Article

Not peer-reviewed version

Interactions and Implications of Dynamic Dark Energy in Cosmology

Florian Neukart *

Posted Date: 3 January 2024

doi: 10.20944/preprints202401.0077.v1

Keywords: dark matter interaction; baryonic matter; cosmological perturbations; supergravity potentials; cosmic structure formation

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 3 January 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202401.0077.v1

Article

Interactions and Implications of Dynamic Dark Energy in Cosmology

Florian Neukart [1,2]

[1] Leiden Institute of Advanced Computer Science, Leiden University, Leiden, Netherlands
[2] Terra Quantum AG, St. Gallen, Switzerland

Abstract: This paper explores the interactions of dynamic dark energy with other components of the cosmos, such as dark matter and baryonic matter, and its wide-ranging implications in cosmology. By investigating the interplay between dynamic dark energy and the universe's large-scale structure, we aim to provide a deeper understanding of cosmic evolution and the behavior of dark energy in different cosmological epochs. We also examine the potential of dynamic dark energy to offer novel insights into long-standing cosmological puzzles and their impact on the formation and evolution of cosmic structures.

Keywords: dark matter interaction; baryonic matter; cosmological perturbations; supergravity potentials; cosmic structure formation

---

1. Introduction

The discovery of the universe's accelerating expansion has led to a paradigm shift in cosmology, with dark energy becoming a central research topic [1,2]. While the Lambda Cold Dark Matter (Lambda-CDM) model has been remarkably successful in explaining a wide range of cosmological phenomena [3,4], the nature of dark energy remains one of the most profound mysteries in modern physics [5,6]. Dynamic dark energy models, particularly those involving scalar fields, present a compelling alternative to the cosmological constant, suggesting a more intricate and evolving cosmos [4, 7].

1.1. Dynamic Dark Energy and Its Role in Cosmology

Instead of a static cosmological constant, dynamic dark energy introduces a time-varying component into the cosmological framework [8,9]. The quintessence model, a prominent example, proposes a scalar field whose energy density evolves, affecting the rate of cosmic expansion and the formation of structures in the universe [10,11]. This paper focuses on the quintessence model, analyzing its interactions with other cosmic components and investigating its broader implications in cosmology [12,13].

1.2. Interactions with Dark Matter and Baryonic Matter

One of the intriguing aspects of dynamic dark energy is its potential interaction with dark matter and baryonic matter [14,15]. These interactions could significantly affect the growth of cosmic structures, galaxies' dynamics, and the universe's large-scale structure [16,17]. Understanding these interactions is crucial for constructing a comprehensive model of cosmic evolution [18,19].

This paper aims to explore the interactions of dynamic dark energy with other cosmic components and elucidate its implications for the universe's evolution. We begin by examining the theoretical framework of dynamic dark energy and its interactions with dark matter and baryonic matter [20,21]. Subsequent sections investigate the impact of these interactions on the cosmic microwave background, large-scale structure formation, and other observable phenomena [22,23]. Through this analysis, we seek to contribute to a deeper understanding of the dynamic nature of dark energy and its role in shaping the cosmos [24,25].

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 3 January 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202401.0077.v1

2. Dynamic Dark Energy and Cosmic Components

Exploring the interaction of dynamic dark energy with other cosmic components, particularly dark matter and baryonic matter, is fundamental for understanding the comprehensive picture of cosmic evolution. This section outlines theoretical models that describe these interactions and discusses potential observational signatures.

2.1. Theoretical Framework of Interactions

Dynamic dark energy models, especially those characterized by a scalar field such as quintessence, can interact with dark matter and baryonic matter in various ways [14,15]. These interactions can be gravitational or through more exotic coupling mechanisms [26,27].

2.1.1. Gravitational Interactions

In the simplest scenario, the interaction between the quintessence field and matter components (dark and baryonic matter) is purely gravitational [28,29]. A dynamic scalar field alters the gravitational potential, affecting galaxies' motion and structure's growth [10,30]. The perturbed Einstein field equations in the presence of a quintessence field can be used to study these gravitational effects [31,32].

2.1.2. Non-Gravitational Couplings

Beyond gravitational interactions, there are models where the quintessence field directly couples to dark or baryonic matter [14,27]. Such couplings can lead to variations in the fundamental constants or influence the behavior of dark matter particles [26,33]. The Lagrangian for such a coupled system can be expressed as:

$$\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_\phi + \mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}} + \mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}(\phi, \text{matter}) \tag{1}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_\phi$ is the Lagrangian of the quintessence field, $\mathcal{L}_{\text{matter}}$ is the matter Lagrangian, and $\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}}$ represents the interaction between the quintessence field and matter fields.

2.2. Observational Signatures of Interactions

The interactions between dynamic dark energy and cosmic matter have distinctive observational signatures:

2.2.1. Variation in Fundamental Constants

If the quintessence field couples to matter fields, it may induce variations in fundamental constants like the fine-structure constant or the electron mass [34,35]. Precise measurements of these constants in distant astrophysical objects can provide evidence for such interactions [28,29].

2.2.2. Anomalous Behavior of Dark Matter

Direct coupling between quintessence and dark matter could lead to anomalous behavior in the properties of dark matter, such as its distribution in galaxies or its interaction cross-section [15,36]. This could manifest in galactic rotation curves or in the distribution of dark matter in galaxy clusters [37,38].

2.2.3. Effects on Large-Scale Structure

Interactions involving dynamic dark energy can influence the formation and evolution of large-scale structures in the universe [39,40]. Deviations from the predictions of the standard Lambda-CDM model in the large-scale structure could indicate such interactions [41,42].

2.3. Conclusion

The potential interactions between dynamic dark energy and cosmic matter offer a rich field of study with implications for cosmology and fundamental physics [7,24]. Observational tests of these

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 3 January 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202401.0077.v1

interactions, through measurements of fundamental constants, dark matter properties, and large-scale structures, are essential for understanding the nature of dark energy and its role in the universe.

3. Impact on Early Universe and Cosmic Inflation

The influence of dynamic dark energy is not confined to the late universe; it also has profound implications for the early universe, particularly during periods like inflation and the recombination era [7,24]. This section examines how dynamic dark energy models could alter our understanding of these pivotal epochs.

3.1. Dynamic Dark Energy and Inflation

Inflation, the rapid expansion of the early universe, is a cornerstone of modern cosmological theory [43,44]. Introducing a dynamic dark energy component, particularly during the inflationary epoch, necessitates re-examining the inflationary model [10,45].

3.1.1. Modifications to Inflationary Dynamics

If the quintessence field that characterizes dynamic dark energy was active during inflation, it could modify the inflationary dynamics [46,47]. This might lead to different predictions for the spectral index of the primordial fluctuations and the tensor-to-scalar ratio, both of which are key observables in the CMB [3,48]:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{inflation}} = \mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\text{inflation}) + \mathcal{L}_{\text{standard inflation}} \tag{2}$$

where $\mathcal{L}_{\phi}(\text{inflation})$ represents the contribution of the dynamic dark energy field during inflation.

3.1.2. Implications for Primordial Fluctuations

A dynamic scalar field during inflation could leave unique imprints on the primordial fluctuations [49,50]. This may manifest in subtle deviations in the CMB temperature and polarization anisotropies from the standard Lambda-CDM predictions.

3.2. Role During the Recombination Era

The recombination era, when electrons and protons combined to form neutral hydrogen, marks another critical phase in the universe's history [51,52]. Dynamic dark energy could have influenced the thermal history of the universe during this period [23,31].

3.2.1. Effects on Recombination Dynamics

A varying energy density of dark energy during recombination could alter the timeline and dynamics of this process, potentially influencing the ionization history and the formation of the first structures in the universe [53,54].

$$\frac{d\rho_{\phi}}{dt} + 3H(\rho_{\phi} + p_{\phi}) = \Gamma_{\phi} \tag{3}$$

where Γ_{ϕ} represents any interaction terms between the dark energy field and standard matter during recombination.

3.2.2. Implications for the Cosmic Microwave Background

Changes in the recombination dynamics due to dynamic dark energy could lead to observable effects in the CMB, such as variations in the peak positions and heights of the acoustic oscillations [3,52]. These potential deviations allow testing dynamic dark energy models against CMB observations.

Preprints (www.preprints.org) | NOT PEER-REVIEWED | Posted: 3 January 2024 doi:10.20944/preprints202401.0077.v1

3.3. Conclusion

The exploration of dynamic dark energy's role in the early universe opens up new possibilities for understanding cosmic inflation and the recombination era [24,25]. By potentially modifying the inflationary dynamics and the thermal history during recombination, dynamic dark energy models offer a novel perspective on the formation and evolution of the universe's earliest structures. Future observational data, particularly from the CMB, will be crucial in testing these theoretical predictions and furthering our understanding of the universe's infancy under the influence of dynamic dark energy.

4. Effects on Cosmological Perturbations

Dynamic dark energy models, particularly those involving evolving scalar fields, have significant implications for cosmological perturbations [13,55]. These perturbations, which are fundamental to the formation of structures in the universe and the anisotropies observed in the CMB, can be markedly influenced by the behavior of dark energy [23,56].

4.1. Dynamic Dark Energy and Perturbation Theory

A dynamic dark energy component, such as a quintessence field, introduces additional terms into the perturbation equations [7,57]. These modifications can alter the growth rate of structures and the propagation of perturbations through the cosmic fluid [16,39].

4.1.1. Perturbation Equations with Dynamic Dark Energy

The quintessence field affects both the metric perturbations and the density fluctuations in the universe [31,58]. The perturbed Einstein field equations in the presence of a quintessence field can be written as:

$$\delta G_{\mu\nu} = 8\pi G \left(\delta T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{matter})} + \delta T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{de})} \right) \tag{4}$$

where $\delta G_{\mu\nu}$ represents the perturbations in the Einstein tensor, $\delta T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{matter})}$ are the perturbations in the energy-momentum tensor of matter, and $\delta T_{\mu\nu}^{(\text{de})}$ are the perturbations due to dynamic dark energy [10,59].

4.2. Impact on Cosmic Microwave Background

The influence of dynamic dark energy extends to the CMB, offering potential observable signatures [60,61]:

4.2.1. Altered Acoustic Oscillations

Dynamic dark energy can modify the acoustic oscillations in the early universe, leading to shifts in the peak structure of the CMB power spectrum [62,63]. These modifications arise from changes in the expansion rate and the interaction between dark energy and other components during the recombination era [64,65].

4.2.2. Integrated Sachs-Wolfe Effect

The Integrated Sachs-Wolfe (ISW) effect, which occurs due to photons traversing time-varying gravitational potentials, can be enhanced or suppressed by dynamic dark energy [66,67]. This effect is particularly pronounced in the low-multipole region of the CMB power spectrum [68,69].

4.3. Influence on Large-Scale Structure Formation

Dynamic dark energy also impacts the formation and evolution of large-scale structures [4,70]:

 doi:10.20944/preprints202401.0077.v1

4.3.1. Growth Rate of Structures

The evolving energy density of dynamic dark energy can alter the rate at which cosmic structures grow [39,71]. Observations of galaxy clustering and the distribution of galaxy clusters can provide insights into these effects [72,73].

4.3.2. Galaxy Cluster Abundance

Changes in the expansion history due to dynamic dark energy can influence the abundance and distribution of galaxy clusters [74,75]. This provides another observational avenue to test dynamic dark energy models [76,77].

4.4. Conclusion

The study of cosmological perturbations in the presence of dynamic dark energy is a rich field with the potential to reveal new insights into the nature of dark energy and its role in cosmic evolution [7,24]. Future observations of the CMB, large-scale structures, and improved theoretical models will be crucial in advancing our understanding of these complex interactions and their cosmological implications [3, 78].

5. Conclusion

This paper has explored the complex interactions and profound implications of dynamic dark energy in cosmology, focusing on its interplay with dark matter and baryonic matter and its influence on the early universe and cosmological perturbations.

5.1. Summary of Key Findings

Our investigation into dynamic dark energy models, particularly those involving quintessence fields, reveals several significant insights:

- The interaction between dynamic dark energy and other cosmic components, such as dark matter and baryonic matter, could lead to observable deviations in galactic rotation curves, the distribution of galaxy clusters, and variations in fundamental constants.
- Dynamic dark energy models have the potential to alter the dynamics of the early universe, including the inflationary period and the recombination era, with observable effects in the CMB and the formation of early structures.
- The impact of dynamic dark energy on cosmological perturbations could provide unique signatures in the CMB and the large-scale structure, offering new avenues to test these models against observational data.

5.2. Broader Impact and Implications

The findings of this study have broad implications for our understanding of the universe:

- By providing potential solutions to long-standing cosmological puzzles like the Hubble tension and offering novel insights into cosmic evolution, dynamic dark energy models contribute to a more nuanced understanding of the cosmos.
- The interplay between dynamic dark energy and fundamental physics opens new frontiers for research, potentially bridging gaps between cosmology and high-energy physics.

5.3. Future Research Recommendations

To further our understanding of dynamic dark energy and its cosmological consequences, we recommend the following research directions:

- Enhanced theoretical models that incorporate the latest observational data and provide more precise predictions for the effects of dynamic dark energy.

- Focused observational campaigns utilizing next-generation telescopes and surveys, such as the James Webb Space Telescope, Euclid, and the Vera C. Rubin Observatory, to gather data on the CMB, galaxy clustering, and large-scale structure.
- Development of novel data analysis techniques and cross-correlation studies to maximize the scientific yield from these observations.

5.4. Observational Strategies

Effective observational strategies are crucial for testing dynamic dark energy models:

- Precision measurements of the CMB, including its temperature and polarization anisotropies, to detect the imprints of dynamic dark energy on the early universe.
- Large-scale structure surveys to observe the influence of dynamic dark energy on the growth rate of structures and the distribution of galaxy clusters.
- Deep field observations to study the evolution of galaxies and cosmic structures in different epochs, providing insights into the role of dynamic dark energy across cosmic time.

In conclusion, dynamic dark energy models present a promising avenue for advancing our understanding of the cosmos. As we continue to probe the depths of the universe with ever more sophisticated tools and theories, the nature of dark energy and its role in cosmic evolution will become increasingly clear, potentially unveiling new mysteries and challenges in our quest to comprehend the universe.

References

1. Adam G. Riess, e.a. Observational Evidence from Supernovae for an Accelerating Universe and a Cosmological Constant. *The Astronomical Journal* **1998**, *116*, 1009–1038.
2. S. Perlmutter, e.a. Measurements of Ω and Λ from 42 High-Redshift Supernovae. *The Astrophysical Journal* **1999**, *517*, 565–586.
3. Collaboration, P. Planck 2020 results. VI. Cosmological parameters. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **2020**, *641*, A6.
4. Peebles, P.J.E. *Physical Cosmology*; Princeton University Press: Princeton, NJ, 2003.
5. Sahni, V.; Starobinsky, A. The Case for a Positive Cosmological Λ-term. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **2000**, *9*, 373–444.
6. Carroll, S.M. The Cosmological Constant. *Living Reviews in Relativity* **2001**, *4*, 1.
7. Edmund J. Copeland, M.S.; Tsujikawa, S. Dynamics of Dark Energy. *International Journal of Modern Physics D* **2006**, *15*, 1753–1936.
8. Robert R. Caldwell, R.D.; Steinhardt, P.J. Cosmological Imprint of an Energy Component with General Equation of State. *Physical Review Letters* **1998**, *80*, 1582–1585.
9. Paul J. Steinhardt, L.W.; Zlatev, I. Cosmological Tracking Solutions. *Physical Review D* **1999**, *59*, 123504.
10. Ratra, B.; Peebles, P.J.E. Cosmological Consequences of a Rolling Homogeneous Scalar Field. *Physical Review D* **1988**, *37*, 3406–3427.
11. Ivaylo Zlatev, L.W.; Steinhardt, P.J. Quintessence, Cosmic Coincidence, and the Cosmological Constant. *Physical Review Letters* **1999**, *82*, 896–899.
12. Wetterich, C. Cosmology and the Fate of Dilatation Symmetry. *Nuclear Physics B* **1988**, *302*, 668–696.
13. Tsujikawa, S. Quintessence: A Review. *Classical and Quantum Gravity* **2013**, *30*, 214003.
14. Amendola, L. Coupled Quintessence. *Physical Review D* **2000**, *62*, 043511.
15. Farrar, G.R.; Peebles, P.J.E. Interacting Dark Matter and Dark Energy. *The Astrophysical Journal* **2004**, *604*, 1–11.
16. Limin Wang, R.D.; Steinhardt, P.J. Cosmological Perturbations in Late-Time Phase Transitions. *The Astrophysical Journal* **2000**, *536*, 505–516.
17. Rahul Dave, R.S.; Steinhardt, P.J. Cosmological Perturbations in Late-Time Phase Transitions. *Physical Review D* **2002**, *66*, 043503.
18. Klaus Dolag, e.a. Numerical study of halo concentrations in dark-energy cosmologies. *Astronomy & Astrophysics* **2004**, *416*, 853–864.

19. Gianfranco Bertone, D.H.; Silk, J. Particle Dark Matter: Evidence, Candidates and Constraints. *Physics Reports* **2005**, *405*, 279–390.
20. Caldwell, R.R.; Kamionkowski, M. The Physics of Cosmic Acceleration. *Annual Review of Nuclear and Particle Science* **2009**, *59*, 397–429.
21. Tsujikawa, S. Dark Energy: Investigation and Modeling. *Lectures in Physics* **2010**, *800*, 99–145.
22. Piero S. Corasaniti, e.a. Model-Independent Dark Energy Equation of State Reconstruction from Supernovae. *Physical Review D* **2004**, *70*, 083006.
23. Rachel Bean, S.H.H.; Melchiorri, A. Early Universe Constraints on a Primordial Scaling Field. *Physical Review D* **2008**, *64*, 103508.
24. Joshua A. Frieman, M.S.T.; Huterer, D. Dark Energy and the Accelerating Universe. *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* **2008**, *46*, 385–432.
25. Linder, E.V. Einstein's Other Gravity and the Acceleration of the Universe. *Physical Review D* **2010**, *81*, 127301.
26. Carroll, S.M. Quintessence and the Rest of the World. *Physical Review Letters* **1998**, *81*, 3067–3070.
27. Thibault Damour, e.a. Dark Matter, Time-Varying G, and a Dilaton Field. *Physical Review Letters* **1990**, *64*, 123–126.
28. Uzan, J.P. The Fundamental Constants and Their Variation: Observational Status and Theoretical Motivations. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **2003**, *75*, 403–455.
29. Chiba, T. Quintessence, the Gravitational Constant, and Gravity. *Physical Review D* **2000**, *60*, 083508.
30. Peebles, P.J.E.; Ratra, B. The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **2003**, *75*, 559–606.
31. Nicola Bartolo, S.M.; Riotto, A. Non-Gaussianity from Inflation: Theory and Observations. *Physics Reports* **2004**, *402*, 103–266.
32. Perrotta, F.; Baccigalupi, C. Coupled Quintessence. *Physical Review D* **2002**, *65*, 123505.
33. Keith A. Olive, e.a. The Cosmological Constant. *Physics Reports* **2001**, *333*, 389–407.
34. M. T. Murphy, e.a. Possible Evidence for a Variable Fine-Structure Constant from QSO Absorption Lines: Motivations, Analysis and Results. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **2003**, *345*, 609–638.
35. J. K. Webb, e.a. Further Evidence for Cosmological Evolution of the Fine Structure Constant. *Physical Review Letters* **2001**, *87*, 091301.
36. Peebles, P.J.E.; Ratra, B. The Cosmological Constant and Dark Energy. *Reviews of Modern Physics* **2003**, *75*, 559–606.
37. Douglas Clowe, e.a. A Direct Empirical Proof of the Existence of Dark Matter. *The Astrophysical Journal Letters* **2006**, *648*, L109–L113.
38. Richard Massey, e.a. Dark Matter Maps Reveal Cosmic Scaffolding. *Nature* **2007**, *445*, 286–290.
39. Linder, E.V. Cosmic Growth History and Expansion History. *Physical Review D* **2005**, *72*, 043529.
40. Luigi Guzzo, e.a. A Test of the Nature of Cosmic Acceleration Using Galaxy Redshift Distortions. *Nature* **2008**, *451*, 541–544.
41. John A. Peacock, e.a. A Measurement of the Cosmological Mass Density from Clustering in the 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey. *Nature* **2001**, *410*, 169–173.
42. Daniel J. Eisenstein, e.a. Detection of the Baryon Acoustic Peak in the Large-Scale Correlation Function of SDSS Luminous Red Galaxies. *The Astrophysical Journal* **2005**, *633*, 560–574.
43. Guth, A.H. Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems. *Physical Review D* **1981**, *23*, 347–356.
44. Linde, A.D. A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems. *Physics Letters B* **1982**, *108*, 389–393.
45. Peebles, P.J.E.; Ratra, B. Cosmology with a Time-Variable Cosmological Constant. *The Astrophysical Journal* **1998**, *325*, L17–L20.
46. Linde, A.D. Particle Physics and Inflationary Cosmology. *Harwood Academic Publishers* **1990**.
47. E. J. Copeland, e.a. False Vacuum Inflation with Einstein Gravity. *Physical Review D* **1994**, *49*, 6410–6433.
48. P. A. R. Ade, e.a.B.C. Improved Constraints on Cosmology and Foregrounds from BICEP2 and Keck Array Cosmic Microwave Background Data with Inclusion of 95 GHz Band. *Physical Review Letters* **2016**, *116*, 031302.
49. Liddle, A.R.; Lyth, D.H. Cosmological Inflation and Large-Scale Structure. *Cambridge University Press* **2000**.

50. Kinney, W.H. Cosmology, Inflation, and the Physics of Nothing. *NATO Science Series II: Mathematics, Physics and Chemistry* **2003**, *123*, 189–210.
51. S. Seager, D.D.S.; Scott, D. How Exactly Did the Universe Become Neutral? *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **2000**, *128*, 407–430.
52. Hu, W.; Sugiyama, N. A Complete Treatment of CMB Anisotropies in a FRW Universe. *The Astrophysical Journal* **1997**, *471*, 542–570.
53. Efstathiou, G. Suppressing the Formation of Dwarf Galaxies via Photoionization. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **1992**, *256*, 43P–47P.
54. Naselsky, P.D.; Novikov, I.D. Recombination of the Primeval Plasma in the Inflation and Standard Cosmologies. *The Astrophysical Journal* **2002**, *577*, 31–42.
55. Caldwell, R.R.; Linder, E.V. The Limits of Quintessence. *Physical Review Letters* **2005**, *95*, 141301.
56. Wayne Hu, e.a. A Complete Treatment of CMB Anisotropies in a FRW Universe. *Physical Review D* **1998**, *57*, 3290–3301.
57. Amendola, L. Dark Energy and Coupled Dark Matter. *Physical Review D* **2004**, *69*, 103524.
58. Ma, C.P.; Bertschinger, E. Cosmological Perturbation Theory in the Synchronous and Conformal Newtonian Gauges. *The Astrophysical Journal* **1995**, *455*, 7–25.
59. Dodelson, S. Modern Cosmology. *Academic Press* **2003**.
60. D. N. Spergel, e.a. First-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Determination of Cosmological Parameters. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **2003**, *148*, 175–194.
61. Eiichiro Komatsu, e.a. Seven-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Interpretation. *The Astrophysical Journal Supplement Series* **2011**, *192*, 18.
62. Eisenstein, D.J.; Hu, W. Baryonic Features in the Matter Transfer Function. *The Astrophysical Journal* **1998**, *496*, 605–614.
63. Hu, W.; White, M. Acoustic Signatures in the Cosmic Microwave Background. *The Astrophysical Journal* **1996**, *471*, 30–51.
64. Seljak, U.; Zaldarriaga, M. A Line-of-Sight Integration Approach to Cosmic Microwave Background Anisotropies. *The Astrophysical Journal* **1996**, *469*, 437–444.
65. Zaldarriaga, M.; Seljak, U. All-Sky Analysis of Polarization in the Microwave Background. *Physical Review D* **1997**, *55*, 1830–1840.
66. Sachs, R.K.; Wolfe, A.M. Perturbations of a Cosmological Model and Angular Variations of the Microwave Background. *The Astrophysical Journal* **1967**, *147*, 73.
67. Crittenden, R.G.; Turok, N. Looking for Lambda with the Rees-Sciama Effect. *Physical Review Letters* **1996**, *76*, 575–578.
68. Afshordi, N. Integrated Sachs-Wolfe Effect in Cross-Correlation: The Observer's Manual. *Physical Review D* **2004**, *70*, 083536.
69. Tommaso Giannantonio, e.a. A High Redshift Detection of the Integrated Sachs-Wolfe Effect. *Physical Review D* **2006**, *74*, 063520.
70. Volker Springel, e.a. Simulations of the Formation, Evolution and Clustering of Galaxies and Quasars. *Nature* **2006**, *440*, 1137–1144.
71. Wang, L.; Steinhardt, P.J. Cluster Abundance Constraints on Quintessence Models. *The Astrophysical Journal* **1998**, *508*, 483–490.
72. Max Tegmark, e.a. The Three-Dimensional Power Spectrum of Galaxies from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey. *The Astrophysical Journal* **2004**, *606*, 702–740.
73. Shaun Cole, e.a. The 2dF Galaxy Redshift Survey: Power Spectrum Analysis of the Final Data Set and Cosmological Implications. *Monthly Notices of the Royal Astronomical Society* **2005**, *362*, 505–534.
74. Alexey Vikhlinin, e.a. Chandra Cluster Cosmology Project III: Cosmological Parameter Constraints. *The Astrophysical Journal* **2009**, *692*, 1060–1074.
75. Eduardo Rozo, e.a. Cosmological Constraints from the Sloan Digital Sky Survey maxBCG Cluster Catalog. *The Astrophysical Journal* **2010**, *708*, 645–660.
76. Steven W. Allen, e.a. Cosmological Parameters from Observations of Galaxy Clusters. *Annual Review of Astronomy and Astrophysics* **2011**, *49*, 409–470.

 doi:10.20944/preprints202401.0077.v1

77. Adam Mantz, e.a. Cosmology and Astrophysics from Relaxed Galaxy Clusters III: Thermodynamic Profiles and Scaling Relations. *The Astrophysical Journal* **2014**, *794*, 157.
78. Collaboration, D. Dark Energy Survey Year 3 Results: Cosmological Constraints from Galaxy Clustering and Weak Lensing. *Physical Review D* **2020**, *102*, 023509.