

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

# Side Effects of Glucocorticoids: In Vivo Models and Underlying Mechanisms

<u>Svetlana A. Dodonova</u>, <u>Ekaterina M. Zhidkova</u>, <u>Aleksei A. Kryukov</u>, <u>Timur T. Valiev</u>, Evgenii P. Kulikov, <u>Marianna G. Yakubovskaya</u>, <u>Ekaterina A. Lesovaya</u>\*

Posted Date: 29 November 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202411.2217.v1

Keywords: glucocorticoid; glucocorticoid receptor; selective glucocorticoid receptor agonists; glucocorticoid side effects; osteoporosis; diabetes; myopathy; skin atrophy; obesity; in vivo models



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Remiero

# Side Effects of Glucocorticoids: In Vivo Models and Underlying Mechanisms

Svetlana A. Dodonova <sup>1</sup>, Ekaterina M. Zhidkova <sup>2</sup>, Aleksei A. Kryukov <sup>1</sup>, Timur T. Valiev <sup>2</sup>, Evgenii P. Kulikov <sup>3</sup>, Marianna G. Yakubovskaya <sup>2,3</sup> and Ekaterina A. Lesovaya <sup>2,3,4,\*</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Kursk State Medical University, Karl Marks Str 3, Kursk 305000, Russia
- N.N. Blokhin National Medical Research Center of Oncology, Kashirskoye shosse 24-15, Moscow 115522, Russia
- <sup>3</sup> I.P. Pavlov Ryazan State Medical University, Vysokovoltnaya Str 9, Ryazan 390026, Russia
- <sup>4</sup> RUDN University, Miklukho-Maklaya Str 7, Moscow 117198, Russia
- \* Correspondence: lesovenok@yandex.ru

**Abstract:** Glucocorticoids are widely used in the therapy of inflammatory and autoimmune disease as well as cancer. They realize the therapeutic effects via cytotoxic action on the immune cells; however, glucocorticoids are characterized by multiple severe side effects including metabolic and atrophic complications as well as glucocorticoid resistance development. With the progression in the field of steroid research and their mechanism of action, several steroid and non-steroid GC analogues with decreased adverse effects were developed for potential treatment on cancer, inflammatory and autoimmune diseases. Therefore, the important criteria of the evaluation of the efficacy of such molecules is the proof-of-concept studies in vivo on the proper models of the main disease as well as potential GC-related side effects. Here we summarized the current experience of the research groups worldwide in modeling of GC undesirable effects. The presented review will be useful for the translational research of GC and their analogues in vivo.

**Keywords:** glucocorticoid; glucocorticoid receptor; selective glucocorticoid receptor agonists; glucocorticoid side effects; osteoporosis; diabetes; myopathy; skin atrophy; obesity; in vivo models

# Introduction

Synthetic glucocorticoids (GCs) are widely used in clinical practice for their powerful anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive properties [1–4]. GCs represent the standard therapy for various diseases, as well as allergic, inflammatory, rheumatoid, oncological, vascular, dermatological, and other systemic diseases [5–8]. However, long-term administration of GCs at high doses is frequently associated with severe adverse effects, in particular, metabolic disorders and atrophic effects, including musculoskeletal side effects (glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis (GIO), osteonecrosis, myopathy), disorders of carbohydrate and fat metabolism (diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia, obesity), gastrointestinal, cardiovascular, neuropsychiatric side effects [9–11]. Despite multiple studies in the field, there is still no consensus on the most suitable animal models that could representatively demonstrate the pathogenesis of GC side effects. Relevant and reproducible animal models are critical for further studies of the side effects of GCs as well as novel ligands of glucocorticoid receptor (GR). In this review, we summarize current data on design of GC side effects in animals over the past years.

# Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis (GIO)

Pathogenesis

Both the cumulative dose and duration of exposure to GCs are risk factors for bone fractures[9,12]. Aseptic osteonecrosis is a severe complication of GC therapy. 1,6-7,6% of pediatric

2

patients with acute leukemia develop the severe complications after long-term GC therapy [13]. The incidence of GIO reaches 30-50% in patients with prolonged GC therapy [14]. GCs affect the development and viability of osteoclasts, osteoblasts and osteocytes, leading to increased bone resorption and impaired bone formation subsequently associated with an increased fragility due to bone tissue loss [15].

The pathophysiology of secondary GIO involves direct and indirect mechanisms [16]. GC treatment decrease the viability of bone-forming osteoblasts and increase the proliferation of osteoclasts associated with the resorption [17]. Osteoblasts are primary cells responsible for bone development via the regulation of bone matrix synthesis and direct interaction with osteocytes and osteoclasts [18]. It was demonstrated in in vivo and in vitro studies that supraphysiological levels of GCs inhibit proliferation and differentiation of osteoblasts via interaction with multiple signaling pathways [19]. GCs suppress the proliferation of osteoblast precursors until their complete differentiation. In immature osteoblasts in vitro, GCs exposure was associated with cell cycle arrest in G1 phase due to down-regulation of cell cycle activators such as cyclin A, cyclin D, cyclindependent kinase 2 (CDK2), CDK4 and CDK6 [20-22], as well as activation of cell cycle inhibitors such as p53, p21 and p27 [20,23]. Suppression of the murine osteoblasts MC3T3-E1 proliferation by dexamethasone (Dex) was associated with G1 phase delay and apoptosis induction accompanied by p53-dependent activation of p21 and the up-regulation of proapoptotic genes NOXA and PUMA [23]. In addition, GCs inhibit the proliferation of osteoblast precursors via suppression of intracellular mitogenic signaling pathways, specifically mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) signaling [24,25]. In MBA-15.4 murine bone marrow stromal osteoblast cells GCs cause rapid activation of MAPK-1 and dephosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase (ERK) as well as impaired proliferation [25]. Another mechanism of GC-mediated inhibition of osteoblast differentiation is their direct interference with the Wnt/ $\beta$ -catenin signaling [26,27].

An important mechanism in GIO pathogenesis is associated with increased osteclastogenesis resulting from activation of macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF) and receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B (NF- $\kappa$ B) ligand (RANKL) [28]. In addition, GCs promote osteoclast survival by modulation of receptor activator of NF- $\kappa$ B ligand (RANKL)-induced signaling and inhibition of the expression of osteoprotegerin (OPG) [29]. In addition, GCs have recently been shown to be associated with osteoclast autophagy via inhibition of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR signaling [30]. Association of supraphysiological doses of GCs and increased bone resorption is supported by increase in serum level of resorption markers including tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase (TRAP), carboxy-terminal telopeptide of type I collagen (CTX), urinary calcium/creatinine, cAMP, urinary crossover, and soluble osteoclastogenic cytokine receptor interleukin-6 (sIL-6R $\alpha$ ) [31].

# Animal Models

Animals including mice, rats, rabbits, dogs, sheep and Zebrafish have been used for GIO modeling [32–36]. Osteoporosis research requires skeletally mature animals to avoid the many complicating factors that arise in young animals with developing bone tissue. Rodent models including Sprague Dawley, Wistar and rarely Albinos/LEW CrlCrlj rats, as well as C57BL/6 mice and New Zealand rabbits, remain preferential for in vivo research. Sex dimorphism has not been reported, however, it is important to note that many osteoporosis models have been developed to study postmenopausal osteoporosis in females with ovariectomy [37–39]. Appropriate age for rats varied from eight weeks to six months. In C57BL/6 mice, the age range was from eight weeks to four months. The advantages of rodent models are high reproducibility, rapid turnover of bone tissue, and relatively low cost. At the same time, short period of remodeling and incapability of achieving truly skeletal maturity should be noted as disadvantages [40]. Adult Zebrafish model for the measurement of the activity of cathepsin K, TRAP and ALP against the background of Dex-induced osteoporosis [41] was recently described with the changes in vertebral bone density, associated osteogenic markers and Zebrafish mobility [35].

Experimental Protocols: Drugs, Doses, Administration Routes

The most widely described drugs for osteoporosis induction are dexamethasone (Dex), prednisolone (Pr), and methylprednisolone (MPr). GIO models described over the past five years are presented in Table 1. Route of administration vary depending on animal species. Large animals (sheep, rabbits) require i.m. or s.c. treatment, i.v. and i.p. with less frequency. At the same time, i.p., i.m. and s.c. (with the option for surgically placing pellets) treatments are more suitable for The optimal dose ranged from 0.1 mg/kg to 100 mg/kg over a time period of 4 to 8 weeks.

**Table 1.** Main characteristics of the proposed experimental protocols for GIO induction.

	1	1	1		l .	1
GC	Dose	Route of	Duration of	Animal species	Age, sex	Referen
		administration	administration			ce
MPr	10	i.p.	1 per day/4 weeks	C57BL/6 mice	8 weeks, males	[32]
	mg/kg					
Dex	1		1 per day/8 weeks			
	mg/kg					
Pr	5	s.c.	1 per day/60 days	C57BL/6 mice	8 weeks, males	[98]
	mg/kg					
Dex	50	i.p.	1 per day/5 weeks	C57BL/6 mice	6 weeks, males	[99]
	mg/kg					
Dex	2	i.m.	3 per day/8 weeks	C57BL/6 mice	3 months, males	[100]
	mg/kg					
Pr	5	s.c.	60-day slow-release	C57BL/6 mice	15 weeks,	[101]
	mg/kg	(pellet implanted)			females	
Dex	100	i.m.	1 per day/4 weeks	C57BL/6J mice	8 weeks, males	[102]
	mg/kg					
Dex	10	i.p.	3 weeks	C57BL/6J mice	8 weeks, males	[103]
	mg/kg					
Dex	25	s.c.	1 per day/4 weeks	Balb/c mice	9–10 weeks,	[104]
	mg/kg				females	
Dex	1	i.m.	1 per day/8 weeks	Sprague Dawley	12 weeks, males	[33]
	mg/kg			rats		
Dex	5	i.m.	twice a week/6 weeks	Sprague Dawley	8 weeks, males	[105]
	mg/kg			rats		
MPr	10	per os	1 per day/3 weeks	Wistar rats	3 months, males	[106]
	mg/kg					
Pr	0.42	s.c. (pellets containing 25	60-day slow-release	LEW CrlCrlj rats	6 weeks, females	[107]
	mg/da	mg)				
	y					
Dex	1	i.m.	1 per day/60 days	Sprague Dawley	8 weeks, males	[108]
	mg/kg			rats		
MPr	0.5	i.m.	1 per day/4 weeks	New Zealand	12 weeks,	[109]
	mg/kg			White rabbits	females	

MPr	1	s.c.	1 per day/6 weeks	New Zealand	5–7 months,	[34]
	mg/kg			White rabbits	females	
Pr	2	per os	1 per day/2 weeks	Beagle dogs	2-3 years, males	[36]
	mg/kg					
	1		1 per day/4 weeks			
	mg/kg					
	0.5		1 per day/3 weeks			
	mg/kg					

## GIO Evaluation Criteria

To evaluate GIO development level, mechanical, histomorphometric and biochemical tests are used including dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), micro-computed tomography ( $\mu$ CT), histomorphometry and biochemistry analysis in serum [42–46].  $\mu$ CT is currently a gold standard for assessing morphology and microarchitecture of bone in mice and other small animals ex vivo. Description of trabecular areas using  $\mu$ CT is carried out with the minimum set of parameters including bone volume fraction, trabecular thickness, trabecular separation, and trabecular number. Secondary end-points including structure model index, connectivity density, degree of anisotropy could be added in the study. The that should be specified For cortical areas minimum set of variables are specified as total cross-sectional area, cortical bone area, cortical thickness, and cortical bone fraction [47].

DXA is a simpler and faster method for quantitative analysis of changes in trabecular bone in small animals. DXA allows measurement of bone mineral content (BMC) and bone mineral density (BMD) [48]. DXA application require careful selection of positions with confirmation of control points to minimize errors [49]. Table 2 summarizes the examples of mouse positions in DXA.

The comparison of different approaches for GIO evaluation are summarized in the Table 3

**Table 2.** Variants of mouse position during DXA.

Head	Measurement by site: no reposition
Spine	Keep your tail and head as close as possible to a straight line.
Fore legs	Head direction/not overlap or rotate
Back legs	Head direction/not overlap or rotate
Tail	Included in the scan range/not overlap

**Table 3.** Comparison of methods of GIO evaluation in cat model.

DXA	μСТ	Histomorphometry	Biochemistry
			analysis in serum
Bone	Bone volume/tissue	Percent labeled perimeter	Alkaline
mineral	volume)	(%L.Pm)	phosphatase (ALP)
content	(BV/TV)		
(BMC)			
Bone	Bone surface/bone volume	Mineralization apposition rate	Tartrate-resistant
mineral	(BS/BV)	(MAR)	acid phosphatase
density			(TRAP)
(BMD)			
	Trabecular number	Bone formation rate/bone	Osteocalcin (OCN)
	(Tb.N)	surface referent (BFR/BS)	
	Trabecular thickness	Bone formation rate/bone	C-terminal
	(Tb.Th)	volume referent (BFR/BV)	telopeptide of type 1
			collagen (CTX-I)
	Trabecular separation	Bone formation rate/tissue	Bone-specific
	(Tb.Sp)	volume referent (BFR/TV)	alkaline phosphatase
	Structure-model index	Total tissue area (T.Ar)	
	(SMI)		
	Degree of anisotropy	Cortical area (Ct.Ar), Marrow	
	(DA)	area (Ma.Ar)	

Connectivity density	Cortical width (Ct.Wi)	
(Conn.D)		
Total cross-sectional area	Percent periosteal-labeled	
(Tt.Ar)	perimeter (%P-L.Pm)	
Cortical bone area (Ct.Ar)	Periosteal-MAR (P-MAR)	
Cortical thickness (Ct.Th)	Osteoclast number/bone surface	
	(Oc.N/BS)	
Cortical bone fraction	Percent endocortical-labeled	
(Ct.Ar/Tt.Ar)	perimeter (%E-L.Pm)	

# Glucocorticoid-Induced Myopathy and Skin Atrophy

# Pathogenesis

GCs induce catabolic/atrophic changes in multiple tissues including muscle, subcutaneous fat, and bone [50,51]. Osteosarcopenia in rheumatoid arthritis treated with GCs occurs in 37.1% of patients with rheumatoid arthritis, and the incidence of sarcopenia increased with age: from 14% in patients aged 40-49 years to 78,6% in patients aged 80-89 years [52]. GC-induced myopathy is the most common type of non-inflammatory toxic drug myopathy characterized by muscle weakness and atrophy, fatigue and fatigability [9,53]. In muscles, GCs decrease the protein synthesis and increase the rate of protein catabolism via various molecular pathways [9]. Detailed molecular mechanisms underlying GC-induced muscle atrophy still remain unclear. Impaired protein synthesis is associated with GC-dependent inhibition of ribosomal protein S6 p70 kinase (p70S6K) [54]. Moreover, insulin-like growth factor 1 (IGF-1) deficiency is assumed to contribute to GC-induced muscle atrophy [55]. IGF-1 activates the proliferative PI3K/Akt signaling blocking GC effects and preventing muscle atrophy [56]. In addition, overexpression of myostatin (MSTN), an inhibitor of muscle growth, leads to muscle cell atrophy by suppression of protein synthesis [57]. Additionally, activation of the ubiquitin-proteasome system and the lysosomal system leads to increased proteolysis and, accordingly, muscle destruction via up-regulation of atrogin-1, MuRF-1, cathepsin-L, PDK4, p21, Gadd45 and 4E-BP1 [58,59].

Skin atrophy is a side effect of the use of topical and systemic GCs with the changes in all skin compartments: severe hypoplasia, loss of elasticity, increased fragility, telangiectasia, bruising, and barrier dysfunction [60,61]. Several studies have shown that one of main GC-dependent atrophogene in skin and muscle is regulated in development and DNA damage responses 1 (REDD1) gene, negative regulator of mTOR [62–65].

# Animal Models and Protocols

Glucocorticoid-induced myopathy models described in the literature involves both males and females aged 4 months. Dex was administered i.p. at a dose of 10 mg/kg/day for 15 days. The tibialis

6

anterior, gastrocnemius complex, quadriceps, biceps, triceps, and soleus muscles were harvested from the animals and weighed to assess absolute muscle mass. Dynamic treadmill exhaustion test and energy expenditure analysis were used to assess the severity of myopathy [66].

In another model, 6-week-old female Swiss mice (25 g) received Dex i.p. at a dose of 15 mg/kg every 24 hours for 10 days. 10 days after Dex treatment skeletal muscle samples (tibialis anterior, extensor digitorum longus, gastrocnemius, soleus, and diaphragm muscles) were analyzed by hematoxylin and eosin staining to quantify myofibril area [67].

Muscle atrophy of three-week-old male C57BL/6 mice was induced by i.p. administration of Dex at a dose of 15 mg/kg/day for 38 days. DXA was used to determine muscle mass. Muscle performance measurements were performed using a grip strength meter, muscle grip strength was measured by having mice grasp a net with their forelimbs, calculating the average of five consecutive measurements for each animal. Total running distance and time were also assessed using a rodent treadmill set at a 10° incline, with the endpoint set when mice were in contact with the impact grid for 10 s. [68].

Models of GC-induced myopathy in large animals are also described. In particular, beagle dogs, with a median weight of 13.7 kg and a median age of 5 years, were treated with prednisolone p.o. at a dosage of 1 mg/kg once daily for 4 weeks. After skeletal muscle scanning using CT, a skeletal muscle sample was obtained from the biceps femoris muscle and stained with antibodies against myosin heavy chain specifically expressed in fast-twitch and slow-twitch muscle fibers [69].

Rodent models are the most frequently used GC-induced skin atrophy models. Specifically, B6D2 (F1 C57Bl×DBA) mice were treated with topical GCs, for example, fluocinolone acetonide 1µg every 72h for 2 weeks [70] or 24 hours for four consecutive days [71]. Histological analysis was used to evaluate changes in dermal collagen fibers, immunostaining with anti-BrdU antibodies assessing the proliferation and morphometric analysis measuring epidermal width were used for the evaluation of skin thinning skin thinning) [71]. Proliferative index was calculated as the ratio of the number of BrdU+ basal keratinocytes to the total number of basal keratinocytes [70].

## Steroid-Induced Diabetes

Pathogenesis

GCs are diabetogenic hormones inducing peripheral insulin resistance, hyperglycemia, and dyslipidemia. The incidence of steroid-induced diabetes in patients with rheumatologic disorders receiving GCs is 12,7% [72]. For lymphoma malignancy survivors the steroid-induced diabetes rate is 1,5-9% [73].

GC-induced hyperglycemia has a multifactorial origin and can be explained by increased gluconeogenesis in the liver, inhibition of glucose uptake by adipose tissue, and changes in receptor and post-receptor functions [74]. Long-term use of systemic GCs leads to the development of insulin resistance in skeletal muscles [75]. Although GCs are important in maintaining lipid homeostasis, an excess of GCs can lead to an increase in circulating free fatty acids and cause lipid accumulation in skeletal muscle and liver also associated with insulin resistance [76]. Moreover, GCs induce a post-receptor defect by decreasing key mediators of insulin action in peripheral tissues (insulin receptor substrate-1, PI3K, and protein kinase B) [77]. The mechanisms of GC-dependent inhibition of insulin release in β-cells are probably include changes in the expression of TA-related subsets of genes important for glucose sensitivity and insulin secretion [78].

Animal Models and Corresponding Protocols

In vivo models demonstrate wide heterogeneity in pancreatic responses to GC exposure, associated with a complex metabolic effects in many organs and tissues.

The model of transgenic 8-week-old *Klf9fl/fl and Klf9alb-/-* male mice received Dex 1 mg/kg every other day for 2 months was recently described. The steroid-induced diabetes was analyzed by glucose tolerance test as well as evaluation of the metabolites such as triglycerides, cholesterol, serum ketone

body, and FFAs in the blood serum. Liver tissue was studied by histology and proteome analysis [79].

In another study, *Ehmt*2 mutant mice were used for the glucocorticoid-induced insulin resistance model, treated with Dex at a dose of 2 mg/kg body weight for 2 weeks. Glucose, insulin, and pyruvate tolerance tests were performed after 1 week of Dex treatment [80].

8-10 weeks old male Swiss mice were treated with Dex (2 mg/kg, i.m.) for 30 days. Glucose, insulin, and pyruvate tests were performed for evaluating the development of the diabetes [81]. In the similar model of insulin resistance, Wistar-Albino male rats were used. Dex was applied in the dose of 1 mg/kg/day i.p. for 7 days. Biochemical analysis included the determination of glucose, ALT (alanine aminotransferase), AST (aspartate aminotransferase), ALP, total cholesterol, total protein, urea and creatinine in the serum [82].

Male Wistar 3 month old rats received i.p. Dex injection 1mg/kg/day for ten days. Food and water consumption, plasma insulin and glucose concentrations were primary end-points of the study. HOMA- (Homeostasis Model Assessment)  $\beta$  and HOMA-IR (Insulin Resistance) were calculated on the day 10 of the study. HOMA- $\beta$  evaluates the ability that pancreatic  $\beta$  cells have to secrete insulin (smaller values indicate low ability); HOMA-IR indicates sensitivity to insulin (smaller values indicate bigger insulin resistance) [83].

#### Glucocorticoid-Induced Fat Metabolism Disorder

# Pathogenesis

Obesity is a key feature of GC-induced metabolic syndrome [84] and also is a common feature of Cushing's syndrome in patients receiving long-term GCs therapy [85,86]. The incidence of obesity is 40% in pediatric acute leukemia survivors treated with GCs [87].

Pathogenesis of fat redeposition by GC excess, includes the following mechanisms: 1) increased appetite and high calorie consumption [88][89]; 2) increased blood glucose levels due to stimulation of gluconeogenesis caused by GCs [90–92]; 3) stimulation of *de novo* lipogenesis enhanced by high levels of glucose and insulin [93], and 4) increased release of free fatty acids from fat stores and stimulation of their liver uptake [94,95].

# Animal Models

In animal models of Cushing's syndrome and associated obesity, the simplest model is the excessive administration of exogenous GCs to rodents by s.c., i.p., i.m. and p.o. route as well as slow-release pellet implantation and osmotic mini-pumps [96,97]. Several animal models are described in Table 4.

Animal species, sex	Model	Design	References
Male Wistar rats	Dex 0.25 mg/kg/day during 4	Subcutaneous, retroperitoneal and	[110]
	weeks	mesenteric) fat pads were excised, weighed	
	weeks	mesenteric) fat pads were excised, weighed	

and processed for adipocyte isolation,

Table 4. Animal models of GC-induced fat metabolism disorder.

		morphometric cell analysis and	
		incorporation of glucose into lipids	
Male Wistar rats	6 weeks of continuous infusion	Subcutaneous and visceral (retroperitoneal	[111]
	of 0.6mg/kg/day of	and mesenteric) fat pads were analyzed for:	
	hydrocortisone	lipogenic enzymes activity; molecular	
		changes of 11-hydroxysteroid	
		dehydrogenase type 1 (11βHSD1) enzyme;	
		enzymes involved in lipid uptake,	
		incorporation, and metabolism and in fatty	
		acids esterification.	
Male CD1 Swiss	4 weeks either via the drinking	Insulin tolerance tests, Measurements of	[112]
white mice	water (25-100µg/mL) or through	bone mineral content, bone area, lean mass	
	weekly surgical implantation of	and fat mass	
	slow release pellets containing		
	1.5mg corticosterone		
Male Wistar rats	Dex 120 μg/kg s.c. for 7 days	The level of 11β-HSD1 dehydrogenase	[113]
		activity in adipose tissue homogenates was	
		determined by measurement of the rate of	
		corticosterone to 11-dehydrocorticosterone	
		conversion	

10

[114]	
[]	

Male broiler chickens	Dex 2 mg/kg/day for 3 days	The concentrations of glucose, urate, non-	[114]	
(Gallus Gallus		esterified fatty acids, triglyceride, and LPL		
Domesticus)		were measured. The activities of fatty acid		
		synthesis and malic enzyme in liver and		
		adipose tissues were measured.		

## **Conclusions**

Experimental models of GC side effects are numerous and may vary depending on specific complication. We analyzed the animal species, the methods and criteria for the evaluation of the pathology induction. These models and their combination allow studying the main side effects of novel steroid and non-steroid GC analogues, in particular, potential selective glucocorticoid receptor agonists (SEGRA) with beneficial therapeutic profile. In turn, it makes possible proper design of clinical trial synopsizes and protocols.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization by S.A.D., E.M.Z., and E.A.L.; writing—original draft preparation by S.A.D., E.M.Z., A.A.K., T.T.V. and E.A.L.; writing—review and editing by E.M.Z., E.P.K., M.G.Y. and E.A.L., supervision by S.A.D., E.M.Z. and E.A.L. All authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Funding: The preparation of this review was funded by Russian Science Foundation, grant number 23-15-00321 (to E.L.).

Data Availability Statement: The data of this study was collected from online resources only.

Conflicts on Interests: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

# List of Abbreviations

NF- $\kappa B$ 

μCΤ	micro-computed tomography
ALT	alanine transaminase
ALP	alkaline phosphatase
AST	aspartate transaminase
BMC	bone mineral content
BMD	bone mineral density
cAMP	cyclic adenosine monophosphate
CDK	cyclin-dependent kinase
CTX	carboxy-terminal telopeptide
Dex	dexamethasone
DXA	dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry
ERK	extracellular signal-regulated kinase
FFA	free fatty acids
GCs	glucocorticoids
GIO	glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis
GR	glucocorticoid receptor
IR	insulin resistance
MAPK	mitogen-activated protein kinase
M-CSF	macrophage colony-stimulating factor
MPr	methylprednisolone
MSTN	myostatin

nuclear factor kappa B

11

OPG osteoprotegerin Pr prednisolone

RANKL receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappa B (NF-κB) ligand REDD1 regulated in development and DNA damage response 1

TA transactivation

TRAP tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase

# References

- Barnes PJ (2017) Glucocorticosteroids. Handb Exp Pharmacol 237:93–115. https://doi.org/10.1007/164\_2016\_62
- Vandewalle J, Luypaert A, De Bosscher K, Libert C (2018) Therapeutic Mechanisms of Glucocorticoids. Trends Endocrinol Metab 29:42–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2017.10.010
- Strehl C, Buttgereit F (2013) Optimized glucocorticoid therapy: teaching old drugs new tricks. Mol Cell Endocrinol 380:32–40. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2013.01.026
- J M Schaaf M, Meijer OC (2022) Immune Modulations by Glucocorticoids: From Molecular Biology to Clinical Research. Cells 11:4032. https://doi.org/10.3390/cells11244032
- Rasch LA, Bultink IEM, van Tuyl LHD, Lems WF (2015) Glucocorticoid safety for treating rheumatoid arthritis. Expert Opin Drug Saf 14:839–844. https://doi.org/10.1517/14740338.2015.1027681
- Triadafilopoulos G (2014) Glucocorticoid therapy for gastrointestinal diseases. Expert Opin Drug Saf 13:563–572. https://doi.org/10.1517/14740338.2014.904852
- Hartog M, van Keeken KAL, van den Ende CHM, Popa CD (2024) Intramuscular methylprednisolone administration in hand osteoarthritis patients: a feasibility study to inform a randomized controlled trial. Ther Adv Musculoskelet Dis 16:1759720X241253974. https://doi.org/10.1177/1759720X241253974
- 8. Lesovaya EA, Chudakova D, Baida G, et al (2022) The long winding road to the safer glucocorticoid receptor (GR) targeting therapies. Oncotarget 13:408–424. https://doi.org/10.18632/oncotarget.28191
- 9. Oray M, Abu Samra K, Ebrahimiadib N, et al (2016) Long-term side effects of glucocorticoids. Expert Opin Drug Saf 15:457–465. https://doi.org/10.1517/14740338.2016.1140743
- Coutinho AE, Chapman KE (2011) The anti-inflammatory and immunosuppressive effects of glucocorticoids, recent developments and mechanistic insights. Mol Cell Endocrinol 335:2–13. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mce.2010.04.005
- Moghadam-Kia S, Werth VP (2010) Prevention and treatment of systemic glucocorticoid side effects. Int J Dermatol 49:239–248. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-4632.2009.04322.x
- Van Staa TP, Leufkens HG, Abenhaim L, et al (2000) Use of oral corticosteroids and risk of fractures. J Bone Miner Res 15:993–1000. https://doi.org/10.1359/jbmr.2000.15.6.993
- 13. Sala A, Mattano LA, Barr RD (2007) Osteonecrosis in children and adolescents with cancer an adverse effect of systemic therapy. Eur J Cancer 43:683–689. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejca.2006.11.002
- Gazitt T, Feld J, Zisman D (2023) Implementation of Calcium and Vitamin D Supplementation in Glucocorticosteroid-Induced Osteoporosis Prevention Guidelines-Insights from Rheumatologists. Rambam Maimonides Med J 14:e0010. https://doi.org/10.5041/RMMJ.10497
- 15. Hu K, Adachi JD (2019) Glucocorticoid induced osteoporosis. Expert Rev Endocrinol Metab 14:259–266. https://doi.org/10.1080/17446651.2019.1617131
- 16. Compston J (2018) Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: an update. Endocrine 61:7–16. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12020-018-1588-2
- 17. Zalavras C, Shah S, Birnbaum MJ, Frenkel B (2003) Role of apoptosis in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis and osteonecrosis. Crit Rev Eukaryot Gene Expr 13:221–235
- 18. Ottewell PD (2016) The role of osteoblasts in bone metastasis. J Bone Oncol 5:124–127. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbo.2016.03.007
- Chen M, Fu W, Xu H, Liu C-J (2023) Pathogenic mechanisms of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Cytokine Growth Factor Rev 70:54–66. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cytogfr.2023.03.002
- 20. Chang J-K, Li C-J, Liao H-J, et al (2009) Anti-inflammatory drugs suppress proliferation and induce apoptosis through altering expressions of cell cycle regulators and pro-apoptotic factors in cultured human osteoblasts. Toxicology 258:148–156. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tox.2009.01.016
- Gabet Y, Noh T, Lee C, Frenkel B (2011) Developmentally regulated inhibition of cell cycle progression by glucocorticoids through repression of cyclin A transcription in primary osteoblast cultures. J Cell Physiol 226:991–998. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcp.22412
- Smith E, Redman RA, Logg CR, et al (2000) Glucocorticoids inhibit developmental stage-specific osteoblast cell cycle. Dissociation of cyclin A-cyclin-dependent kinase 2 from E2F4-p130 complexes. J Biol Chem 275:19992–20001. https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M001758200

- Li H, Qian W, Weng X, et al (2012) Glucocorticoid receptor and sequential P53 activation by dexamethasone mediates apoptosis and cell cycle arrest of osteoblastic MC3T3-E1 cells. PLoS One 7:e37030. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0037030
- 24. Gado M, Baschant U, Hofbauer LC, Henneicke H (2022) Bad to the Bone: The Effects of Therapeutic Glucocorticoids on Osteoblasts and Osteocytes. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 13:835720. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2022.835720
- 25. Engelbrecht Y, de Wet H, Horsch K, et al (2003) Glucocorticoids induce rapid up-regulation of mitogenactivated protein kinase phosphatase-1 and dephosphorylation of extracellular signal-regulated kinase and impair proliferation in human and mouse osteoblast cell lines. Endocrinology 144:412–422. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2002-220769
- Baron R, Kneissel M (2013) WNT signaling in bone homeostasis and disease: from human mutations to treatments. Nat Med 19:179–192. https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3074
- Pizzino G, Irrera N, Galfo F, et al (2017) Adenosine Receptor Stimulation Improves Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis in a Rat Model. Front Pharmacol 8:558. https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2017.00558
- Swanson C, Lorentzon M, Conaway HH, Lerner UH (2006) Glucocorticoid regulation of osteoclast differentiation and expression of receptor activator of nuclear factor-kappaB (NF-kappaB) ligand, osteoprotegerin, and receptor activator of NF-kappaB in mouse calvarial bones. Endocrinology 147:3613– 3622. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2005-0717
- Chen K, Liu Y, He J, et al (2020) Steroid-induced osteonecrosis of the femoral head reveals enhanced reactive oxygen species and hyperactive osteoclasts. Int J Biol Sci 16:1888–1900. https://doi.org/10.7150/ijbs.40917
- Yang X, Jiang T, Wang Y, Guo L (2019) The Role and Mechanism of SIRT1 in Resveratrol-regulated Osteoblast Autophagy in Osteoporosis Rats. Sci Rep 9:18424. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-44766-3
- Dovio A, Perazzolo L, Osella G, et al (2004) Immediate fall of bone formation and transient increase of bone resorption in the course of high-dose, short-term glucocorticoid therapy in young patients with multiple sclerosis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 89:4923–4928. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2004-0164
- Rong X, Kou Y, Zhang Y, et al (2022) ED-71 Prevents Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis by Regulating Osteoblast Differentiation via Notch and Wnt/β-Catenin Pathways. Drug Des Devel Ther 16:3929–3946. https://doi.org/10.2147/DDDT.S377001
- Wang Y, Chen J, Chen J, et al (2020) Daphnetin ameliorates glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis via activation of Wnt/GSK-3β/β-catenin signaling. Toxicol Appl Pharmacol 409:115333. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.taap.2020.115333
- Chandler H, Brooks DJ, Hattersley G, et al (2019) Abaloparatide increases bone mineral density and bone strength in ovariectomized rabbits with glucocorticoid-induced osteopenia. Osteoporos Int 30:1607–1616. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-019-04999-4
- 35. Yang H-Z, Dong R, Jia Y, et al (2023) Morroniside ameliorates glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis and promotes osteoblastogenesis by interacting with sodium-glucose cotransporter 2. Pharm Biol 61:416–426. https://doi.org/10.1080/13880209.2023.2173787
- Park S, Oh J, Son K-Y, et al (2015) Quantitative computed tomographic assessment of bone mineral density changes associated with administration of prednisolone or prednisolone and alendronate sodium in dogs. Am J Vet Res 76:28–34. https://doi.org/10.2460/ajvr.76.1.28
- Xiao L, Zhong M, Huang Y, et al (2020) Puerarin alleviates osteoporosis in the ovariectomy-induced mice by suppressing osteoclastogenesis via inhibition of TRAF6/ROS-dependent MAPK/NF-κB signaling pathways. Aging (Albany NY) 12:21706–21729. https://doi.org/10.18632/aging.103976
- 38. Yuan Y, Yang J, Zhuge A, et al (2022) Gut microbiota modulates osteoclast glutathione synthesis and mitochondrial biogenesis in mice subjected to ovariectomy. Cell Prolif 55:e13194. https://doi.org/10.1111/cpr.13194
- Xiong Y, Huang C-W, Shi C, et al (2023) Quercetin suppresses ovariectomy-induced osteoporosis in rat mandibles by regulating autophagy and the NLRP3 pathway. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 248:2363–2380. https://doi.org/10.1177/15353702231211977
- Zhang Z, Ren H, Shen G, et al (2016) Animal models for glucocorticoid-induced postmenopausal osteoporosis: An updated review. Biomed Pharmacother 84:438–446. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopha.2016.09.045
- 41. Chaichit S, Sato T, Yu H, et al (2021) Evaluation of Dexamethasone-Induced Osteoporosis In Vivo Using Zebrafish Scales. Pharmaceuticals (Basel) 14:536. https://doi.org/10.3390/ph14060536
- 42. Zhao J, Li Y, Zhang H, et al (2019) Preventative effects of metformin on glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis in rats. J Bone Miner Metab 37:805–814. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00774-019-00989-y
- Yao W, Dai W, Jiang L, et al (2016) Sclerostin-antibody treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis maintained bone mass and strength. Osteoporos Int 27:283–294. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00198-015-3308-6

- 44. Yao W, Cheng Z, Koester KJ, et al (2007) The degree of bone mineralization is maintained with single intravenous bisphosphonates in aged estrogen-deficient rats and is a strong predictor of bone strength. Bone 41:804–812. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2007.06.021
- 45. Lane NE, Yao W, Balooch M, et al (2006) Glucocorticoid-treated mice have localized changes in trabecular bone material properties and osteocyte lacunar size that are not observed in placebo-treated or estrogendeficient mice. J Bone Miner Res 21:466–476. https://doi.org/10.1359/JBMR.051103
- Lochmüller EM, Jung V, Weusten A, et al (2001) Precision of high-resolution dual energy X-ray absorptiometry of bone mineral status and body composition in small animal models. Eur Cell Mater 1:43– 51. https://doi.org/10.22203/ecm.v001a05
- 47. Bouxsein ML, Boyd SK, Christiansen BA, et al (2010) Guidelines for assessment of bone microstructure in rodents using micro-computed tomography. J Bone Miner Res 25:1468–1486. https://doi.org/10.1002/jbmr.141
- 48. Grier SJ, Turner AS, Alvis MR (1996) The use of dual-energy x-ray absorptiometry in animals. Invest Radiol 31:50–62. https://doi.org/10.1097/00004424-199601000-00008
- Kim HS, Jeong ES, Yang MH, Yang S-O (2018) Bone mineral density assessment for research purpose using dual energy X-ray absorptiometry. Osteoporos Sarcopenia 4:79–85. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.afos.2018.09.003
- Sato AY, Richardson D, Cregor M, et al (2017) Glucocorticoids Induce Bone and Muscle Atrophy by Tissue-Specific Mechanisms Upstream of E3 Ubiquitin Ligases. Endocrinology 158:664–677. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2016-1779
- Sato AY, Peacock M, Bellido T (2018) GLUCOCORTICOID EXCESS IN BONE AND MUSCLE. Clin Rev Bone Miner Metab 16:33–47. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12018-018-9242-3
- Radkowski MJ, Sławiński P, Targowski T (2020) Osteosarcopenia in rheumatoid arthritis treated with glucocorticosteroids - essence, significance, consequences. Reumatologia 58:101–106. https://doi.org/10.5114/reum.2020.95363
- 53. Wu M, Liu C, Sun D (2024) Glucocorticoid-Induced Myopathy: Typology, Pathogenesis, Diagnosis, and Treatment. Horm Metab Res 56:341–349. https://doi.org/10.1055/a-2246-2900
- Shah OJ, Kimball SR, Jefferson LS (2000) Among translational effectors, p7056k is uniquely sensitive to inhibition by glucocorticoids. Biochem J 347:389–397. https://doi.org/10.1042/0264-6021:3470389
- Schakman O, Gilson H, de Coninck V, et al (2005) Insulin-like growth factor-I gene transfer by electroporation prevents skeletal muscle atrophy in glucocorticoid-treated rats. Endocrinology 146:1789– 1797. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2004-1594
- 56. Stitt TN, Drujan D, Clarke BA, et al (2004) The IGF-1/PI3K/Akt pathway prevents expression of muscle atrophy-induced ubiquitin ligases by inhibiting FOXO transcription factors. Mol Cell 14:395–403. https://doi.org/10.1016/s1097-2765(04)00211-4
- 57. Amirouche A, Durieux A-C, Banzet S, et al (2009) Down-regulation of Akt/mammalian target of rapamycin signaling pathway in response to myostatin overexpression in skeletal muscle. Endocrinology 150:286–294. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2008-0959
- Hasselgren PO, Fischer JE (2001) Muscle cachexia: current concepts of intracellular mechanisms and molecular regulation. Ann Surg 233:9–17. https://doi.org/10.1097/00000658-200101000-00003
- 59. Bodine SC, Latres E, Baumhueter S, et al (2001) Identification of ubiquitin ligases required for skeletal muscle atrophy. Science 294:1704–1708. https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1065874
- 60. Niculet E, Bobeica C, Tatu AL (2020) Glucocorticoid-Induced Skin Atrophy: The Old and the New. Clin Cosmet Investig Dermatol 13:1041–1050. https://doi.org/10.2147/CCID.S224211
- Lesovaya EA, Savinkova AV, Morozova OV, et al (2020) A Novel Approach to Safer Glucocorticoid Receptor-Targeted Anti-lymphoma Therapy via REDD1 (Regulated in Development and DNA Damage 1) Inhibition. Mol Cancer Ther 19:1898–1908. https://doi.org/10.1158/1535-7163.MCT-19-1111
- 62. Britto FA, Begue G, Rossano B, et al (2014) REDD1 deletion prevents dexamethasone-induced skeletal muscle atrophy. Am J Physiol Endocrinol Metab 307:E983-993. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.00234.2014
- 63. Baida G, Bhalla P, Kirsanov K, et al (2015) REDD1 functions at the crossroads between the therapeutic and adverse effects of topical glucocorticoids. EMBO Mol Med 7:42–58. https://doi.org/10.15252/emmm.201404601
- Saxton RA, Sabatini DM (2017) mTOR Signaling in Growth, Metabolism, and Disease. Cell 169:361–371. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2017.03.035
- 65. Karagianni F, Pavlidis A, Malakou LS, et al (2022) Predominant Role of mTOR Signaling in Skin Diseases with Therapeutic Potential. Int J Mol Sci 23:1693. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms23031693
- 66. Mishra S, Cosentino C, Tamta AK, et al (2022) Sirtuin 6 inhibition protects against glucocorticoid-induced skeletal muscle atrophy by regulating IGF/PI3K/AKT signaling. Nat Commun 13:5415. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-022-32905-w

- 67. Cid-Díaz T, Leal-López S, Fernández-Barreiro F, et al (2021) Obestatin signalling counteracts glucocorticoid-induced skeletal muscle atrophy via NEDD4/KLF15 axis. J Cachexia Sarcopenia Muscle 12:493–505. https://doi.org/10.1002/jcsm.12677
- Yoo A, Kim J-I, Lee H, et al (2024) Gromwell ameliorates glucocorticoid-induced muscle atrophy through the regulation of Akt/mTOR pathway. Chin Med 19:20. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13020-024-00890-5
- Yoshida K, Matsuoka T, Kobatake Y, et al (2022) Quantitative assessment of muscle mass and gene expression analysis in dogs with glucocorticoid-induced muscle atrophy. J Vet Med Sci 84:275–281. https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.21-0325
- 70. Agarwal S, Mirzoeva S, Readhead B, et al (2019) PI3K inhibitors protect against glucocorticoid-induced skin atrophy. EBioMedicine 41:526–537. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ebiom.2019.01.055
- Klopot A, Baida G, Bhalla P, et al (2015) Selective Activator of the Glucocorticoid Receptor Compound A
  Dissociates Therapeutic and Atrophogenic Effects of Glucocorticoid Receptor Signaling in Skin. J Cancer
  Prev 20:250–259. https://doi.org/10.15430/JCP.2015.20.4.250
- 72. Hwang JL, Weiss RE (2014) Steroid-induced diabetes: a clinical and molecular approach to understanding and treatment. Diabetes Metab Res Rev 30:96–102. https://doi.org/10.1002/dmrr.2486
- Dehghani M, Hobbi AM, Haghighat S, et al (2020) Glucocorticoid induced diabetes and lipid profiles disorders amongst lymphoid malignancy survivors. Diabetes Metab Syndr 14:1645–1649. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dsx.2020.08.027
- 74. Beaupere C, Liboz A, Fève B, et al (2021) Molecular Mechanisms of Glucocorticoid-Induced Insulin Resistance. Int J Mol Sci 22:623. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22020623
- 75. Drucis M, Irga-Jaworska N, Myśliwiec M (2018) Steroid-induced diabetes in the paediatric population. Pediatr Endocrinol Diabetes Metab 2018:136–139. https://doi.org/10.5114/pedm.2018.80995
- Akalestou E, Genser L, Rutter GA (2020) Glucocorticoid Metabolism in Obesity and Following Weight Loss.
   Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 11:59. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2020.00059
- 77. Pivonello R, De Leo M, Vitale P, et al (2010) Pathophysiology of diabetes mellitus in Cushing's syndrome. Neuroendocrinology 92 Suppl 1:77–81. https://doi.org/10.1159/000314319
- 78. Mazziotti G, Gazzaruso C, Giustina A (2011) Diabetes in Cushing syndrome: basic and clinical aspects. Trends Endocrinol Metab 22:499–506. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tem.2011.09.001
- Cui A, Fan H, Zhang Y, et al (2019) Dexamethasone-induced Krüppel-like factor 9 expression promotes hepatic gluconeogenesis and hyperglycemia. J Clin Invest 129:2266–2278. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI66062
- Lee RA, Chang M, Yiv N, et al (2023) Transcriptional coactivation by EHMT2 restricts glucocorticoidinduced insulin resistance in a study with male mice. Nat Commun 14:3143. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-38584-5
- 81. Gupta M, Rumman M, Singh B, et al (2024) Berberine ameliorates glucocorticoid-induced hyperglycemia: an in vitro and in vivo study. Naunyn Schmiedebergs Arch Pharmacol 397:1647–1658. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00210-023-02703-2
- 82. Erfidan S, Dede S, Usta A, et al (2022) The effect of quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa) on apoptotic, autophagic, antioxidant and inflammation markers in glucocorticoid-induced insulin resistance in rats. Mol Biol Rep 49:6509–6516. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11033-022-07479-x
- 83. Martínez BB, Pereira ACC, Muzetti JH, et al (2016) Experimental model of glucocorticoid-induced insulin resistance. Acta Cir Bras 31:645–649. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0102-865020160100000001
- Dallman MF, Akana SF, Pecoraro NC, et al (2007) Glucocorticoids, the etiology of obesity and the metabolic syndrome. Curr Alzheimer Res 4:199–204. https://doi.org/10.2174/156720507780362236
- 85. Pivonello R, Isidori AM, De Martino MC, et al (2016) Complications of Cushing's syndrome: state of the art. Lancet Diabetes Endocrinol 4:611–629. https://doi.org/10.1016/S2213-8587(16)00086-3
- Anagnostis P, Athyros VG, Tziomalos K, et al (2009) Clinical review: The pathogenetic role of cortisol in the metabolic syndrome: a hypothesis. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 94:2692–2701. https://doi.org/10.1210/jc.2009-0370
- 87. Van Dongen-Melman JE, Hokken-Koelega AC, Hählen K, et al (1995) Obesity after successful treatment of acute lymphoblastic leukemia in childhood. Pediatr Res 38:86–90. https://doi.org/10.1203/00006450-199507000-00015
- 88. Tataranni PA, Larson DE, Snitker S, et al (1996) Effects of glucocorticoids on energy metabolism and food intake in humans. Am J Physiol 271:E317-325. https://doi.org/10.1152/ajpendo.1996.271.2.E317
- 89. Berthon BS, MacDonald-Wicks LK, Wood LG (2014) A systematic review of the effect of oral glucocorticoids on energy intake, appetite, and body weight in humans. Nutr Res 34:179–190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nutres.2013.12.006
- 90. Kwon S, Hermayer KL, Hermayer K (2013) Glucocorticoid-induced hyperglycemia. Am J Med Sci 345:274–277. https://doi.org/10.1097/MAJ.0b013e31828a6a01
- 91. Descours M, Rigalleau V (2023) Glucocorticoid-induced hyperglycemia and diabetes: Practical points. Ann Endocrinol (Paris) 84:353–356. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ando.2023.03.011

- 92. Perez A, Jansen-Chaparro S, Saigi I, et al (2014) Glucocorticoid-induced hyperglycemia. J Diabetes 6:9–20. https://doi.org/10.1111/1753-0407.12090
- 93. Gathercole LL, Morgan SA, Bujalska IJ, et al (2011) Regulation of lipogenesis by glucocorticoids and insulin in human adipose tissue. PLoS One 6:e26223. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0026223
- 94. Dolinsky VW, Douglas DN, Lehner R, Vance DE (2004) Regulation of the enzymes of hepatic microsomal triacylglycerol lipolysis and re-esterification by the glucocorticoid dexamethasone. Biochem J 378:967–974. https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20031320
- 95. Smith GI, Shankaran M, Yoshino M, et al (2020) Insulin resistance drives hepatic de novo lipogenesis in nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. J Clin Invest 130:1453–1460. https://doi.org/10.1172/JCI134165
- 96. Kott JM, Mooney-Leber SM, Shoubah FA, Brummelte S (2016) Effectiveness of different corticosterone administration methods to elevate corticosterone serum levels, induce depressive-like behavior, and affect neurogenesis levels in female rats. Neuroscience 312:201–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroscience.2015.11.006
- 97. Pung T, Zimmerman K, Klein B, Ehrich M (2003) Corticosterone in drinking water: altered kinetics of a single oral dose of corticosterone and concentrations of plasma sodium, albumin, globulin, and total protein. Toxicol Ind Health 19:171–182. https://doi.org/10.1191/0748233703th182oa
- 98. Huang M, Wang Y, Peng R (2020) Icariin Alleviates Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis through EphB4/Ephrin-B2 Axis. Evid Based Complement Alternat Med 2020:2982480. https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/2982480
- 99. Zhang Y, Li M, Liu Z, Fu Q (2021) Arbutin ameliorates glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis through activating autophagy in osteoblasts. Exp Biol Med (Maywood) 246:1650–1659. https://doi.org/10.1177/15353702211002136
- 100. Zeng C, Wang S, Gu H, et al (2023) Galangin mitigates glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis by activating autophagy of BMSCs via triggering the PKA/CREB signaling pathway. Acta Biochim Biophys Sin (Shanghai) 55:1275–1287. https://doi.org/10.3724/abbs.2023063
- 101. Chargo NJ, Neugebauer K, Guzior DV, et al (2023) Glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis is prevented by dietary prune in female mice. Front Cell Dev Biol 11:1324649. https://doi.org/10.3389/fcell.2023.1324649
- 102. Xu N, Cui G, Zhao S, et al (2023) Therapeutic Effects of Mechanical Stress-Induced C2C12-Derived Exosomes on Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis Through miR-92a-3p/PTEN/AKT Signaling Pathway. Int J Nanomedicine 18:7583–7603. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S435301
- 103. Xu W-N, Zheng H-L, Yang R-Z, et al (2019) HIF-1α Regulates Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis Through PDK1/AKT/mTOR Signaling Pathway. Front Endocrinol (Lausanne) 10:922. https://doi.org/10.3389/fendo.2019.00922
- 104. Song L, Cao L, Liu R, et al (2020) The critical role of T cells in glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. Cell Death Dis 12:45. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41419-020-03249-4
- 105. Li S, Cui Y, Li M, et al (2023) Acteoside Derived from Cistanche Improves Glucocorticoid-Induced Osteoporosis by Activating PI3K/AKT/mTOR Pathway. J Invest Surg 36:2154578. https://doi.org/10.1080/08941939.2022.2154578
- Eskandarynasab M, Doustimotlagh AH, Takzaree N, et al (2020) Phosphatidylserine nanoliposomes inhibit glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis: A potential combination therapy with alendronate. Life Sci 257:118033. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2020.118033
- 107. Sato D, Takahata M, Ota M, et al (2020) Siglec-15-targeting therapy protects against glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis of growing skeleton in juvenile rats. Bone 135:115331. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bone.2020.115331
- 108. Luo Y, Xiang Y, Lu B, et al (2023) Association between dietary selenium intake and the prevalence of osteoporosis and its role in the treatment of glucocorticoid-induced osteoporosis. J Orthop Surg Res 18:867. https://doi.org/10.1186/s13018-023-04276-5
- 109. Oki Y, Doi K, Kobatake R, et al (2022) Histological and histomorphometric aspects of continual intermittent parathyroid hormone administration on osseointegration in osteoporosis rabbit model. PLoS One 17:e0269040. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0269040
- 110. Chimin P, Farias T da SM, Torres-Leal FL, et al (2014) Chronic glucocorticoid treatment enhances lipogenic activity in visceral adipocytes of male Wistar rats. Acta Physiol (Oxf) 211:409–420. https://doi.org/10.1111/apha.12226
- 111. Nunes PP, Andreotti S, de Fátima Silva F, et al (2017) Chronic low-dose glucocorticoid treatment increases subcutaneous abdominal fat, but not visceral fat, of male Wistar rats. Life Sci 190:29–35. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lfs.2017.09.030
- 112. Gasparini SJ, Weber M-C, Henneicke H, et al (2016) Continuous corticosterone delivery via the drinking water or pellet implantation: A comparative study in mice. Steroids 116:76–82. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.steroids.2016.10.008

- 113. Balachandran A, Guan H, Sellan M, et al (2008) Insulin and dexamethasone dynamically regulate adipocyte 11beta-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase type 1. Endocrinology 149:4069–4079. https://doi.org/10.1210/en.2008-0088
- 114. Cai Y, Song Z, Wang X, et al (2011) Dexamethasone-induced hepatic lipogenesis is insulin dependent in chickens (Gallus gallus domesticus). Stress 14:273–281. https://doi.org/10.3109/10253890.2010.543444

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

16