

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

How the Music Machine Makes Myths Real: AI, Holograms, and Ashley Eternal

[Victor Robert Kennedy](#) *

Posted Date: 23 August 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202408.1716.v1

Keywords: music; myth; AI; holograms; science fiction; cults



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

How the Music Machine Makes Myths Real: AI, Holograms, and Ashley Eternal

Victor Robert Kennedy

University of Maribor: victor.kennedy@guest.um.si

"Mind/body, heart and soul, we got rock and roll" - Gillan, Glover, and Blackmore, 1984

Abstract: Since ancient times, music has been instrumental in giving life to the stories we build our identities and cultures around. Music perpetuates myth by storytelling, retelling and updating old stories, and creating new ones. Many musicals, such as *The Phantom of the Opera*, *Les Misérables*, *Wicked*, and *Hamilton*, have been based on novels or epic poems. I will examine adaptations on a smaller scale, of rock songs based on novels, poems, and/or myths, and look at how, in our time, music creates myth by creating its own heroes and heroines through capital and the star system. In traditional literary and cultural analysis, a distinction was drawn between the natural and the supernatural when discussing literary mythology (Frye, 1957); in the twentieth century, an equivalent distinction was made in works of art that, in Baudrillard's terminology, make use of the realms of the real and the "hyperreal" (1981). In today's mythmaking, the supernatural has been largely replaced by the technological, and recent developments blur the line between science fiction and fantasy; tech has become megalotech. Examples can be seen in a number of recent and current songs, as well as films and television shows about music, musicians, and the music business.

Keywords: music; myth; AI; holograms; science fiction; cults

1. Introduction

Many popular songs retell ancient Anglo-Saxon, Greek, and Roman myths, such as Marillion's "Grendel" (1982), based on the Anglo-Saxon epic *Beowulf*, and Cream's "Tales of Brave Ulysses" (1967), based on *The Odyssey*, and "Those Were the Days" (1968), which tells the story of Atlantis; many more are based on modern novels and stories that have entered the pantheon of myth, such as Morbid Angel's "The Ancient Ones" (1991), based on H.P. Lovecraft's "Cthulhu Mythos"; others such as Nightwish's "Elven Path" (1997), and Led Zeppelin's "Ramble On" (1969), "Misty Mountain Hop" (1971) and "The Battle of Evermore" (1971), are based on J.R.R. Tolkien's *Lord of the Rings*.¹

As well as characters and tropes from classical Greek, Roman, and Norse mythology (Pereira, 2024), many songs use monster imagery to describe and personify emotions and feelings, for example, Marilyn Manson's "Unkillable Monster" (2009), or use the monster as a metaphor for human evil, such as "Zombie" by The Cranberries (1994); others, such as Alice Cooper's "Teenage Frankenstein" (1987), incorporate the Pygmalion/Frankenstein myth, where the creator attempts to create an ideal being, but ends up creating a monster. Recent developments in science and technology have made this myth more relevant than ever, especially when it is adapted to criticize or parody the use of AI in music, music-making, and the music business.

Myths are used in song lyrics to manipulate culture and politics; see "Latin American Influences on North American Popular Music and Culture: Mythologizing the Outlaw in Mexican-American Border Music" (2024).² Modern technology is used in similar ways to make mythic figures of pop stars for profit and to sway public opinion. In particular, the "resurrection" of dead stars such as Elvis Presley, Tupac Shakur, Prince, and Ronnie James Dio, using holograms in concert has become increasingly common.³ There is a great deal of controversy around the practice between concert

promoters and executors of the dead stars' estates, who see it as a lucrative, and therefore legitimate, practice, and many fans, families, and friends of the musicians, who see it as manipulative and ghoulish and describe it in terms such as "ghost slavery," "stupid," and "a moneymaking gimmick" (Grow, 2019). Of particular note is the hype used to advertise such concerts, which promote them as "fun" and "magical" (Grow, 2019); the underlying implication is that these events are a modern version of The Cult of Dionysus, the god who returns from the dead and stimulates his followers with Bacchanalian revelries (Čop, 2024).

In a *New York Times* article entitled "How Pop Music Fandom Became Sports, Politics, Religion and All-Out War" (2020), Joe Coscarelli explains how, during the COVID lockdown, music fans on social media sites such as Twitter, Instagram, and TikTok became so focussed on their heroes and heroines, such as Lady Gaga and Ariana Grande, that they created musician-worshipping cults:

While music has long been intertwined with internet communities and the rise of social networks, a growing faction of the most vocal and dedicated pop enthusiasts have embraced the term "stan"—taken from the 20-year-old Eminem song about a superfan turned homicidal stalker—and are redefining what it means to love an artist.... They pledge allegiance to their favorites like the most rabid political partisans or religious followers.

Coscarelli notes that, on social media fan sites, "an evangelical fervor became a prerequisite." Such loyalty, fervour, and intolerance of rival fan groups are the hallmarks of a cult.⁴

Similar cults have formed around literary artists; Michael Shermer argues in "The Unlikeliest Cult in History" (1993), an analysis of the cult surrounding the author Ayn Rand, that one of the hallmarks of a cult is its requirement of absolute deference to the dictates of the leader.

Most often, we perceive cults surrounding political and religious figures; historically, the term has been used to criticize opponents or enemies, such as the term "Cult of Personality" around Hitler or Stalin, or those associated with fringe religious figures such as Jim Jones and David Koresh.⁵ When the political or religious figure is a local or domestic one, however, the terminology is different; "cult member" is replaced by "patriot" or "believer." The MAGA movement, for example, requires unquestioning loyalty to its leader. This results in interesting ironies, as its members, evangelicals and "law and order" Republicans, are required to turn a blind eye to departures from the moral and legal precepts that are the foundations of their belief systems. One of the ways in which these departures are covered up or ignored is through control of the media. This is a corporate undertaking, bolstered by a class of wealthy media owners and investors who stand to profit from the exercise of power.

Examples of the way control of the media is used to create and maintain cults are apparent in the controversy surrounding large media companies that have been bought by billionaires who immediately sack editors and journalists in order to influence the publication's editorial stance. Sam Cabral reports that, after its takeover by billionaire Jeff Bezos, The Washington Post replaced its editors with former employees of the right-wing British tabloid The Daily Telegraph (BBC News, June 21, 2024); Media Bias/Fact Check rated The Telegraph as: "Right Biased based on story selection that strongly favors the right and Mixed for factual reporting due to poor sourcing of information and some failed fact checks" (May 2, 2024 <https://mediabiasfactcheck.com/daily-telegraph/>). Widespread perception of bias in the media results in skepticism and doubt about the veracity of news reporting, which leads to susceptibility to propaganda and manipulation; Jurkowitz et. al. outline the divide among readers along political party affiliation in trusting media sources (2020). Similarly, Toonkel et.al. discuss the motivation of Elon Musk in buying Twitter in "Free Speech and the Cult of Elon: Why Musk Wants to Buy Twitter" (2022), and Hickey et. al. show in "Auditing Elon Musk's Impact on Hate Speech and Bots" (2023) that "Free Speech," as defined by Musk, is actually Hate Speech.⁶

Such fragmentation in society leads to a perceived need to belong to some kind of a group in which people share values and interests. Melissa Greiser lists several psychological reasons for joining and staying in a cult: 1) people join to attain a sense of belonging to a group of like-minded people; 2) once in the group, they are faced with cognitive dissonance as they come to realize that they must relinquish long-held values and beliefs in order to accept and embrace the values and

beliefs required for membership. Ironically, the effort required in doing so confirms and enhances their desire to stay in the group; 3) once established as members, they experience “Ingroup Bias/Outgroup Derogation”; that is, “cult members may be threatened by outsiders and react by holding onto the belief that their group is special or superior” (2020).

The music industry is an integral part of the media conglomerate. Music is a powerful tool in shaping and creating public opinion (Kennedy and Mohar, 2024) that has long been used to both criticize and support official institutions, actions, and policies. Politicians and their operatives are aware of this power, as can be seen in the use of popular and folk songs and music in political rallies and campaigns. Traditional ways to control this message have been through money, patronage, and repression, and now, technological developments in media are providing new methods of manipulating the message. As we shall see, the tools used to create cults of personality surrounding religious and political figures are also used to create cults around artists, writers, and musicians, mainly for economic, but also for political, purposes.

2. The Cult of the Synthetic Personality: Ashley Eternal

Folk and rock music have long been a means of criticizing the establishment (Bajić, 2024). Part of the Rock Star Myth has been built around the quality of rebelliousness: once rock stars reach a certain level of fame, they can speak their minds in defiance of their corporate managers; this quality is factored into marketing (See Palmer, 1990 for an account of “the revolution-as-corporate-marketing ploy” in rock music). The use of holograms in concerts is a new way of controlling what rock stars say, however; like teleprompters in front of politicians giving speeches, the scripts used in preparing the holograms are controlled by the corporation behind the star. Another factor that makes the use of holograms attractive, from a marketing and message control perspective, is repetition. With AI, the image can be programmed to say anything in the voice and with the mannerisms of the dead star; so far, however, concert promoters have resisted changing the messages that fans expect and pay for.⁷ Critics and fans have noted yet another factor at play here; the constant recycling of performances from established stars has the effect of silencing the voices of new generations of musicians and singers.

Kenny Forbes describes the phenomenon of “Death Benefits” in the entertainment world: “the death of a celebrity ‘encourages audiences to develop a pseudo-attachment to them’ (Hearsum and Inglis, 2010, p. 240), which initiates forms of media and social mourning that inevitably lead to a spike in sales through the economics of the ‘death-effect’ benefit (Ekelund, Ressler, and Watson, 2000, p.157)” (2021).

In “Dead Music in Live Music Culture” (2015), Felicity Cull explains how we bring dead music back to life in two ways: by listening to digital recordings of artists who have died, and by listening to live artists performing music that is recognizably from the past. Cull “questions as to how relevant the concept of mortal death can be in a music culture that is based around digital recordings. When we live in a world where dead performers can take part in live performances, such as through holographic representation, dead and live are no longer solid concepts” (p. 111). Cull defines dead music as music from the past that evokes feelings of nostalgia that many listeners prefer to contemporary music, and notes, in a very astute observation, that “Listeners remember what they want, when they want to, rather than recalling exactly how things “really” were (p. 114).⁸ Heather Duncan writes about how humans can achieve a form of digital immortality on social media in “Human’ish’: Voices from Beyond the Grave in Contemporary Narratives” (2018).

“Rachel, Jack and Ashley Too” is episode three of season five of the science fiction/horror television series *Black Mirror*, streamed on Netflix in 2019, that showcases these themes, intertwining modern myths about the music industry and the way it manipulates both its performers and its fans. Ashley O is a young pop star who is under the control of her aunt and manager, Catherine. As long as Ashley cooperates and obeys, all is well, but as she matures, she tires of the kind of bland, feel-good music she is expected to write and perform, and wants to develop a different style. This, however, might interrupt or decrease the revenue flow, so her management team decides to stop her. Ashley’s consciousness has already been uploaded into a machine, and marketed in the form of

sentient “Ashley Too” dolls, so Catherine poisons her niece and keeps her alive in a coma while connected to a brain scan machine that extracts new songs which are then recorded using AI technology and sold. Catherine and her management team plan to turn off Ashley’s life support systems on her twenty-fifth birthday, when her legal conservatorship expires,⁹ and then have her music performed “live” in concert by the Ashley Eternal hologram; Ashley is rescued at the last minute by her fans, Rachel and Jack, however, and the scheme is foiled.

During her presentation of the plan to a group of enthusiastic investors, Catherine lists the benefits of a hologram over a living musician: it is “photorealistic, scalable, streamable, and fully controllable.” Her argument is based on the premise that audiences no longer really listen to music; instead, they participate in group adulation of reified cultural icons. Several scholars have made similar observations based on real-life examples: Kenny Forbes notes that “dead celebrities remain subservient and meet the demands of their stakeholders (estates, rights owners, fans) without recourse” (2021, p. 158).¹⁰

One of the compelling features of “Rachel, Jack, And Ashley Too” is the way that it links different myths to show how myth has come to define and control important aspects of modern society and culture. Many critics have noted how close the Black Mirror series’s science fiction predictions are to reality (Tomlinson, 2019). The plot of “Rachel, Jack, and Ashley Too” was predicted in a 2009 interview Miley Cyrus gave on MTV News, describing the artistic transition she experienced during the production of her album *Can’t Be Tamed*, which featured a song called “Robot”:

I want to do my last pop record. I m working on a record right now. I kind of want this to be my last record for a little while and be able to take a break and just get all the types of music that I really love... you know, my favorite styles, because in a few years, as I grow up, so will my fans, and I won t have to focus on that as much, and I ll be able to have more of the sound of music that I m into. (Dinh, 2009)

The *Black Mirror* series shows how we are all becoming acclimatized to the idea of the synthetic, digital self, through filters, avatars, and the proliferation of “see your rock star self” apps.

3. The Four Foundational Myths of Modern Music

3.1. Myth 1: the Myth of Pygmalion

The ancient Greek story of Pygmalion has been retold and modified in many variants, including George Bernard Shaw’s 1913 play, *Pygmalion*, Mary Shelley’s novel, *Frankenstein* (1818), Richard O’Brian’s stage show and film *Rocky Horror Picture Show* (1975), Ira Levin’s novel *The Stepford Wives* (1972 - film adaptation 1975) (Herzog, 2019), an episode of the science fiction television series *Star Trek: The Next Generation* S5E21 “The Perfect Mate” (1992), and many others. In search of the perfect mate, the artist or scientist attempts to creating an ideal being, but all come to a tragic or unhappy end.

In popular music, there are many songs about soulmates, about finding (or not) the perfect mate. Examples include “Can’t Help Falling in Love” (Elvis Presley, 1961); “Heaven” (Bryan Adams, 1985); “Nothing Compares 2 U” (Prince/Sinéad O’Connor, 1990); and “Perfect” (Ed Sheeran, 2017). There are also songs about the impossibility of finding the perfect mate, such as Pukka Orchestra’s “Miss Right” (1984) (“she don’t exist”). This leads to the Pygmalion/Frankenstein theme appearing in song lyrics, in songs about creating the Perfect Mate, such as Hungarian/Canadian singer/songwriter B.B. Gábor’s “Girls of the Future” (1981):

*Girls of the future
will be from the East
they ll be imported
trained to be obedient*

Girls of the future

*will be wrapped in cellophane
sealed at the factory
far in the Orient
...*

*Girls of the future
will be programmed to serve
the girls of the future
will be serving well*

*I m a little bit scared
of the girls of the future x2*

The last stanza contains the realization that, chances are, this Utopian endeavour is bound to go wrong somehow. A less scifi version appears in Pukka Orchestra's "Rubber Girl" (1981), written by Scottish/Canadian singer/songwriter Graeme Williamson, in which the "perfectly acceptable" girlfriend is an inflatable doll. A very literal version of the Frankenstein story appears in Grace Potter's "My Mechanical Friend":

*I lost my one and only friend
They say he s never coming back again
(I) hold on the hoe while I pull him from the grave
They say he s dead and gone and can t be saved
...*

*Lock the door and count to five
Metal along metal, lightning in a bottle*

*Oh, it s alive—my mechanical friend (my mechanical friend)
I ll cut you up and I will stitch you up again
My mechanical friend (my mechanical friend)
I ll bring you back to life—I m with you till the end (2012)*

This is one of the most common themes in all forms of art, including poetry, novels, painting, and drama: the difficulty of finding true love, and the lengths to which one will go to try finding it. Psychologist Rachel Allyn writes: "The fairy tales we heard growing up regarding romance have left us with a narrow definition of love and unrealistic expectations about what's acceptable." She explains "the myth that love heals all and the myth that long-term relationships are the only way to be fulfilled... [and] a third myth that can cause more heartache than happiness. Myth 3: There is one soul mate for you, and your quest is to find them. My response: Plausible, but unrealistic" (2022).

3.2. Myth 2: Artistic Visions Appear in Dreams, Then Are Recorded after Awakening

A well-known example of a post-dream artistic reconstruction is the story surrounding Coleridge's process of writing the poem "Kubla Khan: or, A Vision in a Dream" (1816): Coleridge wrote in the manuscript, "This fragment with a good deal more, not recoverable, composed, in a sort of Reverie brought on by two grains of Opium taken to check a dysentery, at a Farm House between Porlock & Linton, a quarter of a mile from Culbone Church." Notable examples of musical dream visions include the name of American progressive metal band "Dream Theater," and

psychedelic/progressive band Spirit's album *Twelve Dreams of Dr. Sardonicus* (1970). Stories also abound of songwriters inspired by drug-induced visions. In an interview in Prog, Mark Andes, Spirit's bassist, said "we... weren't afraid to indulge. We were just regular pot-smoking, psychedelics-taking, coke-snorting guys! It worked on the record, but it might have broken the band up..." (Roberts, 2020).

The mythology of the psychedelic and progressive music of the nineteen-sixties updated the trope of the dream vision to the concept of unlocking creative potential through altered states of consciousness created by drugs or in some cases, sensory deprivation, which was brought about by physical isolation of the mind from the body.¹¹ Sensory deprivation is a technique used to create a number of psychological effects, including therapeutic treatment of anxiety to produce relaxation, creation of anxiety for interrogation, and to induce hallucinations (Purves et. al., 2018).¹² The process inspired a number of metal, hip hop, and rock songs, including "Sensory Deprivation" by Benestrophe (1994), "Sensory Deprivation" by Dark Angel (1991), "Sensory Deprivation" by Cyanotic from their album *Transhuman 2.0*. (2007),¹³ "Sensory Deprivation" by Misery Index (2006), "Sensory Deprivation" by Paleface (2001), and "Sensory Deprivation" by Sloan (1999). Sloan's lyrics refer to the movie *Altered States* in the lines

*Don t take it from me
But Altered States scared me
Should have realized it
It s when he tore apart the lab with the monkey on his back*

These figurative separations of mind and body created by chemicals and/or technology and manifested in art are variants of one of the oldest and most influential myths of western society, the actual separation of mind and body.

3.3. Myth 3: The Separation of Mind and Body

In Plato's *Phaedo*, Socrates argues that Death is the separation of soul and body, and that the soul can only attain truth, justice, knowledge, and wisdom when it is separated from the body: "what is purification but the separation of the soul from the body?" Descartes proposed that "the nature of the mind (that is, a thinking, non-extended thing) is completely different from that of the body (that is, an extended, non-thinking thing), and therefore it is possible for one to exist without the other" (Skirry n.d.; see also Westphal, 2019).

Modern science takes a different view. Psychologist Alan Fogel argues that "The distinction commonly made between "mind" and "body" is a complete fiction, totally divorced from any basis in our cellular psychobiology... All thought is a biological process, totally dependent on the embodied conditions that sustain life and health" (2022). However, it is still a common theme. Emilie Walezak notes that novelist A.S. Byatt "uses the neurosciences to investigate literary treatments of the mind/body dilemma" (2018, p. 106) and that, like many modern writers, Byatt uses the mind/body dichotomy as a metaphor for the conflicts of modern-day life.

Separation of mind and body is a common theme running throughout the arts from the classics to modern popular music. An example can be found in the opening track of the Moody Blues album *On The Threshold of a Dream*: Graeme Edge's "In the Beginning" (1969) parodies Descartes' phrase "Cogito, ergo sum" with a critique of modern society's attempt to dehumanize and mechanize humans. In the opening lines, the "First Man" says, "I think, I think I am/Therefore I am, I think," whereupon the "Establishment" replies:

*Of course you are, my bright little star!
I ve miles and miles of files
Pretty files of your forefathers fruit
And now to suit our great computer!
You re magnetic ink*

The “First Man” protests, “I’m more than that! I know I am/At least, I think I must be,” and the “Inner Man” consoles him: “It riles them to believe/That you perceive the web they weave/And keep on thinking free.” Edge’s theme is that society’s pressure to conform has caused a split in the human personality as it struggles to understand and cope with life. In an interview, Moody Blues keyboardist Mike Pinder explained, “we’d just come through ’67 in kaftans; everybody was out in the street and it was a fun time, and flower power and all that kind of thing. So, we were thinking, ‘Hey, we’re on the brink of a new consciousness, a new way of looking at things.’ And so... it was like we were ‘on the verge of a human dream, a dream of humanity’” (Murley, 2004).

In Lakoffian terms, the divided self is one of the basic conceptual, or root, metaphors of modern thought: “the spirit is willing but the flesh is weak”; “I’m of two minds about that”; “I’m not myself today”; a musical example occurs in the title of the jazz classic song, “Body and Soul” (1930). What was once taken to be literal fact is now interpreted as a metaphor for life.

Charles Fernyhough (2006) points out in his psychological analysis of mind-metaphors in literature that the divided self has been a staple of metaphors in fiction throughout history, citing Andrew Marvell’s 17th-century poem “The Garden”:

*Here at the Fountain s sliding foot,
Or at some Fruit-tree s mossy root,
Casting the Bodies Vest aside
My Soul into the boughs does glide*

Maria Barreras, in an analysis of the use of the divided self metaphor in the works of Vladimir Nabokov (2015), uses conceptual metaphor theory to show how this concept is pervasive not only in Nabokov’s fiction, but throughout modernist and postmodernist literature; variants include the figures of the alter ego, the double, and the Doppelgänger (p. 99).¹⁴

In the realm of science fiction, George Slusser notes that, historically, it has been the task of science to explore the mind/body dichotomy and find a rational explanation for it:

the vision of science that emerged in SF magazines and culture has been dominated by the no-nonsense idea that science s task is to advance the knowledge of material nature, and nothing more. This bias explains, in the hierarchy of SF subgenres, the pre-eminence of hard SF. Here we expect all claims to paranormal powers to be put to the physical test, ultimately proven to be false, and thus declared to be fantastical and irrelevant. (2007, p. 24)

More recent science fiction, however, especially subgenres such as cyberpunk, have put the fiction back in science fiction with explorations of fantastic worlds and alternate realities governed by the principles of quantum mechanics, chaos theory, and the uncertainty principle:

what role might the paranormal play in a contemporary, materialist scientific climate, where science has gradually sought to efface the dualities of both the Cartesian and the Newtonian world views, successfully blurring boundaries between such oppositional categories as space and time, matter and energy, organic and inorganic, and, finally, the dichotomy between mind and matter itself? (Slusser, p. 25)

These new realms of scientific investigation have identified and verified the inexplicable, and endeavour to find an explanation for it. Thus, “the answer, in a sense, is that science still needs a holy grail”:

The grail search, by definition, must be always alluring, never ending. It thus sets limits and at the same time encourages endless search to exceed them. For example, neuroscience, when it seeks to explain telepathy in terms of interconnecting programs, inevitably raises the spectre of action at a distance as some ever-receding lure and horizon. (p. 25)

In the realm of brain scanning, implants, and brain-computer interfacing, reality has recently caught up with fiction; this reversal has turned the metaphor back into a literal concept. In 2017, the

Black Mirror episode “Arkangel” depicted a child monitoring system installed via a brain implant, with predictably tragic results; in a recent *Scientific American* interview about the brain-computer interface constructed by Elon Musk’s Neuralink company, neuroscientist John Donaghue said, “The nature of science is: you never know what’s around the corner. I’m sure you saw *Oppenheimer*” (Guarino, 2024). As he announced the human implant surgery, Musk said his company’s product would be called Telepathy, which “Enables control of your phone or computer, and through them almost any device, just by thinking.” According to Neuralink bioengineer Ed Maynard, “We have modest goals: we want to make blind people see, paralyzed people move and deaf people hear again” (2024). But implants have long been seen as having the potential to “enhance” people who aren’t affected by such serious conditions. Musk has previously spoken about the idea of a “neural lace” that could add a symbiotic digital layer to the human brain and merge artificial intelligence with the brain (Chappel, 2024).

Following these science fiction projections that have now become fact, the next logical step after implanting a brain-computer interface leads to the mind/machine meld, long a staple of science fiction.

3.4. Myth 4: Uploading Consciousness into a Machine

The brain-computer interface is the final step toward complete separation of the mind from the body, with the option of duplicating the mind. This is the central trope in “Rachel, Jack, and Ashley Too.” When the artist becomes a myth, he or she becomes immortal (and a never-ending source of revenue): the hologram that will replace the physical body of Ashley O is named by Catherine “Ashley Eternal.”¹⁵

Sheryl Crow addresses the controversy of AI duplicating the human mind on the title track of her new album, *Evolution* (March 29, 2024), which grapples with the impact of AI on people and the planet. The song begins

*Turned on the radio and there it was
A song that sounded like something I wrote
The voice and melody were hauntingly
So familiar that I thought it was a joke*

Here is a case of AI duplicating the singer’s words, voice, and melodies without permission, as in “Rachel, Jack, and Ashley Too.” Free chatbots such as ChatGPT are now capable of writing plausible parodies of any style of text, including song lyrics, and with sampling, AI software can make convincing copies of voices, to the point where major music corporations including Universal Music Group (UMG), Sony Music Entertainment, and Warner Records are now suing AI companies Udio and Suno, which is partnered with Microsoft, for copyright infringement (Berger, 2024). Avery Slater traces the history of the development of CGP (Computer-Generated Poetry) from the end of the Second World War to the present day in “Post-Automation Poetics; or, How Cold-War Computers Discovered Poetry” (2023). The technology is so advanced, and its productions so convincing, that Luciano Floridi and Massimo Chiriatti advise, “Readers and consumers of texts will have to get used to not knowing whether the source is artificial or human” (2020, p. 691). Speaking to the BBC, Crow characterised the technology as a “slippery slope” and “a betrayal” that “goes against everything humanity is based on.” “You cannot bring people back from the dead and believe that they would stand for that,” she protests. “It’s hateful. It is antithetical to the life force that exists in all of us” (Savage, 2024).

The crux of the matter, legally and ethically, is consent. Crow’s song is about theft of intellectual property; on the other hand, an example of Robotic Musicianship used with the artist’s permission is described in “Surrogate Musicianship in the Age of In Vitro Intelligence: Redefining the Live Performer” (Moore et al., 2020), which describes how “biological ‘brains’ grown outside the donor’s body... control a music-making hybridized entity or, specifically, a surrogate musician” (p. 99). Guy Ben-Ary, one of the co-authors, donated skin cells which were converted into stem cells and then into neurons, which were combined into a mass and connected to a music synthesizer; the cybernetic

organism, called “cellF... is the world’s first biological neuron-driven synthesizer” (p. 99), “a cybernetic musician, a rock star in a petri dish” (Ben-Ary <https://guybenary.com/work/cellf/>). Videos of its “live” performances are available on Ben-Ary’s website. The authors predict that “future technologies of live music production... will become post-corporeal” (p. 99).

This is the scenario predicted in a number of science fiction/horror films of the 1950s and 60s, such as Joseph Green’s *The Brain That Wouldn’t Die* (1962), in which a scientist, Dr. Bill Cortner, keeps his fiancé, Jan’s, severed head alive while searching for a donor body. Before he can complete the transplant, however, Jan manages to sabotage the operation and she and Bill both die. Like much science fiction, Green and co-writer Rex Carlton’s story was inspired by real-life events: three years earlier, *Life Magazine* had published a story, with photographs, about Russian scientist Vladimir Demikhov, who transplanted the head of a dog onto the body of another in a number of experiments (Stevens, 1959).¹⁶

Margaret Atwood parodies the trope in a short story entitled “Cryogenics: a Symposium” (2011), in which one of the participants states: “When I’m sixty-five I’m going to get my head cut off and flash-frozen. They’ve already got the technology, they’ve set up the corporations... Then it’ll stay frozen until they’ve learned how to clone the rest of my body from a single cell, and they’ll thaw out my head and reattach it” (p. 217). One of his interlocutors points out the naïveté of placing such trust in a corporation: “what would stop them from taking your money, then after a few years with your head in the freezer they’d declare bankruptcy, pull out the plug, and toss your head in the garbage?” (pp. 218-219). Other participants point out similar logical objections, including the likelihood of environmental and societal collapse before the technology matures.

The consensus among scientists appears to be that revivification of cryogenically-frozen heads and bodies in the future is unlikely, because when delicate tissues are frozen, ice crystals form and destroy the cell structure. Jacqueline Germain writes:

Arthur Caplan, who heads the medical ethics division at New York University’s Grossman School of Medicine, tells Reuters he hasn’t seen any mainstream medical professionals endorsing cryonics. This notion of freezing ourselves into the future is pretty science-fiction, and it’s naive, Caplan says to Reuters. The only group... getting excited about the possibility are people who specialize in studying the distant future or people who have a stake in wanting you to pay the money to do it. (2022)

If immortality through preserving and reviving the body is not possible in the foreseeable future, what about converting the mind into a different format? Scenarios in which In Vitro Intelligence is compared to Artificial Intelligence (Moore et al., p. 104) can be compared to the popular science fiction trope of downloading consciousness into a machine. An episode of *Black Mirror*, “Be Right Back” (S02E01, 2013), is a modern example of Mary Shelley’s theme of Dr. Frankenstein’s motivation for his experiments with reanimating the dead in order to bring back a lost loved one, inspired by Galvani’s 1786 experiments in which he was able to elicit a physical reaction by administering electricity to the legs of dead frogs. In “Be Right Back,” Martha obtains a simulacrum of her dead partner Ash from a tech company. At first, he is an AI like ChatGPT, but the company soon provides a physical embodiment. However, she is unable to cope with the real/hyperreal dichotomy. He is real but at the same time not real. This episode encapsulates one of the main themes of the Pygmalion/Frankenstein Myth: be careful what you wish for.

Another example appeared in John Scott Campbell’s short story “The Infinite Brain” (1930), an artificial intelligence variation of the story of Frankenstein’s monster: the creation turns against its creator. Inventor Anton Des Roubles transfers his consciousness into a machine, but dies before he can complete the task. His friend, Gene (who is also the narrator) helps mechanical Anton finish the job, but then “Anton” persuades him to embark on a new project: to transfer Anton’s consciousness into a new iteration of the machine unencumbered by what Anton refers to as the “reflex” part of the brain, but which the reader immediately recognizes as the faculty of conscience or reflection. This new brain will transcend the limits of the human, or as Anton calls it, “finite” brain, to achieve its goal, the “infinite” brain, like a car without brakes. The Infinite Brain, unencumbered by a conscience

or any human emotions (except for a sense of humour), proceeds to attempt to eliminate humanity, like SkyNet in *The Terminator* (1984).

A later science fiction story by Walter M. Miller, Jr., “Izzard and the Membrane,” develops the same theme. The story is set during the Cold War; the U.S.S.R. has declared war on the United States, and is winning. The Russians have kidnapped an American computer scientist and, with a combination of deception and force, have made him develop a huge, thinking computer to coordinate their military attacks: “Scotty was in love at first speech. He had made her, and she would be perfect, and she was his creature” (Miller, p. 80) (Pygmalion allusion; combines Myth 4 with Myth 1). When Scotty learns he has been deceived, however, he manages to upload his own consciousness into the computer and sabotages the Russians’ war plan; with the computer’s help, he is then able to recreate the consciousness of his wife, who had been killed in a missile attack, and the computer places them together in an alternate reality. As James Harris notes in “Can Science Fiction Predict the Future?,” “it’s an early tale of an emergent AI, downloading consciousness, and maybe even artificial reality...” (Harris, 2020). A similar plot was used in the film *Colossus: The Forbin Project* (1970).

The similarity in the plot of all of these stories is that, once the mind has been fully separated from the body, it has no further stake or interest in preserving the body or the society that produced and nurtured it, and naturally, it proceeds to act accordingly.

4. When Myth Becomes Reality

In the realms of Artificial Intelligence and music production, science has, in many ways, caught up with science fiction. In 1950, computer scientist Alan Turing proposed a test of machine intelligence: if an observer of a conversation between a machine and human could not tell the difference between the two, the machine could be said to have passed the test. Thirteen years ago, Inafuku et al., in an article entitled “Downloading Consciousness,” predicted that “it is likely that most supercomputers will be able to run an accurate simulation of the human mind within the next few years” (2011). In 2023, a group of 19 computer scientists developed a list of attributes that could suggest that an AI is conscious: “machine learning expert Eric Elmoznino applied the checklist to several AIs with different architectures, including those used for image generation such as Dall-E2... none of the AIs ticked more than a handful of boxes, none is a strong candidate for consciousness, although Elmoznino says, ‘It would be trivial to design all these features into an AI. ’The reason no one has done so is ‘it is not clear they would be useful for tasks’” (Finkel, 2023, p. 823). It remains to be seen whether anyone has actually done so, but the article strongly suggests that it is within the realm of possibility. However, as Floridi and Chiriatti point out, Artificial Intelligence at the moment is exactly that, a simulation, and not real: “GPT-3 is an extraordinary piece of technology, but as intelligent, conscious, smart, aware, perceptive, insightful, sensitive and sensible (etc.) as an old typewriter (Heaven, 2020). Hollywood-like AI can be found only in movies, like zombies and vampires” (2020, p. 690).

In “‘Death is No Longer a Deal Breaker’; The Hologram Performer in Live Music,” Alan Hughes lists three categories of holographic performances: “Dead performers; living performers; and wholly cyber-performers who were never [organically] alive” (2020, p. 115). Hughes noted, in 2020, that ChatGPT was “so accurate in reproducing the literary style(s) of authors whose works were fed into the system... that, fearing potential misuse with a public release, the program was available only for a limited period to journalists before access was rescinded” (p. 123). Hughes predicted that soon, AI will be able to create new songs in the style of those of famous performers, and that audiences will come to accept this kind of artificial music (p. 124), posing a threat to live musicians (pp. 124-5); four years later, the prediction has been fulfilled (Berger, 2024).

5. Myth + Reality = Hyperreality

In defining what a “live” performance means today, considering that the term is often used to described televised performances, Angela Jones and Rebecca Bennett predict that “the experience of live music could soon do away with flesh altogether” (2015, p. viii).

In *Simulacra and Simulation*, Jean Baudrillard analyses the shifting nature of our perceptions of what is reality and what is not: “To dissimulate is to pretend not to have what one has. To simulate is to feign to have what one doesn’t have. One implies a presence, the other an absence” (1981, p. 3). According to Baudrillard, the way we perceive the difference has changed over time with advances in technology and cognitive science:

Representation stems from the principle of the equivalence of the sign and of the real... Simulation, on the contrary, stems from the Utopia of the principle of equivalence, from the radical negation of the sign as value, from the sign as the reversion and death sentence of every reference. Whereas representation attempts to absorb simulation by interpreting it as a false representation, simulation envelops the whole edifice of representation itself as a simulacrum (p. 6).

This has important implications for art and culture. Baudrillard claims that: “When the real is no longer what it was, nostalgia assumes its full meaning” (p. 7). Between the World Wars and the Cold War, he notes, “Myth, chased from the real by the violence of history, finds refuge in cinema” (Baudrillard, p. 46). Furthermore, he distinguishes between the effect of television and cinema on the imagination:

television ... is harmless to the imagination (including that of children) because it no longer carries the imaginary and this for the simple reason that it is no longer an image... By contrast with the cinema, which is still blessed (but less and less so because more and more contaminated by TV) with an intense imaginary—because the cinema is an image. That is to say not only a screen and a visual form, but a myth, something that still retains something of the double, of the phantasm, of the mirror, of the dream, etc. (p. 53).

In the context of musical performance, representation comprises a film or a video broadcast of an artist or a band in concert, while a simulation is an AI image or a hologram of the artist or group. Advances in technology, such as holograms and CGI in film and television, have made it difficult, if not impossible, to distinguish between what is real and what is artificial: “The impossibility of rediscovering an absolute level of the real is of the same order as the impossibility of staging illusion. Illusion is no longer possible, because the real is no longer possible” (p. 21). This has become noticeable in political polling in 2024; many people refuse to believe what they hear on the radio or see on television. The phrase, “alternative facts,” coined to obfuscate demonstrable falsehoods, along with the prevalence of video “deep fakes,” and the knowledge that any photograph or image can be manipulated in Photoshop, has turned the news into the kind of “alternate reality” once found only in fiction.

This confusion has led to widespread distrust in perception: people no longer want what they know to be real, they want the appearance of what is real. In one example, Billy Gibbons, guitarist/vocalist/songwriter for the band ZZTop, is seen on video singing and playing in the street in Helsinki, Finland (Keys, 2017). People walk past the famous artist, ignoring him. They presumably assume that a rock star would not be playing for donations on a street corner.¹⁷ Similar videos on YouTube show world-famous violin virtuoso Joshua Bell playing in subway stations, and being similarly ignored: “Joshua Bell is one of the world’s great virtuosos, and one of the biggest names in classical music. ‘Bell played for 43 minutes and ‘Out of 1,097 people that passed by Bell, 27 gave money, and only seven actually stopped and listened for any length of time’” (Macdonald, 2022).

According to Baudrillard’s reasoning, this explains why people will pay to watch a hologram in a concert setting when they could be watching a film version of the dead performer in concert on television or their home computer for free, because concerts still possess the quality of the imaginary:

Such is the logic of simulacra, it is no longer that of divine predestination, it is that of the precession of models, but it is just as inexorable. And it is because of this that events no longer have meaning: it is not that they are insignificant in themselves, it is that they were preceded by the model, with

which their processes only coincided (Baudrillard, p. 57).

This also explains why Neuralink experiments are not big news in 2024; the actual events were preceded by simulacra in science fiction movies and television programs. It's not news any more, even though the simulacra that preceded the reality were not real.

In Baudrillard's view, modern mass media construct a simulation of media and communication; people have more access to information than ever, but, ironically, respond to it with doubt, ambivalence, and disbelief. "Behind this exacerbated mise-en-scène of communication, the mass media, the pressure of information pursues an irresistible deconstruction of the social" (p. 81). Baudrillard quotes Marshall McLuhan's catchphrase: "the medium is the message," and concludes that it neutralizes all content. We want to see and hear only people who are already famous, no matter what they say or do, whether they are real or not.

In his discussion of cybernetic information theory, Baudrillard analyses the media coverage of the May 1968 student protests in France and concludes that, since conflicting news reports appear to promote the interest of the media itself, rather than that of its audience (the general public), "INFORMATION = ENTROPY" (Baudrillard, p. 86). College students protested the extremely conservative policies of the de Gaulle government, and factory workers soon joined in with a general strike that totalled ten million workers. However, "Because television was state-owned, the government censored coverage of the violent demonstrations. But private and other radio networks continued to bring live reports to millions of French people" (Beardsley, 2018). The conflicting stories led to conflicting demonstrations between students and workers on the one side and Gaullists on the other, and eventually to the fall of the de Gaulle government. In the current media context, the situation appears even worse: AI is replacing human producers of information in newsrooms, corporations, and political speechwriting rooms, but AI does not create information, it merely recycles and distorts it. AI texts, which can often be seen being read by AI images on video and television, are thus perceived as "doubles":

Of all the prostheses that mark the history of the body, the double is doubtless the oldest. But the double is precisely not a prosthesis: it is an imaginary figure, which, just like the soul, the shadow, the mirror image, haunts the subject like his other, which makes it so that the subject is simultaneously itself and never resembles itself again, which haunts the subject like a subtle and always averted death. This is not always the case, however: when the double materializes, when it becomes visible, it signifies imminent death (Baudrillard, p. 95).

This often happens in fiction: Victor Frankenstein finally sees his reflection in the monster he created just before they both die; Ashley O sees herself in Ashley Too and Ashley Eternal before she is scheduled to die, just before her miraculous reprieve when the hologram is turned off; one of them has to die, either the original or the double.

It appears that we are living in an age where "art imitates life" with great success:

It is necessary to revisit what Walter Benjamin said of the work of art in the age of its mechanical reproducibility. What is lost in the work that is serially reproduced, is its aura, its singular quality of the here and now, its aesthetic form (it had already lost its ritual form, in its aesthetic quality), and, according to Benjamin, it takes on, in its ineluctable destiny of reproduction, a political form. What is lost is the original, which only a history itself nostalgic and retrospective can reconstitute as authentic. (Baudrillard, p. 99)

6. Holograms

Holograms are the "doubles" in today's world. One hologram that stands in for a living person appears in the science fiction television series *Star Trek: Voyager*, set in the 24th century, in which the ship's doctor, played by actor Robert Picardo, is a computer-generated hologram.¹⁸ Picardo was given the role after improvising the line "I'm a doctor, not a nightlight," parodying the catchphrase of Dr. McCoy on the original series. The line demonstrates the breaking of the boundary between reality

and hyperreality by demonstrating that the AI doctor has a sense of humour, one of the traditional indicators of human intelligence. Writing in 1981, Baudrillard anticipated Picardo's holographic character in the 1995-2001 series:

It is the fantasy of seizing reality live that continues—ever since Narcissus bent over his spring. Surprising the real in order to immobilize it, suspending the real in the expiration of its double... We dream of passing through ourselves and of finding ourselves in the beyond: the day when your holographic double will be there in space, eventually moving and talking, you will have realized this miracle. Of course, it will no longer be a dream, so its charm will be lost. (Baudrillard, p. 105)

In the chapter "Simulacra and Science Fiction," Baudrillard argues that science fiction as we have known it is dead, for simulations and models have taken the place of the imagination: "The models no longer constitute either transcendence or projection, they no longer constitute the imaginary in relation to the real, they are themselves an anticipation of the real, and thus leave no room for any sort of fictional anticipation; they are immanent, and thus leave no room for any kind of imaginary transcendence" (p. 122). Beyond the context of science fiction, this comment has relevance for current-day phenomena in the music business. The fan phenomenon can be corporatized and monetized, harnessing the adoration and belief of a cult, when the object of devotion is replaced with a simulacrum. Holograms on stage are thus empty signifiers of a site where devotion once focused.

This is the paradox of "Rachel, Jack, and Ashley Too." As we watch the story unfold, we know that what was once imaginary has become, in Baudrillard's terminology, "hyperreal." It appears that this modern world leaves nothing to the imagination. Kenny Forbes expands on a comment by Alan Hughes in his explanation of the mechanism by which this works: "This capacity for contemporary audiences to experience 'realistic' dead stars live underlines that holograms can resonate by exploiting the curiosity and emotions of fans, both old and new, through the combined impact of 'nostalgia, heritage and the mythology of the deceased performers'" (Hughes, 2020, p. 114). (Forbes p. 157).

Forbes argues that "the increasing mediatization of the live event, which has compelled audiences toward engaging with images as performance via large screens" (p. 160) has resulted in a diminution of the effect of live performances. From a distance, as in a large arena, the image projected on the big screen has more impact than the actual performers who are dwarfed by their own images on the screen behind them.

In a comparative analysis of two holographic music performances, one featuring the "resurrected" Tupac Shakur, and the other the "humanoid vocaloid performer created by Crypton Future Music" (2015, p. 126), Miku et al. observe that "audiences are more comfortable with bodies that emerge from simulacra and are brought to life, rather than actual human bodies that are brought back to life" (2015, p. 128). The hologram of Tupac "invoked its human audience's fears of death as well as the fear of technology becoming too much like human life" (p. 130), while they reported being much more comfortable with the hologram of a character they knew to be artificial. There appears to be an underlying fear of artificial beings that are indistinguishable from real ones: unlike Commander Data from *Star Trek: the Next Generation*, who is loved by audiences because he is obviously artificial, or the cartoon members of the synthetic band Gorillaz, the Tupac hologram evokes fear in observers because, like the replicants in *Blade Runner*, it is indistinguishable from "live" humans: "it also frightened some because the image appeared to be 'too real' for comfort" (p. 136), recalling Baudrillard's comment that the appearance of the simulacrum portends imminent death.¹⁹

A successful variant of the simulacrum recently appeared in a series of "world tours" featuring "Abba-tars," digital avatars created by clothing the original band members of the 1980s pop supergroup Abba, now all in their 70s, in motion capture suits, then projecting and filming their movements with avatars that capture the way they looked forty years ago. "Agnetha, Frida, Benny and Bjorn got on stage in front of 160 cameras and almost as many VFX geniuses, and they performed every song in this show, to perfection, over five weeks," explained producer Ludvig Andersson. "So when you see this show, it is not four people pretending to Abba, it is actually them" (BBC News,

2021). The fans seem to agree, as the show has sold out in venues around the world in 2021, 22, 23, and 24.²⁰

7. The Death of the Author

In “The Death of The Author” (1967) Roland Barthes argued that, in the modern age, the author has become irrelevant. Wimsatt and Beardsley’s principle of the “Intentional Fallacy,” in *The Verbal Icon* (1946), claims that it is a mistake to try to interpret the meaning of a text by attempting to determine what the author intended when she wrote it. Wimsatt, Beardsley, and other New Critics instead assumed the existence of an “Ideal Reader” who interprets the word on the page and assigns meaning, instead of trying to discover whatever meaning the author might have intended. Reader-response critics refined this concept by adding that the meaning of a text depends on interpretations that change over time.

The Death of the Author can be seen as a root metaphor for the relationship between the artist, the work, and the audience in the postmodern world. In Baudrillard’s hyperreality, when the simulacrum comes into existence, the original dies; in New Criticism, when the work comes into existence, the author dies; in science fiction, when the consciousness is uploaded into a machine, the human body dies. In all these contexts, the author has uploaded her consciousness into the work of art, so it is inevitable that the artist dies (metaphorically) and the work takes on a life of its own, to be interpreted by the readers or viewers.

The ending of “Rachel, Jack, and Ashley Too” provides a visual example of this dynamic at work: after narrowly escaping physical death at the hands of her management team, behind the end credits, in a scene where Ashley plays her new music with a punk band, her old fans walk into the club, and the horrified look on their faces show that they reject this Ashley for who she really is: what they want is the pop culture simulacrum of Ashley created by Catherine and her assistants. When the fans turn their back on the new, real Ashley and walk out, she has, symbolically, died to them. They don’t want Ashley to survive and grow up; rather, they choose the simulacrum of nostalgia, the Ashley of Eternal Youth.

8. Conclusions

Science fiction explores the boundaries of our perceptions of the real world; unlike fantasy, which asks “what if” our world was governed by magic, the supernatural, the impossible, science fiction asks “what if” we were to extrapolate from our knowledge of the current laws of science to predict a natural and possible future. Accounts from both artists and scientists demonstrate what we know about the ways that science and technology enable and affect the creation and consumption of music. Films, television programs, songs, and scholarly research all explore the future of music from different perspectives, and an overview of artistic productions and scientific articles reveals that the future is breaking upon us faster than we could have dreamed. Again and again we have seen how the speculative fictions of one decade become the news stories of the next. Some may lament the loss of tradition, and indulge in nostalgia for the songs, styles, performers of the past, while others eagerly anticipate new developments in art and culture. To paraphrase B.B. Gabor, “I’m a little bit scared of the music of the future,” but as musicologists, musicians, and fans all agree, music reflects who we are, and today, more than ever, who we are becoming.

The theme of “Rachel, Jack, and Ashley Too” is that advanced technology allows the “mechanical reproduction” (Benjamin) not just of the artwork but of the artist/performer as well, an issue that cropped up after the invention of photography and of sound/video recording. However, music and its composed lyrics occupy a place in the western imaginary that touches the divine. Music and lyric composition represent the myth of the soul-in-the-body, the immanence of divinity in the human. To create the creation that takes on life (Pygmalion/Frankenstein) is to challenge human boundaries, but to create a creator who performs the boundary-breaking act of soul-making is to challenge divinity itself. This is why AI is so disturbing: a performing hologram may be eerie, but it remains on the Pygmalion level; an AI composing indistinguishably from a human composer usurps

one of our most cherished species concepts, that humans in their highest levels of creativity are animated by a divine spark.

Funding: This research received no external funding.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: No new data were created or analyzed in this study. Data sharing is not applicable to this article.

Conflicts of Interest: The author declares no conflict of interest.

Notes

1. A wikipedia article entitled “List of Songs That Retell a Work of Literature” contains 233 songs but the list is far from complete (https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/List_of_songs_that_retell_a_work_of_literature); one notable omission is Mountain’s “Nantucket Sleighride,” based on Melville’s *Moby Dick*.
2. Richard Slotkin points out that “American myths—tales of heroes in particular—frequently turn out to be the works of literary hacks or of promoters seeking to sell American real estate by mythologizing the landscape” (1973, p. 6).
3. Several commentators note that the use of the term “hologram” is incorrect in the context, but it appears to have stuck in the popular consciousness, so I will use it with the caveat that the terms “projection” and “video” are more accurate (see Anson, 2014, p. 111; Skopicki, 2020, p. 21; Hughes, 2020, p. 115; Forbes, 2021, p. 158).
4. Two notable bands with symbolic names are The Cult (1983-today) and Cults (2010-today).
5. Britannica, The Editors of Encyclopaedia, “Jim Jones,” *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 26 Jun. 2024, <https://www.britannica.com/biography/Jim-Jones>; Britannica, The Editors of Encyclopaedia. “Waco siege.” *Encyclopedia Britannica*, 26 Jul. 2024, <https://www.britannica.com/event/Waco-siege>.
6. Bots are the social media equivalent of holograms in theatrical performances: programmable artificial simulacra of real people.
7. Deepfakes in advertising and the news are another story (Vaccari and Chadwick, 2020).
8. Recently, a number of newly-released recordings and videos have been created by taking old recordings, often demos, made by stars such as John Lennon, “enhancing” them with new technologies, including AI, and dubbing in backing tracks and/or new arrangements by original members of the group (Sheffield, 2023).
9. There is an allusion here to the highly-publicized scandal around the 2008-2021 legal conservatorship of pop star Britney Spears, who testified in court that “she was forced to go on tour, made to take medication she didn’t want, and go into rehab” (“Britney Spears: Singer’s Conservatorship Case Explained.” BBC News, November 12, 2021, <https://www.bbc.com/news/world-us-canada-53494405>).
10. In her novel *Yellowface* (2023), hailed by critics as a satire of the book publishing business, Rebecca Kuang describes a similar situation in the literary world: her protagonist, June, submits to the temptation to plagiarize from her deceased friend/enemy Athena and is rewarded with a contract by Eden Press, from which she is threatened with expulsion when evidence of her crime begins to surface. Kuang shows how publishers use advertising and awards ceremonies to manipulate sales and the careers of their authors. June’s agent and publishers ignore evidence of her plagiarism, going so far as to fire and blacklist one of their own employees for mentioning the subject, because her sales are strong. *Yellowface* shows the cultural appeal of the artist given a sort of immortality after death, in this case by having her manuscript taken over by another writer.

11. "A sensory deprivation tank is a dark, soundproof, floatation device that reduces external stimulation. It may help with anxiety, pain, creativity, and physical recovery, but it can also cause hallucinations or discomfort." Fletcher, Jenna. 6 April, 2020. "Sensory Deprivation Tank Benefits: How It Works." *Medical News Today*. <https://www.medicalnewstoday.com/articles/sensory-deprivation-tank-benefits>.
12. Purves notes that it is also used for interrogations and torture.
13. Cyanotic's web page describes the band as "part band, part machine, the Chicago-based angry robot outfit Cyanotic, has been producing their own hybrid of angry robot music since forming in 2002." <https://cyanotic.bandcamp.com/album/transhuman-20>.
14. Science fiction versions include the clone, "Der mechanischer Doppelgänger," and as we shall see, the hologram.
15. There is a somewhat similar controversy over the statue named "Forever Marilyn" in Palm Springs, California. Some fans are delighted by the tribute to the star, while others are more negative over what they see as a cash grab, including residents of the community who complain about the negative effects the statue might have on the value of their real estate (<https://slate.com/culture/2024/06/statue-palm-springs-ca-marilyn-monroe-instagram.html>).
16. The story of the modern-day Dr. Frankenstein was the subject of a recent BBC documentary (Farncombe, Vicky. 19 February, 2024. "The Soviet Scientist Who Made Two-headed Dogs." *Witness History*. BBC News, <https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/w3ct4xbz>).
17. According to lambgoat.com, ZZTop is paid at least \$350,000.00 per concert (2024, <https://lambgoat.com/blog/472/How-much-does-it-REALLY-cost-to-book-your-favorite-band-for-a-show>).
18. Berman, Rick (showrunner). *Star Trek: Voyager*. Paramount. 1995-2001.
19. In many scifi stories of cloning, like Ridley Scott's *Blade Runner* (1982), clones are regarded as less than human.
20. Many newspaper accounts have made clear that the artists indeed have not been brought back to life, and the show has been constructed with their enthusiastic consent and participation. Prices at the Saturday, 17 August 2024, show at ABBA Arena, London range from £104.50 to £181.50 (https://www.ticketmaster.co.uk/event/35005F27BEC958B5?brand=abba_voyage).

References

1. Allyn, Rachel, Ph.D. 25 April, 2022. "A Modern Approach to Finding Your Soul Mate, Part 2: Free Yourself from Antiquated Beliefs about Love and Relationships." *Psychology Today*. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/us/blog/the-pleasure-is-all-yours/202204/modern-approach-finding-your-soul-mate>.
2. Anson, Stephen. Fall, 2014. "Hologram Images and the Entertainment Industry: New Legal Territory?" *Washington Journal of Law, Technology & Arts*. Volume 10, Issue 2.
3. Atwood, Margaret. 2011. "Cryogenics: A Symposium." In *Other Worlds: SF and the Human Imagination*. London: Virago.
4. Bajić, Marina. 2024. "Whoopee! We're All Gonna Die!": The Inimitability of Woodstock." In *Words, Music, and Propaganda*, Volume 2. Edited by Tomaž Onič, Urša Šivič, and Teja Turk. Maribor: University of Maribor Press.
5. Barreras, María. 2015. "The Divided Self Metaphor: A Cognitive-linguistic Study of Two Poems by Nabokov." *International Journal of English Studies*. 15(1), pp. 97-113.
6. Barthes, Roland. 1967. "The Death of the Author." *Aspen: The Magazine in a Box*. 5+6. Translated by Richard Howard. As presented at UbuWeb: <http://www.ubu.com/aspen/aspen5and6/index.html>.
7. Baudrillard, Jean. 1981. *Simulacra and Simulation*. Translated by Sheila Faria Glaser. Ann Arbor: University of Michigan Press.
8. BBC News. 2 September, 2021. "Abba Delight Fans with New 10-song Album and Virtual Concert." <https://www.bbc.com/news/entertainment-arts-58423452>.

9. Beardsley, Eleanor. 29 May, 2018. "In France, The Protests of May 1968 Reverberate Today—And Still Divide The French." NPR. <https://www.npr.org/sections/parallels/2018/05/29/613671633/in-france-the-protests-of-may-1968-reverberate-today-and-still-divide-the-french>.
10. Berger, Virginie. 27 June, 2024. "Why Major Labels Are Suing AI Music Startups Udio and Suno For Mass Copyright Infringement." *Forbes*. <https://www.forbes.com/sites/virginieberger/2024/06/27/why-major-labels-are-suing-ai-music-startups-udio-and-suno-for-mass-copyright-infringement/>.
11. Britannica, The Editors of Encyclopaedia. 4 June, 2024. "mind-body dualism." *Encyclopedia Britannica*. <https://www.britannica.com/topic/mind-body-dualism>. Accessed 23 July 2024.
12. Cabral, Sam. 21 June, 2024. "British Editor Backs Out of Top Washington Post Job." BBC News. <https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/c3gg44v0ww3o>.
13. Campbell, John Scott. 1930. "The Infinite Brain." *Science Wonder Stories*. Pp. 1076-1093.
14. Chappell, Bill. 30 January, 2024. "What to Know about Elon Musk's Neuralink, Which Put an Implant into a Human Brain." NPR.
15. Čop, Noemi. 2024. "Stay Sane Inside Insanity: The Occult Classical and The Propagation of Hedonistic Insanity in The Rocky Horror Picture Show." In *Words, Music, and Propaganda*, Volume 2. Edited by Tomaž Onič, Urša Šivič, and Teja Turk. Maribor: University of Maribor Press.
16. Coleridge, Samuel Taylor. 1816. "Kubla Khan." London: John Murray.
17. Coscarelli, Joe. 25 December, 2020. "How Pop Music Fandom Became Sports, Politics, Religion and All-Out War." *New York Times*.
18. Cull, Felicity. 2015. "Dead Music in Live Music Culture." In *The Digital Evolution of Live Music*. Edited by Angela Cresswell-Jones and Rebecca Jane Bennett. Chandos Publishing: Waltham, MA., pp. 109-121.
19. Darcy, Oliver. 7 June, 2024. "Morale Plummets inside The Washington Post as Staffers Express Alarm over Publisher's Attempts to Squash Story." CNN. <https://edition.cnn.com/2024/06/07/media/washington-post-will-lewis-newsroom-morale/index.html>.
20. Dinh, James. December 9, 2009. "Miley Cyrus Covers Poison's 'Every Rose Has Its Thorn'." MTV News. Viacom
21. Duncan, Heather. 2018. "Human'ish': Voices from Beyond the Grave in Contemporary Narratives." *ELOPE* Vol. 15 (1), pp. 83-97-
22. Farncombe, Vicky. 19 February, 2024. "The Soviet Scientist Who Made Two-headed Dogs." *Witness History*. BBC News. <https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/w3ct4xbz>.
23. Fernyhough, Charles. 18 June, 2006. "Metaphors of Mind." *The Psychologist*. <https://www.bps.org.uk/psychologist/metaphors-mind>.
24. Finkel, Elizabeth. August 2023. "Researchers Pose Test for AI Sentience." *Science*. Volume 381, Issue 6660, pp. 822-823.
25. Floridi, Luciano, and Massimo Chiriat. 2020. "GPT-3: Its Nature, Scope, Limits, and Consequences." *Minds & Machines* 30, pp. 681–694. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-020-09548-1>.
26. Fogel, Alan. 25 May, 2022. "The Fiction of a 'Mind-Body 'Separation." *Psychology Today*. <https://www.psychologytoday.com/intl/blog/body-sense/202205/the-fiction-mind-body-separation>.
27. Forbes, Kenny. 2021. "Dead Stars Live: Exploring Holograms, Liveness, and Authenticity." In *Researching Live Music: Gigs, Tours, Concerts and Festivals*. Edited by Chris Anderton and Sergio Pisfil. Focal Press, London.
28. Frye, Northrop. 1957. *Anatomy of Criticism: Four Essays*. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
29. Germain, Jacqueline. 21 October, 2022. "200 Frozen Heads and Bodies Await Revival at This Arizona Cryonics Facility: The Human Cryopreservation Project Faces Skepticism from Medical and Legal Authorities." *Smithsonian Magazine*. <https://www.smithsonianmag.com/smart-news/200-frozen-heads-and-bodies-await-revival-at-this-arizona-cryonics-facility-180980981/>.
30. Greiser, Melissa M. October 2020. "Understanding Cult Membership: Beyond 'Drinking the Kool-Aid.'" *the jonestown report*, Volume 22, https://jonestown.sdsu.edu/?page_id=93938.
31. Galvani, Luigi. 1791. "Aloysii Galvani de viribus electricitatis in motu musculari commentarius." *De Bononiensi scientiarum et artium instituto atque academia commentarii*, tom. 7. Bologna, pp. 363–418.
32. Grow, Kory. 10 September, 2019. "Live After Death: Inside Music's Booming New Hologram Touring Industry." *Rolling Stone*. <https://www.rollingstone.com/music/music-features/hologram-tours-roy-orbison-frank-zappa-whitney-houston-873399/>.
33. Guarino, Ben. 30 January, 2024. "Elon Musk's Neuralink Has Implanted Its First Chip in a Human Brain. What's Next?" *Scientific American*.
34. Harris, James Wallace. 26 January, 2020. "Can Science Fiction Predict the Future?" *Classics of Science Fiction*. <https://classicsofsciencefiction.com/2020/01/26/can-science-fiction-predict-the-future/>.
35. Herzog, Jana. 2019. *The Myth of Pygmalion in Modern Society*. Master's Thesis. University of Maribor.
36. Hickey, Daniel, Matheus Schmitz, Daniel Fessler, Paul E. Smaldino, Goran Muric, and Keith Burghardt. 2023. "Auditing Elon Musk's Impact on Hate Speech and Bots." In *Proceedings of the International AAAI Conference on Web and Social Media*, vol. 17, pp. 1133-1137.

37. Hughes, Alan. 2020. "Death is No Longer a Deal Breaker"; The Hologram Performer in Live Music." In *The Future of Live Music*. Edited by Ewa Mazierska, Les Gillon, and Tony Rigg. New York/London: Bloomsbury, pp. 114-128.
38. Inafuku, Jordan, Katie Lampert, Brad Lawson, Shaun Stehly, and Alex Vaccaro. n.d. "Downloading Consciousness." <https://cs.stanford.edu/people/eroberts/cs201/projects/2010-11/DownloadingConsciousness/tandr.html>. Accessed July 15, 2024.
39. Jones, Angela, and Rebecca Bennett. 2015. "Preface." *The Digital Evolution of Live Music*. Chandos Publishing: Waltham, MA.
40. Jones, Angela, Rebecca Bennett and Sam Cross. 2015. "Keepin' It Real? Life, Death, and Holograms on the Live Music Stage." *The Digital Evolution of Live Music*. Chandos Publishing: Waltham, MA. pp. 123-138.
41. Jurkowitz, Mark, Amy Mitchell, Elisa Shearer and Mason Walker. 24 January, 2020. "U.S. Media Polarization and the 2020 Election: A Nation Divided." Pew Research Center. <https://www.pewresearch.org/journalism/2020/01/24/u-s-media-polarization-and-the-2020-election-a-nation-divided/>.
42. Kennedy, Victor. 2024. "Latin American Influences on North American Popular Music and Culture: Mythologizing the Outlaw in Mexican-American Border Music." In *Words, Music, and Propaganda*, Volume 2. Edited by Tomaž Onič, Urša Šivič, and Teja Turk. Maribor: University of Maribor Press.
43. Kennedy, Victor, and Tjaša Mohar (eds.) 2024. *Words, Music, and Propaganda*. Newcastle Upon Tyne: Cambridge Scholars Publishing.
44. Kuang, Rebecca F. 2023. *Yellowface*. London: The Borough Press.
45. Lakoff, George, and Mark Johnson. 1980. *Metaphors We Live By*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
46. Levin, Ira. 1972. *The Stepford Wives*. New York: Random House.
47. Macdonald, Kyle. 10 June, 2022. "The Time When Joshua Bell Went Busking in the Subway, and No-one Noticed." Classic FM. <https://www.classicfm.com/artists/joshua-bell/violin-busking-washington-subway/>.
48. Mazierska, Ewa, Les Gillon, and Tony Rigg (eds.) 2020. *The Future of Live Music*. New York/London: Bloomsbury.
49. Miller, Walter M. Jr. May, 1951. "Izzard and the Membrane." *Astounding Science Fiction*. Vol. XLVII, No. 2. pp. 70-116.
50. Moore, Darren, Guy Ben-Ary and Nathan Thompson. 2020. "Surrogate Musicianship in the Age of In Vitro Intelligence: Redefining the Live Performer." In *The Future of Live Music*. Edited by Ewa Mazierska, Les Gillon, and Tony Rigg. New York; London: Bloomsbury Academic, pp. 99-113.
51. Murley, Mark. Spring/Summer 2004. "Pinder on Threshold." *Higher & Higher: The Moody Blues Magazine*. Issue 46/47.
52. Palmer, Robert. 19 April, 1990. "The 50s: A Decade of Music That Changed the World." *Rolling Stone*. <https://www.rollingstone.com/feature/the-50s-a-decade-of-music-that-changed-the-world-229924/3/>.
53. Pereira, Ansel. 1 February, 2024. "100 Best Songs about Mythology." *Spinditty*. <https://spinditty.com/playlists/best-songs-about-mythology>.
54. Plato. *Phaedo*. Tr. Benjamin Jowett. <http://classics.mit.edu/Plato/phaedo.html>.
55. Purves, Dale, George Augustine, David Fitzpatrick, William C. Hall, Anthony LaMantia, Richard Mooney, and Leonard E. White. 2018. *Neuroscience*. Sinauer.
56. Roberts, Chris. 20 February, 2020. "We Were One of the First Progressive Bands! ' - Spirit and Their Sense of Adventure." *Prog*. <https://www.loudersound.com/features/spirit-interview-we-were-one-of-the-first-progressive-bands>.
57. Rozsa, Matthew. 29 April, 2022. "The Cult of Elon Musk: Why Do Some of Us Worship Billionaires?" *Salon*. <https://www.salon.com/2022/04/29/the-of-elon-musk-why-do-some-of-us-worship-billionaires/>.
58. Savage, Mark. 22 June, 2024. "Sheryl Crow: 'Resurrecting Tupac with AI is hateful.'" BBC News. <https://www.bbc.com/news/articles/cn00e695lpvo>.
59. Shaw, George Bernard. 1913. *Pygmalion*.
60. Sheffield, Rob. 2 November, 2023. "The Beatles Return for One More Masterpiece With New Song 'Now and Then.'" *Rolling Stone*. <https://www.rollingstone.com/music/music-news/beatles-new-song-now-and-then-1234868643/>.
61. Shelley, Mary. 1818. *Frankenstein*. London: Lackington, Hughes, Harding, Mavor & Jones.
62. Shermer, Michael. 1993. "The Unlikeliest Cult in History." *Skeptic*. Vol. 2, No. 2, pp. 74-81.
63. Skirry, Justin. "René Descartes: The Mind-Body Distinction." *Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy*. n.d. <https://iep.utm.edu/descartes-mind-body-distinction-dualism/>.
64. Skopicki, Hannah. 2020. "Pixelated Poltergeists: Synchronization Rights and the Audiovisual Nature of 'Dead Celebrity' Holograms." *American University Law Review Forum*. Vol. 70:1.
65. Slater, Avery. 2023. "Post-Automation Poetics; or, How Cold-War Computers Discovered Poetry." *American Literature*. 95. pp. 205-227.
66. Slotkin, Richard. 1973. *Regeneration Through Violence: The Mythology of the American Frontier, 1600-1860*. Middletown, Connecticut: Wesleyan University Press.

67. Slusser, George. 2007. "Paranormality: Science Fiction's Holy Grail." *The Yearbook of English Studies*, vol. 37, no. 2, pp. 24–44. JSTOR, <http://www.jstor.org/stable/20479300>. Accessed 23 July 2024.
68. Stevens, Edmund. 20 July, 1959. "Russia's Two-headed Dog: How Shavka Joined Brodyaga." *Life Magazine*.
69. Tolkien, J. R. R. 1991. *The Lord of the Rings*. London, England: HarperCollins.
70. Tomlinson, Zachary. January 13, 2019. "The Technology of Black Mirror: Fantasy or Reality." *Interesting Engineering*. <https://interestingengineering.com/culture/the-technology-of-black-mirror-fantasy-or-reality>.
71. Toonkel, Jessica, Paris Martineau, and Jessica E. Lessin. April 14, 2022. "Free Speech and the Cult of Elon: Why Musk Wants to Buy Twitter." *The Information*. <https://www.theinformation.com/articles/free-speech-and-the-cult-of-elon-why-musk-wants-to-buy-twitter>.
72. Turing, Alan M. 1950. "Computing Machinery and Intelligence." *Mind* 49, pp. 433-460.
73. Vaccari, Christian, and Andrew Chadwick. 2020. "Deepfakes and Disinformation: Exploring the Impact of Synthetic Political Video on Deception, Uncertainty, and Trust in News." *Social Media and Society*, 6(1).
74. Walezak, Emilie. 2018. "A.S. Byatt, Science, and the Mind/Body Dilemma." *Journal of Literature and Science*, Volume 11, No. 1, pp. 106-119.
75. Westphal, Jonathan. "Descartes and the Discovery of the Mind-Body Problem." *The MIT Press Reader*. August 8, 2019. <https://thereader.mitpress.mit.edu/discovery-mind-body-problem/>.
76. Wimsatt Jr., William K., and Monroe C. Beardsley. "The Intentional Fallacy." *The Sewanee Review*. Vol. 54, No. 3 (Jul. - Sep., 1946), pp. 468-488.
77. Ben-Ary, Guy. 12 December, 2015. "The Premier of cellF (2015)." <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=8yGsmU4hryg>.
78. Bole, Cliff (dir.). 1992. "The Perfect Mate," *Star Trek: The Next Generation* S5E21. Paramount.
79. Cameron, James (dir.). 1984. *The Terminator*. Orion Pictures.
80. Foster, Jodie (dir.). 29 December, 2017. "Arkangel." *Black Mirror* S4E2.
81. Green, Joseph (dir.). 1962. *The Brain That Wouldn't Die*. American International Pictures.
82. Harris, Owen (dir.) and Charlie Brooker (writer). "Br Right Back." *Black Mirror* S2E1.
83. Keys, Jimmy. 22 June, 2017. "Billy Gibbons (ZZ TOP) Playing the Blues as a Street Musician in Helsinki for a Few Coins." <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=YnOkuiHIUuM>.
84. Poole, John W. 10 April, 2007. "Joshua Bell's 'Stop and Hear the Music' Metro Experiment/The Washington Post." https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=hnOPu0_YWhw
85. Roddenberry, Gene. 1987-1994. *Star Trek: The Next Generation*. Paramount.
86. Sargent, Joseph (dir.). 1970. *Colossus: The Forbin Project*. Universal Pictures.
87. Scott, Ridley (dir.). 1982. *Blade Runner*. Warner Bros.
88. Sewitsky, Anne (dir.) and Charlie Brooker (writer). 5 June, 2019. "Rachel, Jack and Ashley Too." *Black Mirror* Season 5, Episode 3. Netflix.
89. Sharman, Jim (dir.) and Richard O'Brien (screenplay). *The Rocky Horror Picture Show*. 20th Century Fox.
90. Adams, Bryan, and Jim Vallance. 1985. "Heaven." *Reckless*. A&M.
91. Azagthoth, Trey. 1991. "The Ancient Ones." *Blessed Are the Sick*. Earache Records.
92. Baker, Peter Edward (Ginger), and Mike Taylor. 1968. "Those Were the Days." *Wheels of Fire*. Polydor.
93. Clapton, Eric, and Martin Sharp. 1967. "Tales of Brave Ulysses." *Disraeli Gears*. Reaction/Atco.
94. Cooper, Alice, and Kane Roberts. 1987. "Teenage Frankenstein." *Constrictor*. MCA Records.
95. Crow, Sheryl. 2024. "Evolution." *Evolution*. Big Machine.
96. Cyrus, Miley, and John Shanks. 2010. "Robot." *Can't Be Tamed*. Hollywood Records.
97. Dick, Derek William (Fish). 1982. "Grendel." *Market Square Heroes*. EMI.
98. Edge, Graeme. 1969. "In the Beginning." *On The Threshold of a Dream*. Decca.
99. Gábor, B.B. 1981. "Girls of the Future." *Girls of the Future*. Toronto: Anthem.
100. Gillan, Ian, Roger Glover, and Ritchie Blackmore. 1984. "Gypsy's Kiss." *Perfect Strangers*. Polydor.
101. Heyman, Edward, Frank Eyton, John Green, and Robert Sour. 1930. "Body and Soul." Carlin America Inc., Spirit Music Group, Round Hill Music Big Loud Songs, Warner Chappell Music, Inc.
102. Holopainen, Tuomas. 1997. "Elvenpath." *Angels Fall First*. Spinefarm.
103. Jones, John Paul, Jimmy Page and Robert Plant. 1971. "Misty Mountain Hop." *Led Zeppelin IV*. Atlantic.
104. Manning, Mark, Ian Richardson, Nick Coler (performed by Alice Cooper). 1992. "Feed My Frankenstein." *Hey Stoopid*. Epic.
105. O'Riordan, Dolores. 1994. "Zombie." *No Need to Argue*. Island Records.
106. Page, Jimmy, and Robert Plant. 1971. "The Battle of Evermore." *Led Zeppelin IV*. Atlantic.
107. Page, Jimmy, and Robert Plant. 1969. "Ramble On." *Led Zeppelin II*. Atlantic.
108. Peretti, Hugo, Luigi Creatore, and George David Weiss. 1959. "Can't Help Falling in Love." Single. RCA Victor.
109. Potter, Grace. 2012. "My Mechanical Friend." *Frankenweenie Unleashed!* (Music Inspired by the Motion Picture). Walt Disney Records.
110. Prince. 1990. "Nothing Compares 2 U." *I Do Not Want What I Haven't Got* (album by Sinéad O'Connor). Chrysalis.

111. Scott, Andrew. 1999. "Sensory Deprivation." *Between the Bridges*. murderrecords.
112. Sheeran, Ed. 2017. "Perfect." ÷. Asylum/Atlantic.
113. Warner, Brian Hugh, Jeordie White, and Chris Alan Vrenna. 2009. "Unkillable Monster." *The High End of Low*. Interscope Records.
114. Williamson, Graeme. 1984. "Miss Right." *Pukka Orchestra*. A&M/Solid Gold Records.
115. Williamson, Graeme. 1981. "Rubber Girl." Single. Toronto: Solid Gold Records.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.