

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Viral Markers Inside Neoplastic Tissues in a Set of 68 Samples from 57 Upper Airways Cancer Cases

Sara Briscolini, Francesca Ciuti, Stefania Massacesi, Lucilla Cucco, Roberto Calisti^{*}, Marta Paniccià

Posted Date: 16 October 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202410.1224.v1

Keywords: sinonasal cancer (SNC); nasopharingeal cancer (NPC); adenocarcinoma; squamous cell carcinoma; squamocellular carcinoma; undifferentiated carcinoma; Human Papilloma Virus (HPV); Human Papilloma Virus 16 (HPV16); Human Papilloma Virus 18 (HPV18); Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV); Human Cytomegalovirus (HCMV)



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Viral Markers Inside Neoplastic Tissues in a Set of 68 Samples from 57 Upper Airways Cancer Cases

Sara Briscolini ¹, Francesca Ciuti ¹, Stefania Massacesi ², Lucilla Cucco ¹, Roberto Calisti ^{2,*} and Marta Paniccià ¹

- ¹ Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Umbria e Marche "Togo Rosati" Fermo; m.paniccia@izsum.it
- ² AST Macerata Occupational Safety and Health Occupational Epidemiology Unit Civitanova Marche (Italy); Regional Operations Center for the Italian National Registry of SinoNasal Cancers (ReNaTuNS); ast.macerata@emarche.it
- * Correspondence: roberto.calisti@sanita.marche.it; Tel.: +39 733 823834

Abstract: A contribution of Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV), Human Cytomegalovirus (HCMV), and certain types of Human Papilloma Virus (HPV) to the overall pathogenesis of upper airways cancers seems a priori reasonably conceivable. The existing evidence mostly relates them to nasopharyngeal squamous and undifferentiated carcinomas; indications emerge about their involvement in other upper airways cancers too. Markers of EBV, HCMV, HPV16, HPV18, (and Mycoplasma pneumoniae as a negative control) were searched in a series of 68 samples of neoplastic tissues from 57 patients diagnosed with different upper airways cancers. The results provide clues in favor of an actual role of EBV, HCMV, and HPV18, in the web of causation of upper airways squamous and undifferentiated carcinomas, possibly of upper airways adenocarcinomas too.

Keywords: sinonasal cancer (SNC); nasopharingeal cancer (NPC); adenocarcinoma; squamous cell carcinoma; squamocellular carcinoma; undifferentiated carcinoma; Human Papilloma Virus (HPV); Human Papilloma Virus 16 (HPV16); Human Papilloma Virus 18 (HPV18); Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV); Human Cytomegalovirus (HCMV)

1. Introduction

In 2023 IARC published an updated synoptic table summarizing the available evidence, emerging from its Monographs from 1 to 135 included, about the causal relationships between human cancers arising from specific sites and properly studied agents that the Institute classified as carcinogenic to humans (Group 1) or probably carcinogenic to humans (Group 2A) [1].

This IARC synopsis states that in humans:

sufficient evidence exists in favor of a causal relationship of Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV), formaldehyde, Chinese-style salted fish, and wood dust to nasopharyngeal cancer (NPC);

limited evidence exists in favor of a causal relationship of traditional Asian pickled vegetables and nasopharyngeal cancer (NPC);

sufficient evidence exists in favor of a causal relationship of isopropyl alcohol manufacture using strong acids, leather dust, Nickel compounds, Radium-226 and its decay products, Radium-228 and its decay products, tobacco smoking, and wood dust to sinonasal cancer (SNC);

limited evidence exists in favor of a causal relationship of work in carpentry and joinery, Chromium(VI) compounds, formaldehyde, and work in textile manufacturing industry to sinonasal cancer (SNC).

It is worth highlighting that the IARC evaluations on the basis of which the synopsis was drawn up were conducted and published in different periods, thus the considered evidence is differently updated from one agent to another.

In 2007 IARC dedicated its entire Monograph 90 to Human Papilloma Virus (HPV), stating that, at the date, multiple serotypes of the agent resulted as established or probable carcinogens to humans at multiple sites, but that an inadequate evidence existed about the carcinogenicity of HPV relating

to the sinonasal tract neoplasms; the nasopharyngeal tract was not separately considered [2]. No subsequent IARC evaluation of the HPV carcinogenicity is currently available.

In 2014 IARC assigned to Human Cytomegalovirus (HCMV) a high priority for an overall evaluation, in light of both studies in human pointing to its potential role in glioblastoma, and of a strong evidence in favor of its carcinogenic potential emerging from animal models and mechanistic data [3]. No subsequent IARC evaluation of HCMV carcinogenicity is currently available, but the Institute announced IARC Monographs Meeting 139: Hepatitis D virus, human cytomegalovirus, and Merkel cell polyomavirus, which will be held on 3–10 June 2025.

Studies have been progressively piling up, positively supporting a role of HPV – in particular 16 (HPV16) and 18 (HPV18) serotypes, EBV, and HCMV in the pathogenesis of upper airways neoplasms [4-17]. Clearer evidence emerges about the contribution of HPV16, HPV18, EBV, and HCMV to the genesis of nasopharingeal squamous and undifferentiated carcinomas; to date, an equally clear connection has not been delineated relating to other upper airways neoplasms.

The continuity between the nasopharyngeal and sinonasal mucosal membranes, and the substantially shared dynamic of airborne particles and vapours impacting on the surfaces of both tracts could actually sustain the possibility that the aforementioned viruses could be in a position to exert tantamount transformative actions upon multiple cell lines of both districts.

Certainly, many kinds of viruses can affect the human upper airways without exerting any carcinogenic action upon them, but the local persistence and the local continued replication of particular viruses could constitute a premise of chronical diseases, and of some steps of the carcinogenic processes too.

Just as certainly, selected viral markers testing positive or negative inside neoplastic tissues doesn't necessarily testify or rule out that the corresponding viruses played a role in the carcinogenetic process; those viruses could have been present just as "passengers" along the carcinogenetic pathways and, on the contrary, those viruses could have contributed to the early stages of the carcinogenesis, otherwise going to fade during the following passages.

Under these prudential considerations, the distribution of positive and negative results for selected viral markers tested in neoplastic tissues could provide clues about the involvement of specific viruses in the pathway of specific neoplasms.

Referring to the overall upper airways cancers, studies being available by means of PubMed result to have been conducted predominantly searching viral markers for one agent at a time; the combined presence of markers of more than one virus at a time could offer a wider vision upon the viral carcinogenic processes in these sites.

2. Materials and Methods

The research of HPV16, HPV18, HCMV, EBV, and Mycoplasma Pneumoniae (MP), the last agent merely assumed as a negative control, was performed in a set of 68 samples of neoplastic tissues, fixed in formaldehyde and included in paraffin, from 57 patients diagnosed with an upper airways cancer at the Unit of Pathological Histology and Cytology of the Macerata General Hospital (Central Italy).

All the upper airways cancer cases in the records of the aforesaid Unit of Pathological Histology and Cytology, for whom one or more cancer tissue samples resulted available, were considered, in the first instance, as possibly eligible for the study.

Just 57 patients, born between 1910 and 1955 and diagnosed with an upper airway cancer between 1991 and 2012, were actually enrolled in the study; the selection was made for the purpose of excluding any possibility of destroying samples hypothetically yet susceptible to be used for clinical investigations in the future.

The 57 enrolled patients (46 males, 11 females) were diagnosed with the following cancer types: 15 intestinal-type adenocarcinomas (ITAC), arising in the sinonasal cavities (14 male patients, 1 female patient);

16 adenocarcinomas of non-intestinal type (among which 3 cystic adenoidal carcinomas: two male patients, one female patient) or not otherwise specified, arising in the sinonasal cavities (on the whole, 14 male patients and 2 female patients);

16 squamous and undifferentiated carcinomas, arising in the sinonasal cavities (9 male patients, 6 female patients);

8 squamous and undifferentiated carcinomas, arising in the nasopharynx (7 male patients, 1 female patient);

2 melanomas arising one in a nasal cavity and the other in a maxillary sinus (both male patients). All the patients were Italians of Italian ancestry, with the sole exception of a patient born in Morocco, now living in Italy, diagnosed with an undifferentiated nasopharyngeal carcinoma

One enrolled patient (diagnosed with an adenocarcinoma, not otherwise specified, arising in the ethmoid) contributed three samples, nine enrolled patients (contributed two samples for each, 47 enrolled patients contributed one sample for each.

Any selected cancer tissue sample was identified by means of the reference number stamped on the including paraffin block, as at the time coded at the Unit of Pathological Histology and Cytology. When more than one sample was available from a same enrolled patient, each sample remained univocally linked to the patient by means of an identical reference number followed by a dash and the number "1", "2", or "3".

The most relevant data about each one of the 57 studied cancer cases are synthesized in Table 1; the anatomical site from where any examined sample was collected and the histopathological descriptive classification of any studied neoplasm are separately shown. The cancer sites are coded as in ICD 10: C11 Malignant neoplasm of nasopharynx; C30.0 Malignant neoplasm of nasal cavity; C31Malignant neoplasm of accessory sinuses. The histopathological diagnoses are presented as resulting from the best overall available evidence.

Table 1. Most relevant data about each one of the 57 studied cancer cases.

REFEREN	YEAR OF BIRTH	SEX OF	YEAR OF THE			
CE	OF	THE	CANCE	SITE OF		
NUMBER	THE	PATIE	R	OCCURRENCE	PRIMARY	
OF THE	PATIE	NT (M	DIAGN	OF THE CANCER	CANCER?	
SAMPLE	NT	/ F)	OSIS	(ICD-10)	(YES/NO)	CANCER HISTOTYPE
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				ETHMOID SINUS /		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
230-1	1927	M	2001	CELLS (C31)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				ETHMOID SINUS /		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
230-2	1927	M	2001	CELLS (C31)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				ETHMOID SINUS /		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
230-3	1927	M	2001	CELLS (C31)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
				NASAL CAVITY		INTESTINAL-TYPE
305	1945	M	2010	(C30.0)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
					NO	
					(PRIMARY	SQUAMOUS OR
				MAXILLARY	ORAL	UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
797	1929	F	2012	SINUS (C31)	CANCER)	(SQUAMOUS)
						SQUAMOUS OR
				NASAL CAVITY		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
426	1934	M	2012	(C30.0)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)
706	1942	M	1997	NASAL CAVITY	YES	INTESTINAL-TYPE

				(C30.0)		ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				NASAL CAVITY		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
836-1	1938	M	1995	(C30.0)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
				(====)	-	ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				NASAL CAVITY		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
836-2	1938	M	1995	(C30.0)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
030-2	1750	171	1773	(C30.0)	TES	SQUAMOUS OR
				NASOPHARYNX		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
1002	1057	M	1007		YES	(UNDIFFERENTIATED)
1083	1957	M	1997	(C11)	163	(UNDIFFERENTIATED)
1075	1000	3.4	2002	NASAL CAVITY	VEC	MALICNIANTE MELANIONA
1275	1926	M	2003	(C30.0)	YES	MALIGNANT MELANOMA
				ETHMOID SINUS /		INTESTINAL-TYPE
1469	1942	M	2004	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
						SQUAMOUS OR
				NASOPHARYNX		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
1678	1927	M	2002	(C11)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)
				ETHMOID SINUS /		INTESTINAL-TYPE
1828	1946	M	2003	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				NASAL CAVITY		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
1999	1935	M	1993	(C30.0)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
				NASAL CAVITY		INTESTINAL-TYPE
2611	1937	F	1998	(C30.0)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
2011	1707	-	1770	(650.0)	120	SQUAMOUS OR
				NASOPHARYNX		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
9702652	1934	M	1997	(C11)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)
7702032	1754	171	1777	ETHMOID SINUS /	TES	INTESTINAL-TYPE
2761	1930	M	1998	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
2701	1930	1V1	1990	` '	TES	INTESTINAL-TYPE
2570 1	1050	M	2000	ETHMOID SINUS /	VEC	
3578-1	1950	M	2009	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
2550.2	4050	3.6	2000	ETHMOID SINUS /	VEC	INTESTINAL-TYPE
3578-2	1950	M	2009	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
				ETHMOID SINUS /		INTESTINAL-TYPE
3629	1941	M	1997	CELLS (C31)E	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
						SQUAMOUS OR
				NASAL CAVITY		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
4011	1910	M	1994	(C30.0)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)
				ETHMOID SINUS /		INTESTINAL-TYPE
4054	1934	M	2010	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
						SQUAMOUS OR
						UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
				NASAL CAVITY		(UNDIFFERENTIATED WITH
4106	1930	F	2004	(C30.0)	YES	NEUROENDOCRINE FEATURES)
						SQUAMOUS OR
				NASAL CAVITY	UNDEFIN	UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
4128	1924	F	2000	(C30.0)	ED	("CLEAR CELLS" NEOPLASM)
		=		NASAL CAVITY		INTESTINAL-TYPE
4565-1	1942	M	1999	(C30.0)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
1000-1	1/1/2/	141	1///	NASAL CAVITY	110	INTESTINAL-TYPE
4565-2	1942	M	1999	(C30.0)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
	1942	F		· '		\ /
4575	1747	Г	2010	FRONTAL SINUS	1 E3	ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-

I	Ī	1	İ	(C21)	İ	INTERCTIONAL TYPE OF NOT
				(C31)		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
						SQUAMOUS OR
						UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
				NASAL CAVITY		(PAPILLARY, NOT OTHERWISE
5830-1	1927	M	1997	(C30.0)	YES	SPECIFIED)
3630-1	1927	1V1	1997	(C30.0)	163	,
						SQUAMOUS OR UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
				NASAL CAVITY		(PAPILLARY, NOT OTHERWISE
5830-2	1927	M	1997	(C30.0)	YES	SPECIFIED)
3030-2	1727	171	1777	UPPER AIRWAYS	TLO	SQUAMOUS OR
				NOT OTHERWISE		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
2575	1920	M	1994	SPECIFIED	YES	(SQUAMOUS)
2373	1720	171	1//1	ETHMOID SINUS /	TLO	INTESTINAL-TYPE
6739	1944	M	2011	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
0/3/	1/11	171	2011	CELES (CS1)	TLO	ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
						INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
				NASAL CAVITY		OTHERWISE SPECIFIED (CYSTIC
7822	1921	F	2004	(C30.0)	YES	ADENOIDAL CARCINOMA)
7022	1721	1	2001	ETHMOID SINUS /	TEO	INTESTINAL-TYPE
8718-1	1930	M	2004	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
07101	1700	171	2001	ETHMOID SINUS /	TEO	INTESTINAL-TYPE
8718-2	1930	M	2004	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
0,102	1700	111	2001	MAXILLARY	120	ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				SINUS (C31)		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
8890	1923	M	1991	DESTRO	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
						INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
				NASAL CAVITY		OTHERWISE SPECIFIED (CYSTIC
9056	1926	M	2003	(C30.0)	YES	ADENOIDAL CARCINOMA)
				UPPER AIRWAYS		SQUAMOUS OR
				NOT OTHERWISE		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
540	1920	M	1996	SPECIFIED	YES	(SQUAMOUS)
						SQUAMOUS OR
				NASOPHARYNX		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
10554	1948	M	2010	(C11)	YES	(UNDIFFERENTIATED)
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
						INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
				NASOPHARYNX		OTHERWISE SPECIFIED (POORLY
10784	1953	M	1999	(C11)	YES	DIFFERENTIATED)
						SQUAMOUS OR
				NASAL CAVITY		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
10860	1919	F	2004	(C30.0)	YES	(UNDIFFERENTIATED)
				MAXILLARY		
11431	1910	M	1991	SINUS (C31)	YES	MALIGNANT MELANOMA
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				ETHMOID SINUS /		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
11851	1936	M	2002	CELLS (C31)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				NASAL CAVITY		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
11731	1931	M	2006	(C30.0)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
12195	1942	M	1997	NASAL CAVITY	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-

	1	•	1	1	1	
				(C30.0)		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
						OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
						SQUAMOUS OR
				NASAL CAVITY		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
20220	1917	M	2009	(C30.0)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				NASAL CAVITY		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
12457	1919	M	1995	(C30.0)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
						ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				NASAL CAVITY		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
12680	1946	M	2004	(C30.0)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
10751	4054	3.6	2004	ETHMOID SINUS /	N/EG	INTESTINAL-TYPE
12754	1951	M	2004	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
				NIA CODILA DIVINI		SQUAMOUS OR
10555.1	4020	3.6	2000	NASOPHARYNX	N/EC	UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
12757-1	1939	M	2000	(C11)	YES	(POORLY DIFFERENTIATED)
						SQUAMOUS OR
10555.0	4020	3.6	2000	NASOPHARYNX	N/EC	UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
12757-2	1939	M	2000	(C11)	YES	(POORLY DIFFERENTIATED)
10151	1007	3.4	1004	ETHMOID SINUS /	VEC	INTESTINAL-TYPE
13151	1937	M	1994	CELLS (C31)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
				LIDDED AIDIA AVO		ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				UPPER AIRWAYS		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
10040	1000	3.4	2002	NOT OTHERWISE	VEC	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED (CYSTIC
13343	1928	M	2002	SPECIFIED	YES	ADENOIDAL CARCINOMA)
				NIA CODITA DIVATIV		SQUAMOUS OR
14106	1006	3.4	2004	NASOPHARYNX	VEC	UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
14196	1936	M	2004	(C11)	YES	(UNDIFFERENTIATED)
14100	1020	M	2000	NASAL CAVITY	VEC	INTESTINAL-TYPE
14198	1928	M	2000	(C30.0)	YES	ADENOCARCINOMA (ITAC)
				NIACAI CAVITY		SQUAMOUS OR
14328	1928	F	2012	NASAL CAVITY (C30.0)	YES	UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA (UNDIFFERENTIATED
14326	1920	Г	2012	(C30.0)	163	SQUAMOUS OR
				NASOPHARYNX		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
14705-1	1924	M	2003	(C11)	YES	(UNDIFFERENTIATED)
14703-1	1924	1V1	2003	(C11)	163	SQUAMOUS OR
				NASOPHARYNX		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
14705-2	1924	M	2003	(C11)	YES	(UNDIFFERENTIATED)
14703-2	1724	171	2003	(CII)	TES	SQUAMOUS OR
				NASOPHARYNX		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
15147-1	1939	F	2011	(C11)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)
13147-1	1737	1.	2011	(CII)	TES	SQUAMOUS OR
				NASOPHARYNX		UNDIFFERENTIATED CARCINOMA
15147-2	1939	F	2011	(C11)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)
1014/ 2	1707	-	2011	(011)	110	ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				ETHMOID SINUS /		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
15203-1	1936	M	2003	CELLS (C31)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
10200-1	1750	141	2000	CLLLO (COI)	110	ADENOCARCINOMA OF NON-
				ETHMOID SINUS /		INTESTINAL TYPE OR NOT
15203-2	1936	M	2003	CELLS (C31)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED
15269	1926	F	1998	NASAL CAVITY	YES	SQUAMOUS OR
10207	1720	1	1770	TATIOTIL CAVILL	100	OK

				(C30.0)		UNDIFFERENTIATED	CARCINOMA
						(SQUAMOUS)	
						SQUAMOUS	OR
				NASAL CAVITY		UNDIFFERENTIATED	CARCINOMA
15559	1946	M	2005	(C30.0)	YES	(UNDIFFERENTIATED	
						ADENOCARCINOMA	OF NON-
				NASAL CAVITY		INTESTINAL TYPE	OR NOT
15680	1929	M	1991	(C30.0)	YES	OTHERWISE SPECIFIED)
						SQUAMOUS	OR
				NASAL CAVITY		UNDIFFERENTIATED	CARCINOMA
15901	1931	M	2004	(C30.0)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)	
						SQUAMOUS	OR
				ETHMOID SINUS /		UNDIFFERENTIATED	CARCINOMA
17452	1947	F	2009	CELLS (C31)	YES	(NOT OTHERWISE SPE	CIFIED)
						SQUAMOUS	OR
				NASOPHARYNX		UNDIFFERENTIATED	CARCINOMA
18903	1950	M	2012	(C11)	YES	(UNDIFFERENTIATED)	

The overall set of 68 samples was processed between 2023 and 2024 by the diagnostic laboratory of the IZSUM - Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Umbria e Marche "Togo Rosati" in Fermo (Central Italy).

Both the Authors from AST Macerata and the ones from IZSUM have remained unaware of the patient's individual diagnosis till the end of the laboratory tests and the beginning of the evaluation of the results.

DNA was extracted from paraffin-embedded samples by using QIAamp DNA Mini Kit (QIAGEN, https://www.qiagen.com) following the manufacturer's instructions for DNA FFPE Tissue. Excess paraffin was removed from the samples using a scalpel, the tissues were cut into small portions and then the sections were immersed in 1 ml of xylene and rehydrated by ethanol. After the washing step with ethanol, proteins and harmful enzymes such as nucleases were digested by proteinase K and tissues were lysed adding a lysis buffers and heating the samples. The lysates were loaded onto the Spin Columns. The DNA selectively bound to the membrane and the contaminants passed through. Remaining contaminants and enzyme inhibitors were then removed in a second wash step, and DNA was eluted in TE buffer. Purified DNA was subsequently stored at -20°C and used in applications of polymerase chain reaction.

The presence of EBV, HCMV, HPV16, HPV18 and MP DNA was detected in this study performing two multiplex Real-time PCR and two simplex Real-time PCR: a EBNA-1/GAPDH multiplex Real-time PCR to detect EBV [18], and the human housekeeping gene GAPDH used as an internal control; a L1 and L2 multiplex Real-time PCR to detect respectively HPV18 and HPV16 [19], a glycoprotein B Real-time PCR to detect HCMV [20], and a ADP-ribosylating toxin Real-time PCR to detect MP [21].

Table 2 synthetically presents the primers and the probes being used for Real-time reactions.

Table 2. Primers and probes used for real-time PCR detection of EBV, HCMV, MP, GAPDH and HPV16-18.

Detection item	Target gene	Oligo	Sequence	Reference
		Forward	CCGCTCCTACCTGCAATATCA 3'	
EBV	EBNA-1	Reverse	GGAAACCAGGGAGGCAAATC 3'	ner et al., 2014
	251111	Probe	M-TGCAGCTTTGACGATGG-BHQ1	•
HCMV	ycoprotein B	Forward	' GGCGAGGACAACGAAATCC 3'	ng et al., 2018

		Reverse	TGAGGCTGGGAAGCTGACAT 3'	
		Probe	M-TTGGGCAACCACCGCACTGAGG- BHQ1 3'	
		Forward	5' CACAAACCCTAACACAGTAACTAC TAGCA 3'	ì
HPV 16	L2 protein	Reverse	TAACTTGTTGTGTTGTGCGACTAT 3'	10 et al., 2012
		Probe	5' FAM- CACAAACCCTAACACAGTAACTAC TAGCA-QSY 3'	,
		Forward	5' TTGGTTCAGGCTGGATTGC 3'	
HPV 18	L1 protein	Reverse	GGCAGATGGAGCAGAACGTTT 3'	10 et al., 2012
		Probe	C-TTGGTTCAGGCTGGATTGC-QSY 3'	
		Forward	ГТТGGTAGCTGGTTACGGGAAT 3′	
		Reverse	GTCGGCACGAATTTCATATAAG 3'	
MP	ribosylating toxin	Probe	5' FAM- TGTACCAGAGCACCCCAGAAGGGC T- BHQ1 3'	hell et al., 2008
		Forward	GTGGTCTCCTCTGACTTCAACA 3'	
GAPDH	ceraldehyde 3- phosphate dehydrogenase	Reverse	i' GTGGTCGTTGAGGGCAATG 3'	ner et al., 2014
GAI DH		Probe	:-CCACTCCTCCACCTTTGACGCTGG- BHQ1 3'	•

Real-time PCR reactions for EBV, HCMV and MP were performed using a QS7 system (Applied Biosystems) under the following conditions: initial activation of 95°C for 20 seconds, followed by 45 cycles of 95°C for 1 sec and 60°C for 20 seconds.

Real-time PCR reaction for HPV16 and HPV18 was performed using a QS7 system (Applied Biosystems) under the following conditions: initial activation of 95° C for 20 seconds, followed by 45 cycles of 95° C for 1 sec and 58° C for 20 seconds.

3. Results

The analytical results are synthetically presented in Table 3; MP always tested negative and GAPDH always tested positive, as expected.

Table 3. Results of the Real Time PCR tests for MP, EBV, HCMV, GAPDH, HPV 16, and HPV 18) (each sample is identified by three-to-seven digit reference number, followed by a dash and the number "1", "2", or "3" when single patients contributed two or three samples for each; N= Negative, P=Positive).

ID	MP	EBV	HCMV	GAPDH	HPV16	HPV18
230-1	N	N	N	P	N	N
230-2	N	N	N	P	N	N
230-3	N	N	N	P	N	N
305	N	P	N	P	N	N
797	N	N	N	P	N	N
426	N	P	N	P	N	N
706	N	N	N	P	N	N
836-1	N	N	P	P	N	N
836-2	N	N	N	P	N	N
1083	N	P	N	P	N	N
1275	N	N	N	P	N	N
1469	N	N	N	P	N	P
1678	N	P	N	P	N	N
1828	N	N	N	P	N	N
1999	N	N	N	P	N	N
2611	N	N	N	P	N	P
9702652	N	P	N	P	N	N
2761	N	N	N	P	N	N
3578-1	N	N	N	P	N	N
3578-2	N	N	N	P	N	N
3629	N	N	N	P	N	N
4011	N	N	N	P	N	N
4054	N	P	N	P	N	N
4106	N	N	N	P	N	N
4128	N	N	N	P	N	N
4565-1	N	N	N	P	N	N
4565-2	N	N	N	P	N	N
4575	N	N	N	P	N	P
5830-1	N	N	N	P	N	N
5830-2	N	N	N	P	N	N
2575	N	P	N	P	N	N
6739	N	N	N	P	N	N
7822	N	N	N	P	N	N
8718-1	N	N	N	P	N	N
8718-2	N	N	N	P	N	N
8890	N	N	N	P	N	N
9056	N	N	N	P	N	N
540	N	N	N	P	N	N
10554	N	P	N	P	N	N
10784	N	P	N	P	N	N
10860	N	N	N	P	N	N
11731	N	N	N	P	N	N
11851	N	P	N	P	N	N
11431	N	N	N	P	N	N
12195	N	N	P	P	N	N
12220	N	N	N	P	N	N
12457	N	N	N	P	N	N
12437	N	N	N	P	N	N
12754	N	N	N	P	N	N
12757-1	N	P	N	P	N	N
12757-2	N	P	N	P	N	N

13151	N	N	N	P	N	N
13343	N	N	N	P	N	N
14196	N	P	N	P	N	N
14198	N	N	N	P	N	N
14328	N	N	N	P	N	N
14705-1	N	N	N	P	N	N
14705-2	N	N	N	P	N	N
15147-1	N	N	N	P	N	N
15147-2	N	P	N	P	N	N
15203-1	N	N	N	P	N	N
15203-2	N	N	N	P	N	N
15269	N	N	N	P	N	N
15559	N	N	P	P	N	N
15680	N	N	N	P	N	N
15901	N	N	N	P	N	N
17452	N	N	N	P	N	N
18903	N	P	N	P	N	N

An overall pattern of 21 positive tests was found:

15 samples which tested positive for EBV (22.06% of the samples) came from 14 different patients (24,6% of the patients), respectively diagnosed with:

- 2 intestinal type adenocarcinomas (ITAC), arising one in a nasal cavity (ICD-10 C30.0), the other in an ethmoid sinus / ethmoid cells (ICD-10 C31);
- 1 papillary adenocarcinoma of non-intestinal type, not otherwise specified, arising an ethmoid sinus / ethmoid cells (ICD-10 C31);
- 1 poorly differentiated adenocarcinoma of non-intestinal type, arising in the nasopharynx (ICD-10 C11);
- 1 squamous carcinoma, arising in a nasal cavity (ICD-10 C30.0);
- 9 squamous or undifferentiated nasopharyngeal squamous carcinomas (ICD-10 C11);
- 1 squamous carcinoma, arising in not-otherwise specified upper airways.
- EBV largely resulted the most prevalent virus in the examined case series.

It is worth noting that two samples from the same patient, diagnosed with a poorly differentiated carcinoma, arising in the nasopharynx (ICD-10 C11), both tested positive for EBV (reference numbers 12757-1 and 12757-2), and that two other samples from another patient, diagnosed with a squamous carcinoma, arising in the nasopharynx (ICD-10 C11), tested the one positive and the other negative for EBV (reference numbers 15147-1 and 15147-2).

3 samples which tested positive for HCMV (4,41% of the samples) came from as many patients (5.26% of the patients), respectively diagnosed with sinonasal adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified (2 cases) and sinonasal undifferentiated carcinoma (one case).

It is worth noting that two samples from the same patient, diagnosed with one of the two aforementioned adenocarcinomas, tested one positive and the other negative for HCMV (reference numbers 836-1 and 836-2).

3 samples which tested positive for HPV18 (4,41% of the samples) came from as many patients (5.26% of the patients), respectively diagnosed with sinonasal intestinal-type adenocarcinoma (ITAC) (2 cases) and sinonasal adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified (one case).

All the 68 examined samples tested negative for HPV16.

The two sinonasal melanoma samples (reference numbers 1275 and 11431) both tested negative for all the searched viruses.

No multiple positivity was observed for any combination of the searched viruses.

The most relevant analytical results are plotted with the clinical ones in Table 4.

Table 4. Main analytical results plotted with the clinic ones. .

	YEAR						
REFEREN	OF BIRTH	SEX OF	YEAR OF				RELEVANT RESULTS
CE	OF	THE	THE	SITE OF			(FOR AGENT)
NUMBER	THE	PATIE	CANCER	OCCURRENCE OF	PRIMARY	HISTOLOGICAL	(101111021(1)
OF THE	PATIE	NT (M	DIAGN	THE CANCER	CANCER?	TYPE OF THE	
SAMPLE	NT	/ F)	OSIS	(ICD-10)	(YES/NO)	CANCER	
						INTESTINAL-TYPE	EBV +
				NASAL CAVITY		ADENOCARCINO	
305	1945	M	2010	(C30.0)	YES	MA (ITAC)	
						SQUAMOUS OR	EBV +
						UNDIFFERENTIAT	
				NASAL CAVITY		ED CARCINOMA	
426	1934	M	2012	(C30.0)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)	
						ADENOCARCINO	HCMV+
						MA OF NON-	
						INTESTINAL TYPE	
				NASAL CAVITY		OR NOT OTHERWISE	
836-1	1938	M	1995	(C30.0)	YES	SPECIFIED	
830-1	1930	1V1	1993	(C50.0)	1123	ADENOCARCINO	HCMV-
						MA OF NON-	TICIVI V
						INTESTINAL TYPE	
						OR NOT	
				NASAL CAVITY		OTHERWISE	
836-2	1938	M	1995	(C30.0)	YES	SPECIFIED	
						SQUAMOUS OR	EBV +
						UNDIFFERENTIAT	
						ED CARCINOMA	
				NASOPHARYNX		(UNDIFFERENTIA	
1083	1957	M	1997	(C11)	YES	TED)	
				ETILD (OID OD III IO /		INTESTINAL-TYPE	HPV18+
1460	1040	3.4	2004	ETHMOID SINUS /	VEC	ADENOCARCINO	
1469	1942	M	2004	CELLS (C31)	YES	MA (ITAC)	EDV.
						SQUAMOUS OR UNDIFFERENTIAT	EBV+
				NASOPHARYNX		ED CARCINOMA	
1678	1927	M	2002	(C11)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)	
10,0	1721	111	2002	(511)	120	INTESTINAL-TYPE	HPV18+
				NASAL CAVITY		ADENOCARCINO	
2611	1937	F	1998	(C30.0)	YES	MA (ITAC)	
				. ,		SQUAMOUS OR	EBV+
						UNDIFFERENTIAT	
				NASOPHARYNX		ED CARCINOMA	
9702652	1934	M	1997	(C11)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)	
						INTESTINAL-TYPE	EBV+
				ETHMOID SINUS /		ADENOCARCINO	
4054	1934	M	2010	CELLS (C31)	YES	MA (ITAC)	
						ADENOCARCINO	HPV18+
				EDONITAL CIVILIO		MA OF NON-	
1575	1040	E	2010	FRONTAL SINUS	VEC	INTESTINAL TYPE	
4575	1949	F	2010	(C31)	YES	OR NOT	

						OTHERWISE	
						SPECIFIED	
						SQUAMOUS OR	EBV+
				UPPER AIRWAYS		UNDIFFERENTIAT	
				NOT OTHERWISE		ED CARCINOMA	
2575	1920	M	1994	SPECIFIED	YES	(SQUAMOUS)	
						SQUAMOUS OR	EBV+
						UNDIFFERENTIAT	
						ED CARCINOMA	
				NASOPHARYNX		(UNDIFFERENTIA	
10554	1948	M	2010	(C11)	YES	TED)	
						ADENOCARCINO	EBV+
						MA OF NON-	
						INTESTINAL TYPE	
						OR NOT	
						OTHERWISE	
						SPECIFIED	
				NASOPHARYNX		(POORLY	
10784	1953	M	1999	(C11)	YES	DIFFERENTIATED)	
						ADENOCARCINO	EBV+
						MA OF NON-	
						INTESTINAL TYPE	
						OR NOT	
						OTHERWISE	
				ETHMOID SINUS /		SPECIFIED	
11851	1936	M	2002	CELLS (C31)	YES	(PAPILLARY)	
						ADENOCARCINO	HCMV+
						MA OF NON-	
						INTESTINAL TYPE	
						OR NOT	
				NASAL CAVITY		OTHERWISE	
12195	1942	M	1997	(C30.0)	YES	SPECIFIED	
						SQUAMOUS OR	EBV+
						UNDIFFERENTIAT	
						ED CARCINOMA	
				NASOPHARYNX		(POORLY	
12757-1	1939	M	2000	(C11)	YES	DIFFERENTIATED)	
						SQUAMOUS OR	EBV+
						UNDIFFERENTIAT	
						ED CARCINOMA	
				NASOPHARYNX		(POORLY	
12757-2	1939	M	2000	(C11)	YES	DIFFERENTIATED)	
						SQUAMOUS OR	EBV+
						UNDIFFERENTIAT	
						ED CARCINOMA	
				NASOPHARYNX		(UNDIFFERENTIA	
14196	1936	M	2004	(C11)	YES	TED)	
						SQUAMOUS OR	EBV-
						UNDIFFERENTIAT	
				NASOPHARYNX		ED CARCINOMA	
15147-1	1939	F	2011	(C11)	YES	(SQUAMOUS)	
15147-2	1939	F	2011	NASOPHARYNX	YES	SQUAMOUS OR	EBV+

		1		(C11)		UNDIFFERENTIAT	
				(C11)		ED CARCINOMA	
						(SQUAMOUS)	
						SQUAMOUS OR	HCMV+
						UNDIFFERENTIAT	
						ED CARCINOMA	
				NASAL CAVITY		(UNDIFFERENTIA	
15559	1946	M	2005	(C30.0)	YES	TED	
						SQUAMOUS OR	EBV+
						UNDIFFERENTIAT	
						ED CARCINOMA	
				NASOPHARYNX		(UNDIFFERENTIA	

4. Discussion

1950

18903

The small dimension of the set of 68 samples from 57 patients that underwent analytical testing certainly does not allow anything else that reasonable pathogenic suggestions.

YES

TED)

(C11)

The oldness of the analysed samples constitutes a further criticality for the study, for the long time elapsed from the tissues collection and the testing probably entailed some degradation of the viral genetic material, so complicating its extraction and processing. This issue could have negatively affected the sensibility of the tests and could be also the basis of the inconsistencies between two couples of samples from a same patient who tested one positive and the other negative, respectively for EBV and HCMV.

No discernible source of false positive testing was conversely recognized, so that a high specificity of the results can be reasonably assumed.

Certainly, the mere presence of viral genetic material inside cancer cells is not a direct evidence of a real involvement of the agent in the carcinogenetic process, for it could have affected the involved tissue just as a passenger, without giving a real contribution to the neoplastic transformation.

Just as certainly, even apart from the aforementioned possibility of an after-sampling degradation, the mere absence of viral genetic material inside cancer cells not necessarily means that the agent never played a role in the carcinogenetic process, for it could underwent a clearance after having contributed, as a driver, to some steps of the tissue transformation.

Given the above, the detection of viral genetic material in a not negligible fraction of the analyzed cancer tissue samples gives clues in the direction of some involvement of EBV, HCMV, and HPV18 the carcinogenetic process, alleging the opportunity of further research.

The positivity for EBV observed in 15/68 samples (22.06%) from 14 patients (24,6%) constitutes a quantitatively unexpected result relating to an Italian population; both the sites of occurrence (4 sinonasal cancers, 10 nasopharyngeal cancers, 1 cancer arising in a not otherwise specified site of the upper airways) and the histotype pattern (4 adenocarcinomas; 11 squamocellular or undifferentiated carcinomas) result of interest too. EBV-related nasopharyngeal and sinonasal squamous carcinomas are reportedly endemic in East and Southeast Asia [22,23], but an equivalent evidence is not currently available for Italy. The association between EBV and both sinonasal and nasopharyngeal adenocarcinomas are exceptionally reported [24,25].

Unexpectedly, 2 out of 3 cancer cases which tested positive for HMCV were sinonasal adenocarcinomas; no previous report has been found about an association between HCMV and sinonasal adenocarcinomas.

Just as unexpectedly, all the 3 samples which tested positive for HPV18 referred to sinonasal adenocarcinomas, 2 classified as intestinal-type adenocarcinomas (ITAC), the other classified as adenocarcinoma not otherwise specified; no previous report has been found about an association between HCMV and sinonasal adenocarcinomas.

The absence of any positivity for HPV16 in all the 68 tested samples seems to point towards the absence of an involvement of the agent in the pathogenesis of upper airways cancers, at the very least

relating to an Italian population. It is worth noting that an Italian study reported a high prevalence of HPV16 in sinonasal inverted papillomas presenting a high risk of transformation to sinonasal squamocellular carcinomas [26].

The negativity of both sinonasal melanoma samples for all the searched viruses is consistent with the current lack of evidence of an involvement of these agents in the pathogenesis of these non-epithelial cancers.

5. Conclusions

The observed distribution of positive and negative testing in the study group provides clues (some expected, some unexpected) about the involvement of HPV18, HCMV, and particularly EBV, in the pathways of specific epithelial upper airways cancers.

These findings suggest the opportunity to take in consideration a possible carcinogenetic contribution from these viruses to the overall set of the upper airways cancers (adenocarcinomas certainly not excluded), particularly when Public Health strategies are drawn up to contrast these cancers, both on the preventive side and on the side of focused programs for health surveillance of high risk groups.

An appropriate identification of population groups at high risk for upper airways cancers should reasonably consider any reasonable putative interaction between the considered viruses and the agents that IARC classified as certainly or probably related to sinonasal and nasopharyngeal cancers, namely leather dust, wood dust, and Nickel compounds for their prevalence in large groups of workers, and tobacco smoke for its diffusion in the general population [1].

Author Contributions: Conceptualization and supervision, R.C. and M.P.; methodology, validation, formal analysis, writing—original draft preparation, writing—review and editing, visualization, R.C., M.P., and S.M.; investigation, M.P., S.B., L.C., and F.C.; resources and data curation, S.M..; R.C., M.P., and S.M..; project administration, R.C. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: For its special design, the study did not require ethical approval.

Informed Consent Statement: Patient consent was waived due the consideration that the analyzed samples referred to old and already completely diagnosed cases, and that the study results in no way could affect the therapeutic choices and the prognosis performed at that time, so that no patients interest subsists about both the treatment of the samples, and the study results.

Data Availability Statement: A dataset containing copies of all the original histological reports is available at AST Macerata - Occupational Safety and Health – Occupational Epidemiology Unit - Civitanova Marche (Italy); A dataset containing original reports of all the analytic results is available at IZSUM - Istituto Zooprofilattico Sperimentale Umbria e Marche "Togo Rosati" in Fermo (Italy).

Acknowledgments: We are very grateful to Gerardo Ferrara and Roberta Alidori, respectively director and forewoman of the Unit of Pathological Histology and Cytology of the Macerata General Hospital (Central Italy), which were very kind in collecting and providing all the samples used for the study.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflicts of interest.

References

- 1. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). List of classifications by cancer sites with sufficient or limited evidence in humans, IARC Monographs Volumes 1–136^a. IARC, Lyon. Available at: https://monographs.iarc.who.int/agents-classified-by-the-iarc/. Last accessed: September 27, 2024.
- 2. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Human Papillomaviruses IARC Monographs on the Evaluation of Carcinogenic Risks to Humans Volume 90. Lyon: IARC, 2007.
- 3. International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC). Internal Report 14/002.Report of the Advisory Group to Recommend Priorities for *IARC Monographs* during 2015-2019. Available at: https://monographs.iarc.who.int/agents-classified-by-the-iarc/. Last accessed: September 27, 2024.

- 4. Lewis JS Jr. Sinonasal Squamous Cell Carcinoma: A Review with Emphasis on Emerging Histologic Subtypes and the Role of Human Papillomavirus. *Head Neck Pathol.* **2016**;10(1):60-67. doi: 10.1007/s12105-016-0692-y. Epub 2016 Feb 1. PMID: 26830402; PMCID: PMC4746138.
- 5. Thavaraj S. Human papillomavirus-associated neoplasms of the sinonasal tract and nasopharynx. *Semin Diagn Pathol.* **2016**; 33(2):104-11. doi: 10.1053/j.semdp.2015.09.011. Epub 2015 Sep 9. PMID: 26482046.
- 6. Bishop JA, Andreasen S, Hang JF, Bullock MJ, Chen TY, Franchi A, Garcia JJ, Gnepp DR, Gomez-Fernandez CR, Ihrler S, Kuo YJ, Lewis JS Jr, Magliocca KR, Pambuccian S, Sandison A, Uro-Coste E, Stelow E, Kiss K, Westra WH. HPV-related Multiphenotypic Sinonasal Carcinoma: an expanded series of 49 cases of the tumor formerly known as HPV-related Carcinoma with Adenoid Cystic Carcinoma-like Features. *Am J Surg Pathol.* 2017; 41(12):1690-1701. doi: 10.1097/PAS.0000000000000944.
- 7. Sabatini ME, Chiocca S. Human papillomavirus as a driver of head and neck cancers. *Br J Cancer*. **2020**;122(3):306-314. doi: 10.1038/s41416-019-0602-7. Epub 2019 Nov 11.
- 8. Brown SJ, Thavaraj S. Human Papillomavirus-Associated Combined Neuroendocrine and Squamous Cell Carcinoma of the Sinonasal Tract. *Head Neck Pathol.* **2022**;16(4):1227-1229. doi: 10.1007/s12105-022-01478-x. Epub 2022 Aug 3.
- 9. Zhou A, Sharma A, Kuhnell D, Hinrichs BH, Kendler A, Wang J, Dillehey-McKillip K, Tang AL, Takiar V, Wise-Draper TM, Langevin SM. Multimodal assessment of high-risk human papillomavirus in sinonasal squamous cell carcinoma. *Pathol Res Pract.* **2024**;2-61:155486. doi: 10.1016/j.prp.2024.155486. Epub 2024 Jul 23. PMID: 39088875.
- 10. Dunn ST, Clark GD, Cannon TC, Min KW. Survey of sinonasal inverted papillomata for Epstein-Barr virus. *Head Neck.* **1997**;19(2):98-106. doi: 10.1002/(sici)1097-0347(199703)19:2<98: aid-hed3>3.0.co;2-p.
- 11. Lun SW, Cheung ST, Cheung PF, To KF, Woo JK, Choy KW, Chow C, Cheung CC, Chung GT, Cheng AS, Ko CW, Tsao SW, Busson P, Ng MH, Lo KW. CD44+ cancer stem-like cells in EBV-associated nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *PLoS One.* **2012**;7(12): e52426. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0052426. Epub 2012 Dec 21.
- 12. Lun SW, Cheung ST, Lo KW. Cancer stem-like cells in Epstein-Barr virus-associated nasopharyngeal carcinoma. Chin J Cancer. **2014**;33(11):529-38. doi: 10.5732/cjc.014.10081. Epub 2014 Sep 16.
- 13. Elgui de Oliveira D, Müller-Coan BG, Pagano JS. Viral Carcinogenesis Beyond Malignant Transformation: EBV in the Progression of Human Cancers. *Trends Microbiol.* **2016**;24(8):649-664. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016.03.008. Epub 2016 Apr 7.
- 14. Ahmed N, Abusalah MAHA, Farzand A, Absar M, Yusof NY, Rabaan AA, AlSaihati H, Alshengeti A, Alwarthan S, Alsuwailem HS, Alrumaih ZA, Alsayyah A, Yean CY. Updates on Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV)-Associated Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma: Emphasis on the Latent Gene Products of EBV. *Medicina (Kaunas)*. **2022**;59(1):2. doi: 10.3390/medicina59010002.
- 15. Ahmed HG, Suliman RSA, Ashankyty IM, Albieh ZA, Warille AA. Role of human *Cytomegalovirus* in the etiology of nasopharyngeal carcinoma. *J Cancer Res Ther.* **2018**;14(3):583-586. doi: 10.4103/0973-1482.176175.
- Nelson HH, Contestabile E, Hunter-Schlichting D, Koestler D, Pawlita M, Waterboer T, Christensen BC, Petersen CL, Miller JS, Kelsey KT. Human cytomegalovirus alters immune cell profile with potential implications for patient survival in head and neck cancer. *Carcinogenesis*. 2022;43(5):430-436. doi: 10.1093/carcin/bgac021.
- 17. Herbein G. Cellular Transformation by Human Cytomegalovirus. *Cancers (Basel).* **2024**;*16*(11):1970. doi: 10.3390/cancers16111970.
- 18. Fellner MD, Durand K, Rodriguez M, Irazu L, Alonio V, Picconi MA. Duplex realtime PCR method for Epstein-Barr virus and human DNA quantification: its application for post-transplant lymphoproliferative disorders detection. *Braz J Infect Dis.* **2014**;*18*(3):271-80. doi: 10.1016/j.bjid.2013.07.011. Epub 2014 Jan 2.
- 19. Zhao Y, Cao X, Tang J, Zhou L, Gao Y, Wang J, Zheng Y, Yin S, Wang Y. A novel multiplex real-time PCR assay for the detection and quantification of HPV16/18 and HSV1/2 in cervical cancer screening. *Mol Cell Probes.* **2012**;26(2):66-72. doi: 10.1016/j.mcp.2012.01.003. Epub 2012 Jan 25.
- 20. Hwang KA, Ahn JH, Nam JH. Development and validation of multiplex real-time PCR assays for rapid detection of cytomegalovirus, Epstein-Barr virus, and polyomavirus BK in whole blood from transplant candidates. *J Microbiol.* **2018**;56(8):593-599. doi: 10.1007/s12275-018-8273-2. Epub 2018 Jul 25.
- 21. Winchell JM, Thurman KA, Mitchell SL, Thacker WL, Fields BS. Evaluation of three real-time PCR assays for detection of Mycoplasma pneumoniae in an outbreak investigation. *J Clin Microbiol.* **2008**;46(9):3116-8. doi: 10.1128/JCM.00440-08. Epub 2008 Jul 9.
- 22. Chang ET, Ye W, Zeng YX, Adami HO. The Evolving Epidemiology of Nasopharyngeal Carcinoma. Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev. **2021**;30(6):1035-1047. doi: 10.1158/1055-9965.EPI-20-1702. Epub 2021 Apr 13.
- 23. Nukpook T, Ekalaksananan T, Teeramatwanich W, Patarapadungkit N, Chaiwiriyakul S, Vatanasapt P, Aromseree S, Pientong C. Prevalence and association of Epstein-Barr virus infection with sinonasal inverted papilloma and sinonasal squamous cell carcinoma in the northeastern Thai population. Infect Agent Cancer. 2020;15:43. doi: 10.1186/s13027-020-00308-5.

- 24. Leung SY, Yuen ST, Chung LP, Kwong WK, Wong MP, Chan SY. Epstein-Barr virus is present in a wide histological spectrum of sinonasal carcinomas. Am J Surg Pathol. 1995;19(9):994-1001. doi: 10.1097/00000478-199509000-00002.
- 25. Zhang S, Huang XP, Li HH, Chen ZT. Epstein-Barr virus-associated poorly differentiated nasopharyngeal adenocarcinoma: a case report and literature review. J Int Med Res. **2023**;51(5):3000605231173839. doi: 10.1177/03000605231173839.
- 26. Frasson G, Cesaro S, Cazzador D, Traverso G, Emanuelli E, Borsetto D, Munari G, Salmaso R, Martini A, Boscolo-Rizzo P, Rugge M. High prevalence of human papillomavirus infection in sinonasal inverted papilloma: a single-institution cohort of patients. Int Forum Allergy Rhinol. **2020**;10(5):629-635. doi: 10.1002/alr.22539. Epub 2020 Feb 27.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.