

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Cosmic Entropy Prediction with Extremely High Precision in $Rh = ct$ Cosmology

[Espen Haug](#) * and Eugene Tatum

Posted Date: 19 September 2024

doi: [10.20944/preprints202409.1436.v1](https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202409.1436.v1)

Keywords: Bekenstein-Hawking entropy; black hole entropy; Hubble sphere; CMB temperature



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Cosmic Entropy Prediction with Extremely High Precision in $R_h = ct$ Cosmology

Espen Gaarder Haug ^{1,*}  and Eugene Terry Tatum ² 

¹ Norwegian University of Life Sciences, Christian Magnus Falsensvei 18, Norway Ås, Norway

² Independent Researcher, Bowling Green, Kentucky, USA

* Correspondence: espenhaug@mac.com

Abstract: We present how the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy of a growing black hole variant of $R_h = ct$ cosmology model can be rewritten as a function of the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation temperature or Hubble parameter, rather than the Hubble radius, as first pointed out by Tatum and Seshavatharam [1]. We then show how our CMB temperature formulae lead to much higher precision in the estimated entropy of the Hubble radius universe, since the CMB temperature can be measured with great precision. We also briefly discuss how the Schwarzschild metric can be rewritten as a function of the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, and how the entropy of the universe can be directly linked to recent estimates of the number of quantum operations in the universe since its beginning.

Keywords: Bekenstein-Hawking entropy; black hole entropy; Hubble sphere; CMB temperature

1. Black Hole $R_h = ct$ Cosmology Model Entropy

Herein we will mainly focus on $R_h = ct$ cosmology, which covers a group of cosmology models actively discussed as an alternative to the Λ -CDM model; see, for example, [2–7]. Melia [8,9] has recently compared many different kinds of observation with respect to the Λ -CDM and $R_h = ct$ models, and concludes that “ $R_h = ct$ has accounted for the data at least as well as the standard model, and often much better.” Nevertheless, it remains to be determined by the cosmology community which model will ultimately prevail.

There are multiple types of cosmological models following the $R_h = ct$ principle, namely, linear growth of the universal radius at the speed of light. In this paper, the type of $R_h = ct$ model of interest is growing black hole $R_h = ct$ cosmology, within which black hole entropy can be explored.

As early as 1972, Pathria [10] pointed out that the Hubble sphere has mathematical properties similar to those of a black hole. See, for example, [6,11–15]. Herein our focus will be on a Schwarzschild black hole universe model following a linear $R_h = ct$ expansion. Accordingly, our model entropy follows the Bekenstein-Hawking black hole entropy formula [16–18].

The Bekenstein-Hawking entropy is given by:

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A}{l_p^2} = \frac{4\pi R_s^2}{l_p^2} \quad (1)$$

In a critical Friedmann [19] universe, the mass is equal to $M_c = \frac{c^2 R_H}{2G}$. If we solve this for R_H , we get $R_H = \frac{2GM_c}{c^2}$. In other words, the Hubble radius and the Schwarzschild radius are identical in a critical Friedmann universe. If our universe is also following a linear $R_h = ct$ expansion, and is a growing Schwarzschild black hole, then its entropy can presumably be treated as:

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A}{l_p^2} = \frac{4\pi R_H^2}{l_p^2} \quad (2)$$

As early as 2015, Tatum et al. [20] suggested the following formula for the Cosmic Microwave Background (CMB) radiation temperature consistent with a growing black hole $R_h = ct$ model and the critical Friedmann universe:

$$T_{cmb} = \frac{\hbar c}{k_b 4\pi \sqrt{R_h 2l_p}} \quad (3)$$

wherein k_b is the Boltzmann constant, \hbar is the reduced Planck constant (the Dirac constant), and $R_h = \frac{c}{H_0}$. Haug and Wojnow [21,22] have demonstrated that this formula can be derived from the Stefan-Boltzmann law. Furthermore, Haug and Tatum [23] have shown that the same formula can be derived using a geometric mean approach, and Haug [24] has also demonstrated that it can be derived from the quantization of light bending.

If one solves formula (3) for H_0 , this gives:

$$H_0 = T_{cmb}^2 \frac{k_b^2 32\pi^2 l_p}{\hbar^2 c} \quad (4)$$

This means that we can rewrite the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy as:

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A}{l_p^2} = \frac{4\pi R_H^2}{l_p^2} = \frac{1}{T_{cmb}^4} \frac{\hbar^4 c^4}{256\pi^3 k_b^4 l_p^4} \quad (5)$$

And, since we know that the Planck [25] time is given by $t_p = \sqrt{\frac{G\hbar}{c^5}} = \frac{l_p}{c}$, this entropy can also be written as:

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A}{l_p^2} = \frac{4\pi R_H^2}{l_p^2} = \frac{1}{T_{cmb}^4} \frac{\hbar^4}{256\pi^3 k_b^4 t_p^4} \quad (6)$$

Be aware that the Planck time can be found independent of first finding G ; see [26,27]. However, we can also re-write this in a form containing G ; in which case, we then have:

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A}{l_p^2} = \frac{4\pi R_H^2}{l_p^2} = \frac{1}{T_{cmb}^4} \frac{\hbar^2 c^{10}}{256\pi^3 k_b^4 G^2} \quad (7)$$

The above formula expressing the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy as a function of the CMB temperature was first presented by Tatum and Seshavatharam in 2018 [1]. In the current paper, we will demonstrate how such a temperature formula leads to an incredibly low STD for the predicted Hubble sphere entropy.

This new way to express the Schwarzschild black hole entropy is more than just a change of the elements in which it is expressed; there are also important practical implications for cosmology, since the CMB temperature has been measured much more precisely than the Hubble constant. For example, Dhal et al. [28] report a CMB temperature of $2.725007 \pm 0.000024 K$. This leads to a Hubble sphere $R_h = ct$ black hole entropy of $S_{BH} = 9.2057 \pm 0.0007 \times 10^{122}$. We even account for the uncertainty in the Planck length, which is needed to calculate the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, using the NIST CODATA value of $l_p = 1.616255 \pm 0.000018 \times 10^{-35} m$.

Table 1 shows Bekenstein-Hawking entropies estimated using the CMB temperature measured in recent studies [28,30,31]. Table 2 shows Bekenstein-Hawking entropies estimated using H_0 values from recent studies [32–35]. We clearly see that our new CMB entropy method is much more precise in comparison to the Hubble constant entropy method. In addition, there is what may be referred to as an entropy tension between different H_0 studies, somewhat similar to the well-known Hubble tension. However, this is outside the scope of our present paper. See also [29].

Table 1. This table shows cosmic entropy estimates using our new calculation method applied to several different CMB temperature studies. It gives extremely high precisions, due to relying upon very precise CMB measurements. We have already taken into account uncertainty in the Planck length.

CMB Study	Temperature Measurement	High-Precision Method for S_{BH}
Dhal et. al [28] 2023	$2.725007 \pm 0.000024K$	$S_{BH} = 9.2057 \pm 0.0007 \times 10^{122}$
Noterdaeme et. al [30]	$2.725 \pm 0.002K$	$S_{BH} = 9.2058 \pm 0.0027 \times 10^{122}$
Fixsen et. al [31]	$2.72548 \pm 0.00057K$	$S_{BH} = 9.1993 \pm 0.0081 \times 10^{122}$

Table 2. This table calculates the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy from the traditional formula that depends on knowing the radius of the black hole, in this case that of the Hubble sphere. The Hubble radius is given by $R_H = \frac{c}{H_0}$. This gives much higher uncertainty in the predicted Hubble sphere entropy than in the new method described in Table 1. The reason for this is that there is much higher uncertainty in measured H_0 values than in measured CMB values.

H_0 Study :	H_0 estimate :	Standard method estimate for S_{BH} :
2023: Murakami et al. [32] :	$73.01 \pm 0.85 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$	$S_{BH} = 7.72 \pm 0.17 \times 10^{122}$
2021: Riess et al. [33] :	$73.04 \pm 1.04 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$	$S_{BH} = 7.72 \pm 0.22 \times 10^{122}$
2021: Planck Collaboration [34] :	$67.4 \pm 0.5 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$	$S_{BH} = 9.06 \pm 0.13 \times 10^{122}$
2023: Balkenhol et. al [35] :	$68.3 \pm 1.5 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$	$S_{BH} = 8.82_{-0.40}^{+0.38} \times 10^{122}$

Haug [36] has recently demonstrated that the number of quantum operations since the Planck epoch in a critical Friedmann universe following linear $R_h = ct$ black hole cosmology is given by:

$$\#ops \approx \frac{S_{BH}}{8\pi} \quad (8)$$

This means that, using the Dhal CMB temperature study, for example, formula (8) would imply that the number of such operations is $3.6628 \pm 0.0003 \times 10^{122}$. The magnitude of this number is quite interesting, because of its remarkable similarity to that of the well-known cosmological constant problem.

2. The Schwarzschild Metric for a Hubble Sphere Black Hole Written in Entropy Form

The Schwarzschild [37] metric is normally given by:

$$ds^2 = -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{rc^2}\right)c^2dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{rc^2}\right)^{-1}dr^2 + r^2\Omega^2 \quad (9)$$

Since we have:

$$S_{BH} = \frac{A}{l_p^2} = \frac{4\pi r_s^2}{l_p^2} = \frac{4\pi 4G^2 M^2}{c^4 l_p^2} \quad (10)$$

we can now solve this for GM and get: $GM = \frac{c^2 l_p}{4} \sqrt{\frac{S_{BH}}{\pi}}$. This means that, for a black hole, the Schwarzschild metric can be re-written as a function of the black hole Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. We then get:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= -\left(1 - \frac{2GM}{rc^2}\right)c^2dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{2GM}{rc^2}\right)^{-1}dx^2 + r^2\Omega^2 \\ ds^2 &= -\left(1 - \frac{l_p}{2r}\sqrt{\frac{S_{BH}}{\pi}}\right)c^2dt^2 + \left(1 - \frac{l_p}{2r}\sqrt{\frac{S_{BH}}{\pi}}\right)^{-1}dx^2 + r^2\Omega^2 \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

This is of great interest, since it shows that the black hole metric can now be expressed in terms of the Planck length and Bekenstein-Hawking entropy. Eddington [38] was the first to suggest that the Planck scale would likely play an important role in a future quantum gravity theory, see also [22].

3. The Critical Friedmann Equation

The critical Friedmann equation is given by:

$$H_0^2 = \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3} \quad (12)$$

This can now be re-written to include the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy, the Planck length and the speed of light according to:

$$\begin{aligned} H_0^2 &= \frac{8\pi G\rho}{3} \\ H_0^2 &= \frac{c^6}{4G^2M^2} \\ H_0^2 &= \frac{4c^2\pi}{l_p^2 S_{BH}} \\ H_0 &= \frac{2c}{l_p}\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{S_{BH}}} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

See also [39,40] for more background, including parallels to this, such as our new thermodynamic Friedmann equation.

There is much yet to be learned about how the thermodynamic concept of entropy might apply to the observable universe and to black holes in general. This subject becomes especially relevant with respect to growing black hole models of cosmology. What effect cosmic entropy might have on the phenomena associated with gravity needs to be further explored. The holographic principle, when applied to the universe as a finite global object, is a related subject of great interest, although beyond the scope of the present brief communication.

4. Conclusion

Due to recent theoretical progress in understanding the direct mathematical relationship between the CMB temperature and the Hubble constant, we can now also estimate the Hubble sphere entropy directly from the CMB temperature. Since the CMB temperature is measured much more precisely than the Hubble constant, this allows for a much more accurate and precise estimate of the entropy of the Hubble sphere black hole $R_h = ct$ universe than previously presented. There are remarkable similarities between the magnitude of the cosmic entropy calculated in the present paper and the magnitude of the cosmological constant problem [40]. This poses interesting questions for continuing theoretical investigations, including those which apply the cosmological holographic principle.

References

1. E. T. Tatum and U. V. S. Seshavatharam. Clues to the fundamental nature of gravity, dark energy and dark matter. *Journal of Modern Physics*, 9:1469, 2018. URL <https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2018.98091>.
2. M. V. John. $R_h = ct$ and the eternal coasting cosmological model. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 484, 2019. URL <https://doi.org/10.1093/mnrasl/sly243>.
3. M. V. John and K. B. Joseph. Generalized Chen-Wu type cosmological model. *Physical Review D*, 61:087304, 2000. URL <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.61.087304>.
4. M. V. John and J. V. Narlikar. Comparison of cosmological models using bayesian theory. *Physical Review D*, 65:043506, 2002. URL <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.65.043506>.
5. F. Melia. The $R_h = ct$ universe without inflation. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 553, 2013. URL <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201220447>.
6. F. Melia and A. S. H. Shevchuk. The $R_h = ct$ universe. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society*, 419: 2579, 2012. URL <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2966.2011.19906.x>.
7. E. T. Tatum and U. V. S. Seshavatharam. How a realistic linear $R_h = ct$ model of cosmology could present the illusion of late cosmic acceleration. *Journal of Modern Physics*, 9:1397, 2018.
8. F. Melia. A resolution of the monopole problem in the $R_h = ct$ universe. *The Dark Universe*, 42:101329, 2023. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2023.101329>.
9. F. Melia. Strong observational support for the $R_h = ct$ timeline in the early universe. *Physics of the Dark Universe*, 46:101587, 2024. URL <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dark.2024.101587>.
10. R. K. Pathria. The universe as a black hole. *Nature*, 240:298, 1972. URL <https://doi.org/10.1038/240298a0>.
11. W. M. Stuckey. The observable universe inside a black hole. *American Journal of Physics*, 62:788, 1994. URL <https://doi.org/10.1119/1.17460>.
12. T. X. Zhang and C. Frederick. Acceleration of black hole universe. *Astrophysics and Space Science*, 349:567, 2014. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10509-013-1644-6>.
13. N. Popławski. The universe in a black hole in Einstein–Cartan gravity. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 832:96, 2016. URL <https://doi.org/10.3847/0004-637X/832/2/96>.
14. N. Popławski. Gravitational collapse of a fluid with torsion into a universe in a black hole. *Journal of Experimental and Theoretical Physics*, 132:374, 2021. URL <https://doi.org/10.1134/S1063776121030092>.
15. E. Gaztanaga. The black hole universe, part ii. *Symmetry*, 2022:1984, 2022. URL <https://doi.org/10.3390/sym14101984>.
16. J. Bekenstein. Black holes and the second law. *Lettere al Nuovo Cimento*, 4(15):99, 1972. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02757029>.
17. J. Bekenstein. Black holes and entropy. *Physical Review D*, 7(8):2333, 1973. URL <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.7.2333>.
18. S. Hawking. Black holes and thermodynamics. *Physical Review D*, 13(2):191, 1976. URL <https://doi.org/10.1011/PhysRevD.13.191>.
19. A. Friedmann. Über die krüng des raumes. *Zeitschrift für Physik*, 10:377, 1922. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01332580>.
20. E. T. Tatum, U. V. S. Seshavatharam, and S. Lakshminarayana. The basics of flat space cosmology. *International Journal of Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 5:116, 2015. URL <http://dx.doi.org/10.4236/ijaa.2015.52015>.
21. E. G. Haug and S. Wojnow. How to predict the temperature of the CMB directly using the Hubble parameter and the Planck scale using the Stefan–Boltzman law. *Research Square, Pre-print, under consideration by journal*, 2023. URL <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-3576675/v1>.
22. E. G. Haug. CMB, Hawking, Planck, and Hubble scale relations consistent with recent quantization of general relativity theory. *International Journal of Theoretical Physics*, 63(57), 2024. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10773-024-05570-6>.
23. E. G. Haug and E. T. Tatum. The Hawking Hubble temperature as a minimum temperature, the Planck temperature as a maximum temperature and the CMB temperature as their geometric mean temperature. *Journal of Applied Physics and Mathematics (accepted and forthcoming)*, 2024.
24. E. G. Haug. Planck quantized bending of light leads to the CMB temperature. *Hal archive*, 2023. URL <https://hal.science/hal-04357053>.

25. M. Planck. *Natuerliche Massseinheiten*. Der Königlich Preussischen Akademie Der Wissenschaften: Berlin, Germany, 1899. URL <https://www.biodiversitylibrary.org/item/93034#page/7/mode/1up>.
26. E. G. Haug. God time = Planck time. *Open Journal of Microphysics* 14:40, 2024. URL <https://doi.org/10.4236/ojm.2024.142004>.
27. E. G. Haug. Finding the Planck length multiplied by the speed of light without any knowledge of G , c , or h , using a Newton force spring. *Journal Physics Communication*, 4:075001, 2020. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/2399-6528/ab9dd7>.
28. S. Dhal, S. Singh, K. Konar, and R. K. Paul. Calculation of cosmic microwave background radiation parameters using COBE/FIRAS dataset. *Experimental Astronomy* (2023), 56:715, 2023. URL <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10686-023-09904-w>.
29. E. G. Haug and E. T. Tatum. Solving the Hubble tension using the Union2 supernova database. *Preprints.org*, 2024. URL <https://doi.org/10.20944/preprints202404.0421.v1>.
30. P. Noterdaeme, P. Petitjean, R. Srianand, C. Ledoux, and S. López. The evolution of the cosmic microwave background temperature. *Astronomy and Astrophysics*, 526, 2011. URL <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201016140>.
31. D. J. Fixsen. The temperature of the cosmic microwave background. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 707:916, 2009. URL <https://doi.org/10.1088/0004-637X/707/2/916>.
32. Y. S. Murakami and A. et. al Y. S., Riess. Leveraging SN Ia spectroscopic similarity to improve the measurement of H_0 . *arXiv:2306.00070*.
33. A. G. Riess and et. al. A comprehensive measurement of the local value of the Hubble constant with 1 km $s^{-1} \text{Mpc}^{-1}$ uncertainty from the Hubble space telescope and the sh0es team. *The Astrophysical Journal*, 934, 2021. URL <https://doi.org/10.3847/2041-8213/ac5c5b>.
34. N. Aghanim et al. Planck Collaboration. Planck 2018 results. vi. cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics*, 641, 2020. URL <https://doi.org/10.1051/0004-6361/201833910e>.
35. L. Balkenhol and et. al. Measurement of the CMB temperature power spectrum and constraints on cosmology from the SPT-3G 2018 TT, TE, and EE dataset. *Physical Review D*, 108:023510, 2023. URL <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.108.023510>.
36. E. G. Haug. The Planck computer is the quantum gravity computer: We live inside a gigantic computer, the Hubble sphere computer? *Quantum Reports*, 6:482, 2024. URL <https://doi.org/10.3390/quantum6030032>.
37. K. Schwarzschild. über das gravitationsfeld einer kugel aus inkompressibler flüssigkeit nach der einstein-schen theorie. *Sitzungsberichte der Deutschen Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin, Klasse für Mathematik, Physik, und Technik*, page 424, 1916.
38. A. S. Eddington. *Report On The Relativity Theory Of Gravitation*. The Physical Society Of London, Fleetway Press, London, 1918.
39. E. G. Haug and E. T. Tatum. Friedmann type equations in thermodynamic form lead to much tighter constraints on the critical density of the universe. <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202403.1241/v2>, 2024. URL <https://www.preprints.org/manuscript/202403.1241/v2>.
40. E. T. Tatum and U. V. S. Seshavatharam. Flat space cosmology as a model of light speed cosmic expansion—implications for the vacuum energy density. *Journal of Modern Physics*, 9:2008, 2018. URL <https://doi.org/10.4236/jmp.2018.910126>.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.