

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Precarity in the Modes of Living: Proposing an Index for Studying Health Inequities at the Ecological Level in Colombia

[Hugo Alejandro Santa Ramírez](#)^{*} , Andrés-Felipe Ramírez-Giraldo , [Hugo Pilkington](#) , [Carme Borrell](#) ,
[Gabriel Jaime Otálvaro-Castro](#)^{*}

Posted Date: 7 November 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202411.0457.v1

Keywords: modes of living; precarity; deprivation; child mortality; social determination of health; Latin American social medicine; collective health



Preprints.org is a free multidisciplinary platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This open access article is published under a Creative Commons CC BY 4.0 license, which permit the free download, distribution, and reuse, provided that the author and preprint are cited in any reuse.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Precarity in the Modes of Living: Proposing an Index for Studying Health Inequities at the Ecological Level in Colombia

Hugo-Alejandro Santa-Ramírez ^{1,2,*} Andrés-Felipe Ramírez-Giraldo ¹, Hugo Pilkington ³, Carme Borrell ^{4,5,6} and Gabriel-Jaime Otálvaro-Castro ¹ on behalf of the group Inequidades, clase social y salud: una cartografía desde los modos de vida en Colombia

¹ National Faculty of Public Health, Health Policies and Management Research Group, Universidad de Antioquia, Medellín, Colombia

² Institute of Global Health-University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

³ Université Paris 8 Vincennes-Saint-Denis, UMR7533 Ladyss, Département de Géographie, 2 rue de la Liberté, F-93526 Saint-Denis, France

⁴ Agència de Salut Pública de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

⁵ CIBER Epidemiología y Salud Pública (CIBERESP), Madrid, Spain

⁶ Institut d'Investigació Biomèdica Sant Pau (IIB SANT PAU), Barcelona, Spain

* Correspondence: hugo.santa@udea.edu.co

Abstract: Deprivation indices are used to monitor health inequities. However, their theoretical underpinnings have been based on the context of Western industrialized countries, which have distinct social and historical backgrounds compared to Latin America and the Caribbean and countries in the Global South. Following the Latin American Social Determination of Health perspective, particularly the category Modes of Living supported by the construct of precarity, we aimed to develop an index of precarity in the modes of living at the department level in Colombia and assess its geographical distribution and usefulness for public health purposes. We conducted an ecological cross-sectional study with national administrative records. We developed a precarity index through Principal Component Analysis and performed spatial autocorrelation analyses and regression models with child mortality indicators. Our final index comprised twenty indicators representing four dimensions of the modes of living and power relations. We found precarity not to distribute randomly in Colombia, with a center-periphery divide and higher precarity observed in the country's margin. We also found an association of our index with under-five mortality (SMR=1.19; 95%CI 1.08 – 1.31) and infant mortality (SMR=1.13; 95%CI 1.00 – 1.26). Our index highlights the relevance of considering the modes of living when devising deprivation indices or similar measures from Colombia or Latin America. This approach may provide different perspectives on the health-disease process and potential value for public health planning.

Keywords: modes of living; precarity; deprivation; child mortality; social determination of health; Latin American social medicine; collective health

1. Introduction

Measures of deprivation, known generically as deprivation indices, are used to rank areas according to multiple indicators and may be used to monitor health inequalities, allocate healthcare resources, and study associations with the health status of individuals and populations [1,2]. Nevertheless, the theoretical underpinnings of most deprivation indices have been based on the context of Western Industrialized countries [3,4], with specific and very distinct social and historical contexts to those of the Global South. This also encompasses conceptualizing the health and disease processes and what determines them [5,6].

1.1. The Latin American Social Determination of Health

Alternative theoretical explanations proposed by the social medicine and collective health movements in Latin America and the Caribbean have highlighted the need to reconceptualize health to transform the modes of action towards it [5,7,8]. Authors such as Almeida Filho and Breihl have proposed the social determination of health perspective as an alternative to some limitations of the more traditional perspectives (including, among others, a reductionist approach to health to risk factors) [9–11]. From this perspective, and following Breihl's framework [6], the health of populations can be understood as the result of a multidimensional and dialectical (i.e., reciprocally constructed) social process between protective mechanisms that promote/generate health and destructive mechanisms that deteriorate it. Which varies for each human group, shaped by the interplay of processes at the singular (individuals and families), particular (social groups), and general (society as a whole) levels, and insofar as social class, ethnic, and gender relations are interwoven in it [6,12].

Understanding the collective patterns of material and symbolic social reproduction—which includes both observable and ideological elements that elucidate the persistence of social structures over time [13]—is fundamental. This starts with recognizing that social and collective processes cannot be reduced to the individual [14]. This awareness is vital, as health and disease arise from the social fabric of life, intricately linked to how societies are structured.

1.1.1. The Modes of Living

The “modes of living” is a theoretical category developed to elucidate the relationship between structural social processes (such as social stratification) and micro-social processes (such as the styles of living). It designates the modes of existence and brings to the forefront the social dimension in which individuals are inscribed, accentuating its interdependent and historical nature.

The concept of modes of living originates in the foundational ideas of Marx, Engels, and Bourdieu, albeit initially under related terms like modes of production, social class, and habitus. Over time, it evolved to highlight “modes of existence” that reflect socio-economic hierarchies. Since the late 1980s, Latin American social medicine has further developed this concept, viewing the modes of living as a determinant instance of the health-disease processes and recognizing everyday life as the foundation for both material and symbolic social reproduction [15]. The category of modes of living has increasingly been approached in recent years for the study and interpretation of health inequities in the region [16–19].

According to Breilh's framework, the modes of living can be understood as the patterns of existence through which various social groups sustain their social reproduction. These patterns reflect either heightened vulnerability to adverse conditions or an enhanced ability to capitalize on favorable processes [6]. Under this framework, the modes of living are organized into five dimensions: (a) Work, (b) Consumption, (c) Cultural-spiritual means, (d) Organization and support, and (e) Metabolic (ecosystemic) relationships. In contrast, the “styles of living” refer to the individualized expressions within a given mode of living [20]. A semantic difference between lifestyles and styles of living is proposed to be able to discern between the traditional approaches and the social determination of health [6].

The “work” dimension refers to how social groups produce their means of subsistence and establish conditions for productive activity. The “consumption” dimension encompasses the social reproduction of daily life, addressing the group's ability to access and utilize goods and services to meet basic needs. “Cultural-spiritual means” reflects the collective capacity to create and sustain values, perceptions, and practices that shape identity. The “organization and supports” dimension involves the establishment of interdependent relationships within the group's territory, including the capacity to mobilize collective resources for mutual benefit. Lastly, “metabolic (ecosystem)” relations describe how groups interact with their ecosystem, utilizing and appropriating natural resources for the reproduction of life and the creation of goods and means of production [6,21].

1.1.2. Approaching Deprivation from the Social Determination of Health

Traditional deprivation measures are often based on the foundational work of Townsend and Carstairs [1,22], reflecting mainstream definitions of health and its determinants. These measures typically rely on a limited set of indicators to capture material conditions of disadvantage. However, this approach may overlook the complexity of the “modes of living” and the mechanisms generating inequity in other contexts, such as in Latin America. Consequently, this narrow focus may insufficiently address the broader structural and symbolic components that create and sustain inequities [23,24].

While conventional definitions of deprivation may be effective in certain contexts, they may fall short in explaining inequities and their health impacts in Colombia and other Latin American and Caribbean countries. Colombia faced an important history of colonialism and has experienced a particular development process related to a complex geography [25], marked by armed conflict, internal displacement, and insufficient state policies [26]. Although it has seen a significant increase in economic indicators across the years, this has not been reflected in the reduction of social inequities, due among others, to the persistence of historical conditions of inequity such as the concentration of land and socio-labor opportunities in urban territories and advantaged classes [27].

A comprehensive, epistemologically and contextually coherent definition of deprivation, as well as its operationalization through an area-level measure that considers the modes of living with the specificities of the local context, may provide a better picture of the configuration of inequities in the territories (e.g., by expanding the view on the range of determining mechanisms that create and reproduce inequities) and their influence on health and may provide additional insights for territorial and health planning. To fully capture these inequities, the concept of *precarity* offers valuable insight, addressing not only material deprivation but also the broader social vulnerabilities and power dynamics that shape modes of living in neoliberal contexts.

1.2. Precarity in the Modes of Living

Precarity is a broad concept that provides a historical contestation about capitalism and highlights the changes in class relations, social movements, and political struggles, particularly under the current neoliberal model [28]. It designates a form of governance in times of neoliberal domination, which is reproduced throughout the global order [29,30]. It emerged in scholarly work in the twenty-first century and has been explored by several authors in the last decades [29–33]. Two main viewpoints of precarity may be identified. On the one hand, it has been instrumental in academic discussions to explain the social mobilizations that have occurred in different parts of the world to denounce unemployment, the lack of stable jobs, affordable housing, and the dismantling of social welfare benefits that have accompanied the implementation of austerity policies of neoliberal regimes [28].

On the other hand, precarity serves as an overarching interpretive category for a context in which the forms of social reproduction are persistently fragile and unpredictable [29,30]. It encompasses not only job insecurity but also a broader mode of subjectivation marked by uncertainty and instability, limiting identity formation and solidarity [34–36]. Precarity intersects with precariousness [37], the latter being an ontological condition reflecting human interdependence and shared vulnerability, while the former is a politically induced state shaped by inequity and hierarchy. This condition results from social and political arrangements that restrict protective networks for certain groups, leading to increased instability and a more governable population [29,37]. Ultimately, it perpetuates fragilization, increasing exposure to disease, poverty, violence, and death [29,30,34].

While the concepts of precarious employment and precariousness have been introduced in public health research to mainly explore the association of employment, job, and housing conditions with health outcomes [38,39], they often approach a categorized notion of precarity that may leave aside the social arrangements and governing forms, which in line with a more overarching viewpoint of precarity and the social determination of health perspective, we consider relevant for understanding the modes of living and to promote critical action towards inequity under the current neoliberal model.

Similarly, we believe that the concept of precarity has an encompassing notion incorporating deprivation. While the latter serves as a complementary tool through its empirical use, precarity might better conceptualize and explain the modes of living of different social groups and territories within a given social formation. It may more accurately reflect the historical characteristics of Colombia and other countries in the region. As such, referring to "precarity in the modes of living" allows us to point out that these modes of social reproduction do not occur randomly; on the contrary, they correspond to social patterns of differentiation, hierarchization, and exclusion that accumulate in certain social positions and groups [35,36]. In this article, we, therefore, understand precarity in the modes of living as the expression of the harmful and destructive conditions driven by inequity in a social formation.

To our knowledge, no study has examined precarity in the modes of living at the ecological level in Colombia, nor has deprivation been approached through an alternative epistemological lens in this context. Existing research on modes of living in Latin America and the Caribbean has largely been theoretical [18,24] or based on qualitative approaches that may be challenging to operationalize within the current political and administrative frameworks. Additionally, few deprivation measures in Latin America approached the Latin American social medicine or the social determination of health perspectives, with only one study having referred to this literature directly [40]. Therefore, we aimed to develop an index of precarity in modes of living at the departmental level in Colombia, assessing its geographical distribution and potential value for public health planning.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Study Setting and Data Sources

An ecological cross-sectional study with national administrative records from 2018 was conducted using the first administrative subdivision of the country as the unit of analysis. Administratively, Colombia is divided into 32 departments and the Capital District of Bogotá, with a heterogeneous population size ranging from around 51,000 to 7,968.000 inhabitants according to the 2018 population census.

Data for index development were obtained from two national population-based surveys developed by the Colombian National Statistical Office (Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística (DANE): the National Living standards measurement survey (LSMS) (Encuesta Nacional de Calidad de Vida [41]) and the Large integrated household survey (LIHS) (Gran Encuesta Integrada de Hogares [42]), both from 2018. Both were representative at the national and department level. Further information about the surveys may be found in the supplementary material (Supplementary material Appendix A).

The LSMS surveyed 89.522 households and 283.012 individuals, comprising the final analytical sample from this survey. While the LIHS surveyed 250.856 households and 826.533 individuals, the final sample used for constructing indicators was 376.676 individuals corresponding to those occupied at the moment of the survey.

Data on live births and child deaths were used to analyze the usefulness of the index and were retrieved from the national vital registries for the years 2017 to 2019, with a total of 26.290 under five years deaths, 21.664 corresponding to infant deaths, and 1.948.479 live births in the country within that period.

2.2. Index Development

2.2.1. Index Dimensions

We used Breilh's five dimensions of the modes of living: Work, Consumption, Cultural-spiritual means, Organization and supports, and Metabolic (ecosystemic) relations, and an additional dimension to reflect its interrelated nature with power relations as explained in Breilh's framework [6].

2.2.2. Selection of Indicators

Indicators that were representative of the five dimensions of the modes of living, as well as of power relations, were selected for analysis based on a literature review of the modes of living [6,15,19,21,24,43,44] as well as of other deprivation indices developed worldwide [1–4,45–47], with a particular focus on those developed in Latin America and the Caribbean [40,48–53]. The indicators were validated through discussions among the researchers and expert advice, particularly for those without a consistent pattern in the literature.

Most indicators were included from the LSMS, while several related to the work dimension and a social class classification based on the same theoretical perspective were retrieved from the variables available in the LIHS. Indicators were calculated from the available variables in the surveys by using the appropriate numerator and denominator (Tables SA1 and SA2. Supplementary material). Depending on the indicator, the proportion of the population with a given characteristic or the median value for a given characteristic per department was calculated to construct each indicator. Indicators were created so that higher proportions represented higher precarity. The difference between rural and urban composition was considered when building some indicators. Only indicators representing a complete or a partial state of precarity were included in analyses. Thirty-nine indicators were initially selected and introduced into the analysis (Table SA1. Supplementary material). Some indicators were further categorized by sex to explore a possible gender divide in sensitivity analyses (Table SA2. Supplementary material).

2.2.3. Sequential Principal Component Analysis

Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was chosen as the technique for index development as it allows a data-driven approach and avoids selecting indicators based exclusively on expert choice. Sequential PCAs were carried out following the procedures of Duque et al [2] and Lalloué et al [46], as well as the R functions developed by Lalloué et al. within the package SesIndexCreatoR for the development of socioeconomic indices in the R environment [54], with some modifications. Indicators with high skewness (>1.5) were square-root transformed prior to the sequential PCA, as it has been suggested that variables with high skewness may outweigh the results [55]. Before starting the sequential PCA, variables were standardized to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. Further explanation of the sequential PCA may be found in the supplementary documents (Appendix A. Supplementary material). The indicators constitutive of the final PCA were further discussed within the team to explore theoretical validity. An additional PCA was performed, incorporating indicators considered relevant for the precarity construct, which could have been excluded in the last step for statistical reasons.

We extracted index scores for each department and standardized the index to have a mean of 0 and a standard deviation of 1. Departments were then further classified into quintiles of precarity, with the first and fifth quintiles representing the least and the most deprived, respectively, and were depicted using choropleth maps.

Internal consistency of the theoretical construct was assessed through Spearman correlation tests of each indicator with the final index and assessing the performance of the McDonald Omega coefficient. External consistency was assessed through Spearman correlation tests with the Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) developed by the National Statistical Office [56], as it is one of the standard measures for territorial planning in the Country and has been useful in analyzing territorial health inequalities in the last years [57].

2.3. Spatial Autocorrelation Analysis

Global and local spatial autocorrelation analyses were conducted to assess the spatial distribution of the index. Global Moran's I and Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA) were conducted to test for this association. Briefly, the Global Moran's I is a test for overall spatial autocorrelation, with significant results ranging from -1 to 1 indicating a spatial process, and a value of 0 indicating a random distribution. In parallel, LISA allow for a more detailed explanation of the

spatial process at the local level by classifying the observations into five categories according to their neighbors' values [58].

Due to the polygon structure of the data, its size, and the presence of the islands of San Andrés and Providencia, a k-nearest neighbor (k=4) matrix was developed for all the analyses. Sensitivity analyses were conducted with Adaptive Kernel, Rook, and Queen contiguity matrices. For the last two, the islands were excluded from the analysis. To increase the robustness of Moran's I, Monte Carlo simulations with 9999 permutations were performed. Interesting locations were considered with a pseudo-p-value of 0.05.

2.4. Regression with Health Indicator

Under-five mortality rate (U5MR) and Infant Mortality rate (IMR) were considered good indicators to look at the usefulness of our index for public health purposes due to its reported association with socioeconomic and environmental conditions in the literature [57,59]. Under-five mortality rate was defined as the total number of deaths in children aged 0 to 59 months divided by the total number of live births during the same period and multiplied by a constant of 1000. Infant mortality was similarly defined using the total number of deaths in children aged 0 to 12 as the numerator.

Spatial and non-spatial models were developed to assess the association of precarity at the department level with U5MR and IMR. Negative binomial regression models were conducted with the number of deaths as the dependent variable and the precarity index as the only predictor, using the number of live births as an offset term. Standardized mortality ratios (SMR) were obtained as a result. Sensitivity analyses were conducted with a spatial cross-regressive model (Spatially lagged X model) with the adjusted rates. To increase the robustness of the findings, all regressions were performed by pooling live births and deaths data from 2017 to 2019. All analyses were conducted using R v.4.0.1 and STATA v.14 (StataCorp, College Station, TX, USA).

3. Results

3.1. Index of Precarity in the Modes of Living

Our index included 20 indicators based on the first component retrieved from the final PCA, which accounted for 70.8% of the total variance of the data. It kept indicators from four dimensions of the modes of living – metabolic relations, consumption, work, and culture and spiritual means - as well as power relations (axes of inequity and precarity-related demographics) with a differential contribution of each indicator to the main index (Table 1).

The dimension “organization and supports” did not remain as its representative indicators did not contribute meaningfully to the iterative PCA. Additionally, although in the final PCA, the indicator “Population older than 65 years” was not retrieved initially, we decided to keep this indicator as part of the final index as it was considered to provide a more comprehensive representation of precarity across the age spectrum in the country [60].

The first component of the PCA was positively correlated with indicators representing higher precarity, such as insufficient household infrastructure, and negatively correlated with indicators of partial precarity, such as partial employment benefits (Figure 1). The latter type allows contrasting those departments with less precarity. The indicators showed a considerable variation across study areas, ranging from 1% to more than 90% depending on the indicator (Table 1 and Supplementary Figures SA1 – SA5), and all exhibited a high correlation with the index (Figure 1).

Table 1. Indicators constitutive of the index of precarity in the modes of living in Colombia, 2018.

Theoretical dimension	Indicator	Minimum *	Median*	Maximum*	Contribution to index (%)
1. Power relations	1.1. Ethnic communities (Afro-Colombian, Indigenous, and Rom communities)	0.45	10.5	95.5	4.0
	1.2. Population younger than 18 years	23.01	33.2	49.7	6.1
	1.3. Population older than 65 years	3.13	7.4	11.8	3.6
2. Metabolic (ecosystem) relations	2.1. Cooking with potentially dangerous sources (solid fuels)	0.08	15.9	81.5	5.6
	2.2. Lack of access to complete home services ^a	0.92	32.2	83.1	5.6
	2.3. Overcrowding	3.4	13.9	39.9	5.0
	2.4. Insufficient housing infrastructure ^b	6.2	41.1	99.8	4.4
	2.5. Lack of adequate sewage	0.39	13.4	71.6	3.8
3. Cultural-spiritual means	3.1. Self-recognition as poor	14.7	45.3	78.4	5.5
4. Consumption	4.1. Household income lower than the national minimum wage ^c	8.97	35.3	74.4	6.3
	4.2. Digital divide ^d	24.1	61.2	99.01	6.3
	4.3. Lack of house ownership	4.7	27.3	43.2	6.0
	4.4. School lag (backwardness) ^e	5.8	13.1	26.7	5.8
	4.5. Lack of basic supplies ^f	13.3	52.9	92.1	5.9
	4.6. Perception of insufficient household income for basic expenses	22.2	42.4	68.5	5.4
	4.7. Illiteracy ^e	1.5	11.4	30.6	4.3
5. Work	5.1. Low job satisfaction	17.1	28.8	50.6	4.7
	5.2. Inadequate conditions at work ^g	25.4	57.6	80.2	4.3
	5.3. Partial employee benefits and perks ^h	1.02	11.05	48.5	3.8
	5.4. Lack of social security/Informal work ⁱ	48.2	79.2	89.02	3.4

*Values indicating the percentage of the population. a. Defined as lacking at least one of the following: water, electricity, or garbage collection. b. Defined as lacking at least one of the following: adequate floor, ceilings, or walls. Traditional indigenous housing was excluded from this indicator, as those need to have special considerations on a specific case basis. c. The minimum wage for the year 2018 was 781.242 pesos (roughly equivalent to USD 262 according to a 2.984 Colombian pesos conversion rate). d. Defined as households without an Internet connection or with an Internet connection but without a computer/mobile phone. e. Calculated for the household (at least one member of the household with illiteracy and for school lag at least one school-age child). f. Defined as lacking at least one of the following: fridge, stove, or washing machine. g. Defined as reporting at least one of the following: inadequate physical conditions, inadequate psychological conditions, or

work overload. h. Defined as lacking at least one of the following: paid vacation leave, Christmas bonus, and severance package. i. Defined as lacking pension scheme and occupational risk insurance.




Figure 1. Correlation matrix between indicators constitutive of the index and the index of precarity. Results from Spearman correlation. Darker circle shows positive correlation, lighter circle shows negative correlation. Numbers in indicator's labels refer to the order in Table 1.

Our index had a good internal consistency as for a 0.98 omega coefficient and exhibited a strong correlation with the Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) (0.92). When looking at its geographical distribution, a visual pattern of higher precarity in the periphery and lower precarity towards the center of the country was observed (Figure 2). No gender divide was perceived when performing sensitivity analyses differentiating some indicators by sex, as the same final indicators as those in the overall analyses were selected (results not shown). Nevertheless, for some indicators, the mean proportion was slightly higher for women (i.e., 4.1 and 4.6 in Table 1) and for men (i.e., 4.5 and 5.2 in Table 1) (results not shown).




Figure 2. Geographical distribution of the precarity index at the department level in Colombia. Higher values (darker) represent higher deprivation. The Islands of San Andrés and Providencia (88) were enlarged from their original size for visualization purposes and do not follow the depicted scale and location. For reference, Bogotá (capital city) is labeled with the number 11.

3.2. Spatial Autocorrelation of Precarity

Global Moran's I indicated a significant positive spatial autocorrelation of the precarity index across departments ($I=0.34$, $p<0.001$). This was robust to the use of different spatial weight matrices and permutations. When looking at the local indicators of spatial association, a significant cluster of high values surrounded by high values (high-high) was found in the southeastern region of the country, including the departments of Amazonas (91), Vaupés (97) and Guainía (94). In parallel, a significant cluster of low values surrounded by low values (low-low) was found towards the center in the Andean region, constituted by the departments of Risaralda (66), Quindío (63), Caldas (17), Tolima (73), Meta (50), Cundinamarca (25), Boyacá (15), Santander (68) and Bogotá (11) (Figure 3). There was an outlier of a high value surrounded by low values (high-low) corresponding to the department of Chocó in the Pacific region. Similar patterns were found in sensitivity analyses (Supplementary Figure SB1).




Figure 3. LISA clusters of the precarity index. High-High corresponds to a cluster of high values surrounded by high values. Low-Low corresponds to a cluster of low values surrounded by low values. High-Low corresponds to a high value surrounded by low values. White corresponds to non-significant values (non-significant). The Island of San Andrés and Providencia were classified as non-significant.

3.3. Association with Public Health Indicators

We found an association of our precarity index with under-five mortality at the department level, with an increased mortality ratio for each standard deviation increase in the index (SMR=1.19; 95%CI 1.08 – 1.31). A similar association was also found for the index when conducting the spatial lag X model, but the spatial lag was not significant (Table SC3. Supplementary material). Similar results were found when looking only at infant mortality (SMR=1.13; 95%CI 1.00 – 1.26) (Table SC1 and SC2. Supplementary material).

When looking at its geographical distribution, a similar pattern of higher rates was found in the periphery of the country, corresponding to the departments with higher precarity. However, the distribution was dissimilar for the rest of the quintiles (Figure 4).




Figure 4. Geographical distribution of Under-five mortality rates by quintiles in Colombia between 2017 and 2019. The Islands of San Andrés and Providencia (88) were enlarged from their original size for visualization purposes and do not follow the depicted scale and location. For reference, Bogotá (capital city) is labeled with the number 11.

4. Discussion

In this cross-sectional ecological study, we developed an index of precarity based on the theoretical category of the modes of living from the Latin American Social Determination of Health perspective. Our index showed a good overall performance and represents the dimensions of metabolic relations, consumption, cultural-spiritual means, work, and power relations. It does not follow a random distribution in the country, with a visible pattern of higher precarity towards the periphery and lower precarity towards the center. We also found an association of our index with child mortality at the ecological level, with an increased mortality ratio with each increase in the index values.

4.1. Index Constitution

4.1.1. Metabolic (Ecosystem) Relations

The indicators representing the metabolic relations dimension reflect much of the material deprivations that individuals and communities face, particularly those related to housing. Adequate housing encompasses a set of several conditions and has a deteriorating or protective role for health [61]. The indicators kept are shared by other conventional measures in Colombia and elsewhere, such as the Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) and the Index of unsatisfied basic needs (UBN), and reinforce the need to address structural problems of housing and its relationship with the environment in the territories. Contrary to what is found in the more conventional indices where only walls and flooring material are considered, our index underscores the need to consider housing infrastructure as a whole by including ceiling materials in its construction. Similar proposals are found in Argentina [50] and Ecuador [40]. This is particularly important when global warming is exacerbating and where the lack of any constitutive structures may put families and communities in a vulnerable situation.

Another important aspect of this dimension is the consideration of access to basic services. Although conventional indices include only access to water as the one that might provide a better consideration of the deprivation that people face due to the characteristics of communities in Colombia and to the interrelated dynamics of the different dimensions of the modes of living, we considered a more comprehensive idea of access to services, also including the lack of access to energy and garbage collection. This is consistent with the incorporation of such indicators in measures developed in countries with similar characteristics, such as Mexico [52] and Brazil [53], and calls attention to the need to consider how the lack of access to different basic services could limit communities' and individuals' ability in developing their daily living fully and without constraints.

4.1.2. Consumption

An important number of indicators represented the consumption dimension; some are shared with other measures, such as school lag and illiteracy found in the MPI, as well as the lack of house ownership found in traditional deprivation indices [1]. Nevertheless, our index includes additional indicators not considered in conventional measures. First, the construct of the digital divide, one of the indicators with the highest contributions to the index, reflects the outstanding deficit of the country in this matter and puts to the front the difficulty that people may face when trying to cope with the fast nature of digital development, notably when lacking the material tools for confronting the "information age" [62] and the new economies and job arrangements based on it [63–66]. Second, the idea of a lack of material goods, based on the lack of basic home supplies, draws attention to the limitations that some individuals and families may have to supply essential daily activities such as cooking, adequately preserving food, and doing their laundry (a relevant indicator particularly associated with an improvement in the living conditions and opportunities for women under the historical structure of care in the country). Finally, indicators related to family income reflect the need to consider the ability to afford basic expenses, as given by a median family income below the minimum wage level. Additionally, our index not only included indicators from a more objective dimension but also considered a subjective dimension of consumption through the perception of having an insufficient household income for the basic living expenses, consequently enabling the social reproduction of the family, highlighting the importance of accounting for the subjective experiences perceived by people when developing measures intended for planning purposes.

4.1.3. Cultural-Spiritual Means

Along the same lines, the indicator representative of the dimension cultural-spiritual means underscores how people's perception of being poor relates to their social and material conditions. Those with higher precarity could experience a higher self-recognition of being in distress which could, in fact, affect their health and prevent them from integrating and accessing services such as healthcare. People who perceive themselves as poor may embody this status affecting all other dimensions of life and remaining in a negative cycle that could further exacerbate health inequities [67].

Peralta et al. reported a similar indicator as constitutive of their index in Ecuador [40], which calls attention to considering the subjective perception of precarity as experienced by individuals and communities for more comprehensive and community-informed planning. How individuals perceive themselves should be an important consideration in the analysis of inequalities, since these perceptions imply a prior and historical conception that is created in the territory and in the relationships with others. This refers to material and symbolic aspects that impact the meanings and interpretations of what can be thought and done and what can be socially reproduced as correct, normal, and acceptable [68]. The self-perception of poverty goes through symbolic and interpretive or culturally reproduced processes. This does not occur only from the actions and thoughts of those who perceive themselves as poor but also from how others perceive them. The institutions that reproduce discourses and visions about poverty could reinforce patterns of understanding and social labels to the detriment of individuals, families, and territories living in poverty [69].

Although this is informative, further research with additional indicators, such as those proposed within some models approaching culture and cultural capital [70,71] may give a more comprehensive assessment of this dimension. Nonetheless, the conceptualization and measurement of “culture” is complex [72] and might not be fully achieved by quantitative methods, requiring exploration based on qualitative approaches that allow a better understanding of the full extent of complex social dynamics [73]. Additionally, the cultural-spiritual means of individuals and populations are intricate to other dimensions of the modes of living and to the power dynamics of societies, which entails that other indicators from our index also encompass this dimension, clear examples being the belonging to an ethnic community or a specific age group.

4.1.4. Work

The work dimension, interestingly, was represented by indicators that have not been referred to in other measures, including the consideration of having inadequate conditions at the job place and the perception of low job satisfaction. This is particularly important from a health perspective, as some studies have shown a relationship of job satisfaction with mental health and subjective physical health [74], although those studies have been conducted at the individual level. People experiencing precarious conditions at work may fall into a negative cycle of social deprivation that could affect their health and well-being [75], and that could translate into a similar shared experience of communities in different territories. Conversely, some studies have also shown that workers in precarious conditions might develop a sense of collective power when they can organize and move towards a common goal, counteracting some of the negative consequences of these conditions [76].

Moreover, although the informal work/lack of complete social security is a recognizable feature of Latin American societies [77], and is prominent in Colombia [78], this indicator had a smaller contribution to the index when compared to the rest, nevertheless it was kept by the data driven approach within the work dimension over other indicators that have been traditionally used in similar measures (such as unemployment) and reflects the importance of considering the informal sector for policy planning within the country. It is important to consider that several indicators from the work dimension were constrained to the employed population, so social segments that are not represented might have been excluded from the analysis. Therefore, the inequity gaps could have been greater than those reflected in our index. Further research exploring the precarity in the work dimension across all social segments and the subjective experiences on the configuration of precarity is warranted.

4.1.5. Organization and Supports

When conducting the analyses, the dimension organization and supports was excluded from the final index. This dimension might not have been represented at its fullest by the data available in the surveys and by the indicators incorporated into the analysis, which included the use of government subsidies, the feeling of insecurity, and being a victim of a criminal act (supplementary Table SA1). A similar result was reported by Peralta et al. when looking at their dimension of social environment/social capital [40]. Nonetheless, other indicators might better reflect precarity within this dimension, such as those proposed within social cohesion indices, including, among others, social bonds, interpersonal trust, and respect for diversity [79], or other indicators that better represent the experience of solidarity, that were not found in the national data sources explored.

4.1.6. Power Relations

Our index also exposes power dynamics based on ethnicity and the life course, with children and ethnic communities being considered part of the precarity construct. The inclusion of indicators related to the ethnic composition of communities has been reported in other indices in the region [40,53]. It highlights the relevance of considering the historical and territorial discrimination and segregation that these communities have faced and its interrelatedness with other conditions of material and social deprivation [80,81]. Similarly, children are vulnerable to their living conditions

and might experience more predominantly the effects of deprivation [82]. Although the indicator related to older adults was not included by the data-driven approach, we kept it as it was considered important to better reflect precarity in the country [60] by providing a broader picture of the circumstances and power relations in two vulnerable periods of the life-course. While an indicator of social class was initially included for analysis, it was not kept in the iterative process, possibly because a high proportion of the population within all departments was classified in the lowest social classes (87% median proportion across departments, results not shown) and did not allow contrasting the territories. Consequently, we hypothesize that our index might indeed be reflecting the precarious conditions of the lowest social classes in the country, and we considered it redundant to keep it as an indicator at this level. Further analysis at finer scales might provide a different picture, where the social class dynamics can be better revealed.

Although including the proportion of ethnic communities or other social groups into an index may be seen as masking the precarity experienced by those groups, due to the historical characteristics of the ethnic communities in Colombia, we consider it relevant to incorporate this indicator to be able to capture, at least partially, the systematized state oblivion, general discrimination and influence of conflict experienced and embodied by them. Recognizing that it does not correspond to an intrinsic trait but rather constitutes a social condition produced by the institutional arrangement. While ethnic communities might be proportionally higher in some territories, they are distributed heterogeneously across the entire country [83]. Accordingly, what our indicator may be showing is rather the convergence of destructive processes in some territories and not only the predominance of those communities in specific territories.

4.2. Distribution of Precarity and Potential Value for Public Health Planning

Our index shows a clear pattern of precarity in the periphery of the country, involving territories on the Atlantic and Pacific coasts and all the departments of the Amazon region, and less precarity in the center towards the Andean region. This is consistent with the findings of other studies that identify a pattern of unequal socioeconomic development between the central (Andean) region, the main capital cities, and the rest of the country [84,85]. This might be the result of a combination of historical processes, both structural and subjective, in which political and economic power was concentrated in the Andean region, characterized by higher levels of urbanization, institutional development, and technical-productive capacities, as opposed to the peripheries of the country, with a more widely distributed rurality, lower population densities, lower institutional development, the concentration of ethnic populations in some territories and a greater impact of the armed conflict [27,86].

We also found a positive association of our index with under five and infant mortality, indicating that departments with higher precarity show higher mortality. Similar associations have been found at the municipal level with the Multidimensional Poverty Index (MPI) [57] and with indicators of socioeconomic and environmental conditions at the department level [87], which might support the usefulness of our index for health planning and research at this level.

Our index highlights the importance of considering the modes of living as a central category in the process of determination of health and wellness. Our results underscore the need to consider the interrelated nature of the different dimensions for a comprehensive understanding of the precarity that social groups and their territories face. Along these lines and as reflected in the index constitution, precarity is characterized by situations where instability, insecurity, temporality, deterioration of working conditions and wages converge and multiply, entailing a radical instability of living conditions, which causes a substantial limitation for the formation of identities and the deployment of solidarity. A process that does not occur randomly but corresponds to social patterns of differentiation, hierarchy, and exclusion, which accumulate in certain positions and groups [35,36]. Still, while the concept of precarity is instrumental for conceptualizing and explaining the inequity in the modes of living, caution should be taken in using a general theory that may replicate or be absorbed in state categories of the poor and vulnerable that promote territorial stigmatization [34].

The notion of precarity, from an overarching viewpoint, is proposed to reposition the demands of a progressive political action whose horizon is the radical defense of the right to life. This is grounded on the understanding that all life involves an intrinsic existential condition of vulnerability, interdependence, and harmfulness (precariousness). It underlies the ethical and social obligation to provide and promote egalitarian basic social supports and healthy and sustainable modes of living (e.g., food, shelter, work, health care, education, right to mobility and expression, protection against oppression, etc.) that minimize such precariousness and its unequal distribution. In turn such action should contend those situations where the necessary political and social conditions of protection do not exist, which exacerbate violence and deepen inequity, preventing the development of a life worth living (precarity) [29,30,34].

To build healthy and sustainable modes of living, it is therefore imperative to stand from a perspective where shared precariousness and the existence of induced political conditions of precarity are recognized. This could drive a greater social demand for the universalization of all rights that allow every person to prosper and live a healthy and fulfilling life. Additionally, it could drive the broadening of social coalitions that challenge the expansion of institutionalized violence brought by the dismantlement of welfare policies and other forms of production, exploitation, and distribution of precarity under a neoliberal model.

4.3. Strengths and Limitations

Strengths of our study include using representative records at the national and department level, analyzing the spatial distribution with statistical criteria and not only through thematic maps, and developing an index following a mixed theory and data-driven approach. Our index is also one of the few attempts to consider area-level precarity from alternative theoretical perspectives in the Latin American and the Caribbean region. It highlights the importance of considering the different constitutive dimensions of life and its social reproduction as an interrelated continuum and brings to the table the need for assessing indicators that have not been considered in conventional analyses.

Our study also has some limitations. First, the scale at which analyses were developed may hide internal dynamics of precarity within the departments and cities, particularly considering the high internal heterogeneity of the territories. This was related to the availability of data from National Surveys where several dimensions of the modes of living could be operationalized. Further work at finer scales would be required, particularly for developing association studies with health at the individual level. Second, while the selection of indicators was detailed, it was not exhaustive of all the available data sources in the country. Along these lines, although excluding the dimension organization and supports from the index might miss a complete picture of the modes of living, the available indicators in the data sources used might not be the best representation of this dimension, as might be the case in other exercises and data sources (i.e., at the local level). We, therefore, did not consider it appropriate to select one of the indicators available or to retain them all to keep this dimension in the final index. Additional analyses that consider other information about the dimensions organization and supports and cultural-spiritual means, as well as other characteristic features of inequity in Colombia, such as conflict, internal displacement, and economic and land use of the territories, could improve the understanding of precarity in its regions.

Third, although our index is based on the theoretical proposal from the social determination of health, some limitations may arise with our fundamentally survey-based quantitative approach and the lack of another type of information that could provide a broader picture of the territorial realities and the processes of subsumption/embodiment of precarity of communities and individuals [14]. Nevertheless, our study provides an exploration of the modes of living at the policy-administrative level. It may open possibilities for developing further research in the field by deepening the qualitative historical and dialectical frame of the precarity of the territories and social groups in Colombia.

Finally, while the importance of considering measures that account for gender differences has been highlighted in the literature [88], we did not find differences in the constitutive indicators of our index when performing sensitivity analysis differentiating indicators of the work, consumption, and

culture and spiritual means dimensions by sex. This might be related to the scale being analyzed as well as to the selection of less sensitive indicators from a gender perspective. Considering the gender divide is still imperative as it reflects the power relations that occur in societies and particularly in countries from a region without an adequate care infrastructure or political agenda [89], where women carry the burden of caring for children and older adults, in addition to other unpaid activities that could exacerbate social and health inequities [90]. Further work at finer scales and analyzing indicators that can more precisely reflect the gender differences in Colombia is warranted.

5. Conclusions

Addressing health inequities requires a broader perspective on health and disease, incorporating an understanding of the material and symbolic conditions of the existence of social groups and the ways they interrelate and impact health. Our index of precarity in the modes of living offers an alternative approach for both analytical and planning purposes, encouraging policymakers to adopt a more comprehensive view of the conditions that determine health and the indicators that inform their decision-making. In Colombia, it is essential to address the forces perpetuating precarious modes of living to transform the enduring patterns of precarity experienced by the territories and their communities.

Supplementary Materials: The following supporting information can be downloaded at the website of this paper posted on Preprints.org., **Table SA1:** Initial set of indicators introduced into the analysis; **Table SA2:** Indicators constitutive of the final index of precarity in the modes of living and its operationalization; **Figure SA1:** Geographical representation of indicators of power relations; **Figure SA2:** Geographical representation of indicators from the metabolic (ecosystem) relations dimension; **Figure SA3:** Geographical representation of indicators from the culture and spiritual means dimension; **Figure SA4:** Geographical representation of indicators from the consumption dimension; **Figure SA5:** Geographical representation of indicators from the work dimension; **Figure SB1:** Results of the Local Indicators of Spatial Association (LISA) on the index of precarity using different spatial weights matrices; **Table SC1:** Results from a negative binomial regression model assessing the association of the precarity index and under five and infant deaths; **Table SC2:** Results from spatial lag x regression models assessing the association of the precarity index and under five and infant mortality rates. References [6, 41, 42, and 46] are cited in the supplementary documents.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, H.-A.S.-R., H.P., and G.-J.O.-C.; methodology, H.-A.S.-R. and A.R.; data curation, H.-A.S.-R. and A.R.; formal analysis, H.-A.S.-R. and A.R.; writing—original draft preparation, H.-A.S.-R. with support from A.R.; writing—review and editing, C.B., H.P., and G.-J.O.-C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research was derived from the project “Inequidades, clase social y salud: una cartografía desde los modos de vida en Colombia” funded by the Colombian Ministry of Science, Technology and Innovation - MINCIENCIAS, grant number 844-2019. Hugo Santa received funding from the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie grant agreement No 801076, through the SSPH+ Global PhD Fellowship Programme in Public Health Sciences (GlobalP3HS) of the Swiss School of Public Health.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable. .

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data for the study were accessed from public databases from the National Statistical Office of Colombia (DANE) available at (<https://www.dane.gov.co/>).

Acknowledgments: To the members of the group Inequidades, clase social y salud: una cartografía desde los modos de vida en Colombia, listed below: Universidad de Antioquia: Daniel Camilo Aguirre Acevedo, Samuel Arias Valencia, Juan Camilo Betancur Arboleda, Sara María Cano Bedoya, Valentina Espinosa Ruiz, Víctor Stephen González Quintero, Dayana Liceth Jiménez Vanegas, Dorian Ospina Galeano, Gabriel Jaime Otálvaro Castro, Daniel Felipe Patiño Lugo, Hugo Alejandro Santa Ramírez, José Fernando Tovar Valle. Instituto Nacional de Salud: Carlos Andrés Castañeda Orjuela, Diana Patricia Díaz Jiménez, Karol Patricia Cotes Cantillo. Advisors: Jaime Breilh Paz y Miño, Universidad Andina Simón Bolívar, Ecuador; Carme Borrell, Agència de Salut Pública de Barcelona, Spain; Oscar Fresneda Bautista, Universidad Externado de Colombia, Colombia; María de Lourdes Larrea Castelo, Universidad Andina Simón Bolívar, Ecuador; Hugo Pilkington, Université Paris 8 Vincennes-Saint-Denis, France. Special thanks to Yadira Borrero, Hector Byron Agudelo and

Javier Covaleda for their input enlightening our internal theoretical discussion process for the construction of some indicators.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest. The funders had no role in the design of the study; in the collection, analyses, or interpretation of data; in the writing of the manuscript; or in the decision to publish the results.

References

1. Townsend P. Deprivation. *Journal of Social Policy*. 1987;16(02):125–46.
2. Duque I, Domínguez-Berjón MF, Cebrecos A, Prieto-Salceda MD, Esnaola S, Calvo Sánchez M, et al. Índice de privación en España por sección censal en 2011. *Gaceta Sanitaria*. 2021 Mar 1;35(2):113–22.
3. Morris R, Carstairs V. Which deprivation? A comparison of selected deprivation indexes. *J Public Health Med*. 1991 Nov;13(4):318–26.
4. Zelenina A, Shalnova S, Maksimov S, Drapkina O. Characteristics of Composite Deprivation Indices Used in Public Health: A Scoping Review Protocol. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Aug 24;19(17):10565.
5. Abadía-Barrero CE, Melo-Moreno MA. Repensar la salud desde una Academia crítica y comprometida. *Vida, acumulación y emancipación. RGYPES* [Internet]. 2014 Dec 19 [cited 2023 Jul 13];13(27). Available from: <http://revistas.javeriana.edu.co/index.php/gerepolosal/article/view/11959>
6. Breilh J. Why Critical Epidemiology? Daring Ethical Science in an Unhealthy Civilization. In: Breilh J, Krieger N, editors. *Critical Epidemiology and the People's Health* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2021 [cited 2022 Dec 28]. p. 0. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780190492786.003.0003>
7. Iriart C, Waitzkin H, Breilh J, Estrada A, Merhy EE. Medicina social latinoamericana: aportes y desafíos. *Rev Panam Salud Pública*. 2002 Aug;12(2):128–36.
8. Granda E. A qué llamamos salud colectiva, hoy. *Revista Cubana de Salud Pública*. 2004 Jun;30(2):0–0.
9. Borde E, Hernández M. Revisiting the social determinants of health agenda from the global South. *Glob Public Health*. 2019;14(6–7):847–62.
10. Harvey M, Piñones-Rivera C, Holmes SM. Thinking with and Against the Social Determinants of Health: The Latin American Social Medicine (Collective Health) Critique from Jaime Breilh. *Int J Health Serv*. 2022 Oct 1;52(4):433–41.
11. Almeida-Filho N de. Desigualdades en salud: nuevas perspectivas teóricas. *Salud colectiva*. 2020;16:41–2.
12. Breilh J. The social determination of health and the transformation of rights and ethics1. *Glob Public Health*. 2023 Jan;18(1):2193830.
13. Weiss H. Social reproduction. *Cambridge Encyclopedia of Anthropology* [Internet]. 2021 Sep 25 [cited 2024 Mar 31]; Available from: <https://www.anthroencyclopedia.com/entry/social-reproduction>
14. Breilh J. New Method and Intercultural Awakening: Beyond the "Knowledge Illusion" of the Cartesian Bubble. In: Breilh J, Krieger N, editors. *Critical Epidemiology and the People's Health* [Internet]. Oxford University Press; 2021 [cited 2022 Dec 28]. p. 0. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1093/med/9780190492786.003.0004>
15. Almeida Filho N. Epidemiología en la pospandemia. *Cuadernos del ISCo* [Internet]. 2023 Oct 5 [cited 2023 Oct 10]; Available from: <http://isco.unla.edu.ar/edunla/cuadernos/catalog/view/45/56/174-1>
16. Cortez-Fernandez MG, Cammarota K, Cortez-Fernandez MG, Cammarota K. Exploración e identificación de sentidos en la producción de un territorio: relevamiento de necesidades, problemas y modos de respuesta en el primer nivel de atención de la Ciudad de Buenos Aires. *Revista Internacional de Investigación en Ciencias Sociales*. 2023 Dec;19(2):185–200.
17. David JB, Rizzotto MLF, Gouvêa LAVN de. Ways of living and working of Haitian immigrants in Western Paraná/Brazil. *Rev esc enferm USP*. 2023 Jun 26;57:e20230030.
18. Abadía-Barrero CE, Martínez-Parra AG. Care and consumption: A Latin American social medicine's conceptual framework to comprehend oral health inequalities. *Glob Public Health*. 2017 Oct;12(10):1228–41.
19. Dias DD, Guareschi PA, Hennigen I. Modos de consumir como expressões de modos de viver. *Fractal, Rev Psicol*. 2019 Sep 23;31:143–9.
20. Breilh J. Epidemiología crítica: ciencia emancipadora e interculturalidad. *Lugar Editorial*; 2003. 324 p.
21. Breilh J. Las tres 'S' de la determinación de la vida: 10 tesis hacia una visión crítica de la determinación social de la vida y la salud. 2010 [cited 2022 Mar 20]; Available from: <http://repositorio.uasb.edu.ec/handle/10644/3412>
22. Carstairs V, Morris R. Deprivation and health in Scotland. *Health Bull (Edinb)*. 1990 Jul;48(4):162–75.
23. Braveman PA, Cubbin C, Egerter S, Chideya S, Marchi KS, Metzler M, et al. Socioeconomic Status in Health ResearchOne Size Does Not Fit All. *JAMA*. 2005 Dec 14;294(22):2879–88.
24. Polo Almeida P. Modos de vida, una categoría esencial en geografía y salud [Internet]. [cited 2023 Jul 13]. Available from: http://www.clacso.org.ar/libreria-latinoamericana/buscar_libro_detalle.php?id_libro=1085&campo=titulo&texto=

25. Sánchez T, F, Núñez M, J. La geografía y el desarrollo económico en Colombia: una aproximación municipal. *Revista Desarrollo y Sociedad*. 2000 Sep 1;(46):43–108.
26. Salazar GS. El conflicto armado y su incidencia en la configuración territorial: Reflexiones desde la ciencia geográfica para la consolidación de un periodo de pos negociación del conflicto armado en Colombia. *Bitácora*. 2016 Aug 8;26(2):45.
27. Melo JO. Historia mínima de Colombia [Internet]. Colegio de Mexico; 2017 [cited 2023 Jul 13]. Available from: <https://www.jstor.org/stable/j.ctv1nhm21>
28. Kasmir S. Precarity. *Cambridge Encyclopedia of Anthropology* [Internet]. 2018 Mar 13 [cited 2024 Mar 30]; Available from: <https://www.anthroencyclopedia.com/entry/precarity>
29. Lorey I. State of Insecurity: Government of the Precarious. Derieg A, Butler J, Lorey I, editors. New York: Verso; 2015.
30. Butler J. Precarious life: the powers of mourning and violence [Internet]. London: Verso; 2004 [cited 2023 Oct 11]. 168 p. Available from: <http://catdir.loc.gov/catdir/toc/ecip0410/2003023744.html>
31. Neilson B, Rossiter N. Precarity as a Political Concept, or, Fordism as Exception. *Theory, Culture & Society*. 2008 Dec 1;25(7–8):51–72.
32. Guyer JI. PAUPER, PERCENTILE, PRECARITY: ANALYTICS FOR POVERTY STUDIES IN AFRICA. *The Journal of African History*. 2018 Nov;59(3):437–48.
33. Cassiman A, Eriksen TH, Meinert L. Pandemic precarity. *Anthropol Today*. 2022 Aug;38(4):1–2.
34. Han C. Precarity, Precariousness, and Vulnerability. *Annual Review of Anthropology*. 2018;47(1):331–43.
35. Romero Bachiller C. De diferencias, jerarquizaciones excluyentes y materialidades de lo cultural: una aproximación a la precariedad desde el femenismo y la teoría queer. *Cuadernos de relaciones laborales*. 2003;21(1):33–60.
36. Bayón MC. Precariedad social en México y Argentina: tendencias, expresiones y trayectorias nacionales. *Revista de la CEPAL*. 2006;88:133–52.
37. Butler J. For and against Precarity. *e-flux journal* [Internet]. 2013; Available from: https://www.e-flux.com/legacy/2013/05/7.-Butler_Precarity.pdf?b8c429
38. McKee M, Reeves A, Clair A, Stuckler D. Living on the edge: precariousness and why it matters for health. *Arch Public Health*. 2017;75:13.
39. Caroz-Armayones JM, Benach J, Delclós C, Julià M. The double burden of precariousness: linking housing, employment, and perceived stress - a cross-sectional study. *Int J Environ Health Res*. 2023 Nov;33(11):1102–11.
40. Peralta A, Espinel-Flores V, Gotsens M, Pérez G, Benach J, Marí-Dell'Olmo M. Developing a deprivation index to study geographical health inequalities in Ecuador. *Rev Saude Publica*. 53:97.
41. Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadísticas (DANE), Dirección de Metodología y Producción Estadística (DIMPE). Metodología general Encuesta Nacional de Calidad de Vida-ECV. 2018.
42. Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadísticas (DANE), Dirección de Metodología y Producción Estadística (DIMPE). Ficha metodológica Gran Encuesta Integrada de Hogares. 2016.
43. Guerra I. Modos de vida: novos percursos e novos conceitos. 1993 Mar [cited 2023 Oct 10]; Available from: <https://repositorio.iscte-iul.pt/handle/10071/932>
44. Puerto A, Torres P, Roa F, Hernández J. Modo de Vida de un grupo de trabajadores informales, Bogotá D.C., 2014. *Revista Facultad Nacional de Salud Pública*. 2016 Feb 12;34(1):81–7.
45. Marmot MG, McDowall ME. Mortality decline and widening social inequalities. *Lancet*. 1986 Aug 2;2(8501):274–6.
46. Lalloué B, Monnez JM, Padilla C, Kihal W, Le Meur N, Zmirou-Navier D, et al. A statistical procedure to create a neighborhood socioeconomic index for health inequalities analysis. *International Journal for Equity in Health*. 2013 Mar 28;12(1):21.
47. Salmond C, Crampton P, Sutton F. NZDep91: A New Zealand index of deprivation. *Australian and New Zealand journal of public health* [Internet]. 1998 Dec [cited 2023 Jul 14];22(7). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/9889455/>
48. Villatoro S, P. Indicadores no monetarios de carencias en las encuestas de los países de América Latina: disponibilidad, comparabilidad y pertinencia. 2017 Dec 28 [cited 2023 Oct 11]; Available from: <https://hdl.handle.net/11362/43137>
49. Gálvez-Soriano O de J, Benítez-Blacio P. How to Measure the Multidimensional Inequality with Household Surveys: The Mexican Case. *Revista Mexicana de Economía y Finanzas (REMEF): nueva época*. 2018;13(2):175–93.
50. Durán RJ, Condorí MÁ. Deprivation Index for Small Areas Based on Census Data in Argentina. *Soc Indic Res*. 2019 Jan 1;141(1):331–63.
51. Garcés CR, Soto JM, Fuentes GP. La reconfiguración del mapa de la pobreza multidimensional en Chile: Un análisis comparativo de las carencias y brechas en los hogares rurales 1. *Civilizar Ciencias Sociales y Humanas*. 2018;18(35):53–71.

52. Bustos A. Niveles de marginación: una estrategia multivariada de clasificación. Realidad, datos y espacio Revista internacional de estadística y geografía. 2011;2(1):169–86.
53. Barrozo LV, Fornaciari M, de André CDS, Moraes GAZ, Mansur G, Cabral-Miranda W, et al. GeoSES: A socioeconomic index for health and social research in Brazil. PLoS One. 2020;15(4):e0232074.
54. Lalloué B, Deguen S, Monnez JM, Padilla C, Kihal W, Zmirou-Navier D, et al. SesIndexCreateR: An R Package for Socioeconomic Indices Computation and Visualization. Open Journal of Statistics. 2015 May 22;5(4):291–302.
55. Gilthorpe MS. The importance of normalisation in the construction of deprivation indices. J Epidemiol Community Health. 1995 Dec;49(Suppl 2):S45–50.
56. Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística (DANE). Pobreza Monetaria y Multidimensional en Colombia 2018 [Internet]. [cited 2022 Oct 20]. Available from: <https://www.dane.gov.co/index.php/estadisticas-por-tema/pobreza-y-condiciones-de-vida/pobreza-y-desigualdad/pobreza-monetaria-y-multidimensional-en-colombia-2018#:~:text=En%202018%20la%20pobreza%20multidimensional%20departamental%20oscil%C3%B3%C3%81%20entre%2065%2C0,multidimensional%20fue%2019%2C6%25>.
57. Rojas-Botero M, Fernández-Niño J, Borrero-Ramírez Y. Unacceptable persistence of territorial inequalities in avoidable under-five mortality in Colombia between 2000 and 2019: a multilevel approach. Public health [Internet]. 2022 Dec [cited 2023 Jul 14];213. Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/36446150/>
58. Srinivasan S. Local and Global Spatial Statistics. In: Shekhar S, Xiong H, Zhou X, editors. Encyclopedia of GIS [Internet]. Cham: Springer International Publishing; 2017 [cited 2023 Jul 14]. p. 1129–30. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-17885-1_700
59. Odd D, Stoianova S, Williams T, Odd D, Kurinczuk JJ, Wolfe I, et al. What is the relationship between deprivation, modifiable factors and childhood deaths: a cohort study using the English National Child Mortality Database. BMJ Open. 2022 Dec 1;12(12):e066214.
60. Rivillas JC, Gómez-Aristizabal L, Rengifo-Reina HA, Muñoz-Laverde EP. Population aging and social inequalities in the mortality of the elderly in Colombia. Why should we approach it now and where to start? Revista Facultad Nacional de Salud Pública. 2017 Dec;35(3):369–81.
61. Comaru F de A, Westphal MF. Housing, Urban Development and Health in Latin America: Contrasts, Inequalities and Challenges. Reviews on Environmental Health. 2004 Jul 1;19(3–4):329–46.
62. Castells M. The Rise of the Network Society: The Information Age: Economy, Society, and Culture. Wiley; 2010. 597 p.
63. Karar H. Algorithmic Capitalism and the Digital Divide in Sub-Saharan Africa. Journal of Developing Societies. 2019 Dec 1;35(4):514–37.
64. Lorraine Charles SX and APC. Digitalization and Employment, A Review [Internet]. 2022 [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: http://www.ilo.org/employment/Whatwedo/Publications/WCMS_854353/lang--en/index.htm
65. Muntaner C. Digital Platforms, Gig Economy, Precarious Employment, and the Invisible Hand of Social Class. Int J Health Serv. 2018 Oct 1;48(4):597–600.
66. Arocena R, Senker P. Technology, Inequality, and Underdevelopment: The Case of Latin America. Science, Technology, & Human Values. 2003 Jan 1;28(1):15–33.
67. Lund C, Silva MD, Plagerson S, Cooper S, Chisholm D, Das J, et al. Poverty and mental disorders: breaking the cycle in low-income and middle-income countries. The Lancet. 2011 Oct 22;378(9801):1502–14.
68. Minayo MC de S. O desafio do conhecimento: pesquisa qualitativa em saude. In: O desafio do conhecimento: pesquisa qualitativa em saude [Internet]. 2014 [cited 2023 Oct 11]. p. 407–407. Available from: <https://pesquisa.bvsalud.org/portal/resource/pt/sus-33574>
69. Guevara Fletcher DAG. Discursos y disciplinamiento de la pobreza en la población desplazada por la violencia en Colombia. OBETS Revista de Ciencias Sociales. 2011 Dec 15;6(2):245–67.
70. Abel T. Cultural capital and social inequality in health. Journal of epidemiology and community health [Internet]. 2008 Jul [cited 2023 Jul 14];62(7). Available from: <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/18572429/>
71. Nechitailo I, Nazarkin P, Stadnik O, Rozova O. OPPORTUNITIES AND SOME RESULTS OF MEASURING CULTURAL CAPITAL IN SOCIOLOGICAL RESEARCH PRACTICE.
72. Jepperson RL, Swidler A. What properties of culture should we measure? Poetics. 1994 Jun 1;22(4):359–71.
73. Vryonides M. Social and Cultural Capital In Educational Research: Issues of Operationalisation and Measurement. British Educational Research Journal. 2007;33(6):867–85.
74. Faragher EB, Cass M, Cooper CL. The relationship between job satisfaction and health: a meta-analysis. Occup Environ Med. 2005 Feb;62(2):105–12.
75. Macmillan R, Shanahan MJ. Why Precarious Work Is Bad for Health: Social Marginality as Key Mechanisms in a Multi-National Context. Social Forces. 2021 Dec 1;100(2):821–51.
76. Meyer R. Precarious Workers and Collective Efficacy. Critical Sociology. 2017 Nov 1;43(7–8):1125–41.
77. Castro Guiza O. The indecency of informal work in Colombia. Justicia. 2018 Jun;(33):200–23.

78. Bernal S R. The Informal Labor Market in Colombia: Identification and Characterization. *Desarrollo y Sociedad*. 2009 Jun;(63):145–208.
79. Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe (CEPAL) C. Panorama de la cohesión social en América Latina y el Caribe [Internet]. CEPAL; 2021 [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: <https://www.cepal.org/es/publicaciones/47667-panorama-la-cohesion-social-america-latina-caribe>
80. Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe (CEPAL) C. Discriminación étnico-racial y xenofobia en América Latina y el Caribe [Internet]. CEPAL; 2001 [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: <https://www.cepal.org/es/publicaciones/5987-discriminacion-etnico-racial-xenofobia-america-latina-caribe>
81. Rodríguez GA. Continúa la exclusión y la marginación de las comunidades negras colombianas. *Diálogos de saberes*. 2008 Dec 1;(29):215–38.
82. De Neubourg C, Chzhen Y, Main G, Martorano B, Menchini L, Bradshaw J. Child Deprivation, Multidimensional Poverty and Monetary Poverty in Europe. *Innocenti Working Paper* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Report No.: 2012-02. Available from: <https://www.unicef-irc.org/publications/657-child-deprivation-multidimensional-poverty-and-monetary-poverty-in-europe.html>
83. Paz C. Atlas sociodemográfico de los pueblos indígenas y afrodescendientes en Colombia. 2012 Apr [cited 2023 Oct 11]; Available from: <https://hdl.handle.net/11362/1264>
84. Cortés D, Vargas JF. Inequidad regional en Colombia. *Regional inequality in Colombia* [Internet]. 2012 [cited 2023 Jul 14]; Available from: <https://repositorio.uniandes.edu.co/handle/1992/8355>
85. Builes-Jaramillo A, Lotero L. Closeness matters. Spatial autocorrelation and relationship between socioeconomic indices and distance to departmental Colombian capitals. *Socio-Economic Planning Sciences*. 2020 Jun 1;70:100662.
86. Quintero HFT. Realidades de la Amazonía Colombiana: Territorio, Conflicto Armado y Riesgo Socioecológico. *Revista ABRA*. 2014 Jun 26;34(48):63–81.
87. Departamento Administrativo Nacional de Estadística (DANE) D. Determinantes y factores asociados con la tasa de Mortalidad Infantil: una comparación departamental y municipal. 2021. (Informes de Estadística Sociodemográfica aplicada.). Report No.: 2.
88. Gaye A, Klugman J, Kovacevic M, Twigg S, Zambrano E. Measuring Key Disparities in Human Development: The Gender Inequality Index [Internet]. *Human Development Reports*. United Nations Development Program (UNDP); 2010 Jan [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: <https://hdr.undp.org/content/measuring-key-disparities-human-development>
89. Comisión Económica para América Latina y el Caribe (CEPAL) C. Los cuidados en América Latina y el Caribe. Textos seleccionados 2007-2018 [Internet]. CEPAL; 2018 [cited 2023 Jul 14]. Available from: <https://www.cepal.org/es/publicaciones/44361-cuidados-america-latina-caribe-textos-seleccionados-2007-2018>
90. Faur E. El cuidado infantil en el siglo XXI. *Mujeres malabaristas en una sociedad desigual*. 2014.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.