

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis

[Mohammed Shehata](#) *

Posted Date: 16 October 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202410.1266.v1

Keywords: Complex numbers; Riemann zeta function; Riemann hypothesis; Xi function



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Proof of the Riemann Hypothesis

Mohammed Shehata

Department of Basic Science, Bilbeis Higher Institute For Engineering, Sharqia, Egypt;
mashehata_math@yahoo.com

Abstract: By the strong relation between ξ function and the Riemann ζ function, we will prove the Riemann hypothesis which states that "All non-trivial zeros $z = x + yi$ of ζ must lie on the critical line $x = \frac{1}{2}$ ".

Keywords: complex numbers; Riemann zeta function; Riemann hypothesis, Xi function

1. Introduction

This work is only concerned with proving the Riemann Hypothesis ([1]), so it is very short and focuses on the proof only. The Riemann Hypothesis concerns about the Riemann zeta function ([2,3]) which is defined for $\Re(z) > 1$ by the following infinite sum:

$$\zeta(z) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^z} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{e^{z \ln n}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{-i(y \ln n)}}{n^x} \quad (1)$$

Riemann does not refer to analytic continuation of the function ζ beyond the half plane $\Re(z) > 1$. Instead, he focuses on finding a formula that applies to all z . First, he derives his formula for $\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}$ which is valid for every $z : \Re(z) > 0$, he achieved this by relating the function ζ to the function $\Gamma(z) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{z-1} e^{-t} dt$ with the following relation

$$\zeta(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(z)} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{t^{z-1}}{e^t - 1} dt \quad (2)$$

Next, Riemann derives his formula to remains valid for all z by the relation

$$\pi^{-\frac{z}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \zeta(z) = \int_1^{\infty} \left[t^{\frac{z}{2}} + t^{\frac{1-z}{2}} \right] \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt - \frac{1}{z(1-z)} \quad (3)$$

where $\psi(t) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} e^{-\pi n^2 t}$.

The functional equation of the zeta function is demonstrated by the fact that the right side of (3) remains unchanged when $z = 1 - z$:

$$\pi^{-\frac{z}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \zeta(z) = \pi^{-\frac{(1-z)}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1-z}{2}\right) \zeta(1-z) \quad (4)$$

In the symmetric form of the functional equation, the function $\pi^{-\frac{z}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \zeta(z)$, has removable poles at $z = 0$ and $z = 1$, Riemann multiplies it by $\frac{1}{2} z(z-1)$ and define

$$\xi(z) := \frac{1}{2} z(z-1) \pi^{-\frac{z}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \zeta(z) \quad (5)$$

2. Main Results

Lemma 1. If $z = x + yi$ and $y \neq 0$, then

- I. $\overline{\zeta(z)} = \zeta(\bar{z})$ and $\overline{\Gamma(z)} = \Gamma(\bar{z})$
- II. $\overline{\xi(z)} = \xi(\bar{z})$
- III. $\overline{\zeta(z)} = \xi(1 - z)$
- IV. $\overline{\xi(z)} = \zeta(1 - \bar{z})$
- V. If $\xi((1 - x) + yi) = \xi(x + yi)$, then $x = \frac{1}{2}$
- VI. The non-trivial zeros of ζ is the same the non-trivial zeros of ξ

Proof.

I. First we prove that $\overline{\zeta(z)} = \zeta(\bar{z})$

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\zeta(z)} &= \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}} = \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-x} e^{-iy \ln n}} \\ &= \overline{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-x} \cos(y \ln n) - i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-x} \sin(y \ln n)} \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-x} \cos(y \ln n) + i \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-x} \sin(y \ln n) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-x} e^{iy \ln n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-(x-yi)} = \zeta(\bar{z})\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that $\overline{\Gamma(z)} = \Gamma(\bar{z})$

II. By the definition of ξ and using I., we have

$$\begin{aligned}\overline{\xi(z)} &= \overline{\frac{1}{2} z(z-1) \pi^{-\frac{z}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right) \zeta(z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \overline{z(z-1)} \overline{\pi^{-\frac{z}{2}}} \overline{\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)} \overline{\zeta(z)} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \bar{z}(\bar{z}-1) \pi^{-\frac{\bar{z}}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{\bar{z}}{2}\right) \zeta(\bar{z}) = \xi(\bar{z})\end{aligned}$$

III. It is obvious from (4) that $\xi(z) = \zeta(1 - z)$

IV. From II. and III., we obtain IV.

VI. Let $\xi((1 - x) + yi) = \xi(x + yi)$. By substitute in the definition of $\xi(z)$ and using (3), we have

$$(a - bi) \int_1^{\infty} \left[t^{\frac{(1-x)+yi}{2}} + t^{\frac{x-yi}{2}} \right] \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt = (a + bi) \int_1^{\infty} \left[t^{\frac{x+yi}{2}} + t^{\frac{(1-x)-yi}{2}} \right] \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt$$

where $a = x^2 - y^2 - x$ and $b = 2xy - 1$.

After simplification, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}y(2x - 1) \int_1^{\infty} \left[t^{\frac{1}{2}x} - t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-x)} \right] \cos(y \ln t) \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt \\ (x^2 - x - y^2) \int_1^{\infty} \left[t^{\frac{1}{2}x} - t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-x)} \right] \sin(y \ln t) \frac{\psi(t)}{t} dt = 0\end{aligned}$$

this is true only if $t^{\frac{1}{2}x} = t^{\frac{1}{2}(1-x)}$ for all $t \geq 1$, which implies to $x = \frac{1}{2}$.

VI. Since $\pi^{-\frac{z}{2}}$ and $\Gamma\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)$ have no zeros, then, V. is verified.

Theorem 1. If $z = x + yi$ and $y \neq 0$, then $\xi(z)$ is real if and only if $x = \frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. First, let $z = x + iy$, $y \neq 0$, and $\overline{\zeta(z)} = \zeta(z)$. From IV. in Lemma 1, $\overline{\zeta(z)} = \zeta(1 - \bar{z})$, then $\zeta((1 - x) + yi) = \zeta(x + yi)$. From V. in Lemma 1, $x = \frac{1}{2}$.

Second, $\overline{\zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + yi\right)} = \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + yi\right) = \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} - yi\right) = \zeta\left(1 - \left(\frac{1}{2} - yi\right)\right) = \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + yi\right)$ this complete the proof. Since zero is a real number, then from Theorem 1, we have the following corollary:

Corrolary 1. All non-trivial zeros $z = x + yi$ of ζ must lie on the critical line $x = \frac{1}{2}$.

From Lemma 1, VI., we obtain:

Corrolary 2. (Riemann Hypothesis) All non-trivial zeros $z = x + yi$ of ζ must lie on the critical line $x = \frac{1}{2}$.

In [4] a real function

$$F(y) := \frac{\pi^{\frac{-iy}{2}} \Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{iy}{2}\right) \zeta\left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{iy}{2}\right)}{\left|\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{iy}{2}\right)\right|} \quad (6)$$

has been constructed. A zero of this function corresponds precisely to the imaginary part of a zero of the zeta function. In this way zeta's zeros can be plotted (Figures 1 and 2) and calculated (Tables 1 and 2).




Figure 1. $F(y)$, $0 < y < 100$.

Table 1. The zeros $z = \frac{1}{2} + yi$, $0 < y < 100$.

14.13472514	21.02203964	25.01085758	30.42487613
32.93506159	37.58617816	40.91871901	43.32707328
48.00515088	49.77383248	52.97032148	56.44624770
59.34704400	60.83177852	65.11254405	67.07981053
69.54640171	72.06715767	75.70469070	77.14484007
79.33737502	82.91038085	84.73549298	87.4252746
88.80911121	92.49189927	94.65134404	95.87063423
98.83119422			




Figure 2. $F(y)$, $100 < y < 200$.

Table 2. The zeros $z = \frac{1}{2} + yi$, $0 < y < 100$.

101.33	103.72	25.01	105.21
107.15	110.88	114.02	115.96
118.65	121.19	122.83	124.02
127.16	129.25	130.89	133.28
134.62	137.91	139.70	140.74
142.83	145.82	147.16	149.95
150.76	152.88	155.92	157.43
158.75	161.07	162.89	165.42
167.14	168.96	169.77	173.31
174.72	176.36	178.32	179.86
182.12	184.69	185.41	186.95
189.21	191.88	192.91	195.17
196.71	197.95		

3. Conclusion

We have proven a very important principle for the function ζ that is $\zeta(z)$ is real if and only if z have a real part equal $\frac{1}{2}$. From this principle and the relation between the zeros of ζ and $\bar{\zeta}$ functions, the Riemann hypothesis have been verified.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declare that he has no conflict of interest.

References

1. P.Borwein, S.Choi, B.Rooney and A.Weirathmueller *The Riemann Hypothesis*, A Resource for the Afficionado and Virtuoso Alike. Springer, New York, 2008.
2. H.M. Edwards, *Riemann’s Zeta function*, Academic Pres New York,Francisco London 1974.
3. A.Anatoly, Karatsuba and S. M. Voronin, *The Riemann Zeta-Function*, De Gruyter, 1992.
4. R. van der Meer J. Top and A.E. SterkH.M. Edwards, *Zeros of the Zeta Function*, Bachelor’s Project Mathematics, faculty of Science and Engineering, University of Gronningen, 2020

Disclaimer/Publisher’s Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.