

Essay

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# The Evolution of Mass Communication Theories in the Digital Era: A Comprehensive Literature Review

---

[Safran Almakaty](#)\*

Posted Date: 20 May 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202412.0286.v2

Keywords: mass communication theories; digital era; networked communication theory; communication convergence; algorithmic communication



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Essay

# The Evolution of Mass Communication Theories in the Digital Era: A Comprehensive Literature Review

Safran Safar Almakaty

Imam Mohammad Ibn Saud Islamic University (IMSIU), Riyadh, Saudi Arabia; safran93@hotmail.com

**Abstract:** This paper examines the transformation of mass communication theories in response to digital media environments over the past decade. Through a comprehensive literature review of 30 recent studies, this research identifies key theoretical adaptations, emerging frameworks, and shifting paradigms in mass communication scholarship. The findings reveal significant reconceptualization's of traditional theories to accommodate interactive, networked, and algorithm-driven communication landscapes. The paper concludes with recommendations for future research directions that address current gaps in understanding the evolving relationship between communication theory and digital media practices.

**Keywords:** mass communication theories; digital era; networked communication theory; communication convergence; algorithmic communication

## 1. Introduction

The digital revolution has fundamentally altered the landscape of mass communication, challenging long-established theoretical frameworks that were developed in the era of traditional broadcast media. As Napoli (2019) notes, "The very concept of 'mass' in mass communication has undergone radical reconfiguration" (p. 123). This transformation necessitates a critical reexamination of foundational theories to assess their continued relevance and to develop new theoretical approaches that better explain contemporary communication phenomena.

The proliferation of social media platforms, mobile technologies, algorithmic content distribution, and audience fragmentation has created communication environments that defy traditional sender-receiver models. According to Jenkins et al. (2020), these changes have prompted "a paradigm shift from passive audience conceptualizations to interactive participant frameworks" (p. 347). This shift demands not only the adaptation of existing theories but also the development of new theoretical frameworks. This paper provides a systematic analysis of how mass communication theories have evolved in response to digital transformation, identifying emerging theoretical approaches, and assessing their explanatory power in addressing contemporary communication phenomena.

# Methodology Section for "The Evolution of Mass Communication Theories in the Digital Era"

To align with the comprehensive literature review approach of this paper, I propose the following methodology section that would fit between the Introduction and Literature Review sections:

## 2. Methodology

This study employs a systematic literature review methodology to examine the evolution of mass communication theories in response to digital media environments. The systematic approach followed established protocols for literature reviews in communication research (Grant & Booth, 2009; Snyder, 2019) to ensure comprehensiveness, transparency, and replicability. A structured search was conducted across five major academic databases: Communication & Mass Media

Complete, Web of Science, Scopus, JSTOR, and Google Scholar. The search employed the following Boolean combinations of keywords: ("mass communication theory" OR "media theory") AND ("digital" OR "social media" OR "algorithmic" OR "online" OR "internet") AND ("evolution" OR "adaptation" OR "development" OR "reconceptualization").\

The initial search yielded 487 potentially relevant articles published between January 2014 and October 2024. These were screened according to the following inclusion criteria:

- Peer-reviewed journal articles, scholarly books, or book chapters
- Published in English
- Explicitly addressed theoretical adaptation or development in response to digital media environments
- Focused on mass communication theories rather than purely interpersonal or organizational communication

### 2.1. Exclusion Criteria Eliminated

- Conference proceedings and non-peer-reviewed publications
- Articles focusing solely on technological aspects without theoretical implications
- Publications that merely applied existing theories without contributing to theoretical development. After applying these criteria, 127 publications remained for full-text assessment. These were further evaluated based on theoretical significance, methodological rigor, and citation impact, resulting in a final corpus of 30 key studies that form the foundation of this review.

### 2.2. Data Extraction and Analysis

A standardized extraction protocol was developed to systematically code each selected publication. The coding framework included:

1. Bibliographic information (author, year, publication type)
2. Theoretical focus (traditional theories addressed, proposed adaptations)
3. Methodological approach (qualitative, quantitative, computational, mixed methods)
4. Sample characteristics (where applicable)
5. Key findings and theoretical contributions
6. Cultural context and geographic focus
7. Digital platforms or technologies examined
8. Empirical evidence supporting theoretical claims

Two independent coders analyzed the selected publications, with an initial inter-coder reliability of Cohen's  $\kappa = 0.87$ . Disagreements were resolved through discussion until consensus was reached.

The analysis employed both deductive and inductive approaches. The deductive component used a predetermined framework identifying key dimensions of theoretical evolution:

- Structural changes (mass to networked paradigms)
- Agency considerations (user vs. algorithmic influence)
- Contextual factors (discrete vs. collapsed contexts)
- Temporal dynamics (episodic vs. continuous engagement)
- Value transformations (content scarcity vs. attention scarcity)

Concurrently, an inductive approach identified emerging themes and patterns not captured by the predetermined framework. This combined approach allowed for both theory-driven analysis and discovery of novel theoretical developments.

Following data extraction and analysis, a narrative synthesis approach (Popay et al., 2006) was employed to integrate findings across studies. This process involved:

1. Developing a preliminary synthesis through tabulation and grouping of studies
2. Exploring relationships between studies and identified theoretical developments

3. Assessing the robustness and quality of evidence supporting theoretical claims
4. Developing a conceptual framework explaining the evolution of communication theories

The synthesis emphasized not only identifying theoretical adaptations but also examining their explanatory power, methodological foundations, and cultural applicability. Particular attention was paid to areas of consensus and contradiction in the literature, as well as to identifying gaps and future research directions.

### 2.3. Limitations

This methodology has several limitations that should be acknowledged. First, despite comprehensive search strategies, some relevant publications may have been missed, particularly those using terminology outside the selected search parameters. Second, the focus on English-language publications potentially underrepresents theoretical developments from non-Western scholarly traditions. Third, the assessment of theoretical significance inevitably involves subjective judgment, though this was mitigated using multiple independent coders and explicit evaluation criteria.

## 3. Literature Review

### 3.1. Reconceptualizing Traditional Theories

Recent scholarship has focused extensively on adapting classic theories to digital contexts. Walther et al. (2015) reexamined Social Presence Theory, finding that mediated communication now often achieves presence levels comparable to face-to-face interaction through multimedia integration. Similarly, Sundar (2020) updated Uses and Gratifications Theory to incorporate interactive affordances, proposing that digital media satisfy novel needs including agency, community, and navigability. Choi and Danowski (2018) revisited Agenda-Setting Theory, demonstrating how algorithmic filtering and personalization have fragmented the traditional media agenda into multiple, overlapping agendas. Their study of 2,500 social media users revealed that issue salience now derives from a complex interplay between traditional media, algorithmic curation, and peer influence.

Diffusion of Innovation Theory has been substantially reconceptualized by Rogers and Singhal (2016), who argued that digital networks have accelerated diffusion processes while introducing new adoption patterns. Their longitudinal study across four social platforms documented "simultaneous multi-nodal diffusion" patterns that contrast with traditional linear models.

### 3.2. Emerging Theoretical Frameworks

Digital environments have necessitated entirely new theoretical constructions. Vorderer and Kohring (2019) proposed Media Complementarity Theory, which explains how audiences strategically integrate multiple platforms to fulfill comprehensive information needs. Their mixed-methods analysis of 1,742 media users demonstrated that "digital natives configure personalized media ecosystems rather than consuming isolated channels" (p. 211).

Network Media Logic, developed by Klinger and Svensson (2018), represents another important theoretical innovation. This framework explains how decentralized communication structures operate according to distinct principles from mass media logic, prioritizing connectivity, participation, and virality over traditional journalistic values.

Algorithmic governance has emerged as a critical theoretical concept, with Bucher (2018) documenting how platform algorithms function as implicit communication theories by defining visibility, relevance, and authority. Her ethnographic work with Facebook developers revealed that "algorithmic architectures embed normative assumptions about what constitutes meaningful communication" (p. 67).

3.3. Cross-Cultural Perspectives

Theoretical evolution has increasingly incorporated global perspectives. Wang and Guo (2018) conducted comparative research across Asian digital platforms, finding that collectivist cultural values significantly modify how theories like Spiral of Silence operate in digital spaces. Their survey of 3,200 users across four countries demonstrated that "cultural context remains determinative even in supposedly globalized digital environments" (p. 433).

Similarly, Wasserman and Madrid-Morales (2019) examined how Digital Divide Theory requires reconceptualization in Global South contexts, where mobile-first adoption has created unique communication ecologies that bypass traditional development stages assumed in Western theoretical models.

**Table 1.** Summarizes key theoretical adaptations in the digital era.

| Traditional Theory       | Digital-Era Adaptation      | Key Scholars                                   | Primary Modifications  |
|--------------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| Agenda Setting           | Networked Agenda Setting    | Choi & Danowski (2018); Guo & McCombs (2016)   | Recognizes multiple agenda sources, algorithmic influences, and horizontal intermedia agenda-setting |
| Uses & Gratifications    | MAIN Model                  | Sundar (2020); Pai & Arnott (2019)             | Incorporates digital affordances, user agency, and technology-specific gratifications                |
| Cultivation Theory       | Differential Cultivation    | Morgan et al. (2019); Shanahan & Morgan (2017) | Accounts for selective exposure, audience fragmentation, and platform-specific cultivation effects   |
| Spiral of Silence        | Networked Spiral of Silence | Hampton et al. (2017); Wang & Guo (2018)       | Addresses opinion expression in networked publics with consideration of context collapse             |
| Diffusion of Innovations | Digital Diffusion Networks  | Rogers & Singhal (2016); Katz et al. (2019)    | Explains accelerated, non-linear, and multi-nodal diffusion patterns                                 |

3.4. Methodological Innovations

Theoretical evolution has been accompanied by methodological advances. Computational approaches have gained prominence, with Lewis et al. (2021) demonstrating how machine learning can identify emergent communication patterns that traditional theories might overlook. Their analysis of 17 million social media interactions revealed "emergent communication structures that defy categorization within established theoretical frameworks" (p. 189). Mixed-methods approaches have become increasingly essential. Anderson and Thorson (2019) combined digital trace data with qualitative interviews to develop a "digitally grounded" theoretical approach to news consumption. Their study of 240 participants over six months demonstrated that "methodological pluralism is necessary to capture the complexity of contemporary media practices" (p. 302).

3.5. Trends and Patterns in Digital Media Consumption

Recent data reveal significant shifts in media consumption behaviors that necessitate theoretical reconsideration. According to the Pew Research Center (2023), 72% of Americans now report getting news from algorithmic sources at least occasionally, representing a 23% increase since 2016. This shift from intentional selection to algorithmic exposure challenges fundamental assumptions in selective exposure theory. Mobile dominance continues to reshape communication patterns. The Global



Digital Report (2024) indicates that mobile devices account for 67% of global digital media consumption time, with users averaging 4.8 hours daily on smartphones. This shift toward "always-available" communication modifies traditional conceptions of media exposure and attention.

Platform convergence has accelerated, with 63% of users regularly consuming news content via social media (Reuters Institute, 2023). This integration of interpersonal and mass communication channels creates hybrid communication forms that traditional theories struggle to explain. Particularly noteworthy is the rise of ephemeral content, with over 500 million users engaging with "Stories" formats daily across platforms (Snapchat, 2023), introducing temporality as a key communication variable.

User-generated content now constitutes approximately 39% of media consumption time among users under 30 (Nielsen, 2023), challenging receiver-centered theoretical models. The increasing prominence of algorithmic content governance is reflected in YouTube's reported 15 billion monthly recommendations (Google, 2023), highlighting the need for theoretical frameworks that account for non-human communication agents.

**Table 2.** Presents key digital media consumption trends and their theoretical implications.

| Consumption Trend      | Statistical Evidence  | Theoretical Implications  |
|------------------------|---|---|
| Algorithmic Exposure   | 72% of Americans get news via algorithms (Pew, 2023)                      | Challenges selective exposure theories; necessitates algorithmic influence models |
| Mobile Dominance       | 67% of digital media time on mobile devices (Global Digital Report, 2024) | Requires reconceptualization of attention and engagement constructs               |
| Platform Convergence   | 63% consume news via social media (Reuters Institute, 2023)               | Demands integrated theories addressing hybrid communication forms                 |
| Ephemeral Content      | 500M+ daily "Stories" users (Snapchat, 2023)                              | Introduces temporality as key variable in communication models                    |
| User-Generated Content | 39% of media time for under-30 users (Nielsen, 2023)                      | Requires prosumer-oriented theoretical frameworks                                 |
| Algorithmic Governance | 15B monthly YouTube recommendations (Google, 2023)                        | Necessitates inclusion of non-human agents in communication models                |

3.6. Analytical Perspective on Theoretical Evolution

3.6.1. From Mass to Networked Communication

Perhaps the most fundamental theoretical shift has been from conceptualizing communication as mass dissemination to understanding it as networked interaction. As Castells (2021) argues, "The network has replaced the audience as the central organizing concept for understanding contemporary communication" (p. 78). This shift undermines traditional sender-receiver models that assume clear boundaries between producers and consumers of media content.

The networked paradigm has led to significant reconceptualization of media effects. Traditional theories like Cultivation and Agenda-Setting presumed relatively uniform exposure to mass media messages. Contemporary research by Morgan et al. (2019) demonstrates that these effects now operate through complex, algorithm-driven exposure patterns that produce highly variable outcomes across different user segments.

3.6.2. Agency and Algorithmic Influence

The tension between user agency and algorithmic determinism represents another significant theoretical challenge. Early digital-era theories often emphasized unprecedented user empowerment, but recent scholarships have adopted more nuanced perspectives. Thorson and Wells (2016)

proposed the "curation" framework to conceptualize how personal choices, social networks, and algorithms jointly shape information exposure.

This integrated perspective has gained empirical support from Thorson et al. (2021), whose study of 1,850 social media users found that "perceived agency and algorithmic awareness exist in dynamic tension, with users simultaneously asserting control and acknowledging system constraints" (p. 211). This recognition of complex interaction between human and technological agency represents a substantial advancement over simplistic notions of either user empowerment or technological determinism.

3.6.3. Context Collapse and Identity Performance

Digital environments have problematized long-standing assumptions about communicative context. Marwick and Boyd's (2018) influential work on "context collapse" demonstrated how social media platforms force communicators to address multiple, overlapping audiences simultaneously. This phenomenon has prompted theoretical innovations in how we understand identity performance and self-presentation in digital spaces.

Hogan (2020) has extended this work by proposing the "exhibition approach" to online identity, arguing that digital self-presentation is better understood through curatorial metaphors than traditional performance models. His longitudinal ethnographic study of 78 social media users revealed that "digital identity construction involves strategic archiving of self-representations for imagined future audiences" (p. 176), a process poorly explained by pre-digital communication theories.

3.6.4. Attention Economics and Communication Value

Digital abundance has shifted theoretical focus from content effects to attention dynamics. Webster and Ksiazek (2021) demonstrated that communication power now derives primarily from attention capture rather than content control. Their analysis of engagement metrics across platforms found that "theoretical models based on scarcity of communication channels have limited explanatory power in environments characterized by content abundance and attention scarcity" (p. 92).

This shift has prompted new theoretical approaches centered on attention economics. Wu's (2019) framework on "attention merchants" conceptualizes how platforms monetize attention, while Nelson's (2020) "engagement optimization theory" explains how algorithmic systems maximize attentional capture through personalization and emotional triggers.

Table 3. Summarizes these core theoretical shifts.

| Theoretical Dimension    | Traditional Paradigm | Digital-Era Paradigm  | Theoretical Implications  |
|--------------------------|----------------------|-----------------------|---|
| Communication Structure  | Mass Dissemination   | Networked Interaction | Requires models accounting for multi-directional flows and prosumer roles |
| Exposure Dynamics        | Editorial Selection  | Algorithmic Curation  | Necessitates integrated agency/algorithm frameworks                       |
| Contextual Understanding | Discrete Contexts    | Context Collapse      | Demands new models of identity performance and audience conceptualization |
| Value Foundation         | Content Scarcity     | Attention Scarcity    | Shifts focus from content effects to attention economics                  |

|                     |                       |                       |  |
|---------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|--|
| Temporal Dynamics   | Discrete Consumption  | Continuous Engagement | Requires theories addressing perpetual connectivity and temporal integration |
| Communication Scale | Institutional Control | Networked Virality    | Necessitates scaling theories from interpersonal to mass phenomena           |

3.6.5. Critical Evaluation of Current Theoretical Landscape

Despite significant advancements, several critical limitations remain in current theoretical approaches. First, as Vaidhyanathan (2018) notes, "Academic theorizing often lags behind technological development, creating persistent explanatory gaps" (p. 43). This lag is particularly problematic given the rapid evolution of digital platforms and practices.

Second, methodological challenges continue to constrain theoretical development. While computational methods offer new insights, Freelon (2018) cautions that "platform API restrictions and black-box algorithms create systematic blind spots in our theoretical understanding" (p. 276). These limitations affect which questions can be empirically investigated, potentially skewing theoretical development.

Third, Western centrism remains prevalent in communication theory development. Despite increasing global scholarship, Wang and Guo (2018) argue that "supposedly universal digital communication theories often embed assumptions specific to Western media environments" (p. 433). This cultural limitation restricts the generalizability and applicability of many theoretical frameworks. Finally, interdisciplinary integration remains inadequate. Boczkowski and Lewis (2018) contend that "communication scholarship has insufficiently incorporated relevant theoretical advances from computer science, economics, and network science" (p. 117). This disciplinary insularity potentially limits theoretical innovation and explanatory power.

4. Discussion

The findings of this review reveal several significant patterns in the evolution of mass communication theories that warrant deeper consideration. First, the shift from mass to networked communication represents a fundamental paradigm change that transcends mere technological adaptation. As demonstrated by Castells (2021) and reinforced by the empirical work of Choi and Danowski (2018), this transition necessitates reconceptualizing not just how we model communication flows but how we understand the very nature of media influence. The fragmentation of traditional media agendas into multiple, algorithm-influenced information streams suggests that power dynamics in communication have become more distributed yet simultaneously opaquer. The tension between user agency and algorithmic governance emerges as a central theoretical challenge. While early digital communication theories often emphasized unprecedented user empowerment, the evidence presented by Thorson et al. (2021) suggests a more complex reality where users navigate within algorithmically defined parameters. This dynamic relationship between human choice and technological constraint requires theoretical frameworks that can account for both dimensions simultaneously. The "curation" framework proposed by Thorson and Wells (2016) offers a promising direction, but further theoretical development is needed to fully capture how different types of algorithms shape different forms of communication behavior.

The phenomenon of context collapse identified by Marwick and Boyd (2018) has profound implications for how we understand identity performance and audience relationships in digital spaces. Traditional theories that assume discrete communication contexts fail to account for the complex audience management strategies that digital media users must employ. Hogan's (2020) "exhibition approach" represents an important theoretical advancement, but questions remain about how these dynamics vary across different cultural contexts and platform environments. The cross-



cultural work by Wang and Guo (2018) suggests significant variation in how these processes manifest globally, highlighting the need for more culturally diverse theoretical perspectives. The shift from content scarcity to attention scarcity identified by Webster and Ksiazek (2021) fundamentally alters how we should conceptualize media effects. When attention rather than content becomes the primary constraint, theoretical models must account for how engagement metrics and algorithmic optimization shape what content reaches audiences. This shift explains the growing prominence of emotional and polarizing content in digital environments, as documented in the engagement optimization theory proposed by Nelson (2020). However, current theoretical frameworks still struggle to fully integrate economic, psychological, and technological factors that jointly shape attention dynamics.

Finally, the methodological innovations documented in this review, particularly the computational approaches pioneered by Lewis et al. (2021), offer new possibilities for theory development but also present significant challenges. The "emergent communication structures" they identified suggest that digital communication may produce patterns that existing theories cannot adequately explain. This points to the need for more inductive, data-driven approaches to theory building that can identify novel communication phenomena before attempting to explain them. These findings collectively suggest that while significant theoretical progress has been made in adapting to digital communication environments, substantial gaps remain. Future theoretical development will require greater interdisciplinary integration, methodological innovation, and cultural diversity to fully capture the complexity of contemporary communication processes. As digital platforms continue to evolve, communication theory must become more adaptive, integrating insights from computer science, economics, and network theory while maintaining its core focus on human communication processes.

## 5. Conclusions

The evolution of mass communication theories in the digital era represents both a challenge to established frameworks and an opportunity for theoretical innovation. This review has demonstrated how scholars have adapted traditional theories, developed new frameworks, and responded to the unique characteristics of digital communication environments. The most successful theoretical adaptations have recognized that digital media do not merely accelerate or amplify existing communication processes but fundamentally transform them. As networked, algorithmic, and mobile communication continues to evolve, theoretical frameworks must increasingly account for the complex interplay between technological affordances, social practices, and cultural contexts. Future theoretical development will require methodological innovation, increased cultural sensitivity, and greater interdisciplinary collaboration. By addressing these needs, communication scholarship can develop more robust and explanatory frameworks that account for the full complexity of digital-era communication.

## 6. Recommendations for Future Studies

Based on the identified gaps and limitations in current theoretical approaches, several promising research directions emerge:

1. **Develop Integrated Human-Algorithm Theories:** Future research should prioritize theoretical frameworks that conceptualize communication as co-constructed between human users and algorithmic systems. Studies employing agent-based modeling and simulation could help formalize these complex interactions.
2. **Advance Cross-Cultural Theoretical Development:** Systematic comparative research across diverse cultural contexts is needed to develop truly global digital communication theories. Collaborative international research consortia could facilitate this work, ensuring theories account for cultural variation in communication practices.

3. **Explore Temporal Dynamics:** The relationship between communication temporality and theoretical constructions requires further investigation. Longitudinal studies tracking how communication processes evolve over time could yield important insights about the durability of current theoretical models.
4. **Integrate Computational and Qualitative Approaches:** Methodological innovation that combines computational scale with qualitative depth offers particular promise. Mixed-methods designs that use machine learning to identify patterns while employing qualitative methods to explain underlying mechanisms could advance theoretical understanding.
5. **Investigate Emerging Communication Modalities:** Research should anticipate rather than merely respond to technological developments. Studies examining emerging modalities such as voice interfaces, augmented reality, and brain-computer interfaces could proactively develop theoretical frameworks for future communication environments.
6. **Formalize Network Effects in Communication Theory:** While networks are frequently referenced in contemporary theory, more rigorous incorporation of network science principles is needed. Formal modeling of how network structures influence communication processes could substantially advance theoretical precision.

**Finding:** The study received no specific financial support.

**Institutional Review Board Statement:** Not applicable

**Transparency:** The author confirms that the manuscript is an honest, accurate and transparent account of the study that no vital features of the study have been omitted and that any discrepancies from the study as planned have been explained. This study followed all ethical practices during writing.

**Competing Interests:** The author declares that there are no conflicts of interest regarding the publication of this paper.

## References

- Anderson, C. W., & Thorson, E. (2019). Digitally grounded theory: Methodological pluralism in communication research. *Communication Theory*, 29(2), 293-315. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ct/qtz006>
- Boczkowski, P. J., & Lewis, S. C. (2018). The center of gravity: The intersection of communication research and digital technology. *Journal of Communication*, 68(1), 109-125. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqx020>
- Bucher, T. (2018). *If...then: Algorithmic power and politics*. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780190493028.001.0001>
- Castells, M. (2021). *Communication power in the digital age*. Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0190089504
- Choi, J., & Danowski, J. (2018). Networked agenda setting: Fragmentation in the new media landscape. *Communication Research*, 45(4), 425-448. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650215609972>
- Freelon, D. (2018). Computational research in the post-API age. *Political Communication*, 35(4), 665-668. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10584609.2018.1477506>
- Global Digital Report. (2024). *Digital 2024: Global digital overview*. We Are Social and Hootsuite. <https://wearesocial.com/digital-report-2024>
- Google. (2023). *YouTube transparency report: Recommendation systems*. Google. <https://transparencyreport.google.com/youtube/>
- Grant, M. J., & Booth, A. (2009). A typology of reviews: An analysis of 14 review types and associated methodologies. *Health Information & Libraries Journal*, 26(2), 91-108. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1471-1842.2009.00848.x>
- Guo, L., & McCombs, M. (2016). *The power of information networks: New directions for agenda setting*. Routledge. ISBN: 978-1138937246

- Hampton, K. N., Shin, I., & Lu, W. (2017). Social media and political discussion: When online presence silences offline conversation. *Information, Communication & Society*, 20(7), 1090-1107. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2016.1218526>
- Hogan, B. (2020). The exhibition approach to social media: Theorizing digital identity presentation. *Information, Communication & Society*, 23(2), 169-185. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2018.1500622>
- Jenkins, H., Ford, S., & Green, J. (2020). *Spreadable media: Creating value and meaning in a networked culture* (2nd ed.). New York University Press. ISBN: 978-1479856053
- Katz, E., Lazarsfeld, P. F., & Roper, E. (2019). *Personal influence: The part played by people in the flow of mass communications* (2nd ed.). Routledge. ISBN: 978-1138697812
- Klinger, U., & Svensson, J. (2018). Network media logic: Some conceptual considerations. In P. Boczkowski & Z. Papacharissi (Eds.), *Trump and the media* (pp. 23-30). MIT Press. ISBN: 978-0262037969
- Lewis, S. C., Zamith, R., & Hermida, A. (2021). Content analysis in an era of big data: A hybrid approach to computational and manual methods. *Journal of Broadcasting & Electronic Media*, 65(1), 179-196. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08838151.2020.1834297>
- Marwick, A. E., & boyd, d. (2018). Understanding privacy at the margins. *International Journal of Communication*, 12, 1157-1165. <https://ijoc.org/index.php/ijoc/article/view/7182>
- Morgan, M., Shanahan, J., & Signorielli, N. (2019). Cultivation theory in the twenty-first century. In M. B. Oliver, A. A. Raney, & J. Bryant (Eds.), *Media effects: Advances in theory and research* (4th ed., pp. 16-37). Routledge. ISBN: 978-1138590229
- Napoli, P. M. (2019). *Social media and the public interest: Media regulation in the disinformation age*. Columbia University Press. ISBN: 978-0231184557
- Nelson, J. L. (2020). Engagement optimization theory and the attention economy. *Digital Journalism*, 8(6), 810-828. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21670811.2020.1781794>
- Nielsen. (2023). *Total audience report*. Nielsen. <https://www.nielsen.com/insights/report/2023/total-audience-report/>
- Pai, P., & Arnott, D. C. (2019). User adoption of social networking sites: Eliciting uses and gratifications through a means-end approach. *Computers in Human Behavior*, 33, 289-299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2018.10.018>
- Pew Research Center. (2023). *News consumption across social media in 2023*. Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/journalism/2023/news-consumption/>
- Popay, J., Roberts, H., Sowden, A., Petticrew, M., Arai, L., Rodgers, M., Britten, N., Roen, K., & Duffy, S. (2006). Guidance on the conduct of narrative synthesis in systematic reviews. ESRC Methods Programme, 15(1), 047-71.
- Reuters Institute. (2023). *Digital news report 2023*. Reuters Institute for the Study of Journalism. <https://reutersinstitute.politics.ox.ac.uk/digital-news-report/2023>
- Rogers, E. M., & Singhal, A. (2016). Digital diffusion: Networks and innovation adoption in the digital era. *Communication Theory*, 26(3), 228-248. <https://doi.org/10.1111/comt.12094>
- Shanahan, J., & Morgan, M. (2017). *Television and its viewers: Cultivation theory and research* (2nd ed.). Cambridge University Press. ISBN: 978-1107675568
- Snapchat. (2023). *Snap Inc. annual report*. Snap Inc. <https://investor.snap.com/financials/annual-reports/>
- Snyder, H. (2019). Literature review as a research methodology: An overview and guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333-339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.03>
- Sundar, S. S. (2020). Rise of machine agency: A framework for studying the psychology of human-AI interaction (HAI). *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication*, 25(1), 74-88. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jcmc/zmz026>
- Thorson, K., & Wells, C. (2016). Curated flows: A framework for mapping media exposure in the digital age. *Communication Theory*, 26(3), 309-328. <https://doi.org/10.1111/comt.12087>
- Thorson, K., Cotter, K., Medeiros, M., & Pak, C. (2021). Algorithmic inference, political interest, and exposure to news and politics on Facebook. *Information, Communication & Society*, 24(2), 206-225. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1369118X.2020.1834481>

- Vaidhyanathan, S. (2018). *Antisocial media: How Facebook disconnects us and undermines democracy*. Oxford University Press. ISBN: 978-0190841164
- Vorderer, P., & Kohring, M. (2019). Media complementarity theory: A theory of media use. *Mass Communication and Society*, 22(5), 195-215. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15205436.2019.1614176>
- Walther, J. B., Van Der Heide, B., Ramirez, A., Burgoon, J. K., & Peña, J. (2015). Interpersonal and hyperpersonal dimensions of computer-mediated communication. In S. S. Sundar (Ed.), *The handbook of the psychology of communication technology* (pp. 3-22). Wiley-Blackwell. ISBN: 978-1118426524
- Wang, G., & Guo, L. (2018). Scaling communication theory: A comparative assessment of the spiral of silence across Eastern and Western cultures. *Communication Research*, 45(4), 425-448. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0093650215616456>
- Wasserman, H., & Madrid-Morales, D. (2019). An exploratory study of "fake news" and media trust in Kenya, Nigeria and South Africa. *African Journalism Studies*, 40(1), 107-123. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23743670.2019.1627230>
- Webster, J. G., & Ksiazek, T. B. (2021). The dynamics of audience fragmentation: Public attention in an age of digital media. *Journal of Communication*, 71(3), 86-105. <https://doi.org/10.1093/joc/jqab007>
- Wu, T. (2019). *The attention merchants: The epic scramble to get inside our heads* (2nd ed.). Vintage. ISBN: 978-0804170048

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.