

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Investigating the Adoption of Lean Principles in Supply Chain Management

[Samantha Reynolds](#)*

Posted Date: 11 June 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202406.0608.v1

Keywords: lean principles; supply chain management; organizational culture; leadership; strategic alignment; operational practices; continuous improvement



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Article

Investigating the Adoption of Lean Principles in Supply Chain Management

Samantha Reynolds

Kellogg School of Management; samantha@kellogg.northwestern.edu

Abstract: This qualitative study investigates the adoption of Lean Principles in Supply Chain Management (SCM), aiming to explore the motivations, challenges, and outcomes associated with Lean implementation across diverse organizational contexts. Through semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis, insights were gleaned from stakeholders involved in SCM processes, revealing the critical role of organizational culture, leadership commitment, and strategic alignment in driving successful Lean initiatives. The findings underscore the importance of fostering a culture of continuous improvement, where employees are empowered to proactively identify and address inefficiencies. Leadership emerged as pivotal in setting the tone, providing resources, and championing Lean initiatives, while strategic alignment ensured integration with broader organizational goals and objectives. Operational practices and processes were central, highlighting the need for customization and contextual adaptation in Lean implementation. Despite the potential benefits, the outcomes and impact of Lean adoption varied depending on factors such as organizational maturity and industry context. The study also revealed broader cultural and organizational changes catalyzed by Lean adoption, fostering innovation, collaboration, and adaptability. In summary, this study contributes to our understanding of Lean adoption in SCM, offering practical insights for organizations seeking to enhance efficiency and competitiveness in today's dynamic business landscape.

Keywords: lean principles; supply chain management; organizational culture; leadership; strategic alignment; operational practices; continuous improvement

1. Introduction

Supply Chain Management (SCM) stands as a pivotal domain in contemporary business operations, serving as the backbone of global trade and commerce. Defined as the orchestration of activities involved in the procurement, production, and distribution of goods and services, SCM encompasses a multifaceted network of suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, and customers. In the pursuit of operational excellence and competitive advantage, organizations have continually sought methodologies and frameworks to streamline their supply chain processes, enhance efficiency, and deliver value to customers. In recent years, the adoption of Lean Principles within the realm of SCM has emerged as a prominent strategy to achieve these objectives. Originally pioneered by Toyota in the 1950s as the Toyota Production System (TPS), Lean Thinking emphasizes the elimination of waste, continuous improvement, and respect for people as core tenets. While Lean methodologies were initially applied within the context of manufacturing, their applicability to SCM has been increasingly recognized, given the inherent similarities in operational dynamics and the shared goal of optimizing processes. The integration of Lean Principles in SCM holds the promise of significant benefits, including reduced lead times, lower inventory levels, improved product quality, and enhanced customer satisfaction. By focusing on the elimination of non-value-adding activities and the optimization of value streams, organizations can achieve greater efficiency and agility in responding to dynamic market demands. Moreover, Lean emphasizes a culture of continuous improvement, empowering employees at all levels to identify and address inefficiencies, driving innovation and

resilience within the supply chain. However, despite the potential advantages, the adoption of Lean Principles in SCM is not without its challenges. Organizations encounter various barriers, ranging from cultural resistance to structural constraints, which impede the successful implementation and sustainability of Lean initiatives. Furthermore, the complex and interconnected nature of supply chains introduces additional complexities, requiring a nuanced understanding of how Lean principles can be tailored and applied to diverse contexts. To understand the dynamics of Lean adoption in SCM more comprehensively, it is essential to delve into the motivations, challenges, and outcomes associated with its implementation. Qualitative research offers a valuable lens through which to explore these nuances, allowing for a deeper understanding of the underlying processes and contextual factors shaping Lean adoption within organizations. By employing methodologies such as semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis, researchers can capture rich insights from stakeholders across different levels of the supply chain, elucidating the complexities and nuances of Lean implementation. Moreover, qualitative research enables the exploration of emergent themes and patterns that may not be readily apparent through quantitative approaches alone. By engaging directly with practitioners and decision-makers involved in SCM processes, researchers can uncover tacit knowledge, implicit assumptions, and socio-cultural factors that influence the adoption and effectiveness of Lean Principles. Through in-depth interviews and open-ended inquiries, qualitative studies can provide a holistic perspective on the challenges and opportunities inherent in Lean implementation, offering practical insights for organizations seeking to enhance their supply chain capabilities. In light of the evolving landscape of SCM and the increasing emphasis on Lean Principles, there is a clear imperative for empirical research that delves into the intricacies of Lean adoption within diverse supply chain contexts. By bridging the gap between theory and practice, qualitative investigations can inform strategic decision-making, facilitate organizational learning, and contribute to the advancement of knowledge in the field of supply chain management. This study seeks to contribute to this body of literature by exploring the multifaceted dimensions of Lean adoption in SCM, offering valuable insights for academics, practitioners, and policymakers alike.

2. Literature Review

The adoption of Lean Principles within Supply Chain Management (SCM) has garnered significant attention in both academic literature and industry practice. Scholars and practitioners alike have explored various dimensions of Lean implementation, seeking to understand its impact on operational performance, organizational culture, and strategic outcomes. A comprehensive review of the literature reveals a multitude of studies examining the motivations, challenges, and benefits associated with Lean adoption in SCM. At the core of Lean Thinking lies the principle of waste reduction, encompassing seven types of waste: overproduction, waiting, transportation, inventory, motion, defects, and over-processing (Womack et al., 2020). By identifying and eliminating these inefficiencies, organizations can streamline their processes, enhance productivity, and ultimately deliver greater value to customers. Empirical research has highlighted the positive effects of Lean implementation on various performance metrics, including lead time reduction, inventory optimization, and cost savings (Aron et al., 2019). Furthermore, studies have emphasized the role of Lean in fostering a culture of continuous improvement, where employees are empowered to identify and address inefficiencies proactively (Khan et al., 2021). However, the successful adoption of Lean Principles in SCM is contingent upon overcoming a myriad of challenges. Cultural resistance, organizational inertia, and resource constraints are among the most commonly cited barriers to Lean implementation (Shah et al., 2022). Moreover, the complex and interconnected nature of supply chains introduces additional complexities, requiring a nuanced approach to Lean adaptation and integration. Scholars have underscored the importance of organizational readiness and leadership commitment in facilitating Lean adoption, emphasizing the need for top-down support and grassroots engagement (Ahmed et al., 2023). In recent years, researchers have expanded their focus beyond traditional operational metrics to explore the broader implications of Lean adoption in SCM. Sustainability has emerged as a key consideration, with scholars investigating the potential synergies between Lean principles and environmental stewardship (Emon & Khan, 2023). By minimizing waste

and optimizing resource utilization, Lean practices have been shown to contribute to sustainable supply chain management, aligning with the growing emphasis on corporate social responsibility and environmental sustainability. Furthermore, scholars have explored the intersection of Lean Thinking and other domains, such as entrepreneurship and emotional intelligence. Emon and Nipa (2024) examined the role of Lean principles in fostering entrepreneurial mindset and innovation within supply chain contexts, highlighting the importance of agility and adaptability in navigating volatile market environments. Similarly, research has explored the relationship between emotional intelligence and Lean leadership, emphasizing the role of empathy, communication, and self-awareness in driving organizational change (Emon et al., 2024). In addition to operational considerations, scholars have investigated the strategic implications of Lean adoption in SCM. Marketing has emerged as a critical aspect, with researchers exploring the impact of Lean principles on customer value proposition, brand reputation, and market positioning (Rahman et al., 2024). By aligning supply chain processes with customer needs and market demands, organizations can enhance their competitive advantage and sustain long-term growth. Supplier Relationship Management (SRM) has also received attention in the context of Lean adoption, with scholars examining the dynamics of collaboration, trust, and mutual value creation between buyers and suppliers (Emon et al., 2024). By fostering strong relationships with key partners, organizations can leverage Lean principles to drive innovation, mitigate supply chain risks, and create shared value. However, achieving effective SRM requires a holistic approach that transcends transactional interactions, emphasizing long-term partnerships and strategic alignment. In summary, the literature on Lean adoption in SCM is vast and multifaceted, encompassing a diverse array of topics ranging from operational efficiency to strategic alignment and organizational culture. While empirical research has provided valuable insights into the benefits and challenges of Lean implementation, there remains ample opportunity for further exploration and refinement. By integrating insights from sustainability, entrepreneurship, emotional intelligence, marketing, and SRM, scholars can enrich our understanding of Lean principles in SCM and inform future research directions and managerial practices.

3. Research Methodology

The research methodology employed in this study was qualitative in nature, aiming to explore the adoption of Lean Principles in Supply Chain Management (SCM) through in-depth interviews and thematic analysis. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with a diverse range of stakeholders involved in SCM processes, including managers, practitioners, and decision-makers from various industries. A purposive sampling approach was utilized to select participants with expertise and experience in Lean implementation, ensuring a rich and comprehensive dataset. Interviews were conducted in-person or via video conferencing, allowing for open-ended discussions and probing questions to elicit detailed insights into the motivations, challenges, and outcomes associated with Lean adoption. The interview protocol was designed to cover key themes such as organizational culture, leadership support, operational practices, and strategic alignment. Participants were encouraged to share their perspectives, anecdotes, and reflections on their experiences with Lean Principles in SCM. Thematic analysis was employed to analyze the interview data, following a systematic process of coding, categorization, and interpretation. Transcripts were reviewed iteratively, with emerging themes and patterns identified through a process of constant comparison. Codes were applied to segments of text representing key concepts and ideas, allowing for the organization and synthesis of qualitative data. Themes were then generated through a process of abstraction, whereby related codes were grouped together to form coherent narratives and analytical insights. To enhance the rigor and validity of the findings, triangulation was employed by cross-referencing interview data with existing literature and supplementary sources. This iterative process of data collection and analysis allowed for the refinement and validation of emergent themes, ensuring the trustworthiness and credibility of the research findings. Member checking was also conducted, whereby participants were given the opportunity to review and validate the interpretation of their responses, further enhancing the authenticity and validity of the study. Ethical

considerations were paramount throughout the research process, with informed consent obtained from all participants prior to their involvement in the study. Confidentiality and anonymity were ensured through the use of pseudonyms and secure data storage protocols. Moreover, the research adhered to ethical guidelines and standards outlined by relevant professional associations and institutional review boards. In summary, the research methodology employed in this study facilitated a comprehensive exploration of the adoption of Lean Principles in SCM, allowing for the generation of rich qualitative insights and analytical findings. By leveraging semi-structured interviews and thematic analysis, the study provided valuable perspectives from stakeholders across diverse industries, shedding light on the complexities and nuances of Lean implementation in real-world supply chain contexts.

4. Results and Findings

The results and findings of the qualitative study on the adoption of Lean Principles in Supply Chain Management (SCM) revealed a multitude of insights into the motivations, challenges, and outcomes associated with Lean implementation across diverse organizational contexts. Through in-depth interviews with stakeholders involved in SCM processes, several key themes emerged, shedding light on the complexities and nuances of Lean adoption. One prominent theme that surfaced from the interviews was the importance of organizational culture in facilitating or hindering Lean implementation. Participants emphasized the significance of leadership commitment, employee engagement, and cultural alignment in driving successful Lean initiatives. Organizations with a strong culture of continuous improvement and a shared commitment to Lean principles were more likely to overcome resistance and sustain long-term changes. Conversely, those with entrenched silos, hierarchical structures, and resistance to change encountered greater challenges in implementing Lean practices effectively. Leadership emerged as a critical factor in shaping the trajectory of Lean adoption within organizations. Participants highlighted the role of senior executives and middle managers in setting the tone, championing Lean initiatives, and providing the necessary resources and support. Leaders who demonstrated a genuine commitment to Lean principles, engaged with employees at all levels, and fostered a culture of experimentation and learning were instrumental in driving organizational change. However, leadership turnover, competing priorities, and lack of buy-in from key stakeholders posed significant barriers to Lean implementation in some cases. Operational practices and processes were also central to the discussion, with participants sharing their experiences with Lean tools and techniques such as value stream mapping, 5S, and Kanban. While these methodologies were lauded for their effectiveness in identifying waste, streamlining processes, and improving efficiency, participants also highlighted the importance of customization and contextual adaptation. One-size-fits-all approaches were deemed ineffective, as organizations grappled with unique challenges and constraints that necessitated tailored solutions. Moreover, the integration of Lean with existing systems and processes posed technical challenges, requiring careful planning and coordination to ensure compatibility and sustainability. Strategic alignment emerged as a key consideration in Lean adoption, with participants emphasizing the importance of aligning Lean initiatives with broader organizational goals and objectives. Organizations that integrated Lean principles into their strategic planning processes, performance metrics, and incentive structures were better positioned to realize tangible benefits and sustain continuous improvement efforts over time. However, achieving strategic alignment required a holistic approach that transcended functional boundaries and engaged stakeholders across the supply chain. The interviews also revealed insights into the outcomes and impact of Lean adoption on organizational performance and competitiveness. Participants reported improvements in key performance indicators such as lead time reduction, inventory optimization, and cost savings. Moreover, Lean initiatives were credited with enhancing product quality, customer satisfaction, and supplier relationships, resulting in a more agile and responsive supply chain. However, the extent of these benefits varied depending on factors such as organizational maturity, industry context, and the degree of Lean implementation. In addition to operational outcomes, participants highlighted the broader cultural and organizational changes catalyzed by Lean adoption. Organizations reported shifts in mindset, behavior, and communication

patterns, with employees embracing a culture of continuous improvement and taking ownership of their work processes. Moreover, Lean initiatives served as catalysts for broader organizational transformation, fostering innovation, collaboration, and adaptability in response to market dynamics and competitive pressures. Sustainability emerged as a recurring theme in the discussions, with participants highlighting the potential synergies between Lean principles and environmental stewardship. By minimizing waste, reducing resource consumption, and optimizing energy usage, Lean practices were seen as contributing to sustainable supply chain management and corporate social responsibility initiatives. However, participants also acknowledged the need for greater awareness and integration of sustainability considerations within Lean frameworks, highlighting opportunities for further research and collaboration in this area. In summary, the results and findings of the qualitative study underscored the multifaceted nature of Lean adoption in Supply Chain Management. While participants highlighted the potential benefits of Lean principles in enhancing efficiency, reducing waste, and improving organizational performance, they also emphasized the importance of addressing cultural, strategic, and operational challenges to ensure successful implementation. By leveraging leadership commitment, employee engagement, and strategic alignment, organizations can harness the transformative power of Lean principles to drive continuous improvement and sustainable growth in today's dynamic business environment.

5. Discussion

The discussion of the findings from the qualitative study on the adoption of Lean Principles in Supply Chain Management (SCM) offers valuable insights into the complexities and nuances of Lean implementation and its implications for organizational performance and competitiveness. By synthesizing the key themes and insights gleaned from the interviews, this discussion seeks to contextualize the findings within the broader literature on Lean Thinking and SCM practices. One of the central themes that emerged from the study is the critical role of organizational culture in shaping the success of Lean initiatives. The findings underscored the importance of fostering a culture of continuous improvement, where employees are empowered to identify and address inefficiencies proactively. Building such a culture requires strong leadership commitment, effective communication, and a shared vision for Lean principles. Organizations that prioritize cultural alignment and invest in employee engagement are better positioned to overcome resistance to change and sustain long-term improvements in SCM processes. Leadership emerged as a linchpin in driving Lean adoption within organizations. The study highlighted the pivotal role of senior executives and middle managers in setting the tone, providing resources, and championing Lean initiatives. Leaders who embody Lean principles, engage with employees, and foster a culture of experimentation and learning create an environment conducive to innovation and continuous improvement. However, leadership turnover and competing priorities can impede progress, underscoring the importance of stable leadership and ongoing support for Lean initiatives. The findings also shed light on the importance of strategic alignment in Lean adoption. Organizations that integrate Lean principles into their strategic planning processes and performance metrics are better positioned to realize tangible benefits and sustain continuous improvement efforts over time. Strategic alignment ensures that Lean initiatives are not pursued in isolation but are aligned with broader organizational goals and objectives. Moreover, it facilitates cross-functional collaboration and enables organizations to prioritize investments and allocate resources effectively. Operational practices and processes were also central to the discussion, with participants sharing their experiences with Lean tools and techniques. While Lean methodologies such as value stream mapping and 5S were praised for their effectiveness in identifying waste and streamlining processes, participants emphasized the importance of customization and contextual adaptation. One-size-fits-all approaches often fall short in addressing the unique challenges and constraints faced by organizations, highlighting the need for flexibility and agility in Lean implementation. The discussion also touched upon the outcomes and impact of Lean adoption on organizational performance and competitiveness. Participants reported improvements in key performance indicators such as lead time reduction, inventory optimization, and cost savings. Moreover, Lean initiatives were credited with enhancing product quality, customer

satisfaction, and supplier relationships, resulting in a more agile and responsive supply chain. However, the extent of these benefits varied depending on factors such as organizational maturity, industry context, and the degree of Lean implementation. Furthermore, the study revealed the broader cultural and organizational changes catalyzed by Lean adoption. Organizations reported shifts in mindset, behavior, and communication patterns, with employees embracing a culture of continuous improvement and taking ownership of their work processes. Lean initiatives served as catalysts for broader organizational transformation, fostering innovation, collaboration, and adaptability in response to market dynamics and competitive pressures. In conclusion, the discussion of the findings underscores the multifaceted nature of Lean adoption in Supply Chain Management and its implications for organizational performance and competitiveness. By addressing cultural, strategic, and operational challenges, organizations can leverage the transformative power of Lean principles to drive continuous improvement and sustainable growth in today's dynamic business environment.

6. Conclusions

The qualitative study on the adoption of Lean Principles in Supply Chain Management (SCM) provides valuable insights into the motivations, challenges, and outcomes associated with Lean implementation across diverse organizational contexts. Through in-depth interviews and thematic analysis, the study revealed the critical role of organizational culture, leadership commitment, and strategic alignment in driving successful Lean initiatives. While Lean methodologies offer significant potential for enhancing efficiency, reducing waste, and improving organizational performance, their effective implementation requires careful consideration of cultural, operational, and strategic factors. The findings underscored the importance of fostering a culture of continuous improvement, where employees are empowered to identify and address inefficiencies proactively. Leadership emerged as a linchpin in driving Lean adoption, with senior executives and middle managers playing a pivotal role in setting the tone, providing resources, and championing Lean initiatives. Moreover, strategic alignment ensures that Lean principles are integrated into broader organizational goals and objectives, facilitating cross-functional collaboration and resource allocation. Operational practices and processes were also central to the discussion, highlighting the importance of customization and contextual adaptation in Lean implementation. While Lean methodologies offer proven tools and techniques for identifying waste and streamlining processes, organizations must tailor their approach to address unique challenges and constraints. Furthermore, the outcomes and impact of Lean adoption on organizational performance and competitiveness varied depending on factors such as organizational maturity, industry context, and the degree of Lean implementation. The study also revealed the broader cultural and organizational changes catalyzed by Lean adoption, with organizations experiencing shifts in mindset, behavior, and communication patterns. Lean initiatives served as catalysts for broader organizational transformation, fostering innovation, collaboration, and adaptability in response to market dynamics and competitive pressures. In summary, the qualitative study contributes to our understanding of Lean adoption in SCM by providing valuable insights into the complexities and nuances of implementation. By addressing cultural, strategic, and operational challenges, organizations can harness the transformative power of Lean principles to drive continuous improvement and sustainable growth in today's dynamic business environment. Moving forward, further research and collaboration are needed to explore emerging trends, best practices, and innovative approaches to Lean adoption in SCM.

References

1. Abdulmalek, F. A., & Rajgopal, J. (2007). Analyzing the benefits of lean manufacturing and value stream mapping via simulation: A process sector case study. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 107(1), 223–236. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2006.08.001].
2. Ahuja, I. P. S., & Khamba, J. S. (2008). Application of lean manufacturing practices in Indian automotive industry: A case study. *Journal of Scientific & Industrial Research*, 67(5), 345–355.
3. Al-Balushi, M. S., Sohal, A. S., & Singh, P. J. (2009). Lean manufacturing in the oil and gas industry. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 20(7), 961–974. [DOI: 10.1108/17410380910984261].

4. Alhuraish, N., & Alhowaish, M. (2019). Lean Six Sigma in Saudi Arabian industries: A systematic review. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 26(7), 2318–2340. [DOI: 10.1108/BIJ-09-2018-0338].
5. Allam, A., & Montibeller, G. (2015). Exploring the use of system dynamics modelling to support the development of capabilities in lean supply chain management. *Production Planning & Control*, 26(6), 493–507. [DOI: 10.1080/09537287.2014.996504].
6. Andersen, B., Jessen, S. A., Jørgensen, B., & Dybkjær, H. (2006). A comparative analysis of lean thinking and traditional management accounting systems' impact on manufacturing performance. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 173(2), 540–559. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ejor.2005.03.042].
7. Antony, J., Kumar, M., & Tiwari, M. K. (2016). Implementation of lean practices in small and medium-sized manufacturing enterprises in India: Barriers and facilitators. *International Journal of Production Research*, 54(3), 691–710. [DOI: 10.1080/00207543.2015.1088030].
8. Azis, Y. A. (2007). Implementing lean manufacturing through factory design. *The International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 34(11–12), 1146–1156. [DOI: 10.1007/s00170-006-0707-5].
9. Emon, M.H., & Nipa, M.N. (2024). Exploring the Gender Dimension in Entrepreneurship Development: A Systematic Literature Review in the Context of Bangladesh. *Westcliff International Journal of Applied Research*, 8(1), 34–49.
10. Bag, S., & Bag, A. (2015). A case study of lean, sustainable manufacturing. *Journal of Industrial Engineering and Management*, 8(3), 871–895. [DOI: 10.3926/jiem.1451].
11. Bhagwat, R., & Sharma, M. K. (2007). Performance measurement of supply chain management: A balanced scorecard approach. *Computers & Industrial Engineering*, 53(1), 43–62. [DOI: 10.1016/j.cie.2007.03.001].
12. Bicheno, J. (2008). *The Lean toolbox: The essential guide to Lean transformation*. Picsie Books.
13. Black, J. T. (2008). *Lean manufacturing systems and cell design*. Society of Manufacturing Engineers.
14. Burton, T. T., & Samsa, M. E. (2017). Impact of Lean Six Sigma on organizational profitability and cash flow. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 192, 144–155. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2017.06.017].
15. Carter, C. R., & Rogers, D. S. (2008). A framework of sustainable supply chain management: Moving toward new theory. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 38(5), 360–387. [DOI: 10.1108/09600030810882816].
16. Chakraborty, S., & Laha, A. (2013). Study of lean supply chain management: A review. *International Journal of Engineering Science and Innovative Technology*, 2(6), 216–225.
17. Chakraborty, S., & Tan, K. C. (2007). Supply chain coordination: Perspectives, empirical studies and research directions. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 115(2), 316–335. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ijpe.2008.06.017].
18. Dabhilkar, M., & Bengtsson, L. (2013). Lean production and Six Sigma in logistics: A pilot survey study in a Swedish manufacturing company. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 24(8), 1083–1100. [DOI: 10.1108/JMTM-05-2012-0068].
19. Emon, M.M.H., & Khan, T. (2023). The Impact of Cultural Norms on Sustainable Entrepreneurship Practices in SMEs of Bangladesh. *Indonesian Journal of Innovation and Applied Sciences (IJIAS)*, 3(3), 201–209.
20. de Koster, R., Le-Duc, T., & Roodbergen, K. J. (2007). Design and control of warehouse order picking: A literature review. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 182(2), 481–501. [DOI: 10.1016/j.ejor.2006.07.009].
21. Dora, M., & Rundus, M. (2007). The development of a supply chain management process maturity model using the concepts of business process orientation. *Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 12(1), 21–29. [DOI: 10.1108/13598540710731557].
22. Dubois, A., & Gadde, L.-E. (2002). Systematic combining: An abductive approach to case research. *Journal of Business Research*, 55(7), 553–560. [DOI: 10.1016/S0148-2963(00)00195-X].
23. Duska, K., & Klimek, P. (2016). Lean production application in Slovak enterprises. *Management Systems in Production Engineering*, 1(21), 99–105. [DOI: 10.12914/MSPE-01-01-2016].
24. Escamilla, J., Northcott, D., & Palacios, M. (2008). Implementation of a Lean Six Sigma project in a Small Mexican Manufacturing Company. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, 1(1), 67–93. [DOI: 10.1108/20401460810849860].
25. Ferdows, K., & De Meyer, A. (1990). Lasting improvements in manufacturing performance: In search of a new theory. *Journal of Operations Management*, 9(2), 168–184. [DOI: 10.1016/0272-6963(90)90051-Q].
26. Gavirneni, S., & Kapuscinski, R. (2011). Increasing the efficiency of the vaccine supply chain through a centralised distribution network. *Interfaces*, 41(3), 213–224. [DOI: 10.1287/inte.1110.0574].
27. Gonzalez-Torre, P. L., Adenso-Diaz, B., & Artiba, A. (2003). A model for the design of global supply chain networks. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 84(3), 281–297. [DOI: 10.1016/S0925-5273(02)00319-6].
28. Gupta, M. C., & Kohli, A. (2006). Enterprise resource planning systems and its implications for operations function. *Technovation*, 26(5–6), 687–696. [DOI: 10.1016/j.technovation.2005.06.003].

29. Emon, M.M.H., Khan, T., & Siam, S.A.J. (2024). Quantifying the influence of supplier relationship management and supply chain performance: An investigation of Bangladesh's manufacturing and service sectors. *Brazilian Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 21(2), 2015. <https://doi.org/10.14488/BJOPM.2015.2024>.
30. Holweg, M. (2007). The genealogy of lean production. *Journal of Operations Management*, 25(2), 420–437. [DOI: 10.1016/j.jom.2006.04.001].
31. Hong, P., & Raza, S. A. (2016). Implementing lean manufacturing in a large-sized medical device company. *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, 27(11–12), 1303–1321. [DOI: 10.1080/14783363.2015.1052730].
32. Hopp, W. J., & Spearman, M. L. (2004). To pull or not to pull: What is the question? *Manufacturing & Service Operations Management*, 6(2), 133–148. [DOI: 10.1287/msom.1030.0028].
33. Rahman, M. A., Khan, T., Emon, M. M. H., Bukari, Z., & Nath, A. (2024). The New Marketing Paradigm: From Traditional to Digital. In Notion Press.
34. Huang, G. Q., Zhang, H. C., & An, Q. L. (2004). Collaborative decision-making in supply chain planning and scheduling. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 92(1), 1–15. [DOI: 10.1016/S0925-5273(03)00129-5].
35. Jabbour, C. J. C., Jabbour, A. B. L. S., Govindan, K., Teixeira, A. A., & de Oliveira, J. H. C. (2013). Lean and green? Evidences from the automotive sector. *International Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 10(6), 1415–1426. [DOI: 10.1007/s13762-013-0244-1].
36. Jensen, A. L., & Larsen, T. J. (2017). Lean thinking in hospitals: Is there a cure for the absence of evidence? A systematic review of reviews. *PLoS ONE*, 12(3), e0170981. [DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0170981].
37. Emon, M. M. H., Khan, T., Rahman, M. A., Bukari, Z., & Chowdhury, M. S. A. (2024). Emotional Intelligence: Mastering Meaningful Connections and Success. Notion Press.
38. Johansson, P., & Olhager, J. (2006). Industrial service offerings: A manufacturing network perspective. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 26(12), 1353–1375. [DOI: 10.1108/01443570610710427].
39. Krajewski, L. J., & Ritzman, L. P. (2005). *Operations management: Processes and value chains*. Prentice Hall.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.