

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

---

# Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms in the General Population Under Stressful Conditions: Lessons Learned from the COVID-19 Pandemic

---

[Luca Pellegrini](#) \* , [Umberto Albert](#) , [Claudia Carmassi](#) , [Giuseppe Carrà](#) , [Francesca Cirulli](#) , [Bernardo Maria Dell'Osso](#) , [Matteo Di Vincenzo](#) , [Mario Luciano](#) , [Maria Giulia Nanni](#) , [Maurizio Pompili](#) , [Gabriele Sani](#) , [Alfonso Tortorella](#) , [Umberto Volpe](#) , [Andrea Fiorillo](#) , [Gaia Sampogna](#)

Posted Date: 20 November 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202411.1549.v1

Keywords: OCD; obsessive compulsive disorder; COVID-19; lockdown; pandemic; COMET; stress factor; trigger



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

# Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms in the General Population Under Stressful Conditions: Lessons Learned from the COVID-19 Pandemic

Luca Pellegrini <sup>1,2,3,4,\*</sup>, Umberto Albert <sup>1,2</sup>, Claudia Carmassi <sup>5</sup>, Giuseppe Carrà <sup>6</sup>, Francesca Cirulli <sup>7</sup>, Bernardo Dell'Osso <sup>8,9</sup>, Matteo Di Vincenzo <sup>10</sup>, Mario Luciano <sup>10</sup>, Maria Giulia Nanni <sup>11</sup>, Maurizio Pompili <sup>12</sup>, Gabriele Sani <sup>13,14,15</sup>, Alfonso Tortorella <sup>16</sup>, Umberto Volpe <sup>17</sup>, Andrea Fiorillo <sup>10</sup> and Gaia Sampogna <sup>10</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Medicine, Surgery and Health Sciences, University of Trieste, Trieste, Italy,

<sup>2</sup> Department of Mental Health, Azienda Sanitaria Universitaria Giuliano Isontina—ASUGI, Trieste, Italy,

<sup>3</sup> School of Life and Medical Sciences, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, United Kingdom

<sup>4</sup> Centre for Psychedelic Research, Imperial College London, London, UK

<sup>5</sup> Department of Clinical and Experimental Medicine, University of Pisa, Pisa, Italy

<sup>6</sup> Department of Medicine and Surgery, University of Milan Bicocca, Milan, Italy

<sup>7</sup> Center for Behavioral Sciences and Mental Health, National Institute of Health, Rome, Italy

<sup>8</sup> Neuroscience Research Center, Department of Biomedical and Clinical Sciences and Aldo Ravelli <sup>8</sup>Center for Neurotechnology and Brain Therapeutic, University of Milan, Milano, Italy

<sup>9</sup> Department of Psychiatry and Behavioural Sciences, Stanford University, Stanford, CA, United States

<sup>10</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli", Naples, Italy.

<sup>11</sup> Institute of Psychiatry, Department of Biomedical and Specialty Surgical Sciences, University of Ferrara, Ferrara, Italy

<sup>12</sup> Department of Neurosciences, Mental Health and Sensory Organs, Faculty of Medicine and Psychology, Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy

<sup>13</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Section of Psychiatry, University Cattolica del Sacro Cuore, Rome, Italy

<sup>14</sup> Department of Neuroscience, Sensory Organs and Thorax, Fondazione Policlinico A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy

<sup>15</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Fondazione Policlinico A. Gemelli IRCCS, Rome, Italy

<sup>16</sup> Department of Psychiatry, University of Perugia, Perugia, Italy.

<sup>17</sup> Clinical Psychiatry Unit, Department of Clinical Neurosciences, Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy.

\* Correspondence: Luca Pellegrini, E-mail luca.pellegrini@units.it, luca.pellegrini@nhs.net

**Abstract: Introduction:** COVID-19 pandemic had a negative impact on mental health in the general population. The fear, stress, and uncertainty surrounding that traumatic period could have contributed to the aggravation or possible new onset of obsessive-compulsive symptoms. **Methods:** The COvid Mental hEalth Trial (COMET) is a nationwide project organized by the University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli" designed as an observational investigation that aimed to gather data from a representative sample of the Italian general population. The current study is a report from the main project and it focuses on OC symptoms. **Results:** A total sample of N=20,720 took part in the survey. N=2332 individuals had a total OCI-R score greater than or equal to 21 (11.3% of the entire sample), indicating the presence of clinically relevant obsessive compulsive symptoms. By excluding patients with a history of previous mental disorders, we still obtained a high number of individuals with OCI-R greater than or equal to 21 (N=2024), representing 10.3% of the overall sample, possibly indicating a new incidence of OC symptoms during the pandemic. **Discussion:** Our study highlights a substantial new incidence of obsessive compulsive symptoms in the general public. Some risk factors or red flags should be paid particular attention to in order to prevent development of OC symptoms during a critical and traumatic event such as the COVID-19 pandemic.



**Keywords:** OCD; obsessive compulsive disorder; COVID-19; lockdown; pandemic; COMET; stress factor; trigger

---

## 1. Introduction

There is now a large amount of evidence that during COVID-19 pandemic, mental health in the general public worsened [1–3]. In a recent study conducted by the COvid Mental hEalth Trial (COMET) network [1] 20,720 participants were recruited and completed a survey. Among them, 12.4% of respondents (N= 2,555) reported severe or extremely severe levels of depressive symptoms, 17.6% (N= 3,627) of anxiety symptoms and 41.6% (N = 8,619) reported to feel at least moderately stressed by the situation. The authors found evidence that, while physical isolation and lockdown represent essential public health measures to contain the spread of the COVID-19 pandemic, they are a serious threat for mental health and well-being of the general population; therefore, as an integral part of COVID-19 response, mental health needs should be addressed. Moreover, as mental problems increased, a significant reduction in referrals and self-presentation to community mental health services was reported in Italy [4], with loneliness [5] and bad eating habits [6] being among the most common contributors to mental health issues. A significant psychopathological load associated with COVID-19 was present in healthcare professionals: Sani and colleagues (2022) [7] found that, compared to the rest of the population, healthcare professionals showed a considerably greater risk for mental health disorders [7]. As previously considered, wellbeing and mental health in the general population during COVID-19 pandemic worsened: a meta-review of prevalence including eighteen different meta-analyses found the prevalence of mental health problems to range from 20 to 36% in the general public, with insomnia and stress being the most common issues, 32.34% (CI: 25.65–39.84) and 36% (CI: 29.31–43.54), respectively [8]. The reasons for the negative impact of the pandemic on mental health might be several, but it is possible that the psychological issues could be a consequence of general stressful environmental triggers, rather than the pandemic and fear of COVID-19 itself. Therefore, it is important to keep trying to investigate the specific psychiatric symptoms in the general public and the possible risk factors behind them, in order to be more knowledgeable and ready to face future critical and stressful events, catastrophes and even possible future pandemics [9].

In this current study, we focus on a specific type of symptomatology during the COVID-19 pandemic in the general public: obsessive compulsive symptoms. Obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) is a chronic and debilitating condition characterized by a combination of recurrent obsessional thoughts and time-consuming compulsive rituals, often resistant to treatments [10]. OCD is responsible for a significant disability burden globally [11], has a detrimental effect on the quality of life of the individuals affected [12] and can even lead to suicide [13,14]. Studies report 12-month prevalence estimates of 0.7–3.0% in adults and 0.25–0.30% in children [15,16]. A substantial proportion of the population - estimated at 21% and 28% in the studies by Ruscio et al. (2010) [15] and Fineberg et al. (2013) [17] - report subthreshold obsessive-compulsive symptoms. It is anticipated that individuals with these disorders would have difficulty adjusting to new environments and would struggle during stressful events [18,19]. Obsessive-compulsive symptoms have been a significant concern during the COVID-19 pandemic, with various studies highlighting the impact of the pandemic on individuals with obsessive-compulsive disorder (OCD) [20–23]. Research indicates that during the early stages of the pandemic, obsessive-compulsive symptoms worsened, particularly for subjects with contamination-related OCD [20]. The prevalence of obsessive-compulsive symptoms (OCS) throughout this period was investigated by several studies because of the similarity between the contagion-containing measures (such as physical separation, hand washing, mask use, and quarantine) and obsessive-compulsive phenomenology (e.g., contamination worries and frequent washing and/or checking). A systematic review and meta-analysis of 35 studies [24] found that clinically significant obsessive-compulsive symptoms (OCS) were common among the general population during the pandemic: their prevalence was as high as 22% (studies=19). Specifically, the

prevalence of OCS was 36% in pregnant women, 22% in COVID-19 cases, 21% in undergraduate students and 5% and healthcare professionals. However, the heterogeneity in the results was high, given the use of different scales, each with a different cut-off. OC symptoms increased significantly during the pandemic not only in individuals with a history of the disorder, but also in the ones without such diagnosis [21]. Furthermore, the fear, uncertainty and stress associated with the spread of COVID-19 have not only been linked to the exacerbation of obsessive-compulsive symptoms [25,26], but also associated with the onset of new ones [26]. The impact of the pandemic on obsessive-compulsive symptoms has been observed across different populations, including medical students [27], young adults [28], children and adolescents [29,30,55], and individuals with preexisting mental disorders [31]. The increased frequency of contamination obsessions and cleaning compulsions has been a common theme during the pandemic, with limited exposure to COVID-related news potentially serving as a protective factor against symptom deterioration [31]. Moreover, subjects suffering from OCD may encounter significant difficulty in giving up behaviors that they previously believed were essential for safeguarding against COVID-19 infection [19,32,33]. The study conducted by Fineberg et al. (2021) [19] is the sole published research examining the mental health challenges faced by the general population in response to the relaxation of lockdown measures in the UK. This study took place between July and November 2020, coinciding with the initial phase of easing restrictions. Therefore, the COVID-19 pandemic has had a significant effect on individuals with obsessive-compulsive symptoms, leading to the exacerbation of pre-existing symptoms and/or the possible onset of new ones. The fear, insecurity, and uncertainty surrounding the pandemic have contributed to the worsening of obsessive-compulsive behaviors, highlighting the need for continued monitoring and support for individuals with OCD during traumatic events such as this one. The COVID-19 pandemic could be adopted as an example or paradigm of a general stressful trigger, affecting the entire population, and possibly eliciting, inducing or exacerbating obsessive compulsive symptoms [34–36]. It would be therefore pivotal to know the types and frequencies of OC symptoms in the general public during this period, the possible incidence of new cases of OCD and finally the predictors for this specific symptomatology. The predictors could be particularly important for future prevention of the exacerbation or new onset of obsessive compulsive symptoms under traumatic situations and in the context of public stress and trigger factors.

## 2. Materials and Methods

The COvid Mental hEalth Trial (COMET) is a nationwide trial organized by the University of Campania "Luigi Vanvitelli" in collaboration with nine other university sites: Università Politecnica delle Marche, University of Ferrara, University of Milan Bicocca, University of Milan "Statale," University of Perugia, University of Pisa, Sapienza University of Rome, "Catholic" University of Rome, and University of Trieste. The National Institute of Health in Rome's Center for Behavioral Sciences and Mental Health has supported the dissemination and implementation of the project, in accordance with the clinical guidelines produced by the National Institute of Health, to manage the effects of the COVID-19 pandemic. The COMET study was designed as an observational study that aimed to gather data from a representative sample of the Italian general population. The study used a snowball sampling approach for recruitment. The whole research procedure may be found in another study [37] and this current study stems from a sub-analysis of the original study sample [37].

Demographic information, such as gender, age, geographical location, employment status, and education, as well as clinical information, such as history of physical or mental disorders and use of illegal substances, have been collected. The Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory - Revised version (OCI-R) [38] was used to detect obsessive compulsive symptomatology; a total score on the OCI-R equal to or greater than 21 indicates clinically significant OCD symptoms. The OCI-R is available in an Italian version with defined normative scores [54]. Other validated and reliable questionnaires included in the study are: DASS-21 (Depressive Anxiety and Stress Scale-21) [39]; Impact of Event Scale-short version (IES) [40]; UCLA scale-short version to evaluate levels of perceived loneliness [41]; Suicidal Ideation Attributes Scale (SIDAS) [42]; Severity of Acute Stress Symptoms Adult scale (SASS) [43];

General Health Questionnaire-12 items version (GHQ) [44]; Coping Orientation to Problems Experienced Inventory-short version (brief-COPE) [45].

This research has been done in compliance with universally recognized norms of ethical conduct, in alignment with the Declaration of Helsinki and local legislation. The participants granted their written informed permission to participate in this research. The research has received approval from the Ethical Review Board of the University of Campania "L. Vanvitelli" (Protocol number: 0007593/i). Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study.

Quantitative analysis of data was conducted by using statistical methods. An analysis of descriptive statistics provided a description of the socio-demographic of the overall sample. ANOVA and T-tests for independent samples have been used for continuous variables and chi-square test was conducted for categorical variables to assess differences between groups (different levels of obsessive compulsive symptomologies). Scores on the OCI-R total and the relative subscales indicating specific OC dimensions were compared between our sample and the Italian norms [54]. Moreover, the prevalence of clinically significant obsessive-compulsive symptoms, both for the total OCI-R (a score equal to or greater than 21) and for the OCI-R subscales was estimated [38]. The sample has been subdivided into four different subgroups in order to explore the impact of the pandemic on obsessive compulsive symptoms in specific populations: individuals affected by COVID-19, healthcare staff, individuals with mental health disorders (persistent and self-declared) and subjects in quarantine. A multivariate linear regression model, controlled for independent variables such as age, gender, education, occupational status, civil status, other coping strategies, level of social supports, having a COVID-19 infection, geographical region, time to exposure to the pandemic, was conducted to determine potential predictors of significant OCD symptomatology. The multiple imputation technique has been used to address missing data. Analyses of the data was performed using JASP (Version 0.16.3) [46], a freely available statistical program created by the University of Amsterdam (JASP Team, 2022) and STATA, version 15 [47]. For all analyses, the level of statistical significance was set at  $p < 0.05$ .

### 3. Results

A total sample of  $N=20,720$  took part in the survey.  $N=2332$  individuals had a score equal to or higher than 21 on the OCI-R (11.3%) (indicating the presence of clinically relevant obsessive compulsive symptoms) [38]. The sociodemographic characteristics of the sample are summarized in Table 1.

**Table 1.** Sociodemographic characteristics of the global sample.

Variable	Total sample N=20,720	OCI-R < 21 N=18,388	OCI-R ≥ 21 N=2332	p-value
Gender, female, % (N)	71 (14,720)	70.2 (12,916)	77.4 (1,804)	<0.001
Age, mean (SD)	40.4 (14.3)	40.9 (14.3)	36.6 (14.3)	<0.001
Age group, % (N)				<0.001
< 24 years	15.2 (3151)	14.0 (2,569)	25.0 (582)	
24-55 years	65.2 (13,514)	65.8 (12,104)	60.5 (1,410)	
55-65 years	14.0 (2904)	14.5 (2,663)	10.3 (241)	
> 65 years	5.6 (1150)	5.7 (1,055)	4.1 (95)	
Individuals affected by COVID-19, yes % (N)	5.2 (1088)	5.1 (943)	6.2 (145)	0.03
People living in severely hit regions, yes % (N)	31.3 (6485)	31.7 (5828)	28.2 (657)	<0.01
University degree, yes % (N)	62.0 (12,846)	63.1 (11,616)	52.7 (1230)	<0.001
Employed, yes % (N)	70.0 (14,518)	71.4 (13,131)	59.5 (1387)	<0.001
Lost job due to pandemic, yes % (N)	6.3 (1302)	5.8 (1077)	9.6 (225)	<0.001
Marital status, single, yes % (N)	39.1 (8091)	37.5 (6905)	50.9 (1186)	<0.001
Any physical disorder, yes % (N)	14.5 (3014)	14.1 (2589)	18.3 (425)	<0.001
Healthcare staff, % (N)	14 (2907)	14.5 (2674)	10 (233)	<0.001

With mental disorder, % (N)	5.5 (1133)	4.5 (827)	13.2 (306)	<0.001
In quarantine, % (N)	75 (15,592)	75.8 (13,937)	71.0 (1655)	<0.001

The mean OCI-R total score in the entire sample was 10.7 (SD: 8.2). The total score and the scores on the different dimensions of the OCI-R were significantly greater than the Italian normative values (apart from checking and hoarding dimensions, see Table 2). Therefore, in our large population sample, behaviours such as washing, ordering, obsessing and mental neutralizing were significantly more severe compared to normative values.

**Table 2.** OCI-R severity in the total sample compared to Italian normative scores.

OCI-R	Total sample (N=20,720)	Italian	p-value	Differences between the mean and 95% confidence interval
		normative scores (N=340)*		
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)		
<b>OCI-R total score</b>	<b>10.7 (8.2)</b>	<b>7.8 (7.6)</b>	<0.001	<b>2.9 (2.0 to 3.8)</b>
OCI-R washing	2.5 (2.3)	0.9 (1.5)	<0.001	1.6 (1.3 to 1.8)
OCI-R checking	1.2 (1.7)	1.3 (2.0)	0.28	-0.1 (-0.3 to 0.1)
OCI-R ordering	2.4 (2.3)	1.9 (2.3)	<0.001	0.5 (0.2 to 0.7)
OCI-R obsessing	2.9 (2.6)	1.6 (2.4)	<0.001	1.3 (1.0 to 1.6)
OCI-R mental	0.5 (1.3)	0.3 (0.9)	<0.001	0.2 (0.1 to 0.3)
OCI-R hoarding	1.9 (2.1)	1.7 (2.1)	0.81	0.2 (-0.02 to 0.4)

\*: Marchetti et al., 2010 [54] **In bold:** statistically significant differences compared to Italian normative scores (independent samples Student's T-Test).

We then explored the OCI-R scores in the four different subgroups in order to investigate the impact of the pandemic on obsessive compulsive symptoms in these specific and at risk populations: individuals affected by COVID-19 (representing a cluster with particular physical and psychological stress), healthcare staff (a cohort under work-related stress), individuals with pre-existing and self-declared mental health disorders (a vulnerable group), and individuals in quarantine (subjects under psychological stress) (Table 3).

**Table 3.** OCI-R severity in the different groups compared Italian normative scores.

OCI-R	Individuals affected by COVID-19 (N=1088)	Healthcare staff (N=2907)	Individuals with mental disorders (N=1133)	Individuals in quarantine (N=15,592)	Italian normative scores (N=340)&
	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)	Mean (SD)
OCI-R total score	11.5 (9.0)***	9.3 (7.5)**	16.1 (11.0)***	10.6 (8.0)***	7.8 (7.6)
OCI-R washing	2.7 (2.6)***	2.6 (2.5)***	2.9 (2.8)***	2.5 (2.2)***	0.9 (1.5)
OCI-R checking	1.3 (1.7)	1.1 (1.5)	1.9 (2.3)***	1.2 (1.6)	1.3 (2.0)
OCI-R ordering	2.5 (2.4)***	2.1 (2.2)	3.3 (3.0)***	2.4 (2.8)***	1.9 (2.3)
OCI-R mental neutralizing	0.6 (1.5)***	0.4 (1.1)	1.0 (1.9)***	0.5 (1.3)*	0.3 (0.9)
OCI-R obsessing	2.4 (2.7)***	1.6 (2.1)	4.6 (3.4)***	2.3 (2.5)***	1.6 (2.4)
OCI-R hoarding	2.1 (2.3)*	1.6 (1.9)	2.6 (2.6)***	1.9 (2.1)	1.7 (2.1)

\*: Marchetti et al., 2010 [54]; **In bold:** statistically significant differences compared to Italian normative scores (independent samples Student's T-Test). \*\*\*:  $p < 0.0001$ ; \*\*:  $p < 0.001$ ; \*:  $p < 0.01$ .

The most affected group in terms of OCI-R severity was the one composed of individuals with mental disorders; these subjects showed higher scores on all of the items of the OCI-R compared to the normative values. Both groups of individuals affected by COVID-19 and in quarantine had greater score on the OCI-R than the norms, pointing out the impact of stressful events on obsessive-

compulsive symptomatology; these two groups had higher severity on all items of the OCI-R apart from the checking dimension, compared to the norms. Healthcare staff had higher scores on the total OCI-R and on the washing dimension only.

We found that N=2332 individuals had a score higher than or equal to 21 on the OCI-R (11.3%), which is considered as significant for indicating the presence of clinically relevant obsessive compulsive symptoms) [38] (Table 4). Such a great percentage implies that around one out of ten individuals from the general public could have experienced clinically relevant obsessive compulsive symptoms during the pandemic. Individuals with a previous mental disorder had higher rates of clinically significant OC symptoms compared to the three other groups. The frequencies of washing and hoarding dimensions were higher in the individuals with a previous mental disorder and in individuals affected by COVID-19 (Table 4).

**Table 4.** Clinically significant OC significant symptoms in the total sample and different groups (Chi-squared test used for comparisons).

Characteristic	Total Sample	Individuals affected by COVID-19 (N=1088)	Healthcare staff (N=2907)	Individuals with mental disorders (N=1133)	Individuals in quarantine (N=15,592)	p-value
Clinically significant OCD symptoms (OCI-R≥21) - N (%)	2,332 (11.3)	<b>145 (13.3) <sup>c</sup></b>	<b>242 (8.3) <sup>a</sup></b>	<b>308 (27.2) <sup>a,b,c</sup></b>	<b>1668 (10.7) <sup>b</sup></b>	<0.001
Clinically significant washing (score≥5) - N (%)	3,279 (15.8)	<b>215 (19.8) <sup>b</sup></b>	501 (17.3)	<b>238 (21.0) <sup>a</sup></b>	<b>2369 (15.2) <sup>a,b</sup></b>	<0.001
Clinically significant checking (score≥6) - N (%)	584 (2.8)	<b>40 (3.7) <sup>b</sup></b>	74 (2.5) <sup>c</sup>	<b>89 (7.9) <sup>a,b,c</sup></b>	<b>405 (2.6) <sup>b</sup></b>	<0.001
Clinically significant ordering (score≥6) - N (%)	2,091 (10.1)	<b>120 (11) <sup>c</sup></b>	204 (7) <sup>b</sup>	<b>217 (19) <sup>a,b,c</sup></b>	<b>1,559 (10) <sup>c</sup></b>	<0.001
Clinically significant obsessing (score≥8) - N (%)	1,210 (5.8)	<b>80 (7.4) <sup>a</sup></b>	74 (2.5) <sup>c</sup>	<b>240 (21.2) <sup>a,b,c</sup></b>	<b>826 (5.3) <sup>b</sup></b>	<0.001
Clinically significant mental neutralizing (score≥3) - N (%)	1,340 (6.5)	92 (8.5)	<b>135 (4.6) <sup>b</sup></b>	<b>153 (13.6) <sup>a,b</sup></b>	<b>982 (6.3) <sup>a</sup></b>	<0.001
Clinically significant hoarding (score≥6) - N (%)	1,406 (6.8)	<b>96 (8.9) <sup>c</sup></b>	<b>137 (4.7) <sup>a,c</sup></b>	<b>149 (13.2) <sup>a,b</sup></b>	<b>1045 (6.7) <sup>b,c</sup></b>	<0.001

a,b,c: the letters represent the statistically significant differences between 2 groups (e.g., a with a, b with b, c with c). **In bold:** statistically significant differences between groups.

We then compared patients having a OCI-R score greater than or equal to 21 to the ones having a score below 21, and observed that the former group had more depressive, anxious and stress symptoms than the latter. Also, patients with an OCI-R  $\geq 21$  scored higher in the GHQ score, in the UCLA and in the SASS scale. No difference was detected in the scores on the SIDAS measuring attitude toward suicide and the Impact of Event Scale—short version, measuring acute and chronic post traumatic stress disorders (Table 5).

**Table 5.** Scores on the clinical questionnaires between the groups with OCI-R  $\geq 21$  and with OCI-R < 21 ((Independent Samples Student's T-Test).

Scale	OCI-R < 21		OCI-R $\geq 21$		Statistic		
	N	Mean (SD)	N	Mean (SD)	T	p-value	Cohen's d
DASS-21 Depression	18,388	<b>11.79 (7.64)</b>	2,332	<b>16.42 (5.22)</b>	-28.45	< 0.001	-0.63
DASS-21 Anxiety	18,388	<b>6.71 (6.52)</b>	2,332	<b>13.17 (6.30)</b>	-45.18	< 0.001	-0.99
DASS-21 Stress	18,388	<b>16.13 (7.39)</b>	2,332	<b>17.85 (4.49)</b>	-10.97	< 0.001	-0.24
IES	18,388	6.95 (5.18)	2,332	7.14 (5.16)	-1.66	0.01	-0.04
UCLA	18,388	<b>1.88 (0.54)</b>	2,332	<b>1.92 (0.54)</b>	-3.70	< 0.001	-0.08
SIDAS	4,629	4.87 (6.59)	585	4.83 (6.94)	0.14	0.89	0.01
SASS	18,388	<b>5.19 (4.21)</b>	2,332	<b>12.62 (5.26)</b>	-77.94	< 0.001	-1.71
GHQ	18,388	<b>17.39 (3.12)</b>	2,332	<b>17.93 (3.11)</b>	-7.79	< 0.001	-0.17

DASS-21: Depressive Anxiety and Stress Scale-21; IES: Impact of Event Scale-short version; UCLA: The revised UCLA Loneliness Scale; SIDAS: Suicidal Ideation Attributes Scale; SASS: Severity-of-Acute-Stress-Symptoms-Adult scale; GHQ: General Health Questionnaire-12 items version. **In bold:** statistically significant differences between OCI-R  $\geq 21$  and OCI-R  $< 21$  groups.

Given that we showed a higher severity of OCI-R scores and higher frequency of clinically significant symptoms in individuals with a previous mental disorder, we conducted another analysis by excluding this specific group. We still obtained a significant number of subjects with OCI-R total score greater than or equal to 21: N=2024, representing 10.3% of the overall sample. The subsample of people scoring  $\geq 21$  at OCI-R in individuals without pre-existing mental disorders was analyzed through multivariate regression analyses to identify possible factors associated with incident OC symptoms (Table 6) and found the following independent variables associated with the new onset of OC symptoms: being in one of the most COVID-19 affected and severely hit Italian regions, an age range from 24 to 54 years, increased levels of perceived loneliness (as measured by the UCLA), female gender and conspicuous use of substance.

**Table 6.** Predictors of clinically significant OC symptoms (OCI-R score  $\geq 21$ ) (excluding individuals with a previous mental health disorder).

OCI-R $\geq 21$	p-value	Exp(B)	95% C.I.	
			Lower	Upper
<b>Being in one of the most affected Italian regions</b>	<b>0.022</b>	<b>1.131</b>	1.018	1.256
Age groups (reference: up to 24 years)				
<b>24 to 54 years</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	<b>1.683</b>	1.281	2.211
55 to 64 years	0.312	1.137	0.886	1.459
Over 65 years	0.973	0.995	0.755	1.312
<b>UCLA global score</b>	<b>0.025</b>	<b>1.019</b>	1.002	1.035
Time to exposure (reference: week March 30 – April 8)				
Week April 15 – April 9	0.704	1.076	0.738	1.570
Week April 16- April 22	0.753	1.046	0.788	1.390
Week April 23 – April 29	0.799	1.033	0.804	1.328
Week April 30 – May 4	0.955	1.005	0.854	1.183
Quarantine	0.836	1.050	0.660	1.671
Mental health professionals	0.481	1.171	0.755	1.815
COVID-19+	0.365	0.830	0.556	1.241
<b>Gender (reference male)</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	<b>0.741</b>	0.664	0.828
COPE: using substance (reference never)				
COPE: using substance. sometimes	0.068	1.508	0.970	2.347
<b>COPE: using substance. always</b>	<b>0.041</b>	<b>1.600</b>	1.019	2.512
<b>Constant</b>	<b>&lt;0.001</b>	<b>0.063</b>		

**In bold:** statistical significance. Reference: reference used for comparison. Controlled for age, occupational status, civil status, education, other coping strategies, satisfaction with economic condition and level of social supports and weighted for the propensity score.

#### 4. Discussion

Our findings suggest that obsessive compulsive symptoms were more frequent and more severe in the general population during a critical event such as the COVID-19 pandemic. In particular, people with previous mental disorders showed higher rates of clinically significant OC symptoms and higher OCI-R scores and they should be paid particular attention to during a stressful situation of this kind. Healthcare professional group had higher scores on the washing dimension compared to the norms; a possible explanation of this could be an overresponse to professional guidelines, that could hypothetically develop as compulsive behaviour if conducted excessively (i.e., the continuous

repetition of washing behaviours in the workplace might acquire a compulsive nature). This finding is in line with results from previous studies [52,53].

A significant percentage of our large population sample (11.3%) exhibited clinically relevant symptoms. If we consider the frequency of the specific dimensions of the OCI-R, individuals with previous mental disorders had higher frequencies in all symptom dimensions than the three other groups. Compared to the individuals without clinically significant symptoms (OCI-R < 21), individuals with an OCI-R greater than or equal to 21 had more depressive, anxious and stress symptoms, experienced more loneliness, had a worse general mental health, and more severe symptoms of acute stress. Given the highest chance for people with previous mental disorders to have greater scores on the OCI-R and possibly to have full-blown OCD, we performed another analysis by excluding the individuals with a history of mental disorders (N=1,133) from the total sample (N=20,720), obtaining a new sample of N=19,587. Intriguingly, even after excluding the subjects known to have a previous mental disorder, the percentage of individuals experiencing significant OC symptomatology remained high (10.3%). This last finding could point out to a possible substantial incidence of new cases of OCD having developed during the pandemic (as high as one out of ten individuals in our sample) and is in line with previous results [19,32]. Then, we tried to answer the question about who is most at risk of developing obsessive compulsive symptoms (excluding individuals with a previous mental disorder) during a critical and stressful event such as the COVID-19 pandemic. We found that living in one of the most COVID-19 affected and severely hit Italian regions, being an adult in working age (24-54 years), being a female, being lonely and a significant use of substance were all independent risk factors. It is important to understand the impact of the pandemic on OC symptoms in the general public because such a traumatic and critical event could happen again in the future and we can use the COVID-19 pandemic as a paradigm of a stressful environmental trigger [9]. Being a female in working age, living in a highly stressful environment such as one of the most affected and severely hit by the pandemic Italian regions, having higher levels of loneliness, and using substances to cope with the stress, is the profile who could be mostly at risk of emerging obsessive compulsive symptoms. These factors could be seen as red flags for the members of the public that are most at risk, under traumatic situations and in the context of general public stress and trigger factors, to develop an OC symptomatology; possible preventive strategies could be adopted accordingly [48–50].

## 5. Limitations

First, the snowball sampling methodology could have led to a selection bias, with only the individuals who were interested in the psychological consequences of the pandemic willing to participate [51]. Second, the cross-sectional nature of the study does not allow us to infer any causal relationship between our study variables. Third, we have identified individuals with mental disorders by asking a direct question about the presence of a pre-existent mental disorder, without any further clinical evaluation or use of diagnostic interviews. The same limitation applies to the selection of the COVID-19+ group, which was, again, done by self-declaration. Lastly, since we were only able to recruit individuals who were at least 18 years old, our sample cannot be said to be completely representative of young people.

## 6. Conclusions

This paper aimed at characterizing the obsessive-compulsive symptoms in the Italian general population during the critical event of COVID-19 pandemic, with the intent to go beyond the mere cross-sectional picture and look at the possible new incidence of clinically significant obsessive compulsive symptoms and the associated risk factors. By excluding individuals with a history of mental disorders, we could hypothesize that the subjects scoring higher than or equal to 21 on the OCI-R represented new occurrence of obsessive compulsive symptoms in the general public. We were then able to point out specific red flags or risk factors that were linked with this new onset. Our sample was large and representative of the Italian general population during the time of the pandemic. In conclusion, we can say that obsessive compulsive symptoms should be looked at in the

general public, especially in who is most at risk and could be identified by using the risk factors we found, during traumatic events such as a pandemic.

**Author Contributions:** Conceptualization, L.P., U.A., G.S., A.F.; methodology, L.P., U.A., G.S.; software, L.P., U.A., G.S.; validation, C.C., G.C., F.C., B.D., M.D., M.L., MG.N., M.P., G.S., A.T., U.V., A.F., G.S.; formal analysis, L.P., G.S.; investigation, C.C., G.C., F.C., B.D., M.D., M.L., MG.N., M.P., G.S., A.T., U.V., A.F., G.S.; data curation, L.P., G.S.; writing—original draft preparation, L.P., U.A., G.S.; writing—review and editing, C.C., G.C., F.C., B.D., M.D., M.L., MG.N., M.P., G.S., A.T., U.V., A.F., G.S.; visualization, L.P., U.A., G.S., A.F.; supervision, U.A., A.F.. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research received no external funding.

**Informed Consent Statement:** Informed consent was obtained from all subjects involved in the study. The study was reviewed and approved by the Ethical Review Board of the University of Campania “L. Vanvitelli” (protocol number: 0007593/i).

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

## References

1. Fiorillo, A.; Sampogna, G.; Giallonardo, V.; Del Vecchio, V.; Luciano, M.; Albert, U.; Carmassi, C.; Carrà, G.; Cirulli, F.; Dell’Osso, B.; et al. Effects of the Lockdown on the Mental Health of the General Population during the COVID-19 Pandemic in Italy: Results from the COMET Collaborative Network. *Eur Psychiatry* **63**, e87. <https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2020.89>.
2. Fiorillo, A.; Gorwood, P. The Consequences of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Mental Health and Implications for Clinical Practice. *Eur Psychiatry* **63**, e32. <https://doi.org/10.1192/j.eurpsy.2020.35>.
3. Pompili, M.; Innamorati, M.; Sampogna, G.; Albert, U.; Carmassi, C.; Carrà, G.; Cirulli, F.; Erbuto, D.; Luciano, M.; Nanni, M.G.; et al. The Impact of Covid-19 on Unemployment across Italy: Consequences for Those Affected by Psychiatric Conditions. *J Affect Disord* **2022**, *296*, 59–66. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2021.09.035>.
4. Menculini, G.; Tortorella, A.; Albert, U.; Carmassi, C.; Carrà, G.; Cirulli, F.; Dell’Osso, B.; Luciano, M.; Nanni, M.G.; Pompili, M.; et al. Access to Mental Health Care during the First Wave of the COVID-19 Pandemic in Italy: Results from the COMET Multicentric Study. *Brain Sci* **2021**, *11*, 1413. <https://doi.org/10.3390/brainsci11111413>.
5. Sampogna, G.; Giallonardo, V.; Del Vecchio, V.; Luciano, M.; Albert, U.; Carmassi, C.; Carrà, G.; Cirulli, F.; Dell’Osso, B.; Menculini, G.; et al. Loneliness in Young Adults During the First Wave of COVID-19 Lockdown: Results From the Multicentric COMET Study. *Front Psychiatry* **2021**, *12*, 788139. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.788139>.
6. Albert, U.; Losurdo, P.; Leschiutta, A.; Macchi, S.; Samardzic, N.; Casaganda, B.; de Manzini, N.; Palmisano, S. Effect of SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) Pandemic and Lockdown on Body Weight, Maladaptive Eating Habits, Anxiety, and Depression in a Bariatric Surgery Waiting List Cohort. *OBES SURG* **2021**, *31*, 1905–1911. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11695-021-05257-5>.
7. Sani, G.; Janiri, D.; Moccia, L.; Albert, U.; Carrà, G.; Carmassi, C.; Cirulli, F.; Dell’Osso, B.; Menculini, G.; Nanni, M.G.; et al. Psychopathological Burden and Coping Strategies among Frontline and Second-Line Italian Healthcare Workers Facing the COVID-19 Emergency: Findings from the COMET Collaborative Network. *J Affect Disord* **2022**, *311*, 78–83. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2022.05.006>.
8. de Sousa, G.M.; Tavares, V.D. de O.; de Meiroz Grilo, M.L.P.; Coelho, M.L.G.; de Lima-Araújo, G.L.; Schuch, F.B.; Galvão-Coelho, N.L. Mental Health in COVID-19 Pandemic: A Meta-Review of Prevalence Meta-Analyses. *Front Psychol* **2021**, *12*, 703838. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.703838>.
9. Kestel D. Transforming mental health for all: a critical role for specialists. *World Psychiatry*. **2022**;21(3):333–334.
10. Pellegrini, L.; Garg, K.; Enara, A.; Gottlieb, D.S.; Wellsted, D.; Albert, U.; Laws, K.R.; Fineberg, N.A. Repetitive Transcranial Magnetic Stimulation (r-TMS) and Selective Serotonin Reuptake Inhibitor-Resistance in Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: A Meta-Analysis and Clinical Implications. *Compr Psychiatry* **2022**, *118*, 152339. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsych.2022.152339>.
11. Kochar, N.; Ip, S.; Vardanega, V.; Sireau, N.T.; Fineberg, N.A. A Cost-of-Illness Analysis of the Economic Burden of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder in the United Kingdom. *Comprehensive Psychiatry* **2023**, *127*, 152422. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsych.2023.152422>.
12. Hollander, E.; Stein, D.J.; Fineberg, N.A.; Marteau, F.; Legault, M. Quality of Life Outcomes in Patients with Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: Relationship to Treatment Response and Symptom Relapse. *J Clin Psychiatry* **2010**, *71*, 784–792. <https://doi.org/10.4088/JCP.09m05911blu>.

13. Albert, U.; Pellegrini, L.; Maina, G.; Atti, A.-R.; De Ronchi, D.; Rhimer, Z. Suicide in Obsessive-Compulsive Related Disorders: Prevalence Rates and Psychopathological Risk Factors. *Journal of Psychopathology* 2019, 25, 139–148.
14. Benatti, B.; Dell’Osso, B.; Shen, H.; Filippou-Frye, M.; Varias, A.; Sanchez, C.; Jo, B.; Hollander, E.; Fineberg, N.A.; Stein, D.J.; et al. Prevalence and Correlates of Current Suicide Risk in an International Sample of OCD Adults: A Report from the International College of Obsessive-Compulsive Spectrum Disorders (ICOCS) Network and Obsessive Compulsive and Related Disorders Network (OCRN) of the European College of Neuropsychopharmacology. *J Psychiatr Res* 2021, 140, 357–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.05.054>.
15. Ruscio, A.M.; Stein, D.J.; Chiu, W.T.; Kessler, R.C. The Epidemiology of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey Replication. *Mol Psychiatry* 2010, 15, 53–63. <https://doi.org/10.1038/mp.2008.94>.
16. Ten Have M, Tuithof M, van Dorsselaer S, Schouten F, Luik AI, de Graaf R. Prevalence and trends of common mental disorders from 2007-2009 to 2019-2022: results from the Netherlands Mental Health Survey and Incidence Studies (NEMESIS), including comparison of prevalence rates before vs. during the COVID-19 pandemic. *World Psychiatry*. 2023;22(2):275-285.
17. Fineberg, N.A.; Hengartner, M.P.; Bergbaum, C.E.; Gale, T.M.; Gamma, A.; Ajdacic-Gross, V.; Rössler, W.; Angst, J. A Prospective Population-Based Cohort Study of the Prevalence, Incidence and Impact of Obsessive-Compulsive Symptomatology. *Int J Psychiatry Clin Pract* 2013, 17, 170–178. <https://doi.org/10.3109/13651501.2012.755206>.
18. Am, A.-S.; Cm, G.; Na, F.; E, F.-E.; Bj, S.; Tw, R. Neural Basis of Impaired Safety Signaling in Obsessive Compulsive Disorder. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America* 2017, 114. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1609194114>.
19. Fineberg, N.A.; Pellegrini, L.; Wellsted, D.; Hall, N.; Corazza, O.; Giorgetti, V.; Cicconcelli, D.; Theofanous, E.; Sireau, N.; Adam, D.; et al. Facing the “New Normal”: How Adjusting to the Easing of COVID-19 Lockdown Restrictions Exposes Mental Health Inequalities. *J Psychiatr Res* 2021, 141, 276–286. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2021.07.001>.
20. Guzick, A.G.; Candelari, A.; Wiese, A.D.; Schneider, S.C.; Goodman, W.K.; Storch, E.A. Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder During the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review. *Curr Psychiatry Rep* 2021, 23, 71. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11920-021-01284-2>.
21. Abba-Aji, A.; Li, D.; Hrabok, M.; Shalaby, R.; Gusnowski, A.; Vuong, W.; Surood, S.; Nkire, N.; Li, X.-M.; Greenshaw, A.J.; et al. COVID-19 Pandemic and Mental Health: Prevalence and Correlates of New-Onset Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms in a Canadian Province. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* 2020, 17, 6986. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17196986>.
22. Knowles, K.A.; Olatunji, B.O. Anxiety and Safety Behavior Usage during the COVID-19 Pandemic: The Prospective Role of Contamination Fear. *J Anxiety Disord* 2021, 77, 102323. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2020.102323>.
23. Tanir, Y.; Karayagmurlu, A.; Kaya, İ.; Kaynar, T.B.; Türkmen, G.; Dambasan, B.N.; Meral, Y.; Coşkun, M. Exacerbation of Obsessive Compulsive Disorder Symptoms in Children and Adolescents during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Psychiatry Res* 2020, 293, 113363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113363>.
24. Pozza, A.; Ragucci, F.; Angelo, N.L.; Pugi, D.; Cuomo, A.; Garcia-Hernandez, M.D.; Rosa-Alcazar, A.I.; Fagiolini, A.; Starcevic, V. Worldwide Prevalence of Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms during the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis. *J Psychiatr Res* 2024, 172, 360–381. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2024.02.031>.
25. Homayuni, A. Investigating the Correlation between Perceived Stress and Health Anxiety with Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder and Quality of Life during COVID-19 Pandemic. *BMC Psychol* 2023, 11, 54. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-023-01090-w>.
26. Alateeq, D.A.; Almughera, H.N.; Almughera, T.N.; Alfedeah, R.F.; Nasser, T.S.; Alaraj, K.A. The Impact of the Coronavirus (COVID-19) Pandemic on the Development of Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms in Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J* 2021, 42, 750–760. <https://doi.org/10.15537/smj.2021.42.7.20210181>.
27. Nahidi, M.; Ghalandarzadeh, M.; Sinichi, F.; Sahebzadeh, N.; Eslami, S.; Reihani, H.; Emadzadeh, M.; Fayyazi Bordbar, M.R. Investigating the Psychological Effects of Home Quarantine during the Early Peaks of the COVID-19 Pandemic: A Survey from Iran. *Int Clin Psychopharmacol* 2023, 38, 136–145. <https://doi.org/10.1097/YIC.0000000000000444>.
28. Nicolas, G.-B.M.; Ivana, B.R.; Valeria, S.A.; Hanae, Z.T.J. Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on the Prevalence of Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms among Young Adults in Peru: 10.55131/jphd/2022/200211. *Journal of Public Health and Development* 2022, 20, 137–151. <https://doi.org/10.55131/jphd/2022/200211>.
29. Schwartz-Lifshitz, M.; Basel, D.; Lang, C.; Hertz-Palmor, N.; Dekel, I.; Zohar, J.; Gothelf, D. Obsessive Compulsive Symptoms Severity among Children and Adolescents during COVID-19 First Wave in Israel. *J Obsessive Compuls Relat Disord* 2021, 28, 100610. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jocrd.2020.100610>.

30. Tanir, Y.; Karayagmurlu, A.; Kaya, İ.; Kaynar, T.B.; Türkmen, G.; Dambasan, B.N.; Meral, Y.; Coşkun, M. Exacerbation of Obsessive Compulsive Disorder Symptoms in Children and Adolescents during COVID-19 Pandemic. *Psychiatry Res* **2020**, *293*, 113363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113363>.
31. Khan, Y.S.; Jouda, M.; Albobali, Y.; Osman Abouelseoud, M.; Soudi, A.; AlMeraisi, M.J.; Alabdulla, M. COVID-19 Pandemic Fears and Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms in Adolescents with Pre-Existing Mental Disorders: An Exploratory Cross-Sectional Study. *Clin Child Psychol Psychiatry* **2022**, *27*, 89–103. <https://doi.org/10.1177/13591045211017606>.
32. Fineberg, N.A.; Pellegrini, L.; Burkauskas, J.; Clarke, A.; Laws, K.R. Individual Obsessive-Compulsive Traits Are Associated with Poorer Adjustment to the Easing of COVID-19 Restrictions. *J Psychiatr Res* **2022**, *148*, 21–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2022.01.029>.
33. Frota Lisboa Pereira de Souza, A.M.; Pellegrini, L.; Fineberg, N.A. Cognitive Inflexibility, Obsessive-Compulsive Symptoms and Traits and Poor Post-Pandemic Adjustment. *Neuroscience Applied* **2024**, *3*, 104073. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nsa.2024.104073>.
34. Murayama, K.; Nakao, T.; Ohno, A.; Tsuruta, S.; Tomiyama, H.; Hasuzawa, S.; Mizobe, T.; Kato, K.; Kanba, S. Impacts of Stressful Life Events and Traumatic Experiences on Onset of Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder. *Front Psychiatry* **2020**, *11*, 561266. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.561266>.
35. Rosso, G.; Albert, U.; Asinari, G.F.; Bogetto, F.; Maina, G. Stressful Life Events and Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder: Clinical Features and Symptom Dimensions. *Psychiatry Res* **2012**, *197*, 259–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2011.10.005>.
36. Wadsworth, L.P.; Van Kirk, N.; August, M.; Kelly, J.M.; Jackson, F.; Nelson, J.; Luehrs, R. Understanding the Overlap between OCD and Trauma: Development of the OCD Trauma Timeline Interview (OTTI) for Clinical Settings. *Curr Psychol* **2023**, *42*, 6937–6947. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-02118-3>.
37. Giallonardo, V.; Sampogna, G.; Del Vecchio, V.; Luciano, M.; Albert, U.; Carmassi, C.; Carrà, G.; Cirulli, F.; Dell'Osso, B.; Nanni, M.G.; et al. The Impact of Quarantine and Physical Distancing Following COVID-19 on Mental Health: Study Protocol of a Multicentric Italian Population Trial. *Front Psychiatry* **2020**, *11*, 533. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.00533>.
38. Foa, E.B.; Huppert, J.D.; Leiberg, S.; Langner, R.; Kichic, R.; Hajcak, G.; Salkovskis, P.M. The Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory: Development and Validation of a Short Version. *Psychol Assess* **2002**, *14*, 485–496.
39. Lovibond, P.F.; Lovibond, S.H. The Structure of Negative Emotional States: Comparison of the Depression Anxiety Stress Scales (DASS) with the Beck Depression and Anxiety Inventories. *Behav Res Ther* **1995**, *33*, 335–343. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967\(94\)00075-u](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-7967(94)00075-u).
40. Thoresen, S.; Tambs, K.; Hussain, A.; Heir, T.; Johansen, V.A.; Bisson, J.I. Brief Measure of Posttraumatic Stress Reactions: Impact of Event Scale-6. *Soc Psychiatry Psychiatr Epidemiol* **2010**, *45*, 405–412. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00127-009-0073-x>.
41. Hays, R.D.; DiMatteo, M.R. A Short-Form Measure of Loneliness. *J Pers Assess* **1987**, *51*, 69–81. [https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa5101\\_6](https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa5101_6).
42. van Spijker, B.A.J.; Batterham, P.J.; Calear, A.L.; Farrer, L.; Christensen, H.; Reynolds, J.; Kerkhof, A.J.F.M. The Suicidal Ideation Attributes Scale (SIDAS): Community-Based Validation Study of a New Scale for the Measurement of Suicidal Ideation. *Suicide Life Threat Behav* **2014**, *44*, 408–419. <https://doi.org/10.1111/sltb.12084>.
43. Kilpatrick, D.G.; Resnick, H.S.; Milanak, M.E.; Miller, M.W.; Keyes, K.M.; Friedman, M.J. National Estimates of Exposure to Traumatic Events and PTSD Prevalence Using DSM-IV and DSM-5 Criteria. *J Trauma Stress* **2013**, *26*, 537–547. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jts.21848>.
44. Goldberg, D.P.; Gater, R.; Sartorius, N.; Ustun, T.B.; Piccinelli, M.; Gureje, O.; Rutter, C. The Validity of Two Versions of the GHQ in the WHO Study of Mental Illness in General Health Care. *Psychol Med* **1997**, *27*, 191–197. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s003291796004242>.
45. Carver, C.S.; Scheier, M.F.; Weintraub, J.K. Assessing Coping Strategies: A Theoretically Based Approach. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* **1989**, *56*, 267–283. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.56.2.267>.
46. JASP Team (2024). JASP (Version 0.19.0)[Computer software].
47. StataCorp. 2023. *Stata Statistical Software: Release 15*. College Station, TX: StataCorp LLC.
48. Fradkin I, Simpson HB, Dolan RJ, Huppert JD. How computational psychiatry can advance the understanding and treatment of obsessive-compulsive disorder. *World Psychiatry*. 2023;22(3):472-473.
49. Heinz A, Liu S. Challenges and chances for mental health care in the 21st century. *World Psychiatry*. 2022;21(3):423-424.
50. Wampold BE, Flückiger C. The alliance in mental health care: conceptualization, evidence and clinical applications. *World Psychiatry*. 2023; 22(1):25-41.
51. Baltar, F.; Brunet, F.; Ignasi Social Research 2.0: Virtual Snowball Sampling Method Using Facebook. *Internet Research* **2012**, *22*. <https://doi.org/10.1108/10662241211199960>.
52. Uyar, B.; Donmezdil, S. Comparison of Healthcare Workers and Non-Healthcare Workers in Terms of Obsessive-Compulsive and Depressive Symptoms during COVID-19 Pandemic: A Longitudinal Case-Controlled Study. *Front. Public Health* **2023**, *11*. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpubh.2023.1283317>.

53. Hurtado, M.M.; Macías, M.; Morales-Asencio, J.M. A New Form of Checking Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder in Physicians: Another Consequence of the COVID-19 Pandemic. A Case Series. *Psychiatry Research Case Reports* **2023**, *2*, 100085. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psycr.2022.100085>.
54. Marchetti, I.; Chiri, L.; Ghisi, M.; Sica, C. Obsessive-Compulsive Inventory-Revised (OCI-R): Presentazione e Indicazioni Di Utilizzo Nel Contesto Italiano. *Psicoterapia Cognitiva e Comportamentale* **2010**, *16*, 69–84.
55. Luginaah, N.A.; Batung, E.S.; Ziegler, B.R.; Amoak, D.; Trudell, J.P.; Arku, G.; Luginaah, I. The Parallel Pandemic: A Systematic Review on the Effects of the COVID-19 Pandemic on OCD among Children and Adolescents. *Int J Environ Res Public Health* **2023**, *20*, 7095. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph20237095>.

**Disclaimer/Publisher's Note:** The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.