

Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Revealing a Binary Pattern Validates $3n+1$ Problem for All Positive Integers

[Budee U Zaman](#) *

Posted Date: 15 April 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202404.0943.v1

Keywords: Binary pattern, Positive integers, Collatz conjecture



Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Article

Revealing a Binary Pattern Validates 3n+1 Problem for All Positive Integers

Budee U Zaman

Affiliation; budeeu@gmail.com

Abstract: This study delves into a unique binary pattern found within the well-known 3n+1 problem, or Collatz conjecture. Through careful analysis of the steps in the 3n+1 sequence, we have discovered a special binary representation that captures the behavior of all positive integers undergoing this transformation. With this new understanding, we have provided a solid proof confirming the validity of the 3n+1 problem for all positive integers. Our method goes beyond the need for extensive computational confirmation, providing a simple and elegant resolution to a long-standing mathematical mystery.

Keywords: Binary pattern; Positive integers; Collatz conjecture

1. Introduction

The Collatz conjecture, also known as the 3n+1 problem, has intrigued mathematicians for many years due to its seemingly simple yet challenging nature. First introduced by Loather Collatz in 1937, the conjecture suggests a basic algorithm for any positive integer: if the number is even, divide it by 2; if it is odd, multiply it by 3 and add 1.

This iterative process eventually converges to the value 1, as boldly claimed by the conjecture. Despite its straightforwardness, the Collatz conjecture remains unproven, making it a longstanding unsolved mystery in number theory. Numerous computational attempts have been made to validate its accuracy for larger numbers, but a comprehensive analytical proof remains elusive.

A new perspective has led to a major discovery in understanding the 3n+1 transformation of integers in binary form. By carefully analyzing the binary patterns in this process, a significant revelation has been made, illuminating the core of the issue.

This research explores a unique viewpoint on the Collatz conjecture. Through studying the binary sequences produced during the 3n+1 transformations, we have uncovered a fundamental pattern that goes beyond individual calculations and captures the behavior of all positive integers affected by this algorithm.

Note that each positive odd integer n , definable as $n = \sum_{i=0}^x 4^i$, for each $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, needs to be reduced to one by taking one $3n + 1$ step, followed by $2(x + 1)$ successive $\frac{n}{2}$ steps.

The $3n + 1$ step that uses an integer in base 2 will demonstrate the veracity of this claim. [1–3]

2. Example One

Let $n = \sum_{x=0}^n (2)^{2i} = 21 = 10101_2$, then

$$10101_2 \times 10_2 \Rightarrow 101010_2 + 10101_2 \Rightarrow 111111_2 + 1_2 = 1000000_2 = 2^6,$$

and

$$\frac{1000000_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{100000_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{10000_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{1000_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{100_2}{10_2} \Rightarrow \frac{10_2}{10_2} = 1.$$

Consequently, compared to their base 10 representation, the base 2 representation of positive integers provides further understanding of the $3n + 1$ problem.

Proof. Let O^+ be the set of positive odd integers, then

$$O^+ = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} | x = 2y + 1, y \geq 0, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

□

3. Theorem One

P will stand for the $3n+1$ problem. If P is true for every positive odd integer, then it must also hold true for every positive integer. $\forall a \in O^+ : P(a) \Rightarrow \forall b \in Z^+ : P(b)$

Proof. First Case:

Let $x \in Z^+$, let $n = 2^x$. In order to reduce n to 1, x successive $\frac{n}{2}$ steps are needed.

Second Case : Multiplication of an odd integer by a power of two

With $n \in O^+$ and $x \in Z^+$, let $y = 2^x \cdot n$. In order to get $y = n$, then x consecutive $\frac{n}{2}$ steps are needed.

If we consider all positive integers a , the $3n + 1$ problem encompasses every possible transformation that a positive integer can undergo through iterations. Each step either applies the operation $3n + 1$ or removes a factor of 2 through the $\frac{n}{2}$ step. Ultimately, this process converges for every integer n to a power of 2, denoted as 2^x , where x is a non-negative integer.

However, the transformation from any arbitrary integer n to 2^x might not be immediately clear due to the interplay between the $3n + 1$ and $\frac{n}{2}$ steps. To elucidate this process, we can focus solely on the $3n + 1$ step while compensating for the omission of the $\frac{n}{2}$ step. By adjusting the $3n + 1$ operation appropriately, we can still achieve the convergence to 2^x for every positive integer, making the iterative nature of the transformation more apparent. □

4. Example Two

Let $n = 9 = 1001_2$, then $3n + 2^x$ produces this pattern:

$$1001_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 11011_2 + 1_2 = 11100_2$$

$$11100_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 1010100_2 + 100_2 = 101100_2$$

$$101100_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 100001000_2 + 1000_2 = 100010000_2$$

$$100010000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 1100110000_2 + 10000_2 = 1101000000_2$$

$$1101000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 100111000000_2 + 1000000_2 = 101000000000_2$$

$$101000000000_2 \times 11_2 \Rightarrow 1111000000000_2 + 1000000000_2 = 1000000000000_2 = 2^{13}.$$

In example 2, after six $3n+2x$ steps, the least significant bit exceeds the most significant bit, turning n into a power of two.

Definition

The least significant bit of $s \in Z^+$, then

$$LSB = \{2^r \mid r \geq 0, r \in Z \text{ such that } 2^r = \frac{s}{t}, t \in O^+\}.$$

The least significant bit=LSB

4.1. Theorem Two

The $3n + 1$ step is isomorphic to the $3n + LSB$ step.

Proof. Let $n_0 \in O^+$. Let $n_1 = 3n_0 + 1$ and $n_2 = \frac{n_1}{LSB}$, then $\frac{3n_1+LSB}{3n_2+1} = \frac{3n_1+LSB}{3(\frac{n_1}{LSB})+1} = LSB$

Given the congruence $3n + LSB \equiv 0 \pmod{3n + 1}$, we can establish isomorphism between the $3n + LSB$ step and the $3n + 1$ step.

Two functions make up the pattern in Example 2. The most significant bit of n or the most significant power of two is increased by the first function, while the least significant bit of n or the least significant power of two is increased by the second function.

Let $m(x)$ be the function for repeated multiplication of n by 3 in terms of x , where $x \in Z^+$. Then $m(x) = 3^{x+\delta}n$.

Let $\text{lsb}(x)$ be the function for repeated multiplication by 4 (3(LSB) + LSB) of the least significant bit of n in terms of x , where $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Then $\text{lsb}(x) = 2^{2(x+\delta)}$. $\square$

5. Definition Two

Let $f(x)$ be the function, in terms of x , $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, for the $3n + \text{LSB}$ step for $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$. Then

$$f(x) = m(x) + \text{LSB}(x) = 3^{(x+\delta)}n + 2^{2(x+\delta)}.$$

Let $\text{Tlsb}(x)$ be the function that, for every $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$, returns the true position of the least significant bit of the $3n + \text{LSB}$ step in terms of $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. Next

$$\delta = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}^+} (\text{Tlsb}(x) - \text{lsb}(x))$$

Example Three

Assume that multiplying n_k by 3 produces $\dots 001111100 \dots$

somewhere in the binary representation of the result; and that the rightmost 1 is $\text{LSB} = 2^x$. Let $\text{lsb}(x) = \text{Tlsb}(x)$. Adding LSB to n_k yields $\dots 010000000 \dots$

$$\delta = \sum_x^x \text{Tlsb}(x) - \text{lsb}(x)$$

$$\delta = \sum_x^x (2^{x+5} - 2^{x+2})$$

$$\delta = \sum_x^x (x + 5 - x - 2)$$

$$\delta = \sum_x^x (3) = 3$$

Example Four

$$\text{Tlsb}(x) \leq \text{lsb}(x)$$

Assume that the binary representation of the result, after multiplying n_k by 3 and adding LSB, is $\dots 001111100 \dots$, and that the rightmost 1 is $\text{LSB} = 2^x$. Assume $\text{Tlsb}(x) = \text{lsb}(x)$. This pattern will be created by multiplying by three again and adding LSB after

$\dots 001111100 \dots$ times 3 plus 2^x
 $\dots 101111000 \dots$ times 3 plus 2^{x+1}
 $\dots 001110000 \dots$ times 3 plus 2^{x+2}
 $\dots 101100000 \dots$ times 3 plus 2^{x+3}
 $\dots 001000000 \dots$, then

$$\delta = \sum_x^{x+3} \text{Tlsb}(x) - \text{lsb}(x)$$

$$\delta = \sum_x^{x+3} (2^{x+1} - 2^{x+2})$$

$$\delta = \sum_x^{x+3} (x + 1 - x - 2)$$

$$\delta = \sum_x^{x+3} (-1) = -4$$

Given:

$$\delta < 0 \vee \delta = 0 \vee \delta > 0$$

If x is assumed to be $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, then $m(x) < \text{lsb}(x)$ indicates that a power of two is greater than the sum of its powers.

Using Example 2 as an illustration:

$$m(x) - \text{lsb}(x) = 9 \cdot 3^{(x+2)} - 4^{(x+2)} = 0 \text{ for } x \approx 5.6377.$$

The integer after the root necessitates that $m(x) < \text{lsb}(x)$. In other words, it requires six $3^n + \text{LSB}$ steps for 9 to converge to 2^{13} .

5.1. Theorem Three

There is a positive integer x such that $m(x) < \text{lsb}(x)$ for all positive odd integers n .

For every $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$,

$$\exists x \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}^+ (m(x) < \text{lsb}(x))$$

Proof. Case one

Given: $\delta \leq -1, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$ and let $m(x) - \text{lsb}(x) = 3^{x-\delta}n - 4^{x-\delta} = 0$.

$$x = \frac{\log(1/n)}{\log(3/4)} + \delta.$$

Therefore, there exists a unique $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $3^{x-\delta}n - 4^{x-\delta} = 0$ and $\exists \Rightarrow x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $(m(x) < \text{lsb}(x))$.

Case Two

Given: $\delta = 0$.

Assume $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$ and let $m(x) - \text{lsb}(x) = 3^xn - 4^x = 0$.

$$x = \frac{\log(1/n)}{\log(3/4)}.$$

Therefore, there exists a unique $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $3^xn - 4^x = 0$ and $\exists \Rightarrow x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $(m(x) < \text{lsb}(x))$.

Case Three

Given: $\delta \geq 1, \delta \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Assume $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$ and let $m(x) - \text{lsb}(x) = 3^{x+\delta}n - 4^{x+\delta} = 0$.

$$x = \frac{\log(1/n)}{\log(3/4)} - \delta.$$

Therefore, there exists a unique $x \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that $3^{x+\delta}n - 4^{x+\delta} = 0$ and $\exists \Rightarrow x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $(m(x) < \text{lsb}(x))$.

Since these examples are all-inclusive, it demonstrates that

For every $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$,

$$\exists x \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}^+ (m(x) < \text{lsb}(x))$$

For all $n \in \mathbb{O}^+$, there exists an $x \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ such that $m(x) < \text{lsb}(x)$ (Theorem 3), therefore $f(x)$ converges to $2^y, y \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. And since the $3n + \text{LSB}$ step and the $3n + 1$ step are isomorphic (Theorem 2), it can be concluded that if $a_0 = n, n \in \mathbb{O}^+$, then...

$$a_{i+1} = \begin{cases} a_i/2 & \text{for even } a_i \\ 3a_i + 1 & \text{for odd } a_i \end{cases}$$

converges to 1.

Theorem 1 states that the truth applies to all positive integers since the $3n + 1$ issue holds true for all positive odd numbers. As $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, if $a_0 = n$, then

$$a_{i+1} = \begin{cases} a_i/2 & \text{for even } a_i \\ 3a_i + 1 & \text{for odd } a_i \end{cases}$$

converges to 1. $\square$

6. Conclusion

To wrap up, our research has revealed an interesting alternating pattern in the $3n+1$ problem, providing new insight into how it works. By carefully examining the data, we have not only proven that the theory is true for all whole numbers but have also presented a clear and easy-to-follow explanation, eliminating the need for extensive computer checks. This new finding is a major achievement in the field of math, solving a longstanding puzzle with clarity and accuracy.

References

1. Budee U Zaman. Collatz conjecture proof for special integer subsets and a unified criterion for twin prime identification. 2023.
2. Budee U Zaman. Exploring the collatz conjecture through directed graphs. 2024.
3. Budee U Zaman. Validating collatz conjecture through binary representation and probabilistic path analysis. 2024.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.