Article

Not peer-reviewed version

On the Possibility of Probing the Speed of Quantum Collapse

José Orlicki *

Posted Date: 5 November 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v1

Keywords: Quantum entanglement; Wavefunction collapse; Speed of collapse; Quantum nonlocality; Experimental proposal; Realism; Locality; No-signaling theorem; Bell's theorem; Cirq simulation; Quantum foundations

Article

On the Possibility of Probing the Speed of Quantum Collapse

José I. Orlicki

Independent Researcher, France; josepreprints@gmail.com

Abstract: The instantaneous nature of quantum entanglement remains one of the most intriguing aspects of quantum mechanics. While the no-signaling theorem prohibits faster-than-light communication, the concept of a finite speed for the collapse of the quantum wavefunction has been a subject of philosophical debate. In this paper, we propose an experimental setup designed to test the speed of wavefunction collapse using entangled photon pairs over a distance of 10 km. By systematically varying the time delay between measurements and analyzing the resulting correlations, we aim to outline a method that could place bounds on the collapse speed without contradicting the no-signaling theorem. We argue that detecting a finite, possibly superluminal, speed of collapse could allow for maintaining realism and locality through high-speed remote synchronization, eliminating the need for hidden variables. We provide a detailed experimental design, including an algorithm and a Python implementation using the `Cirq` library to simulate the proposed experiment. Our goal is to motivate experimentalists to undertake this test, which could have profound implications for our understanding of quantum mechanics and the nature of reality.

Keywords: quantum entanglement; wavefunction collapse; speed of collapse; quantum nonlocality; experimental proposal; realism; locality; no-signaling theorem; Bell's theorem; cirq simulation; quantum foundations

---

1. Introduction

Quantum mechanics, since its inception in the early 20th century, has fundamentally challenged our classical understanding of the physical world. One of the most perplexing and fascinating features of quantum mechanics is the phenomenon of *entanglement*, where particles become linked such that the state of one instantaneously influences the state of another, regardless of the distance separating them [1,2].

The conceptual difficulties posed by entanglement were famously highlighted in the Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen (EPR) paradox [3]. Einstein, along with his colleagues Podolsky and Rosen, argued that quantum mechanics must be incomplete because it allows for what Einstein termed "spooky action at a distance." This nonlocal behavior seemed incompatible with the principles of locality and causality embedded in the theory of relativity [4].

John Bell, in 1964, formulated what is now known as *Bell's theorem* [5], providing a way to test the predictions of quantum mechanics against those of any local hidden variable theories. Bell's inequalities, derived from assumptions of locality and realism, are violated by quantum mechanics. Subsequent experiments, starting with those of Aspect et al. in the 1980s [6], and more recent ones [7,8], have consistently confirmed the quantum mechanical predictions, ruling out local hidden variable theories.

Despite the experimental confirmations, the underlying mechanism by which entangled particles maintain their correlations remains a topic of intense debate. The instantaneous nature of these correlations seems to conflict with the relativistic limitation that no information can travel faster than the speed of light, c. This tension has led to various interpretations and philosophical positions:

- **Nonlocality Acceptance**: Some physicists accept nonlocality as a fundamental aspect of nature, as suggested by quantum mechanics, without seeking a deeper explanation [2,9].
- **Many-Worlds Interpretation**: Proposes that all possible outcomes of quantum measurements actually occur in a vast multiverse, eliminating the need for wavefunction collapse [10].

 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v1

- **Relational Quantum Mechanics**: Suggests that the properties of quantum systems are relative to other systems, avoiding the notion of absolute states [11,12].
- **Objective Collapse Theories**: Propose that the wavefunction collapse is a physical process, potentially propagating at a finite speed [13–15].
- **Finite Collapse Speed**: Some theorists propose that the collapse of the wavefunction propagates at a finite, possibly superluminal, speed, thus preserving a form of locality while allowing for entanglement correlations [16–18].

The idea of a finite collapse speed is particularly intriguing because it offers a potential reconciliation between quantum mechanics and relativity. If the collapse propagates faster than light but at a finite speed, it could explain the instantaneous correlations without violating causality, provided that no usable information is transmitted faster than c.

Previous experimental efforts have attempted to place lower bounds on the speed of the collapse or the "speed of quantum information." For instance, Salart et al. [16] conducted experiments over distances of more than 18 km, setting lower bounds on the speed of quantum information at four orders of magnitude faster than c. Similarly, Cocciaro et al. [17] explored upper bounds on the speed of nonlocal correlations in delayed-choice entanglement swapping experiments. Recent satellite-based experiments have also tested entanglement over unprecedented distances [19].

Moreover, there have been controversial claims of faster-than-light phenomena in other contexts. The Podkletnov gravity beam experiment [20] reported anomalous gravitational effects propagating at superluminal speeds, though these results have not been independently verified and remain speculative.

Advancements in technology, particularly in precise timing and synchronization, open new possibilities for testing these foundational questions. Atomic clocks with femtosecond precision [21–24] allow for experiments that can detect more precise differences in timing and correlations. Developments in photonic quantum information processing [25] and single-photon detectors [26] enhance our ability to perform sophisticated quantum experiments.

In this paper, we propose an experimental framework that leverages current technological capabilities to test the possibility of a finite speed of wavefunction collapse. By employing entangled photon pairs sent to detectors 10 km apart and systematically varying the time delay between measurements, we aim to identify any deviations in quantum correlations that could indicate a finite collapse speed.

Our approach involves:

1. **Exponential Backoff**: Starting with a time delay equal to the light travel time between detectors, we halve the delay iteratively, measuring correlations at each step.
2. **Binary Search Refinement**: Upon detecting a significant change in correlations, we perform a binary search between the last two time delays to refine the estimate of the threshold time.
3. **Statistical Analysis**: We conduct a large number of measurements (e.g., 10,000 entangled pairs per iteration) to ensure statistical significance in our correlation measurements.

By analyzing the correlation data as a function of the time delay, we aim to place experimental bounds on the speed at which wavefunction collapse, if it propagates at a finite speed, occurs. Such results would have profound implications for our understanding of quantum mechanics, potentially informing new theoretical developments that reconcile quantum nonlocality with relativistic causality.

In the following sections, we delve into the philosophical context of our experiment, detail the experimental proposal and algorithm, provide a simulation using the `Cirq` library, and discuss the potential implications and challenges of our approach.

1.1. Organization of the Paper

The paper is organized as follows:

- **Section 2**: Discusses the philosophical interpretations of quantum mechanics relevant to our experiment, emphasizing the importance of objectivity and locality.

- **Section 3**: Presents the experimental proposal, including the setup, procedure, and algorithm specification.
- **Section 4**: Provides the Python simulation code using `Cirq`, explaining the assumptions and modifications made for the hypothetical scenario.
- **Section 5**: Discusses the experimental challenges, potential implications, and future directions for research.
- **Section 6**: Concludes the paper by summarizing our findings and their significance.

We also include references to previous experiments and theoretical works that have explored similar questions, providing a comprehensive context for our study.

2. Philosophical Context

The foundational issues in quantum mechanics have long been a subject of philosophical debate. Central to these discussions are the concepts of *objectivity*, *locality*, and the nature of *reality* as described by quantum theory. Our experiment touches upon these themes, particularly in relation to the speed of wavefunction collapse and its implications for objectivity and locality.

2.1. Objectivity, Realism, and Locality

Realism in physics is the philosophical position that physical systems possess definite properties independent of observation or measurement. *Locality* is the principle that objects are directly influenced only by their immediate surroundings. In classical physics, both realism and locality are implicit assumptions; objects have properties that can be measured without fundamentally altering them, and influences propagate through space without exceeding the speed of light.

Quantum mechanics challenges these notions through phenomena like entanglement and the *measurement problem*. The act of measurement appears to play a special role, causing the wavefunction to "collapse" to a definite state. This raises questions about whether quantum states represent physical reality or merely our knowledge of the system [27].

Various interpretations address these issues differently:

- **Copenhagen Interpretation**: Posits that quantum mechanics does not describe an objective reality but provides probabilities for observation outcomes. The wavefunction is a tool for calculating these probabilities [28].
- **Objective Collapse Theories**: Suggest that wavefunction collapse is a physical process occurring spontaneously, independent of observation, thus restoring objectivity [13–15].
- **Many-Worlds Interpretation**: Eliminates collapse altogether by proposing that all possible outcomes occur in branching universes, maintaining objectivity in the universal wavefunction [10].
- **Hidden Variable Theories**: Introduce underlying variables that determine the outcomes of quantum measurements, attempting to preserve realism and locality [29]. However, Bell's theorem and experimental violations of Bell inequalities suggest that local hidden variable theories cannot fully explain quantum correlations [6,7].

2.2. Finite Collapse Speed and Remote Synchronization

The concept of a finite, possibly superluminal, speed of wavefunction collapse offers an intriguing avenue to reconcile realism and locality without invoking hidden variables. If the collapse propagates at a high but finite speed, it could provide a mechanism for establishing correlations between entangled particles through a form of remote synchronization [17,18].

In this framework, the entangled particles remain in a superposition until a measurement triggers the collapse, which then propagates through space at the finite speed. The correlations observed in measurements could result from this collapse process reaching the distant particle, synchronizing its state with the measured particle. Since the speed is superluminal but finite, it does not contradict the no-signaling theorem, as it does not allow for controllable faster-than-light communication; the randomness inherent in quantum measurements is preserved.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v1

By proposing an experiment to measure the speed of this collapse, we aim to test whether such a mechanism could underpin quantum correlations. Detecting a finite speed would support the idea that realism and locality can be maintained via high-speed remote synchronization, eliminating the need for hidden variables and providing a new perspective on quantum nonlocality.

2.3. Locality, Causality, and the No-Signaling Theorem

Quantum entanglement seems to violate locality by allowing instantaneous correlations between distant particles. However, the *no-signaling theorem* ensures that these correlations cannot be used to transmit information faster than light, preserving causality in a relativistic sense [30]. This theorem states that the outcome of a measurement on one part of an entangled system cannot be used to send a message to another part instantaneously, as the measurement outcomes are fundamentally random.

Our proposed experiment respects the no-signaling theorem because it does not involve the transmission of information faster than light. Instead, we aim to gather information about the speed at which the collapse of the wavefunction—or the establishment of correlations—occurs. By focusing on statistical correlations rather than attempting to transmit specific information, we avoid any conflict with relativistic causality.

2.4. Interplay Between Quantum Mechanics and Relativity

The tension between quantum mechanics and relativity arises because quantum mechanics allows for nonlocal correlations, while relativity forbids faster-than-light causal influences. Reconciling these two pillars of modern physics remains an open problem [4,31]. The possibility of a finite collapse speed introduces a new dimension to this interplay, suggesting that while influences can propagate faster than light, they do so in a way that does not enable faster-than-light communication, thus preserving causality [32,33].

2.5. Previous Experiments and Interpretations

Several experiments have tested the limits of quantum nonlocality:

- **Aspect's Experiments**: Demonstrated violation of Bell's inequalities, supporting quantum mechanics over local hidden variable theories [6].
- **Delayed-Choice Experiments**: Show that measurement choices made after a particle has entered an interferometer can affect the observed outcome, challenging classical notions of causality [34].
- **Tests of Superluminal Speeds**: Experiments by Salart et al. [16], Cocciaro et al. [17], and satellite-based tests [19] have placed lower bounds on the speed at which quantum correlations could propagate, suggesting they are at least four orders of magnitude faster than light.
- **Violation with Freedom of Choice**: Experiments that close loopholes related to detector settings and freedom of choice [7,8].

These experiments confirm the predictions of quantum mechanics and support the no-signaling theorem by showing that, despite the instantaneous correlations, no usable information is transmitted faster than light. Our proposed experiment aligns with this body of work by seeking to measure the speed of collapse without violating relativistic causality or enabling faster-than-light communication. By potentially identifying a finite speed of collapse, we offer a pathway to reconcile realism and locality through high-speed remote synchronization, without the need for hidden variables [18].

2.6. Objectivity and Locality in Our Experiment

In designing our experiment, we aim to:

- **Maintain Realism**: By assuming that quantum states represent an objective reality that exists independently of observation.
- **Preserve Locality via High-Speed Synchronization**: By proposing that correlations are established through a finite-speed collapse mechanism, allowing for remote synchronization of entangled particles without violating locality.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v1

- **Respect the No-Signaling Theorem**: By ensuring that no information is transmitted faster than light between detectors, in accordance with relativity and the no-signaling theorem.

By systematically varying the time delay between measurements and analyzing the resulting correlations, we hope to determine whether the collapse of the wavefunction propagates at a finite speed. Detecting such a speed would support the idea that realism and locality can coexist in quantum mechanics, providing a new perspective on the nature of quantum correlations.

3. Experimental Proposal

3.1. Setup

We propose an experiment with the following components:

- **Entangled Photon Source**: Located at the midpoint between two detectors, generating entangled photon pairs using spontaneous parametric down-conversion [25].
- **Detectors A and B**: Positioned 10 km apart, each equipped with precise atomic clocks and measurement apparatus, including single-photon detectors [26].
- **Timing Control**: Ability to vary the time delay between when each detector performs its measurement with picosecond precision.

3.2. Procedure

1. **Synchronization**: Use optical atomic clocks [22,24] to synchronize detectors with precision up to tens of picoseconds (10^{-11} s).
2. **Baseline Measurement**:
    - Set the time delay T equal to the light travel time between detectors (approximately 33.4 μs).
    - Perform measurements on 10,000 entangled photon pairs.
    - Record the correlation statistics.
3. **Iterative Reduction**:
    - Reduce the time delay by half: $T \leftarrow T/2$.
    - Repeat measurements and record correlations.
    - Continue until a significant change in correlation is observed.
4. **Binary Search**:
    - Narrow down the threshold time where the correlation changes using a binary search between the last two time delays.
    - Refine the estimate of the collapse speed.

3.3. Algorithm Specification

Listing 1: Pseudocode for Collapse Speed Estimation

```
def estimate_collapse_speed():
    T_initial = 33.4e-6  # Initial time delay (33.4 microsecs)
    T_min = 1e-11        # Minimum time delay (10 ps)
    num_pairs = 10000    # Number of photon pairs per measurement
    threshold = 0.001    # Threshold for correlation difference

    # Baseline correlation at T_initial
    baseline_corr = measure_correlation(T_initial, num_pairs)

    T = T_initial
    while T > T_min:
```

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 5 November 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v1


```
        T /= 2
        corr = measure_correlation(T, num_pairs)
        if abs(corr - baseline_corr) > threshold:
            # Significant change detected
            T_limit = T
            break

    # Binary search to refine T_limit
    T_lower = T
    T_upper = 2 * T
    while (T_upper - T_lower) > desired_precision:
        T_mid = (T_lower + T_upper) / 2
        corr = measure_correlation(T_mid, num_pairs)
        if abs(corr - baseline_corr) > threshold:
            T_upper = T_mid
        else:
            T_lower = T_mid

    collapse_speed = distance / T_upper
    return collapse_speed
```

4. Python Simulation Using Cirq

We simulate the experiment using Google's `Cirq` library, which allows for quantum circuit simulations.

4.1. Assumptions and Modifications

It is important to note that standard quantum mechanics, as implemented in simulation tools like `Cirq`, assumes instantaneous wavefunction collapse. Therefore, our simulation serves as a **specification for the experimental procedure** rather than an exact replication of the hypothesized finite collapse speed.

To model the hypothetical scenario within the constraints of `Cirq`, we introduce artificial timing-dependent effects in the simulation. These modifications are not reflective of actual quantum mechanical behavior but are implemented to emulate the proposed experimental conditions.

- **Hypothetical Timing Dependence**: We artificially introduce a dependence of entanglement correlations on measurement timing by adjusting qubit rotations based on the time delay.
- **Conservative Precision**: We use time delays down to 10 picoseconds (10^{-11} s), which is achievable with modern technology.

4.2. Code Implementation

Listing 2: Simulation Code for Collapse Speed Estimation

```
import cirq
import numpy as np

def create_entangled_pair():
    q0, q1 = cirq.LineQubit.range(2)
    circuit = cirq.Circuit(
        cirq.H(q0),
        cirq.CNOT(q0, q1)
    )
```

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 5 November 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v1


```
    return q0, q1, circuit

def measure_correlation(circuit, repetitions=10000):
    simulator = cirq.Simulator()
    result = simulator.run(circuit, repetitions=repetitions)
    measurements = result.measurements['m']
    # Calculate the correlation
    correlation = np.mean([m[0] == m[1] for m in measurements])
    return correlation

def add_timing_control(circuit, wait_time):
    """
    Introduce a hypothetical timing control to the measurement.
    This is a placeholder for the timing-dependent effect.
    """
    q0, q1 = circuit.all_qubits()
    # Hypothetical effect: Rotate qubits based on wait_time
    angle = np.pi * np.exp(-wait_time / 1e-9) # Decay nanosecs
    timing_circuit = cirq.Circuit(
        cirq.rz(angle).on_each(q0, q1)
    )
    circuit += timing_circuit
    return circuit

def estimate_collapse_speed():
    T_initial = 33.4e-6  # 33.4 microsecs
    T_min = 1e-11        # 10 ps
    num_pairs = 10000
    threshold = 0.001

    # Baseline correlation
    q0, q1, circuit = create_entangled_pair()
    circuit.append(cirq.measure(q0, q1, key='m'))
    baseline_corr = measure_correlation(circuit, num_pairs)

    T = T_initial
    T_limit = None
    while T > T_min:
        T /= 2
        # Reset and modify circuit with timing control
        q0, q1, circuit = create_entangled_pair()
        circuit = add_timing_control(circuit, T)
        circuit.append(cirq.measure(q0, q1, key='m'))
        corr = measure_correlation(circuit, num_pairs)
        if abs(corr - baseline_corr) > threshold:
            T_limit = T
            break

    if T_limit is None:
        print("No significant change detected in time limits.")
```

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 5 November 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v1


```
        return None

    # Binary search refinement
    T_lower = T_limit
    T_upper = 2 * T_limit
    desired_precision = 1e-12  # 1 ps
    while (T_upper - T_lower) > desired_precision:
        T_mid = (T_lower + T_upper) / 2
        # Reset and modify circuit with timing control
        q0, q1, circuit = create_entangled_pair()
        circuit = add_timing_control(circuit, T_mid)
        circuit.append(cirq.measure(q0, q1, key='m'))
        corr = measure_correlation(circuit, num_pairs)
        if abs(corr - baseline_corr) > threshold:
            T_upper = T_mid
        else:
            T_lower = T_mid

    # Distance in meters divided by time in seconds
    collapse_speed = (10e3) / T_upper
    print(f"Estimated collapse speed: {collapse_speed} m/s")
    return collapse_speed

if __name__ == "__main__":
    estimate_collapse_speed()
```

4.3. Explanation

Limitations of the Simulation:

In standard quantum mechanics, and consequently in `Cirq`, the wavefunction collapse is assumed to be instantaneous. The simulation tools do not account for any finite collapse speed because such a concept is not part of the formalism. Therefore, the above code introduces a hypothetical model to emulate the proposed experimental conditions.

Purpose of the Simulation:

The simulation serves as a **specification** for the experimental procedure. It outlines how the experiment would be conducted and how the data would be analyzed, assuming a finite collapse speed could influence the correlations. The code provides a framework for:

- Modeling the iterative reduction of the time delay between measurements.
- Implementing a binary search to refine the threshold time where correlations change.
- Demonstrating the statistical analysis of measurement outcomes.

5. Discussion

The results and methodologies proposed in this paper open several avenues for both experimental and theoretical exploration. Detecting a finite speed of wavefunction collapse would have profound implications for our understanding of quantum mechanics, potentially reconciling realism and locality through high-speed remote synchronization and eliminating the need for hidden variables.

5.1. Theoretical Implications

Observing a finite, superluminal speed of collapse could challenge the standard formalism of quantum mechanics, which assumes instantaneous collapse. It would suggest that the establishment of correlations between entangled particles occurs through a physical process propagating at a high but finite speed. This could provide a mechanism for remote synchronization, allowing for the maintenance of realism and locality without invoking hidden variables [18].

Such a finding would necessitate a re-examination of the principles underpinning quantum mechanics and its relationship with relativity. It might suggest the existence of a preferred reference frame or require modifications to the principle of Lorentz invariance [32]. Theoretical models would need to account for the finite speed of collapse while preserving the no-signaling theorem, ensuring that causality remains intact.

5.2. Reconciliation of Realism and Locality

The ability to maintain realism and locality through high-speed remote synchronization offers a compelling resolution to longstanding debates in quantum foundations. By positing that entangled particles become correlated through a finite-speed collapse process, we provide a mechanism that preserves the independence of physical reality from observation (realism) and the principle that objects are only directly influenced by their immediate surroundings (locality).

This approach eliminates the need for hidden variables, which have been largely ruled out by experimental violations of Bell inequalities [6,7]. It provides an alternative explanation for quantum correlations that aligns with both the empirical successes of quantum mechanics and the foundational principles of relativity.

6. Conclusion

The exploration of a finite speed for wavefunction collapse offers a promising path toward reconciling realism and locality in quantum mechanics without the need for hidden variables. By proposing an experiment to measure this speed, we aim to provide empirical data that could support this reconciliation through high-speed remote synchronization.

Our experimental proposal outlines a method to detect whether the correlations between entangled particles depend on the timing of measurements at spatially separated locations. Detecting a finite, superluminal speed of collapse would have profound implications, potentially necessitating revisions to quantum theory and its compatibility with relativity.

While current quantum mechanics does not predict a finite speed for wavefunction collapse, exploring this possibility pushes the boundaries of both technology and theory [27,35,36]. It opens the door to a deeper understanding of quantum phenomena and the fundamental principles that govern the universe. We hope that this proposal motivates experimentalists to undertake this challenging but potentially revolutionary test, advancing our knowledge and shaping the future of physics.

Acknowledgments: We thank Adam Kay and his book *Escape From Shadow Physics: Quantum Theory, Quantum Reality and the Next Scientific Revolution* [37] that inspired this research.

References

1. Schrödinger, E. (1935). Discussion of probability relations between separated systems. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 31(4), 555-563.
2. Zeilinger, A. (2010). *Dance of the Photons: From Einstein to Quantum Teleportation*. Farrar, Straus and Giroux.
3. Einstein, A., Podolsky, B., & Rosen, N. (1935). Can quantum-mechanical description of physical reality be considered complete? *Physical Review*, 47(10), 777.
4. Weinberg, S. (1995). *The Quantum Theory of Fields, Vol. 1: Foundations*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Bell, J. S. (1964). On the Einstein Podolsky Rosen paradox. *Physics Physique Fizika*, 1(3), 195.
6. Aspect, A., Grangier, P., & Roger, G. (1982). Experimental realization of Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-Bohm Gedankenexperiment: A new violation of Bell's inequalities. *Physical Review Letters*, 49(2), 91.
7. Giustina, M., et al. (2013). Bell violation using entangled photons without the fair-sampling assumption. *Nature*, 497(7448), 227-230.
8. Scheidl, T., et al. (2010). Violation of local realism with freedom of choice. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(46), 19708-19713.

9. Maudlin, T. (2011). *Quantum Non-Locality and Relativity: Metaphysical Intimations of Modern Physics*. John Wiley & Sons.
10. Everett, H. (1957). "Relative state" formulation of quantum mechanics. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 29(3), 454.
11. Rovelli, C. (1996). Relational quantum mechanics. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 35(8), 1637-1678.
12. Rovelli, C. (2004). *Quantum Gravity*. Cambridge University Press.
13. Ghirardi, G. C., Rimini, A., & Weber, T. (1986). Unified dynamics for microscopic and macroscopic systems. *Physical Review D*, 34(2), 470.
14. Pearle, P. (1976). Reduction of the state vector by a nonlinear Schrödinger equation. *Physical Review D*, 13(4), 857.
15. Tumulka, R. (2006). A relativistic version of the Ghirardi–Rimini–Weber model. *Journal of Statistical Physics*, 125(4), 821-840.
16. Salart, D., Baas, A., Branciard, C., Gisin, N., & Zbinden, H. (2008). Testing the speed of 'spooky action at a distance'. *Nature*, 454(7206), 861-864.
17. Cocciaro, B., Faetti, S., & Vinattieri, A. (2011). Upper bounds on the propagation speed of quantum correlations in an entangled system. *Physical Review A*, 83(5), 052112.
18. Hossenfelder, S., & Palmer, T. (2020). Rethinking superdeterminism. *Frontiers in Physics*, 8, 139.
19. Yin, J., et al. (2017). Satellite-based entanglement distribution over 1200 kilometers. *Science*, 356(6343), 1140-1144.
20. Podkletnov, E., & Modanese, G. (2001). Study of light interaction with gravity impulses and measurements of the speed of gravity impulses. *arXiv preprint physics/0108005*.
21. Ludlow, A. D., Boyd, M. M., Ye, J., Peik, E., & Schmidt, P. O. (2015). Optical atomic clocks. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 87(2), 637.
22. Poli, N., et al. (2013). Optical atomic clocks. *La Rivista del Nuovo Cimento*, 36(12), 555-624.
23. Rosenband, T., et al. (2008). Frequency ratio of Al^+ and Hg^+ single-ion optical clocks; metrology at the 17th decimal place. *Science*, 319(5871), 1808-1812.
24. Predehl, K., et al. (2012). A 920-kilometer optical fiber link for frequency metrology at the 19th decimal place. *Science*, 336(6080), 441-444.
25. Slussarenko, S., & Pryde, G. J. (2019). Photonic quantum information processing: A concise review. *Applied Physics Reviews*, 6(4), 041303.
26. Hadfield, R. H. (2009). Single-photon detectors for optical quantum information applications. *Nature Photonics*, 3(12), 696-705.
27. Zurek, W. H. (2003). Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical. *Reviews of Modern Physics*, 75(3), 715-775.
28. Bohr, N. (1928). The quantum postulate and the recent development of atomic theory. *Nature*, 121(3050), 580-590.
29. Bohm, D. (1952). A suggested interpretation of the quantum theory in terms of "hidden" variables. I and II. *Physical Review*, 85(2), 166-193.
30. Eberhard, P. H. (1978). Bell's theorem and the different concepts of locality. *Il Nuovo Cimento B (1971-1996)*, 46(2), 392-419.
31. Smolin, L. (2006). *The Trouble with Physics: The Rise of String Theory, the Fall of a Science, and What Comes Next*. Houghton Mifflin Harcourt.
32. Hardy, L. (1992). Quantum mechanics, local realistic theories, and Lorentz-invariant realistic theories. *Physical Review Letters*, 68(20), 2981-2984.
33. Aharonov, Y., & Albert, D. Z. (1981). Can we make sense out of the measurement process in relativistic quantum mechanics? *Physical Review D*, 24(2), 359.
34. Wheeler, J. A., & Zurek, W. H. (1984). *Quantum Theory and Measurement*. Princeton University Press.
35. Arndt, M., et al. (1999). Wave–particle duality of C60 molecules. *Nature*, 401(6754), 680-682.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202411.0282.v1

36. Monroe, C., Meekhof, D. M., King, B. E., Itano, W. M., & Wineland, D. J. (1995). Demonstration of a fundamental quantum logic gate. *Physical Review Letters*, 75(25), 4714-4717.
37. Kay, A. (2024). *Escape From Shadow Physics: Quantum Theory, Quantum Reality and the Next Scientific Revolution*. W&N Publishers, June 20, 2024. ISBN: 978-1399609586.