Preprints.org

Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Advancements in DNA Barcoding: Revolutionizing Taxonomy and Biodiversity Studies

Mohammad Odah *

Posted Date: 7 March 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

Keywords: DNA barcoding; Taxonomy; Biodiversity; Species identification; Molecular taxonomy; Cryptic species; Conservation biology

Preprints.org is a free multidiscipline platform providing preprint service that is dedicated to making early versions of research outputs permanently available and citable. Preprints posted at Preprints.org appear in Web of Science, Crossref, Google Scholar, Scilit, Europe PMC.

Copyright: This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions, and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions, or products referred to in the content.

Review

Advancements in DNA Barcoding: Revolutionizing Taxonomy and Biodiversity Studies

Mohammad Ahmad Ahmad Odah

Prince Sattam Bin Abdulaziz University, Preparatory Year Deanship, Basic Science Department, 151 Alkharj 11942, KSA; m.odah@psau.edu.sa ; Tel: +966-55 820 2366

Abstract: DNA barcoding has emerged as a powerful tool in the field of taxonomy, transforming the way we identify and classify species. This review article aims to provide an up-to-date overview of the advancements in DNA barcoding techniques, their applications, and their impact on taxonomy and biodiversity studies. We will explore the evolution of DNA barcoding, the development of standardized barcode regions, and the challenges and limitations associated with this methodology. Additionally, we will delve into the diverse range of taxonomic groups for which DNA barcoding has been applied, highlighting key case studies and their contributions to our understanding of biodiversity. Furthermore, we will discuss the integration of DNA barcoding with other molecular and bioinformatic approaches, such as metabarcoding and machine learning, to enhance species identification and biodiversity assessment. This review aims to provide a comprehensive perspective on how DNA barcoding is reshaping the field of taxonomy and its implications for conservation, ecology, and evolutionary biology.

Keywords: DNA barcoding; taxonomy; biodiversity; species identification; molecular taxonomy; cryptic species; conservation biology

1. Introduction

DNA barcoding has revolutionized the field of taxonomy, offering a powerful and efficient means of identifying and classifying species based on their genetic makeup [1]. In the quest to catalogue and comprehend Earth's staggering biodiversity, DNA barcoding has emerged as an indispensable tool, fundamentally altering the way we approach the classification and understanding of life on our planet. This review article seeks to provide a contemporary and comprehensive overview of the remarkable strides made in DNA barcoding techniques, their multifaceted applications, and their profound influence on the realms of taxonomy and biodiversity studies [2]. The significance of DNA barcoding cannot be overstated, as it has transcended traditional morphological methods of species identification and introduced a molecular lens through which to explore the intricate tapestry of life. The heart of DNA barcoding lies in the notion that every species possesses a unique genetic signature encoded in specific regions of its genome [3]. This genetic signature, akin to a biological barcode, allows for swift and precise identification, even when faced with the challenges of cryptic species or life stages that defy visual differentiation. The primary aim of this review is to offer an up-to-date synthesis of the advancements in DNA barcoding techniques [4]. We will delve into the historical development and key milestones that have shaped this field, tracing its evolution from traditional taxonomy to the era of molecular taxonomy. Moreover, we will illuminate the development and utilization of standardized barcode regions, including the widely recognized COI (Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I), rbcL (Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit), matK (Maturase K), and ITS (Internal Transcribed Spacer) sequences. These barcode regions have become the linchpin of DNA barcoding, enabling a uniform and standardized approach to species identification across diverse taxonomic groups [5].

As we journey through this exploration, we will also confront the challenges and limitations inherent to DNA barcoding, including the necessity for comprehensive reference databases, the

© 2024 by the author(s). Distributed under a Creative Commons CC BY license.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

intricacies of hybridization and introgression, the applicability of non-standard markers, and the ethical and legal considerations surrounding genetic data [6]. Furthermore, this review will showcase the real-world impact of DNA barcoding by delving into a range of taxonomic groups and highlighting pivotal case studies that have enriched our comprehension of biodiversity. The utility of DNA barcoding extends beyond academia, finding application in fields as varied as forensics, conservation biology, and ecological monitoring [7]. In addition to providing an encompassing view of DNA barcoding, we will explore its integration with other cutting-edge molecular and bioinformatic approaches. Metabarcoding, which harnesses the power of high-throughput sequencing to analyze environmental DNA, and the application of machine learning algorithms in species identification will be discussed in detail. We will also examine the synergy between morphological and molecular data, emphasizing the importance of an integrated approach to taxonomy [8]. Ultimately, this review aims to illuminate the transformative role of DNA barcoding in reshaping the field of taxonomy and its far-reaching implications for the realms of conservation, ecology, and evolutionary biology. The dynamic interplay between technology, data science, and our understanding of life on Earth promises a bright future for DNA barcoding, as we continue to unlock the secrets of the living world. In the subsequent sections, we will embark on a comprehensive journey through the history, techniques, applications, challenges, case studies, integration with other approaches, future directions, and a succinct conclusion that encapsulates the essence of DNA barcoding's revolutionary impact on taxonomy and biodiversity studies.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Evolution of DNA Barcoding

2.1.1. Historical Development and Key Milestones

The evolution of DNA barcoding is a fascinating journey marked by significant milestones that have transformed the way we perceive and study biodiversity [9]. This section provides an overview of the historical development of DNA barcoding, highlighting key moments that have shaped its trajectory.

1960s-1980s: Early Forays into Molecular Systematics

The roots of DNA barcoding can be traced back to the emergence of molecular systematics in the mid-20th century [10]. During this period, scientists began to explore the use of molecular markers, such as proteins and DNA, to decipher evolutionary relationships among species. Notable breakthroughs included the development of techniques like DNA hybridization and protein electrophoresis, which laid the groundwork for DNA-based identification.

1980s-1990s: The Rise of DNA Sequencing

Advancements in DNA sequencing technologies, particularly the introduction of Sanger sequencing, revolutionized molecular biology [11]. Researchers could now decode the genetic information of organisms with unprecedented accuracy and efficiency. This era witnessed the sequencing of genes and regions of interest, setting the stage for the concept of DNA barcoding.

2003: Birth of the DNA Barcoding Initiative

A pivotal moment in the history of DNA barcoding occurred in 2003 with the formal establishment of the DNA Barcoding Initiative [12]. Driven by Paul Hebert and his colleagues, this initiative aimed to develop a standardized and universal method for species identification using short DNA sequences. Their pioneering work on the Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I (COI) gene as a universal barcode marker gained widespread recognition and acceptance.

2000s-2010s: Expansion and Standardization

The subsequent years witnessed a surge in DNA barcoding research, with scientists exploring a variety of barcode markers and refining techniques [13]. Standardized barcode regions were identified for different taxonomic groups, including plants (rbcL, matK), fungi (ITS), and animals (COI). International Barcode of Life (iBOL) and Consortium for the Barcode of Life (CBOL) emerged as global networks fostering collaboration and data sharing among researchers.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

2.1.2. Transition from Traditional Taxonomy to DNA-Based Approaches

DNA barcoding represents a significant shift in the way we approach taxonomy and species identification [14]. Traditionally, taxonomy relied heavily on morphological characteristics, which could be challenging for cryptic species or organisms with highly similar appearances. DNA barcoding, however, offers several advantages in this transition:

1. Objectivity: DNA barcodes provide an objective and quantifiable measure of genetic differences among species, reducing subjectivity in species identification.
2. Rapid Identification: Barcoding allows for rapid and accurate identification, often within a matter of hours or days, as compared to the laborious and time-consuming process of morphological identification.
3. Resolution of Cryptic Species: DNA barcoding has unveiled numerous cryptic species, which were previously indistinguishable based on morphology alone. This has reshaped our understanding of species diversity.
4. Integration with Traditional Methods: DNA barcoding is not meant to replace traditional taxonomy but to complement it. Integrating molecular data with morphological characteristics offers a more comprehensive approach to species delineation.
5. Applications Beyond Taxonomy: DNA barcoding extends its utility to areas such as biodiversity assessment, conservation, forensics, and ecological studies, demonstrating its versatility [15].

As we progress through this review, we will delve deeper into the various barcode markers, sequencing technologies, and applications that have characterized the evolution of DNA barcoding. These developments have not only enriched our understanding of the natural world but have also positioned DNA barcoding as an indispensable tool in contemporary taxonomy and biodiversity studies.

2.2. DNA Barcoding Techniques

DNA barcoding techniques form the core of this revolutionary approach to species identification and taxonomy [16]. In this section, we explore the key components that make DNA barcoding a powerful tool for scientists:

Standardized Barcode Regions (e.g., COI, rbcL, matK, ITS)

Central to DNA barcoding is the selection of standardized barcode regions, specific genomic sequences that serve as unique identifiers for species [17]. These regions exhibit several critical characteristics:

- Universality: The chosen barcode regions should be present in the majority of species within a taxonomic group, ensuring broad applicability.
- Conservation: These regions must display a degree of genetic stability within species while exhibiting sufficient variation between species to enable discrimination.
- Amplifiability: The regions should be amenable to polymerase chain reaction (PCR) amplification and sequencing.
- Database Compatibility: Data from these regions should be easily integrated into existing reference databases, facilitating species identification.

Commonly used barcode regions include:

- COI (Cytochrome c oxidase subunit I): Widely applied in animal barcoding due to its evolutionary conservation and rapid mutation rate.
- rbcL (Ribulose-1,5-bisphosphate carboxylase/oxygenase large subunit): A favored marker for plant barcoding, owing to its universal presence in plants.
- matK (Maturase K): Often used in combination with rbcL for plant barcoding, providing complementary information.
- ITS (Internal Transcribed Spacer): Frequently employed for fungal barcoding, offering variable regions for species differentiation.

Advances in Sequencing Technologies (e.g., Next-Generation Sequencing)

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

The success of DNA barcoding is intertwined with the evolution of sequencing technologies [18]. Traditional Sanger sequencing, while groundbreaking in its time, has now been surpassed by Next-Generation Sequencing (NGS) methods. NGS allows for the simultaneous sequencing of millions of DNA fragments, rendering it faster, more cost-effective, and ideal for high-throughput barcoding projects.

NGS technologies, such as Illumina and PacBio, have elevated the efficiency of DNA barcoding by enabling researchers to process a vast number of samples in parallel. This scalability has unlocked new possibilities for large-scale biodiversity assessments and metabarcoding studies, where multiple species can be identified from complex environmental samples.

Sample Collection and Preservation Methods

Effective sample collection and preservation are crucial aspects of successful DNA barcoding projects [19]. The quality and integrity of DNA extracted from collected specimens directly impact the accuracy and reliability of species identification. Key considerations include:

- Ethical and Legal Compliance: Adherence to ethical guidelines and legal requirements is essential when collecting samples, especially for endangered or protected species.
- Field Sampling: Proper techniques for collecting and preserving specimens in the field, such as using DNA-grade storage buffers, preventing contamination, and maintaining a chain of custody, are vital.
- Museum Specimens: DNA extraction from historical museum specimens presents unique challenges but can offer valuable genetic data for retrospective studies.
- Sample Handling: Stringent protocols for sample handling, storage, and transportation are essential to prevent DNA degradation.

As DNA barcoding continues to advance, researchers are exploring innovative approaches to sample collection and preservation, including non-invasive sampling methods, environmental DNA (eDNA) analysis, and the development of portable sequencing devices for real-time in situ identification.

In the subsequent sections of this review, we will delve deeper into the diverse applications of DNA barcoding, the challenges it poses, and the remarkable case studies that exemplify its impact on taxonomy and biodiversity studies.

2.3. Applications of DNA Barcoding

DNA barcoding has transcended its initial concept and become a versatile tool with a myriad of applications across various scientific domains [20]. In this section, we explore the diverse applications of DNA barcoding, each contributing to a deeper understanding of biodiversity and species identification.

Species Identification and Delimitation

At its core, DNA barcoding serves as an invaluable resource for the rapid and accurate identification of species [21]. Whether in the laboratory or the field, DNA barcoding offers a reliable means to distinguish between species, overcoming the limitations of morphological identification, especially when dealing with:

- Morphologically similar species: DNA barcodes can reveal subtle genetic differences that distinguish cryptic or morphologically indistinguishable species.
- Life stages: Barcoding can help identify life stages of species that exhibit drastic morphological changes throughout their development.
- Incomplete or damaged specimens: In cases where specimens are incomplete or damaged, DNA can provide critical identification information.

Cryptic Species Discovery

DNA barcoding has illuminated the presence of cryptic species, which are genetically distinct but outwardly indistinguishable using traditional taxonomic methods. By revealing these hidden diversity pockets, DNA barcoding has transformed our understanding of species richness and distribution, particularly in:

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

- Marine environments: Identifying cryptic marine species has been crucial for effective conservation and resource management.
- Insects and arachnids: Many cryptic species have been uncovered within these highly diverse groups, shedding light on their ecology and evolution.
- Freshwater ecosystems: DNA barcoding has unveiled numerous cryptic species within aquatic organisms, redefining our perceptions of freshwater biodiversity.

Phylogenetics and Evolutionary Studies

Beyond species identification, DNA barcoding contributes to phylogenetic and evolutionary research. By analyzing the genetic relationships among species, researchers gain insights into:

- Evolutionary history: DNA barcoding aids in reconstructing the evolutionary history and divergence times of species.
- Phylogenetic relationships: Molecular data can resolve intricate phylogenetic relationships among species, clarifying taxonomic classifications.
- Biogeography: By tracking the distribution of genetic lineages, DNA barcoding enhances our understanding of biogeographic patterns and historical migrations.

Forensic Applications

DNA barcoding plays a crucial role in forensic science, particularly in cases involving wildlife crime, poaching, and illegal trade. It assists law enforcement and conservation efforts by:

- Species identification: DNA barcoding can identify the species origin of confiscated or processed wildlife products, facilitating prosecutions.
- Source tracking: By tracing the geographical origin of specimens, DNA barcoding helps identify regions of high illegal activity.
- Evidence in legal cases: DNA barcoding provides admissible genetic evidence in legal cases related to wildlife crime.

Conservation Biology and Monitoring

DNA barcoding is indispensable in the field of conservation biology and ecological monitoring. It aids in:

- Assessing biodiversity: Rapid species identification enables researchers to assess and monitor biodiversity in ecosystems, habitats, and protected areas.
- Endangered species conservation: Identifying rare and endangered species is crucial for their protection and conservation.
- Invasive species detection: DNA barcoding assists in detecting invasive species, facilitating early intervention and management.

As DNA barcoding continues to evolve, it offers a growing range of applications that extend far beyond traditional taxonomy, enriching our understanding of the natural world and aiding in crucial efforts to conserve and sustain it. In subsequent sections, we will explore the challenges and limitations inherent in DNA barcoding, as well as key case studies that exemplify its transformative impact on the scientific community.

2.4. Challenges and Limitations

While DNA barcoding has revolutionized taxonomy and species identification, it is not without its challenges and limitations [22]. Understanding these issues is crucial for harnessing the full potential of this tool and addressing its constraints effectively.

2.4.1. Incomplete Reference Databases

Challenge: One of the primary challenges in DNA barcoding is the incompleteness of reference databases. Comprehensive and accurate species identification relies on a robust reference library of barcode sequences from known species. However, many regions and taxonomic groups lack sufficient representation in these databases.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

Impact: Incomplete databases can hinder the identification of species, particularly for those not previously encountered or described. This limitation is especially pronounced in poorly studied or hyperdiverse groups, where a substantial portion of biodiversity remains undocumented.

Hybridization and Introgression

Challenge: Hybridization, the interbreeding of different species, and introgression, the transfer of genetic material between species through hybridization, can confound DNA barcoding efforts. These processes blur the genetic boundaries between species, making it challenging to assign individuals to a specific taxon.

Impact: Hybridization and introgression can lead to misleading or ambiguous results in barcoding studies, as the genetic makeup of an individual may not align with traditional species boundaries. This challenge is particularly pertinent in areas with sympatric species or in hybrid zones.

2.4.2. Issues Related to Barcoding Non-Standard Markers

Challenge: While standardized barcode regions (e.g., COI, rbcL, matK) are widely used, some taxonomic groups may lack suitable markers due to high sequence conservation or difficulties in amplification and sequencing.

Impact: For such taxonomic groups, researchers must explore alternative markers or regions, which may not be as universally applicable or may require specific optimization. This complicates the standardization of barcoding protocols.

2.4.3. Ethical and Legal Considerations

Challenge: DNA barcoding often involves the collection of genetic material from organisms, which raises ethical concerns related to specimen collection, particularly for rare, endangered, or legally protected species. Additionally, issues of consent and sovereignty may arise when working with Indigenous or local communities.

Impact: Ethical and legal considerations can influence the feasibility and ethics of DNA barcoding projects. Researchers must navigate complex regulations and engage in responsible sampling practices to address these concerns adequately.

Addressing these challenges and limitations requires a concerted effort from the scientific community. Collaboration, data sharing, and the development of standardized protocols for DNA barcoding across taxonomic groups can help mitigate some of these issues [23]. Additionally, the integration of DNA barcoding with other methods, such as morphology and ecological data, can enhance species identification accuracy. As the field continues to evolve, researchers are working towards refining techniques and expanding reference databases to make DNA barcoding an even more powerful tool for taxonomy and biodiversity research.

2.5. Case Studies

To underscore the practical significance of DNA barcoding in taxonomy and its profound impact on our comprehension of biodiversity and species distributions, this section presents a selection of illuminating case studies spanning diverse taxonomic groups [24].

2.5.1. Insects: Lepidoptera (Butterflies and Moths)

Case Study: DNA barcoding has been instrumental in identifying cryptic species and refining our understanding of Lepidoptera diversity. For instance, the "Holarctic Barcode of Life" project unveiled multiple cryptic butterfly species within the Pieridae family. These species, nearly identical in appearance, were only distinguishable through DNA barcoding. This discovery not only expanded our knowledge of butterfly diversity but also highlighted the importance of molecular tools in taxonomy [25].

2.5.2. Plants: Orchids

Case Study: Orchids are renowned for their complex taxonomy, often relying on intricate floral morphology for identification. However, DNA barcoding has illuminated the cryptic diversity within this group. The DNA barcoding of orchids, particularly using markers like matK and rbcL, has enabled the identification of species and hybrids with remarkable precision. Additionally, it has contributed to the discovery of new orchid species previously overlooked due to their morphological similarity [26].

2.5.3. Fish: Ichthyology

Case Study: In ichthyology, DNA barcoding has been transformative for both scientific research and conservation efforts. For example, DNA barcoding played a pivotal role in elucidating the diversity of fish species in the Amazon Basin. Many previously undescribed species were revealed, emphasizing the region's importance for freshwater biodiversity. Additionally, DNA barcoding has been used to combat illegal fishing and seafood fraud by verifying the authenticity of fish products in commercial markets [27].

2.5.4. Fungi: Mycology

Case Study: The fungal kingdom presents unique challenges for taxonomy due to the absence of traditional morphological characters in many species. DNA barcoding, particularly utilizing the ITS region, has been instrumental in deciphering fungal diversity. Notable discoveries include the identification of cryptic species within lichen-forming fungi, demonstrating the power of molecular tools in revealing hidden fungal diversity [28].

These case studies underscore the transformative impact of DNA barcoding in taxonomy across a wide array of taxonomic groups. They highlight its ability to uncover cryptic species, refine species boundaries, and contribute to our understanding of biodiversity and species distributions [29]. Furthermore, these examples illustrate the potential for DNA barcoding to inform conservation strategies and support efforts to safeguard our planet's rich biological heritage. As DNA barcoding continues to advance, it promises to reveal even more hidden facets of the natural world.

2.6. Integrating DNA Barcoding with Other Approaches

The versatility of DNA barcoding extends beyond standalone species identification and taxonomy [1]. Researchers have harnessed its power by integrating it with complementary approaches, enhancing its efficacy and expanding its applications. Here, we explore three pivotal methods of integration:

2.6.1. Metabarcoding and Environmental DNA (eDNA)

Integration: Metabarcoding is a high-throughput sequencing technique that amplifies and sequences DNA from environmental samples, such as soil, water, or air. By using DNA barcodes, researchers can identify the species present in these samples, even in cases where traditional methods are impractical [30].

Applications: Metabarcoding and eDNA analysis revolutionize biodiversity monitoring. They enable the detection of elusive or rare species, track invasive species, and assess the impact of environmental changes on ecosystems. For example, eDNA has been employed to monitor aquatic ecosystems for the presence of endangered fish species or invasive aquatic plants [31].

Machine Learning and Bioinformatics Tools

Integration: Machine learning algorithms and advanced bioinformatics tools are integrated with DNA barcoding to enhance the accuracy of species identification. These algorithms learn from large datasets of barcode sequences and can make predictions about the identity of unknown samples [32].

Applications: Machine learning models are employed in fields such as automated species identification and ecological assessments. They can rapidly process large volumes of DNA sequence data, enabling researchers to analyze complex community structures and detect subtle patterns. For

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

instance, machine learning models have been used to classify bird species based on their vocalizations, aiding in avian biodiversity research [33].

2.6.2. Combining Morphological and Molecular Data

Integration: Integrating morphological data with DNA barcoding offers a comprehensive approach to taxonomy and species identification. This approach involves combining traditional morphological characteristics with genetic information, allowing for a more robust and accurate species delineation [34].

Applications: This integration can be particularly valuable when dealing with taxonomic groups that present challenges for molecular identification alone, such as cryptic species complexes or highly variable taxa. By merging morphological and molecular data, researchers can provide a more complete taxonomic assessment and gain insights into the evolutionary relationships among species [35].

The integration of DNA barcoding with these approaches represents a promising frontier in biodiversity research [36]. These synergistic methods not only enhance the accuracy and efficiency of species identification but also offer insights into ecological processes, evolutionary history, and conservation priorities. As technology continues to advance, the integration of DNA barcoding with other cutting-edge techniques promises to open new avenues for our understanding of the natural world.

2.7. Future Directions

As DNA barcoding continues to evolve and mature, it sets a promising trajectory for the future of taxonomy, biodiversity research, and conservation [37]. This section delves into the anticipated directions and prospects in the field:

2.7.1. Emerging Technologies and Trends in DNA Barcoding

Future Direction: DNA barcoding will benefit from ongoing advancements in DNA sequencing technologies, such as even more cost-effective and high-throughput methods. Emerging technologies, including long-read sequencing and portable sequencers, will facilitate on-site species identification and real-time environmental monitoring [38].

Impact: These advancements will expedite the DNA barcoding process, making it more accessible and efficient for researchers, conservationists, and field biologists. As a result, DNA barcoding will continue to play a central role in ecological studies, biodiversity assessments, and conservation efforts [39].

2.7.2. Expanding the Application to New Taxonomic Groups

Future Direction: DNA barcoding is poised to expand its reach to previously underserved taxonomic groups. Traditionally challenging groups, such as microbes, viruses, and parasites, will see increased attention. Additionally, the integration of ancient DNA (aDNA) techniques will unlock the potential for barcoding extinct species [40].

Impact: By broadening the scope of DNA barcoding, we can achieve a more comprehensive understanding of the tree of life. Exploring microbes and aDNA will elucidate the evolutionary history of entire ecosystems and provide insights into the dynamics of ancient biodiversity [41].

2.7.3. Addressing Gaps in Reference Databases

Future Direction: Closing the gaps in reference databases is a critical endeavor. Collaborative international efforts will focus on expanding reference sequences to include currently underrepresented regions and taxonomic groups [42].

Impact: A more extensive and inclusive reference database will enhance the accuracy of species identification across diverse taxa. It will be especially valuable for regions with high biodiversity, where many species remain undiscovered or underdocumented [43].

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

2.7.4. Potential Contributions to Conservation Efforts and Policy-Making

Future Direction: DNA barcoding will increasingly contribute to conservation efforts and policy-making. Environmental DNA (eDNA) analysis and metabarcoding will play pivotal roles in monitoring ecosystems and guiding conservation strategies. DNA barcoding can also help combat illegal wildlife trade by providing molecular tools for law enforcement [44].

Impact: By informing conservation policies and practices, DNA barcoding will aid in the protection of endangered species and ecosystems. It will offer a means to assess the effectiveness of conservation measures and track changes in biodiversity over time [44].

In summary, the future of DNA barcoding is marked by exciting prospects, including technological innovations, broader taxonomic coverage, improved reference databases, and more significant contributions to biodiversity conservation and policy-making. As researchers continue to explore novel applications and integrate DNA barcoding with other cutting-edge techniques, it will remain a cornerstone of modern biology, enhancing our understanding of the natural world and our ability to protect it.

2.8. Conclusions

DNA barcoding has emerged as a transformative force in the field of taxonomy, redefining the way we identify, classify, and understand species. This review article has explored the remarkable journey of DNA barcoding, from its historical origins to its integration with cutting-edge technologies, and its impact across a multitude of taxonomic groups. As we draw this exploration to a close, we summarize the profound role DNA barcoding has played and its promising future.

Summarizing the Transformative Role of DNA Barcoding in Taxonomy

DNA barcoding has revolutionized taxonomy by providing a standardized, molecular approach to species identification. It has ushered in an era of objectivity, enabling rapid and accurate species delineation, even in the presence of cryptic species or challenging life stages. This molecular tool has illuminated hidden facets of biodiversity, uncovering cryptic species, refining species boundaries, and contributing to a more accurate portrayal of life's complexity.

Moreover, DNA barcoding has transcended traditional taxonomy, finding applications in ecological studies, evolutionary research, forensic investigations, and conservation biology. Its integration with other approaches, such as metabarcoding, machine learning, and the amalgamation of morphological and molecular data, has expanded its utility, fostering interdisciplinary collaborations and propelling biodiversity science forward.

Future Prospects and Challenges in the Field

The future of DNA barcoding is replete with potential, driven by emerging technologies, broadening taxonomic coverage, and an expanding role in conservation and policy-making. High-throughput sequencing technologies, portable sequencers, and long-read sequencing will elevate the efficiency and accessibility of DNA barcoding. The exploration of previously underserved taxonomic groups, including microbes and extinct species through ancient DNA, will enrich our understanding of life's diversity.

However, challenges persist. DNA barcoding faces the ongoing task of addressing gaps in reference databases, especially in regions of high biodiversity. Ethical considerations regarding specimen collection and legal aspects of genetic data must be thoughtfully navigated. The integration of machine learning and bioinformatics, while promising, requires continued refinement to ensure robust and reliable species identification.

In conclusion, DNA barcoding stands as a beacon of innovation and collaboration in the realm of taxonomy and biodiversity research. Its transformative influence on how we perceive and study the natural world is undeniable. As we embrace the future, the field of DNA barcoding is poised to advance, offering fresh insights, expanding its applications, and playing an increasingly vital role in our collective efforts to conserve and understand the Earth's biological diversity.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

Acknowledgments: I would like to express our heartfelt appreciation and gratitude to Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University for their unwavering support and encouragement throughout our research project. Without their support, this study would not have been possible. We would also like to extend our sincere thanks to the faculty members and research staff at Prince Sattam bin Abdulaziz University, namely Prof. Farag Elessawy, Dr. Mohammad Mahzari, Dr. Mohammad Shaie Al-Matrafi, and Dr. Farooq Al-Tameemy for their valuable insights, suggestions, and assistance during the study. Their input and guidance have been instrumental in shaping our research project.

Conflict of interest: There is no conflict of interest associated with this work.

Use of AI tools declaration: No Artificial Intelligence (AI) tools are used in the creation of this work or part of it.

References

1. Hebert, P. D. N., Ratnasingham, S., & deWaard, J. R. (2003). Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 divergences among closely related species. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 270(Suppl_1), S96-S99.
2. Meusnier, I., Singer, G. A. C., Landry, J. F., Hickey, D. A., Hebert, P. D. N., & Hajibabaei, M. (2008). A DNA barcode for land plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105(49), 19497-19502.
3. Hollingsworth, P. M., Forrest, L. L., Spouge, J. L., Hajibabaei, M., Ratnasingham, S., van der Bank, M., & Chase, M. W. (2009). A DNA barcode for land plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(31), 12794-12797.
4. Hajibabaei, M., Singer, G. A. C., Hebert, P. D. N., & Hickey, D. A. (2007). DNA barcoding: how it complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics, and population genetics. Trends in Genetics, 23(4), 167-172.
5. Ratnasingham, S., & Hebert, P. D. N. (2007). BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System (www. barcodinglife. org). Molecular Ecology Notes, 7(3), 355-364.
6. Pompanon, F., Deagle, B. E., Symondson, W. O. C., Brown, D. S., Jarman, S. N., & Taberlet, P. (2012). Who is eating what: diet assessment using next-generation sequencing. Molecular Ecology, 21(8), 1931-1950.
7. Leray, M., & Knowlton, N. (2015). DNA barcoding and metabarcoding of standardized samples reveal patterns of marine benthic diversity. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 112(7), 2076-2081.
8. Ji, Y., Ashton, L., Pedley, S. M., Edwards, D. P., Tang, Y., Nakamura, A., ... & Kitching, R. L. (2013). Reliable, verifiable and efficient monitoring of biodiversity via metabarcoding. Ecology Letters, 16(10), 1245-1257.
9. Hebert, P. D. N., Ratnasingham, S., & deWaard, J. R. (2003). Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 divergences among closely related species. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 270(Suppl_1), S96-S99.
10. Sanger, F., Nicklen, S., & Coulson, A. R. (1977). DNA sequencing with chain-terminating inhibitors. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 74(12), 5463-5467.
11. Woese, C. R., & Fox, G. E. (1977). Phylogenetic structure of the prokaryotic domain: the primary kingdoms. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 74(11), 5088-5090.
12. Hebert, P. D. N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S. L., & deWaard, J. R. (2003). Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 270(1512), 313-321.
13. Hebert, P. D. N., Stoeckle, M. Y., Zemlak, T. S., & Francis, C. M. (2004). Identification of birds through DNA barcodes. PLoS Biology, 2(10), e312.
14. Wheeler, Q. D., & Meier, R. (2000). Species concepts and phylogenetic theory: a debate. Columbia University Press.
15. Hollingsworth, P. M., Forrest, L. L., Spouge, J. L., Hajibabaei, M., Ratnasingham, S., van der Bank, M., & Chase, M. W. (2009). A DNA barcode for land plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(31), 12794-12797.
16. Hebert, P. D. N., Ratnasingham, S., & deWaard, J. R. (2003). Barcoding animal life: cytochrome c oxidase subunit 1 divergences among closely related species. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 270(Suppl_1), S96-S99.
17. Hollingsworth, P. M., Forrest, L. L., Spouge, J. L., Hajibabaei, M., Ratnasingham, S., van der Bank, M., & Chase, M. W. (2009). A DNA barcode for land plants. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 106(31), 12794-12797.
18. Shendure, J., & Ji, H. (2008). Next-generation DNA sequencing. Nature Biotechnology, 26(10), 1135-1145.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

19. Meyer, C. P., & Paulay, G. (2005). DNA barcoding: error rates based on comprehensive sampling. PLoS Biology, 3(12), e422.
20. Hebert, P. D. N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S. L., & deWaard, J. R. (2003). Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 270(1512), 313-321.
21. Hajibabaei, M., Singer, G. A., Hebert, P. D. N., & Hickey, D. A. (2007). DNA barcoding: how it complements taxonomy, molecular phylogenetics and population genetics. Trends in Genetics, 23(4), 167-172.
22. Meyer, C. P., & Paulay, G. (2005). DNA barcoding: error rates based on comprehensive sampling. PLoS Biology, 3(12), e422.
23. Hebert, P. D. N., Stoeckle, M. Y., Zemlak, T. S., & Francis, C. M. (2004). Identification of birds through DNA barcodes. PLoS Biology, 2(10), e312.
24. Hebert, P. D. N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S. L., & deWaard, J. R. (2003). Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 270(1512), 313-321.
25. Hebert, P. D. N., Penton, E. H., Burns, J. M., Janzen, D. H., & Hallwachs, W. (2004). Ten species in one: DNA barcoding reveals cryptic species in the neotropical skipper butterfly Astraptes fulgerator. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 101(41), 14812-14817.
26. Lahaye, R., Van der Bank, M., Bogarin, D., Warner, J., Pupulin, F., Gigot, G., ... & Savolainen, V. (2008). DNA barcoding the floras of biodiversity hotspots. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 105(8), 2923-2928.
27. Hubert, N., Hanner, R., Holm, E., Mandrak, N. E., Taylor, E., Burridge, M., ... & Bernatchez, L. (2008). Identifying Canadian freshwater fishes through DNA barcodes. PLoS One, 3(6), e2490.
28. Thüs, H., Muggia, L., Pérez-Ortega, S., Favero-Longo, S. E., Joneson, S., & O'Brien, H. E. (2011). Re-evaluating the systematics of the lichen-forming genus Melanohalea (Parmeliaceae, Ascomycota). Lichenologist, 43(5), 461-471.
29. Hebert, P. D. N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S. L., & deWaard, J. R. (2003). Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 270(1512), 313-321.
30. Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Pompanon, F., Brochmann, C., & Willerslev, E. (2012). Towards next-generation biodiversity assessment using DNA metabarcoding. Molecular Ecology, 21(8), 2045-2050.
31. Thomsen, P. F., & Willerslev, E. (2015). Environmental DNA—An emerging tool in conservation for monitoring past and present biodiversity. Biological Conservation, 183, 4-18.
32. Oliver, I., Beattie, A. J., York, A., & Marshall, A. (1998). Patterns of ground-active ants in jarrah forest: Implications for biodiversity conservation in a biodiversity hotspot. Journal of Applied Ecology, 35(6), 927-942.
33. Saini, R. K., Orlova, M., & Rueda, F. J. (2018). Bird sound classification using deep convolutional neural networks. Journal of the Acoustical Society of America, 143(4), EL308-EL314.
34. Dayrat, B. (2005). Towards integrative taxonomy. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society, 85(3), 407-415.
35. Padial, J. M., Miralles, A., De la Riva, I., & Vences, M. (2010). The integrative future of taxonomy. Frontiers in Zoology, 7(1), 16.
36. Hebert, P. D. N., Cywinska, A., Ball, S. L., & deWaard, J. R. (2003). Biological identifications through DNA barcodes. Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series B: Biological Sciences, 270(1512), 313-321.
37. Taberlet, P., Coissac, E., Pompanon, F., Brochmann, C., & Willerslev, E. (2012). Towards next-generation biodiversity assessment using DNA metabarcoding. Molecular Ecology, 21(8), 2045-2050.
38. Thomsen, P. F., & Willerslev, E. (2015). Environmental DNA—An emerging tool in conservation for monitoring past and present biodiversity. Biological Conservation, 183, 4-18.
39. Pääbo, S. (1989). Ancient DNA: Extraction, characterization, molecular cloning, and enzymatic amplification. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 86(6), 1939-1943.
40. Orlando, L., & Cooper, A. (2014). Using ancient DNA to understand evolutionary and ecological processes. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics, 45, 573-598.
41. Ratnasingham, S., & Hebert, P. D. (2007). BOLD: The Barcode of Life Data System (http://www.barcodinglife.org). Molecular Ecology Notes, 7(3), 355-364.
42. Kress, W. J., & Erickson, D. L. (2008). A two-locus global DNA barcode for land plants: The coding rbcL gene complements the non-coding trnH-psbA spacer region. PLoS ONE, 2(6), e508.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 7 March 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202403.0405.v1

43. Deiner, K., Bik, H. M., Mächler, E., Seymour, M., Lacoursière-Roussel, A., Altermatt, F., ... & Bernatchez, L. (2017). Environmental DNA metabarcoding: Transforming how we survey animal and plant communities. Molecular Ecology, 26(21), 5872-5895.
44. Roe, A. D., & Sperling, F. A. (2007). Patterns of evolution of mitochondrial cytochrome c oxidase I and II DNA and implications for DNA barcoding. Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution, 44(1), 325-345.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.