Review

Not peer-reviewed version

Materials Used in Space Shuttle: Evolution, Challenges, and Future Prospects – an Overview

Irfan Nazir Wani , Kanika Aggarwal , Swati Bishnoi , Pushpendra Shukla , Dineshkumar Harursampath * , Ashish Garg *

Posted Date: 22 April 2025

doi: 10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

Keywords: space shuttle; materials; thermal protection systems; structural materials; propulsion systems; re-entry; nanotechnology; composite materials; additive manufacturing; materials science; emerging materials

Article

Materials Used in Space Shuttle: Evolution, Challenges, and Future Prospects—An Overview

Irfan Nazir Wani [1,†], Kanika Aggarwal [2,†], Swati Bishnoi [3], Pushpendra Kumar Shukla [1], Dineshkumar Harursampath [4,*], Ashish Garg [1,3,5,]

1 Dept. of Mechanical & Aerospace Engg., Faculty of Engg. and Tech., NIMS Univ., Jaipur, India
2 Anisotropic Private Limited, Hyderabad, India
3 Department of Chemical Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology Delhi, India
4 Aerospace Engineering, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
5 Seminare Private Limited, Delhi, India
* Correspondence: dinesh@aero.iisc.ernet.in (D.H.); ashish@seminare.in (A.G.)
† Denotes the first authors (I.W. and K.A.)

Abstract: The space shuttle, a revolutionary spacecraft that has played a significant role in human space exploration, was composed of various advanced materials that were carefully selected to meet the extreme demands of spaceflight. This review paper provides a comprehensive examination of the materials historically employed in the construction of space shuttles and explores the latest trends shaping the field. The evolution of space shuttle materials is traced from the inception of the space program to contemporary missions, highlighting key milestones, challenges, and breakthroughs. Emphasis is placed on the critical role that materials play in the overall performance, safety, and sustainability of space shuttles. The paper begins by elucidating the diverse requirements that materials must fulfill in the harsh and complex environment of space, encompassing extreme temperatures, radiation exposure, and mechanical stresses. A detailed analysis of the materials utilized in the fabrication of various shuttle components, such as thermal protection systems, structural elements, and propulsion systems, is presented. Special attention is given to the challenges posed by re-entry and the strategies employed to mitigate heat-related issues. Furthermore, the review explores recent innovations and emerging materials that are reshaping the landscape of space shuttle design. Advancements in nanotechnology, composite materials, and additive manufacturing are discussed in the context of their potential applications for enhancing shuttle performance and reducing mission costs. The paper also addresses the importance of sustainability in space exploration, exploring materials with lower environmental impact and improved recyclability. The review concludes with a forward-looking perspective on the future of materials, considering ongoing research, development, and the potential incorporation of cutting-edge technologies. Insights are provided into how the evolving landscape of materials science may influence the design and manufacturing processes of the next generation of space vehicles. This paper provides an overview of the materials used in the construction of the space shuttle, including their properties, applications, and challenges. The materials used in the space shuttle are critical in ensuring the safety, performance, and longevity of the spacecraft, and understanding their characteristics and performance in space is crucial for the advancement of aerospace engineering.

Keywords: space shuttle; materials; thermal protection systems; structural materials; propulsion systems; re-entry; nanotechnology; composite materials; additive manufacturing; materials science; emerging materials

---

1. Introduction

The Space Transportation System (STS), commonly known as the space shuttle, represented a groundbreaking achievement in human spaceflight. This reusable spacecraft, developed and operated by NASA, transformed space exploration by providing a versatile platform for transporting astronauts

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

and payloads to and from orbit. The success of the space shuttle program hinged on the innovative use of materials that could withstand the harsh conditions of space while meeting stringent safety and performance requirements. These materials had to endure extreme temperature fluctuations, vacuum conditions, radiation exposure, and potential impacts from micrometeoroids and space debris. A key consideration in material selection was weight reduction, as every additional kilogram significantly increased propellant requirements. This necessitated the use of lightweight yet robust materials throughout the shuttle's design. Composite materials played a crucial role in the shuttle's construction. Carbon fiber-reinforced polymers (CFRPs), for instance, were extensively utilized in structural components such as wings, tail, and body panels due to their exceptional strength-to-weight ratio and resistance to fatigue and corrosion. Various metals were also integral to the shuttle's design. Aluminum alloys were employed in the external tank construction, leveraging their low weight and corrosion resistance. Titanium alloys found application in critical components like landing gear, owing to their high strength-to-density ratio. Stainless steel was used in certain structural elements for its durability and heat resistance. Ceramic materials were essential for thermal protection. Specially designed tiles made from materials such as silica and alumina formed the shuttle's heat shield, protecting it from the intense heat generated during atmospheric re-entry. The space shuttle also incorporated specialized materials for specific functions. Ablative materials were used in high-temperature areas to absorb and dissipate heat. Radiation shielding materials, including lead and polyethylene, protected the crew from harmful space radiation. Various insulation materials helped maintain appropriate temperatures for sensitive components and crew areas. The development and application of these advanced materials in the space shuttle program not only enabled its success but also drove significant advancements in materials science and engineering. These innovations continue to influence modern spacecraft design and have applications in various industries beyond aerospace. This paper explores the various materials utilized in space shuttle construction, including composites, metals, ceramics, and other specialized materials. It also examines the challenges, recent advancements, and emerging opportunities in materials science and engineering for space exploration.

The paper organisation is as follows: The Significance of Materials in Space Shuttle Design is discussed in section 2. The Historical Overview of Space Shuttle Materials are reviewed and discussed in Section 3. The Evolution of Materials over Different Shuttle Models and Key Requirements for Space Shuttle Materials are discussed in Sections 4, and 5, respectively. The Primary Materials Used in Space Shuttle Construction are discussed in Section 6. Thermal Protection System (TPS) Materials are discussed in Section 7. Design and Material Requirements for Spacecraft are discussed in Section 8. Structural materials are discussed in Section 9. Challenges and Future Directions are discussed in Section 10. Conclusions are discussed in Section 12.

2. Significance of Materials in Space Shuttle Design

The materials used in the space shuttle were required to meet stringent performance standards and undergo rigorous testing and qualification to ensure safety and reliability. Comprehensive assessments, including mechanical, thermal, and environmental testing, were conducted to evaluate their performance under space-like conditions. These tests examined resistance to extreme temperature variations, vacuum exposure, radiation, micrometeoroid impacts, and space debris encounters, as well as durability, fatigue resistance, and corrosion resistance. Only materials that met these strict criteria were approved for integration into the space shuttle, with stringent quality control measures applied throughout manufacturing and assembly.

Advancements in materials science and engineering have been instrumental in shaping the materials used in space shuttles. Ongoing research and innovation have led to the development of advanced materials with superior properties, such as increased strength, reduced weight, improved thermal stability, and enhanced radiation resistance. The incorporation of cutting-edge composites, such as carbon nanotube-reinforced materials [1,2] has shown great potential in achieving exceptional strength-to-weight ratios, making them highly suitable for future space missions. Additionally,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

nanomaterials, including nanocomposites and nanocoatings [3], have been explored for their unique benefits, such as enhanced toughness, improved thermal and electrical conductivity, and greater resistance to radiation, water and air penetration [4].

Furthermore, additive manufacturing, commonly known as 3D printing, has emerged as a transformative technology for creating lightweight and complex structures with customizable properties. This technology has been applied to manufacturing specific space shuttle components, such as small structural elements and prototypes, with promising prospects for broader implementation in future space missions. The concept of in-situ resource utilization (ISRU) for 3D printing, where materials available in space, such as lunar regolith or Martian soil, are used for construction, represents a groundbreaking advancement that could reduce reliance on Earth-based materials.

In addition to material innovations, advanced modeling and simulation techniques have significantly contributed to optimizing materials for space applications. Computational methods, including finite element analysis and computational materials science, enable researchers to design and refine materials that meet the demanding conditions of space travel.

The materials used in the space shuttle were fundamental to the program's success. Carefully selected and extensively tested composites, metals, ceramics, and other specialized materials met the critical demands of space travel, including weight reduction, high strength, thermal stability, radiation resistance, and durability. Advances in materials science, such as novel composites, nanomaterials, additive manufacturing, and simulation techniques, continue to push the boundaries of space technology, offering immense potential for the development of next-generation materials for future space exploration.

3. Historical Overview of Space Shuttle Materials

The emergence of the space shuttle marked a transformative era in space exploration, compelling the utilization of advanced materials capable of withstanding the rigorous conditions encountered in space travel. A comprehensive historical overview of space shuttle materials illuminates a progressive trajectory from the early programs to the sophisticated systems of subsequent models. The inception of space shuttle materials can be traced to early programs like the Space Shuttle Enterprise, serving as a prototype for subsequent shuttles. In this formative period, materials confronted the initial challenge of meeting the demands of an innovative design enabling reusable space travel. Aluminum alloys, renowned for their lightweight properties, emerged as primary materials in the structural components of the early shuttles, setting the foundation for the continuous evolution of space shuttle materials [5].

As space shuttle technology advanced, corresponding developments unfolded in the materials employed in their construction. The transition from the prototype phase to operational shuttles, including Columbia, Challenger, Discovery, Atlantis, and Endeavour, witnessed a refinement in material selection. A defining feature of this evolution was the introduction of advanced composites, contributing to heightened strength and reduced weight. The Challenger disaster in 1986 instigated a thorough reevaluation of materials, driving enhancements in safety and reliability. Subsequent shuttle models incorporated lessons learned, integrating more robust materials and prioritizing safety considerations.

The historical evolution of space shuttle materials underscores the escalating emphasis on meeting stringent performance requirements. The demanding conditions of space travel, encompassing extreme temperature variations, vacuum exposure, radiation, micrometeoroid impacts, and encounters with space debris, necessitated materials exhibiting exceptional durability, fatigue resistance, and corrosion resistance. With each new shuttle model, materials underwent rigorous testing and qualification processes to ensure their capability to withstand these challenges. The history of space shuttle materials is intricately linked with advancements in materials science and engineering. Research and innovation over the years have led to the development of new materials boasting improved properties, including higher strength, lower weight, better thermal stability, and enhanced radiation resistance. The integration of advanced composites, exemplified by carbon nanotube-reinforced

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

composites, represents a substantial leap forward, offering even higher strength-to-weight ratios and showcasing the ongoing commitment to pushing the boundaries of materials science in the realm of space exploration.

4. Evolution of Materials over Different Shuttle Models

The evolution of materials in space shuttle design represents a cornerstone of aerospace engineering innovation. NASA's Space Shuttle program, which operated five orbiters—Columbia, Challenger, Discovery, Atlantis, and Endeavour—over several decades, witnessed significant advancements in material science aimed at enhancing performance, safety, and efficiency. Space shuttle materials must withstand extreme conditions, including intense temperature fluctuations, vacuum exposure, radiation bombardment, and severe mechanical stresses. Extensive research has focused on developing materials capable of enduring these harsh environments while maintaining structural integrity and operational effectiveness. This literature review examines the key materials utilized in space shuttle construction, their properties, and the advancements in materials science and engineering that have enhanced their development.

Advanced composites, particularly carbon fiber-reinforced materials, have been extensively utilized due to their exceptional strength-to-weight ratio, thermal stability, and low thermal expansion coefficients. These materials find applications in body panels, wing structures, and tail assemblies. High-performance metal alloys play crucial roles in shuttle construction. Aluminum alloys are used for lightweight structural components, titanium alloys for high-strength elements like landing gear, and stainless steel for corrosion-resistant parts in propulsion systems. Ceramics offer superior thermal and chemical resistance, critical for atmospheric re-entry protection. Silica-based tiles, along with alumina and zirconia composites, form the thermal protection system on the shuttle's underside. Specialized materials such as ablative compounds are used in nose cones for controlled heat dissipation. Thermal barrier coatings are applied to various components for temperature management, while heat-resistant paints provide additional thermal protection. Ongoing research has led to innovations such as carbon nanotube-reinforced composites, offering enhanced strength and thermal properties. Nanocomposites provide improved mechanical and electrical characteristics, while advanced coatings have been developed for better heat resistance and durability. These advancements continue to push the boundaries of material performance in space applications, paving the way for future exploration missions and more efficient spacecraft designs. The integration of new materials and technologies has not only improved the safety and reliability of space shuttles but has also contributed to advancements in various industries beyond aerospace.

Metals play a crucial role as fundamental materials in the construction of space shuttles, contributing to the spacecraft's structural integrity, thermal management, and overall performance in the demanding conditions of space travel. Aluminum alloys, prized for their lightweight properties, find extensive use in various structural components, including the fuselage and frame, where strength and weight considerations are paramount. Titanium alloys, known for their high strength and heat resistance, are employed in critical structural and thermal components, ensuring durability in the face of extreme conditions during launch and re-entry. Stainless steel, valued for its corrosion resistance, is utilized in components subjected to environmental exposure. Inconel and other high-temperature alloys, with their heat-resistant properties, are specifically chosen for applications in areas experiencing extreme thermal conditions.The structural applications of metals extend to the construction of load-bearing components such as wings, fins, and landing gear, where considerations of stress, strain, and impact resistance are vital. Moreover, metals contribute to the thermal properties of the spacecraft, facilitating efficient heat dissipation and playing a role in thermal protection systems (TPS) that shield the shuttle from the intense heat generated during re-entry. Despite the advantages of metals, challenges such as thermal stress, fatigue, and corrosion in the space environment necessitate sophisticated mitigation strategies and coating technologies. Advancements in metal technologies have seen the development of high-strength alloys and the integration of lightweight metals to enhance

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

overall performance. Additionally, additive manufacturing techniques have opened new possibilities for fabricating intricate metal structures with improved efficiency. As space exploration evolves, the ongoing exploration of new metal alloys and their integration into next-generation spacecraft underscores the enduring significance of metals as foundational materials in the continued quest for space exploration and discovery.

4.1. *Aluminum and Titanium Alloys*

Columbia, the inaugural space shuttle, featured a predominantly aluminum airframe, complemented by certain structural components crafted from titanium alloys. The lightweight nature of aluminum was strategically chosen for space travel, while titanium contributed strength to specific regions [6,7].

4.2. *Reinforced Carbon–Carbon (RCC)*

The NASA Space Shuttle's most heat-sensitive areas during re-entry were protected by a specially engineered Reinforced Carbon-Carbon (RCC) material, which was used in constructing the wing leading edges and the nose-cap assembly. These components were exposed to extreme temperatures, requiring a highly resilient thermal protection system. The fabrication of RCC began with a layering technique where a precursor woven fabric was arranged in alternating 0 and 90-degree orientations, creating a strong structural foundation. The outer layers underwent a silica infusion process, penetrating two to three laminae deep, followed by a high-temperature treatment in an inert atmosphere, leading to the formation of a silicon-carbide (SiC) coating [8].

This SiC layer was crucial in providing oxidation resistance to the wing leading edges during the intense heating phase of atmospheric re-entry. However, the extreme processing temperatures introduced challenges, such as void formation within the carbon-carbon substrate and the development of micro-cracks in the SiC coating. Despite these issues, RCC's outstanding heat resistance made it an essential material for shielding the shuttle's most vulnerable regions. Its ability to withstand extreme thermal loads ensured the shuttle's structural integrity, making it a critical component of the spacecraft's thermal protection system [8,9].

The development of RCC marked a major advancement in aerospace materials science, offering both opportunities and challenges. Its exceptional thermal performance allowed it to maintain structural integrity under intense heat, while its complex internal structure, resulting from the alternating ply orientation and multi-step processing, required advanced quality control measures. Managing voids and micro-cracks necessitated sophisticated inspection and maintenance protocols to ensure the material's reliability throughout the shuttle's missions.

The innovations derived from RCC research continue to shape modern spacecraft design, influencing the development of advanced high-temperature composites. Inspection technologies initially designed to monitor RCC integrity have found applications across various industries. Additionally, future spacecraft designed for atmospheric re-entry on Earth and other planetary bodies benefit from the lessons learned in RCC fabrication and performance, paving the way for next-generation thermal protection materials.

4.3. *Self-Healing Materials for Space Application*

Space exploration and interplanetary colonization demand highly durable, reliable, and self-adaptive materials capable of autonomously repairing damage to spacecraft systems and structures. Traditional materials used in space applications are susceptible to mechanical wear, thermal stress, UV degradation, and chemical exposure, which can compromise mission safety and longevity. The development of self-healing materials for spacecraft presents a promising solution, enabling the creation of resilient space structures such as space suits, optical surfaces, liquid-propellant containers, and protective coatings. These advancements could significantly enhance the feasibility of long-duration space missions [10,11]. Furthermore, spacecraft must endure extreme environmental conditions, including high radiation levels, drastic temperature variations, and the vacuum of space, making the integration of self-repairing materials a critical step toward ensuring long-term operational reliability [12]. Extrinsic self-healing materials exhibit repeatable self-healing capabilities, but the challenge arises in the need for energy input to initiate the healing process, particularly in space. Hybrid films incorporating polymeric nanofillers such as carbides, titania nanomaterials, graphene, derivatives, and MXenes hold promise in various applications, ranging from EMI shielding and thermal management to self-cleaning surfaces and fire-resistant materials. Enhancing the performance and feasibility of these materials requires meticulous fine-tuning, optimization, and a comprehensive understanding of advanced material processing through effective coordination between level-specific modeling techniques.The identified areas for innovation present technical challenges that necessitate iterative and explorative improvements, crucial in their own right. Additionally, a broader outlook for space materials involves the ambitious goal of integrating multiple functionalities into a single component or material. For instance, merging self-healing technology with structural health-monitoring composites could enhance safety, longevity, and feasibility for space applications simultaneously. Similarly, spacecraft featuring intrinsically EMI shielding/energy-storing composite structural panels would reduce weight and profile, improving overall feasibility. The combination of self-healing materials with self-cleaning surfaces could result in scratch and dust-resistant coatings, enhancing the longevity of solar panels.While integrating numerous functionalities into a single material poses challenges in maintaining original functionalities, ongoing advancements in quantum computing and artificial intelligence offer the potential to overcome these hurdles through advanced materials modeling. Envisioning a satellite with structural panels serving as antennas and power sources, capable of shape morphing, self-healing, EMI shielding, self-cleaning, and thermal stability may become a reality with continuous progress in these fields. Until then, significant research is imperative in the realm of space materials.

4.4. Composites

Composites played a critical role in the construction of the space shuttle, particularly in the fabrication of the orbiter. The orbiter, which served as the main vehicle for carrying astronauts and payloads to and from space, was made primarily of composite materials, including carbon fibres reinforced polymers (CFRP) and fiberglass composites. These materials offered high strength- to-weight ratios, excellent thermal stability, and low thermal expansion properties, making them ideal for aerospace applications. CFRP composites were used in the fabrication of structural components, such as the wings, fuselage, and tail, due to their high stiffness and strength, while fiberglass composites were used in non-structural components, such as fairings and access doors.

4.5. Other Materials

In addition to composites, metals, and ceramics, the space shuttle also used various other materials for different purposes. For example, the windows in the orbiter were made of fused silica, a type of glass that has high optical clarity and resistance to radiation. The thermal blankets used in the orbiter's payload bay were made of flexible insulation materials, such as Mylar and Kapton, to protect sensitive payloads from extreme temperatures. The adhesives, sealants, and coatings used in the space shuttle were also carefully selected to meet the stringent require- ments of spaceflight, including low outgassing, high bond strength, and resistance to vacuum and radiation.

5. Key Requirements for Space Shuttle Materials

The materials used in space shuttles must meet stringent requirements to withstand the harsh conditions of space travel, re-entry into Earth's atmosphere, and the stresses of launch and landing. Here are some key requirements for space shuttle materials:

Thermal Resistance for the Re-entry Heat Protection: Materials must be capable of withstanding extremely high temperatures experienced during re-entry into Earth's atmosphere. This is often achieved through the use of heat-resistant materials such as reinforced carbon–carbon and thermal protection tiles. Structural Integrity: Space shuttle materials must provide the structural integrity needed to withstand the dynamic forces and vibrations experienced during launch, orbital operations,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

and landing.
Weight Efficiency: As weight is a critical factor in space travel, materials must be lightweight while maintaining strength. This requirement helps optimize fuel efficiency and payload capacity.
Aerodynamic Stability: Materials used in the construction of wings and other aerodynamic surfaces should provide stability and control during re-entry and landing. Protection Against Corrosion: Materials must resist corrosion due to exposure to the space environment, including the vacuum of space, radiation, and other corrosive elements [13].
Radiation Shielding: Space shuttles must incorporate materials that provide adequate shielding against cosmic radiation, which is more prevalent in space than on Earth.
Electromagnetic Interference (EMI) Shielding: mMaterials should be designed to minimize electromagnetic interference, which can affect the functioning of electronic systems on board.
Thermal Insulation: Effective insulation materials are crucial to regulate internal temperatures, protecting sensitive equipment from extreme temperature variations [14].
Safety Features: Materials should be fire-resistant to minimize the risk of combustion during launch and re-entry.

6. Primary Materials Used in Space Shuttle Construction

The extreme conditions encountered by the Space Shuttle during flight necessitated the development of specialized materials capable of withstanding severe thermal and mechanical stresses. Some materials were designed to endure temperatures exceeding 1600°C, while others had to function in cryogenic conditions as low as -253°C or withstand extreme structural loads. In addition to withstanding these harsh environments, these materials needed to be lightweight to optimize the shuttle's overall performance. When configured for launch, the Space Shuttle consisted of three primary components: the Orbiter, the solid rocket boosters, and the external tank (ET). The shuttle's thermal protection system (TPS), which comprised multiple heat shields, was designed with various materials to protect different parts of the vehicle. The main body of the Shuttle and ET were primarily constructed from aluminum alloy and graphite epoxy [15].

The TPS incorporated reinforced carbon-carbon (RCC) on the wing leading edges and nose cap to withstand the highest temperatures. Other critical areas, such as the upper forward fuselage, the entire underside of the Shuttle, and the maneuvering and reaction control systems, were protected by black high-temperature reusable surface insulation (HRSI) tiles. Additional sections of the Orbiter were covered by fibrous refractory composite insulation (FRCI) tiles, which provided improved durability and strength. Areas exposed to temperatures below 649°C, including the forward fuselage, mid-fuselage, aft fuselage, vertical tail, and upper wings, were shielded by white low-temperature reusable surface insulation (LRSI) tiles, advanced flexible reusable surface insulation (AFRSI) blankets, and felt reusable surface insulation (FRSI) white blankets.

The RCC material was manufactured through pyrolysis of laminated carbon, with its outer surface converted to silicon carbide (SiC) to prevent oxidation. The FRSI tiles consisted of low-density, high-purity 99.8% amorphous silica fibers bonded using ceramic processing, resulting in a rigid, lightweight structure with 90% void space. RCC and HRSI were deployed in areas where temperatures exceeded 1260°C. The FRCI tiles, an advanced high-strength variant, incorporated 20% alumina-borosilicate fibers and 80% silica fibers, offering enhanced resistance to cracking, improved durability, and weight reduction compared to HRSI tiles.

The LRSI tiles, composed of 99.8% pure silica fibers, provided thermal protection in regions experiencing lower heat exposure, while the AFRSI system utilized low-density fibrous silica batting made from high-purity amorphous silica fibers [16–18]. The FRSI layer, applied to upper payload bay doors and fuselage, was composed of glass fibers bonded directly to the Orbiter using room-temperature vulcanizing (RTV) silicone adhesives.

Additional specialized materials were incorporated into various shuttle components, including thermal window panes, gap fillers around operable penetrations, and thermal barriers for insulation.

The external tank's thermal protection system (ET TPS) utilized sprayed-on foam insulation and remolded ablator materials, alongside phenolic thermal insulators. Given the extensive range of materials employed, meticulous attention was required to monitor potential damage caused by debris impact. Post-flight inspections included detailed assessments of debris found on damaged components, with subsequent analyses helping to identify sources of damage and prevent future material failures [19**?** ,20].

The development of materials for structural and engine applications in aerospace has seen significant progress in recent years. The aerospace industry has greatly benefited from advancements in alloys based on aluminum, magnesium, titanium, and nickel. Additionally, innovative materials such as composites are increasingly being integrated into aircraft structures. Despite these advancements, current aerospace materials still face challenges related to corrosion, stress corrosion cracking, fretting wear, and mechanical limitations. Extensive research has been conducted to develop the next generation of aerospace materials that offer improved mechanical performance, corrosion resistance, and durability. This review covers essential materials used in designing aircraft structures and engines, along with recent developments in aerospace materials [20,21].

6.1. Smart Materials in Aerospace

In various industries, including aerospace, smart materials—also known as intelligent materials—are gaining increasing importance due to their unique properties such as self-sensing, self-adaptability, and memory capability. Despite their potential, a comprehensive review of smart materials in aerospace has been lacking. Therefore, this study discusses recent advancements in smart materials and their applications in the aerospace sector. The classification, working principles, and latest developments in nano-smart materials are examined, along with their future potential in aerospace technologies. Further research in this field is required to explore their full capabilities [22].

6.2. Additive Manufacturing and In-Situ Resource Utilization

Additive manufacturing, commonly known as 3D printing, has emerged as a promising technique for fabricating complex, lightweight structures with customizable properties. This technology has been utilized in the production of various components of the space shuttle, including small-scale structural elements and prototypes. Its adaptability and efficiency make it a strong candidate for broader implementation in future space missions.

In addition to additive manufacturing, in-situ resource utilization (ISRU) has been proposed as a means to reduce dependence on Earth-sourced materials. ISRU involves the extraction and processing of raw materials available in space environments, such as lunar regolith or Martian soil, to manufacture essential components. This approach has the potential to significantly decrease mission costs and improve sustainability by enabling on-site fabrication of critical structures.

Furthermore, advancements in computational modeling and simulation techniques have played a crucial role in optimizing materials for space applications. Tools such as finite element analysis and computational materials science have allowed engineers to design and refine materials tailored to withstand the harsh conditions of space. These technologies have enhanced the ability to predict material behavior under extreme environments, improving the overall reliability of spacecraft components.

6.3. Aerospace Materials and Military Applications

The design of the Space Shuttle was significantly influenced by military requirements, particularly those of the United States Air Force (USAF), which intended to use the vehicle for launching reconnaissance satellites and classified missions. Many planned flights were to be conducted from Vandenberg Air Force Base, where a dedicated launch complex was constructed. However, following the Challenger disaster, these plans were abandoned, and several missions, including the polar orbit mission STS-61A, were never executed [23].

Research in aerospace materials for both structural and propulsion applications has progressed considerably in recent years. The aerospace industry has benefited from the development of advanced

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

alloys, including those based on aluminum, magnesium, titanium, and nickel. These materials have contributed to improved aircraft performance, weight reduction, and enhanced durability. Despite these advancements, challenges such as corrosion, stress corrosion cracking, fretting wear, and mechanical limitations remain prevalent in aerospace materials. As a result, extensive research efforts have been directed toward developing the next generation of materials that offer superior mechanical properties and corrosion resistance. This review examines the key materials required for aircraft structures and engines, along with recent innovations in aerospace materials [21].

In various technological sectors, including aerospace, smart materials—also referred to as intelligent materials—are gaining increasing relevance due to their ability to adapt to external stimuli. These materials possess unique properties such as self-sensing, self-adaptability, and shape memory, enabling them to perform multiple functions. Despite their potential, comprehensive assessments of smart materials in aerospace have been limited. This study aims to address this gap by reviewing advancements in smart materials and their applicability to aerospace engineering. The classification, operational mechanisms, and latest developments in nano-smart materials are discussed, along with their potential applications in future aerospace technologies. Given the limited research conducted in this area, further investigation is required to fully harness the capabilities of smart materials for aerospace applications [22].

6.4. Aerogels and Advanced Propulsion Materials

Significant research has been conducted on aerogel materials and their potential use in aviation and aerospace applications. Aerogels, known for their low thermal conductivity, lightweight structure, and high porosity, offer excellent insulation properties. Their application in aerospace includes thermal protection for spacecraft, insulation for cryogenic fuel tanks, and shielding against extreme temperature variations. This study provides a comprehensive overview of aerogel materials, their properties, recent advances in aerogel production techniques, and potential challenges in their implementation. The findings serve as a valuable resource for researchers and engineers working on aerogel applications in aerospace and aviation. In addition to insulation materials, advancements in propulsion technologies have driven research into novel materials for military aerospace applications. Future military propulsion systems, including high-speed and hypersonic engines, require materials that can withstand extreme thermal and mechanical stresses. This review outlines the challenges and opportunities associated with developing such advanced propulsion materials. It also highlights key research areas for improving material performance and an overview of ongoing developments in aeronautical propulsion materials. These insights are particularly beneficial for researchers and engineers engaged in designing next-generation propulsion systems for military aircraft and spacecraft [24].

Polymeric materials have been widely used in aerospace due to their lightweight nature, high strength, and flexibility. However, challenges such as thermal stability, durability, and flammability must be addressed. Recent developments in aerospace polymers include shape memory polymers, polymer matrix composites, and nanocomposites, which have enhanced mechanical and thermal properties. These innovations continue to drive research into advanced polymeric materials for aerospace applications [25].

Aerogels, known for their low thermal conductivity, lightweight properties, and high porosity, are also being investigated for aerospace applications. Their potential use as thermal insulation in spacecraft fuel tanks is particularly promising. Studies have focused on the spray deposition technique for applying aerogels onto fuel tank surfaces and evaluating their insulation performance. The research highlights the benefits of using aerogels for improved fuel efficiency and increased safety in space applications [26].

6.5. Structural Health Monitoring and Composite Materials

The integrity of aerospace materials is crucial for ensuring safety and durability. Structural health monitoring (SHM) techniques, such as acoustic emission, ultrasonic testing, and fiber-optic sensing,

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

are increasingly being used to detect damage in laminated materials. Recent advancements include the use of machine learning algorithms for data analysis, which enhances the accuracy and reliability of damage detection [27].

Composite materials, due to their high strength-to-weight ratio, durability, and corrosion resistance, have been widely used in aerospace applications. The development of aerospace composites has evolved from military aircraft to modern commercial jets and space vehicles. Recent innovations include the incorporation of nanomaterials and bio-composites, which improve material performance and sustainability [28].

Thermal protection materials play a critical role in shielding spacecraft from extreme temperatures. The Space Shuttle's external insulation system relied on ceramic insulation tiles, which were designed to withstand high temperatures, low thermal conductivity, and the harsh space environment. The development process involved material selection, manufacturing techniques, and rigorous testing to ensure durability. Advances in high-temperature insulation materials, such as silica aerogels, continue to be explored for future space missions [29].

Multi-layer insulation (MLI) has been developed to provide effective thermal protection while maintaining a low mass, making it ideal for aerospace applications. Cryogenic insulation techniques are also being optimized to improve fuel tank insulation, ensuring reliability under extreme temperature and pressure conditions. Research continues to enhance these insulation materials for next-generation spacecraft [30,31]. The aerospace industry continues to evolve with advancements in materials, manufacturing techniques, and monitoring technologies. Research into next-generation aerospace materials, including advanced composites, smart materials, aerogels, and high-temperature ceramics, is essential for improving safety, efficiency, and sustainability in future space missions. Additionally, innovations in structural health monitoring and computational modeling will further enhance the design and reliability of aerospace structures. Continued collaboration between researchers and engineers will be crucial in overcoming the challenges associated with extreme environmental conditions in aerospace applications [24,32].

6.6. Development of Ceramic Insulation for the Space Shuttle

The advancement of ceramic insulation systems played a crucial role in enhancing the Space Shuttle's thermal protection. The development process involved overcoming key challenges such as ensuring high-temperature resistance, minimizing thermal conductivity, and maintaining durability in the extreme conditions of space. Research on ceramic insulation explored material selection, manufacturing techniques, and rigorous testing methodologies to validate its effectiveness in meeting the shuttle's operational requirements. These studies have served as an essential historical reference for understanding the evolution of external insulation systems in space applications [33].

Finite element analysis was employed to examine the thermal performance of aerogel-based insulation tiles under different conditions. The study provided valuable insights into heat transfer mechanisms and the material's behavior when exposed to high temperatures. The research concluded that silica aerogel, due to its low thermal conductivity and excellent high-temperature resistance, is a strong candidate for next-generation insulation tiles. The findings contribute to ongoing advancements in aerospace insulation materials, aiding engineers and researchers in developing improved thermal protection solutions for future space missions [29].

Materials originally developed for space exploration have demonstrated potential applications in other fields, such as medicine. A NASA-led study investigated the feasibility of using Space Shuttle insulation materials as implants for orthopedic applications. Experimental results indicated that these materials possess desirable biocompatibility and mechanical properties, making them promising candidates for medical implants. This study highlights how technological advancements in aerospace engineering can be adapted for critical applications in healthcare. It also underscores the importance of interdisciplinary collaboration in fostering innovation [34].

The development of multi-layer insulation (MLI) addressed the challenge of creating lightweight and reusable thermal protection materials. MLI consists of multiple thin layers of lightweight materials,

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

designed to form an insulating blanket that provides high thermal efficiency. Studies demonstrated that MLI effectively reduces thermal loads, making it an optimal choice for spacecraft insulation. This research has contributed to the continued evolution of insulation technologies for space applications, emphasizing the significance of innovative materials in aerospace engineering [30].

Insulating the Space Shuttle's liquid hydrogen and oxygen tanks posed a significant challenge due to the extreme temperatures and pressures encountered in space. Research on cryogenic insulation materials aimed to develop solutions that balance weight efficiency and thermal performance. Advances in this area have highlighted the critical role of insulation technologies in maintaining the integrity of cryogenic propellant storage, ultimately enhancing mission reliability [31].

The external thermal protection system (TPS) is vital in safeguarding the Space Shuttle from extreme temperatures and aerodynamic forces experienced during atmospheric re-entry. Researchers developed lightweight and reusable insulation materials based on ceramic-fiber-reinforced phenolic composites. Testing and validation of these materials included high-temperature endurance and impact resistance evaluations. The results demonstrated that these TPS materials offered superior durability and cost-effectiveness compared to earlier designs, reinforcing their significance in aerospace applications [35].

The manufacturing of high-temperature reusable surface insulation (HRSI) for the Space Shuttle's TPS presented several challenges. The production of LI-900 insulation material required precision in mixing, extrusion, and curing stages. Variations in environmental factors such as temperature and humidity affected the final properties of the insulation. Stringent quality control measures, including extensive testing and inspection, were implemented to ensure consistency and performance. Research on these challenges has provided valuable insights into the complexities of manufacturing high-performance aerospace insulation materials [36].

Various mechanical attachment systems were evaluated for securing TPS tiles, including the "Z-pin" and "Vespel pin" methods. Comparative studies determined that the "Z-pin" method was the most suitable due to its high attachment strength and ease of tile removal during maintenance. This research has contributed to optimizing TPS attachment techniques, enhancing the maintainability and longevity of spacecraft insulation systems [37].

The Space Shuttle's external fuel tank utilized rigid polyurethane foam insulation. Experiments using single edge notch bend (SENB) specimens were conducted to assess the fracture toughness of the foam material. Findings indicated that as foam density increased, fracture toughness decreased. Understanding the mechanical behavior of foam insulation was essential in ensuring the safety and structural integrity of the shuttle during flight [38].

Mathematical models were developed to predict the thermal behavior of insulation materials under varying operating conditions. Experimental data on thermal conductivity, heat capacity, and thermal expansion were used to refine these models. The results provided a foundation for designing more effective insulation systems for spacecraft, ensuring optimal thermal performance throughout the mission [39].

High-speed imaging and laser displacement sensors were utilized to analyze insulation material erosion during rocket motor firings. The study revealed that turbulent and separated flow regions exhibited higher erosion rates, emphasizing the need for robust insulation designs. These findings have been instrumental in improving the durability of insulation materials for space propulsion systems, ensuring their resilience in extreme environments [40].

7. Thermal Protection System (TPS) Materials

The orbiter's primary defense against the extreme heat encountered during re-entry is its Thermal Protection System (TPS). During atmospheric re-entry, the spacecraft experiences intense aerodynamic heating and air resistance, necessitating highly durable materials to ensure structural integrity. While each mission results in the loss of some TPS tiles, as long as they do not detach from a concentrated area, the orbiter remains protected.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

Composed of advanced ceramic materials, these tiles are engineered to endure temperatures approaching 3,000°F. With over 27,000 individual tiles covering the shuttle, each plays a vital role in maintaining the spacecraft's integrity and ensuring a safe return to Earth. The TPS is strategically designed with different materials applied across various sections of the orbiter to withstand the broad range of thermal conditions encountered during flight. Positioned as the final layer before the aluminum and graphite epoxy shell, TPS materials serve as the primary shield against extreme heat.

Operating across a temperature range from -250°F in the cold vacuum of space to nearly 3,000°F during re-entry, the TPS materials demonstrate remarkable resilience. These materials not only provide thermal protection but also contribute to the spacecraft's aerodynamic shape, influencing its descent trajectory and stability. The selection of TPS materials prioritizes heat resistance, stability under high temperatures, and minimal weight to ensure effective shielding without compromising the spacecraft's efficiency.

7.1. Overview of TPS

The space shuttle is subjected to extreme conditions, ranging from the vacuum of space to high-temperature environments during re-entry. To maintain safety and functionality, the shuttle relies on insulation systems designed to regulate heat transfer and protect critical components. One of the primary aspects of spacecraft insulation is the TPS, which consists of high-temperature ceramic tiles and reinforced carbon-carbon (RCC) materials capable of withstanding up to 3,000°F. The ceramic tiles protect regions such as the underside and fuselage, while RCC is applied to the nose cap and wing leading edges due to their exposure to the most extreme temperatures.

7.1.1. Insulation Blankets

Insulation blankets are used throughout the shuttle to minimize heat transfer between spacecraft components. These blankets, including multi-layer insulation (MLI), consist of multiple reflective foil layers separated by low-conductivity spacers. The reflective foil redirects heat away from the spacecraft, while the spacers reduce conductive heat transfer. MLI blankets are strategically positioned in key areas, such as the payload bay and external tanks, to prevent heat buildup and maintain thermal balance in space.

7.1.2. Cryogenic Insulation

Cryogenic insulation is essential for maintaining the low temperatures required for storing liquid hydrogen and liquid oxygen fuels. The shuttle employs various cryogenic insulation techniques, including foam insulation, vacuum-jacketed lines, and cryogenic blankets, to prevent heat transfer to the propellant tanks. Effective cryogenic insulation ensures that these fuels remain in their liquid state, which is critical for propulsion efficiency and overall mission success.

7.1.3. Structural Insulation

Structural insulation is used in areas exposed to extreme heat, particularly near rocket nozzles and engines. Materials such as phenolic-impregnated carbon ablator (PICA) and avocet are designed to char and ablate under high heat conditions, forming a protective barrier that prevents thermal penetration. This insulation protects the spacecraft's internal structure from damage and maintains operational integrity throughout re-entry.

7.1.4. Active Thermal Control Systems

To maintain optimal temperature conditions, the space shuttle employs active thermal control systems that regulate heat exchange using heaters, coolers, and heat exchangers. These systems are essential for preserving the functionality of avionics, payload equipment, and life support systems. By actively controlling the spacecraft's temperature, these systems ensure that all onboard components operate within safe thermal limits.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

7.2. Materials for Temperature Regulation

Passive heat management techniques, including surface modifications, coatings, and multi-layer insulation blankets, play a key role in spacecraft thermal regulation. Metals used in space applications often require surface treatments to prevent corrosion before launch. Traditionally, hexavalent chromate-based chemical conversion coatings have been widely used, but due to environmental concerns, alternative coatings such as trivalent chromium and chromium-free options are being explored. Ongoing research is evaluating these coatings' effectiveness in preventing corrosion and withstanding space conditions.

Chemical conversion coatings that comply with MIL-C-5541 standards provide adequate corrosion protection. However, due to their relatively low thermal emissivity, they may not always meet spacecraft temperature management requirements. Spacecraft engaged in extravehicular activities (EVAs) must maintain surface temperatures within safe limits, typically between -118°F and +113°F, to ensure astronaut safety.

Anodizing processes conforming to MIL-A-8625 standards offer improved thermal regulation through modifications in absorptance and emittance properties. This specification includes three types of anodizing: Type I chromic acid (now largely phased out due to reduced chromate use), Type II sulfuric acid (commonly sealed with hot water for space durability), and Type III hard anodizing (used for enhanced wear resistance with a thicker oxide layer). However, careful consideration is needed for hard anodizing in components prone to fatigue.

In cases where additional thermal properties are required, phosphoric acid anodizing and boric/sulfuric acid anodizing have been tested and found suitable for space applications. These techniques help optimize the thermal characteristics of spacecraft materials while ensuring corrosion resistance.

Passive heat control coatings are employed when a lower absorptance-to-emittance ratio is needed. These coatings, which frequently incorporate binders such as silicone, epoxy, polyurethane, or potassium silicate, are designed to withstand the harsh conditions of space. Acrylic-based paints are generally unsuitable for space applications due to their poor performance in extreme environments.

In low Earth orbit (LEO), coatings must resist atomic oxygen erosion, which limits the lifespan of polyurethane and epoxy coatings. Silicone coatings, particularly low-outgassing variants, are often preferred for their durability, but caution is necessary when using them near delicate optical instruments. Potassium silicate coatings are resistant to contamination and highly durable in space, though their application process can be challenging. Ensuring proper curing times before space exposure is crucial, as premature exposure can cause cracking and delamination [41].

The accumulation of surface charge on anodized coatings and passive thermal control materials can lead to operational issues in the space environment. NASA RP-1390 documents instances where spacecraft failures resulted from electrostatic charging. To mitigate this risk, materials with static-dissipative properties or conductive coatings are recommended. Indium tin oxide-coated films have been used for this purpose, though care must be taken to prevent cracking. Additionally, conductive threads integrated into fiberglass cloth have been explored as an alternative means of reducing surface charge accumulation.

Overall, advancements in TPS materials and spacecraft thermal regulation continue to evolve, driven by ongoing research and engineering innovations. The selection of appropriate materials and insulation strategies remains critical for ensuring the safety and performance of space vehicles during all mission phases.

7.3. Examples of TPS Materials

Materials for heat regulation and protection have varied functions. Thermal protection materials are made to endure high temperatures, especially during engine exhaust or re-entry, which can reach up to 2,800 C (5,070 F). Thermal control materials are used to control temperatures in space conditions. The

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

materials incorporated in the TPS are chosen for their ability to withstand the demanding conditions encountered during space missions and are as follows:

7.3.1. Reinforced Carbon-Carbon (RCC)

Reinforced carbon-carbon (RCC) finds application on critical regions of the orbiter, including the wing leading edges, the nose cap (encompassing a section on the lower surface), and the vicinity around the forward orbiter/external tank structural attachment. This specialized material acts as a thermal barrier, shielding these areas from temperatures surpassing 2,300 degrees Fahrenheit during re-entry. The production of reinforced carbon-carbon (RCC) tiles involves a multi-step process aimed at achieving optimal carbon-carbon properties. Initially, a graphitized rayon cloth is impregnated with phenolic resin, resembling the infusion of juice into the fabric. Subsequently, the impregnated cloth undergoes curing in an autoclave. After this initial cure, the cloth is pyrolized to convert the resin into carbon. The cycle is repeated three times, each involving impregnation with furfural alcohol in a vacuum chamber, curing, and pyrolysis to transform the alcohol into carbon.

To prevent oxidation, the outer surface of the carbon-carbon material is coated with silicon carbide. The RCC is then packed in a retort alongside a dry pack material composed of alumina, silicon, and silicon carbide. This assembly undergoes a high-temperature treatment in a furnace within an argon environment, utilizing a stepped-time-temperature cycle up to 3,200 degrees Fahrenheit. A diffusion reaction occurs between the dry pack and carbon-carbon, leading to the conversion of outer layers to silicon carbide, imparting a whitish-gray color. This silicon carbide coating acts as a protective barrier, shielding the carbon-carbon surface from oxidation. Despite its effectiveness, the silicon carbide coating is prone to surface cracks due to thermal expansion mismatch. To address this, the RCC part is impregnated with tetraethyl orthosilicate, providing uniform thermal expansion. The treated part is sealed with a glossy overcoat for added protection.

The resulting RCC laminate is preferred over a sandwich design due to its lightweight and robust characteristics. Operating within a range of minus 250 degrees Fahrenheit to about 3,000 degrees Fahrenheit, the RCC tile aligns with the extreme temperature variations encountered by the orbiter in space and during re-entry. Additionally, the RCC tile exhibits resistance to fatigue loading experienced during both ascent and re-entry phases. This comprehensive process ensures the reliability and durability of the RCC tiles in the demanding conditions of space travel.

7.3.2. Black High-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (HRSI)

Black High-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (HRSI) tiles, The orbiter is clad in HRSI) tiles totaling nearly 20,000 in quantity. While not exposed to the highest temperatures, these tiles play a vital role in withstanding significant heat. Positioned across the orbiter's surface, HRSI tiles safeguard regions where temperatures remain below 2,300 degrees Fahrenheit. The High-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (HRSI) tiles are composed of low-density, high-purity silica, specifically a 99.8-percent amorphous fiber derived from common sand, with fibers measuring between .001 to .002 inches in thickness. With 90 percent of the tile comprising air and the remaining 10 percent being material, each tile weighs approximately 9 pounds per cubic foot. The manufacturing process involves casting a slurry containing fibers mixed with water to create soft, porous bricks, to which a colloidal silica binder solution is added. After sintering, the resulting block is ready to be cut and machined into the specified dimensions.

HRSI tiles exhibit varying thicknesses, ranging from 1 to 5 inches, with each tile's thickness determined by the level of heat encountered during re-entry. Generally, the tiles become thinner as one progresses from the front to the back of the orbiter. Enduring cold soak conditions, repeated heating and cooling, as well as thermal shock during orbit, these tiles must withstand significant temperature fluctuations without breaking or cracking [42,43].

For instance, an HRSI tile, removed from a 2,300-degree Fahrenheit oven, can be immersed in cold water without sustaining damage. The surface heat dissipates rapidly, allowing an uncoated tile to be

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

held by its edges with an ungloved hand seconds after removal from the oven, while its interior still glows red. Figure 4 of the reference [?] illustrates the conditions these tiles encounter during re-entry.

The High-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (HRSI) tiles undergo a coating process where the top and sides are sprayed with a glassy material utilizing a liquid carrier. This glass coating is applied to a thickness of .016 to .018 inches on the tiles, and once coated, they undergo baking in an oven at approximately 2,300 degrees Fahrenheit. The resulting brick is transformed into a glossy black finish, rendering it completely waterproof.

Given the minimal thermal expansion and contraction of the tiles (in comparison to the orbiter structure), it is imperative to incorporate gaps measuring .025 to .065 mils between them to prevent contact. These gaps are filled with Nomex , referred to as filler bars, ensuring the tiles do not touch each other.

The HRSI tiles are engineered in two different densities: the first, weighing 22 pounds per cubic foot, is utilized in specific areas including around the nose, main landing gears, and the wing leading edge. The remaining areas employ tiles with a density of 9 pounds per cubic.

7.3.3. Fibrous Refractory Composite Insulation (FRCI)

Fibrous Refractory Composite Insulation (FRCI) tiles in black replace some HRSI tiles in specific high-heat zones. Almost 3,000 FRCI tiles are strategically placed, predominantly at the shuttle's base, where the most intense heat is encountered during re-entry. The FRCI tiles, developed by NASA's Ames Research Center and manufactured by Lockheed Missiles and Space Division in Sunnyvale, Calif., represent an advancement of the High-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (HRSI) tile concept. These tiles integrate AB312 (alumina-borosilicate fiber), known as Nextel, into the pure silica tile slurry. Nextel serves to activate boron fusion, welding the pure silica fibers into a robust structure during the sintering process. Comprising 20% Nextel and 80% silica, FRCI tiles exhibit distinct physical properties compared to the original 99.8% silica HRSI tiles.

Following the curing process and the application of a black glass coating, the FRCI tiles undergo compression during curing to minimize the risk of cracking during handling and operational use. Beyond the enhanced coating, FRCI tiles boast a lighter weight than basic HRSI tiles. Moreover, they exhibit a tensile strength at least three times greater than that of HRSI tiles and can endure temperatures nearly 100 degrees Fahrenheit higher than HRSI tiles.

The manufacturing process for FRCI tiles closely parallels that of the 99.8% pure silica HRSI tiles, albeit with a higher sintering temperature and some minor adjustments. Once dried, a rigid block is formed, and the FRCI tiles undergo the same cutting and machining processes as HRSI tiles, exhibiting variations in thickness. Functionally replacing the HRSI 22 lbs per cubic foot tiles, FRCI tiles possess a density of 12 pounds per cubic foot. They offer superior strength, durability, resistance to coating cracking, and contribute to weight reduction in comparison to their HRSI counterparts.

7.3.4. Low-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (LRSI)

Low-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (LRSI) white tiles serve selected areas like the vertical tail and upper wing, protecting regions where temperatures are below 1,200 degrees Fahrenheit. The white color optimizes thermal characteristics, particularly during orbit when the shuttle faces extremely low temperatures, often dipping below 0 degrees Fahrenheit. The Low-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (LRSI) tiles share the same fundamental construction and perform the same essential functions as the 99.8% pure silica High-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (HRSI) tiles, but with a reduced thickness ranging from 0.2 to 1.4 inches. The tile thickness is contingent upon the amount of heat it is expected to encounter during its operational use. Manufactured using the same process as the 99.8% pure silica HRSI tiles, LRSI tiles are configured as 8x8 inch squares and are coated to achieve optical and water resistance, with the coating measuring approximately .010 inches in thickness. This protective coating comprises silica compounds infused with shiny aluminum oxide, enhancing and optimizing optical properties. The installation of LRSI tiles onto the orbiter mirrors the process used for HRSI tiles. Due to the elevated temperatures experienced during re-entry,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

especially on the wing leading edges, the LRSI tiles have recently been replaced with FRCI and HRSI tiles. Discovery and Atlantis were the initial orbiters to undergo this replacement.

7.3.5. Advanced Flexible Reusable Surface Insulation (AFRSI)

Advanced Flexible Reusable Surface Insulation (AFRSI) blankets emerged post the construction of orbiter Columbia. These blankets, comprising sewn composite quilted fabric insulation sandwiched between layers of white fabric, replace the majority of LRSI tiles on Discovery and Atlantis. With approximately 1,900 square feet per orbiter, AFRSI blankets offer increased durability, reduced fabrication and installation time, lower costs, and a weight advantage. They are applied in areas where temperatures do not exceed 1,200 degrees Fahrenheit. The remaining sections of the shuttle that once utilized Low-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (LRSI) tiles have transitioned to Advanced Flexible Reusable Surface Insulation (AFRSI) blankets. AFRSI consists of low-density fibrous silica batting, composed of high purity silica and 99.8% amorphous silica fibers [16,17] with thicknesses ranging from .001 to .002 mils. This batting is compressed between a woven high-temperature silica fabric and a low-temperature glass fabric, and the compressed batting is sewn together with silica thread, creating a quilt-like appearance. The composite density of AFRSI is approximately 8 to 9 lbs per cubic foot, with variations in thickness from 0.45 to 0.95 inches, contingent upon the specific application and anticipated heat exposure. The utilization of AFRSI blankets not only reduces the weight of the shuttle but also lowers fabrication and installation costs, along with reducing installation time. There is a prospect that the entire shuttle may eventually be enveloped in AFRSI blankets, leading to a substantial reduction in weight. However, before this transition occurs, the AFRSI blankets must demonstrate their capability to withstand extreme temperatures.

White blankets crafted from coated Nomex Felt Reusable Surface Insulation are utilized on the upper payload bay doors and sections of the upper wing surface. These blankets serve in areas where temperatures do not exceed 700 degrees Fahrenheit, providing effective thermal protection for these specific regions of the orbiter.

7.3.6. Heatshields

Heatshields are frequently used to provide thermal protection and can be created from both reusable materials, such as ceramic tiles or composites formed of ceramic ma- trix, and one-time use materials, such as ablatives. The peak heat flux and stagnation pressure experienced during re-entry, as well as factors like mechanical strength, density, entry angle, and the shape of the heatshield (such as blunt-body, sphere-cone, biconical, or non-axisymmetric), all play a role in the choice of heatshield materials. These elements are essential in choosing the best material to offer efficient thermal protection during high-temperature occurrences. Weight and performance uncertainty must be traded off when choosing a heatshield's thickness.

The Flexible Reusable Surface Insulation (FRSI) exhibits varying thicknesses, ranging from 0.160 to 0.40 inches, contingent upon the heat levels experienced during re-entry. These FRSI pieces are typically 3 to 4 foot squares, with exceptions made for custom cutting when required. Directly adhered to the orbiter using silicon adhesive, applied at a thickness of approximately 0.20 inches, FRSI is additionally coated with a white silicon elastomer for waterproofing, as well as to fulfill necessary thermal and optical requirements. Covering nearly 50 percent of the orbiter's upper surfaces, FRSI serves as a crucial protective layer.

A thermal blanket undergoes testing at a temperature nearing 2000 degrees Fahrenheit. While most of the blankets do not encounter temperatures of this magnitude, the resilience demonstrated in testing provides assurance, especially considering the potential reassurance it offers to astronauts.

7.3.7. Gap Fillers

Gap fillers are used in areas to restrict the flow of hot gas into the gaps of TPS components. The types and applications of the various types of gap fillers are shown in Figure 14 (Tile-To-Tile Gap

Fillers) of the reference [44]. The predominant gap filler types that are used are the pillow or pad type and the Ames type.

Gap fillers made of pillow fabric are typically used to completely fill designated gaps. The fabrication process for the basic pillow gap filler begins by creating a template that outlines the contour, height, and width requirements, along with specific thickness details recorded on Mylar. A 0.001-inch thick sheet of Inconel 601 alloy is then cut to match the gap shape. Aluminoborosilicate fiber (Nextel) fabric is folded over the Inconel, and the fabric is filled with alumina fiber (Saffil) batting to achieve the desired thickness. The gap filler is stitched with Nextel thread, and the tail is reinforced with RTV silicone adhesive. Stitched gap fillers can also include Nextel ceramic fiber braided sleeving, which can be applied externally or internally to the folded area of the gap filler fabric.

Most gap fillers are installed after RSI tiles have been placed. The gap filler is bonded to the underlying filler bar or tile sidewall using RTV silicone adhesive. After the adhesive cures, a friction test is conducted to ensure proper compression within the gap and validate the bond integrity of the gap filler. Pillow and pad-type gap fillers undergo a coating process that involves applying a high emissivity ceramic coating in a two-part procedure similar to FI blankets. An initial precoat mixture, consisting of 85% Ludox ammonia stabilized colloidal silica solution, 12% isopropyl alcohol, and 3% silicon carbide powder, is applied and air-dried for 4 hours. This precoat enhances fabric adhesion for the subsequent topcoat application, which consists of a mixture of Ludox ammonia stabilized colloidal silica solution, silica powder, and silicon carbide powder. The topcoat is applied to the exposed area of the gap filler and air-dried for 8 hours.

Ames gap fillers are available in three varieties, incorporating two fabric types and two coating options. The fabric is offered in both non-vacuum baked and vacuum baked conditions. The non-vacuum baked fabric allows for the application of black RTV coating for upper surface use and ceramic coating for lower surface use. In contrast, the vacuum baked variety is exclusively fabricated with black RTV coating for upper surface use.

Typically, the Ames gap filler is nominally 0.020 inches thick and is custom-cut to fit a corresponding gap Mylar. For partial or complete gap filling, up to six layers of Ames gap fillers may be installed. A Mylar template is crafted to mirror the length, width, and contour of the gap, with precise gap measurements recorded at corresponding locations on the Mylar. The gap filler undergoes a prefitting process, during which pull test loops are integrated. Subsequently, the gap filler is bonded using RTV onto a primed surface, and the integrity of the bond is verified by pulling on the test loops after the adhesive has cured [44].

7.3.8. Thermal Barriers

Thermal barriers serve a crucial role around penetrations and in the closeout areas between major components of the orbiter. Their primary function is to limit the flow of hot gas to the underlying cavity or structure. The specific locations of the orbiter's thermal barriers, along with aerothermal seals are illustrated in Figure 15 (Thermal Barriers and Aerothermal Seal Locations) and 16 (Main Landing Gear Door Thermal Barrier Detail) of the reference [44].

Thermal barriers typically consist of key elements such as spring tubes, insulative batting, sleeving, and ceramic fabric. The spring tube, a tubular inconel wire mesh, is enclosed within braided sleeving made of aluminoborosilicate fiber (Nextel). Following this, the thermal barrier is covered by an outer layer crafted from Nextel ceramic fiber fabric. Depending on the specific type, the thermal barrier is then attached to its designated cavity using its ceramic fabric tail (for adhesive-bonded varieties), fastened to the structure through hardware (for mechanically attached types), or secured to a carrier plate (for mechanically attached carrier panel types). The next figure illustrates the installation process of the mechanically attached carrier panel type thermal barrier around the periphery of the main landing gear doors [44].

The installation of thermal barriers involves specific processes tailored to unique design requirements. Typically, they are affixed under pressure to a solvent-cleaned and primed structural substrate using RTV silicone adhesive. In the thermally extreme nose landing gear door area, external thermal

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

barriers are bonded to the peripheral High-Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (HRSI) tile sidewalls and Reinforced Carbon-Carbon (RCC) surfaces with a ceramic adhesive. This adhesive consists of two components: the first is a mixture of 75% deionized water and 25% Ludox ammonia stabilized colloidal silica solution, and the second is a ceramic adhesive powder.

For thermal barriers on the main landing gear and external tank doors, bonding takes place on a solvent-cleaned and primed carrier panel using RTV silicone adhesive. The carrier panel is then secured into a retaining fixture attached to the orbiter structure. Thermal barriers around the nozzles of the Reaction Control System (RCS) thrusters are fastened to the structure using appropriate fasteners.

After installation, the outer fabric of the thermal barriers undergoes coating. This coating is composed of either polyethylene or black RTV silicone adhesive, contributing to improved thermal performance and durability.

7.3.9. Aerothermal Seals

Aerothermal seals are strategically utilized to regulate and limit the ingress of hot gases into the cavities of control surfaces and payload bay doors. The specific locations of these aerothermal seals are illustrated in the preceding figure. Notably, the areas where thermal seals are implemented include the wing trailing edge/elevon leading edge (elevon cove) and the aft fuselage trailing edge/body flap leading edge (body flap cove). The following figure provides a visual representation of the aerothermal seal in the elevon cove region.

In the elevon cove, the primary seal is the span-wise polyimide seal, designed to make contact with the elevon rub tube. Precise fitting against the rub tube is crucial for this seal to limit gas flow into the cavity during control surface movement. Inside the cavity, the incorporation of heat sinks and additional insulative material contributes to an increased thermal mass, effectively reducing structural thermal gradients. To prevent hot flow from entering the cavity at the inboard and outboard ends of the control surfaces, spring-loaded columbium seals are installed, thereby avoiding potential overheating of the underlying structure and mechanisms. The spring-loaded seal accommodates the inboard and outboard floating of the elevon due to thermal expansion mismatches between the wing and elevon.

To ensure a proper seal with the rub panels on the upper elevon, the upper surface of the elevon cove is sealed with inconel flipper doors as shown in figure 17 (Elevon Cove Aerothermal Seal Detail [44]) and 18 (Payload Bay Door Aerothermal Seals) of the reference [44]. These flipper doors, hinged on the wing trailing edge, move in tandem with the elevon. The exposed metallic surface is coated with white paint to optimize the thermal emissivity of the part.

The protection of the payload bay door area involves the use of two distinct types of aerothermal seals, as illustrated in the upcoming figure. Expansion joints within this region are safeguarded by environmental bulb seals. These seals, composed of FEP Teflon, are shielded during reentry by a thermal barrier consisting of a quartz fibrous pile. To prevent water intrusion into the payload bay, the sealing surfaces are coated with a fluorinated grease.

In the hinge area of the payload bay door, protection is ensured through a spring-loaded inconel 718 cover assembly. This assembly is deployed on the initial six hinges of OV-102 (Columbia) and the initial ten hinges of OV-103 (Discovery), as well as on subsequent orbiters (Atlantis and Endeavour). The design incorporates a floating mechanism, allowing for fore and aft movement of the graphite epoxy composite payload bay doors to accommodate thermal expansion mismatches with the aluminum alloy midfuselage. The exposed surfaces of the hinge cover are coated with a high emissivity Pyromark coating.

7.3.10. Windows

The orbiter is outfitted with eleven strategically positioned windows to facilitate mission operations, comprising six forward windows, two overhead windows, two aft flight deck windows, and one crew hatch window, as depicted in the upcoming figure. The design of these windows features a configuration where the forward, overhead, and crew hatch windows consist of three panes of glass enclosed in a pressure-sealed retainer. The outermost pane is secured to the forward fuselage structure,

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

while the inner two panes are attached to the crew module. In contrast, the aft flight deck windows consist of two panes of glass solely attached to the crew module, with the outermost pane serving as the sole window component of the thermal protection system.

The innermost pane, known as the pressure pane, is crafted from aluminosilicate glass, tempered to endure the crew compartment's on-orbit pressure differential. Capable of withstanding a pressure of 8,600 psi at 240°F, this pane is coated with an infrared reflective coating on its outer surface. The thickness varies, measuring 0.625 inches on forward windows, 0.450 inches on overhead windows, 0.300 inches on aft flight deck windows, and 0.250 inches on the crew hatch window.

The center pane, termed the redundant pane, is fashioned from low-expansion fused silica glass. Uncoated, this pane has varying thicknesses: 1.300 inches on forward windows, 0.450 inches on overhead windows, 0.300 inches on aft flight deck windows, and 0.500 inches on the crew hatch window. The outermost pane, referred to as the thermal pane, is also made from fused silica glass and is designed to withstand the same pressure as the pressure pane. Internally coated with a high-efficiency anti-reflective coating to enhance light transmission, its thickness varies: 0.625 inches on forward windows, 0.680 inches on overhead windows, and 0.300 inches on the crew hatch window.

For example, during the arrival of the Galileo spacecraft, ablation modeling predicted a higher degree of ablation in the nose region compared to the shoulder area. However, the actual ablation distances differed from the predictions based on data from ablation sensors. The measured thermal protection system (TPS) recession at the shoulder area deviated by only 10 mm from the projected values. Contrary to the anticipated 88 mm recession by the stagnation point recession model, the measured value of the nose recession was 41 mm.

High-temperature reusable surface insulation (HRSI), commonly known as silica ceramic tiles, was developed for the Space Shuttle and can withstand re-entry temperatures of up to 1,260 °C (2,300 F). While flexible and available in various densities, HRSI tiles are fragile and prone to breakage, requiring a waterproof coating. Toughened unpiece fibrous insulation (TUFI) tiles, in comparison to HRSI tiles, offer greater strength and hardness. Both the Space Shuttle and the X-37B Orbital Test Vehicle employ a similar heat shielding strategy. Lightweight tiles are used on the belly, while flexible insulation blankets are used in colder regions.

In areas where re-entry temperatures remain below 649 °C (1,200 °F), quilted blankets composed of woven silica fiber, silica batting, and aluminoborosilicate fiber were employed on the Space Shuttle, effectively providing thermal protection. A critical element of the Space Shuttle, especially in the nose cap and wing leading edges, was reinforced carbon-carbon (RCC), capable of withstanding re-entry temperatures exceeding 1,260 °C (2,300 °F). Although not flown, a different composite material known as carbon/silicon carbide (C/Sic), comprising carbon fibers embedded in a silicon carbide matrix, was ground-tested for potential use in the X-38 vehicle's nose cap, leading edges, and steering flaps.

To shield carbon/carbon composites from oxidation, multilayer high-temperature ceramics like silicon carbide and zirconium boride, as well as nanocomposites, can be utilized. Ablative heatshields typically employ a honeycomb structure with resin or polymer injected into each cell. Various ablative materials, including Av coat (used on Apollo capsules), phenolic-impregnated carbon ablator (PICA, used by the Stardust sample return capsule), and SLA-561V (used on Viking landers), have been employed. These materials are designed to gradually erode and release heat during re-entry, providing effective thermal shielding.

Recent investigations have provided valuable insights for advanced thermal protection system (TPS) strategies in aerospace re-entry applications. Moreover, Re-entry missions face challenges from high temperatures, plasma interactions, ultraviolet (UV) radiation, and atomic oxygen (AO), necessitating robust material design for thermal protection systems (TPS). Carbon/Carbon (C/C) composites are favored for their thermal stability, yet their rapid degradation in oxidizing environments highlights the need for protective coatings. Researchers evaluated the effects of AO exposure on C/C composites, demonstrating that coated materials significantly withstand erosive damage compared to uncoated ones. A novel nano-reinforced aluminum oxide varnish, enhanced with silicon dioxide

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

nano-spheres, proved to effectively shield these composites, underscoring the importance of coating optimization for enhanced durability in aerospace applications [45].

In another study, researchers evaluated an innovative thermal protection system (TPS) concept by introducing a hybrid multiscale ceramic coating, specifically an alumina-based varnish enhanced with silica nanoparticles, designed for application on Carbon/Carbon (C/C) plates. The treatment aimed to maintain the thermo-mechanical integrity of the ceramic substrate under challenging space environment conditions, including the thermal cycling characteristic of Low Earth Orbit (LEO), outgassing resulting from ultra-high vacuum, and exposure to atomic oxygen and ultraviolet (UV) radiation. To assess the thermal performance and stresses on both the substrate and the coating layer, experimental measurements of the coefficient of thermal expansion (CTE) were conducted [46].

7.4. Features and Upgrades

The space shuttle Enterprise was designed without a fully functional thermal protection system. Instead, its surface was mainly covered with simulated tiles made from polyurethane foam, while fiberglass was used for the leading-edge panels in place of the reinforced carbon–carbon material found on spaceflight-ready orbiters. Columbia, the first operational orbiter in the fleet, was the first to incorporate High and Low Temperature Reusable Surface Insulation (HRSI/LRSI) tiles as its primary thermal protection system (TPS). Additionally, white silicone rubber-painted Nomex, known as Felt Reusable Surface Insulation (FRSI) blankets, was applied in certain areas, including the wings, fuselage, and payload bay doors [47].

Subsequent upgrades to the shuttle fleet included replacing many of the white LRSI tiles on upper surfaces with Advanced Flexible Reusable Surface Insulation (AFRSI) blankets, also referred to as Fibrous Insulation Blankets (FIBs), which had already been used on Discovery and Atlantis [48]. These AFRSI blankets were constructed with layers of pure silica felt sandwiched between an outer silica fabric layer and an inner S-Glass fabric layer, stitched together using pure silica thread in a 1-inch grid. The blankets were then coated with a high-purity silica layer, making them semi-rigid and allowing them to be manufactured in sizes as large as 30 inches by 30 inches. Each blanket replaced up to 25 individual tiles and was bonded directly to the orbiter's surface [47]. The direct application of these blankets resulted in multiple benefits, including a significant reduction in weight, enhanced durability, lower fabrication and installation costs, and a shorter installation schedule [48].

The Challenger orbiter, along with later orbiters, had fewer tiles in its Thermal Protection System than Columbia. However, Challenger still featured an extensive use of white LRSI tiles on the cabin and main fuselage. Many tiles on the payload bay doors, upper wing surfaces, and rear fuselage were replaced with DuPont white Nomex felt insulation. These design modifications, combined with a lighter overall structure, enabled Challenger to carry 2,500 lb (1,100 kg) more payload than Columbia. Additionally, the fuselage and wings of Challenger were both stronger and lighter than those of its predecessor [49].

During the construction of Discovery, a unique feature emerged near the middle starboard window where black tiles were placed instead of the expected white ones. It remains unclear whether this was a manufacturing anomaly or a deliberate choice, but this feature, often referred to as the "teardrop," provided a distinct identifier for Discovery within the shuttle fleet, even though it was not always immediately noticeable to casual observers [**?**]. Weight optimization efforts for Discovery included an increased use of quilted AFRSI blankets rather than white LRSI tiles on the fuselage. Additionally, the payload bay doors and certain wing spars and beams were constructed from graphite epoxy instead of aluminum, further reducing weight [50].

Similarly, Atlantis underwent several weight-reduction modifications, including the replacement of AFRSI insulation blankets on upper surfaces with FRSI, further enhancing its efficiency and overall structural performance [48].

Table 1 shows an idea of how many and how much area each type of installation takes up on the orbiter. Further, Figure **??** gives an approximate location of each tile and insulation type for the shuttle.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

Table 1. Approximate amount of tiles used on each orbiter and for the blankets and approximate amount of square feet used.

AFRSI + FRSI	HRSI	LRSI	FRCI
~1,800 sq. ft.	~20,500	~800	~3,000

Every tile undergoes meticulous inspection both prior to launch and after re-entry to verify its suitability for another flight. If a tile is deemed unfit for further use, it is promptly replaced with a new one. This rigorous inspection process is paramount to ensuring the safety of the orbiter's occupants. Rockwell employees can be seen conducting a thorough inspection of a tile before launch as shown in figure 2 in the reference [**?**].

8. Design and Material Requirements for Spacecraft

8.1. Considerations for Manufacturability: Importance of Traceability and Record-Keeping in Aerospace

In the aerospace industry, meticulous record-keeping and traceability play a crucial role in ensuring safety, quality control, and regulatory compliance. A robust part identification and traceability system is essential for recording the complete history of materials used in critical aerospace applications. By maintaining detailed documentation, engineers can verify that materials meet specified standards and have undergone the necessary treatments and inspections. This is particularly important for materials susceptible to batch variations or hydrogen embrittlement, as traceability helps mitigate the risk of failure. Furthermore, comprehensive records facilitate compliance with industry regulations and standards during audits and inspections. Ultimately, accurate documentation and traceability contribute significantly to mission success and the upholding of aerospace standards.

Vendors in the aerospace sector must have a deep understanding of approval criteria for fracture-critical hardware. A notable case underscores the severe consequences of non-compliance. Over a period of sixteen years, a NASA contractor was found guilty of improperly heat-treating, aging, and falsifying quality tests on aerospace hardware used in major programs, including the Space Shuttle, Space Station, commercial and military aircraft, and missile programs. This led to significant legal penalties and highlighted the critical role of adherence to proper procedures in aerospace manufacturing.

8.2. Fracture Control and Non-Destructive Evaluation (NDE)

Ensuring the safety and reliability of space vehicle systems requires rigorous fracture control measures. Every spacecraft component must be thoroughly assessed to determine the likelihood of structural failure and its potential catastrophic consequences. If a component is identified as having the potential for catastrophic failure, comprehensive fracture control measures, including non-destructive evaluation (NDE), are implemented. Various NDE techniques, such as eddy current testing, fluorescent penetrant inspection, magnetic particle testing, radiography, and ultrasonics, are used to detect flaws or cracks. However, certain non-structural components, such as insulating blankets, electrical wiring bundles, and elastomeric seals, which are resistant to crack propagation, may be exempt from fracture control criteria.

This case serves as a critical reminder of the importance of adhering to stringent procedures and maintaining high standards in aerospace manufacturing, particularly for fracture-critical hardware. It underscores the necessity of using precise and reliable methods to detect and manage potential failures, thereby ensuring the structural integrity and safety of spacecraft and other aerospace systems.

8.3. Designing for Manufacturability in Spacecraft Engineering

Incorporating manufacturability considerations into spacecraft component design is essential to optimizing production efficiency and reducing costs. The concept of manufacturability plays a key role in minimizing manufacturing expenses while adhering to strict project timelines. To achieve this, various factors must be taken into account during the design phase. Table 2 presents a summary of

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

list for manufacturability aspects that designers should consider. By integrating manufacturability principles early in the design process, engineers can enhance production effectiveness, streamline fabrication processes, and improve overall project outcomes.

Table 2. Key Considerations for Manufacturability in Aerospace Design.

Factor	Description
Material Selection	Choosing materials that balance performance, cost, and ease of fabrication
Process Compatibility	Ensuring component design aligns with available manufacturing techniques
Tolerance Control	Designing with achievable tolerances to reduce rework and inspection time
Assembly Efficiency	Simplifying assembly processes to minimize labor and production time
Inspection and Testing	Incorporating features that facilitate non-destructive evaluation and quality assurance

By proactively addressing manufacturability concerns in the initial design stages, aerospace engineers can significantly enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of spacecraft production, leading to improved mission reliability and cost-effectiveness. Further, a number of elements need to be considered in order to assure manufacturability. These elements are listed in Table 3, which offers a thorough list of aspects for designers to take into account. Engineers can increase the efficacy and efficiency of producing spaceship components by adding manufacturability early in the design phase, which will improve project outcomes overall are as follows:

Table 3. Comprehensive overview for the considerations for manufacturability.

Factor	Consideration
Drawings	Utilise geometric tolerancing and dimensioning Do not use double dimensions. select dimensions that are similar to typical stock If at all possible, choose 45° as opposed to 40° for your angles. Just use the necessary number of decimal places. If a portion requires complicated masking or many processes, make a separate drawing for finishing.
Tolerances	Use reasonable tolerance thresholds Keep in mind the tolerance stickup Think about access to locations for inspection and tool use.
Drilled Holes	only tap holes that are 1.5 times the diameter or less in size Consider thread relief or refrain from tapping the bottom of blind holes to avoid burr accumulation.
Inside Radii	provide the biggest possible radii wherever possible, use the same radius
Edges or Thickness	Reduce any breakable sharp edges or points. Avoid deep holes and thin walls to reduce distortion.
Part Holding	Extra stock should be available on all sides so the work piece can be clamped or chucked.
Assembly	built to be disassembled Set aside space for wrenches Whenever necessary, include access holes

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

Table 3. *Cont.*

Factor	Consideration
Materials	choose materials that are easy to manufacture using Be aware that some materials aren't available in your country and that some certifications can be hard to come by or aren't valid. Choose materials that can be processed quickly through machining, heat treatment, etc..
	Select materials with the simplest storage requirements
Processes	choose techniques that have been validated and are accessible to production
Composite Resources	Make sure to choose a material system where manufacturing has experience and tested procedures.
Surface Finishes	Set minimum completions
Coatings	Utilize proven production techniques. Before choosing the best practice, consult coating experts, production, and engineering. Think about how coating procedures affect things like part size and optical characteristics. Take coating holes, blind holes, and challenging masking needs into consideration. If the masking is difficult, use coating-specific drawings.
Heat Treat	Consider using precipitation hardening alloys such as 17-4PH, 15-5MO, 12-8MO which only require a relatively low temperature of 480-620 °C (900-1150 °F) soak from one to four hours with an air cool in place of the common alloys like 4340 or 4130 steels, which require an austenitizing soak at 815-843 °C (1500-1550 °F) with a quick quench into oil followed by a tempering soak 480-600 °C (900-1100 °F). With the latter kind of heat treatment, there is substantial oxidation and scaling. If the weldment has tight tolerances or a poor surface quality, you should increase the weld size or add gusseting rather than using heat treatment to restore it to a T6 condition. This calls for a rapid quench after a solution treatment at nearly melting temperature.
Welding	When feasible, use the American Welding Society Standard Welding Procedure Specifications. minimize the length of the weld Choose a joint that has the least amount of filler. Avoid over welding For structural applications, use square tubing rather than round tubing. Design for accessibility and inspection Be prepared for distortion and shrinking. Be mindful of the uneven dimensions of the mill-supplied structural I and H beams when employing them, and spell out your tolerances appropriately. When the beams can vary, a +/-.030" tolerance is challenging to maintain. From the center line to the end of the flange, 250".
Painting	Make sure that processes are available that have been documented and verified. Maintain a suitable level of surface cleanliness Think about your capacity to hold a paintbrush perpendicular to the surface you're painting.
Shop Capability	dimensions and component weight Limits for forklifts and cranes verified/documented procedures Welding techniques Sheet metal proficiency capacity for surface treatment sizes after heating Size restrictions for painting or cleaning.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

Table 3. *Cont.*

Factor	Consideration
Electrical or Electronic Components	Take lead time needs and production capacity into account.
Storage and Packaging Requirements	the component's size needed environmental controls space and tools readily available to accommodate storage needs

During production, component shelf life must be taken into account. Organic-based materials have a finite shelf life as well as a finite static age life, or the amount of time they may spend in an ambient environment without operating. Even when materials are sealed, the characteristics of polymeric resins, catalysts, some lubricants, thin polymer films, sealants, adhesives, and elastomers can slowly deteriorate over time. The shelf life is typically indicated by manufacturers; however, storage circumstances are sometimes not. In general, lower storage temperatures and limiting exposure to light (including fluorescent lighting and sunshine) increase shelf life. The amount of time the product has been exposed to the elements in it, such as oxygen, moisture, and other active agents, also affects how long it will last on the shelf.

8.4. Considerations for Flammability, Toxicity, and off Gassing

All materials used in spacecraft and ground support equipment must comply with NASA-STD-6001 (formerly NHB 8060.1), which outlines requirements and test procedures for flammability, off-gassing, and material compatibility. These standards ensure the safety and reliability of materials in various operational environments, including habitable spacecraft interiors, liquid oxygen (LOX) and gaseous oxygen (GOX) systems, breathing gases, and reactive fluids.

Based on the intended application, the specific tests required for each environment are detailed in Table 1 of NASA-STD-6001. Additionally, NASA maintains the Materials and Processes Technical Information System (MAPTIS), an online database containing material test results and evaluations.

Beyond Newtonian fluids, numerous industrial and biological systems involve non-Newtonian fluids, whose viscosity varies with shear rate, time, or applied stress. These fluids exhibit complex flow behavior, particularly under high-pressure or high-shear environments. For example, shear-thinning fluids—such as polymer solutions—experience a decrease in viscosity with increasing shear rate, facilitating enhanced flow rates under dynamic conditions [51,52]. In contrast, shear-thickening fluids—such as concentrated suspensions and colloidal dispersions—undergo a sudden rise in viscosity at elevated shear rates [53], which can destabilize flow or impede transport. Yield stress fluids, including Bingham plastics and viscoplastic materials, require a minimum threshold stress to initiate flow. Their yielding and spreading behaviors are significantly influenced by pressure and external forces, playing a critical role in applications like hydraulic fracturing and extrusion-based manufacturing [54,55].

Understanding these behaviors is especially crucial when selecting or engineering materials and fluids for space applications governed by NASA-STD-6001, where interactions with high-pressure, reactive, or oxygen-rich environments may amplify non-Newtonian effects. Hence, integrating rheological characterization with safety compliance testing becomes essential for ensuring both performance and mission safety.

A critical test defined by NASA-STD-6001 is the upward flame propagation test (Test 1), which assesses the fundamental flammability of materials. Managing flammability risks in space hardware is essential for crew safety. Lessons learned from the Apollo 1 fire incident in 1967 emphasized two crucial principles: avoiding propagation pathways and recognizing that ignition sources can never be completely eliminated. By restricting primary propagation routes, any potential fire will remain localized and self-extinguish, minimizing risks to both the crew and spacecraft systems. Additionally, the total volume of combustible materials should be kept as low as possible to further reduce hazards.

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

To support flammability control, additional guidelines are provided in documents such as NSTS 22648, which addresses flammability configuration analysis for spacecraft applications, and MSFC-PROC-1301, which outlines material control procedures. These protocols help engineers implement material selection and design strategies that enhance fire safety in space missions.

Table 4. NASA-STD-6001 Requirements and Additional Tests for Each Material Use. The terminology used in the table are: S – Supplemental Test; R – Required Test. 2–Only surface areas bigger than 4 ft2 (0.37 m2) each use require a test. 3– Materials in hermetically sealed containers are exempt from this requirement (see Section 2.1.3). 4–If the items meet the requirements of Test 1 in that setting, they are not necessary contains every place that isn't inside the liveable flight compartment.

Environment	Test No.	Type	Title
Habitable Flight	1	R	Upward Flame Propagation
	3	S	Flash Point of Liquids
	4 6 7	R R3 R3	Assessing the flammability, odour, and off-gassing potential of electrical wire insulation
	8	S	Flammability Test for Materials in
			sealed containers with vents
	10	S	Simulated Panel or Major Assembly
			Flammability
	12	S	Total Off gassing of Spacecraft
	18	R	18 R Arc-Tracking
Other Areas 5	1	R	Upward Flame Propagation
	2	R2/S	Heat and Visible Smoke Release Rates
	3	S	Flash Point of Liquids
	4	R	Electrical Wire Insulation Flammability
	8	S	Flammability Test for Materials in
			Vented or Sealed Containers
	18	R	Arc-Tracking
LOX and GOX	6	R3	Odor Assessment
Environments	7	R3	Determination of Off gassed Products
	13A	R	Mechanical Impact for Materials in
	13B	R	Mechanical Impact for Materials in Variable Pressure LOX and GOX
	14	S	Pressurized Gaseous Oxygen Pneumatic Impact for Nonmetals
	17	R4	Upward Flammability of Materials in GOX
Breathing Gases	1	R	Upward Flame Propagation
	6	R	Odor Assessment
	7	R	Determination of Off gassed Products
	13A	R	Mechanical Impact for Materials in
	13B	R	Mechanical Impact for Materials in
Reactive Fluids	15	R	Materials with Variable Pressure LOX and GOX Reactivity in Aerospace Fluids

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

NASA-STD-6001 includes several critical tests to assess the safety and reliability of materials used in spacecraft. One such test, Step 4, evaluates the flammability of electrical wire insulation. Awareness of insulation wear and abrasion increased significantly after an electrical fire occurred aboard STS-61-A Spacelab D-1 in 1985. In that incident, frayed insulation led to a short circuit and fire, yet the circuit breaker failed to trip. This event underscored the importance of ensuring that electrical breakers are easily accessible to quickly cut power to malfunctioning equipment. Furthermore, lessons from the 1997 fire in a Russian oxygen generator aboard the Mir space station prompted the implementation of containment shields and stricter quality controls for oxygen canisters on the International Space Station.

To evaluate the off-gassing characteristics of materials, NASA-STD-6001 includes Tests 7 and 12. These assessments are essential for maintaining air quality in habitable areas of spacecraft and minimizing the presence of trace contaminants. Non-metallic materials such as coatings, adhesives, and potting compounds can release volatile organic compounds, including formaldehyde, n-butanol, and aliphatic aldehydes, which may pose risks to crew health. Although activated carbon filters can remove some contaminants, long-duration human missions should not rely solely on filtration. A recommended practice to reduce off-gassing is to subject materials to a bakeout procedure, typically conducted at 50 °C (122 °F) for 48 hours, to eliminate volatile compounds before integration into the spacecraft.

There are two primary categories of material testing based on the operational environment: off-gassing and outgassing. External spacecraft components undergo outgassing testing in vacuum conditions following ASTM-E-595, which measures total mass loss (TML) and collected volatile condensable material (CVCM). The recommended limits are 1.0% TML and 0.1% CVCM. Depending on the spacecraft's contamination control plan, additional testing under ASTM-E-1559 may be required. This test provides data on outgassing rates over time at varying temperatures, enhancing dynamic modeling of potential contaminants and ensuring that materials meet strict volatility standards to maintain spacecraft cleanliness.

For materials exposed to sensitive optical surfaces in space, MSFC-SPEC-1443 outlines specific outgassing tests. This standard was developed during the Hubble Space Telescope program to ensure that materials meeting ASTM-E-595 standards do not emit volatiles that could degrade optical performance in the ultraviolet spectrum. A material is deemed unsuitable if it causes more than a 3% change in reflectance of a magnesium fluoride/aluminum mirror before and after vacuum exposure. These stringent testing protocols are essential to preserving optical integrity and overall spacecraft performance.

9. Structural Materials

When selecting structural materials for spacecraft, the strength-to-weight ratio plays a critical role. Engineers must account for both static and dynamic loads that the spacecraft will encounter. Additional key considerations include thermal performance, corrosion resistance, manufacturability, reparability, and cost. To ensure materials meet the necessary requirements, engineers frequently reference established resources such as the Metallic Materials Properties Development and Standardization (MMPDS, formerly MIL-HDBK-5), MIL-HDBK-17 for plastics in flight vehicles, and MIL-HDBK-23 for structural sandwich composites. These sources provide essential data on material properties for aerospace applications.

Beyond general material properties, use-dependent characteristics must also be considered. For instance, the dielectric constant is crucial when designing radomes, while gas permeability becomes significant in the construction of fuel tanks. A comprehensive evaluation of these factors enables engineers to make informed decisions that ensure the structural integrity and performance of spacecraft.

High-strength alloys, including titanium, aluminum, and stainless steel, have been widely used in aerospace applications. However, certain limitations must be noted. Aluminum alloys from the 5000 series containing more than 3% magnesium should not be used at temperatures exceeding 66 °C (150

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

°F) due to the risk of exfoliation or stress-corrosion cracking caused by grain boundary precipitation. Examples of such alloys include 5086-H34, 5086-H38, 5456-H32, and 5456-H38. Similarly, the 300-series corrosion-resistant stainless steels should not be used for prolonged periods above 370 °C (700 °F).

Austenitic stainless steels provide superior resistance to stress corrosion cracking compared to ferritic and duplex stainless steels, primarily due to their higher chromium and nickel content. Additionally, nickel-based alloys and titanium alloys generally exhibit strong resistance to stress corrosion cracking. However, copper alloys containing more than 20% zinc may still be vulnerable, even with alloying elements designed to improve resistance. MSFC-STD-3029 provides guidelines for material selection in sodium chloride environments, emphasizing that protective coatings can only delay, rather than prevent, stress corrosion. Additionally, surface modifications such as carburizing or nitriding can increase susceptibility to stress corrosion cracking.

Aluminum-lithium alloys offer a weight reduction of 10% or more compared to conventional aerospace aluminum alloys. A notable application of these materials was in the Space Shuttle's Super Lightweight Tank (SLWT), which reduced weight by 7,000 lbs compared to the original External Tank. Friction stir welding has enabled the successful joining of aluminum-lithium and other previously challenging aluminum alloys. This process reduces weld defects, eliminates the need for inert shielding gas or filler material, and produces stronger joints compared to traditional fusion welding.

Composite materials are frequently used in applications requiring precise thermal expansion tolerances, such as telescope optical benches. Several types of fibers—including graphite, boron, fiberglass, aramids, and carbon—can be used as reinforcements, bound by polymer resin systems such as epoxy, phenolic, polyimide, and polysulfone. These fibers can be arranged in various configurations, including tow, tape, sheet, or woven forms. For applications demanding high toughness, metal-matrix composites (MMCs) and ceramic-matrix composites (CMCs) are employed, utilizing particle or fiber reinforcement in forms such as chopped fibers, whiskers, or continuous and discontinuous fibers.

Non-metallic materials in low Earth orbit (LEO) are susceptible to degradation from atomic oxygen exposure. This exposure can lead to surface erosion, particularly in thin composites. Additionally, polymeric materials may undergo chain scission or cross-linking when exposed to ultraviolet (UV) and particle radiation, potentially compromising structural integrity. For example, composites on the leading edge of the Long Duration Exposure Facility (LDEF) satellite lost an entire layer due to prolonged exposure to atomic oxygen over 5.8 years. Some polymeric materials may also weaken and become brittle in high-radiation environments, making careful material selection essential.

Due to their high stiffness-to-weight ratio, honeycomb structures are widely used in aerospace applications. These structures utilize facesheets and cores made from either metals or composite materials. Depending on the application, closed-cell cores may be necessary to prevent cryopumping in cryogenic conditions. Cryopumping occurs when gas liquefies and condenses at cryogenic temperatures, creating a vacuum that can lead to unintended fluid movement. A key example was observed in the X-33 honeycomb composite fuel tank, where liquid hydrogen escaped through microcracks in the inner facesheet, while nitrogen purge gas was drawn in through microcracks in the outer facesheet. Incorporating redundant permeation barriers is essential to mitigating such risks.

The selection of spacecraft structural materials requires a meticulous evaluation of multiple factors, including mechanical performance, environmental resistance, and manufacturability. While traditional metal alloys such as aluminum, titanium, and stainless steel continue to play a vital role, advancements in composites, aluminum-lithium alloys, and friction stir welding have enabled significant improvements in performance and weight reduction. Engineers must also account for the unique challenges of the space environment, including atomic oxygen erosion, radiation-induced degradation, and cryogenic effects. By leveraging established material databases and standards, aerospace engineers can make informed decisions that enhance the safety, durability, and efficiency of spacecraft structures.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

10. Challenges and Future Prospects in Space Shuttle Materials

The materials used in the space shuttle encountered several challenges, primarily the need for high-performance materials capable of withstanding the extreme conditions of space. These conditions include exposure to extreme temperatures, vacuum, radiation, micrometeoroid impacts, and space debris. Additionally, these materials had to meet stringent safety and reliability standards to ensure the success of human spaceflight missions. Another crucial requirement was minimizing weight, as reducing the overall mass of the spacecraft directly impacted the amount of propellant required for launch, thereby enhancing mission efficiency.

Despite significant progress in materials science and engineering, ongoing research continues to address challenges and explore new opportunities for future advancements in space materials. One key area of research involves the development of advanced composites with superior mechanical properties, enhanced thermal stability, and reduced outgassing to improve performance in space environments. These next-generation materials aim to provide greater durability while maintaining low weight. Another critical focus is on thermal protection materials, where research is directed toward creating lightweight yet highly heat-resistant materials capable of withstanding the extreme temperatures experienced during atmospheric re-entry. The objective is to develop materials that minimize weight and thickness without compromising thermal protection capabilities.

The use of nanomaterials, such as carbon nanotubes and graphene, presents another promising avenue for space applications. These materials exhibit exceptional properties, including superior strength, high thermal conductivity, and enhanced radiation resistance, which could significantly improve spacecraft structural components and shielding materials. Additionally, additive manufacturing, or 3D printing, is emerging as a transformative technology in space material fabrication. This approach enables the production of complex and lightweight structures with customized properties, optimizing material usage and reducing manufacturing constraints for space applications. Sustainability is also gaining increasing attention in space exploration. Research is exploring the use of recyclable, biodegradable, and renewable materials to minimize the environmental impact of space missions. The incorporation of environmentally friendly materials into spacecraft components could contribute to long-term sustainability in space exploration by reducing waste and enabling resource-efficient mission designs. In summary, while significant advancements have been made in space shuttle materials, ongoing research continues to push the boundaries of material science. By developing advanced composites, high-performance thermal protection systems, nanomaterial-based enhancements, and sustainable materials, the future of space exploration materials is poised to improve spacecraft durability, efficiency, and environmental sustainability.

11. New Directions in Space Exploration

The evolution of space exploration post-Space Shuttle program has ushered in new directions for both robotic and human endeavors in the cosmos. Building on the foundation of the Shuttle, which facilitated significant technological advancements and international collaboration, current programs are now focusing on returning humans to the Moon through NASA's Artemis initiative. This program aims not only to establish a sustainable base on the Moon but also to prepare for future crewed missions to Mars [56].

Moreover, private space companies have emerged as integral players in space exploration, enhancing the democratization and accessibility of space travel. Advancements in human-computer interaction (HCI) systems are being designed to support a diverse range of missions, enabling better human integration in space environments [57]. Additionally, innovative research in areas such as space life sciences is expanding our understanding of how biological systems adapt to space conditions, furthering efforts to cultivate food in space through fermentation processes [58]. As space exploration continues to evolve, interdisciplinary approaches integrating biology, technology, and engineering are crucial. Ongoing research focusing on plant growth in microgravity and the adaptation of immune responses under space conditions underscores the transformative potential of space research [59,60].

Preprints.org (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 22 April 2025 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

Together, these advancements signify a new era in space exploration that builds on past achievements while reaching towards the future.

12. Conclusions

In conclusion, the significance of advanced insulation techniques and materials in the optimal functioning of the space shuttle within challenging environments cannot be overstated. The meticulous selection and design of materials, such as reinforced carbon-carbon (RCC) and ceramic tiles comprising the Thermal Protection System (TPS), play a pivotal role in safeguarding the spacecraft from extreme temperatures, particularly during re-entry. The collective effort of insulation blankets, cryogenic insulation, structural insulation, and active thermal control systems contributes to maintaining the requisite temperature range for diverse spacecraft components. The proper application of insulation materials is fundamental to ensuring the safety, reliability, and overall performance of the space shuttle. As we look ahead, continuous research and development in insulation materials and techniques remain imperative for the advancement of spacecraft designs. The ongoing challenges and opportunities in materials for space applications underscore the need for exploration into innovative realms, including the evolution of composites, nanomaterials, additive manufacturing, and sustainability. Embracing these avenues will not only address current challenges but also pave the way for enhanced capabilities and resilience in future space exploration endeavors

References

1. Garg, A. Pulsatile pressure enhanced rapid water transport through flexible graphene nano/Angstrom-size channels: a continuum modeling approach using the micro-structure of nanoconfined water. *New Journal of Physics* **2023**, *25*.
2. Garg, A. Enhanced flow in deformable carbon nanotubes. *Journal of Applied Physics* **2024**, *135*.
3. Garg, A.; Prasad, P. Wall-slip effects on the yield-stress fluid flows in the rigid and deformable channel. *ChemRxiv- Polymer Science, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-l3knn* **2023**, pp. 1–30.
4. Garg, A.; Poddar, D.; Ahmad, F.; Pattanayek, S.K.; Goel, G. Elastoviscoplastic Model of Shellac-Butanol Solutions and its Application in Coated Paper Barrier Property Analysis **2025**. https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv-2025-sfckm.
5. Abd El-Hameed, A.M.; Abdel-Aziz, Y. Aluminium Alloys in Space Applications: A Short Report. *Journal of Advanced Research in Applied Sciences and Engineering Technology* **2021**, *22*, 1–7.
6. Olivas, J.; Wright, M.; Christoffersen, R.; Cone, D.; McDanels, S. Crystallographic oxide phase identification of char deposits obtained from space shuttle Columbia window debris. *Acta Astronautica* **2010**, *67*, 553–560.
7. Ochoa, N.U.; Smith, A.; Cone, D.; Stafford, S.; Olivas, J. Investigation of material response to atmospheric re-entry exposure of sub-structural Ti-6Al-4V components recovered from Space Shuttle Columbia. *Journal of Space Safety Engineering* **2021**, *8*, 113–120.
8. Lyle, K.H.; Fasanella, E.L. Permanent set of the space shuttle thermal protection system reinforced carbon–carbon material. *Composites Part A: Applied Science and Manufacturing* **2009**, *40*, 702–708.
9. Ince, J.; Peerzada, M.; Mathews, L.; Pai, A.; Al-Qatatsheh, A.; Abbasi, S.; Yin, Y.; Hameed, N.; Duffy, A.; Lau, A.; et al. Overview of emerging hybrid and composite materials for space applications. *Advanced Composites and Hybrid Materials* **2023**, *6*, 130.
10. Alpert, B.K.; Johnson, B.J. Extravehicular activity framework for exploration-2019. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Environmental Systems, 2019, number JSC-E-DAA-TN70005.
11. Degtyarev, A.; Lobanov, L.; Kushnar'ov, A.; Baranov, I.Y.; Volkov, V.; Perepichay, A.; Korotenko, V.; Volkova, O.; Osinovyy, G.; Lysenko, Y.A.; et al. On possibilities for development of the common-sense concept of habitats beyond the Earth. *Acta Astronautica* **2020**, *170*, 487–498.
12. Naser, M.Z.; Chehab, A.I. Materials and design concepts for space-resilient structures. *Progress in Aerospace Sciences* **2018**, *98*, 74–90.
13. Garg, A. Aerodynamics. In Proceedings of the GATE Aerospace Forum Educational Services, 2015.
14. Garg, A. Aircraft Propulsion. In Proceedings of the GATE Aerospace Forum Educational Services, 2015.
15. Kim, H.S. The Characterization of the Selected Materials for Space Shuttle. In Proceedings of the 9th Asia-Pacific Microscopy Conference (APMC9) in conjunction with the 39th Annual Meeting of the Korean Society of Microscopy, 2008, number KSC-2008-033.

16. Vishal, G.; Garg, A.; Sarkar, J.; Pattanayek, S.K. The channel flow of a real shear thickening fluid using the Lattice Boltzmann Simulation and the Theoretical Model. *ChemRxiv- Chemical Engineering and Industrial Chemistry, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-zk1nn* **2023**, pp. 1–26.
17. Vishal, G.; Garg, A.; Sarkar, J.; Pattanayek, S.K. Real Shear Thickening Fluid (STF) Flow in Converging-Diverging Channels: Analytical and Lattice Boltzmann Study. *ChemRxiv- Chemical Engineering and Industrial Chemistry, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-3cjvq* **2023**, pp. 1–29.
18. Vishal, G.; Garg, A.; Sarkar, J.; Pattanayek, S.K. Modelling of Real Shear Thickening Fluid (STF) Flow around a Circular Cylinder within a Channel using the Lattice Boltzmann Method. *ChemRxiv- Chemical Engineering and Industrial Chemistry, DOI: 10.26434/chemrxiv-2024-nzpxr* **2024**, pp. 1–19.
19. Tavassoli, A. Metallic materials for the space shuttle. *The Aeronautical Journal* **1972**, *76*, 152–156.
20. Finckenor, M.M., Document ID 20160013391. In *Aerospace Materials and Applications*; American Institute of Aeronautics and Astronautics, 2018. NASA Marshall Space Flight Center Huntsville, AL, United States, https://doi.org/10.2514/4.104893.
21. Zhang, X.; Chen, Y.; Hu, J. Recent advances in the development of aerospace materials. *Progress in Aerospace Sciences* **2018**, *97*, 22–34.
22. Basheer, A.A. Advances in the smart materials applications in the aerospace industries. *Aircraft Engineering and Aerospace Technology* **2020**, *92*, 1027–1035.
23. Sivolella, D. *Space Shuttle in Uniform*; Springer, 2017.
24. Council, N.R.; et al. *Materials Needs and R&D Strategy for Future Military Aerospace Propulsion Systems*; National Academies Press, 2011.
25. Rajput, A.; Upma.; Shukla, S.K.; Thakur, N.; Debnath, A.; Mangla, B. Advanced Polymeric Materials for Aerospace Applications. *Aerospace Polymeric Materials* **2022**, pp. 117–136.
26. Golfman, Y.; Sudbury, M. Spray Deposition of Aerogels as a Thermal Insulation for the Space Shuttle Fuel Tanks. *An International Journal of Processing, Science, Characterization and Application of Advanced Materials* **2007**, p. 42.
27. Franz, G.; Hassan, M.H. Structural Health Monitoring of Laminated Materials for Aerospace Application. In *Structural Integrity and Monitoring for Composite Materials*; Springer, 2023; pp. 1–26.
28. Norkhairunnisa, M.; Chai Hua, T.; Sapuan, S.; Ilyas, R. Evolution of aerospace composite materials. In *Advanced Composites in Aerospace Engineering Applications*; Springer, 2022; pp. 367–385.
29. Rahman, M.; Shaw, J.; Roesel, M.; William, B.; Willis, J.; Salekeen, S.; Ahmed, M. Finite Element Analysis of Silica Aerogel to Be Used in High Temperature Insulation Tiles for next Generation Space Tiles **2015**.
30. Burr, K. Reuseable lightweight modular multi-layer insulation for space shuttle. Technical report, 1973.
31. Barber, J. Cryogenic insulation technology review for the space shuttle. In Proceedings of the SPACE TRANSPORTATION SYSTEM TECHNOL. SYMP., VOL. 5 JUL. 1970, 1970.
32. Vaz, R.F.; Garfias, A.; Albaladejo, V.; Sanchez, J.; Cano, I.G. A Review of Advances in Cold Spray Additive Manufacturing. *Coatings* **2023**, *13*, 267.
33. Tanzilli, R.A. Development of an External Ceramic Insula-tion for the Space Shuttle Orbiter. *NASA CR-112257,-National Aeronautics and Space Administration* **1973**.
34. Silver, F. *Biomaterials, Medical Devices and Tissue Engineering: An Integrated Approach: An Integrated Approach*; Springer Science & Business Media, 1993.
35. Florence, D.; Gorsuch, P.; Tanzilli, R. Reusable external insulation TPS for the space shuttle. In Proceedings of the NASA. MANNED SPACECRAFT CENTER NASA SPACE SHUTTLE TECHNOL. CONF., VOL. 2 APR. 1971, 1971.
36. Forsberg, K. Description of the manufacturing challenges in producing the high-temperature reusable surface insulation for the thermal protection system of the Space Shuttle. In Proceedings of the Congres International Aeronautique, 1979, number AAAF PAPER NT 79-42.
37. Fleck, R.; Lehman, J. Mechanical Attachment of Reusable Surface Insulation to Space Shuttle Primary Structure. In Proceedings of the NASA. Ames Res. Center Symp. on Reusable Surface Insulation for Space Shuttle, Vol. 3, 1973.
38. Ganpatye, A.; Kinra, V. Fracture toughness of space shuttle external tank insulation foam. In Proceedings of the 47th AIAA/ASME/ASCE/AHS/ASC Structures, Structural Dynamics, and Materials Conference 14th AIAA/ASME/AHS Adaptive Structures Conference 7th, 2006, p. 2020.
39. Brazel, J.; Tye, R. Thermal characterization of reusable external insulation for the space shuttle. Technical report, 1972.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202402.0382.v2

40. McWhorter, B.; Ewing, M.; Albrechtsen, K.; Noble, T.; Longaker, M. Real-time measurements of aft dome insulation erosion on space shuttle reusable solid rocket motor. In Proceedings of the 40th AIAA/ASME/SAE/ASEE Joint Propulsion Conference and Exhibit, 2004, p. 3896.
41. Finckenor, M.M. *Materials for Spacecraft*.
42. Sharma, V.; Garg, A. Numerical Investigation of Effects of Compound Angle and Length to Diameter Ratio on Adiabatic Film Cooling Effectiveness. *CoRR* **2014**, *abs/1405.0560*.
43. Chandrashekar, P.; Garg, A. Vertex-centroid finite volume scheme on tetrahedral grids for conservation laws. *Computers & Mathematics with Applications* **2013**, *65*, 58–74.
44. Snapp, C. Evaluation of Space Shuttle Tile Subnominal Bonds **2006**.
45. Delfini, A.; Santoni, F.; Bisegna, F.; Piergentili, F.; Pastore, R.; Vricella, A.; Albano, M.; Familiari, G.; Battaglione, E.; Matassa, R.; et al. Evaluation of atomic oxygen effects on nano-coated carbon-carbon structures for re-entry applications. *Acta Astronautica* **2019**, *161*, 276–282.
46. Delfini, A.; Pastore, R.; Santoni, F.; Piergentili, F.; Albano, M.; Alifanov, O.; Budnik, S.; Morzhukhina, A.; Nenarokomov, A.; Titov, D.; et al. Thermal analysis of advanced plate structures based on ceramic coating on carbon/carbon substrates for aerospace Re-Entry Re-Useable systems. *Acta Astronautica* **2021**, *183*, 153–161.
47. Orbiter Thermal Protection System. NASA's Kennedy Space Center Public Affairs Office, 2006. Archived from the original (PDF) on June 10, 2011. Retrieved June 7, 2011.
48. NASA. Advanced Flexible Reusable Surface Insulation Blankets. April 7, 2002, 2001. Archived from the original on February 10, 2001. Retrieved June 7, 2011.
49. Lardas, M. *Space Shuttle Launch System 1972–2004*; Bloomsbury Publishing, 2012.
50. NASA. STS-41D Press Kit. August 1984. Archived from the original (PDF) on March 15, 2013. Retrieved July 12, 2013.
51. Garg, A.; Mishra, H.; Pattanayek, S.K. Scaling Laws for Optimized Power-Law Fluid Flow in Self-Similar Tree-like Branching Networks. *Journal of Applied Physics* **2024**, *135*.
52. Garg, A. Scaling laws for optimal power-law fluid flow within converging-diverging dendritic networks of tubes and rectangular channels. *Physics of Fluids* **2024**, *36*, 073116, [https://pubs.aip.org/aip/pof/article-pdf/doi/10.1063/5.0217953/20076362/073116_1_5.0217953.pdf]. https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0217953.
53. Fontana, J.V.; Garg, A. Optimal power-law fluid flow in tree-like branching networks with self-similar and uniform roughness models. *Journal of Applied Physics* **2025**, *137*.
54. Garg, A.; Bergemann, N.; Smith, B.; Heil, M.; Juel, A. Fluidisation of yield stress fluids under vibration. *Journal of Non-Newtonian Fluid Mechanics* **2021**, *294*, 104595.
55. Garg, A. Fluidisation of yield stress materials under vibration. *PhD thesis, The University of Manchester* **2022**, pp. 1–175.
56. Pataranutaporn, P.; Sumini, V.; Ekblaw, A.; Yashar, M.; Häuplik-Meusburger, S.; Testa, S.; Obrist, M.; Donoviel, D.; Paradiso, J.; Maes, P. SpaceCHI: Designing human-computer interaction systems for space exploration. In Proceedings of the Extended Abstracts of the 2021 CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems, 2021, pp. 1–6.
57. Pataranutaporn, P.; Sumini, V.; Yashar, M.; Testa, S.; Obrist, M.; Davidoff, S.; Paul, A.M.; Donoviel, D.; Wu, J.; Fish, S.A.; et al. SpaceCHI 2.0: Advancing Human-Computer Interaction Systems for Space Exploration. In Proceedings of the CHI Conference on Human Factors in Computing Systems Extended Abstracts, 2022, pp. 1–7.
58. Coblentz, M.; Evans, J.D.; Kothe, C.I.; Mak, T.; Valeron, N.R.; Chwalek, P.; Wejendorp, K.; Garg, S.; Pless, L.; Mak, S.; et al. Food Fermentation in Space Is Possible, Distinctive, and Beneficial. *bioRxiv* **2024**, pp. 2024–02.
59. Sun, Y.; Kuang, Y.; Zuo, Z. The emerging role of macrophages in immune system dysfunction under real and simulated microgravity conditions. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences* **2021**, *22*, 2333.
60. Kumar, R.K.; Singh, N.K.; Balakrishnan, S.; Parker, C.W.; Raman, K.; Venkateswaran, K. Metabolic modeling of the International Space Station microbiome reveals key microbial interactions. *Microbiome* **2022**, *10*, 102.