

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Colonial Legacy and Economic Persistence in the Americas

Richard Murdoch Montgomery*

Posted Date: 7 October 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202410.0396.v1

Keywords: Colonial legacy; Economic development; Mining mita; Factor endowments; Inequality; Historical economic disparities; Inclusive institutions; Regional cooperation; Culture and identity; Economic trajectories; Colonial institutions; Policy reform



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Colonial Legacy and Economic Persistence in the Americas

Richard Murdoch Mongomery

Universidade de Aveiro, Portugal; mariaantoniavmg@gmail.com

Abstract: This article explores the enduring influence of colonial legacies on the economic development of the Americas, tracing their historical roots and contemporary repercussions. By examining a comprehensive range of historical and modern research, the article analyzes how colonial institutions, policies, and labour systems have profoundly shaped the economic trajectories of countries across the region. Central to the discussion is the lasting impact of colonial-era practices, such as the mining mita in Peru, and how factor endowments have influenced inequality and development patterns. The article further investigates the broader implications of these findings for understanding the deep-seated origins of economic disparities and the formidable challenge of overcoming colonial legacies in the present day. Special attention is given to the role of inclusive institutions, regional cooperation, and the intersection of culture and identity in fostering more equitable economic and social progress. Through this analysis, the article provides valuable insights into the historical foundations of contemporary economic imbalances and offers policy recommendations for addressing these persistent legacies.

Keywords: Colonial legacy; economic development; mining Mita; factor endowments; inequality; historical economic disparities; inclusive institutions; regional cooperation; culture and identity; economic trajectories; colonial institutions; policy reform

1. Introduction

The colonial period in the Americas, spanning from the late 15th century to the early 19th century, was a profoundly transformative era that fundamentally shaped the economic, social, and political trajectories of the region. During this time, European powers, such as Spain, Portugal, Britain, and France, established colonies that were governed by institutions, policies, and practices aimed primarily at resource extraction and wealth accumulation for the benefit of the metropoles. These colonial legacies, particularly in economic terms, continue to exert a lasting influence on the development paths of countries in the Americas. Indeed, understanding the impact of colonialism on economic persistence is crucial for explaining contemporary disparities in wealth, inequality, and institutional strength across the region. As scholars like Acemoglu, Johnson, and Robinson (2001) have argued, the "reversal of fortune" in global economic development is, in many cases, linked to the types of institutions established during the colonial period, which were designed to exploit native populations and extract resources.

The imposition of labor systems, legal frameworks, and extractive institutions during the colonial period has created entrenched patterns of inequality and underdevelopment that persist into the modern era. For instance, Engerman and Sokoloff (2002) argue that the nature of labor systems and the distribution of resources during colonial times played a central role in the unequal economic trajectories of different parts of the Americas. In this sense, it becomes imperative to assess the colonial legacy through a multifaceted lens, analyzing how the interaction between labor practices, institutional frameworks, and factor endowments influenced long-term development.

1.1. Colonial Labor Systems and Their Long-Term Economic Impact

One of the most significant aspects of the colonial economy in the Americas was the establishment of coercive labor systems designed to extract surplus labor from indigenous populations and enslaved Africans. Systems such as slavery, indentured servitude, and forced labor were widespread and had devastating social and economic effects on the colonized populations. The transatlantic slave trade, for example, forcibly transported millions of Africans to the Americas, primarily to work on sugar, cotton, and tobacco plantations, where the demand for labor was insatiable. These systems generated enormous wealth for European powers but entrenched economic inequality and social stratification in the colonies.

In Brazil, the Portuguese introduced a plantation economy driven by African slave labor, primarily focused on sugar production. This system created what scholars like Frank Tannenbaum (1946) have referred to as "plantation economies," which were characterized by extreme inequality, low levels of human capital development, and reliance on export-oriented agriculture. These inequalities have persisted into the modern era, as former slave economies in Brazil and the Caribbean continue to struggle with deeply entrenched social hierarchies and disparities in wealth distribution (Nunn, 2008), (Montgomery 2024).

1.2. Colonial Institutions and Economic Divergence

Colonial powers also established a variety of legal and administrative institutions designed to maintain control over the colonies and facilitate the extraction of wealth. These institutions were often extractive in nature, meaning they were designed to concentrate power and resources in the hands of a small elite, often at the expense of broader economic development. Acemoglu and Robinson (2012) have argued that the distinction between "inclusive" and "extractive" institutions is key to understanding the divergent economic paths of former colonies. Extractive institutions, such as those imposed in Latin America and parts of the Caribbean, were primarily focused on resource extraction, with little regard for fostering local economic development or improving the welfare of the colonized populations.

In contrast, areas where European settlers were able to establish more inclusive institutions—such as the United States and Canada—tended to experience greater long-term economic growth. These inclusive institutions promoted property rights, investment in infrastructure, and the development of human capital, laying the foundation for more egalitarian and prosperous economies (North, 1990). This stark contrast in institutional development helps explain why, despite their shared colonial history, countries in the Americas exhibit such wide disparities in income levels, poverty rates, and governance quality.

1.3. The Role of Factor Endowments in Shaping Colonial Economies

Another critical factor influencing the economic development of the Americas was the distribution of natural resources, or "factor endowments." Factor endowments, such as the availability of land, labor, and capital, played a significant role in shaping colonial economies and their subsequent development paths. Engerman and Sokoloff (2002) argue that regions rich in natural resources, particularly those conducive to large-scale agricultural production (e.g., sugar in the Caribbean or silver in Bolivia and Peru), tended to develop highly extractive institutions. These economies were structured around elite control of land and labor, which led to high levels of inequality and limited economic mobility.

By contrast, regions with less fertile land or fewer valuable natural resources, such as parts of North America, developed more diversified economies with greater reliance on small-scale farming and human capital accumulation. As a result, these regions were more likely to establish inclusive institutions that promoted broad-based economic growth. This divergence in economic development, driven in part by factor endowments, has had long-lasting consequences for inequality and growth across the Americas.

1.4. The Enduring Legacy of Colonialism: Contemporary Economic Disparities

The long-term impact of colonialism on the economic development of the Americas has been the subject of extensive research. Studies by Nunn (2008) and Dell (2010) have demonstrated *that colonial institutions and practices have had a profound influence on patterns of growth, inequality, and development in the region.* Countries that were subject to more extractive institutions, such as those in Latin America and the Caribbean, tend to exhibit higher levels of inequality, lower levels of human capital development, and weaker governance institutions. Conversely, countries where more inclusive institutions were established, such as the United States and Canada, tend to exhibit stronger economic performance and more equitable distribution of wealth.

Overcoming the legacy of colonialism remains a significant challenge for many countries in the Americas. Policy and institutional reforms aimed at reducing inequality, promoting human capital development, and fostering inclusive economic growth are essential for addressing the persistent effects of colonialism. As Acemoglu and Robinson (2012) argue, *institutional reform can help to break the cycle of extractive institutions and promote more inclusive and sustainable economic development*.

The colonial period in the Americas left an indelible mark on the region's economic, social, and political development. The establishment of coercive labor systems, extractive institutions, and unequal distribution of resources has created entrenched patterns of inequality and underdevelopment that persist to this day. Understanding the colonial legacy is essential for comprehending the historical roots of contemporary economic disparities and the challenges of overcoming them through policy and institutional reform (Montgomery, 2024). By examining the colonial legacy and economic persistence in the Americas, we gain valuable insights into the long-term impact of colonialism on economic development and the potential for overcoming these legacies in the pursuit of more inclusive and equitable societies.

2. Discussion

2.1. Colonial Institutions and Economic Development

The colonial period in the Americas witnessed the establishment of a range of institutions, policies, and practices that profoundly influenced the economic development of the region. These institutions, often extractive in nature, included labor systems such as slavery, indentured servitude, and forced labor, as well as legal and administrative frameworks that were designed to facilitate the control and exploitation of colonial resources by European powers. The legacy of these colonial institutions has persisted into the modern era, shaping the economic trajectories of countries in the Americas and influencing enduring patterns of growth, inequality, and development (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012).

One of the most notable colonial institutions was the **mita** system, a coercive labor arrangement implemented during the Spanish colonial rule in Peru. The **mita** compelled indigenous communities to provide labor for silver mining, which was a primary source of wealth for the Spanish crown. As documented by Dell (2010), the **mita** was marked by the coercion and exploitation of indigenous labor, with significant long-term effects on the economic and social development of Peru. Dell's research reveals that areas affected by the **mita** system continue to exhibit lower levels of development, weaker infrastructure, and higher rates of poverty and inequality, suggesting the enduring impact of this colonial institution on regional economic outcomes.

The long-lasting effects of the **mita** have also been linked to Peru's contemporary institutional weaknesses, such as persistent authoritarianism and corruption, both of which were exacerbated by the exploitative nature of the colonial labor system (Dell, 2010). This example illustrates the broader trend in colonial Latin America, where institutions designed to maximize resource extraction by colonial elites often created social and economic structures that perpetuated inequality and hindered long-term development.

Similarly, other colonial labor systems, such as slavery in the Caribbean and Brazil, and the **encomienda** and **repartimiento** systems in Spanish America, had comparable effects on the regions where they were implemented. These labor systems, predicated on the exploitation of enslaved

Africans and indigenous peoples, contributed to the formation of highly unequal societies characterized by social stratification and racial hierarchy (Engerman & Sokoloff, 2002). The enduring legacy of these systems can be seen in modern patterns of inequality, particularly in countries like Brazil and Haiti, where the legacy of slavery continues to shape social and economic divisions (Nunn, 2008).

2.2. Legal and Administrative Frameworks: Colonial Control and Extraction

In addition to labor systems, colonial powers imposed legal and administrative frameworks that further entrenched extractive institutions. These frameworks were primarily designed to maintain the dominance of the European colonial authorities and to facilitate the extraction of wealth from the colonies, often at the expense of local development. The **encomienda** system in Spanish America, for instance, granted settlers the right to extract labor and tribute from indigenous populations, while the **repartimiento** system required indigenous communities to provide labor for public works and other colonial projects. These institutions laid the groundwork for highly unequal societies that persisted long after the colonial period ended (Acemoglu, Johnson, & Robinson, 2001).

Engerman and Sokoloff (1997) argue that the persistence of these colonial institutions is largely responsible for the highly unequal economic structures observed in much of Latin America today. They contend that the legal and administrative institutions established during the colonial period were specifically designed to consolidate wealth and power in the hands of a small colonial elite. This focus on elite control and resource extraction severely limited the development of inclusive institutions that might have fostered broader economic participation and more equitable growth.

Moreover, the administrative frameworks of colonial Latin America were also characterized by their rigidity and centralization, which further exacerbated the inequalities fostered by the labor systems. Colonial legal codes often enshrined the privileges of European settlers and landowners, while indigenous and African populations were systematically marginalized. This institutionalized exclusion contributed to the long-term entrenchment of economic inequality, weak governance structures, and social stratification in the post-colonial period (North, 1990).

2.3. Factor Endowments and Development Paths

As mentioned in the Introduction, the economic development of the Americas has also been profoundly shaped by the distribution of factor endowments—namely natural resources, labor, and capital—across different regions. Factor endowments played a key role in determining the nature of colonial economies and the types of institutions that were established. As argued by Engerman and Sokoloff (1997), regions rich in valuable natural resources, such as fertile land or mineral wealth, tended to develop highly extractive institutions that concentrated wealth and power in the hands of a small elite, while regions with fewer natural resources were more likely to develop inclusive institutions that encouraged the accumulation of human capital and broader economic participation.

For example, countries such as Brazil, Mexico, and Argentina, which were endowed with vast tracts of fertile land and abundant natural resources, developed economies centered on large-scale agriculture and mineral extraction. These economies were typically characterized by the concentration of land and wealth in the hands of a few elites, with a labor force composed primarily of enslaved or indigenous workers who had little opportunity for social or economic mobility. This structure fostered deeply entrenched inequality, a pattern that persists in many of these countries to this day (Engerman & Sokoloff, 2002).

In contrast, countries with relatively scarce natural resources, such as Costa Rica or Uruguay, developed more diversified economies that were less reliant on extractive industries. These countries tended to develop institutions that promoted education, human capital accumulation, and more equitable access to resources, resulting in more inclusive societies with lower levels of inequality (Coatsworth, 2008). These divergent development paths highlight the importance of factor endowments in shaping both colonial and post-colonial economic trajectories.

The interaction between colonial institutions and factor endowments has been a subject of extensive scholarly inquiry. Nunn (2008) emphasizes that the combination of extractive institutions and resource wealth created a "vicious cycle" in many parts of Latin America, where the initial

inequality established during the colonial period persisted across generations. This persistence has had a profound impact on the region's long-term development, contributing to ongoing challenges such as corruption, weak governance, and social inequality.

2.4. The Persistent Effects of Colonial Legacies

The long-term effects of colonialism on the economic development of the Americas continue to shape the region's economic, political, and social landscapes. Scholars have increasingly focused on understanding how colonial institutions, policies, and practices have exerted a lasting influence on modern economic outcomes, often with the aim of identifying pathways for reform and equitable growth. Acemoglu, Johnson, and Robinson (2001) argue that the persistence of extractive institutions is one of the main explanations for the divergent economic trajectories observed between former colonies with inclusive institutions and those where extractive institutions dominated.

One of the key findings of this body of research is that the initial conditions established during the colonial period—such as labor exploitation, land concentration, and legal inequality—have had a lasting impact on the distribution of resources and economic opportunities. These colonial legacies continue to shape patterns of economic development and social inequality in the region, often constraining the potential for growth and inclusive development. For instance, in regions of Latin America that were heavily reliant on the **encomienda** and **repartimiento** systems, economic inequality remains pervasive, and political institutions are often weak and corrupt, reflecting the enduring impact of colonial governance structures (North, 1990).

Furthermore, the role of factor endowments in shaping colonial institutions has had long-lasting effects on the region's economic development. As Engerman and Sokoloff (2002) have shown, regions with abundant natural resources were more likely to develop extractive institutions that favored elites, leading to greater inequality and slower long-term growth. This interaction between resource wealth and institutional development has created enduring challenges for countries in the region, as they seek to overcome the legacies of colonial exploitation and develop more inclusive economic systems.

2.6. Overcoming Colonial Legacies

While the persistent effects of colonial legacies pose significant challenges, they are not insurmountable. Research suggests that institutional and policy reforms can play a crucial role in mitigating the negative effects of colonialism and promoting more inclusive and sustainable economic development. Acemoglu and Robinson (2012) emphasize the importance of establishing inclusive institutions that promote equal access to resources, protect property rights, and foster human capital development as key strategies for overcoming the extractive legacies of colonial rule.

In Latin America, efforts to promote institutional reform have included initiatives to strengthen democratic governance, combat corruption, and improve access to education and healthcare. These efforts have been supported by international organizations such as the Inter-American Development Bank (IDB) and the United Nations, which have provided resources and technical assistance to countries in the region seeking to address the legacies of colonialism (Koyama & Rubin, 2022). Additionally, regional integration efforts, such as the Pacific Alliance and Mercosur, have facilitated cooperation among countries in the region, promoting shared strategies for economic development and poverty reduction, although the latter with poor results.

Despite these efforts, significant challenges remain. The deeply entrenched nature of colonial institutions and the social and economic inequalities they have produced mean that reform efforts must be sustained and comprehensive. Addressing the persistent effects of colonial legacies will require not only changes in governance and policy but also a broader societal commitment to reducing inequality and promoting social inclusion (Engerman & Sokoloff, 2002).

The discussion above highlights the profound and enduring impact of colonial institutions, factor endowments, and governance structures on the economic development of the Americas. The extractive institutions established during the colonial period, along with the unequal distribution of natural resources, created deeply entrenched patterns of inequality that continue to shape economic

3. Conclusions

The colonial legacy and the persistence of its effects in shaping the economic development of the Americas have had a profound and lasting influence on the region. The institutions, policies, and practices established during the colonial period—such as extractive labor systems, legal frameworks, and centralized administrative control—created enduring patterns of inequality and underdevelopment. These colonial structures were not temporary; instead, they laid the groundwork for the economic trajectories that many countries in the Americas continue to follow today. As scholars such as Acemoglu, Johnson, and Robinson (2001) have shown, the persistence of colonial institutions has played a critical role in determining the economic fortunes of different regions, especially in Latin America, where extractive institutions took root and have proved difficult to dislodge.

Key among these colonial legacies is the enduring impact of coercive labor systems such as the **mita** in Peru and Bolivia. This system of forced labor, like others introduced by European colonial powers, was designed to extract maximum wealth with little regard for the welfare of the indigenous populations. Research by Dell (2010) has revealed that the legacy of the **mita** continues to affect economic outcomes in the region, contributing to enduring poverty, inequality, and weak institutional development. This case exemplifies how the long-lasting effects of colonial exploitation continue to ripple through modern economies, influencing everything from income distribution to governance quality.

Beyond labor systems, the colonial period left a more general institutional legacy that still impacts the region. The legal and administrative frameworks established by colonial powers were designed to perpetuate European control and facilitate the extraction of wealth. These frameworks institutionalized social stratification and resource inequality, contributing to patterns of elite dominance that have persisted into the modern era (Engerman & Sokoloff, 1997). The inability of many post-colonial states to dismantle these structures has contributed to their continued underdevelopment and weak state capacity, often leading to corrupt governance and inefficient public institutions (Acemoglu & Robinson, 2012).

At the same time, the role of **factor endowments** in shaping economic trajectories cannot be overlooked. The distribution of natural resources, labor, and capital within the Americas profoundly influenced the types of colonial economies that developed, and these factor endowments interacted with the institutions established during colonization to produce starkly divergent development paths. Countries with abundant natural resources, such as Brazil and Mexico, often developed highly unequal and extractive institutions, whereas countries with fewer resources, such as Costa Rica and Uruguay, were more likely to develop inclusive institutions that promoted broader-based growth (Engerman & Sokoloff, 2002). These patterns illustrate how colonial legacies and factor endowments worked in tandem to shape the region's long-term development trajectories, leading to highly unequal distributions of wealth and opportunity that persist today.

Despite the entrenched nature of these colonial legacies, overcoming them is not impossible. The challenges are significant, but the promotion of inclusive institutions and equitable policies offers a potential path toward more sustainable development. Acemoglu and Robinson (2012) argue that institutional reform—focused on reducing elite dominance, protecting property rights, and promoting human capital development—is crucial to breaking the cycle of inequality and underdevelopment that many countries in the Americas face. This includes creating legal frameworks that foster greater political participation and economic inclusivity, which can help erode the entrenched power structures that have historically favored elites over broader society.

Another essential strategy for overcoming colonial legacies is regional cooperation and integration. By leveraging shared histories, economic interdependence, and common goals, countries in the Americas can collaborate to address the persistent effects of colonialism. Regional organizations such as the Southern Common Market (Mercosur), the Pacific Alliance, and the Organization of American States (OAS) provide platforms for mutual support and collective action. These institutions help countries share resources, knowledge, and best practices, fostering more inclusive growth across the region. The Inter-American Development Bank (IDB), for instance, plays

a key role in supporting economic reforms that promote infrastructure development, poverty reduction, and institutional strengthening (Koyama & Rubin, 2022).

The intersection of institutional reform and regional cooperation offers a path forward. Countries in the Americas must focus on fostering **inclusive institutions**—those that encourage widespread participation in economic and political life—and on creating policies that address the persistent inequalities inherited from the colonial era. These strategies, if effectively implemented, can begin to dismantle the structural barriers to growth and inclusion that have hampered the region for centuries.

In conclusion, the colonial legacy in the Americas has had a profound and enduring impact on the region's economic development. The extractive institutions and labor systems established during the colonial period have left deep scars, shaping patterns of inequality, growth, and governance that persist into the present. The role of factor endowments in shaping development paths has further complicated the picture, creating divergent economic trajectories across the region. However, by focusing on institutional reform and promoting regional cooperation, there is potential for overcoming these legacies and building a more inclusive and prosperous future. Understanding the historical roots of contemporary disparities is essential for informing policies that can address the challenges of inequality, poverty, and underdevelopment. Only by confronting these legacies can countries in the Americas hope to achieve more equitable and sustainable economic growth in the 21st century.

References

- 1. Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., & Robinson, J. A. (2001). "The colonial origins of comparative development: An empirical investigation." *American Economic Review*, 91(5), 1369–1401.
- 2. Acemoglu, D., Johnson, S., & Robinson, J. A. (2005). "Institutions as a fundamental cause of long-run growth." In *Handbook of Economic Growth*, 1A, 385-472.
- 3. Acemoglu, D., & Robinson, J. A. (2012). Why nations fail: The origins of power, prosperity, and poverty. Crown Publishing Group.
- 4. Coatsworth, J. H. (1993). "Notes on the comparative economic history of Latin America and the United States." *The American Historical Review*, 98(2), 423-435.
- 5. Coatsworth, J. H. (2008). "Inequality, institutions and economic growth in Latin America." *Journal of Latin American Studies*, 40(3), 545-569.
- 6. Dell, M. (2010). "The persistent effects of Peru's mining mita." Econometrica, 78(6), 1863-1903.
- 7. Diffie, B. W., & Winius, G. D. (1977). Foundations of the Portuguese Empire, 1415-1580. University of Minnesota Press.
- 8. Engerman, S. L., & Sokoloff, K. L. (1997). "Factor endowments, institutions, and differential paths of growth among new world economies: A view from economic historians of the United States." In S. Haber (Ed.), How Latin America fell behind: Essays on the economic histories of Brazil and Mexico, 1800-1914 (pp. 260–304). Stanford University Press.
- 9. Engerman, S. L., & Sokoloff, K. L. (2002). "Factor endowments, inequality, and paths of development among new world economies." *Economia*, 3(1), 41-88.
- 10. Esdaile, C. J. (2003). The Peninsular War: A New History. Penguin.
- 11. Koyama, M., & Rubin, J. (2022). How the world became rich: The historical origins of economic growth. Polity Press.
- 12. La Porta, R., Lopez-de-Silanes, F., Shleifer, A., & Vishny, R. W. (1998). "Law and finance." *Journal of Political Economy*, 106(6), 1113-1155.
- 13. Livermore, H. V. (1947). A History of Portugal. Cambridge University Press.
- 14. Montgomery, R. M. (2024). *The Role of Geography in Economic Development: Insights from Historical and Modern Perspectives*. DOI: 10.20944/preprints202410.0006.v1.
- 15. North, D. C. (1990). Institutions, institutional change, and economic performance. Cambridge University Press.
- 16. Nunn, N. (2008). "The long-term effects of Africa's slave trades." *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 123(1), 139–176
- 17. Russell, P. E. (2000). Prince Henry 'the Navigator': A Life. Yale University Press.
- 18. Tannenbaum, F. (1946). Slave and citizen: The Negro in the Americas. Alfred A. Knopf.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.