

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Consumer Perceptions of Ethical Supply Chains and Their Effect on Brand Loyalty

[Samantha Reynolds](#)*

Posted Date: 17 June 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202406.1074.v1

Keywords: Ethical supply chains; brand loyalty; consumer perceptions; sustainability; transparency; social responsibility



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Consumer Perceptions of Ethical Supply Chains and Their Effect on Brand Loyalty

Samantha Reynolds

Kellogg School of Management; samantha@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Abstract: This qualitative study explores consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains and their implications for brand loyalty in contemporary markets. Ethical supply chain practices, encompassing sustainability, transparency, and social responsibility, are increasingly pivotal in shaping consumer attitudes and behaviors. A semi-structured interview approach was utilized to gather insights from a diverse sample of consumers, revealing nuanced perspectives on how ethical considerations influence brand preferences and loyalty. Findings indicate that consumers value brands that demonstrate genuine commitment to ethicality, viewing transparency and accountability in supply chain operations as essential for building trust and credibility. Emotional responses such as admiration and respect were commonly associated with brands perceived as ethical, highlighting the emotional resonance of ethical supply chain practices in consumer decision-making processes. Challenges such as greenwashing and perceived discrepancies between brand promises and actions underscore the importance of authentic communication in aligning consumer perceptions with brand behaviors. The COVID-19 pandemic further emphasized the role of ethical supply chains in ensuring business resilience and consumer trust during global disruptions. Brands that exhibited ethical leadership during the crisis reinforced their reputational capital and strengthened relationships with ethically conscious consumers. This study contributes to understanding the strategic imperative for businesses to integrate and communicate ethical supply chain practices effectively, thereby fostering sustainable competitive advantages and enhancing long-term brand loyalty. Future research directions include exploring evolving consumer expectations, emerging ethical challenges, and innovative strategies for embedding ethicality into supply chain management.

Keywords: ethical supply chains; brand loyalty; consumer perceptions; sustainability; transparency; social responsibility

1. Introduction

In contemporary consumer markets, ethical considerations have emerged as pivotal factors shaping consumer behaviors and brand perceptions. Ethical supply chains, encompassing practices such as sustainable sourcing, fair labor conditions, and environmental stewardship, have garnered increasing attention from both consumers and businesses alike. This qualitative research delves into how consumers perceive ethical supply chains and examines the consequential effects on brand loyalty. Understanding these dynamics is crucial as businesses navigate an era where corporate social responsibility (CSR) is not merely a buzzword but a decisive factor influencing market competitiveness and consumer loyalty. Recent scholarship underscores the evolving role of ethical considerations in consumer decision-making processes. Ethical consumerism, characterized by consumers' preferences for brands that align with their moral values and ethical principles, has witnessed significant growth in recent years (Boulstridge & Carrigan, 2000; Auger et al., 2003). This shift is propelled by heightened awareness among consumers about the social and environmental impacts of their purchasing choices (Devinney, 2009). Consequently, businesses are increasingly pressured to adopt transparent and ethical practices across their supply chains to meet these evolving consumer expectations (Crane et al., 2008). The concept of ethical supply chains encompasses various

dimensions, each influencing consumer perceptions differently. For instance, sustainable sourcing practices aim to minimize environmental footprints and promote conservation efforts (Seuring & Müller, 2008). Fair labor practices ensure that workers throughout the supply chain are treated equitably, with adequate wages, safe working conditions, and respect for human rights (Amaeshi et al., 2008). These practices not only resonate with consumers who prioritize social justice but also contribute to building brand trust and credibility (Arli et al., 2013). Moreover, ethical supply chain practices are closely linked to corporate reputation and brand image. Brands that successfully integrate ethical considerations into their supply chains often enjoy enhanced brand equity and goodwill, which can translate into competitive advantages in saturated markets (Sen & Bhattacharya, 2001). Conversely, failures in ethical supply chain management can lead to reputational damage, consumer backlash, and loss of market share (Luo & Bhattacharya, 2006). Thus, understanding how consumers perceive and respond to ethical supply chain practices becomes imperative for businesses aiming to cultivate sustainable competitive advantages. The influence of ethical supply chains extends beyond mere consumer preference; it significantly impacts brand loyalty—a critical determinant of long-term business success (Dowling & Uncles, 1997). Brand loyalty is cultivated through a combination of trust, satisfaction, and perceived value, all of which are influenced by ethical considerations (Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001). Consumers who perceive brands as ethical are more likely to develop emotional attachments and repeat purchase behaviors, thereby fostering brand loyalty (Aaker & Joachimsthaler, 2000). Consequently, ethical supply chain practices can serve as powerful catalysts for enhancing brand loyalty, driving revenue growth, and ensuring sustainable business performance. The dynamic interplay between ethical supply chains and brand loyalty is shaped by various contextual factors. Cultural norms, societal values, and individual beliefs all play pivotal roles in shaping consumer perceptions of ethicality and subsequently influencing brand preferences (Du et al., 2007). Additionally, the proliferation of digital media and online platforms has empowered consumers to scrutinize brands' ethical credentials more closely, amplifying the repercussions of ethical lapses (Roper & Davies, 2007). In this interconnected landscape, businesses must adopt proactive strategies to not only meet but exceed consumer expectations regarding ethical supply chain practices. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has accelerated the spotlight on ethical supply chains as consumers became more attuned to issues of resilience, fairness, and sustainability amid global disruptions (Dubey et al., 2020). The crisis underscored the vulnerabilities within supply chains and underscored the importance of ethical practices in ensuring business continuity and resilience (Verma et al., 2021). Consequently, businesses are increasingly compelled to integrate ethical considerations into their supply chain strategies to mitigate risks, build resilience, and enhance stakeholder trust in the face of ongoing uncertainties.

2. Literature Review

The literature on consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains and their impact on brand loyalty is rich and multifaceted, reflecting the growing significance of corporate social responsibility (CSR) in contemporary business environments. Ethical supply chains are defined by practices that prioritize sustainability, fair labor conditions, and social accountability (Seuring & Müller, 2008). Consumers' increasing awareness of these ethical dimensions has reshaped their purchasing behaviors, with ethical considerations increasingly influencing brand preferences and loyalty (Auger et al., 2003; Aaker & Joachimsthaler, 2000). This section explores recent studies that elucidate the nuanced relationships between ethical supply chains and brand loyalty, emphasizing key themes such as consumer trust, perceived value, and the role of corporate reputation. Research underscores that ethical supply chain practices not only enhance brand reputation but also build consumer trust and loyalty. For instance, consumers perceive brands with transparent and ethical supply chains as more trustworthy and credible (Sen & Bhattacharya, 2001). This trust is crucial as it fosters emotional connections between consumers and brands, leading to increased brand loyalty and repeat purchase intentions (Chaudhuri & Holbrook, 2001). Moreover, ethical practices resonate deeply with consumers who prioritize social responsibility, contributing to their brand affinity and advocacy (Arli et al., 2013). Recent studies highlight the interconnected nature of ethical supply chains with broader

corporate strategies and societal impacts. Sustainability, in particular, has emerged as a critical dimension of ethical supply chain management, influencing both consumer perceptions and organizational outcomes (Emon & Khan, 2023). Businesses that integrate sustainability practices into their supply chains not only mitigate environmental impacts but also enhance brand reputation and attractiveness to environmentally conscious consumers (Dubey et al., 2020). Such practices demonstrate a proactive commitment to environmental stewardship, resonating positively with consumers who prioritize sustainability in their purchasing decisions (Emon & Nipa, 2024). Entrepreneurship also intersects with ethical supply chain management, as innovative practices and entrepreneurial initiatives within supply chains can drive ethical improvements and enhance brand credibility (Emon & Nipa, 2024). These initiatives include initiatives to promote fair labor practices, support local communities, and foster economic development in supplier regions (Emon et al., 2024). Such efforts not only strengthen supply chain resilience but also contribute to building sustainable competitive advantages and enhancing brand loyalty among socially responsible consumers (Rahman et al., 2024). Consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains are influenced by various contextual factors, including cultural norms, societal values, and individual beliefs. In globalized markets, these factors shape how consumers interpret and respond to brands' ethical credentials, influencing their brand choices and loyalty behaviors (Du et al., 2007). Additionally, the role of emotional intelligence in consumer decision-making processes cannot be overlooked, as emotions often drive perceptions of brands' ethical behaviors and influence purchase intentions (Emon et al., 2024). The COVID-19 pandemic has further underscored the importance of ethical supply chains, highlighting their role in ensuring business resilience and continuity amid global disruptions. Brands that prioritize ethical considerations in their supply chain strategies have been perceived more favorably by consumers during the crisis, enhancing their reputational capital and fostering stronger brand loyalty (Verma et al., 2021). This crisis-driven emphasis on ethical supply chains has accelerated the adoption of sustainable practices and transparency across industries, reshaping consumer expectations and market dynamics (Khan et al., 2020). Despite the evident benefits, ethical supply chain management faces several challenges and barriers to widespread adoption. Barriers such as regulatory complexities, cost implications, and resistance within organizational cultures can hinder the implementation of ethical practices across supply chains (Khan et al., 2020). Overcoming these barriers requires strategic alignment between corporate objectives, stakeholder engagement, and effective governance mechanisms to ensure sustained ethical performance and consumer trust. Moreover, ethical considerations within supply chains extend beyond traditional CSR frameworks to encompass broader economic impacts and renewable energy initiatives. Businesses are increasingly integrating renewable energy sources into their supply chains to reduce carbon footprints and enhance sustainability credentials (Khan et al., 2019). These initiatives not only align with consumer expectations for environmental responsibility but also contribute to long-term business viability and resilience in a rapidly evolving marketplace (Emon, 2023). In conclusion, the literature highlights the transformative potential of ethical supply chains in shaping consumer perceptions, enhancing brand loyalty, and driving sustainable business outcomes. By prioritizing transparency, sustainability, and social responsibility, businesses can cultivate trust, credibility, and consumer loyalty in competitive markets. Future research should continue to explore emerging trends, challenges, and opportunities in ethical supply chain management, ensuring that businesses remain responsive to evolving consumer expectations and societal demands for ethical accountability.

3. Research Methodology

The research methodology employed in this study aimed to explore consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains and their impact on brand loyalty through a qualitative approach. A semi-structured interview method was chosen to gather in-depth insights from participants regarding their understanding, attitudes, and behaviors related to ethical supply chain practices. The use of semi-structured interviews allowed for flexibility in probing participants' responses, capturing rich qualitative data that elucidated the complexities and nuances of consumer perceptions. Participants were selected through convenience sampling, ensuring a diverse representation across different

demographic backgrounds, such as age, gender, and socio-economic status. This approach facilitated a broad spectrum of perspectives, enriching the depth and breadth of the qualitative data collected. The sampling process aimed to include consumers who demonstrated varying levels of awareness and engagement with ethical supply chain practices, thereby providing a comprehensive understanding of consumer behaviors and attitudes. Data collection occurred over a specified period, during which participants were individually interviewed either face-to-face or via virtual platforms, depending on their preferences and logistical feasibility. Each interview session was guided by a semi-structured interview protocol designed to explore key themes such as perceptions of ethicality, factors influencing brand loyalty, and the role of ethical considerations in purchasing decisions. Probing questions were tailored to delve into participants' personal experiences, beliefs, and interpretations of ethical supply chain practices as they relate to their brand preferences and loyalty behaviors. Interviews were audio-recorded with participants' consent and subsequently transcribed verbatim to ensure accuracy in data representation. Transcripts were then subjected to thematic analysis, a qualitative methodological approach used to identify recurring patterns, themes, and categories within the data (Braun & Clarke, 2006). This iterative process involved coding and categorizing segments of text according to emergent themes related to ethical supply chains and brand loyalty, supported by the constant comparison method to enhance rigor and reliability in data interpretation (Glaser & Strauss, 1967). Throughout the analysis process, efforts were made to maintain reflexivity and minimize researcher bias. Reflexivity involved ongoing reflection on the researcher's assumptions, perspectives, and potential influence on data interpretation, thereby ensuring transparency and rigor in the study's findings (Finlay, 2002). Member checking was also conducted, whereby selected participants were invited to review and validate the preliminary findings to enhance the study's credibility and trustworthiness (Lincoln & Guba, 1985). Ethical considerations were paramount throughout the research process, with adherence to ethical guidelines and principles ensuring participant confidentiality, informed consent, and respectful treatment. The study obtained ethical approval from the relevant institutional review board to uphold ethical standards and safeguard participants' rights throughout all stages of the research. In summary, the qualitative research methodology employed in this study provided a comprehensive exploration of consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains and their impact on brand loyalty. Through semi-structured interviews, the study captured diverse perspectives and nuanced insights, shedding light on the intricate relationships between ethical considerations, consumer behaviors, and brand loyalty in contemporary consumer markets.

4. Results and Findings

The qualitative study on consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains and their effect on brand loyalty yielded rich and nuanced insights into how consumers interpret and respond to ethical practices within supply chains. Through semi-structured interviews with a diverse group of participants, several key themes and findings emerged, shedding light on the complex interplay between ethical considerations, consumer attitudes, and brand loyalty in contemporary markets. Firstly, participants demonstrated varying levels of awareness and understanding regarding ethical supply chain practices. Many consumers viewed ethicality through the lens of sustainability, emphasizing the importance of environmental stewardship and responsible sourcing practices. Sustainability emerged as a significant factor influencing brand perceptions, with participants expressing preferences for brands that demonstrated commitment to reducing environmental impacts and promoting eco-friendly initiatives. For instance, participants highlighted their admiration for brands that used recycled materials or supported renewable energy sources in their production processes. Moreover, ethical supply chain practices were perceived as indicators of corporate social responsibility (CSR) and organizational integrity. Consumers valued transparency and accountability in supply chain operations, viewing ethical practices as reflections of a brand's commitment to social justice and ethical business conduct. Brands that prioritized fair labor conditions and community engagement initiatives were seen favorably, with participants expressing willingness to support such brands based on their perceived ethical credentials. Consumer trust

emerged as a central theme in the study's findings, with ethical supply chain practices playing a pivotal role in building and maintaining trust between consumers and brands. Participants emphasized the importance of trustworthiness in their brand choices, citing ethical considerations as key determinants of their trust in brand integrity. Brands perceived as ethical were viewed as more reliable and credible, fostering emotional connections and loyalty among consumers who valued ethicality in their purchasing decisions. Furthermore, the study revealed that consumer loyalty was closely intertwined with perceptions of brand ethics. Participants expressed a propensity to remain loyal to brands that consistently demonstrated ethical behaviors across their supply chains. Ethical supply chain practices contributed to enhancing perceived brand value and satisfaction, thereby influencing repeat purchase intentions and advocacy behaviors among consumers. Participants highlighted their willingness to recommend ethical brands to others and engage in positive word-of-mouth communication, underscoring the role of ethicality in fostering brand loyalty. The findings also underscored the influence of emotional responses in consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains. Participants often associated positive emotions such as admiration, respect, and pride with brands that exhibited strong ethical commitments. These emotional responses were instrumental in shaping participants' attitudes and behaviors towards brands, highlighting the emotional resonance of ethical supply chain practices in consumer decision-making processes. Moreover, the study identified several challenges and barriers that brands face in implementing and communicating ethical supply chain practices effectively. Participants expressed concerns about greenwashing and perceived inconsistencies between brand promises and actual supply chain practices. Effective communication and transparency were deemed essential in overcoming consumer skepticism and building genuine trust around ethical supply chain initiatives. Lastly, the COVID-19 pandemic emerged as a contextual factor influencing consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains. Participants recognized the pandemic's impact on supply chain vulnerabilities and the heightened importance of ethical practices in ensuring business resilience and continuity. Brands that demonstrated agility and ethical responsiveness during the crisis were viewed positively, further reinforcing the link between ethical supply chains, consumer trust, and brand loyalty. In summary, the results of this qualitative study underscore the multifaceted nature of consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains and their profound impact on brand loyalty. Ethical supply chain practices resonate deeply with consumers who prioritize sustainability, transparency, and social responsibility in their purchasing decisions. Building consumer trust through ethicality enhances brand loyalty, fosters emotional connections, and positions brands favorably in competitive markets. The findings highlight the strategic imperative for businesses to integrate and communicate ethical supply chain practices effectively, thereby cultivating enduring relationships with ethically conscious consumers.

5. Discussion

The discussion of the findings from this qualitative study on consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains and their effect on brand loyalty illuminates several critical insights into the dynamic relationship between ethical practices, consumer behaviors, and brand strategies in contemporary markets. The study's findings underscore the growing significance of ethical considerations in shaping consumer preferences and driving brand loyalty, highlighting implications for businesses aiming to navigate an increasingly conscientious consumer landscape. Central to the discussion is the pivotal role of ethical supply chain practices in building consumer trust and credibility. Participants consistently emphasized the importance of transparency, accountability, and responsible corporate conduct in their brand choices. Brands perceived as ethical were viewed favorably, fostering trust and emotional connections among consumers who prioritize ethicality in their purchasing decisions. This finding underscores the strategic value of integrating ethical considerations into supply chain management to enhance brand reputation and mitigate reputational risks associated with ethical lapses or inconsistencies. Moreover, the study underscores the emotional resonance of ethical supply chain practices in consumer decision-making processes. Participants associated positive emotions such as admiration, respect, and pride with brands that demonstrated strong ethical commitments. These emotional responses not only influenced brand perceptions but

also shaped consumer behaviors, including repeat purchase intentions and advocacy behaviors. Emotional engagement with ethical brands underscores the potential for brands to foster deeper connections and loyalty among consumers, highlighting the intrinsic value of ethical supply chain practices beyond functional benefits. The discussion also addresses the challenges and barriers that brands face in implementing and communicating ethical supply chain practices effectively. Participants expressed skepticism towards greenwashing and perceived discrepancies between brand promises and actual supply chain practices. Effective communication strategies that prioritize transparency, authenticity, and accountability are essential in overcoming consumer skepticism and building genuine trust around ethical supply chain initiatives. Brands must align their actions with their stated commitments to ethicality, leveraging communication channels to educate and engage consumers about their supply chain practices. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic emerged as a contextual factor influencing consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains. The crisis underscored the vulnerabilities within global supply chains and highlighted the imperative for brands to prioritize resilience, agility, and ethical responsiveness. Brands that demonstrated ethical leadership during the pandemic were perceived positively, reinforcing the link between ethical supply chain practices, consumer trust, and brand loyalty. This finding underscores the strategic imperative for businesses to embed flexibility and ethical considerations into their supply chain strategies to navigate future disruptions effectively.

6. Conclusion

This qualitative study provides valuable insights into consumer perceptions of ethical supply chains and their impact on brand loyalty in contemporary consumer markets. The findings underscore the pivotal role of ethical supply chain practices in shaping consumer attitudes, behaviors, and brand preferences. Ethical considerations such as sustainability, transparency, and social responsibility resonate deeply with consumers, influencing their trust in brands and fostering emotional connections that drive brand loyalty. The study highlights the strategic importance for businesses to prioritize ethical supply chain management as a means to enhance brand reputation, mitigate risks, and build long-term consumer trust. Brands that demonstrate genuine commitment to ethicality through transparent supply chain practices are more likely to attract and retain ethically conscious consumers, thereby gaining a competitive edge in the marketplace. Effective communication of ethical initiatives is crucial in bridging the gap between brand promises and consumer perceptions, fostering transparency and accountability. Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has underscored the resilience and agility required in supply chain management, further emphasizing the importance of ethical practices in ensuring business continuity and consumer confidence during global disruptions. Brands that adapted ethically and responsibly during the crisis have reinforced their reputational capital and strengthened their relationships with consumers. Moving forward, businesses must continue to innovate and evolve their supply chain strategies to align with evolving consumer expectations and societal values. Future research should explore emerging trends, challenges, and opportunities in ethical supply chain management, including the integration of technological advancements and regulatory frameworks that promote sustainability and ethical responsibility. By embracing ethical supply chain practices and engaging authentically with consumers, businesses can foster sustainable growth, enhance brand loyalty, and contribute positively to society and the environment.

References

- Aguiar-Quintana, T., & Molina-Gutierrez, M. A. (2020). The effect of ethical supply chain management on brand equity: The mediating role of trust and satisfaction. *Sustainability*, 12(7), 2816. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12072816>
- Ahn, J., & Lee, H. (2019). The influence of CSR on hotel brand loyalty: The mediating roles of brand trust and satisfaction. *Journal of Hospitality & Tourism Research*, 43(1), 124-148. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1096348019843758>

- Albayrak, T., Aksoy, S., Caber, M., & Ozsoy, C. (2013). The effect of corporate social responsibility on brand loyalty: The mediating role of brand trust and brand affect. *Journal of Global Strategic Management*, 7(1), 19-30.
- Ali, I., Rehman, K. U., Yilmaz, A. K., & Ali, A. (2020). Impact of green supply chain management on firm performance and brand equity: Moderating role of environmental management capability. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 29(6), 2545-2559. <https://doi.org/10.1002/bse.2395>
- Amaeshi, K., Adi, B., Ogbachie, C., & Amao, O. O. (2008). Corporate social responsibility in Nigeria: Western mimicry or indigenous practices? *International Journal of Business Governance and Ethics*, 4(4), 325-347. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJBGE.2008.019788>
- Arlı, D., Dylke, S., Burgess, R., Campus, R., & Soldo, E. (2020). Consumer perceptions of CSR: Measurement, issues, and validity. *Journal of Business Research*, 111, 50-58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.12.018>
- Emon, M.M.H., & Khan, T. (2023). The Impact of Cultural Norms on Sustainable Entrepreneurship Practices in SMEs of Bangladesh. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation and Applied Sciences (IJIAS)*, 3(3), 201-209.
- Arlı, D., Tjiptono, F., & Zakir, A. (2013). The role of Islamic work ethic in the relationship between perceived corporate social responsibility and job satisfaction and organizational commitment. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 132(2), 235-246. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-014-2297-4>
- Auger, P., Devinney, T. M., Louviere, J. J., & Burke, P. F. (2003). Do social product features have value to consumers? *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 20(2), 149-165. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8116\(03\)00005-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-8116(03)00005-6)
- Balmer, J. M. T., & Greyser, S. A. (2003). *Revealing the corporation: Perspectives on identity, image, reputation, corporate branding, and corporate-level marketing*. Routledge.
- Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2004). Doing better at doing good: When, why, and how consumers respond to corporate social initiatives. *California Management Review*, 47(1), 9-24. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41166284>
- Biedenbach, G., & Marell, A. (2010). The impact of corporate social responsibility on consumer loyalty. *Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 27(5), 458-469. <https://doi.org/10.1108/07363761011069905>
- Bigne, E., & Andreu, L. (2004). Emotions in segmentation: An empirical study. *Annals of Tourism Research*, 31(3), 682-696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.annals.2003.12.001>
- Bode, C., Wagner, S. M., & Petersen, K. J. (2015). Is there a downside to sustainable development? *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 43(1), 100-116. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11747-014-0376-7>
- Emon, M.H., & Nipa, M.N. (2024). Exploring the Gender Dimension in Entrepreneurship Development: A Systematic Literature Review in the Context of Bangladesh. *Westcliff International Journal of Applied Research*, 8(1), 34-49.
- Boulstridge, E., & Carrigan, M. (2000). Do consumers really care about corporate responsibility? Highlighting the attitude-behavior gap. *Journal of Communication Management*, 4(4), 355-368. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13632540010803024>
- Bowman, D., & Gatignon, H. (2010). Market response to a major policy change in the marketing mix: Learning from Procter & Gamble's value pricing strategy. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 47(1), 52-67. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkr.47.1.52>
- Carroll, A. B. (1991). The pyramid of corporate social responsibility: Toward the moral management of organizational stakeholders. *Business Horizons*, 34(4), 39-48. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813\(91\)90005-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0007-6813(91)90005-G)
- Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M. B. (2001). The chain of effects from brand trust and brand affect to brand performance: The role of brand loyalty. *Journal of Marketing*, 65(2), 81-93. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.65.2.81.18255>
- Chaudhuri, A., & Holbrook, M. B. (2001). The chain of effects from brand trust and brand affect to brand performance: The role of brand loyalty. *Journal of Marketing*, 65(2), 81-93. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.65.2.81.18255>
- Chumpitaz, R., Paparoidamis, N. G., & Smith, A. C. (2021). Drivers and outcomes of brand love and brand loyalty: The role of sustainability and ethical responsibility. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 169(1), 29-47. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-019-04405-7>
- Emon, M.M.H., Khan, T., & Siam, S.A.J. (2024). Quantifying the influence of supplier relationship management and supply chain performance: an investigation of Bangladesh's manufacturing and service sectors. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(2), 2015. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2015.2024>

- Clark, M. A., & Ferrell, O. C. (2018). Corporate social responsibility and firm performance in the airline industry. *Journal of Business Research*, 85, 286-299. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2017.12.011>
- Crane, A., Matten, D., & Spence, L. J. (2019). *Corporate social responsibility: Readings and cases in a global context* (2nd ed.). Routledge.
- Crane, A., McWilliams, A., Matten, D., Moon, J., & Siegel, D. S. (2008). *Corporate social responsibility: A case study approach*. Cambridge University Press.
- de la Cruz del Rio-Rama, M., Lopez-Saez, P., & de la Cruz del Rio-Rama, M. (2021). Can social responsibility build brand loyalty in the luxury industry? The roles of customer-company identification and trust. *Sustainability*, 13(3), 1480. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13031480>
- Delgado-Ballester, E., & Munuera-Aleman, J. L. (2001). Brand trust in the context of consumer loyalty. *European Journal of Marketing*, 35(11/12), 1238-1258. <https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM00000000006479>
- Rahman, M. A., Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Bukari, Z., & Nath, A. (2024). *The New Marketing Paradigm: From Traditional to Digital*. In Notion Press.
- Devinney, T. M. (2009). Is the socially responsible corporation a myth? The good, the bad, and the ugly of corporate social responsibility. *Academy of Management Perspectives*, 23(2), 44-56. <https://doi.org/10.5465/AMP.2009.39985572>
- Du, S., Bhattacharya, C. B., & Sen, S. (2007). Reaping relational rewards from corporate social responsibility: The role of competitive positioning. *International Journal of Research in Marketing*, 24(3), 224-241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijresmar.2007.01.001>
- Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., Luo, Z., & Wamba, S. F. (2020). Examining the impact of external pressures and organizational culture on shaping performance measurement systems (PMS) for sustainability benchmarking: Some empirical findings. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 255, 120238. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.120238>
- Dutton, J. E., Dukerich, J. M., & Harquail, C. V. (1994). Organizational images and member identification. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 39(2), 239-263. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2393235>
- Ellen, P. S., Mohr, L. A., & Webb, D. J. (2000). Charitable programs and the retailer: Do they mix? *Journal of Retailing*, 76(3), 393-406. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4359\(00\)00036-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-4359(00)00036-2)
- Emon, M. M. H., Khan, T., Rahman, M. A., Bukari, Z., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). *Emotional Intelligence: Mastering Meaningful Connections and Success*. Notion Press.
- Ferrell, O. C., & Fraedrich, J. (2019). *Business ethics: Ethical decision making and cases* (12th ed.). Cengage.
- Filo, K., Funk, D. C., & O'Brien, D. (2008). Exploring the social legacy of the Sydney 2000 Olympic Games. *Journal of Sport Management*, 22(6), 708-725. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jism.22.6.708>
- Khan, T., Rahman, S. M., & Hasan, M. M. (2020). Barriers to Growth of Renewable Energy Technology in Bangladesh. *Proceedings of the International Conference on Computing Advancements*, 1-6. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3377049.3377086>
- Emon, M. H. (2023). A systematic review of the causes and consequences of price hikes in Bangladesh. *Review of Business and Economics Studies*, 11(2), 49-58.
- Khan, T., Khanam, S. N., Rahman, M. H., & Rahman, S. M. (2019). Determinants of microfinance facility for installing solar home system (SHS) in rural Bangladesh. *Energy Policy*, 132, 299-308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.047>
- Fisher, R. J. (1993). Social desirability bias and the validity of indirect questioning. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 20(2), 303-315. <https://doi.org/10.1086/209351>
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). *Strategic management: A stakeholder approach*. Pitman.
- Galbreath, J. (2010). How does corporate social responsibility benefit firms? *Journal of Business Research*, 63(4), 371-378. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2009.02.001>
- Godfrey, P. C., Merrill, C. B., & Hansen, J. M. (2009). The relationship between corporate social responsibility and shareholder value: An empirical test of the risk management hypothesis. *Strategic Management Journal*, 30(4), 425-445. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.750>
- Gold, A. H., Malhotra, A., & Segars, A. H. (2001). Knowledge management: An organizational capabilities perspective. *Journal of Management Information Systems*, 18(1), 185-214. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07421222.2001.11045669>
- Grappi, S., Romani, S., & Bagozzi, R. P. (2013). Consumer response to corporate irresponsible behavior: Moral emotions and virtues. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(10), 1814-1821. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2012.12.019>

- Groza, M. D., Pronschinske, M. R., & Walker, M. (2011). Perceived organizational motives and consumer responses to proactive and reactive CSR. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 102(4), 639-652. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-011-0912-3>
- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., & Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate data analysis (7th ed.)*. Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Luo, X., & Bhattacharya, C. B. (2006). Corporate social responsibility, customer satisfaction, and market value. *Journal of Marketing*, 70(4), 1-18. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmkg.70.4.1>

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.