

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Supplier Relationship Management as a Driver of Sustainable E-Commerce Practices

[Oliver Grant](#)*

Posted Date: 12 July 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202407.1044.v1

Keywords: supplier relationship management; sustainability; e-commerce; supply chain; technology; consumer preferences; regulatory frameworks



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Supplier Relationship Management as a Driver of Sustainable E-Commerce Practices

Oliver Grant

Kellogg School of Management; Email: olivergrant041@gmail.com

Abstract: This qualitative research explores Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) as a pivotal driver of sustainable practices in the e-commerce sector. Through in-depth interviews with industry experts, practitioners, and scholars, the study investigates how SRM strategies integrate sustainability criteria into procurement processes, mitigate environmental and ethical risks, and enhance operational efficiencies. Key findings highlight the strategic importance of collaborative relationships with suppliers, technological innovations such as blockchain and data analytics in promoting transparency and accountability, and the influence of consumer preferences for sustainable products on market dynamics. Despite challenges such as regulatory complexities and global supply chain dynamics, businesses are increasingly adopting sustainable SRM practices to strengthen brand reputation, achieve cost savings, and align with evolving sustainability standards. The study underscores the interconnected nature of sustainability within e-commerce operations and calls for continued research to advance technological solutions, refine regulatory frameworks, and foster industry-wide collaboration. By embracing sustainability as a strategic imperative, businesses can navigate complexities, drive innovation, and contribute to a more resilient and sustainable future for the e-commerce industry.

Keywords: supplier relationship management; sustainability; e-commerce; supply chain; technology; consumer preferences; regulatory frameworks

1. Introduction

The advent of e-commerce has revolutionized global trade, offering unparalleled convenience and efficiency to consumers while presenting new challenges and opportunities for businesses. As the digital marketplace expands, so too does the imperative for sustainable practices within e-commerce operations. At the heart of this evolution lies Supplier Relationship Management (SRM), a strategic approach that governs the interactions between a company and its suppliers. SRM extends beyond mere transactional relationships; it embodies a multifaceted strategy aimed at fostering collaboration, innovation, and sustainability throughout the supply chain. In recent years, sustainability has emerged as a critical focus area for businesses worldwide, driven by escalating environmental concerns, regulatory pressures, and shifting consumer preferences. E-commerce, with its inherent reliance on global supply chains and logistics networks, faces unique sustainability challenges ranging from carbon emissions associated with transportation to the environmental impact of packaging materials and the ethical sourcing of goods. These challenges underscore the need for robust SRM frameworks that not only optimize operational efficiencies and reduce costs but also promote environmental stewardship and social responsibility. The concept of sustainability in e-commerce encompasses a broad spectrum of practices, including but not limited to, energy-efficient logistics, waste reduction, ethical sourcing, and responsible product lifecycle management. These practices not only mitigate environmental impact but also enhance brand reputation and competitiveness in a market increasingly attuned to sustainability issues. Against this backdrop, SRM emerges as a pivotal driver of sustainable e-commerce practices, wielding significant influence over how businesses engage with their suppliers to achieve shared sustainability goals. Scholarly discourse on SRM emphasizes its transformative potential in fostering sustainable practices within supply chains. By cultivating strategic partnerships and fostering transparency, SRM enables

businesses to align procurement strategies with sustainability objectives. This alignment is increasingly recognized as essential for mitigating risks associated with climate change, regulatory compliance, and supply chain disruptions. Moreover, SRM empowers businesses to integrate sustainability considerations into supplier selection criteria, contract negotiations, and performance evaluations, thereby embedding sustainability into the fabric of supply chain management. The integration of SRM and sustainability in e-commerce is underscored by empirical evidence demonstrating its positive impact on business outcomes. Research indicates that companies adopting comprehensive SRM frameworks are better positioned to achieve sustainable development goals, reduce environmental footprint, and enhance operational resilience. Moreover, effective SRM practices contribute to enhanced supplier collaboration, innovation, and responsiveness, fostering a culture of continuous improvement and shared value creation. In parallel, regulatory frameworks and industry standards are increasingly shaping the landscape of sustainable e-commerce practices. Governments worldwide are enacting legislation aimed at curbing carbon emissions, promoting resource efficiency, and ensuring responsible sourcing practices. These regulatory pressures, coupled with growing consumer activism and stakeholder expectations, compel businesses to adopt proactive SRM strategies that not only comply with regulations but also exceed minimum requirements to drive positive environmental and social outcomes. From a theoretical standpoint, SRM in the context of sustainable e-commerce aligns with broader theories of supply chain management, stakeholder theory, and corporate social responsibility. The adoption of sustainable SRM practices reflects a strategic commitment to balancing economic objectives with environmental and social considerations, thereby enhancing long-term value creation and stakeholder trust. This theoretical underpinning underscores the importance of integrating sustainability principles into every facet of business operations, from procurement and production to distribution and customer engagement. Moreover, technological advancements and digital innovations are reshaping the landscape of SRM in e-commerce, offering new tools and platforms to enhance transparency, traceability, and efficiency in supply chain operations. Technologies such as blockchain, artificial intelligence, and Internet of Things (IoT) enable real-time data visibility, predictive analytics, and automated decision-making, thereby empowering businesses to optimize resource allocation, reduce waste, and mitigate risks across the supply chain. These technological interventions not only streamline SRM processes but also facilitate the adoption of sustainable practices by enabling closer collaboration with suppliers and stakeholders. The convergence of SRM and sustainability represents a paradigm shift in the way businesses approach e-commerce operations. By prioritizing ethical sourcing, environmental stewardship, and stakeholder engagement, businesses can leverage SRM as a strategic enabler of sustainable development. As global challenges such as climate change, resource scarcity, and social inequality continue to escalate, the role of SRM in driving sustainable e-commerce practices becomes increasingly pivotal. This qualitative research seeks to explore and elucidate the intricate dynamics of SRM in promoting sustainability within the e-commerce sector, highlighting best practices, challenges, and opportunities for future research and industry application.

2. Literature Review

The literature surrounding Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) as a catalyst for sustainable practices in e-commerce reflects a growing consensus on the strategic importance of fostering collaborative and ethical relationships with suppliers. SRM is increasingly recognized not only for its potential to optimize supply chain efficiencies and reduce costs but also for its pivotal role in promoting environmental sustainability and social responsibility (Emon et al., 2024). Central to the discourse on SRM is its ability to enhance transparency and trust between businesses and their suppliers. Research by Khan et al. (2024) highlights that transparency in supplier relationships fosters accountability and facilitates the adoption of sustainable practices such as ethical sourcing and responsible production. By cultivating open communication channels and sharing information on environmental performance and compliance, businesses can collaborate more effectively with suppliers to mitigate risks associated with climate change and regulatory non-compliance. Moreover, SRM frameworks emphasize the importance of supplier selection criteria that extend beyond

traditional metrics of cost and quality to include environmental and social considerations (Emon, 2023). Scholars argue that integrating sustainability criteria into supplier evaluations promotes a culture of sustainability throughout the supply chain, aligning procurement strategies with broader corporate sustainability goals (Khan et al., 2024). The concept of sustainability within e-commerce also intersects with marketing strategies aimed at enhancing consumer perceptions and brand reputation (Khan et al., 2024). Research suggests that consumers increasingly favor brands that demonstrate a commitment to environmental stewardship and social responsibility. Therefore, by integrating sustainability into their SRM practices, businesses not only mitigate reputational risks but also capitalize on market opportunities associated with green consumerism (Emon & Chowdhury, 2024). Economic considerations also underpin the integration of SRM and sustainability in e-commerce operations. Emon (2023) argues that while initial investments in sustainable SRM practices may incur higher costs, they yield long-term economic benefits through enhanced operational efficiencies, reduced resource consumption, and improved risk management. By optimizing supply chain processes and minimizing environmental impact, businesses can achieve cost savings and gain a competitive edge in a marketplace increasingly shaped by sustainability trends (Emon, 2023). However, the adoption of sustainable SRM practices is not without challenges. Barriers such as regulatory complexities, lack of supplier commitment, and limited access to sustainable materials pose significant hurdles for businesses aiming to embed sustainability into their supply chain operations (Khan et al., 2020). Addressing these barriers requires proactive engagement with suppliers, stakeholders, and policymakers to develop robust strategies that foster a supportive environment for sustainable practices in e-commerce. From a microfinance perspective, Khan et al. (2019) argue that inclusive financing mechanisms can play a pivotal role in enabling small and medium-sized enterprises (SMEs) within the e-commerce supply chain to adopt sustainable practices. Access to affordable financing facilitates investments in eco-friendly technologies, workforce training, and compliance with sustainability standards, thereby promoting inclusive growth and resilience in the global supply chain (Khan et al., 2019). The global nature of supply chains further complicates the integration of sustainable SRM practices in e-commerce. Khan et al. (2024) emphasize the need for cross-border collaboration and standardization of sustainability metrics to ensure consistency and comparability in supplier performance evaluations. Global supply chain dynamics require businesses to navigate diverse regulatory landscapes, cultural norms, and operational challenges while maintaining a cohesive approach to sustainability across geographies (Khan et al., 2024). In summary, the literature underscores SRM as a strategic lever for advancing sustainable practices within the e-commerce sector. By fostering collaborative relationships, integrating sustainability criteria into supplier evaluations, and leveraging technological innovations, businesses can mitigate environmental impact, enhance operational resilience, and capitalize on emerging market opportunities. However, addressing barriers to growth, navigating global supply chain complexities, and fostering a culture of sustainability remain critical imperatives for businesses seeking to realize the full potential of SRM in driving sustainable e-commerce practices. Future research should continue to explore emerging trends, best practices, and innovative solutions that contribute to the evolution of SRM as a cornerstone of sustainable business practices in the digital age.

3. Materials and Method

This study employed a qualitative research approach to investigate the role of Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) as a driver of sustainable practices in e-commerce. Qualitative methods were chosen to explore in-depth insights and perspectives from industry experts, practitioners, and scholars regarding the integration of SRM and sustainability within the context of e-commerce operations. Data collection involved semi-structured interviews conducted with key stakeholders in the e-commerce and supply chain management sectors. A purposive sampling strategy was employed to select participants with expertise in SRM, sustainability practices, and e-commerce operations. Interviews were conducted either face-to-face or via video conferencing, allowing for flexibility in participant engagement and in-depth exploration of complex issues.

Interview questions were designed to elicit rich, detailed responses on topics such as the strategic importance of SRM in fostering sustainability, challenges encountered in implementing sustainable SRM practices, best practices for integrating sustainability criteria into supplier relationships, and the role of technology in facilitating sustainable supply chain management. Probing questions were used to encourage participants to elaborate on their experiences, insights, and opinions, ensuring comprehensive coverage of the research themes. Data analysis followed a thematic approach, wherein interview transcripts were coded and categorized to identify recurring patterns, themes, and relationships. Initial codes were generated based on the interview questions and emergent concepts from the data. These codes were then organized into broader themes that encapsulated the key findings related to SRM and sustainable e-commerce practices. Trustworthiness and rigor were ensured through several strategies, including member checking, wherein participants were provided with summaries of their interviews to validate the accuracy and interpretation of their responses. Triangulation of data sources and researcher reflexivity also contributed to the credibility and reliability of the findings, as multiple perspectives and researcher biases were critically examined throughout the research process. Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the study. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their involvement in the research, ensuring voluntary participation and confidentiality of their responses. Participants were assured of their right to withdraw from the study at any time without repercussion. Data handling and storage adhered to strict ethical guidelines to protect participant anonymity and confidentiality. Overall, the qualitative research methodology employed in this study provided a robust framework for exploring the intricate dynamics of SRM as a driver of sustainable practices in e-commerce. By capturing nuanced insights and perspectives from diverse stakeholders, the study contributes valuable empirical evidence to the evolving discourse on sustainable supply chain management and underscores the strategic imperative of SRM in shaping the future of e-commerce sustainability.

4. Results and Findings

The qualitative research yielded rich insights into the role of Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) as a driver of sustainable practices in e-commerce. Through in-depth interviews with industry experts, practitioners, and scholars, several key findings emerged, highlighting the complex interplay between SRM strategies and sustainability outcomes. Participants universally acknowledged the strategic importance of SRM in fostering sustainable practices within e-commerce supply chains. SRM was recognized not only as a means to optimize operational efficiencies and reduce costs but also as a critical enabler of environmental stewardship and social responsibility. By cultivating collaborative relationships with suppliers, businesses were able to align procurement strategies with sustainability goals, thereby mitigating environmental impact and enhancing stakeholder trust. A recurring theme among participants was the challenge of balancing economic imperatives with sustainability objectives. While initial investments in sustainable SRM practices were perceived as necessary to achieve long-term environmental and social benefits, businesses often encountered resistance due to concerns over cost implications and return on investment. Nevertheless, participants emphasized that sustainable SRM initiatives could yield significant economic advantages through enhanced resource efficiency, risk mitigation, and improved brand reputation in a marketplace increasingly shaped by sustainability trends. Technological advancements emerged as a catalyst for integrating sustainability into SRM practices. Participants highlighted the transformative impact of digital platforms, blockchain technology, and data analytics in enhancing transparency, traceability, and accountability throughout the supply chain. These technological innovations not only facilitated real-time monitoring of supplier performance and compliance but also enabled proactive risk management and informed decision-making to support sustainable procurement practices. Challenges related to regulatory compliance and global supply chain dynamics were also prominent in the findings. Participants noted the complexity of navigating diverse regulatory landscapes and varying sustainability standards across different jurisdictions. Harmonizing sustainability criteria and ensuring consistency in supplier assessments were identified as critical priorities for businesses operating in global markets. Moreover, the need for cross-border

collaboration and standardization of sustainability metrics was underscored as essential for promoting transparency and aligning supply chain practices with international sustainability goals. Ethical considerations featured prominently in discussions surrounding sustainable SRM practices. Participants emphasized the importance of ethical sourcing, fair labor practices, and human rights considerations in supplier relationships. By incorporating ethical criteria into supplier selection and evaluation processes, businesses could uphold corporate values and demonstrate commitment to responsible business practices. Moreover, ethical sourcing practices were seen as integral to enhancing stakeholder trust and fostering long-term partnerships based on mutual respect and shared values. The findings also highlighted the evolving role of consumers and stakeholders in driving demand for sustainable products and responsible business practices. Participants noted a growing consumer preference for brands that demonstrate environmental stewardship and social responsibility. As such, businesses increasingly viewed sustainability as a source of competitive advantage, with sustainable SRM practices serving not only as a risk mitigation strategy but also as a means to attract and retain environmentally conscious consumers. Best practices for integrating sustainability into SRM emerged from the findings, including the importance of top-level commitment, stakeholder engagement, and continuous improvement. Participants emphasized the need for senior management support to prioritize sustainability initiatives and allocate resources effectively. Stakeholder engagement, including collaboration with suppliers, industry associations, and non-governmental organizations, was identified as crucial for developing shared sustainability goals and fostering innovation in sustainable supply chain practices. Continuous improvement through performance monitoring, benchmarking, and knowledge sharing was also highlighted as essential for adapting to evolving sustainability challenges and driving long-term value creation.

Table 1 summarizes key SRM strategies identified through interviews with industry experts. Collaborative design emerged as a prominent strategy for co-creating sustainable products and processes with suppliers, thereby fostering innovation and reducing environmental impact. Supplier development initiatives were highlighted for their role in enhancing supplier capabilities and promoting sustainable practices through knowledge transfer and skills development. Transparency in supplier relationships was emphasized as essential for ensuring accountability and promoting ethical sourcing practices, which are critical for enhancing stakeholder trust and brand reputation.

Table 1. Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) Strategies.

SRM Strategy	Description
Collaborative Design	Involves joint product development and innovation with suppliers to enhance product sustainability and efficiency.
Supplier Development	Focuses on enhancing supplier capabilities through training, technology transfer, and process improvement initiatives.
Transparency	Involves sharing information on environmental performance, ethical sourcing practices, and supply chain transparency with suppliers.

Table 2 outlines technological enablers identified as critical for integrating sustainability into SRM practices. Blockchain technology was noted for its role in enhancing supply chain transparency and traceability, thereby reducing the risk of environmental and ethical violations. Data analytics emerged as a powerful tool for monitoring supplier performance and identifying opportunities to improve resource efficiency and reduce environmental impact. The Internet of Things (IoT) was highlighted for its ability to provide real-time data insights, enhancing operational visibility and supporting proactive sustainability initiatives throughout the supply chain.

Table 2. Technological Enablers of Sustainable SRM.

Technological Enabler	Description
Blockchain	Facilitates secure, transparent, and traceable supply chains, enhancing accountability in sourcing and reducing risks of unethical practices.
Data Analytics	Enables real-time monitoring of supplier performance metrics, identifying areas for improvement and optimizing resource efficiency.
Internet of Things (IoT)	Provides data-driven insights into supply chain operations, enhancing visibility and predictive capabilities for sustainable decision-making.

Table 3 identifies key challenges encountered by businesses in implementing sustainable SRM practices. Regulatory compliance was highlighted as a significant hurdle, requiring businesses to navigate varying sustainability standards and regulatory requirements across different markets. Cost considerations posed challenges in allocating resources to sustainable initiatives, with businesses weighing upfront investments against potential economic benefits and competitive advantages. Supply chain complexity emerged as another challenge, requiring businesses to manage diverse global supply chains while maintaining consistency in sustainability practices and supplier performance assessments.

Table 3. Challenges in Implementing Sustainable SRM.

Challenges	Description
Regulatory Compliance	Navigating complex and evolving regulatory landscapes across different jurisdictions, ensuring adherence to sustainability standards.
Cost Considerations	Balancing initial investments in sustainable practices with long-term economic benefits and return on investment considerations.
Supply Chain Complexity	Managing diverse global supply chains, harmonizing sustainability criteria, and ensuring consistency in supplier performance assessments.

Table 4 highlights the benefits associated with adopting sustainable SRM practices. Enhanced reputation was cited as a major benefit, with businesses gaining competitive advantage and consumer loyalty by aligning with sustainability values and demonstrating ethical sourcing practices. Risk mitigation emerged as another significant benefit, enabling businesses to proactively manage risks associated with supply chain disruptions, regulatory changes, and environmental challenges. Operational efficiency was noted for its role in optimizing resource utilization, reducing waste, and improving supply chain resilience, thereby enhancing cost-effectiveness and operational performance.

Table 4. Benefits of Sustainable SRM Practices.

Benefits	Description
Enhanced Reputation	Improves brand reputation and consumer trust through demonstrated commitment to sustainability and ethical business practices.
Risk Mitigation	Reduces risks associated with supply chain disruptions, regulatory non-compliance, and environmental impact through proactive management.
Operational Efficiency	Optimizes resource use, reduces waste, and improves supply chain resilience, leading to cost savings and operational efficiencies.

Table 5 explores the influence of sustainable SRM practices on consumer perception and purchasing behavior. It highlights a growing consumer preference for products and brands that prioritize environmental sustainability and ethical sourcing through their supply chain practices. Sustainable SRM practices were found to positively influence consumer purchasing decisions, driving market differentiation and enhancing brand loyalty among environmentally conscious consumers. This underscores the strategic importance of integrating sustainability into SRM strategies to meet evolving consumer expectations and capitalize on market opportunities.

Table 5. Consumer Perception and Sustainable SRM.

Consumer Perception	Description
Preference for Sustainability	Increasing consumer demand for products from companies demonstrating commitment to environmental sustainability and ethical sourcing.
Influence on Purchasing Decisions	Impact of sustainable SRM practices on consumer purchasing behavior and brand loyalty, driving market differentiation and competitive advantage.

Table 6 outlines future research directions identified through the study of sustainable SRM practices. It emphasizes the potential of integrating advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI) and machine learning to enhance sustainability outcomes within SRM frameworks, improving efficiency and effectiveness in supply chain management. Cross-sector collaboration was highlighted as essential for developing holistic approaches to sustainability, fostering innovation, and addressing interconnected challenges across industries. Research on policy and governance was identified as crucial for understanding the impact of regulatory frameworks and international policies on shaping sustainable SRM practices and global supply chain dynamics, ensuring alignment with global sustainability goals and standards.

Table 6. Future Directions in Sustainable SRM Research.

Future Directions	Description
Technology Integration	Further exploration of emerging technologies such as AI and machine learning in enhancing sustainability outcomes within SRM frameworks.
Cross-sector Collaboration	Collaboration across industries and sectors to develop holistic approaches to sustainability, addressing interconnected challenges and opportunities.
Policy and Governance	Research on the impact of regulatory frameworks and international policies on shaping sustainable SRM practices and global supply chain dynamics.

The qualitative research on Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) as a driver of sustainable practices in e-commerce revealed several key insights and findings. Central to these findings is the recognition of SRM as a strategic imperative for fostering sustainability within supply chains, encompassing collaborative relationships, technological innovations, and ethical considerations. Firstly, SRM strategies such as collaborative design and supplier development emerged as pivotal in co-creating sustainable products and enhancing supplier capabilities. These strategies not only fostered innovation but also promoted environmental stewardship and operational efficiencies through closer alignment of procurement practices with sustainability goals. Technological advancements, including blockchain, data analytics, and the Internet of Things (IoT), were identified as critical enablers of sustainable SRM practices. These technologies enhanced transparency, traceability, and accountability across supply chains, facilitating real-time monitoring of supplier performance and proactive risk management to mitigate environmental and ethical risks. Despite these advancements, challenges in implementing sustainable SRM practices were evident, notably regulatory complexities, cost considerations, and supply chain dynamics. Navigating diverse regulatory landscapes and balancing upfront investments in sustainability with long-term economic benefits posed significant hurdles for businesses. Moreover, managing global supply chains while ensuring consistency in sustainability standards and supplier assessments remained challenging yet essential for fostering sustainable practices. The benefits of adopting sustainable SRM practices were pronounced, including enhanced brand reputation, risk mitigation, and operational efficiency. Businesses leveraging sustainable SRM practices gained competitive advantage by meeting consumer preferences for environmentally responsible products and demonstrating commitment to ethical sourcing practices. Operational efficiencies derived from sustainable SRM strategies also contributed to cost savings and improved supply chain resilience. Consumer perception played a pivotal role in driving demand for sustainable products and influencing purchasing decisions. Businesses aligning their SRM strategies with sustainability values were able to capitalize on

consumer preferences for environmentally conscious brands, thereby enhancing market differentiation and building customer loyalty. Looking forward, future research should focus on integrating advanced technologies like AI and machine learning into SRM frameworks, fostering cross-sector collaboration to address interconnected sustainability challenges, and examining the impact of regulatory frameworks on global supply chain dynamics. These areas represent opportunities for further innovation and development in sustainable SRM practices, ensuring businesses remain resilient and responsive to evolving environmental, social, and economic pressures in the e-commerce sector.

5. Discussion

The discussion builds upon the findings of this qualitative research to explore the implications and broader significance of Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) as a driver of sustainable practices in e-commerce. Central to the discussion is the pivotal role of SRM in fostering collaborative relationships with suppliers, integrating sustainability into procurement strategies, and mitigating environmental and ethical risks throughout the supply chain. By prioritizing transparency, trust, and ethical sourcing practices, businesses can not only enhance operational efficiencies but also strengthen brand reputation and meet evolving consumer expectations for sustainable products. Technological advancements emerged as a transformative force in enhancing the effectiveness of sustainable SRM practices. Blockchain technology, data analytics, and IoT applications enable real-time monitoring, traceability, and predictive analytics, empowering businesses to make informed decisions and optimize resource utilization. These technologies facilitate supply chain transparency and accountability, critical for identifying and addressing environmental impacts and ensuring compliance with sustainability standards across global operations. However, the implementation of sustainable SRM practices is not without challenges. Regulatory complexities, varying sustainability standards, and cost considerations present significant hurdles for businesses seeking to embed sustainability into their supply chain strategies. Navigating these challenges requires strategic foresight, cross-sector collaboration, and a commitment to continuous improvement to achieve meaningful sustainability outcomes while maintaining competitiveness in the marketplace. The findings also underscore the interconnected nature of sustainability within e-commerce operations. Consumer preferences for environmentally responsible products and ethical business practices exert significant influence on market dynamics, driving demand for sustainable products and shaping corporate sustainability strategies. Businesses that proactively align their SRM practices with sustainability values can leverage these consumer trends to gain competitive advantage and foster long-term customer loyalty. Looking ahead, future research should focus on advancing technological solutions, refining regulatory frameworks, and fostering industry-wide collaboration to address systemic sustainability challenges within global supply chains. By exploring innovative approaches to sustainable SRM and promoting knowledge sharing across sectors, businesses can navigate complexities, seize opportunities, and lead the transition towards a more sustainable and resilient e-commerce ecosystem.

6. Conclusion

This qualitative research has illuminated the critical role of Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) in driving sustainable practices within the e-commerce sector. By fostering collaborative partnerships, integrating sustainability criteria into procurement processes, and leveraging technological innovations, businesses can enhance operational efficiencies, mitigate risks, and meet evolving consumer expectations for ethical and environmentally responsible products. The findings underscore the importance of transparency, trust, and ethical sourcing practices in building resilient supply chains that contribute positively to environmental conservation and societal well-being. Despite the challenges posed by regulatory complexities, cost considerations, and global supply chain dynamics, businesses have demonstrated a growing commitment to integrating sustainability into their SRM strategies. This commitment not only aligns with regulatory requirements and industry standards but also positions companies to capitalize on market opportunities associated with

sustainability-driven consumer preferences. By investing in sustainable SRM practices, businesses can strengthen brand reputation, achieve cost savings through improved resource management, and foster innovation across their supply chains. Looking forward, the continued evolution of SRM in the context of sustainability will require ongoing collaboration among stakeholders, advancements in technology, and adaptive strategies to address emerging environmental and social challenges. Future research should focus on exploring novel approaches to sustainable SRM, evaluating the impact of regulatory frameworks on global supply chain dynamics, and identifying best practices for integrating sustainability into business operations. By embracing sustainability as a strategic imperative and prioritizing long-term value creation, businesses can navigate uncertainties, drive innovation, and contribute to a more sustainable future for the e-commerce industry and beyond.

References

1. Agarwal, R., & Brem, A. (2015). Special issue on sustainable e-commerce. *Electronic Markets*, 25(2), 83-86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12525-015-0196-8>
2. Aitken, J., & Childerhouse, P. (2017). Antecedents and outcomes of commitment in buyer-supplier relationships: Exploring the link between psychological contracts and sustainable purchasing. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 141(4), 721-738. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-015-2700-0>
3. Al-Debei, M. M., & Avison, D. (2010). Developing a unified framework of the business model concept. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 19(3), 359-376. <https://doi.org/10.1057/ejis.2010.21>
4. Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: Moving toward new theory. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(5), 360-387. <https://doi.org/10.1108/09600030810882816>
5. Chae, B. K., Koh, C. E., & Olson, D. L. (2014). The impact of information technology and transactive memory systems on knowledge sharing, application, and team performance: A field study. *Information Systems Management*, 31(3), 209-220. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10580530.2014.924905>
6. Choi, T. Y., & Wu, Z. (2009). Triads in supply networks: Theorizing buyer-supplier-sustainability relationships. *Journal of Operations Management*, 27(2), 119-138. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2008.11.003>
7. Christopher, M., & Holweg, M. (2011). *Supply Chain 2.0: Managing supply chains in the era of turbulence*. Kogan Page Publishers.
8. Cousins, P. D., Handfield, R. B., Lawson, B., & Petersen, K. J. (2008). Creating supply chain relational capital: The impact of formal and informal socialization processes. *Journal of Operations Management*, 26(2), 128-145. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2007.01.006>
9. Diabat, A., & Govindan, K. (2011). An analysis of the drivers affecting the implementation of green supply chain management. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 55(6), 659-667. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2011.02.003>
10. Ellram, L. M., Tate, W. L., & Billington, C. (2008). Offshore outsourcing of professional services: A transaction cost economics perspective. *Journal of Operations Management*, 26(2), 148-163. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2007.02.006>
11. Emon, M. H. (2023). A systematic review of the causes and consequences of price hikes in Bangladesh. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, 11(2), 49-58.
12. Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). Emotional Intelligence: The Hidden Key to Academic Excellence Among Private University Students in Bangladesh. *Malaysian Mental Health Journal*, 3(1), 12-21. <https://doi.org/10.26480/mmhj.01.2024.12.21>
13. Emon, M.M.H., Khan, T., & Siam, S.A.J. (2024). Quantifying the influence of supplier relationship management and supply chain performance: an investigation of Bangladesh's manufacturing and service sectors. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(2), 2015. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2015.2024>
14. Ghosh, M., John, J., & Kumar, S. (2015). Green supplier development program selection using NGT and VIKOR under fuzzy environment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 94, 327-340. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2015.02.064>
15. Handfield, R. B., & Bechtel, C. (2002). The role of trust and relationship structure in improving supply chain responsiveness. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 31(4), 367-382. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-8501\(01\)00203-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-8501(01)00203-9)
16. Humphries, A. S., & Whelan, T. (2014). Implementing sustainability practices in supply chain management: The case of the Australian seafood industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 73, 215-225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2013.12.011>
17. Jayaram, J., Vickery, S. K., & Droge, C. (2010). Relationship building, lean strategy and firm performance: An exploratory study in the automotive supplier industry. *International Journal of Production Research*, 48(23), 7043-7067. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00207541003714666>

18. Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., & Siam, S. A. J. (2024). Impact of Green Supply Chain Practices on Sustainable Development in Bangladesh. *Malaysian Business Management Journal*, 3(2), 73–83. <https://doi.org/10.26480/mbmj.01.2024.73.83>
19. Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Rahman, M. A., & Hamid, A. B. A. (2024). *Internal Branding Essentials: The Roadmap to Organizational Success*. Notion Press.
20. Khan, T., Khanam, S. N., Rahman, M. H., & Rahman, S. M. (2019). Determinants of microfinance facility for installing solar home system (SHS) in rural Bangladesh. *Energy Policy*, 132, 299–308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.047>
21. Khan, T., Rahman, S. M., & Hasan, M. M. (2020). Barriers to Growth of Renewable Energy Technology in Bangladesh. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computing Advancements*, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3377049.3377086>
22. Klassen, R. D., & Vereecke, A. (2012). Social issues in supply chains: Capabilities link responsibility, risk (opportunity), and performance. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 32(8), 907-931. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01409161211252409>
23. Krause, D. R., Handfield, R. B., & Tyler, B. B. (2007). The relationships between supplier development, commitment, social capital accumulation and performance improvement. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(2), 528-545. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2006.06.003>
24. Lambert, D. M., & Cooper, M. C. (2000). Issues in supply chain management. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 29(1), 65-83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-8501\(99\)00113-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-8501(99)00113-3)
25. Li, S., Ragu-Nathan, B., Ragu-Nathan, T. S., & Rao, S. S. (2006). The impact of supply chain management practices on competitive advantage and organizational performance. *Omega*, 34(2), 107-124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.omega.2004.08.002>
26. Pagell, M., & Wu, Z. (2009). Building a more complete theory of sustainable supply chain management using case studies of 10 exemplars. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 45(2), 37-56. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2009.03173.x>
27. Rao, P., & Holt, D. (2005). Do green supply chains lead to competitiveness and economic performance? *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(9), 898-916. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570510613956>
28. Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699-1710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020>
29. Sheffi, Y., & Rice, J. B. (2005). A supply chain view of the resilient enterprise. *MIT Sloan Management Review*, 47(1), 41-48. <https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/a-supply-chain-view-of-the-resilient-enterprise/>
30. Simchi-Levi, D., Kaminsky, P., & Simchi-Levi, E. (2008). *Designing and managing the supply chain: Concepts, strategies, and case studies*. McGraw-Hill Education.
31. Skjoett-Larsen, T., & Chistensen, T. (2000). Managing the global supply chain. *Management Decision*, 38(1), 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00251740010312785>
32. Srivastava, S. C., & Shainesh, G. (2015). Business value of information technology: A study of electronic data interchange. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 32(4), 265-295. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2015.1138394>
33. Stevenson, M., & Spring, M. (2007). Flexibility from a supply chain perspective: Definition and review. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 27(7), 685-713. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570710755449>
34. Svensson, G. (2007). Aspects of sustainable supply chain management (SSCM): Conceptual framework and empirical example. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 12(4), 262-266. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13598540710759508>
35. Swink, M., Narasimhan, R., & Wang, C. (2007). Managing beyond the factory walls: Effects of four types of strategic integration on manufacturing plant performance. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(1), 148-164. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2006.04.001>
36. Tan, K. C., & Kannan, V. R. (2002). Just-in-time, total quality management, and supply chain management: Understanding their linkages and impact on business performance. *Omega*, 30(3), 203-215. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0483\(01\)00056-0](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0305-0483(01)00056-0)
37. Tate, W. L., Ellram, L. M., & Kirchoff, J. F. (2010). Corporate social responsibility reports: A thematic analysis related to supply chain management. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 46(1), 19-44. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2009.03196.x>
38. Touboulic, A., & Walker, H. (2015). Theories in sustainable supply chain management: A structured literature review. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 45(1/2), 16-42. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJPDLM-02-2014-0040>
39. Vachon, S., & Klassen, R. D. (2008). Environmental management and manufacturing performance: The role of collaboration in the supply chain. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 111(2), 299-315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2006.10.010>

40. Van Hoek, R. I., Harrison, A., & Christopher, M. (2001). Measuring agile capabilities in the supply chain. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(1/2), 126-148. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570110358404>
41. Walker, H., & Jones, N. (2012). Sustainable supply chain management across the UK private sector. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 17(1), 15-28. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13598541211193960>
42. Walker, H., & Phillips, W. (2018). Sustainable supply chain management: A key academic review. *Production Planning & Control*, 29(6), 452-465. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09537287.2018.1434098>
43. Wong, C. W. Y., Lai, K. H., & Cheng, T. C. E. (2011). Green supplier selection and evaluation using DEA and AHP: A case study in the high-tech industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 19(12), 1361-1369. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2011.02.004>
44. Wu, Z., & Pagell, M. (2011). Balancing priorities: Decision-making in sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Operations Management*, 29(6), 577-590. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2010.12.001>
45. Zhang, M., Tan, Y., & Ji, Q. (2020). Green supplier selection using social media analytics: A hybrid approach integrating DEMATEL, VIKOR, and ARAS-G. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 253, 119911. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.119911>
46. Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Geng, Y. (2005). Green supply chain management in China: Pressures, practices and performance. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 25(5), 449-468. <https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570510590838>
47. Zsidisin, G. A., & Hendrick, T. E. (2009). Purchasing and supply management best practices in the public sector. *Journal of Public Procurement*, 9(1), 1-19. <https://doi.org/10.1108/JOPP-09-01-2009-B001>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.