

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Influence of Ethical Supply Chain Practices on Brand Equity: A Qualitative Study of Consumer Perspectives

[Samuel Holloway](#)*

Posted Date: 24 June 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202406.1502.v1

Keywords: Ethical supply chain practices; Brand equity; Consumer perceptions; Transparency, Authenticity



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Influence of Ethical Supply Chain Practices on Brand Equity: A Qualitative Study of Consumer Perspectives

Samuel Holloway

Kellogg School of Management; samuelholloway989@gmail.com

Abstract: This qualitative study explores the influence of ethical supply chain practices on brand equity from the perspective of consumers. In-depth interviews were conducted with 30 participants to examine their attitudes, perceptions, and behaviors towards brands that emphasize ethical considerations in their supply chain operations. Thematic analysis revealed several key findings. Transparency and authenticity emerged as critical factors influencing consumer trust and perceptions of brand integrity. Participants valued brands that communicated clearly and honestly about their ethical sourcing practices, viewing transparency as indicative of reliability and ethical commitment. Ethical considerations significantly influenced consumer purchase decisions, with participants emphasizing the importance of factors such as fair labor practices, environmental sustainability, and responsible sourcing in shaping their perceptions of product quality and brand value. Brands that integrated these ethical principles into their supply chain management were perceived as offering higher-quality products, which enhanced consumer willingness to pay a premium and bolstered brand reputation. The study also highlighted varying levels of consumer awareness and engagement with ethical supply chain practices, underscoring the importance of effective communication and consumer education. Ethical supply chain practices were found to foster brand loyalty and trust, with brands perceived as ethical and socially responsible enjoying stronger consumer relationships and loyalty. Overall, the findings suggest that ethical supply chain practices play a crucial role in enhancing brand equity by aligning business operations with consumer values and expectations. The study contributes to existing literature by providing empirical insights into the mechanisms through which ethical considerations influence consumer perceptions and behaviors towards brands.

Keywords: Ethical supply chain practices; Brand equity; Consumer perceptions; Transparency; Authenticity

1. Introduction

In today's globalized economy, the concept of brand equity holds significant strategic importance for businesses across various industries. Brand equity represents the perceived value that consumers attach to a brand, encompassing both tangible and intangible attributes such as brand awareness, perceived quality, brand associations, and brand loyalty (Aaker, 1991). It serves as a key determinant of a brand's competitive advantage, influencing consumer behavior, purchase decisions, and ultimately, financial performance (Keller, 1993). Amidst the evolving landscape of consumer preferences and expectations, ethical considerations within supply chains have emerged as a crucial factor shaping brand equity. Ethical supply chain practices encompass a range of principles and actions that prioritize social and environmental responsibility throughout the sourcing, manufacturing, and distribution processes (Carter & Jennings, 2004). These practices include but are not limited to fair labor standards, safe working conditions, environmental sustainability, responsible sourcing of raw materials, and community engagement initiatives (Seuring & Müller, 2008). The adoption of such practices not only aligns businesses with regulatory requirements and industry standards but also reflects a commitment to corporate social responsibility (CSR) and sustainable

development goals (SDGs) (Elkington, 1997; Blowfield & Murray, 2008). Consumer awareness and demand for ethical products and brands have grown significantly in recent years, driven by heightened social consciousness, media scrutiny, and advocacy from non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and civil society groups (Mohr et al., 2001; Boulstridge & Carrigan, 2000). The rise of social media platforms and digital communication has further amplified consumer scrutiny, enabling rapid dissemination of information and facilitating collective activism (Harrison et al., 2006). Consequently, consumers today are more informed, empowered, and vocal about their expectations regarding corporate ethics and responsibility (Bhattacharya & Sen, 2004). The influence of ethical supply chain practices on brand equity extends beyond compliance and reputation management to encompass strategic differentiation and market positioning (Hart, 1995; Lee & Kotler, 2011). By integrating ethical considerations into their operations, brands can enhance their reputation, build trust with stakeholders, and foster long-term relationships with consumers (Sen & Bhattacharya, 2001). Moreover, ethical practices can mitigate risks associated with supply chain disruptions, regulatory non-compliance, and negative publicity, thereby safeguarding brand equity and shareholder value (Tate et al., 2012). Scholars and practitioners alike have underscored the importance of understanding consumer perceptions and behaviors towards ethical brands, emphasizing the role of ethical supply chain practices in shaping brand equity (Crane & Matten, 2004; Fombrun & Shanley, 1990). While existing literature offers valuable insights into the drivers and consequences of ethical consumerism, there remains a need for empirical research that explores the nuanced relationship between ethical supply chain practices and brand equity from the perspective of consumers (Mohr & Webb, 2005; Lii & Lee, 2012). This qualitative study seeks to address this gap by examining consumer perceptions of ethical supply chain practices and their impact on brand equity. By employing semi-structured interviews with a diverse sample of consumers, the research aims to uncover the underlying factors that influence consumer attitudes, behaviors, and decision-making processes regarding brands that emphasize ethical sourcing, transparency, and corporate social responsibility. Through a qualitative approach, this study intends to provide rich, contextual insights into how ethical supply chain practices contribute to the creation, enhancement, and maintenance of brand equity in the contemporary marketplace. In summary, this introduction sets the stage for an in-depth exploration of the influence of ethical supply chain practices on brand equity from the perspective of consumers. It outlines the significance of brand equity in competitive strategy, discusses the evolution and importance of ethical supply chain practices, highlights the growing consumer demand for ethical brands, and underscores the rationale for conducting qualitative research to examine this complex relationship. Ultimately, this study aims to contribute valuable insights to academia and industry practitioners seeking to leverage ethical practices to strengthen brand equity and achieve sustainable business success in an increasingly conscientious consumer environment.

2. Literature Review

The literature on brand equity underscores its critical role in shaping consumer perceptions, influencing purchasing decisions, and ultimately contributing to a firm's financial performance (Keller, 1993; Aaker, 1991). Central to brand equity is the concept of consumer-based brand equity, which emphasizes consumer perceptions and experiences with a brand (Keller, 1993). This perspective suggests that strong brand equity is built upon favorable brand associations, high brand awareness, perceived quality, and brand loyalty (Keller, 1993). Ethical supply chain practices have emerged as a significant dimension of corporate strategy aimed at enhancing brand equity. These practices encompass a range of initiatives including fair labor standards, environmental sustainability, responsible sourcing, and community engagement (Carter & Jennings, 2004; Seuring & Müller, 2008). By integrating ethical considerations into their supply chain operations, firms not only comply with regulatory standards but also differentiate themselves in the marketplace, thereby enhancing their reputation and building trust among consumers (Hart, 1995; Lee & Kotler, 2011). Consumer awareness and demand for ethical products and brands have been steadily increasing (Boulstridge & Carrigan, 2000; Bhattacharya & Sen, 2004). This trend is driven by a growing sense of

social responsibility among consumers, who are increasingly scrutinizing corporate behavior and expecting transparency and accountability (Bhattacharya & Sen, 2004). According to Mohr and Webb (2005), consumers perceive brands that engage in ethical practices more positively and are more likely to support these brands through repeat purchases and recommendations. Recent studies highlight the multifaceted benefits of ethical supply chain practices on brand equity. For instance, research by Lii and Lee (2012) demonstrates that corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives positively influence consumer perceptions and attitudes towards brands, leading to enhanced brand loyalty and competitive advantage. Similarly, Sen and Bhattacharya (2001) argue that companies that prioritize CSR not only improve their brand image but also achieve better financial performance over the long term. Moreover, ethical supply chain practices can mitigate risks associated with negative publicity and stakeholder mistrust (Tate et al., 2012). By adopting transparent and responsible sourcing practices, firms can enhance their resilience to supply chain disruptions and regulatory scrutiny, thereby safeguarding their brand equity (Tate et al., 2012). However, despite the clear benefits, firms often face challenges in implementing and maintaining ethical supply chain practices. Barriers such as cost implications, complexity in supplier relationships, and the need for organizational commitment can hinder the adoption of ethical practices (Carter & Jennings, 2004; Seuring & Müller, 2008). These barriers underscore the importance of strategic alignment and leadership commitment in integrating ethical considerations into supply chain management practices (Lee & Kotler, 2011). In the context of sustainable development goals (Emon & Khan, 2023), ethical supply chain practices play a pivotal role in addressing environmental and social challenges. Sustainable sourcing of raw materials, waste reduction initiatives, and carbon footprint management are increasingly becoming integral to corporate sustainability strategies (Emon & Khan, 2023). Companies that embrace sustainability not only contribute positively to the environment but also enhance their brand equity by appealing to environmentally conscious consumers (Emon & Khan, 2023). Moreover, the intersection of entrepreneurship (Emon & Nipa, 2024) and emotional intelligence (Emon et al., 2024; Emon & Chowdhury, 2024) with ethical supply chain practices highlights the importance of innovative and socially responsible business practices. Entrepreneurs are increasingly leveraging ethical considerations as a source of competitive advantage, driving innovation in sustainable product design and supply chain management (Emon & Nipa, 2024). From a marketing perspective (Rahman et al., 2024), ethical supply chain practices provide firms with compelling narratives to engage consumers and build meaningful brand connections. Marketing campaigns that highlight ethical sourcing, fair trade, and community engagement resonate with socially conscious consumers, enhancing brand authenticity and credibility (Rahman et al., 2024). Additionally, effective supplier relationship management (Emon et al., 2024) is crucial for embedding ethical practices throughout the supply chain. Collaborative partnerships based on trust and shared values facilitate the adoption of ethical standards among suppliers, ensuring consistency and integrity across the supply chain (Emon et al., 2024). In summary, the literature underscores the integral role of ethical supply chain practices in enhancing brand equity through consumer perceptions of corporate responsibility and sustainability. While challenges exist, the strategic integration of ethical considerations into supply chain management can yield significant benefits in terms of brand differentiation, consumer loyalty, and long-term profitability. Future research should continue to explore the dynamic relationship between ethical supply chain practices and brand equity across different industries and cultural contexts, providing valuable insights for both academia and industry practitioners striving to navigate the complexities of modern consumer markets.

3. Materials and Method

The qualitative research approach was employed to investigate the influence of ethical supply chain practices on brand equity from the perspective of consumers. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a diverse sample of participants selected through purposive sampling. This method allowed for in-depth exploration of participants' attitudes, perceptions, and behaviors regarding brands that emphasize ethical considerations in their supply chain operations. Data collection took place over a period of three months, beginning with the development of an interview guide based on

a thorough review of relevant literature. The interview guide included open-ended questions designed to elicit rich, detailed responses from participants. Interviews were conducted face-to-face and via video conferencing to accommodate participant preferences and geographical locations. A total of 30 participants were interviewed, ensuring a balanced representation across different demographic characteristics such as age, gender, education level, and socio-economic background. The sample included consumers with varying degrees of awareness and engagement with ethical issues in consumer products and brands. Interviews were transcribed verbatim to facilitate systematic analysis. Data analysis followed an iterative process of thematic coding guided by principles of grounded theory (Charmaz, 2006). Initial codes were generated based on recurring patterns and themes identified in the transcripts. These codes were then organized into broader themes and sub-themes through constant comparison and refinement of the data. Trustworthiness and rigor were ensured through several measures. Member checking was employed to validate the accuracy and interpretation of participants' responses, allowing them to review and confirm the findings from their interviews. Peer debriefing and reflexivity were also incorporated to mitigate researcher bias and enhance the credibility of the interpretations drawn from the data (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process. Informed consent was obtained from all participants prior to their involvement in the study, detailing the purpose, procedures, and confidentiality measures. Participants were assured of their anonymity and confidentiality, with identifying information removed during transcription and data analysis. The qualitative nature of the study enabled a nuanced understanding of how ethical supply chain practices influence consumer perceptions of brand equity. By capturing participants' lived experiences and perspectives, the research provided valuable insights into the underlying factors shaping consumer attitudes towards brands that prioritize ethical considerations in their operations. The research methodology adopted for this study facilitated a comprehensive exploration of the research question, offering rich qualitative data to illuminate the complex relationship between ethical supply chain practices and brand equity from the perspective of consumers. The findings contribute to existing literature by providing empirical evidence and actionable insights for businesses aiming to strengthen their brand equity through ethical supply chain management strategies.

4. Results and Findings

The findings of the study revealed several key insights into how ethical supply chain practices influence consumer perceptions of brand equity. Across the sample of 30 participants, a recurring theme was the significance of transparency and authenticity in shaping consumer attitudes towards brands. Participants consistently expressed a preference for brands that demonstrated clear and honest communication about their ethical sourcing practices. This transparency was perceived as a signal of trustworthiness and commitment to social responsibility, influencing brand loyalty and willingness to support the brand through repeat purchases. Another prominent finding was the impact of ethical considerations on consumer purchase decisions. Many participants indicated that they actively seek out products from brands known for their ethical supply chain practices. Factors such as fair labor standards, environmental sustainability, and community engagement emerged as decisive factors in shaping consumer perceptions of product quality and overall brand reputation. Participants viewed these ethical attributes as indicators of superior product value and corporate integrity, influencing their willingness to pay a premium for ethically sourced products. Furthermore, the study revealed varying levels of consumer awareness and engagement with ethical issues in supply chains. While some participants demonstrated a high degree of awareness and actively sought information about brands' ethical practices, others displayed limited knowledge or interest in this aspect. This variability underscores the importance of education and communication in enhancing consumer awareness and understanding of ethical supply chain practices. Brands that effectively communicate their commitment to ethical sourcing have the opportunity to differentiate themselves in the marketplace and attract socially conscious consumers. In terms of brand loyalty and trust, participants consistently emphasized the role of ethical supply chain practices in building

long-term relationships with consumers. Brands perceived as ethical and socially responsible were viewed more favorably compared to their competitors. Participants expressed a willingness to forgive occasional missteps or challenges faced by brands, provided there was a demonstrated commitment to continuous improvement and transparency in addressing issues related to supply chain ethics. Regarding the influence of ethical supply chain practices on brand differentiation and competitive advantage, the findings suggested that ethical considerations can serve as a powerful driver of brand differentiation. Participants highlighted examples of brands that successfully differentiated themselves by integrating ethical values into their core business strategies. These brands were perceived as leaders in corporate social responsibility and sustainability, attracting a loyal customer base and gaining a competitive edge in the marketplace. Moreover, the study identified several challenges and barriers that brands face in implementing and communicating ethical supply chain practices. Participants cited concerns about greenwashing and the authenticity of brands’ claims regarding ethical sourcing. Clear and credible communication emerged as a critical factor in mitigating consumer skepticism and building trust. Brands that adopt robust verification processes and third-party certifications were perceived as more trustworthy and credible in their ethical claims.

Table 1. Themes Emerging from Interviews on Transparency and Authenticity.

Theme	Interpretation
Clear Communication	Participants emphasized the importance of brands providing clear and honest information about their ethical sourcing practices.
Trustworthiness	Transparency was perceived as a signal of trustworthiness and integrity, influencing participants’ perceptions of brand reliability.
Authenticity	Brands perceived as authentic in their communication about ethical practices were seen favorably compared to those with vague or ambiguous messaging.

Transparency and authenticity emerged as crucial themes in participants’ discussions about ethical supply chain practices. Clear communication from brands regarding their ethical sourcing practices was consistently highlighted as essential. Participants valued brands that provided straightforward and honest information about where and how their products were sourced. This transparency was seen as a signal of trustworthiness and integrity, influencing participants’ perceptions of brand reliability. Brands that were perceived as authentic in their communication about ethical practices were viewed more positively compared to those with vague or ambiguous messaging. This suggests that transparent communication about ethical standards is not only expected but also plays a significant role in shaping consumer trust and perception of brand authenticity.

Table 2. Themes Emerging from Interviews on Consumer Purchase Decisions.

Theme	Interpretation
Ethical Considerations	Participants indicated that ethical factors such as fair labor standards and environmental sustainability played a significant role in their purchase decisions.
Product Quality	Ethical supply chain practices were associated with perceptions of higher product quality and value, influencing consumer willingness to pay a premium.
Brand Reputation	Brands known for ethical sourcing were viewed more positively in terms of overall brand reputation and corporate integrity.

Participants indicated that ethical considerations significantly influenced their purchase decisions. Ethical factors such as fair labor standards, environmental sustainability, and responsible sourcing played a pivotal role in shaping consumer perceptions of product quality and value. Brands

that prioritized ethical supply chain practices were perceived as offering higher-quality products, which in turn enhanced consumer willingness to pay a premium. Moreover, participants associated ethical sourcing with positive brand reputation and corporate integrity. This underscores the importance of ethical considerations in consumer decision-making processes, suggesting that brands can gain a competitive advantage by aligning their supply chain practices with consumer values.

Table 3. Themes Emerging from Interviews on Consumer Awareness and Engagement.

Theme	Interpretation
Varying Levels of Awareness	Participants demonstrated varying degrees of awareness and interest in brands’ ethical practices, indicating opportunities for education and awareness-building.
Information Seeking	Consumers actively sought information about brands’ ethical initiatives, suggesting a growing interest in ethical sourcing and corporate responsibility.
Consumer Education	Effective communication and education were identified as key factors in enhancing consumer understanding and engagement with ethical supply chain practices.

The interviews revealed varying levels of awareness and engagement among participants regarding brands’ ethical practices. While some participants demonstrated a high degree of awareness and actively sought information about brands’ ethical initiatives, others displayed limited knowledge or interest in this aspect. Effective communication and consumer education were identified as critical factors in enhancing awareness and engagement with ethical supply chain practices. Participants expressed a growing interest in understanding how brands source their products and the social or environmental impacts of their supply chain decisions. This variability highlights opportunities for brands to educate consumers and build trust through transparent communication about their ethical commitments.

Table 4. Themes Emerging from Interviews on Brand Loyalty and Trust.

Theme	Interpretation
Loyalty Building	Ethical supply chain practices were found to enhance brand loyalty by fostering trust and long-term relationships with consumers.
Forgiveness of Missteps	Participants expressed a willingness to forgive brands for occasional missteps if accompanied by transparent efforts to rectify and improve ethical practices.
Competitive Advantage	Brands perceived as ethical gained a competitive advantage by differentiating themselves in the marketplace and attracting socially conscious consumers.

Ethical supply chain practices were found to significantly contribute to brand loyalty and trust among consumers. Participants indicated that brands perceived as ethical and socially responsible were more likely to foster long-term relationships with consumers. Transparency and consistent ethical behavior were key factors in building trust and loyalty. Interestingly, participants also expressed a willingness to forgive brands for occasional missteps or challenges in their supply chain, provided there was a genuine effort to address and rectify these issues transparently. This suggests that brands can strengthen loyalty by demonstrating a commitment to continuous improvement and ethical integrity.

Table 5. Themes Emerging from Interviews on Brand Differentiation.

Theme	Interpretation
Leadership in CSR	Brands that integrated ethical values into their core business strategies were seen as leaders in corporate social responsibility and sustainability.
Customer Perception	Ethical considerations served as a significant driver of brand differentiation, influencing how consumers perceived and valued the brand.
Market Positioning	Ethical brands positioned themselves as distinct from competitors, appealing to consumers seeking products aligned with their values and principles.

Participants recognized ethical supply chain practices as a powerful driver of brand differentiation in the marketplace. Brands that integrated ethical values into their core business strategies were perceived as leaders in corporate social responsibility and sustainability. This leadership position not only distinguished these brands from competitors but also resonated with consumers seeking products aligned with their ethical values. Ethical considerations influenced how participants perceived and valued brands, highlighting the strategic advantage of positioning oneself as an ethical leader in the industry. This finding underscores the potential for brands to gain a competitive edge by prioritizing ethical supply chain practices and effectively communicating their ethical commitments to consumers.

Table 6. Themes Emerging from Interviews on Challenges and Barriers.

Theme	Interpretation
Greenwashing Concerns	Participants expressed skepticism about brands’ claims of ethical sourcing, highlighting the need for credible verification and transparency.
Communication Integrity	Clear and credible communication was essential in mitigating consumer skepticism and building trust in brands’ ethical commitments.
Implementation Challenges	Brands faced challenges in implementing and maintaining ethical supply chain practices, including cost implications and complexities in supplier relationships.

The interviews identified several challenges and barriers that brands face in implementing and communicating ethical supply chain practices. Participants expressed concerns about greenwashing, where brands exaggerate or misrepresent their ethical credentials. Clear and credible communication was emphasized as essential in mitigating consumer skepticism and building trust in brands’ ethical commitments. Implementation challenges, such as cost implications and complexities in supplier relationships, were also highlighted. These challenges underscore the need for strategic alignment, leadership commitment, and robust verification processes to effectively integrate and sustain ethical practices throughout the supply chain.

The qualitative study explored the influence of ethical supply chain practices on brand equity from the perspective of consumers, uncovering several key insights through thematic analysis of semi-structured interviews. Across the sample of 30 participants, transparency and authenticity emerged as pivotal themes in shaping consumer perceptions of brand equity. Participants valued brands that communicated clearly and honestly about their ethical sourcing practices, viewing transparency as a signal of trustworthiness and integrity. Ethical considerations significantly influenced consumer purchase decisions, with participants emphasizing the importance of factors such as fair labor standards, environmental sustainability, and responsible sourcing. Brands that prioritized ethical supply chain practices were perceived as offering higher-quality products, which enhanced consumer willingness to pay a premium and bolstered brand reputation and corporate

integrity. Consumer awareness and engagement with ethical supply chain practices varied among participants, highlighting opportunities for brands to educate and inform consumers about their ethical commitments. Effective communication and consumer education were identified as critical in enhancing consumer understanding and trust in brands' ethical initiatives. Ethical supply chain practices were found to foster brand loyalty and trust among consumers. Brands perceived as ethical and socially responsible were more likely to build long-term relationships with consumers, even in the face of occasional supply chain challenges, provided there was transparency and a commitment to improvement. Furthermore, ethical considerations emerged as a significant driver of brand differentiation and competitive advantage. Brands that integrated ethical values into their business strategies were viewed as leaders in corporate social responsibility and sustainability, distinguishing themselves from competitors and appealing to socially conscious consumers. However, the study also highlighted challenges and barriers that brands face in implementing and communicating ethical supply chain practices. Concerns about greenwashing, cost implications, and complexities in supplier relationships underscored the importance of clear and credible communication, strategic alignment, and robust verification processes to mitigate consumer skepticism and build trust. The findings underscored the complex interplay between ethical supply chain practices and consumer perceptions of brand equity. Brands that prioritize transparency, authenticity, and ethical integrity stand to enhance their brand equity by fostering trust, loyalty, and differentiation in the marketplace. These insights provide valuable implications for businesses seeking to strengthen their brand equity through ethical supply chain management and transparent communication of their ethical commitments to consumers.

5. Discussion

The findings of this study contribute to our understanding of how ethical supply chain practices influence brand equity from the perspective of consumers. One of the central themes that emerged from the thematic analysis is the importance of transparency and authenticity in communication. Consumers value brands that openly disclose their ethical sourcing practices, viewing transparency as a fundamental aspect of trustworthiness and corporate integrity. This finding aligns with previous research highlighting transparency as a key driver of consumer trust and brand reputation in the context of corporate social responsibility (CSR). Ethical considerations were found to exert a significant influence on consumer purchase decisions. Participants in the study emphasized the role of ethical factors such as fair labor practices, environmental sustainability, and responsible sourcing in shaping their perceptions of product quality and brand value. Brands that integrate these ethical principles into their supply chain management not only enhance consumer perceptions of product quality but also differentiate themselves in a competitive market where consumers increasingly prioritize ethical consumption. Consumer awareness and engagement with ethical supply chain practices varied among participants, reflecting differing levels of knowledge and interest in corporate ethics. Effective communication emerged as crucial in bridging this awareness gap and enhancing consumer understanding of brands' ethical commitments. Educating consumers about the social and environmental impacts of their purchasing decisions can empower them to make more informed choices and cultivate loyalty towards brands that align with their values. The study also highlighted the role of ethical supply chain practices in building brand loyalty and trust. Brands perceived as ethical and socially responsible were viewed more favorably by consumers, fostering long-term relationships and repeat purchases. Even when faced with supply chain challenges or occasional missteps, brands that demonstrated transparency and a commitment to ethical improvement were more likely to retain consumer trust and loyalty. Furthermore, ethical considerations were identified as a strategic driver of brand differentiation and competitive advantage. Brands that lead in CSR and sustainability initiatives not only distinguish themselves from competitors but also appeal to a growing segment of socially conscious consumers. This differentiation underscores the potential for ethical supply chain practices to contribute to long-term brand equity and profitability by aligning business operations with consumer values and societal expectations. However, the study also revealed challenges and barriers that brands encounter in implementing and communicating ethical

supply chain practices. Issues such as greenwashing, where brands exaggerate or misrepresent their ethical efforts, pose risks to consumer trust and credibility. Overcoming these challenges requires robust verification processes, clear communication strategies, and genuine commitment from top management to embed ethical principles throughout the supply chain.

6. Conclusion

This qualitative study has provided valuable insights into the influence of ethical supply chain practices on brand equity from the perspective of consumers. The findings highlight the critical importance of transparency, authenticity, and ethical integrity in shaping consumer perceptions and behaviors towards brands. Brands that prioritize ethical considerations in their supply chain operations are not only perceived more positively by consumers but also stand to gain competitive advantages such as enhanced brand loyalty and differentiation in the marketplace. The study underscores the significant role of communication in bridging the gap between brands and consumers regarding ethical practices. Clear and honest communication about ethical sourcing, fair labor standards, and environmental sustainability plays a pivotal role in building consumer trust and fostering long-term relationships. Educating consumers about the social and environmental impacts of their purchasing decisions can empower them to make informed choices and align their preferences with brands that share their values. Moreover, ethical supply chain practices emerge as a strategic driver of brand differentiation and competitive advantage. Brands that lead in corporate social responsibility (CSR) initiatives not only set themselves apart from competitors but also appeal to a growing segment of socially conscious consumers. This differentiation not only enhances brand equity but also contributes to broader goals of sustainability and responsible business practices. However, the study also highlights challenges and barriers that brands face in implementing and communicating ethical supply chain practices. Issues such as greenwashing and complexities in supplier relationships necessitate careful management and robust verification processes to ensure credibility and transparency. Overcoming these challenges requires sustained commitment from brands, supported by clear governance structures and proactive engagement with stakeholders. Moving forward, businesses can leverage the findings of this study to strengthen their brand equity through ethical supply chain management. By embedding ethical principles into their core business strategies, brands can not only meet consumer expectations but also drive positive societal impact. Future research could explore additional dimensions of consumer perceptions across diverse cultural contexts and industry sectors, providing deeper insights into effective strategies for building and sustaining ethical brand equity in a rapidly evolving global marketplace.

References

1. Vallaster, C., & Lindgreen, A. (2011). Corporate brand orientation: What is it? What are its strategic benefits? *Journal of Marketing Management*, 27(5-6), 458-475. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2010.521124>
2. Tate, W. L., Ellram, L. M., & Kirchoff, J. F. (2012). Corporate social responsibility reports: A thematic analysis related to supply chain management. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 48(2), 10-32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2012.03242.x>
3. Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699-1710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020>
4. Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699-1710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020>
5. Sen, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Korschun, D. (2006). The role of corporate social responsibility in strengthening multiple stakeholder relationships: A field experiment. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 34(2), 158-166. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070305284978>
6. Sen, S., & Bhattacharya, C. B. (2001). Does doing good always lead to doing better? Consumer reactions to corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 38(2), 225-243. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.38.2.225.18838>

7. Sen, S., & Bhattacharya, C. B. (2001). Does doing good always lead to doing better? Consumer reactions to corporate social responsibility. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 38(2), 225-243. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.38.2.225.18838>
8. Rahman, M. A., Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Bukari, Z., & Nath, A. (2024). The New Marketing Paradigm: From Traditional to Digital. In Notion Press.
9. Mohr, L. A., Webb, D. J., & Harris, K. E. (2001). Do consumers expect companies to be socially responsible? The impact of corporate social responsibility on buying behavior. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 35(1), 45-72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2001.tb00102.x>
10. Mohr, L. A., Webb, D. J., & Harris, K. E. (2001). Do consumers expect companies to be socially responsible? The impact of corporate social responsibility on buying behavior. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 35(1), 45-72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2001.tb00102.x>
11. Mohr, L. A., Webb, D. J., & Harris, K. E. (2001). Do consumers expect companies to be socially responsible? The impact of corporate social responsibility on buying behavior. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 35(1), 45-72. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2001.tb00102.x>
12. Mohr, L. A., & Webb, D. J. (2005). The effects of corporate social responsibility and price on consumer responses. *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 39(1), 121-147. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6606.2005.00006.x>
13. Maignan, I., & Ferrell, O. C. (2004). Corporate social responsibility and marketing: An integrative framework. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 32(1), 3-19. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070303258971>
14. Lii, Y.-S., & Lee, M. (2012). Doing right leads to doing well: When the type of CSR and reputation interact to affect consumer evaluations of the firm. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 105(1), 69-81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0958-3>
15. Lii, Y. S., & Lee, M. (2012). Doing right leads to doing well: When the type of CSR and reputation interact to affect consumer evaluations of the firm. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 105(1), 69-81. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0958-6>
16. Lee, K., & Kotler, P. (2011). Corporate social responsibility: Doing the most good for your company and your cause. John Wiley & Sons.
17. Kim, H.-R., Lee, M., Lee, H.-T., & Kim, N. M. (2010). Corporate social responsibility and employee-company identification. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 95(4), 557-569. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-010-0434-y>
18. Khan, T., Rahman, S. M., & Hasan, M. M. (2020). Barriers to Growth of Renewable Energy Technology in Bangladesh. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computing Advancements*, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3377049.3377086>
19. Khan, T., Khanam, S. N., Rahman, M. H., & Rahman, S. M. (2019). Determinants of microfinance facility for installing solar home system (SHS) in rural Bangladesh. *Energy Policy*, 132, 299-308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.047>
20. Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(1), 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299305700101>
21. Keller, K. L. (1993). Conceptualizing, measuring, and managing customer-based brand equity. *Journal of Marketing*, 57(1), 1-22. <https://doi.org/10.1177/002224299305700101>
22. Hoeffler, S., & Keller, K. L. (2002). Building brand equity through corporate societal marketing. *Journal of Public Policy & Marketing*, 21(1), 78-89. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jppm.21.1.78.17600>
23. Hart, S. L. (1995). A natural-resource-based view of the firm. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(4), 986-1014. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1995.9503271994>
24. Harrison, D. A., Bosse, D. A., & Phillips, R. A. (2006). Managing for stakeholders, stakeholder utility functions, and competitive advantage. *Strategic Management Journal*, 27(9), 853-871. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.544>
25. Gond, J.-P., El Akremi, A., Swaen, V., & Babu, N. (2017). The psychological microfoundations of corporate social responsibility: A person-centric systematic review. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 38(2), 225-246. <https://doi.org/10.1002/job.2154>
26. Fombrun, C. J., & Shanley, M. (1990). What's in a name? Reputation building and corporate strategy. *Academy of Management Journal*, 33(2), 233-258. <https://doi.org/10.5465/256324>
27. Ferrell, O. C., & Fraedrich, J. (2019). *Business ethics: Ethical decision making and cases* (12th ed.). Cengage Learning.
28. Emon, M.M.H., Khan, T., & Siam, S.A.J. (2024). Quantifying the influence of supplier relationship management and supply chain performance: an investigation of Bangladesh's manufacturing and service sectors. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(2), 2015. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2015.2024>
29. Emon, M.M.H., & Khan, T. (2023). The Impact of Cultural Norms on Sustainable Entrepreneurship Practices in SMEs of Bangladesh. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation and Applied Sciences (IJIAS)*, 3(3), 201-209.

30. Emon, M.H., & Nipa, M.N. (2024). Exploring the Gender Dimension in Entrepreneurship Development: A Systematic Literature Review in the Context of Bangladesh. *Westcliff International Journal of Applied Research*, 8(1), 34–49.
31. Emon, M. M. H., Khan, T., Rahman, M. A., Bukari, Z., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). Emotional Intelligence: Mastering Meaningful Connections and Success. *Notion Press*.
32. Emon, M. M. H., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). EMOTIONAL INTELLIGENCE: THE HIDDEN KEY TO ACADEMIC EXCELLENCE AMONG PRIVATE UNIVERSITY STUDENTS IN BANGLADESH. *Malaysian Mental Health Journal*, 3(1), 12–21. <https://doi.org/10.26480/mmhj.01.2024.12.21>
33. Emon, M. H. (2023). A systematic review of the causes and consequences of price hikes in Bangladesh. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, 11(2), 49–58.
34. Ellen, P. S., Webb, D. J., & Mohr, L. A. (2006). Building corporate associations: Consumer attributions for corporate socially responsible programs. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 34(2), 147–157. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0092070305284976>
35. Elkington, J. (1997). Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business. *Capstone*.
36. Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2007). Reaping relational rewards from corporate social responsibility: The role of competitive positioning. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 24(3), 224–241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2007.01.001>
37. Crane, A., & Matten, D. (2016). *Business ethics: Managing corporate citizenship and sustainability in the age of globalization* (4th ed.). Oxford University Press.
38. Crane, A., & Matten, D. (2004). *Business ethics: A European perspective: Managing corporate citizenship and sustainability in the age of globalization*. Oxford University Press.
39. Carter, C. R., & Jennings, M. M. (2004). The role of purchasing in corporate social responsibility: A structural equation analysis. *Journal of Business Logistics*, 25(1), 145–186. <https://doi.org/10.1002/j.2158-1592.2004.tb00173.x>
40. Carroll, A. B., & Shabana, K. M. (2010). The business case for corporate social responsibility: A review of concepts, research and practice. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 12(1), 85–105. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00275.x>
41. Brunk, K. H. (2010). Exploring origins of ethical company/brand perceptions: A consumer perspective of corporate ethics. *Journal of Business Research*, 63(3), 255–262. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.02.017>
42. Boulstridge, E., & Carrigan, M. (2000). Do consumers really care about corporate responsibility? Highlighting the attitude-behaviour gap. *Journal of Communication Management*, 4(4), 355–368. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13632540010807068>
43. Blowfield, M., & Murray, A. (2008). *Corporate responsibility: A critical introduction*. Oxford University Press.
44. Biedenbach, G., & Marell, A. (2010). The role of corporate social responsibility in consumer evaluation of services. *Journal of Services Marketing*, 24(3), 170–182. <https://doi.org/10.1108/08876041011047636>
45. Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2004). Doing better at doing good: When, why, and how consumers respond to corporate social initiatives. *California Management Review*, 47(1), 9–24. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41166284>
46. Belz, F.-M., & Peattie, K. (2009). *Sustainability marketing: A global perspective*. John Wiley & Sons. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781444316568>
47. Ailawadi, K. L., & Keller, K. L. (2004). Understanding retail branding: Conceptual insights and research priorities. *Journal of Retailing*, 80(4), 331–342. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jretai.2004.10.007>
48. Aguinis, H., & Glavas, A. (2019). On corporate social responsibility, sensemaking, and the search for meaningfulness through work. *Journal of Management*, 45(3), 1057–1086. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206318775311>
49. Aaker, D. A. (1991). *Managing brand equity: Capitalizing on the value of a brand name*. Free Press.
50. Vallaster, C., & Lindgreen, A. (2011). Corporate brand orientation: What is it? What are its strategic benefits? *Journal of Marketing Management*, 27(5-6), 458–475. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0267257X.2010.521124>
51. Tate, W. L., Ellram, L. M., & Kirchoff, J. F. (2012). Corporate social responsibility reports: A thematic analysis related to supply chain management. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 48(2), 10–32. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-493X.2012.03242.x>
52. Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699–1710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020>
53. Seuring, S., & Müller, M. (2008). From a literature review to a conceptual framework for sustainable supply chain management. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 16(15), 1699–1710. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2008.04.020>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s)

disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.