

Hypothesis

Not peer-reviewed version

Purging Autologous HSCs via Detection of Clonal Mutations

[Michael Renteln](#) *

Posted Date: 8 October 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202410.0163.v3

Keywords: purging; autologous bone marrow transplant; hematopoietic stem cells; dual adenoviral transduction; *ex vivo* and *in vivo* treatments; personalized oncolytic vector



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Hypothesis

Purging Autologous HSCs via Detection of Clonal Mutations

Michael Renteln

Affiliation; mrenteln@gmail.com

Abstract: Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) transplants are usually used in cases where patients have active cancerous illness in their bone marrow, but allogeneic transplants can cause graft vs. host disease. If autologous HSCs could be purged of cancer cells, they could then be used for transplantation purposes without concern. It is still controversial whether purging is necessary, but it certainly would alleviate concerns. A novel method of purging is discussed herein that does not require chemotherapy and is targeted for each patient. It could be applied either *in vitro* or *in vivo*.

Keywords: purging; autologous bone marrow transplant; hematopoietic stem cells; dual adenoviral transduction; *ex vivo* and *in vivo* treatments; personalized oncolytic vector

Introduction

Allogeneic hematopoietic stem cell (HSC) transplantation can be used to treat blood cancers, but it often leads to graft vs. host disease [1]. Autologous HST transplantation would be better, and mobilization of HSCs can now be done much more effectively than in the past [2]. However, the harvested patient cells could be contaminated to some extent with their tumor cells. A targeted method of purging HSCs could allow for safer autologous HSC transplantation [3].

If the patient's blood cancer cells in circulation, as well as the lymph nodes and bone marrow, are sequenced [4], clonal mutations can be identified - i.e., mutations that are contained in all of their cancer cells. These mutations can be detected with molecular switches, and the cells can be eliminated using the effector module of said switches [5–7].

This process can be done *ex vivo*, using transfection or transduction. It can also be done *in vivo* via mobilization of patient HSCs and dual adenoviral vector transduction [8]. In this *in vivo* system, one adenoviral genome expresses Flpe recombinase and a hyperactive transposase to circularize and randomly insert the transgene cassette in the second into the HSC genome.

Purging Strategy

First, cancer cells in the patient's bloodstream, lymph nodes, and bone marrow would be sequenced to determine his or her clonal mutations [4]. After isolating mobilized CD34⁺ cells from a patient's bloodstream, an *ex vivo* vector could target CD34 for cell entry [2]. Here, the early enrichment strategy for CD34⁺ HSCs containing the gene vector, either as an integrated element or S/MAR-containing plasmid [9], would rely on epitope base editing of the CD45 gene [10]. Epitope base editing would shield HSCs containing the therapeutic DNA from an immunotoxin and be important for cancer therapy purposes [11–13].

After purging and CD45 base editing, a replicating plasmid can be destroyed via CRISPR/Cas9 targeting of multiple plasmid loci. In the case of an integrated vector, a recombinase could excise the therapeutic DNA.

For *in vivo* purposes, mobilized HSCs would be targeted by the dual adenoviral vectors that can bind CD34 [8]. Here, CD45 base editing would also be effected. Display of a "self-peptide" based on CD47 on the adenoviral capsids [14,15] may preclude or reduce the need for innate immune suppression. Protein engineering approaches could shelter transduced HSCs from the adaptive immune system [16,17]. Alternatively, MHC class I and natural killer cell inhibitor proteins could be

expressed by the vector during this process [18]. Moreover, zinc finger base editors may be less immunogenic than TALE or CRISPR base editors [19,20]. The CRISPRa and base editor modules at least could be regulated by a recently developed, tetracycline-regulated RNA switch, as RNA elements would be non-immunogenic [21].

A recombinase could also be utilized here for excision of the integrated transgene cassette after purging and CD45 base editing.

The *ex vivo* vector or adenoviral genome-derived transgene cassette would encode switches that can recognize the patient's clonally mutated mRNA sequences and respond via activation of a toxin module that eliminates the cell [5–7]. One example of a type of switch that could accomplish this is the reprogrammable ADAR sensor "RADAR", a system developed recently that can even detect point mutations in mRNA [22,23]. It would also be programmed to express CRISPRa modules that upregulate the expression of the patient's clonally mutated genes.

As described previously, CRISPRa expression could be halted via detection of the clonally mutated transcript somewhere other than the mutation site, as this would prevent long-term activation of the gene in non-cancerous cells [7]. This site could be recognized by an RNA-binding "proximity" switch based on Pumby modules [6,24].

Blood Cancer Elimination Strategy

Base editing of the CD45 gene in the patient's HSCs would allow anti-CD45 chimeric antigen receptor (CAR) T-cells to be intravenously administered and eliminate the remainder of the patient's blood cancer cells [25]. The CAR T-cells can be purged as well if necessary, and should have a base edited CD45 gene themselves to avoid fratricide. For acute myeloid leukemia, instead of CD45 epitope editing, a combination of *FLT3*, *CD123*, and *KIT* epitope editing can be exploited [26]. The CAR T-cells could potentially be off-the-shelf, precluding tumor cell contamination issues [18,27]. They could be eliminated after treatment and re-administered later if necessary [28]. An immunotoxin targeting CD45 could be used instead of CAR T-cells [29]. It was demonstrated that the base edited CD45 gene product for the immunotoxin cross-referenced here [10] was more biophysically optimal than the one generated earlier for CAR T-cell targeting [25]. However, repeated injections may be required for immunotoxins, as opposed to autologous CAR T-cells, which can persist in circulation for long periods of time.

Discussion

The purging strategy described in this piece could ensure that anti-CD45 immunotherapy involving base editing of patient HSCs can be employed to treat blood cancer without generating CD34⁺ cancer cells resistant to the therapy.

In the near future, multiplexed epitope editing of universal leukocyte markers other than CD45 could be performed to avoid the survival of escape variants.

This clonal mutation targeting strategy can be used in the context of solid tumors as well, using oncolytic vectors that require detection of said mutations for replication and hyper-virulence [5–7]. It may even be applicable to hematological malignancies like multiple myeloma, which forms solid tumors. Whether it can help treat others depends on certain factors.

An oncolytic vector could be intravenously administered that targets multiple, universal white blood cell surface markers. Unlike the aforementioned strategy, a clonal mutation-targeted oncolytic vector would spare healthy white blood cells.

However, as the oncolytic vector would need to be relatively large to encode all the necessary therapeutic elements, it may not be able to reach cancerous white blood cells in the bone marrow after intravenous injection. In addition to intravenous injections, mobilized stem cells could be infected with an oncolytic agent that maintains a particular copy number [7,30] so that the stem cells are viable, while secreting copies of the oncolytic vector on the way to and once they are within the bone marrow. The vector in this case could potentially have very broad tropism for non-immune and immune cells markers alike. The stem cells containing the vector or vector DNA could be selected for

if necessary. Intraosseous injections are possible, but would be invasive. It also may not be able to reach cancerous white blood cells in the spleen or lymph nodes after intravenous injection.

Finally, even if the vector can be carried into the bone marrow, spleen, and lymph nodes, or is injected into one or more areas of the patient's bones, spleen, or lymph nodes, most blood cancers don't generate solid tumors, so the cancer cells would be spread out and perhaps less amenable to oncolytic strategies involving autonomous vector propagation.

Funding: None.

Conflicts of interest: None.

References

1. Malard F, Holler E, Sandmaier BM, et al. Acute graft-versus-host disease. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 2023;9(1):1–18; doi: 10.1038/s41572-023-00438-1.
2. Crees ZD, Rettig MP, Jayasinghe RG, et al. Motixafortide and G-CSF to mobilize hematopoietic stem cells for autologous transplantation in multiple myeloma: a randomized phase 3 trial. *Nat Med* 2023;29(4):869–879; doi: 10.1038/s41591-023-02273-z.
3. Ishitsuka K, Nishikii H, Kimura T, et al. Purging myeloma cell contaminants and simultaneous expansion of peripheral blood-mobilized stem cells. *Experimental Hematology* 2024;131:104138; doi: 10.1016/j.exphem.2023.104138.
4. Landau DA, Carter SL, Getz G, et al. Clonal evolution in hematologic malignancies and therapeutic implications. *Leukemia* 2014;28(1):34–43; doi: 10.1038/leu.2013.248.
5. Renteln M. Conditional replication of oncolytic viruses based on detection of oncogenic mRNA. *Gene Ther* 2018;25(1):1–3; doi: 10.1038/gt.2017.99.
6. Renteln MA. Promoting Oncolytic Vector Replication with Switches that Detect Ubiquitous Mutations. *CCTR* 2024;20(1):40–52; doi: 10.2174/1573394719666230502110244.
7. Renteln M. Targeting Clonal Mutations with Synthetic Microbes. 2024; doi: 10.20944/preprints202308.1536.v11.
8. Wang H, Georgakopoulou A, Zhang W, et al. HDAd6/35++ - A new helper-dependent adenovirus vector platform for in vivo transduction of hematopoietic stem cells. *Mol Ther Methods Clin Dev* 2023;29:213–226; doi: 10.1016/j.omtm.2023.03.008.
9. Bozza M, Green EW, Espinet E, et al. Novel Non-integrating DNA Nano-S/MAR Vectors Restore Gene Function in Isogenic Patient-Derived Pancreatic Tumor Models. *Molecular Therapy - Methods & Clinical Development* 2020;17:957–968; doi: 10.1016/j.omtm.2020.04.017.
10. Garaudé S, Marone R, Lepore R, et al. Selective haematological cancer eradication with preserved haematopoiesis. *Nature* 2024;630(8017):728–735; doi: 10.1038/s41586-024-07456-3.
11. Dever DP, Bak RO, Reinisch A, et al. CRISPR/Cas9 Beta-globin Gene Targeting in Human Hematopoietic Stem Cells. *Nature* 2016;539(7629):384–389; doi: 10.1038/nature20134.
12. Haltalli MLR, Wilkinson AC, Rodriguez-Fraticelli A, et al. Hematopoietic stem cell gene editing and expansion: State-of-the-art technologies and recent applications. *Experimental Hematology* 2022;107:9–13; doi: 10.1016/j.exphem.2021.12.399.
13. Meaker GA, Wilkinson AC. Ex vivo hematopoietic stem cell expansion technologies: recent progress, applications, and open questions. *Experimental Hematology* 2024;130; doi: 10.1016/j.exphem.2023.12.001.
14. Rodriguez PL, Harada T, Christian DA, et al. Minimal “Self” peptides that inhibit phagocytic clearance and enhance delivery of nanoparticles. *Science* 2013;339(6122):971–975; doi: 10.1126/science.1229568.
15. Milani M, Annoni A, Moalli F, et al. Phagocytosis-shielded lentiviral vectors improve liver gene therapy in nonhuman primates. *Science Translational Medicine* 2019;11(493):eaav7325; doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.aav7325.
16. Ferdosi SR, Ewaisha R, Moghadam F, et al. Multifunctional CRISPR-Cas9 with engineered immunosilenced human T cell epitopes. *Nat Commun* 2019;10(1):1842; doi: 10.1038/s41467-019-09693-x.
17. Hoyng SA, Gnavi S, de Winter F, et al. Developing a potentially immunologically inert tetracycline-regulatable viral vector for gene therapy in the peripheral nerve. *Gene Ther* 2014;21(6):549–557; doi: 10.1038/gt.2014.22.
18. Wang X, Cabrera FG, Sharp KL, et al. Engineering Tolerance toward Allogeneic CAR-T Cells by Regulation of MHC Surface Expression with Human Herpes Virus-8 Proteins. *Molecular Therapy* 2021;29(2):718–733; doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2020.10.019.
19. Willis JCW, Silva-Pinheiro P, Widdup L, et al. Compact zinc finger base editors that edit mitochondrial or nuclear DNA in vitro and in vivo. *Nat Commun* 2022;13(1):7204; doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-34784-7.

20. Fauser F, Kadam BN, Arangundy-Franklin S, et al. Compact zinc finger architecture utilizing toxin-derived cytidine deaminases for highly efficient base editing in human cells. *Nat Commun* 2024;15:1181; doi: 10.1038/s41467-024-45100-w.
21. Luo L, Jea JD-Y, Wang Y, et al. Control of mammalian gene expression by modulation of polyA signal cleavage at 5' UTR. *Nat Biotechnol* 2024;1–13; doi: 10.1038/s41587-023-01989-0.
22. Kaseniit KE, Katz N, Kolber NS, et al. Modular, programmable RNA sensing using ADAR editing in living cells. *Nat Biotechnol* 2023;41(4):482–487; doi: 10.1038/s41587-022-01493-x.
23. Gayet RV, Ilia K, Razavi S, et al. Autocatalytic base editing for RNA-responsive translational control. *Nat Commun* 2023;14(1):1339; doi: 10.1038/s41467-023-36851-z.
24. Adamala KP, Martin-Alarcon DA, Boyden ES. Programmable RNA-binding protein composed of repeats of a single modular unit. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2016;113(19):E2579–E2588; doi: 10.1073/pnas.1519368113.
25. Wellhausen N, O'Connell RP, Lesch S, et al. Epitope base editing CD45 in hematopoietic cells enables universal blood cancer immune therapy. *Sci Transl Med* 2023;15(714):eadi1145; doi: 10.1126/scitranslmed.adi1145.
26. Casirati G, Cosentino A, Mucci A, et al. Epitope editing enables targeted immunotherapy of acute myeloid leukaemia. *Nature* 2023;621(7978):404–414; doi: 10.1038/s41586-023-06496-5.
27. Jo S, Das S, Williams A, et al. Endowing universal CAR T-cell with immune-evasive properties using TALEN-gene editing. *Nat Commun* 2022;13(1):3453; doi: 10.1038/s41467-022-30896-2.
28. Stavrou M, Philip B, Traynor-White C, et al. A Rapamycin-Activated Caspase 9-Based Suicide Gene. *Mol Ther* 2018;26(5):1266–1276; doi: 10.1016/j.ymthe.2018.03.001.
29. Hayal TB, Wu C, Abraham D, et al. The Impact of CD45-Antibody-Drug Conjugate Conditioning on Clonal Dynamics and Immune Tolerance Post HSPC Transplantation in Rhesus Macaques. *Blood* 2023;142:3419; doi: 10.1182/blood-2023-182066.
30. Brantl S. Plasmid Replication Control by Antisense RNAs. *Microbiol Spectr* 2014;2(4):PLAS-0001-2013; doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.PLAS-0001-2013.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.