -1.1 kg/m2, likely due to its appetite-suppressing effects. [17] On the other hand, nausea or vomiting was

significantly more common among sertraline users (27.78%) compared to those on fluoxetine, where no such cases were observed ( $P = 0.001$ ). A recent meta-analysis[18] also found that sertraline is more likely to cause gastrointestinal side effects, such as nausea and diarrhea, due to its strong inhibition of serotonin reuptake and effects on dopamine transporters. This can lead to increased extracellular serotonin and altered dopamine levels in the gut, resulting in inflammation and digestive issues. In contrast, fluoxetine has a lower risk of such side effects, highlighting differences in their gastrointestinal side effect profiles.

The incidence of sexual dysfunction, sedation, and cardiovascular effects showed no statistically significant differences between the two groups. However, these ADRs remain clinically relevant as they may affect patient adherence. Sexual dysfunction was reported more frequently in the sertraline group (11.11%) than in the fluoxetine group (0%), although the difference was not statistically significant. This aligns with evidence that SSRIs can impair sexual function, likely due to increased serotonin levels affecting other hormones and neurotransmitters, such as testosterone and dopamine. Comparatively, paroxetine has been found to cause more sexual dysfunction than fluvoxamine, sertraline, and fluoxetine, respectively. [19] The lack of differences in sedation and insomnia between fluoxetine and sertraline, aligns with findings from a double-blind study on depression, where 284 patients showed similar improvements in depression and insomnia across fluoxetine, sertraline, and paroxetine, with no significant differences in adverse events related to activation or sedation, regardless of baseline insomnia levels. [20] The study of side effects on medication adherence found that somnolence and headaches, along with other unspecified ADRs, have been associated with higher dropout rates for SSRIs. [21] To address this, our study allowed the combined use of benzodiazepines or sedating antidepressants, such as low doses of mianserin or trazodone, which may have influenced the observed effects related to sedation and insomnia.

The absence of significant differences in cardiovascular ADRs, such as palpitations and orthostatic hypotension, is encouraging, suggesting favorable safety profile for cardiovascular disease. SSRIs generally have a more acceptable safety margin and lower risk of toxicity compared to other antidepressant classes, with cardiovascular events being mild and uncommon at therapeutic doses. However, orthostatic hypotension, mild bradycardia, and conduction abnormalities, including QT interval prolongation, have been reported with SSRI use. [22]

One of the strengths of this study is its naturalistic design, which reflects real-world patient experiences and medication use patterns, providing practical insights for clinicians. Additionally, the use of a validated questionnaire ensured comprehensive data collection regarding ADRs, contributing to the reliability of the findings.

However, several limitations should be considered. The relatively small sample size, particularly in the sertraline group, may have limited the statistical power to detect differences in less common ADRs. Furthermore, the reliance on patient self-reports could lead to underreporting or recall bias, [23] especially for symptoms that patients may not immediately associate with medication use. Additionally, our study could not account for pharmacogenetic variations, particularly concerning CYP2D6. Fluoxetine and its metabolites are primarily metabolized via CYP2D6, and fluoxetine is also a potent inhibitor of this enzyme. This can lead to an "iatrogenic poor phenotype" or "phenocopy" when combined with other drugs metabolized by CYP2D6, such as venlafaxine, potentially increasing the risk of toxicity due to elevated plasma drug levels. Patients with poor CYP2D6 function may have reduced metabolism of fluoxetine and paroxetine, resulting in higher plasma concentrations and a greater likelihood of experiencing side effects. [24] Future studies with larger sample sizes and more objective measures of ADRs (e.g., blood pressure measurement for orthostatic hypotension) are recommended to confirm these findings.

The findings of this study emphasize the importance of monitoring for specific ADRs when prescribing fluoxetine and sertraline to outpatients with depression. While both medications are generally well-tolerated, clinicians should be aware of the potential for anorexia with fluoxetine and gastrointestinal issues, such as nausea, with sertraline. Providing patients with information on possible side effects can help improve medication adherence and overall treatment outcomes.



## 5. Conclusions

In conclusion, this study highlights the distinct ADR profiles of fluoxetine and sertraline, with anorexia being more common in fluoxetine users and nausea being more prevalent among those on sertraline. While both medications have specific side effect patterns, they remain effective options for the management of depression. Clinicians should consider these findings when selecting antidepressant therapy and counseling patients accordingly to ensure better treatment adherence and outcomes.

**Supplementary Materials:** The following supporting information can be downloaded at the website of this paper posted on Preprints.org., Table S1: Prasri Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors Adverse Drug Reactions Questionnaire.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization: CW, TB. Research question: CW. Methodology: TB, PH, JS. Data extraction: PH, JS. Data management: PH, JS. Data synthesis: TB, PH, JS. Data analysis: TB. Writing — original draft: TB. Writing — reviewing and editing: CW, PH, JS and TB. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki and approved by the Institutional Review Board of Prasimahabhodi Psychiatric Hospital (protocol code COA No. 006/2561).

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

**Data Availability Statement:** The data presented in this study are available on request from the corresponding author due to ethical concerns.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

## References

1. Cui, L.; Li, S.; Wang, S.; Wu, X.; Liu, Y.; Yu, W.; Wang, Y.; Tang, Y.; Xia, M.; Li, B. Major depressive disorder: hypothesis, mechanism, prevention and treatment. *Signal Transduction and Targeted Therapy* **2024**, *9*, 30, doi:10.1038/s41392-024-01738-y.
2. Chan, V.K.Y.; Leung, M.Y.M.; Chan, S.S.M.; Yang, D.; Knapp, M.; Luo, H.; Craig, D.; Chen, Y.; Bishai, D.M.; Wong, G.H.Y.; et al. Projecting the 10-year costs of care and mortality burden of depression until 2032: a Markov modelling study developed from real-world data. *Lancet Reg Health West Pac* **2024**, *45*, 101026, doi:10.1016/j.lanwpc.2024.101026.
3. Pelletier, L.; O'Donnell, S.; Dykxhoorn, J.; McRae, L.; Patten, S.B. Under-diagnosis of mood disorders in Canada. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci* **2017**, *26*, 414-423, doi:10.1017/s2045796016000329.
4. DeWaters, A.L.; Chansard, M.; Anzueto, A.; Pugh, M.J.; Mortensen, E.M. The Association Between Major Depressive Disorder and Outcomes in Older Veterans Hospitalized With Pneumonia. *Am J Med Sci* **2018**, *355*, 21-26, doi:10.1016/j.amjms.2017.08.015.
5. Sampogna, G.; Toni, C.; Catapano, P.; Rocca, B.D.; Di Vincenzo, M.; Luciano, M.; Fiorillo, A. New trends in personalized treatment of depression. *Curr Opin Psychiatry* **2024**, *37*, 3-8, doi:10.1097/ycp.0000000000000903.
6. Karroui, R.; Hammani, Z.; Benjelloun, R.; Otheman, Y. Major depressive disorder: Validated treatments and future challenges. *World J Clin Cases* **2021**, *9*, 9350-9367, doi:10.12998/wjcc.v9.i31.9350.
7. Vinkers, C.H.; Kupka, R.W.; Penninx, B.W.; Ruhé, H.G.; van Gaalen, J.M.; van Haaren, P.C.F.; Schellekens, A.F.A.; Jauhar, S.; Ramos-Quiroga, J.A.; Vieta, E.; et al. Discontinuation of psychotropic medication: a synthesis of evidence across medication classes. *Molecular Psychiatry* **2024**, *29*, 2575-2586, doi:10.1038/s41380-024-02445-4.
8. Jakobsen, J.C.; Katakam, K.K.; Schou, A.; Hellmuth, S.G.; Stallknecht, S.E.; Leth-Møller, K.; Iversen, M.; Banke, M.B.; Petersen, I.J.; Klingenberg, S.L.; et al. Selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors versus placebo in patients with major depressive disorder. A systematic review with meta-analysis and Trial Sequential Analysis. *BMC Psychiatry* **2017**, *17*, 58, doi:10.1186/s12888-016-1173-2.
9. Edinoff, A.N.; Akuly, H.A.; Hanna, T.A.; Ochoa, C.O.; Patti, S.J.; Ghaffar, Y.A.; Kaye, A.D.; Viswanath, O.; Urits, I.; Boyer, A.G.; et al. Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors and Adverse Effects: A Narrative Review. *Neurol Int* **2021**, *13*, 387-401, doi:10.3390/neurolint13030038.
10. Hu, X.H.; Bull, S.A.; Hunkeler, E.M.; Ming, E.; Lee, J.Y.; Fireman, B.; Markson, L.E. Incidence and duration of side effects and those rated as bothersome with selective serotonin reuptake inhibitor treatment for depression: patient report versus physician estimate. *J Clin Psychiatry* **2004**, *65*, 959-965, doi:10.4088/jcp.v65n0712.

11. Al Zaabi, M.S.R.; Sridhar, S.B.; Tadross, T.M. Assessment of incidence, causality, severity, and preventability of suspected adverse drug reactions to antidepressant medications in a psychiatry outpatient setting of a secondary care hospital. *J Pharm Bioallied Sci* **2020**, *12*, 131-138, doi:10.4103/jpbs.JPBS\_196\_19.
12. Aguglia, E.; Casacchia, M.; Cassano, G.B.; Faravelli, C.; Ferrari, G.; Giordano, P.; Pancheri, P.; Ravizza, L.; Trabucchi, M.; Bolino, F.; et al. Double-blind study of the efficacy and safety of sertraline versus fluoxetine in major depression. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* **1993**, *8*, 197-202, doi:10.1097/00004850-199300830-00010.
13. Thase, M.E.; Blomgren, S.L.; Birkett, M.A.; Apter, J.T.; Tepner, R.G. Fluoxetine treatment of patients with major depressive disorder who failed initial treatment with sertraline. *J Clin Psychiatry* **1997**, *58*, 16-21, doi:10.4088/jcp.v58n0103.
14. Kessing, L.V.; Ziersen, S.C.; Andersen, F.M.; Gerds, T.; Budtz-Jørgensen, E. Comparative responses to 17 different antidepressants in major depressive disorder: Results from a 2-year long-term nation-wide population-based study emulating a randomized trial. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* **2024**, *149*, 378-388, doi:10.1111/acps.13673.
15. Sechter, D.; Troy, S.; Paternetti, S.; Boyer, P. A double-blind comparison of sertraline and fluoxetine in the treatment of major depressive episode in outpatients. *Eur Psychiatry* **1999**, *14*, 41-48, doi:10.1016/s0924-9338(99)80714-7.
16. Anagha, K.; Shihabudheen, P.; Uvais, N.A. Side Effect Profiles of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors: A Cross-Sectional Study in a Naturalistic Setting. *Prim Care Companion CNS Disord* **2021**, *23*, doi:10.4088/PCC.20m02747.
17. Serralde-Zuñiga, A.E.; González-Garay, A.G.; Rodríguez-Carmona, Y.; Meléndez-Mier, G. Use of Fluoxetine to Reduce Weight in Adults with Overweight or Obesity: Abridged Republication of the Cochrane Systematic Review. *Obes Facts* **2022**, *15*, 473-486, doi:10.1159/000524995.
18. Wang, Z.; Li, H.; Kang, Y.; Liu, Y.; Shan, L.; Wang, F. Risks of Digestive System Side-Effects of Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitors in Patients with Depression: A Network Meta-Analysis. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* **2022**, *18*, 799-812, doi:10.2147/tcrm.S363404.
19. Jing, E.; Straw-Wilson, K. Sexual dysfunction in selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) and potential solutions: A narrative literature review. *Ment Health Clin* **2016**, *6*, 191-196, doi:10.9740/mhc.2016.07.191.
20. Fava, M.; Hoog, S.L.; Judge, R.A.; Kopp, J.B.; Nilsson, M.E.; Gonzales, J.S. Acute efficacy of fluoxetine versus sertraline and paroxetine in major depressive disorder including effects of baseline insomnia. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* **2002**, *22*, 137-147, doi:10.1097/00004714-200204000-00006.
21. Niarchou, E.; Roberts, L.H.; Naughton, B.D. What is the impact of antidepressant side effects on medication adherence among adult patients diagnosed with depressive disorder: A systematic review. *J Psychopharmacol* **2024**, *38*, 127-136, doi:10.1177/02698811231224171.
22. Yekehtaz, H.; Farokhnia, M.; Akhondzadeh, S. Cardiovascular considerations in antidepressant therapy: an evidence-based review. *J Tehran Heart Cent* **2013**, *8*, 169-176.
23. Rosenman, R.; Tennekoon, V.; Hill, L.G. Measuring bias in self-reported data. *Int J Behav Healthc Res* **2011**, *2*, 320-332, doi:10.1504/ijbhr.2011.043414.
24. Radosavljevic, M.; Svob Strac, D.; Jancic, J.; Samardzic, J. The Role of Pharmacogenetics in Personalizing the Antidepressant and Anxiolytic Therapy. *Genes (Basel)* **2023**, *14*, doi:10.3390/genes14051095.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.